

## Copyright © 2010 IEEE. Citation for the published paper:

Title: In press: Macrocell Path Loss Prediction Using Artificial Neural Networks

Author: Erik Ostlin, Hans-Jürgen Zepernick, Hajime Suzuki

Journal: IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology

Year: 2010 Vol:

Issue:

Pagination:

URL/DOI to the paper: 10.1109/TVT.2010.2050502

This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of BTH's products or services Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a blank email message to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

# Macrocell Path Loss Prediction Using Artificial Neural Networks

Erik Östlin, Member, IEEE, Hans-Jürgen Zepernick, Member, IEEE, and Hajime Suzuki, Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper presents and evaluates artificial neural network models used for macrocell path loss prediction. Measurement data obtained by utilising the IS-95 pilot signal from a commercial code division multiple access mobile network in rural Australia is used to train and evaluate the models. A simple neuron model and feed-forward networks with different number of hidden layers and neurons are evaluated regarding their training time, prediction accuracy, and generalisation properties. Also, different backpropagation training algorithms, such as gradient descent and Levenberg-Marquardt, are evaluated. The artificial neural network inputs are chosen to be distance to base station, parameters easily obtained from terrain path profiles, land usage and vegetation type and density near the receiving antenna. The path loss prediction results obtained by using the artificial neural network models are evaluated against different versions of the semi-terrain based propagation model Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 and the Okumura-Hata model. The statistical analysis shows that a non-complex artificial neural network model performs very well compared to traditional propagation models in regards to prediction accuracy, complexity and prediction time. The average ANN prediction results were: 1) maximum error: 22 dB, 2) mean error: 0 dB and 3) standard deviation: 7 dB. A multi-layered feed-forward network trained using the standard backpropagation algorithm was compared with a neuron model trained using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. It was found that the training time decreases from 150,000 to 10 iterations whilst the prediction accuracy is maintained.

*Index Terms*— Path loss prediction, point-to-area, field strength measurements, artificial neural network, feed-forward network, backpropagation, Recommendation ITU-R P.1546, Okumura–Hata model.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A successful roll-out of a cellular mobile radio system depends largely on a well planned and designed cellular structure. For every type of radio access technology, the cellular design has to be supported by the physical layer [1]. Thus, reliable and accurate models are crucial to predict radio channel characteristics for where the cellular mobile radio system is to be deployed. In particular, large-scale fading

Copyright ©2010 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

E. Östlin is with the Blekinge Institute of Technology, SE-372 25 Ronneby, Sweden (e-mail: erik.ostlin@bth.se).

H.-J. Żepernick is with the Blekinge Institute of Technology, SE-372 25 Ronneby, Sweden (e-mail: hans-jurgen.zepernick@bth.se).

H. Suzuki is with the Commonwealth Scientific Industrial Research Organisation ICT Centre, Marsfield, NSW 2122, Australia (e-mail: hajime.suzuki@csiro.au). characteristics have to be predicted reliably to enable the use of base stations (BS) with optimised characteristics, such as position, height, transmitted power, and antenna pattern.

Traditionally, path loss prediction models have been based on empirical and/or deterministic methods. Empirical models, such as Bullington, Longley-Rice, Okumura, and Okumura-Hata models [2] are computationally efficient, but may not be very accurate since they do not explicitly account for specific propagation phenomena. On the other hand, deterministic models, such as those based on the geometrical theory of diffraction [3], integral equation [4], and parabolic equation [5], can, depending on topographic database resolution and accuracy, be very accurate, but lack in computational efficiency. Therefore, artificial neural networks (ANNs) have been proposed in order to obtain prediction models that are more accurate than standard empirical models whilst being more computationally efficient than deterministic models. In recent years, ANNs have been shown to successfully perform path loss predictions in rural [6], suburban [7], urban [8] and indoor [9] environments.

An ANN prediction model can be trained to perform well in environments similar to where the training data is collected. Therefore, to obtain an ANN model that is accurate and generalises well, measurement data from many different environments should be used in the training process. The performance will also strongly depend on the chosen input parameters. Commonly, the well-known feed-forward structure is used together with the backpropagation training methodology incorporating the standard gradient descent algorithm. A drawback with multi-layered feed-forward networks that contain numerous neurons in each layer is the required training time [10]. Also, an overly complex ANN network may lead to data overfitting and hence generalisation problems [11].

Often in the literature, the utilised feed-forward networks seem to be unnecessarily complex (e.g., three hidden layers and numerous neurons), or sometimes the network size is not even mentioned at all. Therefore, in this work, different sized ANNs are trained by using different backpropagation training algorithms, such as gradient descent and Levenberg– Marquardt. Also, different training data selection strategies are incorporated to investigate what ANN complexity is needed to achieve high prediction accuracy whilst maintaining good generalisation properties. The goal is to obtain an ANN that is not overly complex, but still generalises well and is accurate enough for the application of cellular mobile radio network planning. For this purpose, propagation measurements obtained by utilising the IS-95 [12] pilot signal of a commercial code division multiple access (CDMA) mobile telephone

Manuscript received September, 2009; revised March, 2010. This paper was presented in part at the 60th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, Los Angeles, CA, USA, September 2004. The review of this paper was coordinated by Prof. T. Kürner.

network in rural Western Australia are used to train the ANN radio wave path loss prediction models. This extensive collection of measurement data has previously been used to evaluate and propose modifications to the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 [13]. One general finding is that a non-complex ANN, such as the neuron model, or a feed-forward network with one hidden layer and only a few neurons, will likely provide sufficient path loss prediction accuracy for a typical rural macrocell radio network planning scenario. Also, when training these ANNs using an efficient training method, such as the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, the required number of iterations in the training process may be reduced from approximately 150,000 to 10, which corresponds to reducing the training time from many hours to a few seconds. Therefore, when incorporating large amounts of training data, the training process will be much more efficient. It was also observed in the statistical analysis that feed-forward networks with several hidden layers and numerous neurons may lead to inferior generalisation properties compared to the less complex structures. This common phenomenon is most often caused by overtraining; i.e., the model performs very well on data that is similar to the training data, but is not flexible enough to favorably adapt to data different from the training data. Therefore, the impact on prediction accuracy and generalisation properties due to additional hidden layers and neurons was also investigated.

Our main contributions are summarised as follows: Different sized feed-forward networks and training algorithms were evaluated regarding prediction accuracy, generalisation properties, and training time. The aim was to investigate the need for multi-layered feed-forward networks and if more efficient training methods, such as the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, can be utilised to reduce the training time without introducing any negative effects on the path loss prediction results. Compared to [14], this paper presents prediction results for an extended number of measurement routes, which greatly aided the evaluation of different ANN's generalisation properties. Further, both early stopping (ES) and Bayesian regularisation (BR) were incorporated and compared with regards to training time, prediction accuracy and generalisation properties. In addition to our previous work, the created ANN models also include land usage and vegetation input parameters that enable a fairer comparison with Model A [13], which includes some proposed modifications to the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546. Finally, all prediction results in this paper can be directly compared to our previous published Recommendation ITU-R P.1546, Model A, and Okumura-Hata prediction results [13].

The paper is organised as follows: Section II contains relevant ANN background information, such as the neuron model, feed-forward network, backpropagation, Levenberg– Marquardt algorithm, and methods to improve the ANN generalisation properties. In Section III, the measurement procedure and equipment are introduced. In Section IV, the ANN's input parameters in relation to the problem setting of path loss prediction for macrocell scenarios are provided. Sections V and VI contain the statistical analysis metric definitions and the ANN training and evaluation, respectively. Finally, conclusions and future work are presented in Section VII.

