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## ABSTRACT

Strong base macroreticular ion exchange resins effectively remove both fission products and diluent and TBP degradation products from used Purex process solvent. Application of surh resins in routine cleanup of Purex process extractant is potentially attractive to eliminate the large volumes of radioactive waste generated by presently-used solvent wash procedures.

The capacity of macroreticular resins for adsorbing extractañ impurities is very high judging from batch and column data. Over 240 bed volumes of. unwashed Hanford Purex plant first cycle solvent were passed downflow (at $40 C$ and 4 bed volumes/hr) through a $59-m l$ bed of 14 to 50 mesh resin without any detectable breakthrough of impurities. All the effluent solvent was water-white as opposed to the faint-yellow color of the feed; its fission product content and plutonium retention number were both substantially lower than typical washed (alkaline permanganate) plant solvent. Elution of loaded resin with a few bed volumes. of $3 \underline{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}-0.05 \underline{M} \mathrm{HF}$ and $4 \underline{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ removes all the adsorbed yellow color and ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}^{9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ activity but only 55 to 65 percent of the ${ }^{106} R u^{1}{ }^{106} R h$ Zoad.

## MACRORETICULAR ION EXCHANGE RESIN CLEANUP OF PUREX PROCESS TBP SOLVENT

The classic Purex Process ${ }^{(1)}$ or some modification thereof is now universally used to reprocess all kinds of irradiated nuclear reactor fuel. All Purex plants routinely perform "solvent treatment" operations to maintain the quality of the tri-n-butyl phosphate (TBP) extractant. Solvent treatment conventionally involves washing the used extractant with aqueous alkaline, alkaline permanganate, or acid solutions to remove dibutyl phosphoric acid (HDBP), residual fission products, and, at least partially, diluent degradation products. [A typical wash sequence is that used to treat Hanford Purex plant first cycle solvent and discussed in the APPENDIX.] Chemical and radiolytic degradation of TBP extractants and solvent treatment procedures have been reviewed by several authors.(2-5)

Sustained, satisfactory operation of various Purex plants in the United States and elsewhere attests to the efficiency of present-day solvent washing techniques. A major disadvantage of these methods, however, is that they generate large volumes of radioactive aqueous waste which must be stored or otherwise treated as high-level, waste. At Hanford, for example, large volumes of solvent wash waste are generated each year. Development of an alternative solvent treatment procedure which does not generate such wastes is both economically and environmentally desirable.

Very promising results have been obtained in this direction utilizing the properties of macroreticular ion exchange resins. Such resins are identical to their conventional microreticular counterparts except for having much larger pore diameters. These large pores do not disappear when the swelling solvent,
water, is removed; hence, macroreticular resins are especially suited for use with non-aqueous, even non-polar, solutions. (6, ?)

Japanese workers ${ }^{8},{ }^{9}$ ) previously applied microreticular ion exchange resins for removal of various acidic components from degraded TBP-diluent solutions. Our work extends the concept to the more suitable macroreticular resins and to experiments with actual Purex plant solvent.

The Hanford Purex plant recently changed from Soltrol-170, a mixture of 100 percent branched paraffins, to NPH, a mixture of $C_{10}$ to $C_{14}$ normal paraffins, as a diluent for $T B P$. [Other Purex plants have made similar diluent changes.] Subsequently, overall plant decontamination performance improved markedly; and, especially important to this work, the concentration of fission products in the unwashed first cycle solvent decreased 20- to 40-fold. The concentration of nitroalkanes in the recycled solvent also decreased noticeably. These favorable changes in solvent quality and stability significantly enhance applicability of ion exchange solvent cleanup procedures.

## EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

## MATERIALS

Rohm and Haas Company macroreticular (Amberlyst) resins were used throughout. Amberlyst-15 (cation exchange) and Amberlyst $A-2 l$ (weak base anion exchange) resins were used in the as-received ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$- and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$-forms, respectively) condition. Amberlyst $A-26$ and A-29 (strong base anion exchange) resins were converted from the as-received chloride- to the hydroxideform by exhaustive washing with 4 M NaOH . Air-dried resins were screened (U.S. Standard Sieve series) to obtain fractions encompassing desired particle sizes for use in batch equilibration tests.

