

## Males with prolactinoma are at increased risk of incident cardiovascular disease

Karavitaki, Niki; Toulis, Konstantinos; Kumarendran, Balachandran; Gokhale, Krishna; Cheng, Kar; Nirantharakumar, Krishnarajah

DOI:

[10.1111/cen.13498](https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13498)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Karavitaki, N, Toulis, K, Kumarendran, B, Gokhale, K, Cheng, K & Nirantharakumar, K 2017, 'Males with prolactinoma are at increased risk of incident cardiovascular disease', *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 71-76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13498>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

Checked for eligibility: 26/02/2018

This is the peer reviewed version the article published in final form at 10.1111/cen.13498. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Toulis KA, Robbins T, Reddy N, et al. Males with prolactinoma are at increased risk of incident cardiovascular disease. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2018;88:71–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13498>

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



**Males with prolactinoma are at increased risk of incident cardiovascular disease**

Journal:	<i>Clinical Endocrinology</i>
Manuscript ID	CEN-2017-000680.R1
Manuscript Type:	1 Original Article - UK, Europe
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Oct-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Toulis, Konstantinos; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research; 424 Army General Training Hospital, Endocrinology Robbins, Tim; University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Endocrinology Reddy, Narendra; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research Kumarendran, Balachadran; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research Gokhale, Krishna; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research Wijesinghe, Haren; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research Cheng, Kar Keung; University of Birmingham, Public Health, Epidemiology, and Biostatistics Karavitaki, Niki ; University of Birmingham, Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, College of Medical and Dental Sciences; Birmingham Health Partners, Centre for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism ; Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Endocrinology Wass, John A.H.; University of Oxford, Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes Nirantharakumar, Krishnarajah; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research
Key Words:	Prolactinoma < Conditions: < Pituitary, Pituitary microadenoma < Conditions: < Pituitary, Hyperprolactinaemia < Conditions: < Pituitary, cardiovascular disease

**Title Page****Title:** Males with prolactinoma are at increased risk of incident cardiovascular disease**Short running title:** Prolactinoma and cardiovascular disease**Authors:** Konstantinos A. Toulis<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tim Robbins<sup>3,4\*</sup>, Narendra Reddy<sup>5</sup>, Kumarendran Balachandran<sup>1</sup>, Krishna Gokhale<sup>1</sup>, Haren Wijesinghe<sup>1</sup>, Kar Keung Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Niki Karavitaki<sup>6,7#</sup>, John Wass<sup>8#</sup>, Krishnarajah Nirantharakumar<sup>1,6,7#</sup>

\*Joint First Authors # contributed equally

**Affiliations:**

1 Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, Birmingham, UK

2 Department of Endocrinology, 424 General Military Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

3 University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire, UK

4 Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

5 University Hospitals of Leicester, UK

6 Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, Birmingham, UK

7 Centre for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Birmingham Health Partners, Birmingham, B15 2TH, UK

8 Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford

**Corresponding author:**

Dr. Niki Karavitaki, MSc, PhD, FRCP

Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research (IMSR), College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, IBR Tower, Level 2, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

Tel.: 0121 414 3826

1  
2  
3 Fax: 0121 415 8712 17  
4

5 E-mail: [n.karavitaki@bham.ac.uk](mailto:n.karavitaki@bham.ac.uk)  
6  
7

8 **Keywords:** prolactinoma; pituitary adenoma; hyperprolactinaemia; cardiovascular disease  
9

10  
11 **Acknowledgements:** None  
12

13  
14 **Word count:** 2225  
15  
16

## 17 **Abstract**

18  
19  
20 **Objective** To investigate whether the risk of incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) is  
21 increased in patients with prolactinoma.  
22  
23

24  
25 **Design** Population-based, retrospective, open-cohort study using The Health Improvement  
26 Network (THIN) database.  
27  
28

29  
30  
31 **Patients** 2,233 patients with prolactinoma and 10,355 matched controls (1:5 ratio) from UK  
32 General Practices contributing to THIN were included. Sex, age, body mass index, and  
33 smoking status were used as matching parameters. The primary outcome was any incident  
34 CVD, defined by Read codes suggesting myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, stroke,  
35 transient ischaemic attack or heart failure. Sex-specific adjusted incidence rate ratios (aIRRs)  
36 were calculated with Poisson regression, using clinically relevant parameters as model  
37 covariates. Sensitivity analyses were performed to check whether a change in the initial  
38 assumptions could have an impact on the findings.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48  
49 **Results** During the 6-year observation period, the composite CVD outcome was recorded in  
50 54 patients with prolactinoma and 180 “non-exposed” individuals. The incidence rate was 1.8  
51 and 14.8 per 1000 person-years for the females and males with prolactinoma, respectively.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56 The aIRRs for CVD were estimated at 0.99 [95% Confidence Interval (CI): 0.61-1.61,  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  $p=0.968$ ) in female patients and 1.94 (95% CI: 1.29-2.91,  $p=0.001$ ) in male patients. These  
4  
5 findings remained robust in sensitivity analyses restricting to patients with documented  
6  
7 record of dopamine agonist treatment and those with newly diagnosed prolactinoma.  
8

9  
10 **Conclusions** In contrast to females, men with prolactinoma have increased risk for incident  
11  
12 CVD; the aetiology of this gender-specific finding remains to be elucidated  
13

