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#### Abstract

We examine $n \times n$ matrices over $Z_{m}$, with 0 's in the diagonal and nonzeros elsewhere. If $m$ is a prime, then such matrices have large rank (i.e., $n^{1 /(p-1)}-O(1)$ ). If $m$ is a non-prime-power integer, then we show that their rank can be much smaller. For $m=6$ we construct a matrix of rank $\exp (c \sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$. We also show, that explicit constructions of such low rank matrices imply explicit constructions of Ramsey graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

In this work we examine matrices over a ring $R$, such that the diagonal elements of the matrix are all 0 's, but the elements off the diagonal are not zero (we shall call these matrices co-diagonal over $R$ ). We define the rank of a matrix over a ring, and show that low rank codiagonal matrices over $Z_{6}$ naturally correspond to graphs with small homogenous vertex sets (i.e., cliques and anti-cliques). Consequently, explicitly constructible low rank co-diagonal matrices over $Z_{6}$ imply explicit Ramsey graph constructions. Our best construction reproduces the logarithmic order of magnitude of the Ramsey-graph of Frankl and Wilson [5], continuing the sequence of results on new explicit Ramsey graph constructions of Alon [1] and Grolmusz [6]. Our present result, analogously to the constructions of [6] and [1], can be generalized to more than one color.

Our results give a recipe for constructing explicit Ramsey graphs from explicit low rank co-diagonal matrices over $Z_{6}$, analogously to the way that our results gave a method for constructing explicit Ramsey graphs from certain low degree polynomials over $Z_{6}$ in [6]. In this sense, our results may lead to improved Ramsey graph constructions, if lower rank co-diagonal matrix constructions exist.

Definition 1 Let $R$ be a ring and let $n$ be a positive integer. We say, that $n \times n$ matrix $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ is a co-diagonal matrix over $R$, if $a_{i j} \in R, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $a_{i i}=0, a_{i j} \neq 0$, for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n, i \neq j$.

We say, that $A$ is an upper co-triangle matrix over $R$, if $a_{i j} \in R, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $a_{i i}=0, a_{i j} \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. A is a lower co-triangle matrix over $R$, if $a_{i j} \in R, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $a_{i i}=0, a_{i j} \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq j<i \leq n$. A matrix is co-triangle, if it is either lower- or upper co-triangle.

We will also need the definition of the rank of a matrix with elements in a ring. The following definition is a generalization of the matrix rank over fields to matrices over rings:

Definition 2 Let $R$ be a ring and let $n$ be a positive integer. We say, that $n \times n$ matrix $A$ over $R$ has rank 0 if all of the elements of $A$ are 0 . Otherwise, the rank over the ring $R$ of matrix $A$ is the smallest $r$, such that $A$ can be written as

$$
A=B C
$$

over $R$, where $B$ is an $n \times r$ and $C$ is an $r \times n$ matrix. The rank of $A$ over $R$ is denoted by $\operatorname{rank}_{R}(A)$.

It is easy to see, that this definition of the matrix rank coincides with the usual matrixrank over $R$, when $R$ is a field. The following property of the usual matrix rank also holds:

Lemma 3 Let $R$ be a ring and let $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ be two $n \times n$ matrices. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{R}\left(A+A^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\operatorname{rank}_{R}(A)+\operatorname{rank}_{R}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: Let $A=B C$ and $A^{\prime}=B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, where $B$ is an $n \times r$ and $C$ is an $r \times n$ matrix, while $B^{\prime}$ is an $n \times r^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ is an $r^{\prime} \times n$ matrix. Then $A+A^{\prime}$ can be given as $B^{\prime \prime} C^{\prime \prime}$, where $B^{\prime \prime}$ is an $n \times\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)$ matrix, formed from the union of the columns of $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, and $C^{\prime \prime}$ is an $\left(r+r^{\prime}\right) \times n$ matrix, formed from the union of rows of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$.