As-received TBP (Commercial Solvents Corporation) was diluted with NPH (South Hampton Company) to prepare 30 percent I'BP solvent; HDBP (Eastman, purified from monobutylphosphoric duid) was added in some cases to a concentration of 0.054 M .

Unwashed Hanford Purex plant first cycle solvent (llW solution) was used in fission product retention studies. This pale-yellow material contained, nominally, 30 percent TBP, and, depending on plant performance, from 18 to $350 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{liter}$ ${ }^{9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Zr}-{ }^{9} \mathrm{Nb}$ (ca. 50 percent ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}$ ) and from 75 to $350 \mu \mathrm{Ci} /$ litér
 also present; the concentration of HDBP in plant solvent was not measured. Plant lCW solution was obtained fresh as needed and was not-allowed to age more than about 7 days before use. For comparative purposes, representative wash (cf. APPENDIX) Hanford Purex plant first cycle solvent (l00 solution) was also procured--composition and properties of this solvent are listed later.

All other chemicals were of reagent grade quality.

## DISTRIBUTION RATIO TESTS

Two-gram portions of screened, air-dried resin were contacted ( $30 \mathrm{~min}, 25 \mathrm{C}$; mechanical stirring) twice with fresh lo-ml portions of 30 percent TBP-NPH and then (at various times and temperatures) with 10 ml of either plant 1 CW or laboratoryprepared 30 percent $T B P-0.054 \mathrm{M}$ DBP-NPH. [All liquid-solid separations were by centrifugation.] Initial and final liquid phases from the last contact were analyzed either for fission product content [gamma energy pulse height analyses with NaI or Ge(Li) detectors] or for DBP [Beckman Automatic Titrator; derivative mode titration with alcoholic KOH]. Distribution ratios ( $K_{d}$ ) for the loading step were calculated as
$K_{d}=\frac{\text { amount of material on resin per gram of air-dried resin }}{\text { amount of material in solution per milliliter of solution }}$.

Batch fission product elution tests were performed with two-gram amounts of 14 - to 20 -mesh A-29 resin previously equilibrated twice with 30 percent $T B P-N P H$ and once ( 30 min at $40 \mathrm{C})$ with plant 1 CW . The resulting resin was washed twice at 40 C with $10-\mathrm{ml}$ portions of NPH and then contacted 15 min at either 25 or 40 C with 10 ml nf eluent solution.

## COLUMN RUNS

Jacketed glass columns ( 1.88 cm ID) were filled with 25 ml (ca. 17 g ) of air-dried l4- to $50-m e s h ~ A-26$ resin. After classification by upflow of water, the bed height was about 21 cm corresponding to a bed volume of 58 ml . Successive 4 bed volume portions of $4 \underline{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ and laboratory-prepared 30 percent TBP-NPH were passed downflow at 25 C and at a rate of 4 bed volumes/hr. No change in bed volume occurred during these latter treatments.

All column runs with lCW solution were made with downflow loading at 40 C . Three runs were made at flow rates of 1.1 , 4.3 , and 8.6 bed volumes/hr, respectively, to determine the effects of flow rate upon fission product retention. In each test 50 to 55 bed volumes of lCW were loaded.

Additional tests were made to establish $A-26$ resin capacity and behavior during consecutive load and elution cycles. Initially, 245 bed volumes of lCW solution (taken at various times during plant operation) were loaded onto a fresh resin bed at a rate of 4 bed volumes/hr. Consecutive portions of water, $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}-0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HF}$, and $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(4,16$, and 12 bed volumes, respectively) were used to wash, elute, and regenerate the resin. Elution was done upflow at 25 C and at a rate of 4 bed volumes/hr. Following reclassification of the eluted bed with
water, an additional 107 bed volumes of lCW solution were loaded. Except that it was done at 40 C and at 2 bed volumes/hr, the second elution cycle was identical to the first.

## SOLVENT QUALITY TESTS

Various physical and chemical properties of plant solvent after ion exchange cleanup were measured. Similar measurements were made with washed and unwashed plant solvents. Tri-n-butyl phosphate concentrations were determined by gas-liquid chromatography. (10) The apparatus of Mendel and Moore (11) served to measure disengaging times when a TBP solvent was mixed at 25 C with an equal volume of $1.84 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}-0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ solution; uranium extraction distribution ratios ( $E_{a}^{O}$ ) were calculated from uranium concentrations of the resulting phases.