## 14 15 16 **Introduction**

17  
18 Prolactinomas are the most common type of pituitary adenoma with prevalence between 34  
19  
20 and 44 cases per 100,000 population<sup>1-5</sup>. Their presenting manifestations relate to the  
21  
22 consequences of hyperprolactinaemia (hypogonadism, galactorrhea) and to their potential  
23  
24 mass effects (mostly headaches, visual deterioration and pituitary hormone deficits)<sup>6</sup>. The  
25  
26 median age at diagnosis is 31-32 years in females and 39-48 years in males, thereby affecting  
27  
28 individuals with long life expectancy<sup>1-3</sup>. The documented diagnostic delay reflecting the  
29  
30 minimum period to high prolactin (PRL) exposure ranges between 0.5-12 years<sup>1</sup>, and  
31  
32 macroadenomas, with the potential to cause various degrees of hypopituitarism, account for  
33  
34 19-24% of the total cases and up to 75% of the male patients<sup>1, 2, 5</sup>. First line treatment is  
35  
36 dopamine agonists, with cabergoline achieving normal PRL in approximately 90% of  
37  
38 microadenomas and 60-90% of macroadenomas. In cases of resistance or intolerance to  
39  
40 medical treatment, surgery combined or not with radiotherapy are further options, with  
41  
42 various success rates and complications<sup>7-9</sup>  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48  
49 Apart from the impact on the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal axis, untreated  
50  
51 hyperprolactinaemia has been associated with metabolic derangement and insulin resistance  
52  
53 <sup>10-12</sup>. These observations are consistent with the sympatholytic effects on D2-dopamine  
54  
55 receptors which are currently studied for the treatment of diabetes mellitus type 2<sup>13, 14</sup>. It has  
56  
57 been also shown that patients with untreated newly diagnosed prolactinoma demonstrate a  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 hypercoagulable state, reflected in elevated total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein  
4 cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, platelet count, fibrinogen, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1  
5 (PAI-1), alongside reduced plasma tissue factor pathway inhibitor levels<sup>15</sup>. However, these  
6  
7 reports were universally confirmed in the literature<sup>16</sup>.  
8  
9

10  
11 Adequately powered studies systematically assessing the risk of cardiovascular disease  
12 (CVD) in patients with prolactinoma (directly through the hyperprolactinaemia *per se* or  
13 indirectly through associated hypopituitarism) are not available. We, thus, for the first time,  
14 undertook a population-based, retrospective, open cohort study aiming to clarify the long-  
15 term cardiovascular risk in these patients by comparing them to appropriately matched  
16 controls.  
17  
18

## 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 **Materials and Methods**

### 28 29 30 *Study design*

31  
32 This was a population-based, retrospective, open cohort study in which patients with the  
33 diagnosis of prolactinoma were compared to age, sex, body mass index (BMI) and smoking  
34 status matched controls who did not have this diagnosis.  
35  
36  
37

### 38 39 40 *Source of data*

41  
42 Patient data was sourced from The Health Improvement Network database (THIN). THIN  
43 data are generated from longitudinal data documented in electronic medical records by  
44 General Practitioners during each episode of consultation using Read Codes (a hierarchical  
45 coding system for structured storage of information)<sup>17</sup>. More than 675 practices, scattered  
46 representatively around the UK, contribute data to THIN covering 3.7 million active patients  
47 (6-7% of UK population)<sup>18</sup>. THIN data are generalizable for the UK for major health  
48 conditions<sup>19</sup>.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### *Selection of the study population*

The study cohort consisted of two sub-cohorts; the “exposed” , including patients diagnosed with prolactinoma and the “non-exposed” one (controls, matched on a 5:1 ratio to each “exposed” subject) with no diagnosis of prolactinoma before or during the observation period. The “exposure” was defined by a Read code specific for prolactinoma (detailed list of Relevant Read Codes are available in the [Appendix](#)). Records of any dopamine agonist treatment (cabergoline, bromocriptine, quinagolide) were also collected. Controls were matched to age at index date (to within 1 year), sex, BMI (to within 2 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and smoking status (current smoker or not). These matching variables were selected on the basis of biological plausibility and relevance to CVD. The main outcome was any new (incident) diagnosis of ischaemic heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, transient ischaemic attack or stroke or incident diagnosis of heart failure or left ventricular dysfunction (Supplementary Appendix). **Cardiac valve disease was not considered in the analysis.** Due to power considerations, this was treated as a composite outcome in the analysis. Sex-specific data extraction and analyses were performed.

The THIN data collection scheme received Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee (MREC) approval in September 2003 with Scientific Review Committee (SRC) approval of this study protocol in March 2015 (Ref: SRC13-080).

### *Observation period*

The study period was set from 1<sup>st</sup> Jan 1990 to 1<sup>st</sup> September 2015. Each patient diagnosed with a prolactinoma was followed up from their index date (start of observation at the patient level) until the patient died, left the Practice, the Practice ceased data collection or a positive study outcome (cardiovascular event) was recorded. Patients with CVD recorded any time prior to the index date (at baseline) were excluded from the study (only incident CDV was

1  
2  
3 considered). Observation period and study entry requirements were identical in the control  
4  
5 cohort.

