

LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE ESTRADA INDEX OF GRAPHS*

YILUN SHANG[†]

Abstract. Let G be a graph with n vertices and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be its eigenvalues. The Estrada index of G is defined as $EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i}$. In this paper, new lower bounds for the Estrada index are established.

Key words. Estrada index, Lower bound, Graph spectrum, Zagreb index.

AMS subject classifications. 15A18, 05C50.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, let G be an undirected simple graph with n vertices and m edges. We say that G is an (n, m) -graph. Let the spectrum of G be $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ arranged in a non-increasing order. The properties of graph spectrum can be found in [1]. The Estrada index [3] is a spectrum-based graph invariant promoted by Estrada [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and defined by

$$(1.1) \quad EE = EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i}.$$

The Estrada index was used to study the folding degree of proteins and other long-chain molecules [4, 5, 6, 9]. It also has numerous applications in the vast field of complex networks [7, 8, 13, 14, 17]. A number of properties especially lower and upper bounds [3, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20] for the Estrada index are known. In this paper, we establish further lower bounds improving some results in [3, 12].

2. Preliminaries. We begin by some notation that will be used in the following proofs of results.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let d_i be the degree of vertex v_i in G . The first Zagreb index [2] of the graph G is defined as $Zg(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let $M_k = M_k(G)$ be the k th spectral moment of a graph G ,

$$M_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k.$$

*Received by the editors on February 8, 2012. Accepted for publication on July 23, 2012. Handling Editor: Bryan L. Shader.

[†]Institute for Cyber Security, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas 78249, USA (shylmath@hotmail.com).

From (1.1) we have

$$(2.1) \quad EE(G) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{M_k(G)}{k!}.$$

Recall that M_k is the number of close walks of length k in the graph [1]. The first few spectral moments of an (n, m) -graph G are well known: $M_0 = n$, $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = 2m$ and $M_3 = 6t$, where $t = t(G)$ is the number of triangles in G . Denote by K_n the complete graph on n vertices.

LEMMA 2.1 ([19]). *Let G be a graph with m edges. For $k \geq 4$,*

$$M_{k+2} \geq M_k,$$

with equality for all even $k \geq 4$ if and only if G consists of m copies of K_2 and possibly isolated vertices, and with equality for all odd $k \geq 5$ if and only if G is a bipartite graph.

The following is an immediate result of Lemma 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let G be an (n, m) -graph. For $k \geq 4$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^{k+2} \geq 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^k,$$

with equality for all even $k \geq 4$ if and only if G consists of m copies of K_2 and possibly isolated vertices, and with equality for all odd $k \geq 5$ if and only if G is a bipartite graph.

3. Results. In this section, we present our lower bounds for the Estrada index and compare them to some existing bounds.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G be an (n, m) -graph. Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + 8t + \left(\frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3\right) M_4 + \left(\frac{e^2 - e^{-2}}{2} - \frac{10}{3}\right) M_5},$$

with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

As a simple example, for $G = K_2$, it follows from the above result that $EE(K_2) = e + e^{-1}$ since $n = M_4 = 2$, $m = 1$ and $t = M_5 = 0$. This is confirmed by directly applying definition (1.1).

Proof. From the definition of (1.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad EE^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} + 2 \sum_{i < j} e^{\lambda_i} e^{\lambda_j}.$$

By the arithmetic and geometric mean inequality and the fact that $M_1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \sum_{i < j} e^{\lambda_i} e^{\lambda_j} &\geq n(n-1) \left(\prod_{i < j} e^{\lambda_i} e^{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}} \\
 &= n(n-1) \left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i} \right)^{n-1} \right]^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}} \\
 (3.3) \qquad &= n(n-1) (e^{M_1})^{\frac{2}{n}} = n(n-1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$ are equal for all $i < j$. This condition is tantamount to the fact that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n$ or $n = 2$. Therefore, the equality in (3.3) holds if and only if $m = 0$ or $n = 2$.

In view of the properties of M_0, M_1, M_2 and M_3 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^k}{k!} \\
 &= n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 4} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^k}{k!} \\
 (3.4) \qquad &= n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^{2k}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Invoking Corollary 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} &\geq n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{4^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^4}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{4^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^5}{(2k+1)!} \\
 (3.5) \qquad &= n + 4m + 8t + \left(\frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3 \right) M_4 + \left(\frac{e^2 - e^{-2}}{2} - \frac{10}{3} \right) M_5,
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if and only if G consists of m copies of K_2 and possibly isolated vertices.

