

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Low adherence to the western and high adherence to the mediterranean dietary patterns could prevent colorectal cancer

Adela Castelló, Pilar Amiano. Nerea Fernández de Larrea, Vicente Martín, Maria Henar Alonso, Gemma Castaño-Vinyals, Beatriz Pérez-Gómez, Rocío Olmedo-Requena, Marcela Guevara, Guillermo Fernandez-Tardon, Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos, Cristobal Llorens-Ivorra, Jose María Huerta, Rocío Capelo, Tania Fernández-Villa, Anna Díez-Villanueva, Carmen Urtiaga, Jesús Castilla, Jose Juan Jiménez-Moleón, Víctor Moreno, Verónica Dávila-Batista, Manolis Kogevinas, Nuria Aragonés, Marina Pollán, On behalf of MCC-Spain researchers

Eur J Nutr. 2019 Jun;58(4):1495-1505.

which has been published in final form at

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-018-1674-5

- 1 **AUTHORS LIST:** Adela Castelló^{1,2,3}, Pilar Amiano^{2,4,5}, Nerea Fernández de Larrea^{1,2}, Vicente
- 2 Martín⁶, Maria Henar Alonso^{7,8}, Gemma Castaño-Vinyals^{2,9,10,11}, Beatriz Pérez-Gómez^{1,2,}
- 3 Rocío Olmedo-Requena^{2,12,13}, Marcela Guevara^{2,14}, Guillermo Fernandez-Tardon^{2,15}, Trinidad
- 4 Dierssen-Sotos¹⁶, Cristobal Llorens-Ivorra¹⁷, Jose M. Huerta^{2,18}, Rocío Capelo¹⁹, Tania
- 5 Fernández-Villa⁶, Anna Díez-Villanueva⁷, Carmen Urtiaga⁵, Jesús Castilla^{2,14}, Jose J. Jiménez-
- 6 Moleón^{2,12,13}, Víctor Moreno^{7,8}, Verónica Dávila-Batista⁶, Manolis Kogevinas^{2,9,10,11}, Nuria
- 7 Aragonés^{1,2}, Marina Pollán^{1,2} on behalf of MCC-Spain researchers
- 8 TITLE: Low adherence to the Western and high adherence to the Mediterranean dietary
- 9 patterns could prevent colorectal cancer.

10 AFFILIATIONS:

- ¹ Cancer Epidemiology Unit, National Center for Epidemiology, Carlos III Institute of Health.
- 12 Avenida Monforte de Lemos 5, 28029, Madrid, Spain.
- ² Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology & Public Health (CIBERESP), Carlos
- 14 III Institute of Health. Avenida Monforte de Lemos 5, 28029, Madrid, Spain.
- ³ Faculty of Medicine, University of Alcalá, Campus Universitario C/ 19, Av. de Madrid,
- 16 Km 33,600, 28871 Alcalá de Henares, Madrid
- ⁴ Public Health Department of Gipuzkoa, Government of the Basque Country, Avenida
- Navarra, 4, 20013, San Sebastián, Spain.
- ⁵ Biodonostia Research Institute. Paseo Dr Beguiristain s/n, 20014, San Sebastián, Spain.
- ⁶ The Research Group in Gene-Environment and Health Interactions, Vegazana Campus,
- 21 University of León. Campus Vegazana, s/n, 24071, León, Spain

- ⁷ Unit of Biomarkers and Susceptibility, Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Catalan
- 23 Institute of Oncology (ICO) and IDIBELL. Gran Via km 2.7, 08907, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat,
- 24 Spain.
- ⁸ Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Barcelona, Campus de
- Bellvitge, Pavelló de Govern, Feixa Llarga s/n 08907, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain.
- ⁹ ISGlobal, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL). Carrer del Doctor
- 28 Aiguader 88, 08003, Barcelona, Spain.
- ¹⁰ Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF). Carrer del Doctor Aiguader 88, 08003, Barcelona, Spain
- 30 ¹¹ IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute). Carrer del Doctor Aiguader 88,
- 31 08003, Barcelona, Spain
- 32 ¹² Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Granada. Av, de la
- 33 Investigación, 11, 18016, Granada, Spain.
- 34 ¹³ Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Complejo Hospitales Universitarios
- de Granada/Universidad de Granada. Edificio Licinio de la Fuente, Calle Dr. Azpitarte, 4,
- 36 18012, Granada, Spain.
- 37 ¹⁴ Public Health Institute of Navarra. Calle Leyre 15, 31003, Pamplona, Spain
- 38 ¹⁵ IUOPA, University of Oviedo. Facultad de Medicina, Planta 7, Campus de El Cristo B.
- 39 33006, Oviedo, Spain.
- 40 ¹⁶ Universidad de Cantabria IDIVAL. Avenida Cardenal Herrera Oria s/n, 39011,
- 41 Santander, Spain.

- 42 ¹⁷ Centro de Salud Pública de Dénia. Consellería de Sanidad Universal y Salud Pública. Plaza
- 43 Jaime I, 5, 03700, Denia, Spain.
- 44 ¹⁸ Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Council, IMIB-Arrixaca. C/ Luis
- 45 Fontes Pagán nº 9 1ª planta. C.P.- 30003, Murcia, Spain.
- 46 ¹⁹ Centro de Investigación en Salud y Medio Ambiente (CYSMA). Universidad de Huelva.
- 47 Campus Universitario de El Carmen, 21071, Huelva, Spain.

48 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

- 49 Dr. Adela Castelló
- 50 Cancer Epidemiology Unit, National Center for Epidemiology.
- 51 Instituto de Salud Carlos III.
- 52 Avenida Monforte de Lemos, 5, 28029, Madrid, Spain.
- 53 ORCID: 0000-0002-1308-9927
- 54 Phone: +34 91 822 2667.
- 55 Fax: +34 91 387 7815.
- e-mail: <u>acastello@isciii.es</u>

ABSTRACT

58

Purpose: To assess if the associations found between three previously identified dietary 59 patterns with breast, prostate and gastric cancer are also observed for colorectal cancer (CRC). 60 61 Methods: MCC-Spain is a multicase-control study that collected information of 1629 incident cases of CRC and 3509 population-based controls from 11 Spanish provinces. Western, Prudent 62 and Mediterranean data-driven dietary patterns - derived in another Spanish case-control study-63 were reconstructed in MCC-Spain. Their association with CRC was assessed using mixed 64 multivariable logistic regression models considering a possible interaction with sex. Risk by 65 tumor site (proximal colon, distal colon, and rectum) was evaluated using multinomial 66 regression models. 67 **Results:** While no effect of the Prudent pattern on CRC risk was observed, a high adherence to 68 the Western dietary pattern was associated with increased CRC risk for both males (OR_{fourth} 69 $(O4)_{vs.first}$ $(O1)_{quartile}$ (95%CI):1.45(1.11;1.91)) and females $(OR_{O4vsO1}(95\%CI):1.50$ (1.07;2.09))70 but seem to be confined to distal colon (OR_{fourth (O4)vs.first (O1)quartile} (95%CI):2.02(1.44;2.84)) and 71 rectal (OR_{Q4vsQ1} (95%CI):1.46(1.05;2.01)) tumors. The protective effect of the Mediterranean 72 dietary against for both 73 pattern **CRC** was observed sexes (Males: $OR_{Q4vsQ1}(95\%CI):0.71(0.55;0.92)$; Females: $OR_{Q4vsQ1}(95\%CI):0.56(0.40;0.77))$ and for all 74 $(OR_{O4vsO1}(95\%CI):0.70(0.51;0.97)),$ 75 cancer sites: proximal colon distal colon $(OR_{Q4vsQ1}(95\%CI):0.65(0.48;0.89),$ and rectum $(OR_{Q4vsQ1}(95\%CI):0.60(0.45;0.81)).$ 76 **Conclusion:** Our results are consistent with most of the associations previously found between 77 these patterns and breast, prostate and gastric cancer risk and indicate that consuming whole 78 79 fruits, vegetables, legumes, olive oil, nuts and fish and avoiding red and processed meat, refined grains, sweets, caloric drinks, juices, convenience food and sauces might reduce CRC risk. 80

- **KEYWORDS:** "Colonic Neoplasms"; "Rectal Neoplasms"; "prevention and control";
- "Principal Component Analysis"; "Dietary Patterns"; "Diet"; "Diet, Western"; "Diet,
- 83 Mediterranean".