#### 6 7 *Sensitivity and subgroup analyses*

8  
9 Given the observational nature of the evidence, sensitivity analyses were performed aiming to  
10  
11 check whether a change in the initial assumptions could have an impact on the findings.  
12  
13 Thus, an alternative definition of “exposure”, namely a Read code specific for prolactinoma  
14  
15 and a concurrent documented treatment with any dopamine agonist, was used in a sensitivity  
16  
17 analysis to further consolidate the diagnosis of prolactinoma. Furthermore, a sensitivity  
18  
19 analysis was also undertaken limiting to those patients with an incident diagnosis of  
20  
21 prolactinoma (patients with a new diagnosis after joining Practice) and their respective  
22  
23 controls aiming to diminish the bias associated with the inclusion of prevalent cases. Finally,  
24  
25 since prolactinomas are diagnosed at an earlier age in women <sup>1, 2</sup>, a subgroup analysis  
26  
27 limiting to those female patients aged above 45 years and their respective controls was also  
28  
29 undertaken to offset any bias related to the low risk for CVD in premenopausal women.  
30  
31  
32

#### 33 34 *Statistical analyses*

35  
36 Baseline characteristics (age, follow-up period, sex, Townsend deprivation index <sup>20</sup>, BMI,  
37  
38 smoking status, presence of hypertension or diabetes mellitus and use of lipid lowering  
39  
40 medications) were descriptively analysed. Comparison of baseline characteristics between  
41  
42 “exposed” and “non-exposed” groups was performed by appropriate descriptive statistics  
43  
44 (Chi-squared, Student’s t or Mann-Whitney U tests).  
45

46  
47 Crude (unadjusted) incidence rate ratios (IRRs) were calculated for each outcome. Adjusted  
48  
49 incidence rate ratios (aIRRs) were calculated using Poisson regression model adjusting for  
50  
51 patient level covariates. Covariate adjustment analysis was conducted to address the  
52  
53 potential impact of imbalance in baseline characteristics. Covariates were age, sex, categories  
54  
55 of BMI (<25, 25-29.9, ≥30 Kg/m<sup>2</sup> and missing values groups), deprivation quintiles,  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 hypertension, diabetes mellitus, use of lipid lowering medications and smoking status. IRRs  
4  
5 were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and a statistical significance threshold  
6  
7 taken to be  $p < 0.05$ . Applying multiple significance tests was avoided to minimise inflation of  
8  
9 alpha error <sup>21</sup> and as per recommendation of the RECORD guideline for reporting  
10  
11 epidemiological studies using routinely collected data <sup>22</sup>. All statistical analyses were  
12  
13 performed using Stata 14.0 software (StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 14.  
14  
15 College Station, TX: StataCorp LP)

## 18 **Results**

### 20 *Baseline characteristics*

21  
22 A total of 2,233 prevalent (diagnosed before the index date) and incident (diagnosed after the  
23  
24 index date) patients with prolactinoma (1,822 females and 411 males) and no history of CVD  
25  
26 at baseline were identified. After the identification of the “exposed” patients, out of the pool  
27  
28 of individuals with no prolactinoma, a total of 10,355 subjects (8,557 females and 1,798  
29  
30 males) were randomly selected on 1:5 ratio, matching on sex, age, BMI and smoking status.  
31  
32

33  
34 The study population consisted of a total of 12,588 individuals (10,379 females and 2,209  
35  
36 males) with mean age 37.1 (SD 10.2) and 47.3 (SD 14.4) years for females and males,  
37  
38 respectively. The baseline characteristics of the subjects of the study are shown in Table 1.  
39  
40 There was no significant difference in age, smoking status, presence of hypertension or use of  
41  
42 lipid lowering medications between the “exposed” and “non-exposed” cohort at baseline.  
43  
44 Although BMI was matched to within 2 Kg/m<sup>2</sup> between the “exposed” and “non-exposed”  
45  
46 individuals, this was marginally but statistically different between the two groups for both  
47  
48 males and females as a result of the large sample size. Diabetes mellitus was significantly  
49  
50 more frequent in the “non-exposed” subjects. The potential impact of these imbalances was  
51  
52 further addressed by covariate adjustment analysis.  
53  
54

### 56 *Main Outcome*

1  
2  
3 During the observation period, the composite CVD outcome was recorded in 54 (20 females  
4 and 35 males) patients with prolactinoma and 190 (103 females and 87 males) “non-exposed”  
5 individuals. The incidence rate for the “exposed” females was 1.8 per 1000 person-years  
6 compared to 2.0 per 1000 person-years for the “non-exposed” females. The incidence rate for  
7 the “exposed” males was 14.8 per 1000 person-years compared to 8.7 per 1000 person-years  
8 for the non-exposed” males.  
9

10  
11 The crude (unadjusted) IRR for CVD in female patients compared to matched controls was  
12 estimated at 0.90 [95% CI: 0.56-1.45,  $p=0.666$ ]. After adjusting for age, gender, deprivation  
13 quintiles, BMI groups, hypertension, smoking, lipid lowering medications and diabetes  
14 mellitus, the aIRR was found to be similar and was estimated at 0.99 (95% CI: 0.61-1.61,  
15  $p=0.968$ ).  
16