Plutonium retention tests involved contacting the TBP phase ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 25 \mathrm{C}$ ) with one-fifth volume of $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}-0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Pu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{4}$ solution; the resulting organic phase was scrubbed three times with fresh double-volume portions of $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. The Pu retention number was calculated by multiplying the molarity of plutonium in the final organic phase by $10^{9}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## BATCH TESTS

## Loading Tests

Results of various batch loading tests with macroreticular resins are presented in Figures 1 through 5, pages 15 through 19. Data from these tests provided valuable guidance for selection of optimum column operating conditions.

The two strong base exchangers, A-26 and A-29 resins, exhibit about the same affinity for adsorbing fission products from unwashed Purex process solvent. For this purpose, both

A-26 and A-29 resins are superior to either A-2l (weak base exchanger) or Amberlyst-15 (cation exchanger) resins. This same resin ranking order was also observed with smaller resin particles than the 14 to 20 mesh fractions used to obtain the data shown in Figures 1 and 2, pages 15 and 16 . Affinity of the Amberlyst-15 resin for ${ }^{9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Zr}^{-9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ was greater than that of A-2l resin, while just the opposite was true for adsorption of radioruthenium.

Kinetics of adsorption of fission products from used Purex extractant by macroreticular ion exchange resins are significantly faster at 40. C than at 25 C (Figures 3 and 4, pages 21 and 22; to take advantage of this fact, subsequent column runs were made at 40 C . These column runs were made with A-26 resin since manufacturer's literature indicates thermal stability of A-26 resin is slightly greater than that of the $A-29$ resin. (7)

Kinetics of fission product uptake by the macroreticular resins also varies with resin particle size. As expected, the smaller particles absorb activity faster than large beads at both 25 and 40 C . At long contact times the particle size effect disappears and the equilibrium distribution for a particular resin is attained. Column runs were made with assupplied 14 to 50 mesh A-26 resin; classification into smaller particle size fractions is considered impractical for projected plant-scale application.

Characteristics of the strong adsorption of HDBP by strong base macroreticular anion exchange resins are shown in Figure 5, page 19. Kinetic effects operative in this case and their variation with temperature and particle size are similar to those noted for uptake of fission products. In the Japanese work cited earlier, in addition to HDBP various other acidic components (e.g., monobutylphosphoric acid and carboxylic acids) present in degraded TBP solutions also reported strongly to the resin phase. Indirect evidence for adsorption of acidic
components other than HDBP and fission products from Purex lCW solution was obtained in column runs; this evidence is considered later.

## Elution Tests

Various reagents were screened on a batch basis to determine their ability to elute fission product activity from loaded macroreticular resin. Results of some of these taste are listed in Table I.

## TABLE I

## FISSION PRODUCT ELUTION-BATCH TESTS

Two grams 14-20 mesh A-29 resin containing $12 \mu \mathrm{Ci}{ }^{9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Zr}^{-9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ and $1.6 \mu \mathrm{Ci}{ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ contacted 15 min at 25 C with 10 ml eluent

|  | Percent Eluted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eluent Composition | ${ }^{45} \mathrm{Zr}^{-9} \mathrm{Nb}$. | ${ }^{106 \mathrm{Ru}-106 \mathrm{Rh}}$ |
| $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | 52.9 | 55.9 |
| $3 \stackrel{\text { M }}{ } \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | 67.9 | 553.6 |
| $5 \overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | 60.3 | $\begin{array}{r}55.4 \\ \hdashline \quad 37.4\end{array}$ |
| $1 \overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 27.6 | 37.2 |
| $1 \overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | 10.9 | 17.1 |
| $1 \bar{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 2.8 | 12.0 |
| 1M modium citrate | 14.8 | 22.0 |
| $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}-0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{a})$ |  |  |
| Contact 1 | 79.7 (b) | 66.2 (b) |
| Contact 2 | 18.3 (b) | 10.1 (b) |
| Contact 3 | 4.7(b) | 6.0 (b) |
| $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{Cl}$ |  |  |
| Contact 1 | 64.3 | 63.4 |
| Contact 2 | 11.1 (b) | 14.8 (b) |
| Contact 3 | 2.8 (b) | 7.9 (b) |
| (a) Three successiv eluent. | cts with fr | 10-ml portio |
| (b) Percent of total | ity on init | 2 g resin. |