- **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** This work was supported by Carlos III Institute of Health
- 85 grants (PI12/00488, PI12/00265, PI12/00715, PI12/01270, PI11/01403, PI11/01889,
- 86 PI11/00226, PI11/01810, PI11/02213, PI09/00773, PI09/01286, PI09/01903, PI09/02078,
- 87 PI09/01662, PI08/1770, PI08/0533, PI08/1359), Spanish Ministry of Economy and
- 88 Competitiveness (IJCI-2014-20900), Consejería de Salud de la Junta de Andalucía (PI-0306-
- 89 2011; PI-0571-2009); Catalan Government DURSIgrant (2014SGR647);Instituto de Salud
- 90 Carlos III, co-funded by FEDER funds –a way to build Europe– PI14-00613; Fundación
- 91 Marqués de Valdecilla (API 10/09); Acción Transversal del Cancer, approved by the Spanish
- 92 Ministry Council on October 11, 2007; Red Temática de Investigación del Cáncer del ISCIII
- 93 (RD12/0036/0036); Junta de Castilla y León (LE22A10-2); Consejería de Salud de la Junta
- de Andalucía (2009-S0143); Conselleria de Sanitat de la Generalitat Valenciana
- 95 (AP_061/10); Recercaixa (2010ACUP 00310); Regional Government of the Basque Country;
- 96 Consejería de Sanidad de la Región de Murcia; European Commission grants (FOOD-CT-
- 97 2006-036224-HIWATE); Spanish Association Against Cancer Scientific Foundation;
- 98 Fundación Caja de Ahorros de Asturias; University of Oviedo.
- 99 None of the sponsors intervened in any of the stages of the research.

INTRODUCTION

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

The incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC) has increased in Europe in the last decades [1], being the second most diagnosed cancer in 2012 [2]. According to the scientific evidence, 40-50% of CRC cases are attributable to modifiable risk factors such as diet, physical activity and body weight [3,4], providing major opportunities for prevention. The current evidence, points to a possible protective effect of foods containing dietary fiber and calcium against CRC [5,6] and a detrimental effect of red and processed meat [6,7] and alcohol consumption [6,8,9].

Despite the importance of these findings for individual foods, some authors suggest that the evaluation of the effects of full dietary patterns might be more appropriate, since it allows the exploration of the effect of food and nutrient interactions in disease [10-12]. Many indexes have been developed in the last years that evaluate dietary quality against predefined criteria [13,14] and a recent metaanalysis found an inverse association between a high score for these indexes and cancer mortality and/or incidence [15]. However, these indexes are based on results in the area of cardiovascular disease and they refer to a theoretical diet that do not necessarily reflect the eating habits of a particular population. Moreover, moderate alcohol intake is positively considered in most of these indexes although alcohol consumption as low as one drink per day increases the risk of several tumors, including colorectal cancer [6]. In fact, some authors suggested that the lack of concordance of the results found for diet quality indexes and cancer might be due to their positive scoring for alcohol consumption [16]. As an alternative approach, dietary patterns that accurately represent the diet in a specific population can be identified with statistical methods like principal component analysis. These patterns also present the advantage of being extracted independently of disease associations, which allows exploration of the role of actual dietary habits in different health conditions. The scarce existing results for data-driven dietary patterns and CRC, indicate a possible protective effect of the so called Mediterranean/Healthy/Prudent dietary pattern [17-22] on CRC and a harmful effect of a pattern labelled as Western/Unhealthy diet [17-23], but the evidence is still insufficient [6].

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

A recent Spanish study on female breast cancer (BC) –EpiGEICAM- identified three data-driven dietary patterns [24] labelled as Western (associated with increased risk of BC), Prudent (not associated with BC) and Mediterranean (protective against BC). EpiGEICAM presents the novelty of being able to identify, over the same population, two different patterns (Prudent and Mediterranean) commonly interchanged in the literature [9,18,20,21,23,25]. However, these patterns do not always represent the same dietary habits and the differences

might be determinant in their association with disease risk, as it was the case for BC in the EpiGEICAM context [25]. Therefore, the replication of these patterns in different populations and the exploration of their association with tumors other than BC are of great scientific interest. In fact, the reproducibility of the patterns found has already been assessed in a different sample of Spanish women [26] and similar associations have been observed for other tumours and individuals. The detrimental effect of a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern has been corroborated for breast cancer [27] and also observed for gastric [28] cancer. These studies also show different results for the Prudent (null effect) and Mediterranean (protective) patterns in the case of breast [27], prostate [29] and gastric cancer [28].

The objective of the present study is to assess if the associations found between these dietary patterns -Western, Prudent and Mediterranean- with breast [24,27], prostate [29] and gastric cancer [28] risk in our country are also observed for CRC risk, and to evaluate possible differences by sex and cancer site.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The multicase-control MCC-Spain study [30] recruited between 2008 and 2013 histologically confirmed incident cases of five tumors: breast, prostate, colorectal, stomach and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cases were recruited in 23 hospitals from 12 provinces and a single set of population controls, frequency matched by age and sex with the overall distribution of cases in each province, were randomly selected from the lists of residents assigned to primary health-care centers belonging to the same catchment area of each collaborating hospital. MCC-Spain recruited 2140 histologically confirmed CRC cases and 3950 population-based controls from 11 of the 12 contributing provinces. These numbers, represented the 64% of the CRC cases and the 53% of controls invited to participate (supplementary material, figure S1). Potential participants had to be able to answer the questionnaire, had tolive in the study area for

at least 6 months before the diagnosis (cases) or at recruitment (controls) and had to be 20-85 years old. Cases were identified as soon as possible after the diagnosis, and histologically confirmed incident cases of colon (ICD10 codes C18: malignant neoplasm of colon and D01.0:Carcinoma in situ of colon) or rectum (ICD10 codes C19: Malignant neoplasm of rectosigmoid junction; C20: Malignant neoplasm of rectum; D01.1: Carcinoma in situ of rectosigmoid junction and D01.2: Carcinoma in situ of rectum) cancer with no prior history of the disease and diagnosed within the recruitment period were included. They were classified according to the localization of tumor in proximal colon (including caecum, ascending & transverse colon and hepatic and splenic flexures), distal colon (including descending and sigmoid colon) and rectal cancer. When more than one tumor in different locations were diagnosed at the same time, the site in which the tumor was more invasive was assigned.

Information on socio-demographic factors, lifestyle and personal/family medical history was collected with a questionnaire administered by trained personnel in a face-to-face interview. Subsequent telephone contact was made to complete missing values on key variables. Height and weight at different ages were self-reported and diet was assessed with a 154-items semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), which was based on an instrument validated in Spain [31]. Dietary information referred to the previous year before diagnosis (cases) or before interview (controls).

In the present study, three dietary patterns identified in a previous Spanish case-control study (EpiGEICAM) on female breast cancer (BC) [24] are examined: A Western dietary pattern positively associated with BC risk that is characterized by high intakes of high-fat dairy products, processed meat, refined grains, sweets, caloric drinks, convenience food and sauces and by low intakes of low-fat dairy products and whole grains; A Prudent pattern not related to BC that represented high intakes of low-fat dairy products, vegetables, fruits, whole grains and juices; and a Mediterranean pattern that seemed to be protective and denoted a high intake of

fish, vegetables, legumes, boiled potatoes, fruits, olives and vegetable oil - mainly olive oil (72%), and olives (22%) in our context-, and a low intake of juices. These three dietary patterns were identified in the EpiGEICAM sample in 2014 by grouping the dietary intake information collected with a 117 FFQ into 26 inter-correlated food groups and applying principal components analysis (PCA) without rotation of the variance-covariance matrix over these 26 food groups [32]. This method defines a set of weights (pattern loadings) associated with each food group that represents the correlation between food consumption and the component/pattern scores. Pattern loadings can be used to reproduce such patterns in other samples as explained in detail elsewhere [25,26]. Briefly, we grouped 146 of the 154 items of the MCC-Spain FFQ (excluding non-caloric and alcoholic beverages) into 26 food groups defined in the EpiGEICAM study (see Table 1 for detailed information on the composition of food groups and their weight in the patterns). Afterwards, the scores of adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns of the MCC-Spain participants were calculated as a linear combination of the pattern loadings for each food group and dietary pattern extracted from the EpiGEICAM study [24] (Table 1) and the food group consumption reported by the MCC-Spain participants.

After describing the data, crude and adjusted associations between adherence to each dietary pattern and CRC risk were evaluated using logistic regression models with random province-specific intercepts. As fixed-effects terms, caloric and alcohol intake, self-reported body mass index (BMI) and physical activity (metabolic equivalents (METs)) during the 10 years before diagnosis (cases) / interview (controls), age, smoking status, education, family history of CRC and sex were considered as potential confounders. Scores of adherence were analyzed both, as categorical (grouping the scores of adherence into quartiles of their distribution among controls) and continuous variables (1-standard deviation increase taking into account the dispersion among controls). Heterogeneity of the effects by sex was tested

including in the models an interaction term between the score of adherence and sex. Multinomial logistic regression models were used to evaluate the association of the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns with proximal colon, distal colon and rectal cancer separately. These models were adjusted by the same set of variables described before but including province of residence as a fixed effect term.

Finally, assuming a causal relationship between the adherence to each of the patterns and CRC risk for all analyses, the population attributable fraction (PAF%) was calculated using Hanley's J.A. formula [33] to estimate the proportion of total cancer in the population that hypothetically would not have occurred if all participants were in the optimal quartile of adherence to the dietary patterns (first quartile for Western and fourth quartile for Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns). Confidence intervals for PAF were computed using bootstrap with 500 iterations.

Analyses were performed using STATA/MP (version 14.1, 2015, StataCorp LP) and statistical significance was set at 2-sided p <0.05.

