# **OPEN ACCESS**



All articles published in the Journal of Threatened Taxa are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows unrestricted use of articles in any medium, reproduction and distribution by providing adequate credit to the authors and the source of publication.



# **Journal of Threatened Taxa**

The international journal of conservation and taxonomy

www.threatenedtaxa.org

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

# **SHORT COMMUNICATION**

MAGNOLIA LANUGINOSA (WALL.) FIGLAR & NOOT. IN WEST KHASI HILLS OF MEGHALAYA, NORTHEASTERN INDIA: RE-COLLECTION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CONSERVATION

Aabid Hussain Mir, Viheno Iralu, Ngakhainii Trune Pao, Gunjana Chaudhury, Clarence G. Khonglah, K.L. Chaudhary, B.K. Tiwari & Krishna Upadhaya

26 January 2016 | Vol. 8 | No. 1 | Pp. 8398–8402 10.11609/jott.2242.8.1.8398-8402



For Focus, Scope, Aims, Policies and Guidelines visit http://threatenedtaxa.org/About\_JoTT.asp
For Article Submission Guidelines visit http://threatenedtaxa.org/Submission\_Guidelines.asp
For Policies against Scientific Misconduct visit http://threatenedtaxa.org/JoTT\_Policy\_against\_Scientific\_Misconduct.asp
For reprints contact <info@threatenedtaxa.org>

**Partner** 



Publisher/Host



Journal of Threatened Taxa | www.threatenedtaxa.org | 26 January 2016 | 8(1): 8398-8402



ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

# **OPEN ACCESS**



# MAGNOLIA LANUGINOSA (WALL.) FIGLAR & NOOT. IN WEST KHASI HILLS OF MEGHALAYA, NORTHEASTERN INDIA: RE-COLLECTION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CONSERVATION

Aabid Hussain Mir<sup>1</sup>, Viheno Iralu<sup>2</sup>, Ngakhainii Trune Pao<sup>3</sup>, Gunjana Chaudhury<sup>4</sup>, Clarence G. Khonglah<sup>5</sup>, K.L. Chaudhary<sup>6</sup>, B.K. Tiwari<sup>7</sup> & Krishna Upadhaya<sup>8</sup>

 1.2.3.4.5.7 Department of Environmental Studies, <sup>8</sup> Department of Basic Sciences and Social Sciences, North-Eastern Hill University, Umshing Mawkynroh, Shillong, Meghalaya 793022, India
 <sup>6</sup> Department of Botany, Lady Keane College, Secretariat Hills, Shillong, Meghalaya 793001, India
 <sup>1</sup> aabidm4@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup> viheiralu@gmail.com, <sup>3</sup> atrune@gmail.com, <sup>4</sup> cgunjana@gmail.com,
 <sup>5</sup> rex05hgmg@gmail.com, <sup>6</sup> klchaudhary31@gmail.com, <sup>7</sup> bktiwarinehu@gmail.com,
 <sup>8</sup> upkri@yahoo.com (corresponding author)

**Abstract:** *Magnolia lanuginosa* (Wall.) Figlar & Noot. [= *Michelia lanuginosa* Wall.], a rare tree species of Meghalaya, is restricted to the West Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya. The species was considered to have become extinct from the state. The present paper reports a recent re-collection of the species from four locations in the West Khasi Hills after a lapse of almost 100 years. In addition, the population structure, regeneration status and the threat to the species are also discussed so as to develop effective strategies for its conservation.

Keywords: Conservation, Data Deficient, Khasi Hills, sacred grove.

Magnolia L. [Incl. Elmerrillia, Kmeria, Manglietia, Michelia, Pachylarnax, Talauma], belonging to the family Magnoliaceae, consists of 219 species distributed in the Himalaya to Japan and western Malaysia, eastern North America to tropical America (Mabberley 2008). According to Kumar (2014), a total of 30 species and one variety are recognised from the Indian region.

