

Received 29 Apr 2016 | Accepted 1 Aug 2016 | Published 21 Sep 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms12781

OPEN

Male-killing symbiont damages host's dosage-compensated sex chromosome to induce embryonic apoptosis

Toshiyuki Harumoto^{1,2}, Hisashi Anbutsu¹, Bruno Lemaitre² & Takema Fukatsu¹

Some symbiotic bacteria are capable of interfering with host reproduction in selfish ways. How such bacteria can manipulate host's sex-related mechanisms is of fundamental interest encompassing cell, developmental and evolutionary biology. Here, we uncover the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying *Spiroplasma*-induced embryonic male lethality in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Transcriptomic analysis reveals that many genes related to DNA damage and apoptosis are up-regulated specifically in infected male embryos. Detailed genetic and cytological analyses demonstrate that male-killing *Spiroplasma* causes DNA damage on the male X chromosome interacting with the male-specific lethal (MSL) complex. The damaged male X chromosome exhibits a chromatin bridge during mitosis, and bridge breakage triggers sex-specific abnormal apoptosis via p53-dependent pathways. Notably, the MSL complex is not only necessary but also sufficient for this cytotoxic process. These results highlight symbiont's sophisticated strategy to target host's sex chromosome and recruit host's molecular cascades toward massive apoptosis in a sex-specific manner.

¹ Bioproduction Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba 305-8566, Japan. ² Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Station 19, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.H. (email: toshiyuki.harumoto@epfl.ch) or to T.F. (email:t-fukatsu@aist.go.jp).

he process, mechanism and origin of sex determination have been focal topics in genetics, cell biology, developmental biology and evolutionary biology¹⁻³. Sex determination systems are strikingly diverse across animals, plants, fungi, protists and others, of which molecular mechanisms of sex determination and subsequent dosage compensation have been best documented for several model animals including fruit fly, nematode and mouse³.

In the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, a female-specific developmental switch gene, Sex lethal (Sxl), counts autosome/sex chromosome ratio in an early developmental stage to establish the choice between male and female alternative developmental pathways at the cellular level. Downstream of Sxl, a cascade of regulatory genes branches into several major pathways, which respectively control sexual differentiation of the soma and neural cells, development of the germ line, and dosage compensation for equalizing X chromosomal transcript levels between males with XY chromosomes and females with XX chromosomes⁴. Dosage compensation is mediated by a ribonucleoprotein complex, designated as the male-specific lethal (MSL) complex, consisting of at least five proteins (MSL1, MSL2, MSL3, MLE (Maleless) and MOF (Males absent on the first)) and two non-coding RNAs (roX1 and roX2), which concentrates on the single male X chromosome and up-regulates its transcriptional level approximately twofold⁵.

Diverse insects and other animals, including *Drosophila* species, are commonly associated with symbiotic bacteria^{6,7}. These microbial associates substantially influence their host's biology in a variety of ways. Some symbionts like *Wolbachia*, *Spiroplasma*, *Cardinium* and *Arsenophonus* cause striking reproductive phenotypes such as cytoplasmic incompatibility, male-killing, parthenogenesis and feminization, whereby these symbionts drive their own infection to spread into their host populations in selfish ways^{8,9}.

How these microbes interfere with host's reproduction and development is of fundamental interest, but the mechanisms have been poorly understood^{9,10}. Previous studies provided some clues to the enigma in that symbionts are able to interact with a variety of eukaryotic molecular and cellular components including microtubules/centrosomes/mitotic spindles¹¹⁻¹⁶, paternal chromosomes¹⁷⁻²⁰ and somatic- and germline-stem cell niches^{21,22}. As for male-killing Spiroplasma of Drosophila species, msl mutant hosts fail to express male-killing²³ and the infection alters the localization of the MSL complex²⁴, suggesting the involvement of the dosage compensation system, and infected male embryos suffer massive apoptosis^{25,26} and neural malformation²⁶⁻²⁸. In Ostrinia moths, male-killing Wolbachia was reported to suppress host's masculinizing gene expression, thereby disturbing dosage compensation in male embryos²⁹. In the light of these previous works, however, the processes as to how the symbiont's interactions with the host's molecular and cellular components are causally connected to host's reproductive phenotypes are elusive.

In this study, by making use of ample genetic tools and resources available for *Drosophila* in combination with sophisticated cytological, molecular and genomic techniques, we demonstrate a number of previously unrecognized molecular and cellular aspects of *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing, which provide an integrative understanding of mechanisms underlying the symbiont's reproductive manipulation at the molecular, chromosomal, cellular and organismal levels.

Results

Transcriptomic analysis of infected and uninfected embryos. We collected *Spiroplasma*-infected and uninfected *Drosophila* embryos of both sexes at stage 10–11 when infection-associated male-specific abnormal apoptosis starts²⁶ (Fig. 1a). For embryonic sexing, we used a transgenic strain with green fluorescence protein (GFP) reporter of Sxl gene, Sxl-Pe-EGFP, which expresses GFP only in females (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 1a). Four groups of pooled embryos (uninfected females, uninfected males, infected females and infected males; three replicates for each group) were subjected to RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis. Of all the genes annotated in the Drosophila genome, 8,387 genes were substantially expressed in the embryos (Supplementary Methods), of which we identified 1,430 differentially expressed genes by all pairwise comparisons between the groups (false discovery rate < 0.001). Notably, more differentially expressed genes were associated with infected male embryos than other groups (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 1b). In infected male embryos, up-regulated genes were concentrated on the second and third chromosomes, whereas down-regulated genes were preferentially found on the X chromosome (Supplementary Fig. 1c). At a glance, this pattern may look like reflecting dosage compensation defects in infected male embryos. However, comparison with uninfected male embryos revealed only a small number of down-regulated X-encoded genes in infected male embryos (51 of 1,447 analyzed genes encoded on the X chromosome) (Supplementary Fig. 1c), suggesting that dosage compensation is still functioning in infected male embryos.