RESULTS

Among the initially recruited participants, 3509 (89%) controls and 1889 (88%) cases reported data on diet. Cases that provided dietary information later than 6 months after diagnosis were excluded (n=260). Therefore, 1629 cases and 3509 controls aged 22 to 85 years were included in the study (supplementary figure S1). The multivariable analyses were carried out over 1530 cases and 3240 controls because data on either BMI (<5%), physical activity (<1%), smoking status (<1%), total energy (<2%) or alcohol intake (<2%) was missing for 99 cases and 269 controls.

Compared to controls, CRC cases were more adherent to the Western (p<0.001) and Mediterranean (p=0.015) dietary patterns and reported higher energy (p<0.001) and alcohol

(p=0.001) intake. CRC cases were also older (p<0.001) and reported higher BMI (p<0.001) and lower levels of physical activity (p<0.001). The proportion of former smokers (p<0.001), males (p<0.001), participants with no formal education (p<0.001) or with family history of CRC (p<0.001) was also higher among cases (**Table 2**).

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

Results from **Table 3** revealed a positive association between a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern and global CRC (OR_{fourth(O4)vs.first(O1)quartile} (95%CI):1.50(1.20;1.87)) risk that was similar among males and females (p-interaction=0.615). Once the difference in calorie intake was taken into account, a high adherence to the Mediterranean pattern appeared to be protective (OR_{O4vsO1} (95%CI):0.65(0.53;0.80)), with this effect slightly stronger among females (OR_{O4vsO1} (95%CI):0.56(0.40;0.77)) than among males (OR_{O4vsO1} (95%CI):0.71(0.55;0.92)), though the p-value for the heterogeneity of the linear effects was not significant (p-interaction=0.733). Assuming a causal relationship between diet and CRC risk, the estimations indicate that 1/4 and 1/5 of CRC cases could have been prevented if all the participants had been in the lowest category of adherence to the Western and in the highest category of adherence to the Mediterranean dietary patterns respectively.

Stratified results by tumor subtype (**Table 4**) also indicate a detrimental effect of a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern over CRC risk that seems to be confined to distal colon $(OR_{Q4vsQ1}\ (95\%CI):2.02(1.44;2.84))$ and rectal tumors $(OR_{Q4vsQ1}\ (95\%CI):1.46(1.05;2.01);$ pheterogeneity=0.087), while the protective effect of the Mediterranean dietary pattern was similar for all tumor sites (Proximal colon: $OR_{Q4vsQ1}\ (95\%CI):0.70(0.51;0.97);$ Distal Colon: $OR_{Q4vsQ1}\ (95\%CI):0.65(0.48;0.89);$ Rectum: $OR_{Q4vsQ1}\ (95\%CI):0.60\ (0.45;0.81);$ pheterogeneity=0.746). In agreement with these results, it was estimated that more than 1/3 of distal colon and 1/4 of rectum tumors could have been prevented if all the study participants were in the lowest quartile of adherence to the Western dietary pattern and 1/5 for distal colon

and 1/4 for rectum tumors could have been prevented with the highest adherences to Mediterranean dietary pattern.

A high adherence to the prudent pattern did not show an association with CRC risk.

DISCUSSION

The detrimental effect of a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern for breast [24,27] and gastric [28] cancer and the differential effect of a high adherence to the Prudent (null) and to the Mediterranean (protective) dietary patterns over breast [24,27], prostate [29] and gastric cancer [28] identified in the previous studies was also found for CRC in the present work. Concretely, we found that a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern might increase CRC risk in both males and females and that such risk might be confined to distal colon and rectal cancer. Also, a high adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern showed a general protective effect against CRC that was very similar among males and females and for all cancer sites. On the contrary, the adherence to the Prudent dietary pattern was not associated to CRC.

Some recent reviews and metaanalysis [9,19,22], also report a positive association between a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern and CRC risk and a protective effect of a diet rich in fruits, vegetables, legumes and/or fish. The studies published after these reviews, also report a positive association of a high adherence to the Western dietary pattern with global CRC risk [18,20,21,23] and a possible protective effect of a Healthy diet against this tumor [18,20,21]. In agreement with our results, some authors conclude that the effect of the Western and Healthy diet might be stronger for distal colon and rectal cancer [21,22] or indicate stronger effects of the Western diet in distal colon tumors [9]. Only a few of these studies provide information of a possible interaction between diet and sex [18,20,21] and none of them report significant differences.. Similarly, the current evidence for index based dietary patterns point to a detrimental effect of pro-inflammatory diets (similar to our Western pattern)

for CRC risk [34] and a protective effect of diets that share common characteristics with our Mediterranean pattern against this type of tumor [34,35]. One of the most important findings of the present study is the difference in the associations found for Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns. To understand these differences, we explored the associations of CRC risk with individual food groups (supplementary Table S1). We believe that the protective effect of the Mediterranean pattern against the null effect of the Prudent might be greatly explained by the protective effect of oily fish, nuts and olives and olive oil, only present in the Mediterranean pattern, but also by the detrimental effect of juices intake, only included in the Prudent pattern, that might counteract the positive effect of a high consumption of fruits, vegetables and whole grains characteristic of this pattern.

Some biological mechanisms support the associations found. On the one hand, the "Western"-like diet high in fat, refined grains, red and processed meats and sweets has been associated with higher levels of inflammatory markers [36] and with inflammation-related chronic diseases [37]. Moreover, the high content of iron in meat products present in this pattern generates free radicals that attack DNA and damage the tissue [38]. Additionally, processing meat at high temperatures produces carcinogens such as N-nitroso and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [39]. On the other hand, the antioxidants from fruits, vegetables and legumes present in the Mediterranean pattern may reduce risk by quenching free radicals and reducing oxidative damage to DNA [40]. Furthermore, fiber dilutes faecal content, decreases transit time and increases stool weight [41] contributing to a healthier gastrointestinal tract. Different carcinogenic pathways in proximal and distal tumors have been suggested, based on their molecular differences [42]. In this sense, the higher effect of the Western dietary pattern (characterized by a low dietary fiber intake) in distal colon and rectal tumors, might reflect a higher susceptibility to dietary carcinogens due to a less mature phenotype and lower immune activity of dendritic cells involved in immunologic surveillance at this location [43]. Olive oil

intake has also been suggested to inhibit colon cancer development by inducing apoptosis and down-regulating the expression of cyclooxygenase2 and Bcl-2 proteins that have a crucial role in colorectal carcinogenesis [44]. Finally, the gut microbiome seems to play an important role in colorectal carcinogenesis [45], and dietary habits strongly influence it [46]. Turnbaugh et al. [46] recently demonstrated in an animal model that changing from low-fat, plant based diets to high-fat, high-sugar diets can shift the structure of the microbiota, modify the representation of metabolic pathways in the microbiome, and alter microbiome gene expression .

Our results should be interpreted in the context of the study's limitations. Recall bias is always a concern in case-control studies. Anticipating the existence of this bias, some questions about general dietary habits were included in the questionnaire and used to adjust the responses to the FFQ [47]. Additionally, only cases that responded to the questionnaire within the 6 months following their diagnosis were included. On the other hand, the participation rates (64% among CRC cases and 53% among controls) might give rise to some concerns about a possible selection bias. In this sense, participating controls might have healthier lifestyles than the general population, resulting in an overestimation of the effects. However, no effect was found for the prudent pattern that includes consumption of products widely known as "Healthy". Therefore, we believe that this bias, if it exists, would be non-differential. Finally, the biological plausibility of the associations found, their strength, their consistency across sex and tumor site, their consistency with the results from other studies on CRC [9,17-23] and the reproducibility of the results across different studies and tumors [24,27-29], deem it unlikely that our findings are a result of recall or selection bias.

One of the main strengths of the current research is the recruitment of histologically confirmed incident cases of CRC and population controls. Furthermore, the geographical variability of the recruited participants, coming from 11 provinces from the North, South, Center, West and East of the country, ensured the representation of the different diets coexisting

within Spain. Also, the sample size allowed the evaluation of potential interactions of diet and sex and the exploration of the associations by tumor localization. We also carried out a sensitivity analysis to explore all the associations excluding 42 in situ cases and obtained very similar results that led to the same exact conclusions (supplementary material tables S2 and S3). Additionally, as mentioned before, we explored the associations of CRC risk with individual food groups to ensure the associations found for patterns are not only due to the presence in the pattern of one or two foods associated with this tumor (supplementary material table S1). High consumers of high fat dairy products, meats, refined grains and sweets (products characteristic of the Western Pattern) showed higher risk of CRC, while high consumers of oily fish, vegetables, fruits, nuts and olive oil (foods present in the Mediterranean pattern) seemed to be protected against this tumor. Therefore, most of the components of the two patterns associated with CRC were also individually associated with this tumor, making it unlikely that the associations found for the whole dietary patterns are due only to the association of CRC with some individual foods. Finally, the reproducibility [26] and applicability [25] of the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns applied here was previously tested, demonstrating that the scores of adherence to these patterns can be calculated following the exact same rules over different populations, resulting in different levels of adherence but still being valid, which is supported by the similitude of the results found for breast [24,27], prostate [29] and gastric cancer [28] and the present results found for CRC.