## Magnolia lanuginosa (Wall.) Figlar & Noot.

[Synonyms: Michelia lanuginosa Wall., Michelia lanceolata E.H. Wilson, Michelia velutina DC., Sampacca lanuginosa (Wall.) Kuntze and Magnolia velutina (DC.) Figlar] is a threatened tree, which is less commonly found in Meghalaya. It is chiefly found in the forest slopes at 1500-2400 m of India (West Bengal, Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Nagaland and Manipur), Nepal, Bhutan, Myanmar, Tibet and southwestern China (Yunnan). In the Chinese Red List, the species has been assessed as 'regionally extinct' suggesting that it may have reduced its range of occurrence in China (Wheeler & Rivers 2014). It has also been reported as a less common tree in the Kanchenjunga range in Darjeeling (Chettri et al. 2008). In Meghalaya, it is restricted exclusively to the West Khasi Hills. The only collection was from Kynshi by U.N. Kanjilal in the year 1915 (ASSAM 5889). Kanjilal

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.11609/jott.2242.8.1.8398-8402

Editor: P. Lakshminarasimhan, Botanical Survey of India, Pune, India.

Date of publication: 26 January 2016 (online & print)

Manuscript details: Ms # 2242 | Received 27 August 2015 | Final received 07 December 2015 | Finally accepted 30 December 2015

Citation: Mir, A.H., V. Iralu, N.T. Pao, G. Chaudhury, C.G. Khonglah, K.L. Chaudhary, B.K. Tiwari & K. Upadhaya (2016). Magnolia lanuginosa (Wall.) Figlar & Noot. in West Khasi Hills of Meghalaya, northeastern India: re-collection and implications for conservation. Journal of Threatened Taxa 8(1): 8398–8402; http://dx.doi. org/10.11609/jott.2242.8.1.8398-8402

**Copyright:** © Mir et al. 2016. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use of this article in any medium, reproduction and distribution by providing adequate credit to the authors and the source of publication.

**Funding:** State Forest Department, Government of Meghalaya (No MFD/ThFC/2012-13/8289 dated 26 September 2014).

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no competing interests.



Acknowledgements: The authors are thankful to the State Forest Department, Government of Meghalaya for financial assistance (No MFD/ThFC/2012-13/8289 dated 26 September 2014). We are also thankful to the Head, Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Regional Centre, Shillong for allowing us to consult the herbarium. The help and cooperation received from the Traditional Institution and the local people are also acknowledged.

& Bor (1940) in 'Flora of Assam' reported it to be a less commonly found species. Haridasan & Rao (1985) in the 'Forest Flora of Meghalaya' stated that it might have been eliminated from the state. The species has been classified as 'Data Deficient' by the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Wheeler & Rivers 2014) as there is neither any information on existing subpopulations nor are the threats and uses known.

While carrying out floristic studies in the Mawnai sacred grove at West Khasi Hills, one of the species was identified as *Magnolia lanuginosa*. The identity of the species was confirmed by comparing it with the herbarium specimens housed at the Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Regional Centre, Shillong (ASSAM). It was collected after a lapse of almost 100 years from the state. Therefore, a detailed study was conducted with the following objectives: (i) to assess the distribution of the species in the state of Meghalaya, (ii) estimate the population structure and regeneration status, (iii) examine the threat operating on the species, and (iv) to suggest measures for its conservation.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Study site

Extensive field surveys were carried out in different parts of the West Khasi Hills from January 2013–2015 to locate the species with the help of available herbarium information and local people. In addition to the Mawnai Sacred grove (25°34.51′N & 91°35.56′E, altitude 1741m), the species could be collected from three additional sites viz., Mawnai Village forest (25°34.79′N & 91°35.35′E, 1800m) Kynshi Village forest (25°28.38′N & 91°18.32′E, 1620m) and Rngisawlia Village Reserve forest (25°26.66′N & 91°28.09′E, 1592m). Hereafter, these sites have been abbreviated as site I (Mawnai sacred grove), site II (adjacent to Mawnai Village forest), site III (Kynshi village forest) and site IV (Rngisawlia village reserve forest) respectively.