Categorization of differentially expressed genes. Of the 1,430 differentially expressed genes, 320 genes exhibiting at least twofold up- or down-regulation were selected and further analyzed. Hierarchical clustering grouped 314 genes into 6 clusters, whereas 6 genes were left ungrouped (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Data 1). Gene ontology (GO) analysis of these 6 clusters revealed that genes related to 'apoptosis' and 'DNA damage' were highly upregulated in infected male embryos (cluster #1, 181/320 = 56.6%; Fig. 1e,f and Supplementary Data 1). These results are concordant with previous reports on the occurrence of abnormal apoptosis^{25,26}, and notably, indicative of a high level of DNA damage in infected male embryos. On the other hand, genes related to 'maintenance of gastrointestinal epithelium' were up-regulated in response to Spiroplasma infection irrespective of sex (cluster #2, 29/320 = 9.1%; Fig. 1e and Supplementary Data 1). Among them, unpaired 1 (upd1) and upd2 are ligands in the JAK-STAT (Janus kinase-signal transducers and activators of transcription) pathway, which are involved in host's survival upon intestinalbacterial infection^{30–32}. Furthermore, although not highlighted in the GO enrichment analysis, several genes related to 'detoxification', 'host defence' and 'stress response' were also identified (GstE5, GstE9, Drsl5 and proPO45), which may reflect the general effects of Spiroplasma infection on host's physiology. Strikingly, no genes constituting the Toll and Imd (Immune deficiency) pathways were assigned to this cluster, which is in accordance with previous observations that Spiroplasma infection does not induce host's innate immune responses by evading host's recognition, presumably due to the absence of cell wall³³⁻³⁵. In male embryos irrespective of infection, 'dosage compensation' related genes were up-regulated, though small in number (cluster #3, 7/320 = 2.2%; Fig. 1e and Supplementary Data 1), confirming that embryonic sexing by the Sxl-Pe-EGFP transgene worked well (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Spiroplasma infection in male embryos were also associated with down-regulation of miscellaneous genes such as transcription, development, metabolism and so on (cluster #6, 50/320 = 15.6%; Fig. 1e and Supplementary Data 1), likely reflecting systemic attenuation of gene expression in the infected male embryos that exhibit developmental arrest leading to death. In the remaining



Figure 1 | RNA-seq analysis of *Spiroplasma*-infected and uninfected embryos. (a) Epithelial cells of an infected female embryo at stage 11. Three DNAstained z-sections are projected to show the surface of the epithelium. Boxed regions in **a** are magnified in **a**' and **a**'' to highlight *Spiroplasma* cells (arrows). Scale bars, $20 \,\mu\text{m}$ (**a**) and $5 \,\mu\text{m}$ (**a**',**a**''). (b) Expression of *SxI-Pe-EGFP* in uninfected embryos at stage 10–11. Only female embryos express GFP. Bottom panel shows abbreviations for RNA-seq samples. (**c**) The number of differentially expressed genes identified in pairwise comparisons between RNA-seq samples. In UF (uninfected female) versus UM (uninfected male), for example, numbers of differentially expressed genes up- or down-regulated in UM compared with UF are plotted (the same applies hereafter). (**d**) A heat map of selected 320 differentially expressed genes. On the top is a clustering dendrogram of RNA-seq samples based on similarity of gene expression patterns across the samples. On the left is a clustering dendrogram of differentially expressed genes based on similarity of gene expression patterns across the genes, wherein gene clusters #1–#6 are depicted by different colours. (**e**) GO categories enriched in gene clusters #1–#6. (**f**) Expression levels (fragments per kilobase per million, FPKM) of major genes related to apoptosis (left) and DNA damage response (right) categorized to the gene cluster #1, represented as mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments. two clusters (cluster #4, 7/320 = 2.2%; cluster #5, 40/320 = 12.5%), no GO terms were enriched and we could not find any distinctive features (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Data 1).

DNA damage induces apoptosis in infected male embryos. DNA damage is caused by a variety of genotoxic stresses including ionizing radiation, UV, chemicals, reactive oxygen species and replication stresses like stalling or delaying of replication fork progression. In response to DNA damage, a well-known tumour suppressor gene p53 is activated, which triggers an assemblage of p53-dependent pathways to control cell cycle, DNA repair and apoptosis³⁶. Our analysis using a fly strain with p53-responsive GFP reporter (p53R-GFP) revealed that p53 was strongly activated in infected male embryos (Fig. 2a,b). The Drosophila genome encodes a single *p53* family member, which is required for DNA damage-induced apoptosis^{37–39}. Using a null allele of *p53*, we demonstrated that abnormal apoptosis in infected male embryos was significantly suppressed at stage 11-12 (Fig. 2c-f,i). On the other hand, developmental apoptosis prominent around the head region at these stages^{26,40} was not affected (Fig. 2c,e,f, arrows). Upon severe DNA damage such as double-strand breaks caused by ionizing radiation, apoptosis is induced in a time-delayed manner even when p53 is absent^{41,42}. Concordantly, p53-independent apoptosis was observed in infected male embryos from stage 14 onward (Fig. 2g,h,j). Taken together, these results strongly suggest that cells of Spiroplasma-infected male embryos suffer DNA damage, and then abnormal apoptosis is triggered via p53dependent pathways.

Differential detection of apoptosis and DNA damage. Previous studies have established that, in response to DNA damage such as double-strand breaks and replication stress, a minor variant of histone H2A, called H2AX, is phosphorylated within the nucleus to form discrete foci, which are known as H2AX foci43. In Drosophila, an H2AX homologue, H2Av, has been reported to be phosphorylated following exposure to DNA damage⁴⁴ (Supplementary Fig. 2a,b). When Spiroplasma-infected male embryos were stained with an antibody against phosphorylated form of H2Av (pH2Av), two types of signals were detected: strong signals covering the whole nucleus (Supplementary Fig. 2d, yellow arrows) and relatively small bright foci located within the nucleus (Supplementary Fig. 2d, light blue arrowheads). In mammalian cells, detailed immunocytochemical studies on the distribution of phosphorylated form of H2AX (pH2AX) have demonstrated that strong nuclear-wide pH2AX signals are associated with apoptosis whereas intra-nuclear focal pH2AX signals represent DNA damage foci⁴⁵. Our data suggest that these criteria also apply to pH2Av signals in infected male embryos as follows: (i) many, if not all, embryonic cells with strong nuclear-wide pH2Av signals were also apoptotic with TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labelling) signals (Supplementary Fig. 2g, arrows); (ii) strong nuclear-wide signals were preferentially found in the head region, where developmental apoptosis occurs (Supplementary Fig. 2c,e,f, arrows); (iii) in control embryos, only fewer and relatively obscure focal signals were detected (compare Supplementary Fig. 2c,d); and (iv) when abnormal apoptosis was suppressed in infected male embryos mutant for p53, nuclear-wide signals were reduced while focal signals were still prominent (compare Supplementary Fig. 2d,f). In subsequent experiments, we focused on focal pH2Av signals as cytological indicators of DNA damage.

DNA damage concentrated on the male X chromosome. A previous study reported that maternal-zygotic *Drosophila* mutants for *msl* genes escape *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing,

suggesting that dosage compensation of the single male X chromosome is required for male-killing expression²³. Hence, we hypothesized that the male X chromosome bound by the MSL complex may be the target of Spiroplasma-induced DNA damage, and tested the hypothesis by visualizing the male X chromosome and DNA-damage foci simultaneously using anti-MSL1 and anti-pH2Av antibodies in the embryonic epidermal cells where Spiroplasma-induced abnormal apoptosis predominantly occurs²⁶. In infected male embryos, MSL1 signals and pH2Av signals were frequently overlapping, while such overlapped signals were infrequent in control embryos (Fig. 3a-f). Quantitative analysis of the co-localized MSL1 and pH2Av signals revealed that significantly more focal pH2Av signals were located on the X chromosome of infected male embryos in comparison with control embryos (Fig. 3g,h), indicating that DNA damage is specifically enriched on the X chromosome of infected male embryos. These results support the hypothesis that the male X chromosome is a major target of Spiroplasma-induced DNA damage, which plausibly underlies the p53-dependent apoptosis observed in infected male embryos.

