

Delft University of Technology

Maintaining Tropical Beaches with Seagrass and Algae

A Promising Alternative to Engineering Solutions

James, Rebecca K.; Pietrzak, Julie; Candy, Adam; Katsman, Caroline; van der Boog, C.G.; Riva, Riccardo; Slobbe, Cornelis; Klees, Roland; More Authors

DOI 10.1093/biosci/biy154

Publication date 2019

Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Published in **BioScience**

Citation (APA) James, R. K., Pietrzak, J., Candy, A., Katsman, C., van der Boog, C. G., Riva, R., Slobbe, C., Klees, R., & More Authors (2019). Maintaining Tropical Beaches with Seagrass and Algae: A Promising Alternative to Engineering Solutions. *BioScience*, *69*(2), 136-142. [154]. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy154

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Maintaining Tropical Beaches with Seagrass and Algae: A Promising Alternative to Engineering Solutions

3

4 Running head: Maintaining beaches with seagrass and algae

5

6 Authors:

7 Rebecca K. James (MSc) Department of Estuarine and Delta Systems, NIOZ Royal Netherlands

8 Institute for Sea Research and Utrecht University, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT Yerseke, The

- 9 Netherlands. Rebecca.james@nioz.nl
- 10 Rodolfo Silva Casarín (Dr.), Instituto de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de
 11 México, Circuito Escolar s/n, Edificio 5, Mexico City, 04510, Mexico.
- 12 rsilvac@iingen.unam.mx

13 Brigitta I. van Tussenbroek (Dr.), Unidad Académica de Sistemas Arrecifales-Puerto Morelos,

14 Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,

15 Prolongación Avenida Niños Héroes S/N, Puerto Morelos, Quintana Roo 77580, Mexico.

- 16 vantuss@cmarl.unam.mx
- 17 Mireille Escudero-Castillo (Dr.), Instituto de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de
- 18 México, Circuito Escolar s/n, Edificio 5, Mexico City, 04510, Mexico.
- 19 mescuderoc@iingen.unam.mx
- Ismael Mariño-Tapia (Dr.), Departamento de Recursos del Mar, Cinvestav, km 6 Carretera
 Antigua a Progreso, Cordemex, 97310, AS.P. 73, Mérida, Yuc., Mexico.
 imarinotapia@gmail.com

- Henk A. Dijkstra (Prof. Dr. ir.), Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht,
 Department of Physics, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The
 Netherlands. h.a.dijkstra@uu.nl
- René M. van Westen (MSc.), Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht,
 Department of Physics, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The
 Netherlands. r.m.vanwesten@uu.nl
- Julie D. Pietrzak (Prof. Dr.), Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Delft University of
 Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands. J.D.Pietrzak@tudelft.nl
- Adam S. Candy (Dr.), Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Delft University of Technology,
- 32 Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands. A.S.Candy@tudelft.nl
- Caroline A. Katsman (Assoc. Prof. Dr.), Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Delft
 University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands.
 c.a.katsman@tudelft.nl
- Carine G. van der Boog (MSc.), Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Delft University of
 Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands.
- 38 c.g.vanderboog@tudelft.nl
- 39 Riccardo E. M. Riva (Dr.), Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Delft University of
- 40 Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands. R.E.M.Riva@tudelft.nl
- 41 Cornelis Slobbe (Dr. ir.), Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Delft University of
- 42 Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands. D.C.Slobbe@tudelft.nl
- 43 Roland Klees (Prof. Dr), Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Delft University of
- 44 Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands. r.klees@tudelft.nl

45	Johan Stapel (Dr.), Caribbean Netherlands Science Institute, L.E. Saddlerweg, St Eustatius,
46	Caribbean Netherlands. johan.stapel@cnsi.nl
47	Tjisse van der Heide (Dr.), Department of Coastal Systems, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute
48	for Sea Research and Utrecht University, P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, The
49	Netherlands. tjisse.van.der.heide@nioz.nl
50	Marieke M. van Katwijk (Dr.), Department of Environmental Science, Institute for Water and
51	Wetland Research, Faculty of Science, Radboud University Nijmegen, Heyendaalseweg 135,
52	6525 Nijmegen, The Netherlands. M.vanKatwijk@science.ru.nl
53	Peter M. J. Herman (Prof. Dr.), Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Delft University of
54	Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands. peter.herman@deltares.nl
55	Tjeerd J. Bouma (Prof. Dr.), Department of Estuarine and Delta Systems, NIOZ Royal
56	Netherlands Institute for Sea Research and Utrecht University, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT
57	Yerseke, The Netherlands. tjeerd.bouma@nioz.nl
58	
59	Corresponding author: Rebecca K. James, rebecca.james@nioz.nl, 0031 113 577 491
60	
61	Keywords: coastal erosion, nature-based engineering, ecosystem services, coastal protection,
62	coastal management
63	
64	Paper type: Forum article
65	
66	