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

Our results provide evidence about very specific associations between diet and CRC that could be useful to clinical practitioners and public health professionals to offer nutritional recommendations based on avoiding the Western dietary pattern and promoting the Mediterranean diet. Even though other risk factors are involved in the genesis of these type of tumors, diet is a key risk factor for colorectal cancer. In this sense, if a country like Spain, with a high compliance with the Mediterranean diet and a moderate adherence to the Western diet,

can benefit from abandoning the latter in favor of the former, the benefit might be greater in countries with unhealthier diets.

CONCLUSION

A high consumption of fruits, vegetables and whole grains combined with a low dietary fat intake might not be enough to prevent CRC. A fair percentage of colorectal cancer cases could be reduced in the general population by providing dietary recommendations based in a decrease of the consumption of high-fat dairy products, red and processed meat, refined grains, sweets, caloric drinks, juices, convenience food and sauces in favor of an increase in the intake of whole fruits, vegetables, legumes, olive oil, nuts and fish, especially for distal colon and rectal tumors.

ETHICAL STANDARDS:

367

372

374

The MCC-Spain study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of each the participating institutions and has been performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. All participants were informed about the study objectives and signed an informed consent.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- 375 1. Arnold M, Karim-Kos HE, Coebergh JW, Byrnes G, Antilla A, Ferlay J, Renehan AG, Forman D,
- 376 Soerjomataram I (2015) Recent trends in incidence of five common cancers in 26 European countries since
- 377 1988: Analysis of the European Cancer Observatory. European journal of cancer 51 (9):1164-1187.
- 378 doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2013.09.002
- 379 2. Stewart B, Wild C, Editors (2014) (2014) World Cancer Report 2014. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- 381 3. WCRF (2015) Cancer preventability estimates for diet, nutrition, body fatness, and physical activity.
- http://www.wcrf.org/int/cancer-facts-figures/preventability-estimates/cancer-preventability-estimates-diet-nutrition. Accessed 26/10/2016 2016
- Whiteman DC, Webb PM, Green AC, Neale RE, Fritschi L, Bain CJ, Parkin DM, Wilson LF, Olsen
- 385 CM, Nagle CM, Pandeya N, Jordan SJ, Antonsson A, Kendall BJ, Hughes MC, Ibiebele TI, Miura K, Peters S,
- Carey RN (2015) Cancers in Australia in 2010 attributable to modifiable factors: summary and conclusions.
- 387 Australian and New Zealand journal of public health 39 (5):477-484. doi:10.1111/1753-6405.12471
- Song M, Garrett WS, Chan AT (2015) Nutrients, foods, and colorectal cancer prevention.
- 389 Gastroenterology 148 (6):1244-1260.e1216. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.12.035
- 390 6. WCRF/AICR (2017) World Cancer Research Fund / American Institute for Cancer Research.
- 391 Continuous Update Project Report. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and colorectal cancer. 2017
- 392 http://www.wcrf.org/sites/default/files/CUP%20Colorectal%20Report_2017_Digital.pdf. Accessed 10th October 2017
- Aune D, Chan DS, Vieira AR, Navarro Rosenblatt DA, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, Norat T
 (2013) Red and processed meat intake and risk of colorectal adenomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
- epidemiological studies. Cancer causes & control: CCC 24 (4):611-627. doi:10.1007/s10552-012-0139-z
 Cai S, Li Y, Ding Y, Chen K, Jin M (2014) Alcohol drinking and the risk of colorectal cancer death:
- 397 8. Cai S, Li Y, Ding Y, Chen K, Jin M (2014) Alcohol drinking and the risk of colorectal cancer death: a meta-analysis. European journal of cancer prevention: the official journal of the European Cancer Prevention
- 399 Organisation (ECP) 23 (6):532-539. doi:10.1097/cej.0000000000000076
- Magalhaes B, Peleteiro B, Lunet N (2012) Dietary patterns and colorectal cancer: systematic review and
 meta-analysis. European journal of cancer prevention: the official journal of the European Cancer Prevention
- 402 Organisation (ECP) 21 (1):15-23. doi:10.1097/CEJ.0b013e3283472241
- 403 10. Barkoukis H (2007) Importance of understanding food consumption patterns. J Am Diet Assoc 107
- 404 (2):234-236
- 405 11. Hu FB (2002) Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. Curr Opin Lipidol
- 406 13 (1):3-9
- 407 12. Jacques PF, Tucker KL (2001) Are dietary patterns useful for understanding the role of diet in chronic
- disease? The American journal of clinical nutrition 73 (1):1-2

- 409 13. Fung TT, McCullough ML, Newby PK, Manson JE, Meigs JB, Rifai N, Willett WC, Hu FB (2005)
- 410 Diet-quality scores and plasma concentrations of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. The
- 411 American journal of clinical nutrition 82 (1):163-173
- 412 14. Liese AD, Krebs-Smith SM, Subar AF, George SM, Harmon BE, Neuhouser ML, Boushey CJ, Schap
- TE, Reedy J (2015) The Dietary Patterns Methods Project: synthesis of findings across cohorts and relevance to
- dietary guidance. The Journal of nutrition 145 (3):393-402. doi:10.3945/jn.114.205336
- 415 15. Schwingshackl L, Bogensberger B, Hoffmann G (2018) Diet Quality as Assessed by the Healthy Eating
- Index, Alternate Healthy Eating Index, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension Score, and Health Outcomes:
- An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and
- 418 Dietetics 118 (1):74-100.e111. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2017.08.024
- 419 16. Giacosa A, Barale R, Bavaresco L, Gatenby P, Gerbi V, Janssens J, Johnston B, Kas K, La Vecchia C,
- 420 Mainguet P, Morazzoni P, Negri E, Pelucchi C, Pezzotti M, Rondanelli M (2013) Cancer prevention in Europe:
- the Mediterranean diet as a protective choice. European journal of cancer prevention: the official journal of the
- 422 European Cancer Prevention Organisation (ECP) 22 (1):90-95. doi:10.1097/CEJ.0b013e328354d2d7
- 423 17. Safari A, Shariff ZM, Kandiah M, Rashidkhani B, Fereidooni F (2013) Dietary patterns and risk of
- 424 colorectal cancer in Tehran Province: a case-control study. BMC public health 13:222. doi:10.1186/1471-2458 425 13-222
- Park Y, Lee J, Oh JH, Shin A, Kim J (2016) Dietary patterns and colorectal cancer risk in a Korean population: A case-control study. Medicine 95 (25):e3759. doi:10.1097/md.0000000000003759
- 428 19. Feng YL, Shu L, Zheng PF, Zhang XY, Si CJ, Yu XL, Gao W, Zhang L (2017) Dietary patterns and
- 429 colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis. European journal of cancer prevention: the official journal of the
- 430 European Cancer Prevention Organisation (ECP) 26 (3):201-211. doi:10.1097/cej.000000000000245
- 431 20. Haslam A, Wagner Robb S, Hebert JR, Huang H, Ebell MH (2017) Association between dietary pattern
- scores and the prevalence of colorectal adenoma considering population subgroups. Nutrition & dietetics: the
- journal of the Dietitians Association of Australia. doi:10.1111/1747-0080.12400
- 434 21. Mehta RS, Song M, Nishihara R, Drew DA, Wu K, Qian ZR, Fung TT, Hamada T, Masugi Y, da Silva
- A, Shi Y, Li W, Gu M, Willett WC, Fuchs CS, Giovannucci EL, Ogino S, Chan AT (2017) Dietary Patterns and
- 436 Risk of Colorectal Cancer: Analysis by Tumor Location and Molecular Subtypes. Gastroenterology 152
- 437 (8):1944-1953.e1941. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.02.015
- 438 22. Randi G, Edefonti V, Ferraroni M, La Vecchia C, Decarli A (2010) Dietary patterns and the risk of
- 439 colorectal cancer and adenomas. Nutrition reviews 68 (7):389-408. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00299.x
- 440 23. Tayyem RF, Bawadi HA, Shehadah I, Agraib LM, AbuMweis SS, Al-Jaberi T, Al-Nusairr M, Bani-
- Hani KE, Heath DD (2017) Dietary patterns and colorectal cancer. Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland) 36
 (3):848-852. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2016.04.029
- 24. Castello A, Pollan M, Buijsse B, Ruiz A, Casas AM, Baena-Canada JM, Lope V, Antolin S, Ramos M,
- Munoz M, Lluch A, de Juan-Ferre A, Jara C, Jimeno MA, Rosado P, Diaz E, Guillem V, Carrasco E, Perez-
- Gomez B, Vioque J, Boeing H, Martin M (2014) Spanish Mediterranean diet and other dietary patterns and
- breast cancer risk: case-control EpiGEICAM study. British journal of cancer 111 (7):9.
- 447 doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.434
- 448 25. Castello A, Buijsse B, Martin M, Ruiz A, Casas AM, Baena-Canada JM, Pastor-Barriuso R, Antolin S,
- Ramos M, Munoz M, Lluch A, de Juan-Ferre A, Jara C, Lope V, Jimeno MA, Arriola-Arellano E, Diaz E,
- 450 Guillem V, Carrasco E, Perez-Gomez B, Vioque J, Pollan M, researchers G (2016) Evaluating the Applicability
- 451 of Data-Driven Dietary Patterns to Independent Samples with a Focus on Measurement Tools for Pattern
- 452 Similarity. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics 116 (12):1914-1924 e1916.
- 453 doi:10.1016/j.jand.2016.05.008
- 454 26. Castello A, Lope V, Vioque J, Santamarina C, Pedraz-Pingarron C, Abad S, Ederra M, Salas-Trejo D,
- Vidal C, Sanchez-Contador C, Aragones N, Perez-Gomez B, Pollan M (2016) Reproducibility of data-driven
- dietary patterns in two groups of adult Spanish women from different studies. The British journal of nutrition
- 457 116 (4):734-742. doi:10.1017/S000711451600252X
- 458 27. Castello A, Boldo E, Perez-Gomez B, Lope V, Altzibar JM, Martin V, Castano-Vinyals G, Guevara M,
- Dierssen-Sotos T, Tardon A, Moreno V, Puig-Vives M, Llorens-Ivorra C, Alguacil J, Gomez-Acebo I, Castilla J,
- 460 Gracia-Lavedan E, Davila-Batista V, Kogevinas M, Aragones N, Amiano P, Pollan M (2017) Adherence to the
- Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: MCC-Spain study. Maturitas 103:8-
- 462 15. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2017.06.020
- 463 28. Castelló A, Fernández de Larrea N, Martin M, Dávila-Batista V, Boldo E, Guevara M, Moreno V,
- 464 Castaño-Vinyals G, Gómez-Acebo I, Fernandez-Tardon G, Peiro R, Olmedo-Requena R, Capelo R, Navarro C,
- Pacho-Valbuena S, Pérez-Gómez B, Kogevinas M, Pollán M, Aragonés N (2017) High Adherence to the
- Western, Prudent and Mediterranean Dietary Patterns and Risk of Gastric Adenocarcinoma. (In Press). Gastric
- 467 Cancer