Bridge breakage of the X chromosome in male embryos. During the immunohistochemical experiments, we frequently observed inter-nuclear chromatin bridges in infected male embryos (Fig. 4d-f and Supplementary Fig. 3a). Notably, MSL1 signals frequently overlapped with chromatin bridges, suggesting that the male X chromosome may be involved in these abnormal structures (35/45 chromatin bridges observed in infected male embryos; Fig. 4e,f). To see more details, we analyzed 35 infected male embryos stained for both DNA and MSL1, and collected 140 mitotic cell images during anaphase, in which sister chromatids are about to separate and moving toward the opposite cell poles with a chromosomal bridge (Fig. 4g-i and Supplementary Fig. 3b-f). According to the extent of overlap between chromosomal bridges and MSL1 signals, we classified the images into three categories: only X, in which the chromosomal bridge and the MSL1 signal completely overlapped (116/140 = 83%); Fig. 4g-i and Supplementary Fig. 3c); with X, in which the chromosomal bridge and the MSL1 signal partially overlapped, or MSL1labelled and unlabelled chromosomal bridges were observed simultaneously (21/140 = 15%); Supplementary Fig. 3d,e and Fig. 4i); and without X, in which the chromosomal bridge lacked the MSL1 signal (3/140 = 2%); Supplementary Fig. 3f and Fig. 4i). These results favour the idea that male X chromatids constitute chromosomal bridges. The chromosomal bridges were frequently associated with abnormally tangled DNA masses (95/140 = 68%; Fig. 4h and Supplementary Fig. 3c, arrows), suggesting compromised chromatin remodelling and/or condensation in male X chromatids. Notably, we observed that some X chromatids were asymmetrically segregated into two daughter cells (34/140 = 24%); Fig. 4h and Supplementary Fig. 3c,e, arrowheads), which presumably reflect the breakage of chromosomal bridges during cell division.

The MSL complex is required for DNA damage and apoptosis. While MSL1 and MSL2 act as scaffold for MSL complex formation, MSL3, MOF and MLE are required for spreading the complex across the entire X chromosome^{5,46,47}. Loss-of-function mutants of *msl3*, for example *msl3*¹, fail to form the complete MSL complex and exhibit male-specific larval lethality due to dosage compensation defects^{48–50}. On account of the maternal and zygotic sources of *msl3*, we investigated a maternal–zygotic mutant (*m*–/*z*–; zygotic genotype *msl3*¹/*msl3*¹) with compromised MSL complex function in comparison with a maternal mutant (*m*–/*z*+; zygotic genotype *msl3*¹/TM3 *ActGFP*) with



Figure 2 | **p53-dependent apoptosis in** *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos. (a) p53R-*GFP* expression (green) and TUNEL staining (magenta) in an infected female embryo at stage 12 (n = 12). Single-channel images are shown in **a**' and **a**''. (**b**) An image similar to **a** of an infected male embryo (n = 10), wherein high p53 activity and massive apoptosis are seen. Single-channel images are shown in **b**' and **b**''. (**c**,**d**) TUNEL staining of infected female and male wild-type embryos at stage 11. The yellow arrow denotes developmental apoptosis in the head region. (**e**,**f**) Images similar to **c**,**d** of infected female and male embryos mutant for *p53*. (**g**,**h**) TUNEL staining of infected female and male embryos mutant for *p53*. (**g**,**h**) TUNEL staining of infected female and male embryos mutant for *p53*. (**g**,**h**) TUNEL staining of infected female and male embryos mutant for *p53* at stage 14. In **c**-**h**, the edges of embryonic epidermis are depicted by dashed yellow lines. (**i**) Quantification of TUNEL-positive areas in infected female and male embryos, wild type and mutant for *p53* at stage 11-12. Different letters (**a**,**b**) indicate statistically significant differences (P<0.01; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). (**j**) Quantification of TUNEL-positive areas in infected the median (bold line), the 25th and 75th percentiles (box edges), and the range (whiskers). Sample sizes are shown at the bottom. Scale bars, 100 µm.

the functional MSL complex. When these fly strains were infected with *Spiroplasma*, DNA damage and abnormal apoptosis in male embryos were attenuated under the *msl3*-deficient maternalzygotic mutant genotype (Fig. 5a-c), indicating that the MSL complex is necessary for *Spiroplasma*-induced DNA damage and abnormal apoptosis.

Ectopic MSL complex induces male-killing phenotypes. In females of *Drosophila*, Sxl directly inhibits translation of MSL2 to prevent the formation of the functional MSL complex⁵. A previous study showed that the *H83M2* transgene, which encodes a suppression-resistant form of *msl2* mRNA, induces inappropriate dosage compensation of female X chromosomes

(Supplementary Fig. 4a–d), thereby causing reduced viability and developmental delay with a few escaper adult females⁵¹ (Supplementary Fig. 4e, purple bars). When *H83M2* females were infected with *Spiroplasma*, no adult escapers were obtained (Supplementary Fig. 4f), suggesting occurrence of ectopic male-killing in infected females. It has been shown that *msl1* gene exhibits an allelic dosage effect in *H83M2* females, where even heterozygosity (*msl1/*+) suppresses toxicity of this transgene due to reduced amounts of ectopic MSL complex⁵¹. We observed that both the deleterious effects and the ectopic male-killing were suppressed in *msl1^{L60}/*+ heterozygotes (Supplementary Fig. 4e,f, red bars), supporting the notion that ectopic MSL complex formation is causative of these phenotypes. During the development of *Spiroplasma*-infected *H83M2* female embryos,