The following theorem shows, that for any prime $p$, the co-triangle (and, consequently, the co-diagonal) matrices over the $p$-element field have large rank:

Theorem 4 Let $p$ be a prime, and let $A$ be an $n \times n$ co-triangle matrix over $G F_{p}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{GF}_{p}}(A) \geq n^{1 /(p-1)}-p
$$

Proof: We may assume that $A$ is a lower co-triangle matrix. Let $r=\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{GF}_{p}}(A)$, and let $B=\left\{b_{i j}\right\}$ be an $n \times r, C=\left\{c_{i j}\right\}$ be an $r \times n$ matrix over $\mathrm{GF}_{p}$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ let us consider the following polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{i k} x_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1),

$$
P_{i}\left(c_{1 j}, c_{2 j}, \ldots, c_{r j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { if } i=j, \\
\neq 0, \text { if } i>j .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently, by the triangle criterion [2], polynomials

$$
Q_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=1-P_{i}^{p-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right),
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, form a linearly independent set in the vector space of dimension

$$
\binom{r+p-2}{p-1}+1
$$

of polynomials of form $Q+\alpha$, where $Q$ is an $r$-variable homogeneous polynomial of degree $p-1$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{GF}_{p}$. (To prove this without the triangle criterion of [2], one should observe that $Q_{k}$ is zero on column $i$ of matrix $C$ for $i<k$, and it is 1 for column $k$ of $C$; so $Q_{i}$ cannot be given as a linear combination of some $Q_{k_{j}}$ 's, each $k_{j}>i$.) Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \leq\binom{ r+p-2}{p-1}+1 \leq(r+p)^{p-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in the following question:
Question. Let $R=Z_{m}$, what is the minimum rank of an $n \times n$ co-triangle (or co-diagonal) matrix over $R$ ?

If $m=p$ a prime, then by Theorem 4 we have that the rank should be at least $n^{1 / p-1}-p$. What can we say for non-prime $m$ 's?

The main motivation of this question is the following theorem:
Theorem 5 Let $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ be an $n \times n$ co-triangle matrix over $R=Z_{6}$, with $r=\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{6}}(A)$. Then there exists an n-vertex graph $G$, containing neither a clique of size $r+2$ nor an anti-clique of size

$$
\binom{r+1}{2}+2
$$

Proof: $\quad$ Suppose, that $A$ is a lower co-triangle matrix. If the $Z_{6}$ rank of $A$ is $r$, then both the $\mathrm{GF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{GF}_{3}$ ranks of $A$ are at most $r$. Let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. For any $i>j$, let us connect $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ with an edge, if $a_{i j}$ is odd. Then any clique of size $t$ will correspond to a $t \times t$ lower co-triangle minor over $\mathrm{GF}_{2}$, so from (3),

$$
t \leq r+1
$$

Any anti-clique of size $t$ will correspond to a $t \times t$ lower co-triangle minor over $\mathrm{GF}_{3}$, so from (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \leq\binom{ r+1}{2}+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 5 one can get a lower bound for the rank, using estimations for the Ramsey numbers. Our original bound was significantly improved by Noga Alon, who allowed us to include his proof here.

Theorem 6 Let $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ be an $n \times n$ co-triangle matrix over $R=Z_{6}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{6}}(A) \geq \frac{\log n}{2 \log \log n}-2
$$

Proof: By the result of Ramsey [7] and Erdős and Szekeres [4], every n-vertex graph has either a clique on $k$, or an anti-clique on $\ell$ vertices, if

$$
n \geq\binom{ k+\ell-2}{k-1}
$$

If we set $k=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right\rfloor$, and $\ell=\left\lfloor\log ^{2} n\right\rfloor$, then we get from Theorem 5 , that both $r+2 \leq k$ and $\binom{r+1}{2}+2 \leq \ell$ cannot be satisfied, and this completes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 5 also proves
Theorem 7 Suppose, that there exists an explicitly constructible $n \times n$ co-triangle matrix $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ over $R=Z_{6}$, with $r=\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{6}}(A)$. Then one can explicitly construct an n-vertex Ramsey-graph, without homogenous vertex-sets of size

$$
\binom{r+1}{2}+2
$$

Our main result is that there do exist explicitly constructible low-rank co-diagonal matrices over $Z_{6}$, implying explicit Ramsey-graph constructions.

Theorem 8 There exists a $c>0$ such that for all positive integer $n$, there exists an explicitly constructible $n \times n$ co-diagonal matrix $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ over $R=Z_{6}$, with

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{6}}(A) \leq 2^{c \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}
$$

Theorem 8 together with Theorem 5, gives an explicit Ramsey-graph construction on $n$ vertices, without a homogeneous vertex-set of size $2^{c^{\prime} \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}$, for some $c^{\prime}>0$, or in other words, an explicit Ramsey-graph construction on

$$
2^{\frac{c^{\prime \prime} \log ^{2} t}{\log \log t}}
$$

vertices, without homogeneous vertex-set of size $t$, for some $c^{\prime \prime}>0$. This bound was first proven by Frankl and Wilson [5] with a larger (better) constant than our $c^{\prime \prime}$, using the famous Frankl-Wilson theorem [5]. We also gave a construction, using the BBR polynomial [3] and also the Frankl-Wilson theorem in [6].