## II. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

The ANN task is to find the best functional fit for a specified set of input-output pairs and also interpolate and extrapolate for unknown data sets. In this work, the application of ANNs for radio wave path loss predictions can be compared to an approximation of a mathematical mildly non-linear noisy function (measurement data) given at a number of points (training set). To satisfactorily be able to predict the path loss for different propagation scenarios, some type of regression that minimises both the training error and the error of unknown inputs has to be performed. The motivation for this work was to find the most suitable feed-forward structure for the application of path loss prediction utilising the measurement data collected in rural Australia and the chosen input parameters. First, the importance of the feed-forward network size was investigated regarding prediction accuracy and generalisation properties. Second, the training algorithm selection was investigated with regards to training time; i.e., maintaining the prediction accuracy and generalisation properties whilst minimising the training time. In the sequel, the neuron model, feed-forward network, training, and generalisation methods are introduced and described.

### A. Neuron Model

Fig. 1 shows a simple neuron model. The neuron is presented with an input signal

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{1}$$

and accordingly produces an output value

$$u = \mathbf{w}^T \,\mathbf{x} \tag{2}$$

where  $(\cdot)^T$  denotes the transpose and the neuron weights, w, are defined as

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & \dots & w_n & \theta \end{bmatrix}^T.$$
(3)

Also, to provide the possibility to shift the activation function,  $f(\cdot)$ , to the left or right, an additional scalar bias parameter,  $\theta$ , is added to the weights. The activation function is called the non-linearity of the neuron model and can be any differentiable function. For this work, the activation function has been



Fig. 1. The neuron model.

chosen to be the commonly used hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer function [15], which is defined as

$$f(u) = \frac{1 - \exp^u}{1 + \exp^u} \tag{4}$$

and compresses the output into the range -1 to 1. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the neuron output error, e, is calculated by subtracting the sigmoid output, f(u), from the target value, t, as

$$e = t - y. \tag{5}$$

The neuron model's objective is to minimise the output error, e, according to some optimisation criteria; for example minimising the sum of the squared errors. In this paper, t and y represent the target path loss value and the corresponding output path loss value obtained in the training process, respectively.

## B. Feed-Forward Network

The neuron model can be extended to a feed-forward network that incorporates several hidden layers, where each layer has a different number of neurons and weights [15]. Fig. 2 illustrates the network architecture for a three-layer feed-forward ANN. The multi-layered feed-forward vectors and weights are defined in the same manner as for the neuron model. The first hidden layer, second hidden layer, and the output layer have  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  and  $n_3$  neurons, respectively, and the weight vectors,  $\mathbf{w}^{[1]}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^{[2]}$  and  $\mathbf{w}^{[3]}$  all include a bias term,  $\theta$ . The feed-forward network notation is ANN<sub>n<sub>0</sub>-n<sub>1</sub>-...-n<sub>k</sub>,</sub> where  $n_0$ ,  $n_1$  and  $n_k$  represent the number of inputs, number of neurons in the first hidden layer and the number of neurons in the output layer, respectively. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the  $i^{th}$  activation function in the  $j^{th}$  hidden layer is shown as  $f_i^{[j]}$ and  $o_i^{[j]}$  denotes the output from the  $i^{th}$  neuron in the  $j^{th}$  layer. The number of inputs, hidden layers and neurons is chosen so that the model can provide an accurate approximation of the given problem. Usually, there is a trade-off between capturing the complexity of the underlying function given by the training data and the model's ability to generalise to new inputs [16]. This behaviour was sometimes observed in the training process and requires careful training data selection when the use of feed-forward networks with several layers and neurons is considered.

## C. ANN Training

The training set should be representative of the problem the ANN is designed to solve. A properly trained ANN should be able to recognise whether a new input vector is similar to learned patterns and produce a similar result. Also, when new unknown input parameters are presented to the ANN, it is expected to give an output using interpolation; and also extrapolation if the input vectors exceed the parameter space used in the training process. For the multiple-input single-output (MISO) path loss prediction ANN model, a supervised training process is incorporated [15]. The Q input-output

training pairs are chosen randomly from the measurement data and are defined as

ł

$$\{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1\}, \{\mathbf{p}_2, t_2\}, \dots, \{\mathbf{p}_Q, t_Q\}$$
 (6)

where  $\mathbf{p}_q$  is an input vector and  $t_q$  is the corresponding output. In the work reported here, we consider three different training approaches, which reveal prediction accuracy and generalisation properties of the ANNs (see Section IV). The ANN weights, w, are found by minimising the mean squared errors

$$MSE = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} e(q)^2.$$
 (7)

In this paper, the well-known backpropagation (gradient descent) algorithm has been used as a benchmark to train the ANNs [17]. To give a considerable reduction in training time, other much faster training methods, such as the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm [18], have also been utilised. In the supervised training process, the training algorithms were updated in batch mode, i.e., the ANN weights are renewed after a complete training set has been presented to the ANN [15]. Note that all input-output data pairs used in the training process are removed in the model evaluation.

The two following paragraphs introduce the gradient descent and Levenberg–Marquardt backpropagation algorithms.

*Backpropagation and Gradient Descent:* The standard implementation of backpropagation learning updates (i.e., ANN weights and biases) is to base the updates on the direction of the steepest negative gradient descent. This is written in the form

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k - \alpha_k \, \mathbf{g}_k \tag{8}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_k$  contains the current weights and biases at the  $k^{th}$  iteration. The parameter  $\alpha_k$  denotes the learning rate (constant) and  $\mathbf{g}_k$  represents the current gradient vector. Some other common algorithms that incorporate additional methods to enable for faster convergence can be found in e.g., [19].

*Levenberg–Marquardt Algorithm:* The Newton algorithm is often used to obtain fast optimisation characteristics. The weight update can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \, \mathbf{g}_k \tag{9}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_k$  is the Hessian matrix [20] (second-order derivatives) of the performance index consisting of current weights and biases. Unfortunately, it is very complex and computationally expensive to calculate the Hessian matrix for a feed-forward network. An approach based on the quasi-Newton method is to compute, based on the local gradient, an approximative Hessian matrix at each algorithm iteration. The Levenberg– Marquardt method approaches second-order behaviour without the need to calculate or approximate the Hessian matrix as in Newton and quasi-Newton algorithms, respectively [20]. For example, when training a feed-forward network, the Hessian matrix can be approximated using the Jacobian matrix,  $\mathbf{J}$ , as [20]

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J}.$$
 (10)



Fig. 2. Three-layer feed-forward artificial neural network.

The gradient,  $\mathbf{g}$ , is then expressed as

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{e} \tag{11}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  is a vector that contains the network errors. The Jacobian matrix contains only first order derivatives, with respect to weights and biases, and can hence be calculated using the standard backpropagation technique [18]. This approach is less complex and more computationally efficient than computing or approximating the Hessian matrix. The Newton-like weight update can now be expressed as

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k - [\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J} + \mu \mathbf{I}]^{-1} \mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{e}$$
(12)

where  $\mu$  is an adaptive parameter that is decreased if the performance function is decreasing and increased if the performance function is increasing. The parameter I denotes the identity matrix. For large  $\mu$ -values, the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm becomes the gradient descent algorithm (small step size). When  $\mu$  is 0, the weight update method approximates the Newton method, which is faster and more accurate near an error minima [11]. The aim is therefore to shift towards the approximate Newton algorithm as soon as possible. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is designed for training moderate-sized feed-forward neural networks, with less than a hundred weights, and least squares problems that are approximately linear [11]. Hence, the algorithm is well suited for the task of training an ANN path loss model. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm has been reported to train ANNs at a rate of 10 to 100 times faster (depending on the problem) than the standard gradient descent backpropagation algorithm [11], [18]. In Section VI, it is observed that the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is about 1,000 times faster than the standard backpropagation algorithm, which potentially enables for a substantionally larger amount of training data to be incorporated in the training process.