Nitric acid solutions containing small concentrations of fluoride are highly effective for eluting ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}-{ }^{9} \mathrm{Nb}$; as shown by the data in Table $I$, three successive contacts with 3 M $\mathrm{IINO}_{3}-0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HF}$ solution eluted all the ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Zrr}^{95} \mathrm{Nb}$ from a batch of resin. [Beneficial effects of fluoride ion in removing ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}-{ }^{95} \mathrm{Nb}$ from anion exchange resins have been noted earlier. (12)] Conversely, no completely satisfactory elutriant for removing ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ activity from the loaded macroreticular resin has yet been found. Of the reagents tested for this purpose, NaOH and $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}-\mathrm{HF}$ solutions appear best and were used in column tests.

## COLUMN TESTS

Column tests with A-26 resin extended the new solvent treatment scheme to a dynamic system; data obtained in these runs generally substantiated effects noted in the batch work.

## Flow Rate Effects

Illustrative of the kinetic aspects of the new solvent treatment process is the way fission product absorption increases with decreasing feed flow rate (Figures 6 and 7, pages 20 and 21). For the conditions used, over 97 percent of both ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}-{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ and ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}-{ }^{95} \mathrm{Nb}$ were retained by the resin bed at a flow rate as high as 4 bed volumes/hr. At all flow rates, column effluent fission product concentrations remained essentially constant over the entire 50 to 55 column volumes, thus evidencing the great capacity of the $A-26$ resin.

## Cyclic Load-Elution Trests

Spectacular confirmation of the ability of the A-26 resin to clean up large quantities of used Purex solvent was provided by cyclic load and elution tests. Results of the loading portion of these runs are plotted in Figures 8 and 9, pages 22 and 23. Throughout the first loading cycle (245 column volumes),
the effluent fission product content remained at a very low level with no indication that breakthrough was approaching. The first loading cycle was terminated and the bed eluted at this point only because lCW feedstock was temporarily unavailable. Effluent product obtained in a second loading cycle (107 bed volumes) was comparable in all respects to that produced in the first cycle and again there was no evidence for any breakthrough.

Throughout both loading cycles, the fission product content of the organic effluent remained approximately constant. As a consequence, the fraction ( $C / C_{0}$ ) of each fission product reporting to the effluent stream varied with its concentration in the influent lCW feed. This effect is very evident in the ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Zr}-{ }^{9} \mathrm{Nb}$ results shown in Figure 9, page 23; and, to a lesser extent, also in the ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}-{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ data plotted in Figure 8, page 22. Such behavior emphasizes the kinetic aspects of the absorption process; operation at a flow rate lower than 4 bed volumes/hr would have reduced $C / C_{o}$ values correspondingly.

A significant feature of the column tests was the removal of the yellow color from the degraded feed solvent. All the effluent including that obtained after the first elution cycle was water-white just like virgin solvent! During both loading cycles, a yellow color-front slowly moved down the resin bed. The identity of the yellow material has not yet been established, but it presumably represents the small amount of nitrated diluent present in the lCW solution. The first portions of 4 M NaOH eluent appeared to remove all the yellow color from the bed in both elution cycles.

Essentially all the ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}^{9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ but only about 64 percent of the ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}-{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ present on the loaded resin bed were removed in the first elution cycle. The second elution cycle made at 40 C was not as effective as the first (made at 25 C ) in that only 71 percent of the ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}^{9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ and 55 percent of the ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}-{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ activity on the bed were eluted. [The latter
percentage takes into account ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}-{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ left on the bed after the first elution cycle.] Of the ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Zr}-{ }^{95} \mathrm{Nb}$ and ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}-{ }^{106} \mathrm{Rh}$ eluted in each cycle, 97 percent and 80 percent; respectively, were removed by the $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}-\mathrm{HF}$ eluent. Poorer ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Zr}^{-9}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ elution observed in the second elution cycle agrees with temperature effects noted in batch work (Table I, page 7).