67 Abstract

Tropical beaches provide coastal flood protection, income from tourism and habitat for 'flag-68 ship' species. They urgently need protection from erosion, which is being exacerbated by 69 70 changing climate and coastal development. Traditional coastal engineering solutions are expensive, provide unstable temporary solutions and often disrupt natural sediment transport. 71 Instead, natural foreshore stabilization and nourishment may provide a sustainable and 72 73 resilient, long-term solution. Field flume and ecosystem process measurements along with data from the literature, show that sediment stabilization by seagrass in combination with 74 75 sediment-producing calcifying algae in the foreshore, form an effective mechanism for 76 maintaining tropical beaches worldwide. The long-term efficacy of this type of nature-based beach management is shown at a large scale by comparing vegetated and unvegetated coastal 77 profiles. We argue that preserving and restoring vegetated beach foreshore ecosystems offers 78 a viable, self-sustaining alternative to traditional engineering solutions, increasing the 79 resilience of coastal areas to climate change. 80

81

82 Introduction:

83 Beaches are key ecosystems in coastal zones, making up 31% of the world's shoreline in icefree regions of the world (Luijendijk et al. 2018). They have a vital role in flood defence, 84 provide a source of income as a tourist attraction, and are essential habitats for various tropical 85 "flag-ship" species, such as sea turtles and sea birds (Defeo et al. 2009). Beach erosion, 86 however, has become a major global problem, with a recent analysis showing that 24% of the 87 world's sandy beaches experience chronic erosion (Luijendijk et al. 2018). The development of 88 human infrastructure along the coast and waterways (Fig. 1a-c) has led to the rapid loss of 89 natural systems that accumulate and stabilize sediment - such as coastal dunes, seagrass 90

meadows and mangroves - disrupting the regular pathways of sediment transport (Feagin et al. 91 92 2015; Luijendijk et al. 2018). Moreover, the combination of sea level rise with increasing storm occurrence and intensity will exacerbate beach erosion in the future (Defeo et al. 2009; Nicholls 93 & Cazenave 2010). This is of great concern for many tropical areas, which typically have a high 94 dependency on beaches for flood safety, and also economically for local tourism (red shading 95 in Fig. 1d). For example, Caribbean islands together received over 23 million tourist visitors in 96 2015, creating a revenue of 26.5 billion USD (UNWTO 2016). On average, 23% of the gross 97 domestic product (GDP) of countries within the Caribbean is obtained from tourism (Fig. 1d), 98 with most tourists being attracted by the sandy beaches. Cost effective solutions to prevent or 99 100 mitigate beach erosion are thus urgently needed for the long-term economic sustainability in these countries (Secretary-General 2016; Morris et al. 2018). 101

Many tropical countries lack the infrastructure and finances to undertake engineering solutions 102 for beach protection. Hence, beaches continue to disappear into the sea, increasing the 103 vulnerability of coastal areas to flooding, and threatening coastal structures and beach tourism 104 (Fig. 1b). Where there are sufficient resources, two schemes of coastal engineering strategies 105 are used to counter beach erosion: hard and soft (Finkl & Walker 2005; Castelle et al. 2009; 106 Stive et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2016), both incurring a high capital cost. Hard coastal defence 107 108 schemes are employed to mitigate wave attack and reduce local erosion (Fig. 1a; Ranasinghe and Turner 2006; Ruiz-Martínez et al. 2015; Walker, Dong and Anastasiou 1991). Such 109 physical barriers typically inhibit the natural sand transport pathways, thereby depleting sand 110 from neighbouring areas (Ranasinghe & Turner 2006; Ruiz-Martínez et al. 2015; Luijendijk et 111 al. 2018). Soft defence schemes, such as beach or foreshore nourishments, have recently 112 become more popular (Fig. 1c; Bishop et al. 2006; Castelle et al. 2009; Ruiz-Martínez et al. 113 2015; Stive et al. 2013). Although effective, soft engineering requires continuous maintenance, 114 resulting in repeated smothering and disturbance of the natural beach communities (Bishop et 115

al. 2006; Defeo et al. 2009) and neighbouring ecosystems (e.g. coral reefs). In the long-term,
nourishments can alter beach grain characteristics (Hanson et al. 2002), which can potentially
cause permanent changes to the benthic community (Bishop et al. 2006).

119 By combining experimental field measurements with data from the literature, we demonstrate that the combination of foreshore stabilization by seagrass and natural foreshore nourishment 120 by calcifying macroalgae can provide long-term maintenance of tropical beaches. In general, 121 122 foreshore nourishment (both natural or engineered) is effective in beach protection, as a shallow foreshore reduces wave attack on the beach (Hanson et al. 2002; Christianen et al. 2013). 123 Because a natural foreshore stabilization-and-nourishment regime requires no maintenance and 124 125 operates gradually over long timescales with locally-produced sediment, it offers a costeffective and sustainable alternative to human-engineered solutions. Comparing unique long-126 term beach profiles of vegetated, transitioning and unvegetated coasts illustrate the 127 effectiveness of this approach. 128

129

130 Natural foreshore nourishment by vegetation: sediment stabilization and production

131 Shallow inter- and sub-tidal foreshores of natural tropical sandy beaches are predominately 132 composed of locally produced calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) sediments. These carbonate 133 sediments are biogenically produced and need to be continually captured and retained within 134 the foreshore for a beach to resist erosion and remain stable, something that seagrass is 135 extremely effectively at achieving.