## D. Generalisation

For the purpose of achieving improved generalisation properties, both ES [15] and BR [21], [22] have been evaluated utilising the available measurement data. In this work, ES was incorporated with the gradient descent algorithm to serve as the benchmark method. When trying to minimise the required training time whilst maintaining the ANN's generalisation characteristics, both ES and BR were used with the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm [11], [23]. In order to support the understanding of how to improve the generalisation properties of ANN-based path loss prediction models, the next two paragraphs explain the ES and BR methodologies, respectively.

*Early Stopping:* To utilise ES, the measurement data [13], [14], [24] is divided into three subsets (training, validation, and evaluation) where the training set is used for computing the gradient and the ANN weights. The errors obtained from the validation set are monitored during the training. In this work, the number of input-output data pairs in the validation set is chosen to be 20% of the full training set [25]. When the network is starting to overfit the data from the training set, the errors obtained from the validation set usually start to increase. When the validation error has increased for a specified number of iterations the training stops and the weights and biases at the minimum of the validation error is returned.

*Bayesian Regularisation:* In BR, a modified linear combination of squared errors and weights are minimised, so that at the end of training the resulting ANN provides good generalisation properties [21]–[23]. The method of BR does not require a validation data set and therefore only requires two data sets (training and evaluation). Hence, all training data can be directly used in the training process, which is preferable if only small amounts of training data is available. One drawback is that BR in general requires more iterations than ES to converge [11].

#### **III. MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE**

The work presented in this paper utilises mobile radio wave propagation measurements at a carrier frequency of 881.52 MHz that originate from two measurement campaigns



Fig. 3. Digital elevation map, 9 second resolution, used for the ANN path loss models. The measurement data originates from 19 routes and three BSs with omni-directional antenna characteristics in rural Western Australia [13]. From left to right the BSs Beverley, Quairading, and Corrigin are marked with a dot and A, B, and C, respectively.

performed in rural Western Australia in June 2003 [24] and May 2004 [14] for the training and evaluation of different ANN models. The measurements were conducted using a CDMA pilot scanner, which was developed and built collaboratively by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) and the Australian Telecommunications Cooperative Research Centre (ATcrc). For the purpose of mobile measurements, the measurement system also includes a global positioning system (GPS) receiver and an omni-directional receiving antenna, which during the measurements were placed on the roof of a car at a height of approximately 1.7 m above ground. Without considering the influence of the roof of the car, the antenna's half power beamwidth was measured to be close to 80 degrees. The measurement system was controlled by custom software running on a laptop, which also logged all measurement data. The collected drive test data (nineteen routes) originates from three omni-directional BSs with macrocell characteristics [Beverley (A), Quairading (B) and Corrigin (C)] and covers more than 400 km of different rural terrain with different signal distribution. The measurement tracks from the area of interest plotted on a digital elevation map (DEM) together with BS positions and altitude shown in metres, can be seen in Fig. 3. At the time of the measurements, the heights for the three BSs (A, B and C) were 30.5, 45, and 35 m, respectively. Note also that the maximum antenna separation distance was 24 km and data originating from antenna separation distances less than 1 km was not analysed. Hence, all measurements presented in this paper are considered to be performed in the far-field. The correlation peak of the received pilot signal was sampled at 600 Hz. Typically, in these rural areas mostly only one pilot signal was received at the same time, hence limiting the effect of inter-cell interference. The BS positions were given by the register of radiocommunications licences held at the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA). The BSs'

transmitted pilot power, antenna height, and antenna pattern (omni-directional) were provided by Telstra Wireless Access Services in Perth. Where possible, the BS transmitted power was verified by line-of-sight (LOS) measurements near the BS.

The region from where the presented measurement results were obtained can be classified as open farmland with occasional large tree branches overhead (B and C). The measurement data originating from BS A is from a region with more vegetation and trees surrounding the receiving antenna. The roughness of the terrain in the three regions was estimated by the standard deviation of the terrain heights along the terrain path profile for every measurement position. The averaged value for each BS is as follows: Beverley (A): 10.9 m, Quairading (B): 14.1 m, and Corrigin (C): 16.5 m. It should be noted that in the measurements originating from BS B, the presence of a large built-up area including a huge grainstorage facility (> 15 m height) has a noticable impact on the measurements.

To be directly comparable to our previous journal paper [13] that compares different versions of the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 model [26]–[28], the local average received powers used to train and evaluate the ANN models are computed by averaging signal measurements over a measurement track of 300 wavelengths, which corresponds to approximately 100 m. Note that all measurement path loss results shown in Figs. 4–8 are averaged results.

## IV. TRAINING AND PREDICTION PARAMETERS

In rural macrocell environments, path loss depends strongly on transmitting and receiving antenna separation distance, antenna heights, the terrain between the antennas, and the clutter surrounding the receiving antenna. In this work, the ANN inputs are chosen to be antenna separation distance, transmitting/base antenna height [26], terrain clearance an-

7

gle [26], terrain usage, vegetation type, and vegetation density near the receiving antenna [13].

The terrain parameters are derived using a DEM with a 9 second resolution grid (approximately 250 m) supplied by Geoscience Australia (see Fig. 3). For an efficient ANN implementation, all input parameters are normalised to fall in the range between -1 and 1.

The following paragraphs, provide the ANN input parameter definitions in order of importance for the path loss prediction accuracy. More detailed descriptions of the input parameters can be found in [13].

*Transmitting/Base Antenna Height,*  $h_1$ : The  $h_1$  definition used in the ANN models is based on the definition of effective antenna height as in the Okumura model [29] and calculated as in the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 [26]. Additional discussions regarding different transmitting/base antenna height definitions can be found in [13].

*Terrain Clearance Angle:* For land paths, a terrain clearance angle (TCA) correction may be added to increase the prediction accuracy enabling obstacles close to the receiver site to be taken into account. The TCA is based on the angles relative to the horizontal between a line connecting the transmitting and receiving antennas and a line at the receiving antenna which clears all the terrain obstructions over a distance of up to 16 km, but not going beyond the base antenna [26].

Land Usage and Vegetation Information: To further enhance the ANN prediction accuracy, land usage, and vegetation information may be incorporated in the ANN models. In [13], it was noted that the measured field strength is typically attenuated due to buildings near the BS (i.e., the receiving antenna is located below surrounding clutter height). Information regarding land usage (rural and built-up areas) was obtained from inspecting satellite photos and notes made during the measurement campaigns.

1) Vegetation Type: The vegetation type (VT) data used in the ANN analysis was provided by the Department of Agriculture, Western Australia. The data-set contains information on two levels, namely land-use data and vegetation type (e.g., medium woodland, York gum). In the rural area where the measurements were performed, 10 different vegetation types were identified [13]. For simplicity, these vegetation types were divided into three groups corresponding to woodland, shrublands and no vegetation. The data extracted from the vegetation type database corresponds to the most occurring vegetation type within a 100 m by 100 m area, which is typically only one type.

2) Vegetation Density: The vegetation density data used in the analysis was provided by the CSIRO Mathematical and Information Sciences, and Land Monitor Western Australia. The perennial crown density information is given on an accurate 25 m grid and is represented by a scalar value ranging from 0% to 100% corresponding to no vegetation and dense vegetation, respectively. The crown density metric corresponds to the estimated percentage of land covered by the tree crown [30]. The vegetation density near the receiver (VDN) corresponds to the average vegetation density within a 100 m by 100 m area surrounding the receiver.