17  
18 The crude IRR for CVD in male patients with prolactinoma was found to be significantly  
19 higher compared to matched controls and was estimated at 1.72 (95% CI: 1.16-2.55,  
20  $p=0.001$ ). After covariate adjustment, the aIRR changed minimally and was estimated at  
21 1.94 (95% CI: 1.29-2.91,  $p=0.001$ ). The findings of the above analyses are presented in  
22 detail in Supplementary Appendix.  
23  
24

### 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 *Sensitivity and subgroup analyses*

39  
40 Excluding patients with no record of dopamine agonist treatment and their respective controls  
41 did not alter the main findings: aIRR was calculated at 1.13 (95% CI: 0.61-2.09,  $p=0.689$ )  
42 for female and 1.98 (95% CI: 1.27-3.09,  $p=0.002$ ) for male patients. A detailed presentation  
43 of this analysis is shown in Table 2. Sensitivity analysis limiting to incident cases and their  
44 respective controls revealed similar findings: aIRR was estimated at 1.04 (95% CI: 0.54-  
45 2.03,  $p=0.894$ ) for female patients and 2.00 (95% CI: 1.14-3.49,  $p=0.019$ ) for male patients.  
46  
47 A detailed presentation of this analysis is shown in Table 2. Sensitivity analysis treating each  
48 component of the composite cardiovascular outcome as a separate outcome (namely  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 ischaemic heart disease, stroke/TIA, heart failure/left ventricular dysfunction) revealed that  
4  
5 the results were consistent in both male and female patients. Similarly, the exclusion of two  
6  
7 patients with concurrent acromegaly did not alter the findings. Routine surveillance for  
8  
9 cardiac valve disease in some patients with prolactinoma may have resulted in high detection  
10  
11 of left ventricular dysfunction. However, excluding heart failure from our composite outcome  
12  
13 did not alter our findings. Finally, when analysis was restricted to those female patients  
14  
15 diagnosed with prolactinoma who are above 45 years and their respective controls, the IRR  
16  
17 was at 1.02 (95% CI: 0.54 – 1.90,  $p=0.95$ ).  
18  
19

## 20 21 22 23 **Discussion**

24  
25 This is the first population-based, retrospective, open cohort study looking systematically at  
26  
27 the cardiovascular morbidity in patients with prolactinoma. We have shown that males have a  
28  
29 higher incidence of CVD compared to matched subjects without this diagnosis over a six year  
30  
31 observation period (IRR 1.72 (95% CI: 1.16–2.55,  $p=0.001$ )]. In contrast, there is no  
32  
33 evidence to suggest an increase in the risk of CVD in female patients with prolactinoma.  
34  
35 These findings were also confirmed after adjustment for clinically significant covariates and  
36  
37 remained robust in sensitivity analyses.  
38  
39

40  
41 Studies systematically assessing the risk of CVD in adequately powered sample of patients  
42  
43 with prolactinoma are not available. Possible mechanisms affecting the cardiovascular  
44  
45 morbidity in this group of patients include a direct effect of hyperprolactinaemia, as well as  
46  
47 the impact of potential pituitary hormone deficits and/or their management.  
48

49  
50 In population-based studies, it has been previously shown that the levels of PRL associate  
51  
52 positively with inflammatory biomarkers (such as interleukin-6)<sup>23</sup>, adverse cardiovascular  
53  
54 risk profile<sup>15</sup> and increased cardiovascular mortality<sup>24</sup>. Furthermore, particularly in patients  
55  
56 with untreated prolactinoma, a range of metabolic disorders (including insulin resistance,  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 elevated total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein B), deranged  
4  
5 fibrinolysis (platelet count, fibrinogen, PAI-1 and PAI-1/ tissue plasminogen activator ratios),  
6  
7 as well as evidence of preclinical atherosclerosis have been reported <sup>10-12, 25-28</sup>. Although the  
8  
9 duration of hyperprolactinaemia is not known in our cohort of prolactinoma patients,  
10  
11 published literature suggests diagnostic delays ranging between 0.5-12 years reflecting the  
12  
13 minimum period of exposure to high PRL<sup>1</sup>. Whether the impact of previous  
14  
15 hyperprolactinaemia on the cardiovascular system is reversible or persists despite treatment  
16  
17 with dopamine agonists remains to be elucidated.  
18  
19

20  
21 Interestingly, we found that the increased risk for CVD in male patients persisted even in the  
22  
23 presence of concurrent documented treatment with dopamine agonist; the inclusion of cases  
24  
25 with suboptimal biochemical control (due to resistance, intolerance or non-compliance)  
26  
27 cannot be excluded, particularly given that male gender has been independently associated  
28  
29 with resistance to cabergoline <sup>29</sup>. It should be also noted that the duration of exposure to high  
30  
31 PRL levels may be a significant effect modifier, which is particularly relevant when  
32  
33 investigating outcomes like CVD and may provide a possible explanation for the gender  
34  
35 differences we identified. In line with this, males are diagnosed at an older age than females,  
36  
37 possibly implying longer diagnostic delay and exposure to the consequences of  
38  
39 hyperprolactinaemia and of related hypogonadism<sup>1</sup>. “Interestingly, a recent retrospective  
40  
41 cohort study including approximately 373 individuals with hyperprolactinemia (irrespective  
42  
43 of its primary aetiology) reported similar findings with our study <sup>30</sup>. In this report, male  
44  
45 hyperprolactinaemic patients had a higher IRR for cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in  
46  
47 contrast to female patients, in whom no difference was noted when compared to  
48  
49 normoprolactinaemic controls <sup>30</sup>. Of note, an older study of a case-control design which  
50  
51 explored prolactin levels in those who suffered a coronary artery event and controls did not  
52  
53 find higher prolactin levels in the affected patients <sup>31</sup>. This was the case (non-significant  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 findings) in another study of a cohort design, however the hyperprolactinaemic patients were  
4  
5 few <sup>32</sup> and possibly the study was underpowered.  
6