136

With a newly developed portable flume, designed to be used in the field, the ability of different
vegetation types (bare, vegetated with only calcifying macroalgae, sparse seagrass: 50% cover
of *T. testudinum*, and dense seagrass: 100% cover of *T. testudinum*) to stabilize sediment was

measured directly within Galion Bay, St Martin (Caribbean). Regulating the speed of two 140 motor-driven propellers allowed the flow velocity within the flume tunnel to be modified (see 141 photo in Fig. 2a, and further methods in Suppl. 1). The point at which the surface sediment 142 began to move was recorded as the threshold shear velocity. We found that in bare areas and 143 areas with only calcifying macroalgae, the coarse carbonate sediments (median grain size: 337 144 μ m, SE = 33) that are present in these areas start eroding already at flow speeds caused by 145 moderate breezes (i.e. a wind of 10 m s⁻¹ can cause flow speeds of 0.2 m s⁻¹ within shallow 146 areas (Hughes 1956)). However, where a sparse cover of seagrass is present, the sediment is 147 finer (median grain size: 297 μ m, SE = 17) as the protected seagrass canopy promotes fine 148 grains to settle (De Boer 2007), but the flow required to erode the carbonate sediment doubles. 149 And when *T. testudinum* seagrass cover is dense, the sediment is finer again (median grain size: 150 129 μ m, SE = 7), but remains stable at flows stronger than 1.0 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 2a); the maximum 151 152 flow velocity of the flume. These flume results were confirmed by the seven times longer retention time of stained sediment that was placed in dense seagrass beds as compared to bare 153 154 areas, in a high uni-directional flow environment within Galion Bay, and the four times higher retention time in a wave-exposed area (Fig. 2b). 155

156

Although relatively few studies have directly measured the sediment stabilizing effect of 157 seagrass (Scoffin 1970; Widdows et al. 2008), the available literature widely supports our 158 findings. For example, Christianen et al. (2013) found that even low density, heavily grazed 159 seagrass meadows significantly reduce sediment erosion in Indonesia. A global review by 160 Potouroglou et al., (2017) shows an average accretion rate of 5.33 mm year⁻¹ occurring within 161 seagrass meadows compared to adjacent unvegetated areas that experience an average erosion 162 rate of 21.3 mm year⁻¹. Seagrasses reduce erosion and cause sediment accretion by stabilizing 163 the sediment with their root-rhizome mat (Potouroglou et al. 2017), and by attenuating water 164

flow and waves. Hansen & Reidenbach (2012) reported that dense seagrass canopies of Zostera 165 marina can attenuate flow velocity by 70-90%, whereas Fonseca & Cahalan (1992) showed a 166 wave energy reduction of 34-44% for four varying species of seagrass, including *T. testudinum*. 167 Flow and wave attenuation cause sediment particles to settle and reduces their resuspension, 168 while additionally, seagrass leaves can bend over the sediment surface, further stabilizing the 169 sediments. For a beach to remain stable over the long-term, however, a continuous supply of 170 171 sediment is required to offset any erosion that occurs during storm events or from seaward currents that may transport unprotected sediment out of the beach system. 172

173

The breakdown and erosion of nearby coral reefs can provide a large contribution of sediment 174 when the reefs are present (Chave et al. 1972; Hallock 1981). Another sediment contributor is 175 calcifying macroalgae from the Halimedaceae family, which are composed of 70-90% CaCO₃ 176 (van Tussenbroek & Van Dijk 2007). Because they grow directly within and adjacent to 177 seagrass meadows on tropical beach foreshores, the sediment they produce is deposited where 178 it is most valuable for providing a natural foreshore nourishment. This sediment production 179 does vary significantly depending on the season, species and their abundance, however, the fast 180 growth and rapid turn-over rates mean that the average sediment production reported for 181 Halimeda spp. growing within seagrass meadows in the Pacific region is 337 g_{dwt} CaCO₃ m⁻² 182 year⁻¹ (SE = 70, n = 10) (Suppl. 2; Garrigue 1991; Merten 1971; Payri 1988), and in Caribbean 183 region, 166 g_{dwt} CaCO₃ m⁻² year⁻¹ (SE = 93, n = 8) (Suppl. 2; Armstrong and Miller 1988; 184 Freile 2004; Multer 1988; Neumann and Land 1975; van Tussenbroek and Van Dijk 2007; 185 Wefer 1980). Although this average rate contributes less than 0.28 (Pacific) and 0.15 mm 186 (Caribbean) of sediment to the bed level per year (assuming a dry bulk density of 1.08 g per 187 cm³), the deposition of this CaCO₃ occurs directly within the foreshore where seagrass is 188 present. The algae-produced sediment is therefore immediately captured and retained within 189

the beach foreshore ecosystem by the seagrass, thereby supplying a continuous and naturalnourishment.