- 468 29. Castello A, Boldo E, Amiano P, Castano-Vinyals G, Aragones N, Gomez-Acebo I, Peiro R, Jimenez-
- Moleon JJ, Alguacil J, Tardon A, Cecchini L, Lope V, Dierssen-Sotos T, Mengual L, Kogevinas M, Pollan M,
- 470 Perez-Gomez B, researchers M-S (2017) Mediterranean dietary pattern is associated to low risk of aggressive
- prostate cancer: MCC-Spain study. The Journal of urology. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2017.08.087
- 472 30. Castano-Vinyals G, Aragones N, Perez-Gomez B, Martin V, Llorca J, Moreno V, Altzibar JM, Ardanaz
- E, de Sanjose S, Jimenez-Moleon JJ, Tardon A, Alguacil J, Peiro R, Marcos-Gragera R, Navarro C, Pollan M,
- Kogevinas M, Group MC-SS (2015) Population-based multicase-control study in common tumors in Spain
- 475 (MCC-Spain): rationale and study design. Gaceta sanitaria / SESPAS 29 (4):308-315.
- 476 doi:10.1016/j.gaceta.2014.12.003
- 477 31. Garcia-Closas R, Garcia-Closas M, Kogevinas M, Malats N, Silverman D, Serra C, Tardon A, Carrato
- 478 A, Castano-Vinyals G, Dosemeci M, Moore L, Rothman N, Sinha R (2007) Food, nutrient and heterocyclic
- amine intake and the risk of bladder cancer. European journal of cancer 43 (11):1731-1740.
- 480 doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2007.05.007
- 481 32. Burt C (1948) Factor Analysis and canonical correlations. Br J Math Stat Psychol 1 (2):95-106
- 482 33. Hanley JA (2001) A heuristic approach to the formulas for population attributable fraction. Journal of epidemiology and community health 55 (7):508-514
- 484 34. Steck SE, Guinter M, Zheng J, Thomson CA (2015) Index-based dietary patterns and colorectal cancer
- risk: a systematic review. Advances in nutrition (Bethesda, Md) 6 (6):763-773. doi:10.3945/an.115.009746
- 486 35. Schwingshackl L, Schwedhelm C, Galbete C, Hoffmann G (2017) Adherence to Mediterranean Diet
- and Risk of Cancer: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients 9 (10).
- 488 doi:10.3390/nu9101063
- 489 36. Barbaresko J, Koch M, Schulze MB, Nothlings U (2013) Dietary pattern analysis and biomarkers of
- low-grade inflammation: a systematic literature review. Nutrition reviews 71 (8):511-527.
- 491 doi:10.1111/nure.12035
- 492 37. Thorburn AN, Macia L, Mackay CR (2014) Diet, metabolites, and "western-lifestyle" inflammatory diseases. Immunity 40 (6):833-842. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.014
- 494 38. Ashmore JH, Rogers CJ, Kelleher SL, Lesko SM, Hartman TJ (2016) Dietary Iron and Colorectal
- Cancer Risk: A Review of Human Population Studies. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition 56
- **496** (6):1012-1020. doi:10.1080/10408398.2012.749208
- 497 39. Santarelli RL, Pierre F, Corpet DE (2008) Processed meat and colorectal cancer: a review of
- 498 epidemiologic and experimental evidence. Nutrition and cancer 60 (2):131-144.
- 499 doi:10.1080/01635580701684872
- $500 \qquad 40. \qquad \text{Fang YZ, Yang S, Wu G } (2002) \text{ Free radicals, antioxidants, and nutrition. Nutrition (Burbank, Loss)} \\$
- 501 Angeles County, Calif) 18 (10):872-879
- 502 41. Giovannucci E, Willett WC (1994) Dietary factors and risk of colon cancer. Annals of medicine 26 503 (6):443-452
- 504 42. Missiaglia E, Jacobs B, D'Ario G, Di Narzo AF, Soneson C, Budinska E, Popovici V, Vecchione L,
- Gerster S, Yan P, Roth AD, Klingbiel D, Bosman FT, Delorenzi M, Teipar S (2014) Distal and proximal colon
- cancers differ in terms of molecular, pathological, and clinical features. Annals of oncology: official journal of
- the European Society for Medical Oncology 25 (10):1995-2001. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdu275
- 508 43. Bernardo D, Durant L, Mann ER, Bassity E, Montalvillo E, Man R, Vora R, Reddi D, Bayiroglu F,
- Fernandez-Salazar L, English NR, Peake ST, Landy J, Lee GH, Malietzis G, Siaw YH, Murugananthan AU,
- Hendy P, Sanchez-Recio E, Phillips RK, Garrote JA, Scott P, Parkhill J, Paulsen M, Hart AL, Al-Hassi HO,
- Arranz E, Walker AW, Carding SR, Knight SC (2016) Chemokine (C-C Motif) Receptor 2 Mediates Dendritic
- 512 Cell Recruitment to the Human Colon but Is Not Responsible for Differences Observed in Dendritic Cell
- 513 Subsets, Phenotype, and Function Between the Proximal and Distal Colon. Cellular and molecular
- 514 gastroenterology and hepatology 2 (1):22-39 e25. doi:10.1016/j.jcmgh.2015.08.006
- 515 44. Pelucchi C, Bosetti C, Negri E, Lipworth L, La Vecchia C (2011) Olive oil and cancer risk: an update of
- epidemiological findings through 2010. Current pharmaceutical design 17 (8):805-812
- 517 45. Schwabe RF, Jobin C (2013) The microbiome and cancer. Nature reviews Cancer 13 (11):800-812.
- 518 doi:10.1038/nrc3610
- 519 46. Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R, Gordon JI (2009) The effect of diet on the
- human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. Science translational medicine 1 (6):6ra14. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3000322
- 522 47. Calvert C, Cade J, Barrett JH, Woodhouse A (1997) Using cross-check questions to address the
- problem of mis-reporting of specific food groups on Food Frequency Questionnaires. UKWCS Steering Group.
- 524 United Kingdom Women's Cohort Study Steering Group. European journal of clinical nutrition 51 (10):708-712525

Table 1: Composition of food groups based on the food frequency questionnaire of the MCC-Spain study and component loadings for each pattern identified in the EpiGEICAM study²⁵.