## V. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS METRICS

First order statistics, correlation factor, and average total hit rate error have been used to evaluate the results. Predicted and measured values are denoted  $p_i$  and  $m_i$ , respectively, and are given on a logarithmic [dB] scale. The prediction error,  $\epsilon_i$ , is expressed as

$$i_i = p_i - m_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
 (13)

where N is the number of samples corresponding to 300 wavelengths as described in Section III. The maximum prediction error, the mean prediction error, and the error standard deviation are denoted  $\epsilon_{max}$  [dB],  $\bar{\epsilon}$  [dB] and  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$  [dB], respectively.

The correlation coefficient is denoted  $r_{\epsilon}$  and provides a measure of the degree of linear relationship between measured,  $m_i$ , and predicted,  $p_i$ , values.

As a complement to the first order statistics and the correlation factor, the prediction accuracy is also quantified using the average total hit rate error (AHRE [%]) as introduced in [24]. This single value metric is derived from the total hit rate (THR) curve described in [31]. The location specific THR curve is used as a direct indication of the quality of the prediction model. Given a path loss threshold,  $L_T$ , if both predicted and measured path loss values are greater, less, or equal to  $L_T$ , the prediction is regarded as correct irrespective to the deviation of the predicted from the measured value. The AHRE is the mean deviation from 100% THR and is expressed as

$$AHRE = \frac{1}{N_{L_T}} \sum_{L_T = L_{T,min}}^{L_{T,max}} 100\% - THR(L_T)$$
(14)

where  $L_T$  is the path loss threshold and  $N_{L_T}$  is the number of THR points. The thresholds,  $L_{T,min}$  and  $L_{T,max}$ , are chosen so that AHRE may be interpreted as the area between the THR curve and 100%. The method is useful in assessing the validity of a model where coverage is determined simply by a threshold value. A small AHRE value indicates a good fit between predicted and estimated value.

#### VI. TRAINING AND EVALUATION

In this section, different ANNs are evaluated using the measurement data introduced in Section III. Conveniently, the prediction evaluations in this paper can be directly compared with previously published results [13]. For easy comparison, Table I presents the results overview for the proposed Model A, which among other things addressed a shortcoming of the effective antenna height definition in the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546, and the well-known Okumura-Hata rural model. The statistical analysis average results show that Model A's predictions are more accurate compared to the predictions provided by the OH rural model for all statistical analysis metrics ( $\epsilon_{max}, \bar{\epsilon}, \sigma_{\epsilon}, r_{\epsilon}$ , and AHRE). In particular, the maximum prediction error,  $\epsilon_{max}$ , is lower for all measurement routes except for measurement 2004\_B6 where Model A and the OH rural model provide 19.0 dB and 18.4 dB, respectively. Also, Fig. 4 shows a path loss prediction example for the different Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 versions and

	$\epsilon_{max}$ [0	iB]	$\overline{\epsilon} \left[ dE \right]$	3]	$\sigma_{\epsilon} [\mathrm{d} \mathrm{I}]$	3]	$r_{\epsilon}$		AHRE [%]	
Meas.	Model A	OH	Model A	OH	Model A	OH	Model A	OH	Model A	OH
2003_A1	17.9	45.5	1.7	-12.4	7.7	11.4	0.89	0.75	9.5	18.4
2003_A2	18.2	34.2	-0.7	-8.5	8.5	9.5	0.67	0.49	13.8	16.5
2004_A3	17.4	24.0	3.4	-5.4	7.7	8.4	0.47	0.26	22.8	21.9
2004_A4	19.7	22.3	3.6	-5.1	8.1	9.2	0.39	0.13	23.8	23.0
2004_A5	27.3	31.6	4.7	-8.3	11.5	8.8	0.61	0.45	19.4	20.1
2003_B1	15.3	22.9	-2.2	-7.9	5.8	7.3	0.77	0.59	13.9	24.0
2003_B2	23.5	42.7	6.8	-4.1	9.3	10.3	0.82	0.78	12.1	9.6
2003_B3	31.2	49.2	-0.5	-10.1	10.2	12.0	0.75	0.62	10.7	14.4
2004_B4	29.2	37.0	-4.7	-13.6	6.8	9.2	0.80	0.59	12.0	24.5
2004_B5	25.3	33.3	1.9	-10.2	6.8	6.4	0.74	0.71	13.2	24.2
2004_B6	19.0	18.4	8.4	-5.5	5.8	5.9	0.59	0.58	26.9	22.4
2004_B7	18.7	26.4	-4.6	-13.6	6.9	6.7	0.58	0.59	19.6	30.2
2004_B8	22.0	41.4	2.8	-8.2	8.4	9.0	0.82	0.77	10.6	12.2
2004 <b>_</b> B9	22.1	33.0	1.3	-8.1	9.5	9.7	0.71	0.58	14.1	16.5
2003_C1	31.1	45.4	-0.3	-15.8	8.3	9.8	0.87	0.84	8.2	17.4
2004_C2	24.5	30.4	4.9	-7.2	8.4	8.5	0.81	0.75	13.6	13.5
2004_C3	21.1	32.0	4.4	-4.9	8.0	8.6	0.76	0.68	12.4	11.2
2004_C4	27.6	32.7	4.7	-2.6	9.4	8.2	0.67	0.65	14.6	13.5
2004_C5	22.0	40.6	1.5	-12.8	8.2	8.7	0.86	0.80	9.2	15.9
Average	22.8	33.8	2.0	-8.7	8.2	8.8	0.71	0.61	14.8	18.4

 TABLE I

 Statistics for Model A and Okumura–Hata (OH) rural model [13]

Model A. It was previously concluded that the P.1546 models, for certain scenarios, provide abnormal path loss predictions due to negative transmitting/base antenna height definition,  $h_1$ , values being produced. Model A therefore proposed a new  $h_1$ definition that efficiently mitigates this problem. This P.1546 shortcoming and Model A's prediction accuracy improvement can clearly be seen in Fig. 4 after 13 km travelled distance.



Fig. 4. P.1546 and Model A path loss predictions for measurement 2003 A1 around Beverley BS [13] where the transmitting/base antenna height is negative for some parts of the route (after 13 km travelled distance).

From our previous publication [13], it is known that the obtained maximum errors produced by the different versions of the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 (-0, -1, and -2) and the proposed Model A are 24.1 dB, 53.2 dB, 44.5 dB, and 17.9 dB, respectively. In Section VI-C, Model A's prediction results are compared with the prediction results produced by different ANN models. Note that, in this paper, the original Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 definition of  $h_1$  has been used as a training and prediction parameter for the ANNs.

This section is organised as follows: In Section VI-A, the ANNs are trained and evaluated using measurement data originating from the same BS (C). The training data is obtained from four routes and the evaluation data from a different fifth route. In Section VI-B, the ANNs are trained with data from BS C (see Section VI-A) and are evaluated using measurement data originating from BS A. This training approach was performed to show that the ANNs can perform well in a different environment compared to where from the training data was gathered. Finally, Section VI-C presents a training and evaluation approach where the training data is obtained from one measurement route around each BS (A, B and C) is presented. The training data is chosen, so that the range covers most of the data used in the evaluation. Also, the impact on training time, prediction accuracy and generalisation characteristics when performing the training using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is presented.

## A. Same Cell - Different Route

Here, the first ANN training/evaluation approach utilises measurement data originating from the same omni-directional BS (C). Five measurements (different routes) have been obtained from this Corrigin BS. The measurement data covers a travelled distance of 129 km and consists of 1,238 averaged pilot power samples where each average power

value has been estimated from measurement data spanning over 300 wavelengths. The ANN models are trained utilising 500 randomly chosen input-output data pairs [see (6)] from four of the measurements (2003\_C1, 2004\_C2, 2004\_C3 and 2004\_C4) and evaluated using measurement data from the fifth measurement track (2004\_C5).