7 Hypopituitarism is associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity <sup>33</sup> and is diagnosed in  
8  
9 patients with adenomas large enough to cause damage to the normal adenohypophyseal cells.  
10

11 A limitation of the present study was the inability to discriminate between micro- or  
12  
13 macroprolactinomas. However, given that macroprolactinomas are more common in males <sup>1</sup>,  
14  
15 the possibility that men with prolactinoma are most likely to have hypopituitarism, cannot be  
16  
17 excluded; this hypothesis can provide a further explanation on our gender-specific findings.  
18  
19

20 In this line of thought, it would be clinically relevant to include a control group with patients  
21  
22 diagnosed with non-functioning pituitary adenoma. Unfortunately, this was not currently  
23  
24 feasible in the THIN database.  
25  
26

27 Analysis restricted to those female patients who are aged above 45 years and their respective  
28  
29 controls still did not confirm high IRR for CVD [1.02 (95% CI: 0.54 – 1.90,  $p=0.95$ )].  
30

31 Whether a longer duration of follow-up would alter these results needs to be clarified.  
32  
33

34 The advantages of our study are that it is population-based with large sample size and  
35  
36 appropriate matching for confounding factors. Furthermore, we performed sensitivity  
37  
38 analyses, which enhanced the validity of the original results. Limitations include the lack of  
39  
40 detailed clinical phenotyping (adenoma size, pituitary dysfunction and its management,  
41  
42 response to dopamine agonist treatment, other treatments used for the prolactinoma), which  
43  
44 would allow further clarification of the pathogenetic mechanisms of our findings. Moreover,  
45  
46

47 it should be noted that patients with a documented history of CVD event preceding the index  
48  
49 date were excluded from the study to ensure outcomes could be attributable to the diagnosis  
50  
51 of prolactinoma and not to other pre-existing risk factors of CVD. This may have resulted in  
52  
53 a population at low risk for CVD, which may not be reflective of the general population of  
54  
55 patients with prolactinoma. Finally, the validity of prolactinoma-related recordings is not  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 fully documented in THIN as yet. Nonetheless, large well-characterised patient registries may  
4  
5 facilitate this in the future and will also allow causal interpretation of our observational data.  
6

7  
8 In conclusion, in a population-based, retrospective cohort study of 12,588 subjects, we have  
9  
10 found that incident CVD is increased only in men with prolactinoma. Long-standing  
11  
12 hyperprolactinaemia and its consequences, as well as hypopituitarism and its management  
13  
14 may be the underlying mechanisms. The impact of these findings on the long-term mortality  
15  
16 of these patients remains to be reviewed.  
17

18  
19  
20  
21 **Declaration of interest:** No conflicts of interest

22  
23 **Funding:** None

24  
25 **Author contributions:** KAT, TR, KN, NK and JW conceptualised the paper. NR, NB KG  
26  
27 HW, KKC, and KN carried out data collection. KAT and KN analysed data. All authors  
28  
29 contributed to the interpretation of results. KAT, NK, KN, JW and TR drafted the manuscript  
30  
31 and all authors reviewed and approved the final version.  
32  
33

### 34 35 36 37 **References**

- 38  
39 1 Fernandez, A., Karavitaki, N. & Wass, J.A. (2010) Prevalence of pituitary adenomas:  
40 a community-based, cross-sectional study in Banbury (Oxfordshire, UK). *Clin Endocrinol*  
41 *(Oxf)* **72**, 377-382.  
42  
43 2 Raappana, A., Koivukangas, J., Ebeling, T. & Pirila, T. (2010) Incidence of pituitary  
44 adenomas in Northern Finland in 1992-2007. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **95**, 4268-4275.  
45  
46 3 Gruppeta, M., Mercieca, C. & Vassallo, J. (2013) Prevalence and incidence of  
47 pituitary adenomas: a population based study in Malta. *Pituitary* **16**, 545-553.  
48  
49 4 Karavitaki, N. (2012) Prevalence and incidence of pituitary adenomas. *Ann*  
50 *Endocrinol (Paris)* **73**, 79-80.  
51  
52 5 Ciccarelli, A., Daly, A.F. & Beckers, A. (2005) The epidemiology of prolactinomas.  
53 *Pituitary* **8**, 3-6.  
54  
55 6 Melmed, S., Casanueva, F.F., Hoffman, A.R., Kleinberg, D.L., Montori, V.M.,  
56 Schlechte, J.A., Wass, J.A. & Endocrine, S. (2011) Diagnosis and treatment of  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 hyperprolactinemia: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol*  
4 *Metab* **96**, 273-288.