FOOD GROUP	FOOD ^a	Westb	Prudb	Medb
HIGH FAT	Whole-fat milk, double cream, condensed	0.60	-0.11	0.20
DAIRY	milk, whole-fat yogurt, semi-cured, cured, or			
	creamy cheese, blue cheese ^c , custard, milk			
-	shake ^c , ice-cream,			
LOW FAT DAIRY	Semi-skimmed and skimmed milk, soy milk ^c ,	-0.49	0.60	-0.01
	skimmed yogurt, curd, cottage or fresh white			
	cheese.			
EGGS	Eggs.	0.19	0.08	0.16
WHITE MEAT	Chicken, rabbit and duck.	0.08	0.17	0.18
RED MEAT	Pork, beef, lamb, liver (beef, pork or	0.27	0.09	0.22
	chicken), entrails, hamburgers (pork or beef)			
	and meatballs (pork or beef) ^c .			
PROCESSED	Sausages, serrano ham ^c and other cold meat,	0.36	0.10	0.26
MEAT	bacon, pâté, foie-gras.			
WHITE FISH	Fresh or frozen white fish (hake, sea bass,	0.01	0.24	0.34
	sea bream), ½ salted fish and ½ smoked			
	fish ^c .			
OILY FISH	Fresh or frozen blue fish (tuna, swordfish,	0.05	0.24	0.44
	sardines, anchovies, salmon), canned fish,			
	¹ / ₂ · salted fish ^c and ¹ / ₂ · smoked fish ^c .			
SEAFOOD/SHELL	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.17	0.27	0.35
FISH	octopus, prawn, crab, shrimp and similar			
LEADY	products.	0.11	0.24	0.40
LEAFY VECETA DI ES	Spinach, chard, lettuce and other leafy	-0.11	0.34	0.40
VEGETABLES	vegetables.	0.00	0.26	0.45
FRUITING	Tomato, eggplant, zucchini, cucumber,	0.00	0.36	0.45
VEGETABLES	pepper, artichoke and avocado ^c .	0.05	0.25	0.44
ROOT	Carrot, pumpkin and radish ^c .	0.05	0.35	0.44
VEGETABLES	Cooked ashbase souliflamer or brosseli	0.04	0.40	0.42
OTHER VECETARIES	Cooked cabbage, cauliflower or broccoli,	-0.04	0.40	0.42
VEGETABLES	onion, green beans, asparagus, mushrooms ^c ,			
	corn, garlic, gazpacho ^c , vegetable soup ^c and other vegetables ^c .			
LEGUMES ^d	Peas ^c , lentils ^c , chickpeas ^c , beans ^c and broad	0.21	0.15	0.34
LEGUMES	beans ^c .	0.21	0.13	0.34
POTATOES	Roasted or boiled potatoes and sweet	0.17	0.25	0.40
TOTATOES	potatoes ^c .	0.17	0.23	0.40
FRUITS	Orange, grapefruit ^c , mandarin, banana,	-0.07	0.31	0.31
INOIID	apple, pear, grapes, kiwi, strawberries ^c ,	-0.07	0.51	0.51
	cherries ^c , peach, figs ^c , melon or watermelon,			
	prunes, mango ^c and papaya ^c and other			
	fresh or dried fruits ^c .			
NUTS	Almonds, peanuts, pine nuts, hazelnut	0.18	0.22	0.29
	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	5.10	J	J/

FOOD ^a	West ^b	Prud ^b	Med ^b
White-flour bread, rice, pasta	0.37	0.15	0.23
Whole-grain bread and breakfast cereals	-0.43	0.47	-0.06
Olives, added olive oil to salads, bread and	0.12	0.19	0.34
dishes, other vegetable oils (sunflower, corn,			
and soybean).			
Margarine, butter and lard ^c .	0.22	0.02	0.11
Chocolate and other sweets, cocoa powder,	0.35	0.18	0.05
plain cookies, chocolate cookies, pastries			
(croissant, donut, cake, pie or similar)			
Jam, honey, sugar and fruit in sugar syrup ^c .	0.24	0.05	0.00
Tomato juice ^c , freshly squeezed orange	0.25	0.67	-0.39
juice, juice (other than freshly squeezed)			
Sugar-sweetened soft drinks and nut milk ^c .	0.74	0.21	-0.25
Croquette, fish sticks, dumplings ^c , kebab ^c ,	0.47	0.12	0.24
fried potatoes, crisps, pizza, instant soup ^c ,			
mayonnaise, tomato sauce, hot sauce ^c ,			
ketchup and other sauces ^c .			
	Whole-grain bread and breakfast cereals Olives, added olive oil to salads, bread and dishes, other vegetable oils (sunflower, corn, and soybean). Margarine, butter and lard ^c . Chocolate and other sweets, cocoa powder, plain cookies, chocolate cookies, pastries (croissant, donut, cake, pie or similar) Jam, honey, sugar and fruit in sugar syrup ^c . Tomato juice ^c , freshly squeezed orange juice, juice (other than freshly squeezed) Sugar-sweetened soft drinks and nut milk ^c . Croquette, fish sticks, dumplings ^c , kebab ^c , fried potatoes, crisps, pizza, instant soup ^c , mayonnaise, tomato sauce, hot sauce ^c ,	Whole-grain bread and breakfast cereals Olives, added olive oil to salads, bread and dishes, other vegetable oils (sunflower, corn, and soybean). Margarine, butter and lard ^c . Chocolate and other sweets, cocoa powder, plain cookies, chocolate cookies, pastries (croissant, donut, cake, pie or similar) Jam, honey, sugar and fruit in sugar syrup ^c . Tomato juice ^c , freshly squeezed orange juice, juice (other than freshly squeezed) Sugar-sweetened soft drinks and nut milk ^c . Croquette, fish sticks, dumplings ^c , kebab ^c , fried potatoes, crisps, pizza, instant soup ^c , mayonnaise, tomato sauce, hot sauce ^c ,	White-flour bread, rice, pasta 0.37 0.15 Whole-grain bread and breakfast cereals Olives, added olive oil to salads, bread and dishes, other vegetable oils (sunflower, corn, and soybean). Margarine, butter and lard ^c . 0.22 0.02 Chocolate and other sweets, cocoa powder, plain cookies, chocolate cookies, pastries (croissant, donut, cake, pie or similar) Jam, honey, sugar and fruit in sugar syrup ^c . 1. 0.24 0.05 Tomato juice ^c , freshly squeezed orange juice, juice (other than freshly squeezed) Sugar-sweetened soft drinks and nut milk ^c . 1. 0.47 0.12 Croquette, fish sticks, dumplings ^c , kebab ^c , fried potatoes, crisps, pizza, instant soup ^c , mayonnaise, tomato sauce, hot sauce ^c ,

^aLog-transformed centered intake in grams.
^bWest: Western; Prud: Prudent; Med: Mediterranean

^c in bold items that are included in the FFQ from MCC-Spain study that were not included in EpiGEICAM.

^d FFQ questionnaire from EpiGEICAM only included a single general question on legumes intake whereas MCC-Spain included more detailed information on the type of legumes.

537

538539

540

541

^a The pairwise Pearson correlations for the level of adherence to the three identified dietary patterns were 0.329 for the Western and Prudent, 0.231 for the Western and Mediterranean and 0.485 for the Prudent and Mediterranean.

^b BMI: Body mass index; CRC: Colorectal cancer; METS: Metabolic equivalent.

^c Percentages might not add up 100 because of rounding.

Table 3. Association between colorectal cancer incidence and the scores of adherence to Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns and attributable fractions for all participants and by sex.

attributable fractions for all participants and by sex.												
			ALL				MALE		FEMALE			
			n=4770				n=2688					
		Co/Ca ^a	ORb (95%CI)	Co/Ca ^a	aOR ^c (95%CI)	Co/Ca ^a	aOR ^c (95%CI)	Co/Ca ^a	aOR ^c (95%CI)	p-int		
WESTERN												
Quartiles	Q1	877/322	1	772/292	1	335/160	1	437/132	1			
	Q2	878/409	1.27 (1.06;1.51)	824/390	1.29 (1.06;1.57)	405/227	1.16 (0.89;1.52)	419/163	1.46 (1.10;1.94)			
	Q3			831/401	1.43 (1.17;1.75)	449/260	1.33 (1.02;1.73)	382/141	1.56 (1.16;2.10)			
	Q4	877/475	1.47 (1.23;1.75)	813/447	1.50 (1.20;1.87)	511/341	1.45 (1.11;1.91)	302/106	1.50 (1.07;2.09)			
p-trend			< 0.001		< 0.001		0.004		0.009			
1SD-increase			1.16 (1.09;1.24)		1.19 (1.10;1.30)		1.21 (1.09;1.34)		1.17 (1.04;1.31)	0.615		
PAF ^d %					24% (12%;36%)		21% (5%;37%)		18% (3%;33%)			
PRUDENT												
Quartiles	Q1	878/440	1	783/398	1	485/292	1	298/106	1			
_	Q2		0.83 (0.70;0.98)	811/362	0.87 (0.72;1.05)	430/235	0.84 (0.66;1.05)	381/127	0.95 (0.69;1.31)			
	Q3	877/403	0.89 (0.75;1.05)	827/389	1.00 (0.83;1.21)	412/241	0.94 (0.74;1.19)	415/148	1.13 (0.83;1.54)			
	Q4	878/402	0.88 (0.74;1.04)	819/381	0.94 (0.76;1.15)	373/220	0.88 (0.68;1.13)	446/161	1.05 (0.77;1.44)			
p-trend			0.242		0.875		0.475		0.515			
1SD ^a -increase			0.96 (0.90;1.02)		0.97 (0.90;1.05)		0.95 (0.86;1.04)		1.02 (0.90;1.15)	0.330		
PAF ^d %					2% (-12%;15%)		4% (-12%;21%)		3% (-12%;19%)			
MEDITERRANEA	N											
Quartiles	Q1	878/394	1	796/359	1	398/206	1	398/153	1			
	Q2	877/412	0.98 (0.83;1.17)	821/386	0.91 (0.75;1.10)	390/236	0.99 (0.77;1.27)	431/150	0.83 (0.63;1.10)			
	_		0.80 (0.67;0.96)		0.72 (0.59;0.87)				0.74 (0.55;0.99)			
	_			808/428	0.65 (0.53;0.80)		` '		0.56 (0.40;0.77)			
p-trend			0.073		0.000		0.001		0.000			
1SD ^a -increase			0.98 (0.92;1.05)		0.87 (0.80;0.94)		0.88 (0.79;0.96)		0.85 (0.76;0.96)	0.733		
PAF ^d %					20% (8%;33%)		15% (2%;29%)		18% (3%;33%)			

- ^a Co: Controls; Ca: Cases; SD: Standard deviation.
- b Crude odds ratio of colorectal cancer associated with the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns
- ^cOdds ratio of colorectal cancer associated with the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns adjusted by sex, age,
- education, BMI, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, caloric intake and alcohol intake as fixed effects and
- province of residence as a random effect.