Table II presents the numerical results for different sized ANNs (ANN<sub>6-1</sub>, ANN<sub>6-3-1</sub> and ANN<sub>6-7-3-1</sub>). The nu-

 TABLE II

 Statistical Analysis Summary: Same Cell - Different Route

Data	Metric	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	$ANN_{6-7-3-1}$
TR	$\begin{array}{l} \epsilon_{max}  [\mathrm{dB}] \\ \bar{\epsilon}  [\mathrm{dB}] \\ \sigma_{\epsilon}  [\mathrm{dB}] \\ r_{\epsilon} \\ \mathrm{AHRE}  [\%] \end{array}$	27.0 0.4 7.0 0.81 10.2	31.9 0.3 7.0 0.84 9.2	32.5 -0.1 6.7 0.82 10.3
EV	$ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon_{max}  [\mathrm{dB}] \\ \bar{\epsilon}  [\mathrm{dB}] \\ \sigma_{\epsilon}  [\mathrm{dB}] \\ r_{\epsilon} \\ \mathrm{AHRE}  [\%] \end{array} $	25.6 -4.2 7.7 0.85 9.5	23.1 -3.5 7.4 0.86 8.8	22.2 -2.8 7.3 0.87 8.5

merical results for the training (TR) data correspond to the 500 data pairs taken from measurements 2003\_C1, 2004\_C2, 2004\_C3 and 2004\_C4. The numerical results for the evaluation (EV) data correspond to measurement 2004\_C5 (201 samples). The results show that, for this scenario and for the TR data, increasing the number of hidden layers and neurons slightly decreases the prediction accuracy for some of the statistical analysis metrics ( $\epsilon_{max}$ ,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ , and AHRE). In particular, it can be seen that the maximum error,  $\epsilon_{max}$ , increases from 27.0 dB to 32.5 dB, respectively, when the ANN is extended from  $ANN_{6-1}$  to  $ANN_{6-7-3-1}$ . For the EV data, it can be seen that extending the neuron model  $ANN_{6-1}$ to  $ANN_{6-3-1}$  and  $ANN_{6-3-1}$  slightly increases the prediction accuracy for all statistical analysis metrics. As an example, the standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ , decreases from 7.7 dB to 7.3 dB, respectively, when the ANN is extended from  $ANN_{6-1}$  to  $ANN_{6-7-3-1}$ . Note that the TR and EV data are taken from different measurement routes and hence some deviations due to specific topographical features shown in the analysis of the TR data is not present in the analysis of the EV data.

## B. Different Cell

The different cell approach evaluates the ANNs, trained as described in the previous Section VI-A, using 188 inputoutput data pairs obtained from a different BS (2003\_A1). The purpose of this training/evaluation approach is to see how well the different ANNs generalise. From previous investigations [13], [32]–[34], it was known that the propagation scenario that occurs after 13 km travelled distance, where the receiving antenna is on a slope above the BS, may be hard to predict depending on how the transmitting/base antenna height is calculated and incorporated in the path loss model.

Table III shows the prediction results for the different sized ANNs using EV data from measurement 2003\_A1. It can be seen that all statistical analysis metrics except from

TABLE III Statistical Analysis Summary: Different Cell

Data	Metric	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	ANN <sub>6-7-3-1</sub>
	$\epsilon_{max}  [dB]$	35.8	32.2	29.4
EV	$\bar{\epsilon} [\mathrm{dB}]$	-5.1	-4.9	-3.3
	$\sigma_{\epsilon}  [dB]$	9.3	8.3	8.1
	$r_{\epsilon}$	0.87	0.87	0.86
	AHRE [%]	11.3	10.4	9.5

the correlation factor,  $r_{\epsilon}$ , slightly improve when extending the ANN<sub>6-1</sub> to ANN<sub>6-7-3-1</sub>. Also for this scenario, the prediction accuracy increases somewhat with additional number of hidden layers and neurons. It can be seen that the average error,  $\bar{\epsilon}$ , decreases from -5.1 dB to -3.3 dB and the standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ , decreases from 9.3 dB to 8.1 dB when extending the ANN<sub>6-1</sub> to ANN<sub>6-7-3-1</sub>, respectively. It can be concluded that the ANN models generalise quite well when being evaluated using measurement data that originates from another BS and a different region. During the training, it was noted that the ANN<sub>6-7-3-1</sub> is much more sensitive to the training data compared to the ANN<sub>6-1</sub> and ANN<sub>6-3-1</sub>.

## C. All Cells

In this approach, 700 randomly chosen input-output pairs originating from three BSs (2003\_A1, 2003\_B2 and 2003\_C1) are used to train the ANNs. Together, these three measurements cover most of the input and output parameter range to be expected in the evaluation. Hence, the ANN's task is to interpolate and to some degree also extrapolate from the given input data. The nineteen measurement routes cover a travelled distance of approximately 400 km and consist of 3, 823 averaged pilot power samples averaged over 300 wavelengths. Utilising the backpropagation gradient descent algorithm with ES, the training process requires approximately 10,000, 100,000 and 150,000 iterations in batch mode for the ANN<sub>6-1</sub>, ANN<sub>6-3-1</sub> and ANN<sub>6-7-3-1</sub> models, respectively.

Figs. 5–8 show the measured and predicted path loss for four measurement routes (2003\_A1, 2004\_A5, 2004\_B8 and 2003\_C1). For clarity, only the prediction curves provided by the ANN<sub>6–3–1</sub> model and the EV data are shown in the figures.

Fig. 5 shows the ANN path loss prediction for measurement 2003\_A1 (Beverley BS) where for parts of the route, after approximately 13 km travelled distance, the mobile receiving antenna is on a slope above the BS. This particular scenario causes some severe overestimation (+40 dB, see Fig. 4 after 13 km travelled distance) of the path loss when using the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 model due to how the transmitting/base antenna height is defined and incorporated in the model [13]. Using an ANN model that has been trained with measurement data obtained from this specific scenario, it can be seen that the predicted path loss accuracy is very good even for the region after 13 km travelled distance.

Fig. 6 depicts the ANN path loss prediction for another measurement route around Beverley BS (2004\_A5). At approximately 13 km travelled distance, a sharp peak in the TCA can be seen. For this specific scenario, the P.1546 model



Fig. 5. Measurement 2003\_A1 around Beverley BS, where the mobile receiving antenna is on a slope positioned above the transmitting antenna.



Fig. 6. Measurement  $2004\_A5$  around Beverley BS, where the transmitting/base antenna height is close to zero for parts of the route and a distinct peak in the TCA at 13 km travelled distance.

produces a correction overshoot (20-40 dB), which can result in unrealistic path loss predictions [13]. Now, when using the ANN prediction model, the path loss correction due to TCA is smoother and does not significantly overshoot.

Fig. 7 illustrates the ANN path loss prediction for measurement route 2004\_B8 (Quairading BS). At around 4.5 km travelled distance there is a huge grain-storage facility (> 15 m height) that affects the measurements. Also, at a travelled



Fig. 7. Measurement 2004\_B8 around Quairading BS with a distinct peak in the TCA at 13 km travelled distance.



Fig. 8. Measurement 2003\_C1 around Corrigin BS spanning over 1-24 km to the BS.

distance of approximately 13 km, a distinct TCA peak is present. In the path loss prediction, it can be seen that the ANN does not create an overshoot due to this peak; i.e., the response is relatively smooth.

Fig. 8 presents the ANN path loss prediction for a measurement route around Corrigin BS (2003\_C1). It can be seen that the overall predictions provide good agreement with the measurements without introducing any major abnormalities. Note that, at approximately 5 and 22 km travelled distance there are

distinct increases in the measured path loss. These peaks are due to features that are not described by the topographical data and hence not reflected by the input parameters.