192

193 Engineering and natural nourishment as contrasting management regimes

We postulate engineering solutions and natural foreshore nourishment as contrasting 194 management regimes, each having its own positive feedback (Fig. 3a). The engineered regime, 195 196 where there is an unvegetated disturbed foreshore ecosystem with little or no biogenic sand production and highly mobile sediments. Such a regime results in a beach vulnerable to erosion, 197 198 and therefore, requires regular engineering nourishments of the beach foreshore system to maintain its form. The alternative regime, a natural self-sustaining foreshore ecosystem with 199 seagrass and calcifying macroalgae fronting a stable beach, which forms a self-stabilizing and 200 self-nourishing system. 201

The combined sediment-stabilization by seagrass and sediment-production by calcifying algae 202 yields a biologically-driven landscape with self-maintaining feedbacks. Specifically, by 203 attenuating waves, preventing excessive erosion, and replenishing lost sediments, seagrass 204 meadows and calcifying algae together create a self-reinforcing loop (Maxwell et al. 2017). 205 206 Stable sediment has been shown to be a main requirement for the long-term persistence of seagrass meadows (Reise & Kohlus 2008; Christianen et al. 2014; Suykerbuyk et al. 2016), and 207 208 in areas with fine sediment, can lead to a higher water transparency needed to sustain growth (van der Heide et al. 2007; Adams et al. 2018). This means that disruption of these self-209 reinforcing feedbacks may result in rapid losses of the seagrass-algae community (Maxwell et 210 al. 2017). That is, in beach foreshore systems without seagrasses and algae, the sediment surface 211 is freely agitated by currents and waves, yielding highly mobile sediments (Widdows et al. 212 2008; Marbà et al. 2015). Such unstable sediment conditions make it very difficult for 213

seagrasses and algae to (re-)establish (Williams 1990; Infantes et al. 2011; Balke et al. 2014;
Suykerbuyk et al. 2016), and can increase turbidity levels if smaller sediment particles become
suspended in the water column (van der Heide et al. 2007; Adams et al. 2018).

217 Human engineering through frequent beach nourishments can increase the sand supply to such disturbed beach foreshore systems (Finkl & Walker 2005; Castelle et al. 2009; Stive et al. 2013). 218 However, these repeated nourishments smother establishing seagrasses and algae, and create 219 220 an unstable sediment surface which is more likely to erode (Fig. 3a). Thus, although engineered nourishments may save the beach in the short term, it paradoxically may generate the necessity 221 for recurrent beach nourishments in the long run (Trembanis & Pilkey 1998), creating an 222 223 expensive and unsustainable management cycle in developing tropical regions (Silva et al. 2014). 224

225 Examples of the two alternative management regimes and one in transition, are found along the coast of Mexico (see Suppl. 1). In coastal areas where seagrass and calcifying macroalgae 226 227 dominate the system, beach shore profiles conducted from 2008 to 2012 (methods detailed in Suppl. 1) are stable (Fig. 3b). In contrast, areas devoid of these species are typified by 228 continuous erosion, which persists after engineered nourishments (Fig. 3d). A transition 229 between these contrasting management regimes is observed in a third area. Here, extensive 230 seagrass meadows of T. testudinum disappeared from the first 60 meters of the foreshore in 231 2015 due to a large brown tide of drifting Sargassum spp. (van Tussenbroek et al. 2017). As a 232 result of these losses, beach profiles taken in 2007 and 2017 show the beach foreshore 233 experienced strong vertical erosion, up to 0.4 m in some areas (Fig. 3c). However, a small area 234 of the beach foreshore where seagrass was not lost, experienced only minor erosion and 235 remained relatively stable (Fig. 3c). Overall these examples impressively illustrate the 236 effectiveness of vegetated foreshore ecosystems for maintaining stable beaches and shorelines. 237

239 Implications & challenges for future management of tropical beaches

240 To create stable long-term management solutions for tropical beaches, beach management would benefit from shifting away from frequent engineered nourishments and hard structures, 241 242 towards maintenance by natural ecosystems. With current insights, anthropogenic use of beaches could be designed to halt and reverse current decline of natural foreshore ecosystems. 243 Tropical seagrass and Halimeda spp. usually co-occur and can be found in tropical sandy 244 245 regions all around the world (Fig. 1d; Green and Short 2003; UNEP-WCMC and Short 2005), so there is widespread potential to restore these systems (Orth et al. 2006) to create a natural, 246 self-sustaining beach management regime. 247