Figure 3 | DNA damage in the X chromosome of *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos. (a) Simultaneous detection of pH2Av (DNA damage foci or apoptotic nuclei; green), MSL1 (X chromosomes; magenta) and DNA (blue) in an uninfected male embryo at stage 11, wherein few green pH2Av signals are seen. (b,c) Magnified images of boxed regions in **a**. Single-channelled images of **b** and **c** are shown in **b'-b'''** and **c'-c'''**, respectively. (**d**) An image similar to **a** of an infected male embryo, in which a number of green pH2Av signals are detected. (**e**,**f**) Magnified images of boxed regions in **d**. Single-channelled images of **e** and **f** are shown in **e'-e'''** and **f'-f'''**, respectively. In **d-f**, arrowheads indicate large pH2AX signals representing apoptotic nuclei, whereas arrows depict focal pH2AX signals representing DNA damage foci. (**g**) Quantification of focal pH2AX signals in uninfected and infected embryos at stage 11. Different letters (a,b) indicate statistically significant differences (*P*<0.05; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). (**h**) Quantification of focal pH2AX signals overlapping with MSL1-labelled X chromosomes in uninfected and infected male embryos at stage 11. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant differences χ^2 test). Focal pH2Av signals obtained in **g** were used to calculate the enrichment on the X chromosome in **h**. In **g** and **h**, box plots are as in Fig. 2i,j. Sample sizes (numbers of images analyzed) are shown at the bottom. Numbers of embryos inspected are shown in parentheses. Scale bars, 20 µm (**a**,**d**) and 5 µm (**b-c'''**, **e-f'''**).

abnormal apoptosis was observed throughout the body (Fig. 5e,g) and chromatin bridges were frequently found (Fig. 5h–k). Without *Spiroplasma* infection, by contrast, *H83M2* females did not show these abnormal phenotypes (Fig. 5d,g,k), confirming that these abnormal phenotypes are associated with the *Spiroplasma* infection and are not ascribed to secondary effects of the ectopic MSL complex formation. Taken together, these results indicate that ectopic expression of the MSL complex can reproduce male-killing and associated cytological defects, including DNA damage, chromatin bridge formation and abnormal apoptosis in *Spiroplasma*-infected female embryos, which is in agreement with a recent report²⁴.

Genetically dissecting effects of bridge breakage. In an attempt to gain further insight into the relationship between DNA damage, chromosomal breakage and abnormal apoptosis, we genetically blocked cell division during embryogenesis. String (Stg), a CDC25 homologue of *Drosophila*, is essential for the initiation of G2/M transition in the cell cycle⁵². In zygotic mutants of strong alleles of *stg*, embryonic cells initially undergo normal cleavage cycles by using maternal transcripts during mitoses 1–13, and after cellularization when zygotically regulated cell division starts (from mitosis 14 onward), cells are arrested at G2 phase during the rest of embryogenesis, thereby resulting in embryos with fewer and larger cells⁵² (Fig. 6a,b). Considering that the recruitment of the MSL complex to the male X chromosome is first detected in cellularized embryos at mitosis 14 (refs 53,54), embryonic cells mutant for *stg* do not undergo cell division after the formation of the MSL complex, which is required for *Spiroplasma*-induced DNA damage. Therefore, using *stg* mutant embryos, we can genetically dissect whether bridge breakage in the male X chromosome has a causative role for the chromosome-specific DNA damage induced by *Spiroplasma*.

Chromosome-specific DNA damage precedes bridge breakage. In Spiroplasma-infected male embryos mutant for stg, abnormal apoptosis was significantly suppressed in comparison with control embryos (Fig. 6a-c), indicating that cell division is required for the expression of abnormal apoptosis. On the ground that chromosomal bridge-breakage occurs during mitosis, abnormal apoptosis is likely attributable to the DNA damage response activated by the bridge breakage in the X chromosome. On the other hand, even in Spiroplasma-infected male embryos mutant for stg, remaining apoptosis was observed around the head region (Fig. 6b), indicating that the blockage of cell division mainly suppresses p53-dependent abnormal apoptosis rather than developmental apoptosis. Despite the suppression of abnormal apoptosis, focal pH2Av signals on the male X chromosome were still prominent in Spiroplasma-infected male embryos mutant for stg (Fig. 6d,e), indicating that the male X chromosome has been damaged even in the absence of bridge breakage.



Figure 4 | Bridge and breakage of the male X chromosome during mitosis. (a) Epidermal cells of an uninfected male embryo at stage 11, in which pH2Av, MSL1 and DNA are visualized in green, magenta and blue as in Fig. 3a. (**b**,**c**) Magnified images of boxed regions in **a**, whose single-channelled images are shown in **b'-b'''** and **c'-c'''**, respectively. Dotted circles highlight dividing cells in telophase. In **b-b'''**, sister chromatids are normally segregating to daughter cells, whereas in **c-c'''**, MSL1-labelled X chromatids seem to be segregating slightly slower than the other chromatids (arrows). (**d**) An image similar to **a** of a *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryo, exhibiting many pH2Av signals. (**e**,**f**) Magnified images of boxed regions in **d**, whose single-channelled images are shown in **e'-e'''** and **f'-f'''**, respectively. Dotted circles highlight dividing cells in telophase, while arrows indicate inter-nuclear bridges overlapping with MSL1 and focal pH2Av signals, indicating that damaged male X chromatids constitute inter-nuclear bridges. (**g**,**h**) Two examples of anaphase chromatin bridges in infected male embryos at stage 9, wherein chromosomal DNA (green) and MSL1 representing X chromatids (magenta) are shown. Single-channelled images are shown in **g'**, **g''** and **h'**, **h''**. Arrows and arrowheads in **h-h''** indicate an abnormally tangled DNA mass and asymmetrically segregated X chromatids, respectively. (**i**) Categorization of anaphase chromatin bridges in infected male embryos at stage 8-10. In total 140 anaphase bridges from 35 embryos were inspected. The categories 'only X', 'with X' and 'without X' indicate complete, partial and no overlap between chromatin bridges and MSL1 signals. For more detail, see text. Scale bars, 20 µm (**a**,**d**) and 5 µm (**b-c'''**, **e-f'''** and **g-h''**).

Partially attenuated neural disorder in *p53* **mutant embryos.** In addition to abnormal apoptosis, disordered neurogenesis is among the most prominent defective phenotypes of *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos; while highly organized central and peripheral nervous systems develop in control embryos, whole nervous systems are severely disorganized in *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos^{26–28} (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d). We examined whether and how DNA damage in the male X chromosome and subsequent activation of p53-dependent signalling pathways are relevant to *Spiroplasma*-induced neural defects. In neural precursor cells called neuroblasts, focal pH2Av signals were overlapping with MSL1 signals in *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b), indicating that DNA damage certainly occurs in the male X chromosome of neuroblasts as in the epidermal cells where p53-dependent abnormal apoptosis

occurs (Figs 2 and 3). When differentiated neural cells were visualized with an antibody against a specific marker protein Elav (embryonic lethal abnormal vision)⁵⁵, neural organization was severely disordered in *Spiroplasma*-infected control male embryos (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d), and notably, the neural disorder was partially recovered in *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos mutant for *p53*: the overall morphology of the ventral nerve cord was restored considerably, but each neural cluster was still disorganized (Supplementary Fig. 5e,f).