- 550 ^c Same as ^b including an interaction term with sex.
- ^d PAF= Population attributable fraction. Proportion of colorectal cancer cases that could be prevented if all participants were in the most
- beneficial category of adherence to each pattern (Q1 for Western and Q4 for Prudent and Mediterranean)

$$PAF = \frac{PF_{Q1} \cdot \left(OR_{Q1} - 1\right) + PF_{Q2} \cdot \left(OR_{Q2} - 1\right) + PF_{Q3} \cdot \left(OR_{Q3} - 1\right) + PF_{Q4} \cdot \left(OR_{Q4} - 1\right)}{1 + \left[PF_{Q1} \cdot \left(OR_{Q1} - 1\right) + PF_{Q2} \cdot \left(OR_{Q2} - 1\right) + PF_{Q3} \cdot \left(OR_{Q3} - 1\right) + PF_{Q4} \cdot \left(OR_{Q4} - 1\right)\right]} \bullet 100$$

PF=Proportion of population in the specific exposure category

OR= Odds ratio for the especific exposure category

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Figure S1. Flow of colorectal cancer cases and controls through the MCC-Spain study stages. CRC, Colorectal cancer. CRC cases Controls n=7734 n=3603 Potentially eligible Potentially eligible n=3636 n=251 Not meeting selection criteria: Not meeting selection criteria: 5 younger than 20/older than 85 years 44 younger than 20 or older than 85 years 4 resident outside the study area 78 unable to answer the questionnaire 4 unable to answer the questionnaire 47 not confirmed by pathological report 4 history of the matching cancer 49 not incident cases Refused to participate: 1025 33 resident outside the study area or since < 6 months Contact not attained: 2594 n=3352 n=4098 Eligible cases Eligible controls n=148 1212 refused to participate 82 from areas not recruiting CRC cases 19 with personal history of CRC 47 not age-sex-region matched cases n=2140 n=3950 Included CRC controls Included cases n=511 251 did not report dietary data 441 did not report dietary data 260 Diet information>=6 months since diagnosis n=1629 n=3509 Cases included in the CRC controls included present work in the present work

Table S1: Odds Ratio of colorectal cancer associated to quartiles of consumption of 26 food groups not adjusting and adjusting by the consumption of the rest of the foods.

	2nd Quartile	3rd Quartile	4th Quartile		2nd Quartile	3rd Quartile	4th Quartile	
	OR ^a (95%CI)	OR ^a (95%CI)	OR ^a (95%CI)	p for trenda	OR ^b (95%CI)	OR ^b (95%CI)	OR ^b (95%CI)	p for trendb
High fat dairy ^c	1.13(0.93;1.37)	1.21(1.00;1.48)	1.39(1.15;1.70)	0.001	1.08(0.88;1.32)	1.11(0.89;1.37)	1.19(0.94;1.50)	0.169
Low fatdairy ^c	0.81(0.68;0.98)	0.86(0.72;1.04)	0.70(0.58;0.85)	0.001	0.88(0.72;1.08)	0.99(0.8;1.23)	0.86(0.68;1.08)	0.394
Eggs ^d		1.13(0.95;1.34)	1.46(1.18;1.82)	0.003		1.00(0.84;1.20)	1.22(0.96;1.53)	0.261
White meat ^c	1.02(0.83;1.25)	1.12(0.93;1.36)	1.30(1.08;1.57)	0.003	0.98(0.79;1.21)	1.06(0.87;1.30)	1.30(1.06;1.59)	0.005
Red meat ^c	1.15(0.94;1.40)	1.53(1.26;1.87)	1.65(1.35;2.03)	0.000	1.11(0.90;1.37)	1.42(1.15;1.75)	1.39(1.12;1.74)	0.001
Processed meat ^c	1.14(0.94;1.40)	1.10(0.90;1.35)	1.58(1.28;1.94)	0.000	1.12(0.91;1.39)	1.02(0.82;1.27)	1.49(1.19;1.86)	0.001
White fish ^c	1.07(0.88;1.29)	1.10(0.91;1.32)	1.14(0.94;1.38)	0.173	1.07(0.88;1.32)	1.15(0.94;1.41)	1.32(1.07;1.63)	0.009
Oily fish ^c	0.96(0.80;1.15)	0.81(0.67;0.97)	0.78(0.65;0.95)	0.003	1.01(0.83;1.22)	0.84(0.69;1.03)	0.79(0.64;0.98)	0.014
Seafood ^c	1.01(0.84;1.22)	0.90(0.74;1.09)	0.86(0.71;1.05)	0.076	0.98(0.81;1.19)	0.87(0.71;1.06)	0.83(0.67;1.03)	0.049
Leafy vegetables ^c	0.90(0.75;1.08)	0.73(0.60;0.88)	0.56(0.46;0.68)	0.000	1.01(0.82;1.23)	0.90(0.73;1.12)	0.75(0.59;0.96)	0.017
Fruiting Vegetables ^c	0.77(0.64;0.92)	0.62(0.51;0.75)	0.59(0.48;0.71)	0.000	0.80(0.66;0.98)	0.73(0.59;0.90)	0.86(0.68;1.09)	0.155
Root Vegetables ^c	0.86(0.72;1.03)	0.75(0.62;0.90)	0.61(0.50;0.74)	0.000	0.90(0.74;1.08)	0.83(0.68;1.01)	0.76(0.61;0.95)	0.014
Other Vegetables ^c	0.92(0.77;1.10)	0.70(0.58;0.84)	0.64(0.53;0.78)	0.000	1.00(0.82;1.23)	0.82(0.66;1.02)	0.87(0.68;1.10)	0.081
Legumes ^d		0.87(0.73;1.03)	0.94(0.79;1.11)	0.375	•	0.91(0.76;1.08)	0.99(0.82;1.19)	0.833
Potatoes ^c	1.27(1.03;1.56)	1.61(1.32;1.97)	1.64(1.34;2.01)	0.000	1.29(1.04;1.60)	1.61(1.30;1.98)	1.65(1.33;2.04)	0.000
Fruits ^c	0.93(0.77;1.13)	0.85(0.70;1.03)	0.64(0.52;0.78)	0.000	1.03(0.85;1.26)	0.99(0.8;1.22)	0.80(0.64;1.00)	0.042
Nuts ^d		0.94(0.81;1.09)	0.73(0.60;0.89)	0.008	•	1.00(0.85;1.18)	0.90(0.73;1.10)	0.528
Olives and Vegetable Oilc	0.87(0.69;1.08)	0.86(0.73;1.02)	0.79(0.65;0.96)	0.019	0.90(0.72;1.14)	0.92(0.77;1.10)	0.90(0.73;1.12)	0.259
Other Edible Fats ^c		0.96(0.79;1.18)	1.16(0.98;1.36)	0.157	•	0.90(0.72;1.11)	1.02(0.86;1.22)	0.981
Refined Grains ^c	1.34(1.09;1.63)	1.37(1.12;1.68)	1.42(1.13;1.78)	0.004	1.20(0.96;1.49)	1.17(0.93;1.47)	1.24(0.96;1.6)	0.245
Whole grains ^d		0.79(0.66;0.94)	0.69(0.58;0.81)	0.000	•	0.82(0.68;0.99)	0.85(0.70;1.03)	0.018
Sweets ^c	1.16(0.95;1.40)	1.32(1.09;1.59)	1.29(1.05;1.58)	0.007	1.14(0.93;1.40)	1.27(1.03;1.55)	1.23(0.98;1.54)	0.092
Sugary ^c	1.25(1.03;1.53)	1.22(1.02;1.47)	1.44(1.19;1.75)	0.000	1.17(0.95;1.44)	1.10(0.90;1.34)	1.30(1.06;1.60)	0.027
Juices ^d		1.26(1.07;1.48)	1.39(1.18;1.64)	0.000		1.33(1.12;1.58)	1.58(1.32;1.88)	0.000
Caloric Drinks ^d		0.83(0.70;0.98)	0.98(0.83;1.15)	0.520		0.78(0.65;0.93)	0.84(0.70;1.00)	0.031
Convenience Food ^c	0.98(0.81;1.18)	1.11(0.92;1.34)	1.10(0.90;1.34)	0.212	0.87(0.72;1.07)	0.93(0.76;1.15)	0.84(0.67;1.05)	0.170

^a Adjusted by sex, age, education, BMI, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, caloric intake and alcohol intake as fixed effects and province of residence as a random effect.