Conservation of areas where natural foreshore vegetation still persists will improve the 248 condition of foreshore ecosystems, maximising their ability to protect beaches against erosion. 249 250 Where foreshore vegetation has become degraded, an effort to protect what remains and to restore the ecosystem to a healthy self-reinforcing state may be necessary to implement 251 252 effective natural beach management regimes. Preserving and restoring foreshore vegetation that 253 still exists is especially important as climate-driven disturbance events - such as extreme wave action, cyclones (Saunders & Lea 2008), and the occurrence of brown tides from Sargassum 254 spp. drifts (van Tussenbroek et al. 2017) - become more frequent with rising global 255 256 temperatures. As climate-driven factors are hard to manage at a local scale, management should primarily aim at reducing local human-induced impacts (Scheffer et al. 2001). Local impacts, 257 like greater turbidity (Orth et al. 2006), nutrient enrichment and pollution (Kemp et al. 2005), 258 physical damage to seagrass meadows from trampling and boat anchoring (Eckrich & 259 Holmquist 2000), and modification of natural sediment transport and increased wave reflection 260 261 caused by the construction of hard structures (Defeo et al. 2009; Ruiz-Martínez et al. 2015; Luijendijk et al. 2018), are all intensifying as coastlines develop further. The installation of 262 sewage treatment plants and limiting construction of hard structures along the coast are the most 263

obvious steps to help protect and restore natural foreshore vegetation. Another is to limit
accessibility of people to vulnerable areas, and provide boat anchoring facilities outside regions
of vegetation. Ensuring coral reefs remain in abundance and their sediment input to tropical
beaches persists, would also improve the prospects of tropical beaches to keep up with sea level
rise.

Given that the engineering management regime of a disturbed beach is self-reinforced by a 269 270 feedback that maintains sediment instability (Fig. 3a), it will be difficult to induce a transition to the natural beach systems in areas where engineering management regimes already take place 271 and/or vegetation has been completely lost. Developing ways to stimulate natural vegetation 272 273 development may be necessary, such as utilising temporary structures that protect establishing seagrass and calcifying macroalgae, until they grow to a point that they can self-stabilize the 274 sediment (Suykerbuyk et al. 2016; van Katwijk et al. 2016). Engineered nourishments will need 275 to either cease, or be modified to ensure that any added sediment encourages the growth of the 276 natural ecosystem rather than smothers it (Cheong et al. 2013). This may be achieved by using 277 278 methods that give a gradual sediment flux, like the sand engine in The Netherlands (Stive et al. 2013), or by using smaller doses of sediment. 279

It is imperative that we recognize the benefits of a vegetated foreshore ecosystem in preventing 280 beach erosion, and thus increase the resistance of coastal areas to storm surges and flooding. 281 Switching disturbed beach systems to natural self-sustaining ecosystems for coastal defence 282 will require financial investments (e.g. from the World Bank, in the context of climate 283 adaptation (Secretary-General 2016; World Bank 2017)), development of effective restoration 284 methods, as well as altered governance. Only a collaborative approach of many stakeholders 285 286 will ensure both economic and ecological benefits. This will require interdisciplinary collaboration between economists focusing on tourism, ecologists focusing on ecosystem 287 functioning and natural values, engineers focusing on physical processes and design measures, 288

and sociologists focusing on governance processes and public support. With this paper, we aim
to provide an alternative beach management regime to traditional engineering solutions, by
highlighting the viable and self-sustaining capacity of vegetated beach foreshore ecosystem in
preventing erosion. Utilising an effective natural solution to coastal erosion will help to increase
the resilience of tropical coastal areas to climate change in a sustainable way.

294

295

296 Acknowledgments

This work was primarily funded by the NWO 'Caribbean Research: a Multidisciplinary Approach' grant, which was awarded to the SCENES project (Grant number 858.14.063). Permits for the work in St Martin were obtained from the Reserve Naturelle Saint Martin, and we thank them for their advice and allowing us to conduct our research there. We would also like to thank Carlos Gonzales Godoy for taking the 2007 beach profiles of Mirador Nizuc, and Edgar Escalante-Mancera & Miguel-Angel Gomez from SAMMO, ICML for the 2017 Mirador Nizuc profiles.