Apoptosis suppression similarly attenuates neural disorder. Abnormal apoptosis in *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos is concentrated on epidermal cells and scarcely associated with neural cells^{26,28}. Considering that the massive apoptosis is



Figure 5 | The MSL complex is necessary and sufficient for *Spiroplasma*-induced DNA damage and abnormal apoptosis. (a-c) Apoptosis (a) and DNA damage (b,c) in *Spiroplasma*-infected male and female embryos of *msl3* maternal-zygotic mutant (m - /z - ; zygotic genotype *msl3*¹/*TM3 ActGFP*). (a) Quantification of TUNEL-positive areas at stage 11-12. Different letters (a,b) indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). (b) Quantification of focal pH2Av signals at stage 11-12. Different letters (a,b) indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). (b) Quantification of focal pH2Av signals at stage 11-12. Different letters (a,b) indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). (c) Focal pH2Av signals in *msl3* mutant embryos at stage 12. (d-k) Ectopic MSL complex formation by the *H83M2* transgene. (d) An uninfected *H83M2* female embryo exhibiting little abnormal apoptosis. (e,f) Infected *H83M2* embryos showing abnormal apoptosis (e, female; f, male). In d-f, stage 13 embryos are stained for Sxl (green) and TUNEL (magenta), whereas single-channelled TUNEL images are shown in d'-f'. (g) Quantification of TUNEL-positive areas in uninfected *H83M2* embryos at stage 11 (left) and 13 (right). Different letters (a-c) indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). (h) Epidermal cells of an infected *H83M2* female embryo at stage 11, stained for Sxl (green) and DNA (magenta), whereas single-channelled DNA image is shown in h'. (i,j) Enlarged images of dividing cells with a chromatin bridge (i) and an abnormally tangled DNA mass (j, arrow), representing boxed regions in h. (k) Quantification of chromatin bridges in the epidermal cells of uninfected and infected H83M2 female embryos at stage 11-12. The number of chromatin bridges per × 63

suppressed in p53 mutant embryos, the recovery of overall neural morphology may be attributable to suppression of the extensive cell death in surrounding non-neural tissues. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the homozygous H99 mutant in which

pro-apoptotic genes are deleted and apoptosis is almost completely blocked during embryogenesis⁵⁶. When we examined *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos deficient for apoptosis, the entire structure of the ventral nerve cord was



Figure 6 | DNA damage and apoptosis in *Spiroplasma*-infected embryos mutant for *stg.* (**a**,**b**) *Spiroplasma*-infected control male embryo (genotype $stg^{AR2}/TM3$ or $stg^{7B}/TM3$) and *stg* mutant male embryo (genotype stg^{AR2}/stg^{7B}) at stage 12. Cell membranes and apoptotic cells are visualized by anti-Discs large (Dlg; green) and TUNEL (magenta) staining, respectively. Single-channel images of TUNEL staining and high magnification images of Dlg staining are shown in **a'-b'** and **a''-b''**, respectively. (**c**) Quantification of TUNEL-positive areas in infected control and *stg* mutant embryos. Box plots are as in Fig. 2i,j. Different letters (a-d) indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05 for *stg* females versus males, P < 0.01 for the others; Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney *U*-tests). Sample sizes are indicated at the bottom. (**d**,**e**) Infected *stg* mutant female (n = 7) and male (n = 11) embryos at stage 12, in which DNA damage (pH2Av; green), the X chromosome (MSL1; magenta), and cell membrane (DCAT-1; blue) are visualized. Single-channel images of pH2Av signals and MSL1 signals are shown in **d'-e'** and **d''-e''**, respectively. Boxed regions in **d** and **e** are magnified in insets of **d-d''** and **e-e''**. Scale bars, 100 µm (**a-b'**), 25 µm (**a''**,**b''**), 20 µm (**d-e''**) and 5 µm (insets in **d-e''**).

considerably restored (Supplementary Fig. 5g,h), in comparison with *Spiroplasma*-infected control embryos (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d), but the structure of each neural cluster remained abnormal (Supplementary Fig. 5g,h), which was a reminiscent of the *p53* mutant phenotype (Supplementary Fig. 5e,f). These results suggest that the neural malformation in *Spiroplasma*infected male embryos is, at least partly, a secondary effect of p53-dependent massive apoptosis, whereas the possibility that some apoptosis-independent pathway(s) may underlie *Spiroplasma*-induced neural defects cannot be excluded.

Discussion

In this study, we uncovered a number of previously unrecognized molecular and cellular aspects underlying *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing during *Drosophila*'s embryogenesis, which include: (i) a large number of genes related to DNA damage and apoptosis are up-regulated specifically in *Spiroplasma*-infected male embryos (Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. 1; Supplementary Data 1); (ii) *Spiroplasma* causes DNA damage on the male X chromosome

interacting with the functional MSL complex (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 2); (iii) the damaged male X chromosome exhibits chromosomal bridge and breakage during cell division (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 3); (iv) the functional MSL complex is not only necessary but also sufficient for triggering Spiroplasma-induced DNA damage, chromatin bridge and apoptosis (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 4); (v) bridge breakage in the male X chromosome is responsible for abnormal apoptosis via p53-dependent pathways (Fig. 2); and (vi) the mitosisassociated chromatin bridge-breakage is preceded by the induction of chromosome-specific DNA damage (Fig. 6). On the basis of these results, we propose a hypothetical model as to what molecular and cellular mechanisms are operating in the developmental events of Spiroplasma-infected male embryos, which finally result in massive apoptosis and associated developmental abnormalities leading to male-specific embryonic lethality (Fig. 7). In conclusion, Spiroplasma targets the dosagecompensated male X chromosome with the clue of the functional MSL complex and somehow introduces DNA damage on it, thereby causing male-specific chromosomal segregation defects



Figure 7 | Model for the mechanism of *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing in *Drosophila*. (a) The time line of male-killing phenotypes during embryogenesis. Mitotic cycles are shown below the line. First 13 cleavage cycles are rapid and synchronous, consisting of only S and M phases. After cellularization at stage 5, cells obtain G2 phase and undergo three rounds of mitosis with specific pattern and timing (cycle 14-16), followed by G1 arrest. (b) The cytological model of *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing. See the text for details.

and recruiting host's p53-dependent pathways to induce apoptosis.

