

# CHALMERS

## Managing the Risk for Antagonistic Threats against the Transport Network

Daniel Ekwall

Thesis to be defended in public at 8 May 2009 at 13.00 in Vasa A,  
Vera Sandbergs allé 8 at  
Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg,  
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The discussion leader is Professor Helen Peck at Dept of Applied Science, Security  
and Resilience at Cranfield University, Shrivenham, United Kingdom

*The thesis will be defended in English.*

The thesis is available at:

Division of Logistics and Transportation  
Department of Technology Management and Economics  
Chalmers University of Technology  
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden  
Telephone +46 31 772 1000



**CHALMERS**



UNIVERSITY COLLEGE  
OF BORÅS

# Table of content

List of figures	XI
List of tables	XII
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. <i>Background</i>	1
1.1.1. Definition of antagonistic threats	2
1.1.2. Antagonistic threats against the transport network	4
1.1.3. Theft of cargo, vehicles, and driver belonging	6
1.1.4. Smuggling of goods	8
1.1.5. Terrorism threats	9
1.1.6. Shrinkage or loss for different actors in a supply chain	12
1.1.7. Insurance claims (FFL) for one of the worlds leading LSP	12
1.1.8. Cargo theft in Europe	14
1.1.9. Cargo theft in Sweden	17
1.2. <i>Purpose</i>	18
1.3. <i>Scope</i>	18
1.4. <i>Limitations</i>	18
1.5. <i>Antagonistic threats as a wicked problem</i>	18
1.6. <i>Research questions</i>	20
1.7. <i>Outline of the thesis</i>	21
<b>2. Frame of reference</b>	<b>24</b>
2.1. <i>Components in the framework</i>	24
2.2. <i>The supply chain concepts</i>	25
2.2.1. Principles of microeconomics as a business description	25
2.2.2. The market and the end user	26
2.3. <i>System of supply chains</i>	28
2.4. <i>Supply chain, logistics and transport</i>	29
2.5. <i>Risk and uncertainty in the supply chain</i>	32
2.6. <i>Supply chain vulnerability</i>	35
2.7. <i>Supply chain risk management</i>	37
2.8. <i>Supply chain security</i>	37
2.9. <i>Risk management and security in a supply chain context</i>	38
2.9.1. Transport and freight security	41
2.9.2. Logistics security programmes	42
2.9.3. System of supply chain risks	43
2.10. <i>The insurance principle; conventions, claims, and premiums</i>	44
2.10.1. The CRM convention	46
2.10.2. Enhanced liability in insurance	47
2.10.3. Incoterms 2000	47
2.11. <i>Criminology and logistics</i>	49
2.11.1. The two different outlooks on mankind in criminology	49
2.11.2. Criminal prosecution and punishment	50
2.11.3. Opportunity to crime	51
2.11.4. Routine activity perspective	51

2.11.5.	Perpetrator's decision factors	52
2.11.6.	Situation crime prevention	53
2.11.7.	Crime displacement	54
2.11.8.	Professional vs. opportunistic perpetrators	55
2.11.9.	Internal or external perpetrator	55
2.11.10.	Hot products	57
2.12.	<i>Logistics, risk management and criminology</i>	57
2.12.1.	The antagonistic threat triangle in logistics	58
2.13.	<i>Research questions – theoretical verification</i>	59
<b>3.</b>	<b>Methodological framework</b>	<b>62</b>
3.1.	<i>Research procedure</i>	62
3.2.	<i>System approach</i>	62
3.3.	<i>Complexity theory</i>	64
3.4.	<i>Research process</i>	65
3.4.1.	Research chronology	66
3.5.	<i>Literature review to find the research gap</i>	67
3.6.	<i>Data sources and their reliability</i>	68
3.7.	<i>Interviews</i>	69
3.8.	<i>Empirical data used in the different papers</i>	70
3.9.	<i>Verification and validation of results</i>	70
<b>4.</b>	<b>Summary of appended papers</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1.	<i>Link between appended papers</i>	72
4.2.	<i>Paper A: The displacement effect in cargo theft</i>	74
4.3.	<i>Paper B: Using business complexity to handle supply chain risk: dealing with borders of cargo liability</i>	75
4.4.	<i>Paper C: Differences in stakeholder opinion regarding antagonistic gateways within the transport network</i>	77
4.5.	<i>Paper D: The role of temporary storage from a supply chain perspective</i>	78
4.6.	<i>Paper E: The risk for detection affects the logistics system setup for cargo smugglers</i>	79
4.7.	<i>Paper F: Frames of Thefts at Work – Security Culture and the Organisation of responsibility in Transport Networks</i>	81
4.8.	<i>PAPER G: Security culture and transport network terminal activities</i>	82
4.9.	<i>PAPER H: Impacts of the ISPS code on port activities – A case study on Swedish ports</i>	83
4.10.	<i>Result of appended papers</i>	85
<b>5.</b>	<b>Analysis</b>	<b>86</b>
5.1.	<i>Research questions - analysis</i>	86
5.2.	<i>The antagonistic threat triangle</i>	92
<b>6.</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>97</b>
6.1.	<i>Results and contribution</i>	99
6.1.1.	Theoretical contribution	100
6.1.2.	Practical contribution	101

6.2.	<i>Generalisation</i>	101
6.3.	<i>Generalisation of managing the risk of antagonistic threats</i>	102
6.3.1.	Perpetrator and threat opportunity	103
6.3.2.	Mitigation of antagonistic threats	103
6.3.3.	Transfer of antagonistic threats	104
6.3.4.	Compliance with logistics security programmes	104
6.3.5.	Cargo crime as a production method	105
6.3.6.	Terrorist threat toward logistics operations	106
<b>7.</b>	<b>Conclusions and future research</b>	<b>108</b>
7.1.	<i>Conclusions</i>	108
7.1.1.	Proportions and patterns of the problem	108
7.1.2.	Understanding of the problem	109
7.1.3.	Managing the problem	110
7.2.	<i>Future research</i>	111
	General ideas for future research areas	111
	Suggestion for future papers	112
<b>8.</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>114</b>
	<b>Appendix A - Additional publication by the author</b>	<b>132</b>
	<b>Appendix B - List of organizations involved during the research process</b>	<b>134</b>
	<b>Appendix C – Glossary and Abbreviations</b>	<b>136</b>
	<b>Appendix D – Cost calculation for cargo theft in Sweden</b>	<b>138</b>