304 **References**

305	Adams MP, Ghisalberti M, Lowe RJ, Callaghan DP, Baird ME, Infantes E, O'Brien KR.
306	2018. Water residence time controls the feedback between seagrass, sediment and light:
307	Implications for restoration. Advances in Water Resources 117: 14-26.
308	Armstrong ME, Miller AI. 1988. Modern carbonate sediment production and its relation to
309	bottom variability Grahams Harbor, San Salvador, Bahamas. Pages 23–32 in Mylroie JE,
310	Gerace DT, ed. Proceedings of the fourth symposium on the geology of the Bahamas.
311	Bahamian Field Station.
312	Balke T, Herman PMJ, Bouma TJ. 2014. Critical transitions in disturbance-driven
313	ecosystems: Identifying Windows of Opportunity for recovery. Journal of Ecology 102:
314	700–708.
315	Bishop MJ, Peterson CH, Summerson HC, Lenihan HS, Grabowski JH. 2006. Deposition and
316	long-shore transport of dredge spoils to nourish beaches: Impacts on benthic infauna of
317	an ebb-tidal delta. Journal of Coastal Research 223: 530-546.
318	De Boer WF. 2007. Seagrass-sediment interactions, positive feedbacks and critical thresholds
319	for occurrence: A review. Hydrobiologia 591: 5-24.
320	Castelle B, Turner IL, Bertin X, Tomlinson R. 2009. Beach nourishments at Coolangatta Bay
321	over the period 1987-2005: Impacts and lessons. Coastal Engineering 56: 940-950.
322	Chave KE, Smith S V., Roy KJ. 1972. Carbonate production by coral reefs. Marine Geology
323	12: 123–140.
324	Cheong SM, Silliman B, Wong PP, Van Wesenbeeck B, Kim CK, Guannel G. 2013. Coastal
325	adaptation with ecological engineering. Nature Climate Change 3: 787-791.
326	Christianen MJA, van Belzen J, Herman PMJ, van Katwijk MM, Lamers LPM, van Leent

PJM, Bouma TJ. 2013. Low-canopy seagrass beds still provide important coastal
protection services. PLoS ONE 8.

329 Christianen MJA, Herman PMJ, Bouma TJ, Lamers LPM, van Katwijk MM, van der Heide T,

- 330 Mumby PJ, Silliman BR, Engelhard SL, van de Kerk M, Kiswara W, van de Koppel J.
- 331 2014. Habitat collapse due to overgrazing threatens turtle conservation in marine
- protected areas. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281:
- **333** 20132890–20132890.
- 334 Defeo O, McLachlan A, Schoeman DS, Schlacher TA, Dugan J, Jones A, Lastra M, Scapini
- F. 2009. Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A review. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
 Science 81: 1–12.
- 337 Eckrich CE, Holmquist JG. 2000. Trampling in a seagrass assemblage: Direct effects,
- response of associated fauna, and the role of substrate characteristics. Marine Ecology
 Progress Series 201: 199–209.
- 340 Feagin RA, Figlus J, Zinnert JC, Sigren J, Martínez ML, Silva R, Smith WK, Cox D, Young
- 341 DR, Carter G. 2015. Going with the flow or against the grain? The promise of vegetation
- for protecting beaches, dunes, and barrier islands from erosion. Frontiers in Ecology and
 the Environment 13: 203–210.
- Finkl CW, Walker HJ. 2005. Beach Nourishment. Pages 147–161 in Schwartz ML ed.
- Encyclopedia of Coastal Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Fonseca MS, Cahalan JA. 1992. A preliminary evaluation of wave attenuation by four species
 of seagrass. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 35: 565–576.
- 348 Freile D. 2004. Carbonate productivity rates of Halimeda in two different locations, San
- 349 Salvador Island, Bahamas. Pages 95–106 in Lewis RD, Panuska BC ed. Proceedings of

- the 11th symposium on the geology of the Bahamas and other carbonate regions. Gerace
- 351 Research Centre, Auburn University, Auburn, AL.
- Garrigue C. 1991. Biomass and production of two Halimeda species in the southwest new
 caledonian lagoon. Oceanologica Acta 14: 581–588.
- 354 Green EP, Short FT. 2003. World atlas of seagrasses. Berkley, USA
- Hallock P. 1981. Production of Carbonate Sediments by Selected Large Benthic Foraminifera
 on Two Pacific Coral Reefs. Journal of Sedimentary Research Vol. 51: 467–474.
- Hansen JCR, Reidenbach MA. 2012. Wave and tidally driven flows in eelgrass beds and their
- effect on sediment suspension. Marine Ecology Progress Series 448: 271–287.
- Hanson H, Brampton A, Capobianco M, Dette HH, Hamm L, Laustrup C, Lechuga A,
- 360 Spanhoff R. 2002. Beach nourishment projects, practices, and objectives A European
- overview. Coastal Engineering 47: 81–111.
- van der Heide T, Van Nes EH, Geerling GW, Smolders AJP, Bouma TJ, Van Katwijk MM.
- 363 2007. Positive feedbacks in seagrass ecosystems: Implications for success in
- conservation and restoration. Ecosystems 10: 1311–1322.
- Hughes P. 1956. A determination of the relation between wind and sea-surface drift.
 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 82: 494–502.
- 367 Infantes E, Orfila A, Bouma TJ, Simarro G, Terrados J. 2011. Posidonia oceanica and
- 368 Cymodocea nodosa seedling tolerance to wave exposure. Limnology and Oceanography
 369 56: 2223–2232.
- van Katwijk MM, et al. 2016. Global analysis of seagrass restoration: The importance of
- 371 large-scale planting. Journal of Applied Ecology 53: 567–578.