It remains unknown how Spiroplasma damages the MSLbound male X chromosome. On the grounds that (i) Spiroplasma is enriched extracellularly in Drosophila hosts⁵⁷, (ii) the X chromosome located within the nucleus is damaged in Spiroplasma-infected male embryos (this study) and (iii) mosaic and gynandromorph analyses reveal specific killing of male cells even when male cells and female cells coexist in the same embryos^{26,58}, it is conceivable, although speculative, that Spiroplasma-produced factors, so-called effectors or toxins, may be involved in the process. Some bacterial toxins, such as colibactin of Escherichia coli, typhoid toxin of Salmonella typhi and cytolethal distending toxins of various Gram-negative bacteria, are known to cause DNA crosslinking and induce double-strand breaks in eukaryotic cells, though probably not specific to sex chromosomes^{59,60}. In this context, it may be notable that the Spiroplasma genome encodes specific prophages⁶¹ and a plenty of phage particles are found in *Spiroplasma*-infected *Drosophila* hosts^{62,63}. It has been reported that a bacterial endosymbiont Hamiltonella defensa produces a phage-encoded toxin, thereby protecting its aphid host against parasitoid wasps⁶⁴. Similar symbiont-mediated defence against natural enemies has been found in several Spiroplasma-associated Drosophila species^{65,66}, wherein a symbiont-derived ribosomeinactivating protein was identified as a defensive factor⁶⁷. Meanwhile, the possibility cannot be excluded that Spiroplasma may act on the host cells to induce some eukaryotic factors that interact with and damage the MSL-bound X chromosome. Future studies should focus on these possibilities.

Our finding that the functional MSL complex is necessary as well as sufficient for triggering *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 4) implies that the Spiroplasma-induced damage on the male X chromosome depends on the functional MSL complex either directly or indirectly. A simple scenario is that the protein complex itself serves as a molecular target. In this context, it may be relevant that MSL proteins evolve rapidly under strong positive selection in Drosophila, suggesting the possibility of evolutionary arms race between the host's dosage compensation system and the symbiont's selfish reproductive manipulation⁶⁸. Recently, it was reported that Spiroplasma infection alters the localization of the MSL complex in male embryos, suggesting that Spiroplasma directly targets the dosage compensation machinery to induce genome-wide disruption of gene expression²⁴. On the other hand, considering that the functional MSL complex is associated with various types of histone modifications and subsequent structural/ transcriptional changes⁵, Spiroplasma may influence these modifications rather than target the MSL complex itself. Recent studies revealed that some bacteria can affect chromatin structure and transcriptional activity of host cells by modulating diverse epigenetic factors such as histone modifications, DNA methylation and chromatin-associated complexes⁶⁹. It was reported that acetylation of histone H4K16, one of the major chromatin modifications mediated by the MSL complex, weakens nucleosome packing, thereby making chromatins more accessible for DNA binding factors⁷⁰. It is possible that the MSL-bound X chromosome similarly becomes susceptible to Spiroplasmainduced DNA damage.

In theory, any male-specific essential molecular, cellular and/or structural aspects of host organisms can potentially be exploited by symbiotic microorganisms to induce male-killing⁹. Probably reflecting this, symbiont-induced male-killing has evolved repeatedly in diverse bacterial lineages including *Wolbachia*, *Spiroplasma*, *Arsenophonus*, *Rickettsia* and others, where the symbiotic bacteria interact with a variety of host's molecular and

cellular components^{8,9}: the male-killing Spiroplasma damages the dosage-compensated male X chromosome bound by the MSL complex in Drosophila melanogaster (this study); a male-killing Wolbachia suppresses host's masculinizing gene expression and thereby disturbs dosage compensation of the male Z chromosome in Ostrinia moths²⁹; another male-killing Wolbachia induces defective chromatin remodelling and subsequent abnormal mitotic spindle formation in the male embryos of Drosophila bifasciata¹⁶; and a male-killing Arsenophonus inhibits formation of maternal centrosomes required for early male development in Nasonia vitripennis¹⁴. In the light of the diversity and commonality of male-killing mechanisms, the Ostrinia's Wolbachia is of particular interest in comparison with the Drosophila's Spiroplasma in that: (i) the entirely different bacteria, Wolbachia symbiotic $(\alpha$ -Proteobacteria) and Spiroplasma (Mollicutes), cause similar male-killing phenotypes in the entirely different insect hosts, Drosophila (Diptera; male heterogametic with XY chromosomes) and Ostrinia (Lepidoptera; female heterogametic with ZW chromosomes); (ii) both symbiotic bacteria interact with host's dosage compensation mechanisms for inducing male-killing; (iii) however, while Wolbachia disturbs the dosage compensation of the male Z chromosome in Ostrinia, Spiroplasma scarcely affects the dosage compensation of the male X chromosome in Drosophila; and (iv) Wolbachia's male-killing in Ostrinia is due to dosage compensation defects, whereas Spiroplasma's male-killing in Drosophila is caused by bridge breakage of the male X chromosome and subsequent p53-mediated massive apoptosis.

In this study, we provide an integrative picture as to what mechanisms underlie *Spiroplasma*-induced male-killing, which encompass molecular, chromosomal, cellular and organismal levels. By accumulating such in-depth knowledge for different host-symbiont systems, we will be able to gain insights into the diversity and commonality of symbiont's strategies for interfering with host's sex-related cellular mechanisms, which should lead to a promising avenue for broadening the frontier of cell biology towards the realm of evolutionary biology and ecology.

Methods

Fly stocks used in this study were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (Indiana University), the *Drosophila* Genetic Resource Center (Kyoto Institute of Technology) and several *Drosophila* researchers. RNA-seq libraries of *Spiroplasma*-infected and uninfected embryos were constructed by TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina) and sequenced by HiSeq 2000/2500 (Illumina). Short reads were aligned to the reference genome sequence of *D. melanogaster* provided by University of California, Santa Cruz (dm3, Berkeley *Drosophila* Genome Project Release 5) (Supplementary Data 2). Of all genes annotated in the *Drosophila* genome, 8,387 genes achieved at least one CPM (counts per million reads) for at least three libraries were subjected to identification of differentially expressed genes (Supplementary Data 3). Immunofluorescence staining and other cytological procedures were as described²⁶, which were subjected to imaging analyses using custom R scripts with the EBImage package. Further details of the methods can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

Data availability. Nucleotide sequence data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ: http:// www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp) Sequence Read Archive with the accession numbers PRJDB4469/DRA004268/SAMD00044985-SAMD00044986 (Supplementary Data 2). All other relevant data supporting the findings of this study are included within the article and its Supplementary Information files or available on request.

References

- 1. Maynard Smith, J. The Evolution of Sex (Cambridge University Press, 1978).
- Bull, J. J. Evolution of Sex Determining Mechanisms (Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co., 1983).
- Beukeboom, L. & Perrin, N. *The Evolution of Sex Determination* (Oxford University Press, 2014).
- Salz, H. K. & Erickson, J. W. Sex determination in *Drosophila*: the view from the top. *Fly (Austin)* 4, 60–70 (2010).