# Study species

Magnolia lanuginosa (= Michelia lanuginosa) is a medium-sized tree that grows in subtropical broadleaved forests (Champion & Seth 1968). It was however observed that the species also grows along with Pine Pinus kesiya. It has an average height of 15m but there were some individuals that had attained a height of 25m. The tree has a broad crown. It often formed the canopy layer in site-I and site-IV. It attains a girth of  $\approx$  180cm. Young parts very hairy. The leaves measure 12–21 by 2–5 cm and are densely hairy tomentose beneath. Flowering buds are initiated in late July and it attains

peak flowering in August. Fruiting starts from August and the fruit matures during the months of September—October. The fruit is made up of 12–20 follicles which contain 2–4 seeds covered by a pale orange fragrant aril during the early stages of growth which turns deep orange at maturation (Image 1).

## Field survey and data analysis

The forests where the species was present in the West Khasi Hills were thoroughly surveyed and a plot of 20 × 20 m was laid to enumerate the species and its associates. The species occurred in 12, 4, 6 and 15 plots at site -I, -II, -III and -IV respectively. The population structure and regeneration status of the species were studied by classifying the species into: (1) adult individuals (≥5cm diameter at breast height (dbh) measured at 1.37m from the ground level), and (2) regenerating individuals that include saplings (<5cm dbh and >1m height) and seedlings (<1m height). The adult individuals of Magnolia lanuainosa were assigned to five dbh classes (5-15, 16-25, 26-35, 36-45 and >45 cm) to analyze the population structure. The regeneration status of the species was assessed following Sukumar et al. (1992) as: (a) 'good', if seedling > sapling > adult; (b) 'fair', if seedling > sapling ≤ adult; (c) 'poor', if a species survives only at the sapling stage, but not as seedlings (though saplings may be less, more or equal to adults) (d) 'none', if the species is absent both at the sapling and seedling stages, but present as adults and (e) 'new', if the species has no adults, but only saplings and/or seedlings.

The disturbance index for each site was computed following Uotila & Kouki (2005), Tang et al. (2010, 2011) with a slight modification. A score of five was assigned to each of the human disturbance factors, viz., logging for timber, fuel wood harvesting, NTFP's collection, clearing forest land for agriculture, grazing, building roads and fire. Any site with all these disturbances would have a total score of 35.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Site characteristics

The Mawnai sacred grove (site-I) was the least disturbed site and represents the subtropical broadleaved forest. The dominant tree species in the forest include *Citrus latipes, Castanopsis purpullera, Casearia glomerulata, Litsea salicifolia* and *Macropanax dispermus*. Adjacent to the sacred grove was a village forest (site-II), which is a severely degraded mixed-pine forest, with a dominance of *Pinus kesiya, Lithocarpus elegans* and *Castanopsis tribuloides*. In



Image 1. Flowering twig (A), flower (B), fruit initiation (C) and mature fruits with seeds (D) of Magnolia lanuginosa

site-III, *Pinus kesiya* was the dominant tree species followed by *Rhododendron arboreum* and *Lithocarpus dealbatus* whereas in site-IV, the associated species includes *Schima wallichii*, *S. khasiana*, *Pinus kesiya* and *Castanopsis tribuloides*. All the sites were exposed to anthropogenic disturbances, of which site-II and site-III were highly disturbed (Table 1).

# Population characteristics

The total population of *Magnolia lanuginosa* including seedling, sapling and adult individuals varied significantly among the four sites. Site-I had the highest number of total individuals (123), followed by site –IV (80 individuals), site-II (39 individuals) and site-III, which had only 11 individuals. The highest number of individuals in site-I may be attributed to least disturbances and the

related favorable habitat as compared to other sites. Of all the sites, site-IV had the highest number of adult individuals (54), followed by site-I (36), site-II (18) and site-III (6). A high proportion (67%) of cut individuals of the species were observed in site-III (Table 1).

