

Manifestly finite derivation of the quantum kink mass

Jarah Evslin

*Institute of Modern Physics,
NanChangLu 509, Lanzhou 730000, China
University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences,
YuQuanLu 19A, Beijing 100049, China*

E-mail: jarah@impcas.ac.cn

ABSTRACT: In 1974 Dashen, Hasslacher and Neveu calculated the leading quantum correction to the mass of the kink in the scalar ϕ^4 theory in 1+1 dimensions. The derivation relies on the identification of the perturbations about the kink as solutions of the Pöschl-Teller (PT) theory. They regularize the theory by placing it in a periodic box, although the kink is not itself periodic. They also require an *ad hoc* identification of plane wave and PT states which is difficult to interpret in the decompactified limit. We rederive the mass using the kink operator to recast this problem in terms of the PT Hamiltonian which we explicitly diagonalize using its exact eigenstates. We normal order from the beginning, rendering our theory finite so that no compactification is necessary. In our final expression for the kink mass, the form of the PT potential disappears, suggesting that our mass formula applies to other quantum solitons.

KEYWORDS: Solitons Monopoles and Instantons, Field Theories in Lower Dimensions, Nonperturbative Effects

ARXIV EPRINT: [1908.06710](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.06710)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The modified Pöschl-Teller potential	3
2.1	A ground state	3
2.2	The kink	5
2.3	The displacement operator	5
2.4	Shifting the Hamiltonian	7
2.5	A new problem	9
3	Classical solutions to the Pöschl-Teller problem	10
3.1	General solutions	10
3.2	Continuum states	11
3.3	Bound states	14
4	Mode expansion of the Pöschl-Teller Hamiltonian	15
4.1	PT annihilation and creation operators	15
4.2	Continuum state contribution	17
4.3	Odd bound state contribution	21
4.4	Even bound state contribution	23
4.5	Putting it all together	24
5	Remarks	26
5.1	Three corollaries	26
5.1.1	Other solutions	26
5.1.2	The spectrum	27
5.1.3	The soliton operator	27
5.2	What next?	27
A	Hypergeometric functions	28

1 Introduction

In quantum field theory, particles are created by the creation operators a^\dagger . In contrast, solitons seem to be very different objects, corresponding somehow to classical solutions which must be quantum corrected [1]. This treatment of solitons is sufficient in many weakly coupled theories, but in theories which are strongly coupled in the infrared, it is no longer clear that classical solutions will be realized in the quantum theory. Conversely, in strongly coupled theories, objects may be realized in the quantum theory which do not

correspond to classical solutions¹ but nonetheless carry the same charges. Therefore it would be desirable to have a description of these quantum objects which may be decoupled from classical solutions.

There is such a description. Solitons in a quantum field theory are also represented by operators which create them, just like particles. In the case of classical solutions, these are the operators which create coherent states [4]. Perhaps the first example of such an operator was the quantum kink, described by Mandelstam in ref. [5]. This kink leads to expectation values of the scalar field which reproduce the asymptotic behavior of the kink solution. However the kink solution itself does not appear, as the operator is singular.

More generally one expects such operators \mathcal{O} to satisfy

$$[H, \mathcal{O}]|0\rangle = M\mathcal{O}|0\rangle \quad (1.1)$$

where M is the mass. When the theory is weakly coupled, M should be equal to the mass of the corresponding classical solution plus quantum corrections.

A general formalism for operators which create states whose form factors $\langle\phi(x)\rangle$ reproduce the classical solutions, and who solve (1.1) was described in ref. [6]. The general form of \mathcal{O} is the product of a displacement operator, which fixes the form factor, with another operator which squeezes and deforms the state to minimize the energy in the displaced state. This other operator can be calculated in perturbation theory.

We would like to study these operators in weakly coupled theories, in which they correspond to classical solitons, with the hope that once we understand them in this context we may create them in strongly coupled theories in which they do not. In the present paper we take a first step. We will use the formalism of ref. [6] to rederive the leading correction to the quantum mass of the ϕ^4 kink, first calculated in ref. [7].