- Lucchesi, J. C. & Kuroda, M. I. Dosage compensation in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 7, a019398 (2015).
- Moran, N. A., McCutcheon, J. P. & Nakabachi, A. Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 42, 165–190 (2008).
- McFall-Ngai, M. et al. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 3229–3236 (2013).
- Werren, J. H., Baldo, L. & Clark, M. E. Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 741-751 (2008).
- Hurst, G. D. D. & Frost, C. L. Reproductive parasitism: maternally inherited symbionts in a biparental world. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 7, a017699 (2015).
- Serbus, L. R., Casper-Lindley, C., Landmann, F. & Sullivan, W. The genetics and cell biology of *Wolbachia*-host interactions. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 42, 683–707 (2008).
- 11. Counce, S. J. & Poulson, D. F. Developmental effects of the sex-ratio agent in embryos of *Drosophila willistoni. J. Exp. Zool.* **151**, 17–31 (1962).
- 12. Ferree, P. M. et al. Wolbachia utilizes host microtubules and Dynein for anterior localization in the Drosophila oocyte. PLoS Pathog. 1, e14 (2005).
- Serbus, L. R. & Sullivan, W. A cellular basis for *Wolbachia* recruitment to the host germline. *PLoS Pathog.* 3, e190 (2007).
- Ferree, P. M., Avery, A., Azpurua, J., Wilkes, T. & Werren, J. H. A bacterium targets maternally inherited centrosomes to kill males in *Nasonia. Curr. Biol.* 18, 1409–1414 (2008).
- Albertson, R., Casper-Lindley, C., Cao, J., Tram, U. & Sullivan, W. Symmetric and asymmetric mitotic segregation patterns influence *Wolbachia* distribution in host somatic tissue. *J. Cell. Sci.* **122**, 4570–4583 (2009).
- Riparbelli, M. G., Giordano, R., Ueyama, M. & Callaini, G. Wolbachia-mediated male killing is associated with defective chromatin remodeling. *PLoS ONE* 7, e30045 (2012).
- Callaini, G., Dallai, R. & Riparbelli, M. G. Wolbachia-induced delay of paternal chromatin condensation does not prevent maternal chromosomes from entering anaphase in incompatible crosses of *Drosophila simulans. J. Cell. Sci.* 110(Pt 2): 271–280 (1997).
- Tram, U. & Sullivan, W. Role of delayed nuclear envelope breakdown and mitosis in *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Science* 296, 1124–1126 (2002).
- Tram, U., Fredrick, K., Werren, J. H. & Sullivan, W. Paternal chromosome segregation during the first mitotic division determines *Wolbachia*induced cytoplasmic incompatibility phenotype. *J. Cell. Sci.* **119**, 3655–3663 (2006).
- Landmann, F., Orsi, G. A., Loppin, B. & Sullivan, W. Wolbachia-mediated cytoplasmic incompatibility is associated with impaired histone deposition in the male pronucleus. *PLoS Pathog.* 5, e1000343 (2009).
- Frydman, H. M., Li, J. M., Robson, D. N. & Wieschaus, E. Somatic stem cell niche tropism in *Wolbachia. Nature* 441, 509–512 (2006).
- 22. Fast, E. M. *et al. Wolbachia* enhance *Drosophila* stem cell proliferation and target the germline stem cell niche. *Science* **334**, 990–992 (2011).
- Veneti, Z., Bentley, J. K., Koana, T., Braig, H. R. & Hurst, G. D. D. A functional dosage compensation complex required for male killing in *Drosophila*. *Science* 307, 1461–1463 (2005).
- Cheng, B., Kuppanda, N., Aldrich, J. C., Akbari, O. S. & Ferree, P. M. Malekilling *Spiroplasma* alters behavior of the dosage compensation complex during *Drosophila melanogaster* embryogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1339–1345 (2016).
- Bentley, J. K., Veneti, Z., Heraty, J. & Hurst, G. D. D. The pathology of embryo death caused by the male-killing *Spiroplasma* bacterium in *Drosophila nebulosa*. *BMC Biol.* 5, 9 (2007).
- Harumoto, T., Anbutsu, H. & Fukatsu, T. Male-killing *Spiroplasma* induces sexspecific cell death via host apoptotic pathway. *PLoS Pathog.* 10, e1003956 (2014).
- Tsuchiyama-Omura, S., Sakaguchi, B., Koga, K. & Poulson, D. F. Morphological features of embryogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster* infected with a malekilling *Spiroplasma. Zoolog. Sci.* 5, 375–383 (1988).
- Martin, J., Chong, T. & Ferree, P. M. Male killing *Spiroplasma* preferentially disrupts neural development in the *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo. *PLoS ONE* 8, e79368 (2013).
- Fukui, T. et al. The endosymbiotic bacterium Wolbachia selectively kills male hosts by targeting the masculinizing gene. PLoS Pathog. 11, e1005048 (2015).
- Cronin, S. J. F. et al. Genome-wide RNAi screen identifies genes involved in intestinal pathogenic bacterial infection. *Science* 325, 340–343 (2009).
- Jiang, H. *et al.* Cytokine/Jak/Stat signaling mediates regeneration and homeostasis in the *Drosophila* midgut. *Cell* 137, 1343–1355 (2009).
- Osman, D. et al. Autocrine and paracrine unpaired signaling regulate intestinal stem cell maintenance and division. J. Cell. Sci. 125, 5944–5949 (2012).
- Hurst, G. D. D., Anbutsu, H., Kutsukake, M. & Fukatsu, T. Hidden from the host: *Spiroplasma* bacteria infecting *Drosophila* do not cause an immune response, but are suppressed by ectopic immune activation. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 12, 93–97 (2003).