- Kemp WM, et al. 2005. Eutrophication of Chesapeake Bay: Historical trends and ecological
 interactions. Marine Ecology Progress Series 303: 1–29.
- Luijendijk A, Hagenaars G, Ranasinghe R, Baart F, Donchyts G, Aarninkhof S. 2018. The
 State of the World's Beaches. Scientific Reports: 1–11.
- 376 Marbà N, Arias-Ortiz A, Masqué P, Kendrick GA, Mazarrasa I, Bastyan GR, Garcia-Orellana
- J, Duarte CM. 2015. Impact of seagrass loss and subsequent revegetation on carbon

sequestration and stocks. Journal of Ecology 103: 296–302.

- 379 Maxwell PS, et al. 2017. The fundamental role of ecological feedback mechanisms for the
- adaptive management of seagrass ecosystems a review. Biological Reviews 92: 1521–
 1538.
- Merten M. 1971. Ecological observations of Halimeda macroloba Decaisne (Chlorophyta) on
 Guam. Micronesica 7: 27–44.
- Morris RL, Konlechner TM, Ghisalberti M, Swearer SE. 2018. From grey to green: Efficacy
 of eco-engineering solutions for nature-based coastal defence. Global Change Biology
 24: 1827–1842.
- Multer HG. 1988. Growth rate, ultrastructure and sediment contribution of Halimeda
 incrassata and Halimeda monile, Nonsuch and Falmouth Bays, Antigua, W.I. Coral
 Reefs 6: 179–186.
- Neumann ACC, Land LS. 1975. Lime mud deposition and calcareous algae in the Bight of
 Abaco, Bahamas: A budget. Journal of Sedimentary Research 45: 763–786.
- Nicholls RJ, Cazenave A. 2010. Sea Level Rise and Its Impact on Coastal Zones. Science
 328: 1517–1520.
- Orth RJ, et al. 2006. A Global Crisis for Seagrass Ecosystems. Bioscience 56: 987–996.

- Payri CE. 1988. Halimeda contribution to organic and inorganic production in a Tahitian reef
 system. Coral Reefs 6: 251–262.
- 397 Potouroglou M, Bull JC, Krauss KW, Kennedy HA, Fusi M, Daffonchio D, Mangora MM,
- Githaiga MN, Diele K, Huxham M. 2017. Measuring the role of seagrasses in regulating
 sediment surface elevation. Scientific Reports: 1–11.
- 400 Ranasinghe R, Turner IL. 2006. Shoreline response to submerged structures: A review.
 401 Coastal Engineering 53: 65–79.
- 402 Reise K, Kohlus J. 2008. Seagrass recovery in the Northern Wadden Sea? Helgoland Marine
 403 Research 62: 77–84.
- 404 Ruiz-Martínez G, Mariño-Tapia I, Mendoza Baldwin EG, Silva Casarín R, Enríquez Ortiz
- 405 CE. 2015. Identifying Coastal Defence Schemes through Morphodynamic Numerical
- Simulations along the Northern Coast of Yucatan, Mexico. Journal of Coastal Research:
 651–670.
- Saunders MA, Lea AS. 2008. Large contribution of sea surface warming to recent increase in
 Atlantic hurricane activity. Nature 451: 557–560.
- 410 Saxby T. Saxby. Integration and Application Network, University of Maryland Center for
 411 Environmental Science. ian.umces.edu/imagelibrary/.
- 412 Scheffer M, Carpenter S, Foley JA, Folke C, Walker B. 2001. Catastrophic shifts in
- 413 ecosystems. Nature 413: 591–596.
- 414 Scoffin TP. 1970. The trapping and binding of subtidal carbonate sediments by marine
- 415 vegetation in Bimini Lagoon, Bahamas. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 40: 249–273.
- 416 Secretary-General UN. 2016. United Nations Economic and Social Council Progress towards
- 417 the Sustainable Devlopment Goals.

- Silva R, et al. 2014. Present and future challenges of coastal erosion in Latin America. Journal
 of Coastal Research 71: 1–16.
- 420 Silva R, Mendoza E, Mariño-Tapia I, Martínez ML, Escalante E. 2016. An artificial reef
- 421 improves coastal protection and provides a base for coral recovery. Journal of Coastal
- 422 Research 75: 467–471.
- 423 Stive MJF, et al. 2013. A new alternative to saving our beaches from sea-level rise: the sand
 424 engine. Journal of Coastal Research 29: 1001–1008.
- 425 Suykerbuyk W, Bouma TJ, Govers LL, Giesen K, de Jong DJ, Herman P, Hendriks J, van
- 426 Katwijk MM. 2016. Surviving in Changing Seascapes: Sediment Dynamics as
- 427 Bottleneck for Long-Term Seagrass Presence. Ecosystems 19: 296–310.
- Trembanis AC, Pilkey OH. 1998. Summary of Beach Nourishment along the U.S. Gulf of
 Mexico Shoreline. Journal of Coastal Research 14: 407–417.
- 430 van Tussenbroek BI, Van Dijk JK. 2007. Spatial and temporal variability in biomass and
- 431 production of psammophytic Halimeda incrassata (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) in a
- 432 Caribbean reef lagoon. Journal of Phycology 43: 69–77.
- 433 van Tussenbroek BI, Hernández Arana HA, Rodríguez-Martínez RE, Espinoza-Avalos J,
- 434 Canizales-Flores HM, González-Godoy CE, Barba-Santos MG, Vega-Zepeda A,
- 435 Collado-Vides L. 2017. Severe impacts of brown tides caused by Sargassum spp. on
- 436 near-shore Caribbean seagrass communities. Marine Pollution Bulletin.
- 437 UNEP-WCMC, Short FT. 2005. Global distribution of seagrasses (version 3.0). Third update
- 438 to the data layer used in Green and Short (2003). url:
- 439 http://data.unepwcmc.%0Aorg/datasets/7.
- 440 UNWTO. 2016. UNWTO Tourism Highlights 2016 Edition. Madrid, Spain