5  
6 7 Tampourlou, M., Trifanescu, R., Paluzzi, A., Ahmed, S.K. & Karavitaki, N. (2016)  
8 THERAPY OF ENDOCRINE DISEASE: Surgery in microprolactinomas: effectiveness and  
9 risks based on contemporary literature. *Eur J Endocrinol* **175**, R89-96.

10 8 Biller, B.M., Molitch, M.E., Vance, M.L., Cannistraro, K.B., Davis, K.R., Simons,  
11 J.A., Schoenfelder, J.R. & Klibanski, A. (1996) Treatment of prolactin-secreting  
12 macroadenomas with the once-weekly dopamine agonist cabergoline. *J Clin Endocrinol*  
13 *Metab* **81**, 2338-2343.

14  
15 9 Ferrari, C.I., Abs, R., Bevan, J.S., Brabant, G., Ciccarelli, E., Motta, T., Mucci, M.,  
16 Muratori, M., Musatti, L., Verbessem, G. & Scanlon, M.F. (1997) Treatment of  
17 macroprolactinoma with cabergoline: a study of 85 patients. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* **46**, 409-  
18 413.

19  
20 10 Berinder, K., Nystrom, T., Hoybye, C., Hall, K. & Hulting, A.L. (2011) Insulin  
21 sensitivity and lipid profile in prolactinoma patients before and after normalization of  
22 prolactin by dopamine agonist therapy. *Pituitary* **14**, 199-207.

23  
24 11 Pala, N.A., Laway, B.A., Misgar, R.A. & Dar, R.A. (2015) Metabolic abnormalities in  
25 patients with prolactinoma: response to treatment with cabergoline. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* **7**,  
26 99.

27  
28 12 dos Santos Silva, C.M., Barbosa, F.R., Lima, G.A., Warszawski, L., Fontes, R.,  
29 Domingues, R.C. & Gadelha, M.R. (2011) BMI and metabolic profile in patients with  
30 prolactinoma before and after treatment with dopamine agonists. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **19**,  
31 800-805.

32  
33 13 Defronzo, R.A. (2011) Bromocriptine: a sympatholytic, d2-dopamine agonist for the  
34 treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **34**, 789-794.

35  
36 14 Chamarthi, B., Ezrokhi, M., Rutty, D. & Cincotta, A.H. (2016) Impact of  
37 bromocriptine-QR therapy on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects on  
38 metformin. *Postgrad Med* **128**, 761-769.

39  
40 15 Erem, C., Kocak, M., Nuhoglu, I., Yilmaz, M. & Ucuncu, O. (2010) Blood  
41 coagulation, fibrinolysis and lipid profile in patients with prolactinoma. *Clin Endocrinol*  
42 *(Oxf)* **73**, 502-507.

43  
44 16 Mon, S.Y., Alkabbani, A., Hamrahian, A., Thornton, J.N., Kennedy, L., Weil, R.,  
45 Olansky, L., Doshi, K., Makin, V. & Hatipoglu, B. (2013) Risk of thromboembolic events in  
46 patients with prolactinomas compared with patients with nonfunctional pituitary adenomas.  
47 *Pituitary* **16**, 523-527.

48  
49 17 Booth, N. (1994) What are the Read Codes? *Health Libr Rev* **11**, 177-182.

50  
51 18 Sammon, C.J. & Petersen, I. (2016) Backdating of events in electronic primary health  
52 care data: should one censor at the date of last data collection. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*  
53 **25**, 378-384.