The elution cycle reṣults point up the relative ineffectiveness of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}-\mathrm{HF}$ and NaOH solutions for removing radioruthenium from loaded macroreticular anion exchange resin. In plant applications, however, exhausted beds might be simply discarded as solid waste without any need for regeneration. This favorable position could exist if both resin capacity and resin stability were sufficiently great to offset economic penalties involved in resin replacement.

## SOLVENT QUALITY

New procedures proposed for cleaning up Purex process solvent must do at least as good a job as the aqueous wash schemes presently in use--and preferably better. Against this standard, the merits of the macroreticular ion exchange treatment stand out clearly (Table II, page ll). Particularly noticeable are the low fission product content and Pu retention number of the resin-treated extractant; both values are substantially lower than those for alkaline permanganate-washed plant solvent. The Pu retention number like the analogous "Z" and "H"(13) numbers has traditionally been considered a sensitive measure of the presence of deleterious diluent and/or TBP degradation products in used Purex process solvent. The colorless appearance of the resin-treated TBP extractant and its very low $P$ retention number are convincing evidence that the ion exchange procedure effectively removes these degradation

TABLE II
PROPERTIES OF ION EXCHANGE-TREATED PUREX SOLVENT

| Test/Property | Plant | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plant } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | Bed Volumes ${ }^{+}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Lab-Prepared }}{\text { Solver:t }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \mathrm{CW*}$ |  | 119 | 195 |  |
| TBP, vol \% | 29.6 | 29.2 | 28.8 | 29.9 | 30.0 |
| Color | Yellow | Yellow | Colorless | Colorless | Colorless |
| Density, g/ml | 0.8111 | 0.8122 | 0.8108 | 0.8114 | 0.8126 |
| Fission Product Content, Ci/liter |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9} 5 \mathrm{Zr}$ | 90. | 3.4 | 0.62 | 0.35 | - |
| ${ }^{9} 5{ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$ | 98. | 2.1 | 0.69 | 0.54 | - |
| ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Ru}$ | 170. | 9. | 1.2 | 3.0 | - |
| Disengaging Time, sec | 37 | 61 | 28 | 50 | 67 |
| U Extraction, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\circ}$ | 0.318 | 0.326 | 0.318 | 0.334 | 0.387 |
| Pu Retention Number | 2070. | 50. | 6. | 9. | 23. |
| * Typical plant material. |  |  |  |  |  |

products. It is truly a "solvent cleanup" method [in the sense defined by Blake et al. (4)] and not just a mechanism for removing radioactivity.

The other properties listed in Table II (TBP concentration, density, etc.) all confirm that ion exchange treatment neither removes nor adds components to the Purex solvent which affect its hydraulic and chemical performance as an extractant for uranium and plutonium. [Variation of a factor if two in disengaging time with the apparatus used is not regarded as significant.]

CONCLUSIONS

Application of macroreticular ion exchange resins to cleanup of used Purex process solvent has been successfully demonstrated on a laboratory-scale. A primary advantage of the ion exchange method is that it eliminates the large volumes of radioactive waste generated by present-day aqueous wash schemes. Also, quality of the product obtained by the ion exchange procedure is equal or superior to that of solvent washed with conventional alkaline permanganate solutions.

Further laboratory and/or pilot plant-scale work are needed to accurately establish resin capacity for removing fission products and various degradation producls from used TBP solvent. Improved techniques for eluting radioruthenium from the loaded resin are also needed. Assessment of the economic potential of the ion exchange solvent treatment procedure is also in order.
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APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

A simplified version of the flowsheet used to wash the organic waste stream (lCW) from the First Decontamination and Partition Cycle is shown in Figure lo, page 24. The lCW stream is initially given a semi-batch contact with an $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}-\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution to remove fission products, traces, if any, of plutonium and uranium; and, to some extent, solvent degradation products. Subsequently, the solvent stream is pumped to the 10 column where contact with dilute $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ removes entrained carbonate and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$. From the 10 column, the organic overflows to a Turbomixer tank where it is given a final wash with dilute NaOH before routing to the 100 Pump Tank. Entrained NaOH is continuously neutralized in the 100 Pump Tank by addition of a small flow of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. The aqueous wash solutions are charged out on a scheduled basis--the frequency depending on the quality of the clean solvent.
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