^b Adjusted by sex, age, education, BMI, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, caloric intake, alcohol intake and food group intake as fixed effects and province of residence as a random effect.

^c Reference intake is first quartile.

^d Reference intake is first + second quartile due to the more uniform distribution of data.

Table S2: Association between colorectal cancer incidence and the scores of adherence to Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns excluding in situ cases.

		ALL		MALE		FEMALE	
		n=4729		n=2662		n=2067	
	Co/Ca	OR(95%CI)	Co/Ca	OR(95%CI)	Co/Ca	OR(95%CI)	p-het
WESTERN							
Q1	772/285	1	335/157	1	437/128	1	
Q2	824/375	1.26 (1.03;1.54)	405/221	1.14 (0.87;1.49)	419/154	1.42 (1.06;1.89)	
Q3	831/394	1.43 (1.17;1.76)	449/255	1.31 (1.00;1.71)	382/139	1.59 (1.18;2.15)	
Q4	813/435	1.48 (1.18;1.85)	511/329	1.40 (1.06;1.84)	302/106	1.54 (1.10;2.15)	
p-trend		<0.001		0.010		0.005	
1SD-increase		1.19 (1.09;1.29)		1.19 (1.08;1.32)		1.18 (1.05;1.33)	0.865
PRUDENT							
Q1	783/390	1	485/286	1	298/104	1	
Q2	812/351	0.85 (0.70;1.02)	431/229	0.82 (0.64;1.03)	381/122	0.92 (0.67;1.27)	
Q3	826/378	0.98 (0.81;1.19)	411/234	0.92 (0.72;1.17)	415/144	1.10 (0.81;1.51)	
Q4	819/370	0.90 (0.73;1.12)	373/213	0.84 (0.65;1.09)	446/157	1.02 (0.74;1.41)	
p-trend		0.659		0.322		0.606	
1SD-increase		0.96 (0.89;1.05)		0.94 (0.86;1.04)		1.01 (0.89;1.15)	0.347
MEDITERRANEAN							
Q1	796/346	1	398/198	1	398/148	1	
Q2	821/375	0.91 (0.75;1.11)	390/227	0.98 (0.76;1.27)	431/148	0.84 (0.63;1.12)	
Q3	816/348	0.71 (0.58;0.87)	425/215	0.71 (0.55;0.93)	391/133	0.72 (0.54;0.97)	
Q4	807/420	0.65 (0.52;0.80)	487/322	0.71 (0.55;0.92)	320/98	0.55 (0.40;0.76)	
p-trend		<0.001		0.002		<0.001	
1SD-increase		0.87 (0.80;0.94)		0.87 (0.79;0.96)		0.85 (0.75;0.96)	0.725

Table S3. Adjusted odds ratios for the association between proximal colon, distal colon and rectal cancer incidence and scores of adherence to Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet excluding in situ cases.

			Proximal		Distal		Rectal	
			n=447		n=487		n=546	
	Co	Ca	OR(95%CI)	Ca	OR(95%CI)	Ca	OR(95%CI)	p-het
WESTERN								
Q1	772	105	1	82	1	96	1	
Q2	824	110	1.01 (0.75;1.37)	133	1.61 (1.19;2.19)	131	1.26 (0.94;1.70)	
Q3	831	109	1.09 (0.80;1.49)	125	1.66 (1.21;2.28)	157	1.62 (1.20;2.18)	
Q4	813	123	1.16 (0.83;1.63)	147	1.99 (1.41;2.80)	162	1.44 (1.04;2.00)	
p-trend			0.325		<0.001		0.013	
1SD-increase			1.07 (0.94;1.22)		1.28 (1.13;1.45)		1.22 (1.08;1.38)	0.085
PRUDENT								
Q1	783	111	1	129	1	149	1	
Q2	812	110	0.91 (0.68;1.22)	118	0.86 (0.65;1.15)	121	0.77 (0.58;1.01)	
Q3	826	115	1.02 (0.75;1.37)	114	0.91 (0.68;1.22)	146	0.99 (0.75;1.30)	
Q4	819	111	0.91 (0.66;1.27)	126	1.01 (0.74;1.38)	130	0.80 (0.59;1.08)	
p-trend			0.777		0.899		0.409	
1SD-increase			0.99 (0.87;1.12)		0.98 (0.87;1.11)		0.92 (0.83;1.03)	0.613
MEDITERRANEAN								
Q1	796	97	1	115	1	132	1	
Q2	821	111	0.93 (0.69;1.26)	128	0.96 (0.72;1.27)	130	0.84 (0.64;1.11)	
Q3	816	106	0.75 (0.55;1.03)	113	0.73 (0.54;0.98)	129	0.67 (0.51;0.90)	
Q4	807	133	0.71 (0.51;0.99)	131	0.66 (0.48;0.91)	155	0.58 (0.43;0.79)	
p-trend			0.019		0.003		<0.001	
1SD-increase			0.89 (0.79;1.01)		0.88 (0.78;0.99)		0.83 (0.74;0.93)	0.596

Table 4. Adjusted odds ratios for the association between proximal colon, distal colon and rectal cancer incidence and scores of adherence to Western, Prudent and Mediterranean

dietary patterns.

dietary patterns.			Proximal Colon		Distal Colon		Rectum	
			n=457		n=503		n=560	
	Со	Ca	aOR ^b (95%CI)	Ca	aOR ^b (95%CI)	Ca	aOR ^b (95%CI)	p-het
WESTERN								
Quartiles								
Q1	772	108	1	84	1	98	1	
Q2	824	111	1.00 (0.75;1.35)	141	1.70 (1.26;2.29)	137	1.30 (0.97;1.74)	
Q3	831	110	1.07 (0.79;1.46)	128	1.67 (1.22;2.29)	159	1.60 (1.19;2.15)	
Q4	813	128	1.19 (0.85;1.66)	150	2.02 (1.44;2.84)	166	1.46 (1.05;2.01)	
p-trend			0.275		<0.001		0.013	
1SD-increase			1.07 (0.95;1.22)		1.28 (1.13;1.45)		1.23 (1.09;1.38)	0.087
PAF°%			7% (-12%;25%)		40% (21%;60%)		27% (11%;44%)	
PRUDENT								
Quartiles								
Q1	783	114	1	132	1	151	1	
Q2	811	113	0.92 (0.69;1.24)	123	0.91 (0.69;1.19)	124	0.79 (0.60;1.03)	
Q3	827	117	1.01 (0.75;1.37)	118	0.94 (0.71;1.26)	151	1.02 (0.78;1.33)	
Q4	819	113	0.92 (0.67;1.28)	130	1.06 (0.78;1.44)	134	0.83 (0.62;1.12)	
p-trend			0.798		0.680		0.545	
1SD-increase			0.98 (0.87;1.11)		1.00 (0.88;1.12)		0.94 (0.84;1.05)	0.686
PAF°%			4% (-15%;24%)		-8% (-28%;12%)		9% (-9%;28%)	
MEDITERRANEAN								
Quartiles								
Q1	796	100	1	124	1	133	1	
Q2	821	113	0.92 (0.68;1.24)	131	0.92 (0.70;1.22)	136	0.87 (0.66;1.15)	
Q3	815	109	0.75 (0.55;1.03)	115	0.71 (0.53;0.95)	133	0.70 (0.53;0.93)	
Q4	808	135	0.70 (0.51;0.97)	133	0.65 (0.48;0.89)	158	0.60 (0.45;0.81)	
p-trend			0.017		0.002		<0.001	
1SD-increase			0.89 (0.78;1.00)		0.88 (0.78;0.99)		0.84 (0.75;0.94)	0.746
PAF%			16% (-3%;34%)		20% (3%;38%)		24% (9%;38%)	

^a Co: Controls; Ca: Cases; SD: Standard Deviation.

^b Odds ratio of colon and rectal cancer associated to the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet patterns adjusted by sex, age, education, BMI, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, caloric intake and alcohol intake and province of residence as fixed effects.

^c PAF= Population attributable fraction. Proportion of colorectal cancer cases that could be prevented if all participants were in the most beneficial category of adherence to each pattern (Q1 for Western and Q4 for Prudent and Mediterranean)

$$PAF = \frac{PF_{\mathcal{Q}1} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}1} - 1\right) + PF_{\mathcal{Q}2} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}2} - 1\right) + PF_{\mathcal{Q}3} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}3} - 1\right) + PF_{\mathcal{Q}4} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}4} - 1\right)}{1 + \left[PF_{\mathcal{Q}1} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}1} - 1\right) + PF_{\mathcal{Q}2} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}2} - 1\right) + PF_{\mathcal{Q}3} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}3} - 1\right) + PF_{\mathcal{Q}4} \cdot \left(OR_{\mathcal{Q}4} - 1\right)\right]} \bullet 100$$

PF=Proportion of population in the specific exposure category OR= Odds ratio for the especific exposure category