441	Walker DJ, Dong P, Anastasiou K. 1991. Sediment Transport Near Groynes in the Nearshore
442	Zone. Journal of Coastal Research 7: 1003–1011.
443	Wefer G. 1980. Carbonate production by algae Halimeda, Pencillus and Padina. Nature 285:

- 444 323–324.
- 445 Widdows J, Pope ND, Brinsley MD, Asmus H, Asmus RM. 2008. Effects of seagrass beds
- 446 (Zostera noltii and Z. marina) on near-bed hydrodynamics and sediment resuspension.

447 Marine Ecology Progress Series 358: 125–136.

- 448 Williams SL. 1990. Experimental studies of Caribbean seagrass bed development. Ecological
- 449 Monographs 60: 449–469.
- 450 World Bank. 2017. Atlas of Sustainable Development Goals 2017 : From World Development
- 451 Indicators. License: C. World Bank ed. ©World Bank, Washington, DC.
- 452

453 Figures



455 Figure 1. The building of hard structures to prevent coastal erosion, such as seawalls (a), the

over-development of coastlines (b), and beach nourishments (c) only serve to exacerbate coastal 456 erosion. The global map (d) shows the proportion of GDP obtained from tourism in 2015 (data 457 sourced from World Bank and World Tourism Organization), with the darker red shading 458 indicating a higher proportion of the gross domestic product (GDP) is obtained from tourism 459 for that country. The effective sediment-stabilizing seagrass Thalassia spp. is globally 460 distributed (green circles, sourced from UNEP-WCMC & Short (2005)), and can be found 461 alongside the sediment-producing calcifying macroalgae Halimeda spp. (blue squares, 462 sightings reported in peer reviewed literature). 463





Figure 2. Carbonate sediment is stabilized by seagrass, as indicated by measuring the critical threshold for bed-load transport with a field flume in contrasting vegetation types: bare, calcifying algae only, sparse Thalassia (50% cover of *T. testudinum*), dense Thalassia (100% cover of *T. testudinum*) (a). This was corroborated by measuring the retention time of stained sediments for contrasting vegetation types in the different physical environments (b): wave sheltered (mean wave height = 0.15 m, SE = 0.004, n = 370), uni-directional (mean flow rate = 0.15 m s-1, SE = 0.025, n = 18), and wave exposed (mean wave height = 0.22 m, SE = 0.005,

473 n = 429). Bars represent means \pm SE ($n_{sed.stab} = 3$, $n_{sed.ret} = 5$) and black points indicate individual 474 data points. Different letters above bars denote significant difference (p < 0.05), tested with 475 Tukey HSD pair-wise comparisons.



Figure 3. Self-reinforcing feedbacks drive the contrasting beach management regimes as 478 schematised in (a). The natural beach is driven by seagrass stabilizing the sediment, which 479 encourages further ecosystem development. Whereas the system devoid of vegetation has 480 increasingly mobile sediment, discouraging the growth of vegetation and leading to an unstable 481 beach system, requiring engineering which further contributes to sediment mobility and 482 483 erosion. These types of beach regimes can be seen in examples from the coastline of Mexico (map in S1). Regular beach profiles taken from two transects at the natural beach of Puerto 484 Morelos from June 2008 (dashed lines) to May 2012 (solid line) show that this relatively 485 undisturbed beach with extensive seagrass-calcifying algae meadows has remained stable over 486 many years (b). While beach profiles at Mirador Nizuc in 2007 (dashed line) and June 2017 487

488	(solid line) show that the beach had significant erosion after a Sargassum brown tide that
489	persisted from July 2015 to May 2016 resulted in the loss of seagrass (c, upper graph), however
490	in an area of the same beach where seagrass persisted, very little erosion occurred (c, lower
491	graph). While Cancun has no natural reef or seagrass meadows and development along the sand
492	dunes has led to constant beach erosion, a sand nourishment in 2010 helped to restore the beach,
493	but this continues to erode (d). Elevations are relative to mean sea level. (Thalassia illustration
494	sourced from IAN image library (Saxby)).

495