A generalization of our main result for ring $Z_{m}$, where $m$ has more than two prime divisors:

Theorem 9 For any $m=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots p_{\ell}^{\alpha_{\ell}}$, where the $p_{i}$ 's are distinct primes, there exists a $c=c_{m}>0$ such that for all positive integer $n$, there exists an explicitly constructible $n \times n$ co-diagonal matrix $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ over $R=Z_{m}$, with

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{m}}(A) \leq 2^{c} \sqrt{\ell} \log n(\log \log n)^{\ell-1} .
$$

## 2 Constructing Low Rank mod 6 Co-Diagonal Matrices

In this section we prove Theorems 8 and 9 .
Our main tool is the following theorem (choosing $m=6$ and $\ell=2$ ):
Theorem 10 (Barrington, Beigel, Rudich[3]) Given $m=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots p_{\ell}^{\alpha_{\ell}}$ where the $p_{i}$ are distinct primes, then there exists an explicitly constructible multi-linear polynomial $P$ with integer coefficients, with $k$ variables, and of degree $O\left(k^{1 / \ell}\right)$ which satisfies for $x \in\{0,1\}^{k}$, that $P(x)=0$ over $Z_{m}$ iff $x=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$.

Let $k$ be the smallest integer such that $n \leq k^{k}$. Let $B=\{0,1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$. Let us define $\delta: B \times B \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ as follows:

$$
\delta(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } u=v \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then matrix $\bar{A}$ is defined as follows: both the rows and the columns of $\bar{A}$ correspond to the elements of the set $B^{k}$. The entry of matrix $\bar{A}$ in the intersection of a row, corresponding to $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right) \in B^{k}$ and of a column, corresponding to $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in B^{k}$ is the number:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(1-\delta\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), 1-\delta\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right), \ldots, 1-\delta\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u=v$, then all of the $\delta\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ 's are 1 , so the value of $P$ is 0 . So the diagonal of $\bar{A}$ is all- 0 , but no other elements of the matrix are 0 over $Z_{6}$, consequently, $\bar{A}$ is co-diagonal over $Z_{6}$.

Multi-linear polynomial $P$ has degree $O(\sqrt{k})$, so (5) can be written as the sum of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{k}{\leq c\lfloor\sqrt{k}\rfloor}=\sum_{i=0}^{c\lfloor\sqrt{k}\rfloor}\binom{k}{i}<k^{c \sqrt{k}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

monomials of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s} \delta\left(u_{i 1}, v_{i 1}\right) \delta\left(u_{i 2}, v_{i 2}\right), \ldots \delta\left(u_{i s}, v_{i s}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is positive, (in fact, $c<3$ is also satisfied), $a_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}$ is an integer between 0 and 5 , and $s \leq c \sqrt{k}$.

Since the (u,v) entry of $\bar{A}$ is the value (5), and (5) can be written as the sum of monomials in (7), matrix $\bar{A}$ can be written as the sum of matrices $D_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}$, where the entry of matrix $D_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}$ in the intersection of a row, corresponding to $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right) \in B^{k}$ and of a column, corresponding to $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in B^{k}$ is equal to the value of (7).

It is easy to verify that $D_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}$ can be written into the following form (applying the same, suitable permutation to the rows and columns):

$$
D_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}=a_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let us observe, that the number of all-1 square minors, covering the diagonal is $k^{s}$. Then, from Lemma 3 the rank of $D_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}$ is $k^{s}, s \leq c \sqrt{k}$. It follows from this and from (6), that the rank of $\bar{A}$ is at most $k^{2 c \sqrt{k}}$.

Let matrix $A$ be defined as the $n \times n$ upper left minor of matrix $\bar{A}$. Obviously, $A$ is also a co-diagonal matrix, and its rank is at most $k^{2 c \sqrt{k}}$. Due to the choice of $k$ the statement follows.
The proof of Theorem 9 follows the same steps as the proof of Theorem 8. If $m$ has $\ell$ prime divisors, then polynomial $P$ has degree $O\left(k^{1 / \ell}\right)$, so matrix $D_{i 1, i 2, \ldots, i s}$ has rank at most $k^{s}, s \leq c k^{1 / \ell}$, and co-diagonal matrix $A$ has rank at most $k^{2 c k^{1 / \ell}}$, and this proves the theorem.
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