Tables IV–VI show the statistical analysis results for the evaluated ANN models and the nineteen measurement routes. The numerical values given in Tables IV–V correspond to EV data. For comparison, the two bottom rows in all tables provide the average prediction results for TR and EV data (Average<sup>TR</sup> and Average<sup>EV</sup>).

As a direct comparison with our previously published journal paper [13], Table I shows the obtained path loss predictions using Model A (our proposed modifications to Recommendation ITU-R P.1546) and the Okumura–Hata model. For Model A, the average maximum error,  $\epsilon_{max}$ , is approximately 23 dB, the mean error,  $\bar{\epsilon}$ , is 2 dB, and the error standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ , is near 8 dB. For the same data, the Okumura– Hata model provides an average maximum error,  $\epsilon_{max}$ , of near 34 dB, the mean error,  $\bar{\epsilon}$ , is close to 9 dB.

Table IV presents the first order statistical analysis results for the three different sized ANN models. It can be seen that the average prediction results provided by the ANN models do not differ significantly. For the EV data (Average<sup>EV</sup>), the average maximum error,  $\epsilon_{max}$ , is approximately 21-22 dB, the mean error,  $\bar{\epsilon}$ , is close to zero and the error standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ , is near 7 dB. By inspecting the Average<sup>TR</sup> and the Average<sup>EV</sup> results in Table IV, it can be seen that extending the ANN structures results in greater prediction accuracy improvements for the TR data compared to the EV data.

Table V shows the correlation coefficient and AHRE corresponding to the same ANNs as in Table IV. Here as well, the prediction results are quite similar for the different ANN models. For the EV data (Average<sup>EV</sup>), the correlation coefficient,  $r_{\epsilon}$ , is greater than 0.7 and the average total hit rate error, AHRE, is on average about 12 % for all predictions. The Average<sup>TR</sup> and the Average<sup>EV</sup> results presented in Table V also show the correlation coefficient,  $r_{\epsilon}$ , and the average total hit rate error, AHRE, to be significantly better for the TR data compared to the EV data. Hence, the differences between the ANNs for some of the predictions originates from how well the ANN is able to adapt to different scenarios.

The overall conclusion that can be drawn from Tables IV and V is that an  $ANN_{6-1}$  model (neuron model), for the given training approach and available measurement data, is sufficient to obtain an accurate path loss prediction model that generalises well.

Further, the numerical values may be directly compared to the prediction results achieved for Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 versions (P.1546-0, P.1546-1 and P.1546-2), Okumura– Hata and Model A evaluated in [13]. Model A is a modified/improved version of the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 in which a modified definition of transmitting/base antenna height and field-strength attenuation due to different land usage and vegetation are included. It is noted that the average prediction results obtained with the  $ANN_{6-1}$  model, for the available measurement data, are more accurate than the results achieved with Model A [13].

It should be noted that an  $ANN_{6-7-3-1}$  model requires

150,000 iterations (gradient descent and ES) in the backpropagation training process to converge to its optimum. Therefore, as a comparison, the Levenberg–Marquardt training algorithm was used to train the neuron model incorporating both ES and BR (ANN<sup>ES</sup><sub>6-1</sub> and ANN<sup>BR</sup><sub>6-1</sub>). For ES and BR stopping criteria, only approximately 10 and 20 iterations in batch mode are required, respectively, to train the ANN.

10

Table VI shows the average prediction results for the ANN<sub>6-1</sub><sup>ES</sup> and ANN<sub>6-1</sub><sup>BR</sup> models corresponding to both TR and EV data. It can be seen that the overall average path loss prediction results are on par with the previous evaluation results shown in Tables IV and V. In summary, these simple path loss models provide accurate prediction results and generalise well. Note that, when evaluating the Levenberg–Marquardt ANNs using the TR data (700 input-output pairs from 2003\_A1, 2003\_B2 and 2003\_C1), ANN<sub>6-1</sub><sup>BR</sup> seem to be more accurate compared to ANN<sub>6-1</sub><sup>ES</sup> (see Table VI). Finally, the average ANN<sub>6-1</sub><sup>ES</sup> and ANN<sub>6-1</sub><sup>BR</sup> prediction results for the EV data (Average<sup>EV</sup>) are very much the same for all statistical analysis metrics. For this application, the differences would more likely originate from the used training data rather than the algorithm performance.

#### VII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The work in this paper investigates ANN path loss prediction models for rural macrocell environments. A CDMA pilot scanner was used to obtain measurement data in a commercial IS-95 mobile telephone network in rural Western Australia. The collected data and extracted terrain and topographical parameters were then used to train and evaluate the different ANNs.

Different sized ANNs, ranging from the neuron model to multi-layered feed-forward networks, were analysed in order to determine the network complexity required to obtain accurate path loss predictions. Also, to reduce training time whilst maintaining prediction accuracy and generalisation properties, faster training algorithms, such as Levenberg–Marquardt, and ES and BR were incorporated in the training process.

The ANN model evaluation using first order statistics, correlation factor and the average total hit rate error metric shows that for the given drive test data collected in rural Western Australia, using the given input parameters, the ANN models perform very well in comparison with other common path loss models, such as the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 and Okumura–Hata models, of similar complexity.

It was found that more complex ANNs (several hidden layers and neurons) do not increase prediction accuracy considerably. It was also found during the training process that more complex ANNs may result in decreasing generalisation properties.

Another important result was that larger feed-forward networks, due to overtraining, are more sensitive to the training data and may give less accurate predictions when presented with inputs outside the training parameter space. On the other hand, when these more complex ANNs are presented with data similar to the training set the predictions can be very accurate. However, the focus in this paper has been on model

		$\epsilon_{max}  [ ext{dB}]$			$\overline{\epsilon} \left[ dB \right]$			$\sigma_{\epsilon}  [ ext{dB}]$			
Meas.	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	$^{\rm ANN}6-7-3-1$	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	$ANN_{6-7-3-1}$	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	$ANN_{6-7-3-1}$		
2003_A1	20.8	19.9	17.1	0.6	0.7	-0.8	7.2	7.7	6.2		
2003_A2	19.4	16.7	18.1	-0.7	-1.1	-2.2	7.3	7.0	6.7		
2004_A3	14.3	14.6	13.1	3.0	3.0	1.6	5.4	5.5	5.6		
2004_A4	14.3	14.7	12.9	3.5	3.3	1.9	5.9	5.9	6.0		
2004_A5	27.8	22.7	21.8	0.9	1.3	-0.8	9.7	9.8	8.7		
2003_B1	16.3	12.1	16.0	2.1	0.6	1.1	7.2	6.4	6.6		
2003_B2	19.6	19.7	20.4	4.5	2.5	2.4	7.9	7.5	8.0		
2003_B3	34.9	27.2	33.5	-1.6	-1.0	-3.4	8.9	7.3	10.5		
2004_B4	32.3	32.0	32.5	-3.7	-3.6	-3.8	8.5	7.3	7.5		
2004_B5	28.6	28.2	28.8	-3.1	-3.8	-4.5	7.5	6.0	5.8		
2004_B6	13.8	14.2	16.0	0.7	-0.6	-1.4	5.5	4.8	4.9		
2004_B7	17.3	17.0	16.6	-2.7	-2.7	-2.0	5.6	5.8	5.6		
2004_B8	21.5	22.3	21.8	-0.5	-2.1	-2.6	7.8	7.3	7.2		
2004_B9	24.9	21.6	22.4	-1.3	-1.7	-2.0	7.5	6.9	6.9		
2003_C1	24.9	33.6	30.9	-3.0	-0.4	1.2	8.0	9.1	8.8		
2004_C2	24.5	24.1	22.5	0.6	2.6	3.3	8.7	8.1	7.1		
2004_C3	25.0	16.6	15.1	1.4	2.8	2.3	7.3	6.5	6.4		
2004_C4	19.2	21.5	20.3	5.6	5.3	5.2	6.2	6.2	6.2		
2004_C5	24.0	22.9	19.8	-2.7	-0.3	0.3	7.9	8.0	7.6		
Average <sup>TR</sup>	26.0	25.7	22.8	0.4	0.3	-0.2	8.3	8.2	7.7		
Average <sup>EV</sup>	22.3	21.1	21.0	0.2	0.3	-0.2	7.4	7.0	7.0		