- Anbutsu, H. & Fukatsu, T. Evasion, suppression and tolerance of *Drosophila* innate immunity by a male-killing *Spiroplasma* endosymbiont. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 19, 481–488 (2010).
- 35. Herren, J. K. & Lemaitre, B. Spiroplasma and host immunity: activation of humoral immune responses increases endosymbiont load and susceptibility to certain Gram-negative bacterial pathogens in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Cell. Microbiol. 13, 1385–1396 (2011).
- 36. Ciccia, A. & Elledge, S. J. The DNA damage response: making it safe to play with knives. *Mol. Cell* **40**, 179–204 (2010).
- Brodsky, M. H. et al. Drosophila p53 binds a damage response element at the reaper locus. Cell 101, 103–113 (2000).
- Jin, S. et al. Identification and characterization of a p53 homologue in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97, 7301–7306 (2000).
- Ollmann, M. et al. Drosophila p53 is a structural and functional homologue of the tumor suppressor p53. Cell 101, 91–101 (2000).
- Abrams, J. M., White, K., Fessler, L. I. & Steller, H. Programmed cell death during *Drosophila* embryogenesis. *Development* 117, 29–43 (1993).
- Wichmann, A., Jaklevic, B. & Su, T. T. Ionizing radiation induces caspasedependent but Chk2- and p53-independent cell death in *Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 103, 9952–9957 (2006).
- McNamee, L. M. & Brodsky, M. H. p53-independent apoptosis limits DNA damage-induced aneuploidy. *Genetics* 182, 423–435 (2009).
- Kinner, A., Wu, W., Staudt, C. & Iliakis, G. γ-H2AX in recognition and signaling of DNA double-strand breaks in the context of chromatin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 5678–5694 (2008).
- Madigan, J. P., Chotkowski, H. L. & Glaser, R. L. DNA double-strand break-induced phosphorylation of *Drosophila* histone variant H2Av helps prevent radiation-induced apoptosis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 3698–3705 (2002).
- Cleaver, J. E. γH2Ax: biomarker of damage or functional participant in DNA repair 'all that glitters is not gold!'. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 87, 1230–1239 (2011).
- Conrad, T. & Akhtar, A. Dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*: epigenetic fine-tuning of chromosome-wide transcription. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13, 123–134 (2011).
- Straub, T. & Becker, P. B. Transcription modulation chromosome-wide: universal features and principles of dosage compensation in worms and flies. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 21, 147–153 (2011).
- Okuno, T., Satou, T. & Oishi, K. Studies on the sex-specific lethals of *Drosophila* melanogaster. VII. Sex-specific lethals that do not affect dosage compensation. *Jpn. J. Genet.* 59, 237 (1984).
- Palmer, M. J., Richman, R., Richter, L. & Kuroda, M. I. Sex-specific regulation of the *male-specific lethal-1* dosage compensation gene in *Drosophila. Genes Dev.* 8, 698–706 (1994).
- Gorman, M., Franke, A. & Baker, B. S. Molecular characterization of the malespecific lethal-3 gene and investigations of the regulation of dosage compensation in Drosophila. Development 121, 463–475 (1995).
- Kelley, R. L. *et al.* Expression of Msl-2 causes assembly of dosage compensation regulators on the X chromosomes and female lethality in *Drosophila. Cell* 81, 867–877 (1995).
- Edgar, B. A. & O'Farrell, P. H. Genetic control of cell division patterns in the Drosophila embryo. Cell 57, 177–187 (1989).
- Rastelli, L., Richman, R. & Kuroda, M. I. The dosage compensation regulators MLE, MSL-1 and MSL-2 are interdependent since early embryogenesis in *Drosophila. Mech. Dev.* 53, 223–233 (1995).
- Franke, A., Dernburg, A., Bashaw, G. J. & Baker, B. S. Evidence that MSL-mediated dosage compensation in *Drosophila* begins at blastoderm. *Development* 122, 2751–2760 (1996).
- Robinow, S. & White, K. Characterization and spatial distribution of the ELAV protein during *Drosophila melanogaster* development. *J. Neurobiol.* 22, 443–461 (1991).
- 56. White, K. *et al.* Genetic control of programmed cell death in *Drosophila. Science* **264**, 677–683 (1994).
- Anbutsu, H. & Fukatsu, T. Tissue-specific infection dynamics of male-killing and nonmale-killing spiroplasmas in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 57, 40–46 (2006).
- Tsuchiyama, S., Sakaguchi, B. & Oishi, K. Analysis of gynandromorph survivals in *Drosophila melanogaster* infected with the male-killing SR organisms. *Genetics* 89, 711–721 (1978).
- 59. Grasso, F. & Frisan, T. Bacterial genotoxins: merging the DNA damage response into infection biology. *Biomolecules* 5, 1762–1782 (2015).
- Vizcaino, M. I. & Crawford, J. M. The colibactin warhead crosslinks DNA. Nat. Chem. 7, 411–417 (2015).
- Paredes, J. C. et al. Genome sequence of the Drosophila melanogaster malekilling Spiroplasma strain MSRO endosymbiont. MBio 6, e02437–14 (2015).

- Oishi, K. & Poulson, D. F. A virus associated with SR-spirochetes of Drosophila nebulosa. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 67, 1565–1572 (1970).
- Williamson, D. L., Oishi, K. & Poulson, D. F. in *The Atlas of Insect and Plant Viruses*. (ed. Maramorosch, K.) 465–472 (Academic Press, 1977).
- Oliver, K. M., Degnan, P. H., Hunter, M. S. & Moran, N. A. Bacteriophages encode factors required for protection in a symbiotic mutualism. *Science* 325, 992–994 (2009).
- 65. Jaenike, J., Unckless, R., Cockburn, S. N., Boelio, L. M. & Perlman, S. J. Adaptation via symbiosis: recent spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Science* 329, 212–215 (2010).
- 66. Xie, J., Vilchez, I. & Mateos, M. Spiroplasma bacteria enhance survival of Drosophila hydei attacked by the parasitic wasp Leptopilina heterotoma. PLoS ONE 5, e12149 (2010).
- Hamilton, P. T., Peng, F., Boulanger, M. J. & Perlman, S. J. A ribosomeinactivating protein in a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 113, 350–355 (2016).
- Rodriguez, M. A., Vermaak, D., Bayes, J. J. & Malik, H. S. Species-specific positive selection of the male-specific lethal complex that participates in dosage compensation in *Drosophila. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 15412–15417 (2007).
- Bierne, H., Hamon, M. & Cossart, P. Epigenetics and bacterial infections. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 2, a010272 (2012).
- Bell, O. *et al.* Accessibility of the *Drosophila* genome discriminates PcG repression, H4K16 acetylation and replication timing. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17, 894–900 (2010).

Acknowledgements

The Drosophila Genetic Resource Center at Kyoto Institute of Technology, Japan and the Bloomington Stock Center, USA, provided fly stocks. The Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at the University of Iowa, USA, provided antibodies. We thank Takehide Murata, John Abrams, Mitzi Kuroda, Bruce Edgar and John Jaenike for providing fly strains, John Lucchesi for providing antibodies, Yoichi Kamagata and Taka-fumi Mizuno for confocal microscopy, Shuji Shigenobu and Tomoko Shibata for RNA-seq data acquisition, Takashi Kiuchi and Lemaitre lab members for comments on the manuscript, and Junko Makino and Wakana Kikuchi for technical and secretarial assistance. This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant Number 12J05307 to TH. T.H. was also supported by JSPS Fellowship for Young Scientists. RNA-seq analysis was supported by KAKENHI Grant Number 22128001. Part of this work, including the efforts of T.H. and B.L., was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) Advanced Grant 339970 and the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) Sinergia grant no. CRSII3_154396.

Author contributions

T.H. and T.F. conceived the study. T.H. performed most of the experiments. H.A. carried out some of the genetic experiments. T.H, B.L and T.F wrote the paper. All authors edited and commented on the paper.

Additional information

Accession codes: Nucleotide sequence data have been deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ: http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp) Sequence Read Archive with the accession numbers PRJDB4469/DRA004268/SAMD00044983-SAMD00044986 (Supplementary Data 2).

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Harumoto, T. et al. Male-killing symbiont damages host's dosage-compensated sex chromosome to induce embryonic apoptosis. Nat. Commun. 7:12781 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12781 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016