- 1  
2  
3 19 Blak, B.T., Thompson, M., Dattani, H. & Bourke, A. (2011) Generalisability of The  
4 Health Improvement Network (THIN) database: demographics, chronic disease prevalence  
5 and mortality rates. *Inform Prim Care* **19**, 251-255.  
6
- 7 20 Townsend, P., Phillimore, P. & Beattie, A. (1988) Health and deprivation: inequality  
8 and the North., London.  
9
- 10 21 Bland, J.M. & Altman, D.G. (1995) Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni  
11 method. *BMJ* **310**, 170.  
12
- 13 22 Benchimol, E.I., Smeeth, L., Guttman, A., Harron, K., Moher, D., Petersen, I.,  
14 Sorensen, H.T., von Elm, E., Langan, S.M. & Committee, R.W. (2015) The REporting of  
15 studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD)  
16 statement. *PLoS Med* **12**, e1001885.  
17
- 18 23 Friedrich, N., Schneider, H.J., Spielhagen, C., Markus, M.R., Haring, R., Grabe, H.J.,  
19 Buchfelder, M., Wallaschofski, H. & Nauck, M. (2011) The association of serum prolactin  
20 concentration with inflammatory biomarkers - cross-sectional findings from the population-  
21 based Study of Health in Pomerania. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* **75**, 561-566.  
22
- 23 24 Haring, R., Friedrich, N., Volzke, H., Vasan, R.S., Felix, S.B., Dorr, M., Meyer zu  
24 Schwabedissen, H.E., Nauck, M. & Wallaschofski, H. (2014) Positive association of serum  
25 prolactin concentrations with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Eur Heart J* **35**, 1215-  
26 1221.  
27
- 28 25 Arslan, M.S., Topaloglu, O., Sahin, M., Tural, E., Gungunes, A., Cakir, E., Ozturk,  
29 I.U., Karbek, B., Ucan, B., Ginis, Z., Cakal, E., Ozbek, M. & Delibasi, T. (2014) Preclinical  
30 atherosclerosis in patients with prolactinoma. *Endocr Pract* **20**, 447-451.  
31
- 32 26 Jiang, X.B., Li, C.L., He, D.S., Mao, Z.G., Liu, D.H., Fan, X., Hu, B., Zhu, Y.H. &  
33 Wang, H.J. (2014) Increased carotid intima media thickness is associated with prolactin  
34 levels in subjects with untreated prolactinoma: a pilot study. *Pituitary* **17**, 232-239.  
35
- 36 27 Reuwer, A.Q., Sondermeijer, B.M., Battjes, S., van Zijderveld, R., Stuijver, D.J.,  
37 Bisschop, P.H., Twickler, M.T., Meijers, J.C., Schlingemann, R.O. & Stroes, E.S. (2012)  
38 Microcirculation and atherothrombotic parameters in prolactinoma patients: a pilot study.  
39 *Pituitary* **15**, 472-481.  
40
- 41 28 Reuwer, A.Q., van Zaane, B., van Wissen, M., van Zanten, A.P., Twickler, M.T. &  
42 Gerdes, V.E. (2011) Prolactin is involved in the systemic inflammatory response in  
43 myocardial infarction. *Horm Metab Res* **43**, 62-65.  
44
- 45 29 Delgrange, E., Daems, T., Verhelst, J., Abs, R. & Maiter, D. (2009) Characterization  
46 of resistance to the prolactin-lowering effects of cabergoline in macroprolactinomas: a study  
47 in 122 patients. *Eur J Endocrinol* **160**, 747-752.  
48
- 49 30 Krogh, J., Selmer, C., Torp-Pedersen, C., Gislason, G.H. & Kistorp, C. (2017)  
50 Hyperprolactinemia and the Association with All-Cause Mortality and Cardiovascular  
51 Mortality. *Horm Metab Res* **49**, 411-417.  
52
- 53 31 Reuwer, A.Q., Twickler, M.T., Hutten, B.A., Molema, F.W., Wareham, N.J.,  
54 Dallinga-Thie, G.M., Bogorad, R.L., Goffin, V., Smink-Bol, M., Kastelein, J.J., Boekholdt,  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 S.M. & Khaw, K.T. (2009) Prolactin levels and the risk of future coronary artery disease in  
4 apparently healthy men and women. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* **2**, 389-395.

5  
6 32 Corona, G., Rastrelli, G., Boddi, V., Monami, M., Melani, C., Balzi, D., Sforza, A.,  
7 Forti, G., Mannucci, E. & Maggi, M. (2011) Prolactin levels independently predict major  
8 cardiovascular events in patients with erectile dysfunction. *Int J Androl* **34**, 217-224.

9  
10 33 Fleseriu, M., Hashim, I.A., Karavitaki, N., Melmed, S., Murad, M.H., Salvatori, R. &  
11 Samuels, M.H. (2016) Hormonal Replacement in Hypopituitarism in Adults: An Endocrine  
12 Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **101**, 3888-3921.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

## Tables

**Table 1:** Baseline characteristics of study population

	Females (n=10,379)		Males (n=2,209)	
	Prolactinoma subjects	“Non-exposed” subjects	Prolactinoma subjects	“Non-exposed” subjects
Number of subjects	1,822	8,557	411	1,798
Follow-up period (years)*	6.1 [5.2]	6.0 [4.9]	5.6 [4.7]	5.6 [4.6]
Age (years)*	37.1 (10.2)	37.1 (10.2)	47.2 (14.5)	47.4 (14.4)
Body mass index*	26.7 (6.3)	26.0 (5.4)*	29.6 (6.1)	28.1 (4.6)*
Current smoking	276 (15.2)	1,237 (14.5)	63 (15.3)	267 (14.85)
Hypertension	95 (5.2)	510 (6.0)	65 (15.8)	333 (18.5)
Lipid lowering medications	53 (2.9)	278 (3.3)	55 (13.4)	264 (14.7)
Diabetes mellitus	24 (1.3)	217 (2.5)*	19 (4.6)	148 (8.2)*
Townsend index				
(Least deprived) 1	416 (22.8)	1,932 (22.6)*	111 (27.0)	447 (24.9)
2	324 (17.8)	1,711 (20.0)	90 (21.9)	416 (23.1)
3	414 (22.7)	1,749 (20.4)	90 (21.9)	356 (19.8)
4	348 (19.1)	1,643 (19.2)	52 (12.7)	305 (16.9)
5	189 (10.4)	1,008 (11.8)	38 (9.2)	186 (10.3)
Not available	131 (7.2)	514 (6.0)	30 (7.3)	88 (4.9)

Results for continuous variables are presented as mean (standard deviation) and for dichotomous and ordinal variables as N (%). A high Townsend index is indicative of high material deprivation. The index is assigned to each patient record based on their residential postcode. For diabetes mellitus, hypertension and smoking status, a positive documentation in the General Practice records was considered as presence of the risk factor. \* Statistically significant at 0.05

**Table 2:** Sensitivity analyses restricting to those with evidence of treatment with dopamine agonist or incident diagnosis of prolactinoma and their respective controls