The population structure of adult trees (≥5cm dbh) of *Magnolia lanuginosa* depicted through a density diameter distribution yielded a discontinuous distribution of individuals. Except site-I, there were no individuals in the highest (>45 cm) dbh class (Fig. 1). The low density and discontinuous distribution of the species in different diameter classes in all the sites could be attributed to selective felling and human disturbance. A similar observation has been made with *Grewia pandaica*, a rare and endemic species of the Western Ghats (Parthasarathy & Karthikeyan 1997) and

Number of Individuals of M. Januainosa Site Forest type **Current disturbances** Other associated species No. of cut Seedling Adult Sapling individuals Citrus latipes, Castanopsis Broad leaved Fuel wood harvesting, NTFP's purpullera, Casearia Site-I 50 37 36 1 glomerulata, Litsea salicifolia collection and grazing and Macropanax dispermus Logging for timber, fuel wood Pinus kesiya, Lithocarpus harvesting, NTFP's collection, 21 0 Site-II Mixed pine forest 18 elegans, Schima wallichii and clearing forest land for Castanopsis tribuloides agriculture, grazing, and fire Logging for timber, fuel wood Pinus kesiya, Rhododendron harvesting, NTFP's collection, Site-III Mixed pine forest 1 4 arboreum and Lithocarpus clearing forest land for agriculture, grazing, building roads and fire Schima wallichii, Schima Logging for timber, fuel wood khasiana, Pinus kesiya, Myrica Mixed pine forest 21 54 Site-IV 5 6 harvesting, NTFP's collection, esculenta and Castanopsis grazing and fire tribuloides

Table 1. Site characteristics and population of Magnolia lanuginosa in different sites of West Khasi Hills in Meghalaya

Alphonsea sclerocarpa, an endemic tree species from the Eastern Ghats (Kadaval & Parthasarathy 2001).

# Regeneration status

The overall age structures of the population based on the density of seedling, sapling and adult individuals varied among the four sites. The highest seedling density (50 individuals) was recorded at site-I, followed by 21 individuals in site-II, 5 individuals insite -IV, and only 1 individual in site-III. Similarly, the sapling density was highest in site-I (37 individuals) followed by site-IV (21), site-III (4) and site-II, which had no seedlings (Table 1). Based on the number of seedlings, saplings and adult trees, the regeneration status was good only in site -I, while in all other forests sites it was poor (Fig. 2).

# **Threat status**

The threat to the species is mainly anthropogenic disturbances, which had a negative impact on its performance. This is evident by a negative correlation (Y = 212.2 - 5.67429X, R = 0.99, p = 0.008, n=4) between the population size of the species and disturbance. Such a disturbance-linked decline in population size of the species has also been observed in *Alphonsea sclerocarpa* from the Eastern Ghats (Kadaval & Parthasarathy 2001) and *Ilex khasiana* from northeastern India (Upadhaya et al. 2009). Disturbance in the form of timber extraction drastically reduces the densities of naturally occurring plants. Except site-I, all the sites were exposed to high disturbances. A combined effect of all these factors (Table 1) might have contributed to the very low population of the species.




Figure 1. Density-diameter distribution of adult individuals (≥ 5cm dbh) of *Magnolia lanuginosa* in different sites of West Khasi Hills in Meghalaya

Except the sacred grove, the low seedling and sapling density of the species in all the sites could be due to its association with pine. Pine-or pine-mixed forests are exposed to fire every year leading to high mortality of the young individuals. Tree felling for use as poles and timber was another threat responsible for the species decline. The species is considered good timber and is preferred to make furniture, building houses and the wood is highly priced. This could be the reason for its absence in >45cm dbh class in highly disturbed patches. Moreover, forest clearing for agriculture and construction of roads is leading to the habitat destruction of the species.

Habitat destruction has been recognized as one of the important threats responsible for species extinction,




Figure 2. Population structure of *Magnolia lanuginosa* in different sites of West Khasi Hills in Meghalaya

followed by fire, hence hindering the regeneration process. Another factor for low regeneration of the species can be attributed to the fact that many of the fruits fall from the tree before they mature. The heavy fruits are vulnerable to gushes of wind and tend to fall easily. The fruits are also predated by squirrels and insects on the forest floor. Another factor for its low density especially in disturbed sites could be that the species germinates during February–March and is soon exposed to fire followed by competition with other species in the rainy season (April–October). Recently, a similar observation has been made by Iralu and Upadhaya (2015) with another species of *Magnolia* (*M. punduana*).