Our method is particularly robust. By normal-ordering our theory from the beginning, we render it finite,² so that divergences are never present in our calculation. This eliminates the need to compactify and decompactify in the presence of an ultraviolet cutoff, as was done in [7]. We find the mass by directly diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of the 1-kink sector. This is done to subleading order in perturbation theory. Our method produces not only all of the eigenvalues, but also all of the Hamiltonian eigenstates.

In section 2 we show that in the one kink sector, our Hamiltonian problem is equivalent to the Pöschl-Teller problem. Next in section 3 we calculate the Pöschl-Teller solutions, which are the eigenfunctions of our Hamiltonian. These eigenfunctions are used to diagonalize the Hamiltonian in section 4, yielding the kink mass. Finally in section 5 we describe the entire spectrum, the generalization to other classical solutions of other theories and also how these results may be used to construct the kink operator. The notation is summarized in table 1.

¹In fact, even at weak but finite coupling Derrick's theorem may be violated by quantum corrections [2, 3] and so unstable classical configurations may correspond to stable quantum states.

²This is always the case with scalar field theories in 1+1 dimensions.

symbol	description
H	ϕ^4 Hamiltonian
H_0	Free Hamiltonian
H_{PT}	Pöschl-Teller Hamiltonian
H'	Hamiltonian in the kink sector
\tilde{T}_2	Pöschl-Teller potential term
Q	Leading quantum correction to kink mass
E_K	Energy of kink state
$f(x)$	Classical kink solution
$g(x)$	Pöschl-Teller eigenfunctions
$\tilde{g}(p)$	Inverse Fourier transform of PT eigenfunctions
C	The normalization of g
m	$\sqrt{2\lambda}v$
β	$m/2$
$ \pm\rangle$	The two ground states
$ K\rangle$	The kink state
\mathcal{D}_f	Displacement operator, creating the form factor $f(x)$
\mathcal{O}	Operator $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{D}_f \mathcal{O}_1$ that creates the kink state from $ -\rangle$
a_p	Annihilation operator for plane waves
b_k	Annihilation operator for continuous PT eigenstates
b_{BO}	Annihilation operator for odd bound PT eigenstate
b_{BE}	Annihilation operator for even bound PT eigenstate

Table 1. Summary of Notation.

2 The modified Pöschl-Teller potential

2.1 A ground state

We begin with a real scalar field ϕ in 1+1 dimensions described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int dx \mathcal{H}(x), \quad \mathcal{H}(x) = \frac{1}{2} : \pi(x)\pi(x) : + \frac{1}{2} : \partial_x \phi(x) \partial_x \phi(x) : + \frac{\lambda}{4} : (\phi(x)-v)^2 (\phi(x)+v)^2 : \quad (2.1)$$

where $\pi(x)$ is the conjugate momentum to $\phi(x)$ and v and λ are positive, real numbers. As the field ϕ is tachyonic when expanded about zero, we will postpone our prescription for the normal ordering. Note that the theory has two degenerate ground states

$$|\pm\rangle \quad (2.2)$$

which satisfy

$$\langle \pm | \phi(x) | \pm \rangle = \pm v. \quad (2.3)$$

Let us consider for concreteness the ground state $|-\rangle$. We may zero the expectation value of ϕ in eq. (2.3) with the field redefinition

$$\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi} = \phi + v. \quad (2.4)$$

From now on we will only be interested in $\tilde{\phi}$ and so we will drop the tildes. Therefore now

$$\langle -|\phi(x)|-\rangle = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

In terms of this new field, the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_1, & H_0 &= \int dx \mathcal{H}_0(x), & H_1 &= \int dx \mathcal{H}_1(x) \\ \mathcal{H}_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2} : \pi(x)\pi(x) : + \frac{1}{2} : \partial_x \phi(x) \partial_x \phi(x) : + \lambda v^2 : \phi^2(x) : \\ \mathcal{H}_1(x) &= -\lambda v : \phi^3(x) : + \frac{\lambda}{4} : \phi^4(x) : . \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We can see that the new field $\phi(x)$ has a mass³ of

$$m = \sqrt{2\lambda}v. \tag{2.7}$$

As we work in 1+1 dimensions, ϕ is dimensionless and so v is dimensionless while λ has dimensions of m^2 . Therefore our perturbative expansion will be in $1/v$.