TABLE IV
First Order Statistics for $\text{ANN}_{6-1}, \text{ANN}_{6-3-1}, \text{and} \text{ANN}_{6-7-3-1}$ Models

## TABLE V

Correlation Coefficient and AHRE for  $ANN_{6-1}$ ,  $ANN_{6-3-1}$ , and  $ANN_{6-7-3-1}$  Models

		$r_{\epsilon}$		AHRE [%]				
Meas.	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	$^{\rm ANN}6-7-3-1$	$ANN_{6-1}$	$ANN_{6-3-1}$	$ANN_{6-7-3-1}$		
2003_A1	0.93	0.81	0.84	11.1	10.7	9.2		
2003_A2	0.76	0.74	0.76	10.4	10.8	10.6		
2004_A3	0.41	0.45	0.44	15.9	17.9	16.7		
2004_A4	0.36	0.40	0.39	17.1	18.6	17.7		
2004_A5	0.52	0.45	0.58	15.5	16.6	13.9		
2003_B1	0.78	0.80	0.77	16.9	14.6	15.3		
2003_B2	0.88	0.85	0.86	8.2	7.9	8.6		
2003_B3	0.84	0.78	0.80	12.7	9.2	10.6		
2004_B4	0.79	0.82	0.81	14.6	11.4	12.1		
2004_B5	0.73	0.76	0.77	16.2	13.1	13.3		
2004 <b>_</b> B6	0.71	0.73	0.74	14.1	12.4	12.1		
2004 <b>_</b> B7	0.51	0.50	0.54	16.7	16.6	15.6		
2004_B8	0.85	0.85	0.86	8.4	7.9	7.9		
2004_B9	0.74	0.78	0.78	11.1	10.2	10.3		
2003_C1	0.86	0.87	0.85	10.1	9.4	9.4		
2004_C2	0.76	0.80	0.84	11.3	11.5	10.9		
2004_C3	0.81	0.82	0.83	10.0	9.6	9.2		
2004_C4	0.75	0.75	0.74	14.6	13.0	13.1		
2004_C5	0.86	0.85	0.87	9.3	9.2	8.8		
Average <sup>TR</sup>	0.87	0.87	0.89	9.2	8.6	8.1		
Average <sup>EV</sup>	0.73	0.73	0.74	12.8	12.1	11.9		

TABLE VI

 $Neuron\ \text{model}\ \text{statistical}\ \text{analysis}\ \text{-}\ \text{Levenberg-Marquardt}\ \text{training}\ \text{algorithm}\ \text{incorporating}\ \text{ES}\ \text{and}\ \text{BR}$ 

	$\epsilon_{max} \left[ dB \right]$		$ar{\epsilon}$ [0	iB]	$\sigma_{\epsilon}  [\mathrm{dB}]$		$r_{\epsilon}$		AHRE [%]	
	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{ES}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{BR}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{ES}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{BR}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{ES}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{BR}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{ES}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{BR}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{ES}$	$\operatorname{ANN}_{6-1}^{BR}$
Average <sup>TR</sup> Average <sup>EV</sup>	26.6 21.8	16.7 21.6	0.1 0.0	0.5 0.5	8.4 6.9	6.3 6.9	0.87 0.74	0.92 0.75	8.7 11.8	6.6 12.2

robustness and simplicity. When training an  $ANN_{6-1}$  using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm instead of an  $ANN_{6-7-3-1}$  using the standard backpropagation algorithm, the training time may be decreased from approximately 150,000 to 10 iterations. Hence, there is more available time to incorporate more training data. The most important aspect in creating an accurate and robust ANN path loss model seems to be the training data selection. The input-output training data pairs should therefore describe as large portion of the propagation problem the model is expected to be exposed to.

In summary, it can be said that the trained neuron model provides more accurate prediction results than the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546, Model A, and Okumura–Hata model. For the available measurement data, the average ANN prediction results were: 1) maximum error: 22 dB, 2) mean error: 0 dB, 3) standard deviation: 7 dB, correlation coefficient: 0.75 and AHRE: 12%. It should be noted that some of the large path loss variations, such as those due to blockage from large manmade objects, cannot be predicted due to lack of topographic databases.

Future work should incorporate careful selection of the training data given that the training set describes a wider range of the propagation problem. This will increase the prediction accuracy and generalisation characteristics of the ANN. It would also be very interesting to train an ANN using a very large set of measurement data, such as the data sets available to the ITU organisation. A more diverse training data set would in particular be of benefit for the application of TCA correction, which in the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 for certain scenarios seems to overcorrect the predictions [13]. It would also be of interest to compare the ANN predictions in this paper to a calibrated Okumura–Hata model as described in [35].

Note that, since the publication of [13], a new version of the Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 has been released (P.1546-3 [36]). After evaluating the new P.1546-3 model, it was concluded that the overall prediction accuracy for these rural areas is slightly worse compared to the previous version P.1546-2. In particular, this is due to the simplified definition of TCA and how the corresponding correction is applied.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by the Commonwealth of Australia through the Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) program. The authors would like to thank Mr. Ulrich Engelke and Mr. André Pollok for their assistance with the measurement campaigns and Telstra Wireless Access Services for providing the BS characteristics. Thanks to Mr. Jeremy Wallace and Dr. Joanne Chia at CSIRO Mathematical and Information Sciences and Land Monitor Western Australia, respectively, for providing the digital vegetation density data. Also, thanks to Mr. Damian Shepherd at Department of Agriculture Western Australia for making the vegetation type database available. Many thanks to Dr. Andrew Weily for proof-reading and providing constructive comments, which greatly improved the quality of the manuscript. The authors would finally like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