Combining with (3.3) and (3.5), we obtain the desired lower bound (3.1), with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let G be an (n, m) -graph. Then we have*

$$(3.6) \quad EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + (e^2 + e^{-2} - 6)(Zg(G) - m) + [15(e^2 - e^{-2}) - 92]t},$$

with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

Proof. Recall that we have [1]

$$M_4 = 2Zg(G) - 2m + 8q,$$

where q is the number of quadrangles in G , and

$$M_5 = 30t + 10p + 10r,$$

where p is the number of pentagons, and r is the number of subgraphs consisting of a triangle with a pendent vertex attached. When $n = 2$ or $m = 0$, we have $p = q = r = 0$. The result then follows directly from Theorem 3.1. \square

When $n = 2$ or $m = 0$, we clearly have $t = 0$. Thus, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let G be an (n, m) -graph. Then we have*

$$(3.7) \quad EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + (e^2 + e^{-2} - 6)(Zg(G) - m)},$$

with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

For an (n, m) -graph G , it is proved in [3] that

$$(3.8) \quad EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + 8t}.$$

Our bound in (3.1) is obviously better than the bound in (3.8). Recently, the lower bound is improved to [12]

$$(3.9) \quad EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 5\frac{1}{3}m + 8t}.$$

By noting that $M_4 \geq 2m$ and $M_5 \geq 0$, we have

$$\left(\frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3\right)M_4 > \frac{4}{3}m,$$

and hence, our bound in (3.1) is better than the one in (3.9).

In [18] it is shown that if $n \geq 2$,

$$(3.10) \quad EE(G) \geq e^{\lambda_1} + (n - 1)e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{n-1}}.$$

Clearly, the bounds in (3.1) and (3.10) are incomparable in general.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to the anonymous referee and the handling editor for their careful reading and helpful comments that improved the quality of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, I. Gutman, and A. Torgašev. *Recent Results in the Theory of Graph Spectra*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [2] K.C. Das, I. Gutman, and B. Zhou. New upper bounds on Zagreb indices. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 46:514–521, 2009.
- [3] J.A. de la Peña, I. Gutman, and J. Rada. Estimating the Estrada index. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 427:70–76, 2007.
- [4] E. Estrada. Characterization of 3D molecular structure. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 319:713–718, 2000.
- [5] E. Estrada. Characterization of the folding degree of proteins. *Bioinformatics*, 18:697–704, 2002.
- [6] E. Estrada. Characterization of the amino acid contribution to the folding degree of proteins. *Proteins*, 54:727–737, 2004.
- [7] E. Estrada and J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez. Subgraph centrality in complex networks. *Physical Review E*, 71:056103, 2005.
- [8] E. Estrada and J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez. Spectral measures of bipartivity in complex networks. *Physical Review E*, 72:046105, 2005.
- [9] E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, and M. Randić. Atomic branching in molecules. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, 106:823–832, 2006.
- [10] I. Gutman. Lower bounds for Estrada index. *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*, 83:1–7, 2008.
- [11] I. Gutman and S. Radenković. A lower bound for the Estrada index of bipartite molecular graphs. *Kragujevac Journal of Science*, 29:67–72, 2007.
- [12] J. Liu and B. Liu. Bounds of the Estrada index of graphs. *Applied Mathematics – A Journal of Chinese Universities*, 25:325–330, 2010.
- [13] Y. Shang. Perturbation results for the Estrada index in weighted networks. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 44:075003, 2011.
- [14] Y. Shang. Local natural connectivity in complex networks. *Chinese Physics Letters*, 28:068903, 2011.
- [15] Y. Shang. The natural connectivity of colored random graphs. *Creative Mathematics and Informatics*, 20:197–202, 2011.
- [16] Y. Shang. On the Estrada index of Ramanujan graphs. *Journal of Combinatorics, Information & System Sciences*, 37:69–74, 2012.
- [17] Y. Shang. Biased edge failure in scale-free networks based on natural connectivity. *Indian Journal of Physics*, 86:485–488, 2012.
- [18] B. Zhou. On Estrada index. *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, 60:485–492, 2008.
- [19] B. Zhou and Z. Du. Some lower bounds for Estrada index. *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 1:67–72, 2010.
- [20] B. Zhou and N. Trinajstić. Estrada index of bipartite graphs. *International Journal of Chemical Modeling*, 1:387–394, 2008.