# **Conservation implications**

The study reveals that the population of the species is very low and there is an urgent need for taking up effective conservation measures, so as to save it from extinction in the state. Thus the forest patches, where the species occurs need to be protected. The local people should be encouraged to grow the species in their home gardens and agroforestry. This will reduce the pressure on the species in the wild. Forest fire is another major threat to the species and needs to be checked. The species is required to be raised both through seeds and tissue culture, and introduced in suitable habitats as well as in botanical gardens.

# **REFERENCES**

Champion, H.G. & S.K. Seth (1968). A Revised Survey of the Forest Types of India, Manager of Publications, Government of India, Delhi

Chettri, N., B. Shakya & E. Sharma (2008). Biodiversity Conservation in Kangchenjunga Landscape. International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development.

Haridasan, K. & R.R. Rao (1985). Forest Flora of Meghalaya - Vol I.
Bishen Singh and Mahendrapal Singh, Dehra Dun.

Iralu, V. & K. Upadhaya (2015). Notes on Magnolia punduana Hk. f. & Th. (Magnoliopsida: Magnoliales: Magnoliaceae): an endemic and threatened tree species of northeastern India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 7(9): 7573–7576; http://dx.doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o4238.7573-6

Kadaval, K. & N. Parthasarathy (2001). Population analysis of Alphonsea sclerocarpa Thw. (Annonaceae) in the Kalyaran Hills of Eastern Ghats India. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Science 27: 51–54.

Kanjilal, U.N. & N.L. Bor (1940). Flora of Assam. Omsons Publications, New Delhi, India, 22pp.

Kumar, V.S. (2014). Magnoliaceae of Indian Region An – Apprisal, pp. 53–74. In: Panda, S. & C. Ghosh (eds.). Diversity and Conservation of Plants and Traditional Knowledge. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.

Mabberley, D.J. (2008). Mabberley's Plant-book: A Portable Dictionary of the Vascular Plants: Their Classification and Uses: Utilizing Kubitzki's The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants (1990). 3rd Revised Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Parthasarathy, N. & R. Karthikeyan (1997). Population structure of Grewia pandaica- a rare and endemic tree species of southwest India. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences 23: 85–90.

Sukumar, R., H.S. Dattaraja, H.S. Suresh, J. Radhakrishnan, R. Vasudeva, S. Nirmala & N.V. Joshi (1992). Long-term monitoring of vegetation in a tropical deciduous forest in Mudumalai, Southern India. Current Science 62(9): 608–616.

Tang, C.Q., Y. Li & Z.Y. Zhang (2010). Species diversity patterns of natural secondary plant communities and man-made forests in a subtropical mountainous karst area, Yunnan, SW China. *Mountain Research and Development* 30(3): 244–251; http://dx.doi. org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-10-00021.1

Tang, C.Q., Y. Yang, M. Ohsawa, A. Momohara, M. Hara, S. Cheng & S. Fan (2011). Population structure of relict *Metasequoia glyptostroboides* and its habitat fragmentation and degradation in south-central China. *Biological Conservation* 144: 279–289; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.09.003

Uotila, A.& J. Kouki (2005). Understory vegetation in spruce-dominated forests in eastern Finland and Russian Karelia: successional pattern after anthropogenic and natural disturbances. Forest Ecology and Management 215(1–3): 113–137; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2005.05.008

Upadhaya, K., S.K. Barik, D. Adhikari, R. Baishya & N.J. Lakadong (2009). Regeneration ecology and population status of a Critically Endangered and endemic tree species (*Ilex khasiana* Purk.) in northeastern India. *Journal of Forestry Research* 20: 223–228; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11676-009-0041-z

Wheeler, L. & M.C. Rivers (2014). Magnolia lanuginosa. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2014: e.T15114022A15114029. Downloaded on 09 January 2016; http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2014-3.RLTS.T15114022A15114029.en





All articles published in the Journal of Threatened Taxa are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows unrestricted use of articles in any medium, reproduction and distribution by providing adequate credit to the authors and the source of publication.