12

- [1] T. S. Rappaport, *Wireless Communications: Principles and Practice (2nd Edition).* New York, USA: Prentice Hall, December 2001.
- [2] H. Bertoni, Ed., "Coverage prediction for mobile radio systems operating in the 800/900 MHz frequency range," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 3–72, February 1988.
- [3] R. J. Luebbers, "Propagation prediction for hilly terrain using GTD wedge diffraction," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 951–955, September 1984.
- [4] G. A. Hufford, "An integral equation approach to the problem of wave propagation over an irregular surface," *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 391–404, January 1952.
- [5] C. A. Zelley and C. C. Constantinou, "A three-dimensional parabolic equation applied to VHF/UHF propagation over irregular terrain," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 1586– 1596, October 1999.
- [6] K. E. Stocker and F. M. Landstorfer, "Empirical prediction of radiowave propagation by neural network simulator," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 1177–1178, June 1992.
- [7] I. Popescu, D. Nikitopoulos, P. Constantinou, and I. Nafornita, "ANN prediction models for outdoor environment," in 17th IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, Helsinki, Finland, September 2006, pp. 1–5.
- [8] Z. Stanković, B. Milovanović, M. Veljković, and A. Dordević, "The hybrid-neural empirical model for the electromagnetic field level prediction in urban environments," in *7th Seminar on Neural Network Applications in Electrical Engineering*, Belgrade, Serbia, September 2004, pp. 189–192.
- [9] A. Nešković, N. Nešković, and D. Paunović, "Indoor electric field level prediction model based on the artificial neural networks," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 190–192, June 2000.
- [10] J. D. Parsons, *The Mobile Radio Propagation Channel*. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 2000.
- [11] H. Demuth and M. Beale, *Neural Network Toolbox*, MATLAB, Natick, MA, USA, January 2003.
- [12] TIA/EIA/IS-95, "Mobile station-base station compatibility standard for dual-mode wideband spread spectrum cellular system," Telecommunications Industry Association, July 1993, Washington, USA.
- [13] E. Östlin, H. Suzuki, and H.-J. Zepernick, "Evaluation of the propagation model Recommendation ITU-R P.1546 for mobile services in rural Australia," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 38–51, January 2008.
- [14] E. Östlin, H.-J. Zepernick, and H. Suzuki, "Macrocell radio wave propagation prediction using an artificial neural network," in *IEEE Semiannual Vehicular Technology Conference*, vol. 1, Los Angeles, CA, USA, September 2004, pp. 57–61.
- [15] R. Rojas, Neural Networks: A Systematic Introduction. Berlin, Germany: Springer–Verlag, 1996.
- [16] M. T. Hagan and H. B. Demuth, "Neural networks for control," in *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, vol. 3, San Diego, CA, USA, 1999, pp. 1642–1656.
- [17] D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton, and R. J. Williams, *Learning internal representations by error propagation*. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 1986.
- [18] M. T. Hagan and M. B. Menhaj, "Training feedforward networks with the Marquardt algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 989–993, November 1994.
- [19] M. T. Hagan, H. B. Demuth, and M. H. Beale, *Beale, Neural Network Design*. Boston, MA: PWS Publishing, 1996.
- [20] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*. New York, USA: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [21] D. J. C. MacKay, "Bayesian interpolation," *Neural Computation*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 415–447, May 1992.
- [22] —, "A practical Bayesian framework for backpropagation networks," *Neural Computation*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 448–472, May 1992.
- [23] F. D. Foresee and M. T. Hagan, "Gauss-Newton approximation to Bayesian regularization," in *Proceedings of the 1997 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, 1997, pp. 1930–1935.
- [24] E. Östlin, H.-J. Zepernick, and H. Suzuki, "Evaluation of the new semiterrain based propagation model Recommendation ITU-R P.1546," in *IEEE Semiannual Vehicular Technology Conference*, vol. 1, Orlando, FL, USA, October 2003, pp. 114–118.
- [25] S. Haykin, Neural Networks. A Comprehensive Foundation. IEEE Press, McMillan College Publishing Co., 1994.

- [26] ITU-R Recommendation P.1546, "Method for point-to-area predictions for terrestrial services in the frequency range 30 MHz to 3000 MHz," October 2001.
- [27] ITU-R Recommendation P.1546-1, "Method for point-to-area predictions for terrestrial services in the frequency range 30 MHz to 3000 MHz," April 2003.
- [28] ITU-R Recommendation P.1546-2, "Method for point-to-area predictions for terrestrial services in the frequency range 30 MHz to 3000 MHz," September 2005.
- [29] Y. Okumura, E. Ohmohri, T. Kawano, and K. Fukada, "Field strength and its variability in VHF and UHF land-mobile radio service," *Review* of the Electrical Communication Laboratories, vol. 16, no. 9–10, pp. 825–873, September 1968.
- [30] S.-M. Lee, N. A. Clark, and P. A. Araman, "Automated methods of tree boundary extraction and foilage transparency estimation from digital imagery," in *Proceedings of the 19th Biennial Workshop on Color Photgraphy Videography and Airborne Imaging for Resource Assessment*, Logan, USA, October 2003.
- [31] A. S. Owadally, E. Montiel, and S. R. Saunders, "A comparison of the accuracy of propagation models using hit rate analysis," in *IEEE Semiannual Vehicular Technology Conference*, vol. 4, Atlantic City, NJ, USA, October 2001, pp. 1979–1983.
- [32] H. Suzuki and E. Östlin, "Effect on prediction accuracy using new definition of effective transmitting/base antenna height in Recommendation ITU-R P.1546-1 - Comparison against measured data at 880 MHz in rural Australia," ITU-R Input Document 3K/39-E, September 2004.
- [33] —, "Proposed revision to Recommendation ITU-R P.1546-1 Improved definition of effective transmitting/base antenna height," ITU-R Input Document 3K/72-E, September 2005.
- [34] E. Östlin, H. Suzuki, and H.-J. Zepernick, "Evaluation of a new effective antenna height definition in ITU-R Recommendation P.1546," in *11th Asia-Pacific Conference on Communications*, Perth, WA, Australia, October 2005, pp. 128–132.
- [35] X. Liming and Y. Dacheng, "A recursive algorithm for radio propagation model calibration based on CDMA forward pilot channel," in 14th IEEE Proceedings on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, vol. 1, Beijing, China, September 2003, pp. 970–972.
- [36] ITU-R Recommendation P.1546-3, "Method for point-to-area predictions for terrestrial services in the frequency range 30 MHz to 3000 MHz," November 2007.



Hans-Jürgen Zepernick (M'94) received the Dipl.-Ing. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Siegen, Germany, in 1987 and the Dr.-Ing. degree from the University of Hagen, Germany, in 1994. From 1987 to 1989, he was with the Radio and Radar Department of Siemens AG, Munich, Germany.

13

He is currently a Professor and the Chair of radio communications at the School of Engineering of the Blekinge Institute of Technology (BTH), Ronneby, Sweden. Prior to this appointment at BTH in October

2004, he held the positions of Professor of wireless communications at Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia; Deputy Director of the Australian Telecommunications Research Institute (ATRI); and Associate Director of the Australian Telecommunications Cooperative Research Centre (ATcrc). He was the Leader of the ATcrc's wireless program and the Leader of the center's radio transmission technology project. He served on the Board of Management of ATRI and the Executive Research Committee of the ATcrc. He has been an active participant in the European FP7 Network of Excellence *EuroNF* contributing to work packages on user-perceived quality of service.

Dr. Zepernick is an author or coauthor of some 150 technical papers and five book chapters in the areas of wireless and radio communications. He is also the lead author of the textbook entitled *Pseudo Random Signal Processing: Theory and Application* (Wiley, 2005).

His research interests include radio channel characterization and modeling, radio transmission technologies, radio access techniques, mobile multimedia communications, and perceptual quality assessment of mobile multimedia services.



Hajime Suzuki (S'94-M'99) received the B.E. and M.E. degrees from the University of Electro- Communications, Tokyo, Japan, in 1993 and 1995, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Technology, Sydney, Australia, in 1999. In 1999, he joined the Division of Telecommunications and Industrial Physics, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Marsfield, Australia, and was transferred to the CSIRO Information and Communication Technologies Centre in 2003. Currently he is the project leader of Adap-

tive Wireless project investigating the use of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) for high data rate and high reliability wireless communications. He is an active participant of the Australian Radio Communication Study Group 3 and of the Study Group 3 (Radio Propagation), International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R), particularly, of the Working Party 3K (point-to-area propagation).



**Erik Östlin** (S'06-M'08) received the Ph.D. in Applied Signal Processing from Blekinge Institute of Technology, Sweden, in 2009. In 1999 and 2001 he received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from Högskolan Dalarna, Sweden and Blekinge Institute of Technology, Sweden, respectively. In 2002, he was invited to join the Australian Telecommunications Cooperative Research Centre (ATcrc) Wideband CDMA Scanner Project in Perth, Australia. Between April 2006 and February 2009 he has been working as a Digital Signal Processing

Engineer and Electro Acoustic Expert at Sensear Pty. Ltd., Perth, Australia.