	Female patients with evidence of dopamine agonist therapy		Male patients with evidence of dopamine agonist therapy		Incident female patients		Incident male patients	
	Prolactinoma subjects	“Non-exposed” cohort	Prolactinoma subjects	“Non-exposed cohort”	Prolactinoma subjects	“Non-exposed” cohort	Prolactinoma subjects	“Non-exposed” cohort
Number of subjects	1,312	6,147	353	1,546	795	3,718	232	1,025
Person-years	8,331	37,092	2,006	8,732	5,291	23,138	1,259	5,421
Incident cardiovascular disease	13	53	29	74	11	50	18	52
Incidence Rate (per 1000 person-years)	1.6	1.4	14.5	8.5	2.1	2.2	14.3	9.6
IRR (95% CI)	1.09 (0.60-2.00)		1.70 (1.11-2.62)		0.96 (0.50-1.85)		1.49 (0.87-2.55)	
<i>p</i>	0.776		0.015		0.907		0.145	
Adjusted IRR (95% CI)*	1.13 (0.61-2.09)		<b>1.98 (1.27-3.09)</b>		1.04 (0.54-2.03)		<b>2.00 (1.14-3.49)</b>	
<i>p</i>	0.689		0.002		0.894		0.019	

\*Adjusted for age, gender, deprivation quintiles, body mass index (BMI) group, hypertension, smoking, lipid lowering medications and diabetes mellitus. The BMI categories (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were <25, 25-29.9, ≥30 and missing values groups. *P* - values were derived from Poisson regression. CI: Confidence Interval, IRR: Incidence Rate Ratio

## Supplementary Appendix

### *Contents*

- Further methodological details on the construction of the cohort
- Summary of the Read codes used for the study
- Supplementary Table: Risk of incident cardiovascular disease on the basis of prolactinoma diagnosis

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Further methodological details on the construction of the cohort**

- The index date for each exposed patient (start date of patient-specific observation) was set at one year after registration with the Practice (if the patient was already diagnosed with prolactinoma and the Practice was eligible for inclusion in THIN) or the date the Practice became eligible for participation (if the patient was already diagnosed with prolactinoma and the Practice initially not eligible) or the date of the first diagnosis (incident patients with prolactinoma), whichever was the latest.
- Individual Practices (already included into the THIN network) were eligible for inclusion in the study from the later of the following two dates: one year after the date their Practice system was installed; and the Practice's acceptable mortality recording (AMR) date (a measure of quality of the data). This approach ensured that any selected Practice was making full use of their system and was not under-recording important outcomes. This minimum entry period of one year from the patient's Practice registration date was applied to maximise the likelihood that each case had sufficient time to have their baseline characteristics and comorbidities recorded in the system.
- A minimum one year entry period after registration with the Practice described above was applied in the controls ("non-exposed patients) as well. Individuals were followed up until death, departure from Practice, cessation of Practice data collection or positive outcome (incident cardiovascular event). Similarly, any control patient who had the outcome of interest preceding the index date was also excluded from the study.

1  
2  
3 **Summary of the Read codes used for the study**  
4

5 **Exposure:** BB5y400 (prolactinoma)  
6

7 **Outcomes:**  
8

9 Cardiovascular Disease  
10

11 Ischaemic Heart Disease mainly driven by: G3... (IHD), G30... (Myocardial Infarction) G33..... (Angina Pectoris)

12 Stroke and TIA mainly driven by : Codes stemming from G6... (Cerebrovascular Disease)....G65 (TIA).....G66 (stroke)  
13

14  
15 **Observed Read Codes for outcomes:**  
16

IHD, stroke, TIA	Count of PRACTICE_PATIENT ID
G3...00	7
G3...13	3
G30..00	3
G30..15	1
G307100	1
G30X000	1
G311100	1
G311500	2
G33..00	9
G340.11	1
G340.12	2
G340100	1
G6...00	1
G60..00	1
G61..00	1
G623.00	1
G64..00	1
G64..11	2
G64..13	1
G640000	1
G64z.00	1
G64z200	1
G64z400	1
G65..00	5
G65..12	4
G65zz00	1
G66..11	1
G66..12	1
G667.00	1
G6X..00	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Supplementary Table:** Risk of incident cardiovascular disease on the basis of prolactinoma diagnosis

	Females		Males	
	Prolactinoma	“Non-exposed” cohort	Prolactinoma	“Non-exposed” cohort
Number of patients	1,822	8,557	411	1,798
Incident cardiovascular disease	20	103	34	87
Person-years	11,112	51,489	2,287	10,052
Incidence Rate (per 1000 person-years)	1.8	2.0	14.8	8.7
Incidence Rate Ratio (95% CI)	0.90 (0.56-1.45)		1.72 (1.16-2.55)	
<i>p</i>	0.666		0.007	
Adjusted Incidence Rate Ratio (95% CI)	0.99 (0.61-1.61)		<b>1.94 (1.29-2.91)</b>	
<i>p</i> -	0.968		0.001	

Adjusted for age, gender, deprivation quintiles, body mass index (BMI) group, hypertension, smoking, lipid lowering medications and diabetes mellitus. The BMI categories (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were <25, 25-29.9, >=30 and missing values groups. *P*-values were derived from Poisson regression.