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online); ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

January 2016 | Vol. 8 | No. 1 | Pages: 8309–8420 Date of Publication: 26 January 2016 (Online & Print) DOI: 10.11609/jott.2016.8.1.8309-8420

www.threatenedtaxa.org

#### **Article**

Habitat quantity of Red-cockaded Woodpecker *Picoides* borealis (Aves: Piciformes: Picidae) in its former historic landscape near the Big Thicket National Preserve, Texas, USA -- Vivek Thapa & Miguel F. Acevedo, Pp. 8309–8322

#### **Communications**

The conservation status of the Fishing Cat *Prionailurus* viverrinus Bennett, 1833 (Carnivora: Felidae) In Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve, Nepal

-- Iain Rothie Taylor, Hem Sagar Baral, Prava Pandey & Prativa Kaspal, Pp. 8323–8332

Avifauna of Chamba District, Himachal Pradesh, India with emphasis on Kalatop-Khajjiar Wildlife Sanctuary and its surroundings

-- Tariq Ahmed Shah, Vishal Ahuja, Martina Anandam & Chelmala Srinivasulu, Pp. 8333–8357

Status and population of vultures in Moyar Valley, southern India

-- R. Venkitachalam & S. Senthilnathan, Pp. 8358-8364

# **Short Communications**

First record of *Scotophilus kuhlii* Leach, 1821 (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) from Nepal

-- Dibya Dahal, Sanjan Thapa & Khadga Basnet, Pp. 8365-8368

Avifaunal diversity in Assam University Campus, Silchar,

-- Biswajit Chakdar, Parthankar Choudhury & Hilloljyoti Singha, Pp. 8369–8378

New locality record of the Travancore Bush Frog *Raorchestes travancoricus* Boulenger, 1891 (Amphibia: Anura: Rhacophoridae) from Periyar Tiger Reserve, Kerala, India

-- K.P. Rajkumar, T.S. Prasad, Sandeep Das, R. Sreehari, P.S. Easa & K.A. Sreejith, Pp. 8379–8382

Descriptions of four new species of *Dicopomorpha* Ogloblin (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea: Mymaridae) from India with a key to Indian species

-- A. Rameshkumar & S. Manickavasagam, Pp. 8383-8388

Taxonomic studies on Acridinae (Orthoptera: Acridoidea: Acrididae) from the northeastern states of India

-- Mohammed Imran Khan & Mohammed Kamil Usmani, Pp. 8389–8397

Magnolia lanuginosa (Wall.) Figlar & Noot. in West Khasi Hills of Meghalaya, northeastern India: re-collection and implications for conservation

-- Aabid Hussain Mir, Viheno Iralu, Ngakhainii Trune Pao, Gunjana Chaudhury, Clarence G. Khonglah, K.L. Chaudhary, B.K. Tiwari & Krishna Upadhaya, Pp. 8398–8402

Three species of *Phallus* (Basidiomycota: Agaricomycetes: Phallaceae) from Jammu & Kashmir, India

-- Harpreet Kour, Rigzin Yangdol, Sanjeev Kumar & Yash Pal Sharma, Pp. 8403–8409

# **Notes**

Dusky Warbler *Phylloscopus fuscatus* (Aves: Passeriformes: Sylviidae) in Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Maharashtra - a rare record for peninsular India

-- Parvish Pandya, Vikrant Choursiya & Jyoti James, Pp. 8410–8411

Oberonia mucronata (D. Don) Ormerod & Seidenf. (Orchidaceae), new addition to the flora of Gujarat, India

-- Mital R. Bhatt & Padamnabhi S. Nagar, Pp. 8412-8414

# **Response & Reply**

Comments on the list of marine mammals from Kerala -- R.P. Kumarran, Pp. 8415–8416

Checklist of Marine Mammals of Kerala - a reply to Kumarran (2016) and the updated Checklist of Marine Mammals of Kerala

-- P.O. Nameer, Pp. 8417-8420