It will be convenient to rewrite the Hamiltonian density in terms of m and λ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2} : \pi(x)\pi(x) : + \frac{1}{2} : \partial_x \phi(x) \partial_x \phi(x) : + \frac{m^2}{2} : \phi^2(x) : \\ \mathcal{H}_1(x) &= -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}m}{\sqrt{2}} : \phi^3(x) : + \frac{\lambda}{4} : \phi^4(x) : . \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now unfortunately our perturbative parameter has disappeared from the problem. However, as $1/v$ is equal to $\sqrt{2\lambda}/m$, our expansion is equivalent to an expansion in $\sqrt{\lambda}$ with m held fixed.

Although ϕ is not a free field, in the Schrodinger picture we can Fourier transform it to define oscillator modes a and a^\dagger

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \left(a_p^\dagger + a_{-p} \right) e^{-ipx}, \quad \pi(x) = i \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_p}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a_p^\dagger - a_{-p} \right) e^{-ipx} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

The canonical commutation relations

$$[\phi(x), \pi(y)] = i\delta(x - y) \tag{2.11}$$

then yield

$$[a_p, a_q^\dagger] = 2\pi\delta(p - q). \tag{2.12}$$

Finally we can define our normal ordering prescription: all a^\dagger are placed on the left of all a .

The $|-\rangle$ state can be calculated in perturbation theory in λ in terms of the ground state of the free theory H_0 , which is annihilated by all a_p . It satisfies

$$H|-\rangle = E_0|-\rangle \tag{2.13}$$

where E_0 is of order λ . The state $|-\rangle$ can be constructed from the free ground state by acting with an operator which is equal to the identity plus corrections of order $\sqrt{\lambda}$.

³Our m differs by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ from that of ref. [7], who instead defined it to be the tachyonic mass of the unshifted vacuum.

2.2 The kink

A single, time-independent, kink at rest corresponds to another state $|K\rangle$ which is also an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian

$$H|K\rangle = E_K|K\rangle. \quad (2.14)$$

We will refer to this equation as a Schrodinger equation,⁴ and the quantity

$$M_K = E_K - E_0 \quad (2.15)$$

as the kink mass, as it is the minimal energy cost of creating a kink.

Following the general arguments of ref. [6], the kink state can be constructed as a coherent state by acting on $|-\rangle$ with the operator \mathcal{O} . What do we know about \mathcal{O} ?

The classical equations of motion for the field ϕ are

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_{\text{cl}}(x, t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{\text{cl}}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{m^2}{2} \left(\phi_{\text{cl}}(x, t) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right) - \lambda \left(\phi_{\text{cl}}(x, t) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right)^3. \quad (2.16)$$

One solution is the time independent kink

$$\phi_{\text{cl}}(x, t) = f(x), \quad f(x) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left(1 + \tanh\left(\frac{mx}{2}\right) \right). \quad (2.17)$$

This classical solution corresponds to a state in the quantum theory with

$$\langle K|\phi(x)|K\rangle = f(x) \quad (2.18)$$

plus quantum corrections. How does one obtain such a state?

2.3 The displacement operator

Still following ref. [6], we may obtain the form factor (2.18) using the displacement operator

$$\mathcal{D}_f = \exp\left(-i \int dx f(x) \pi(x)\right). \quad (2.19)$$

In this subsection the function $f(x)$ will be arbitrary, not necessarily a solution of the equations of motion.

The commutator with $\phi(x)$ may be obtained from

$$\left[\int dx f(x) \pi(x), \phi(y) \right] = \int dx f(x) [\pi(x), \phi(y)] = -if(y). \quad (2.20)$$

As the right hand side is a scalar, it commutes with everything and so one easily obtains

$$[\mathcal{D}_f, \phi(y)] = -f(y)\mathcal{D}_f. \quad (2.21)$$

From here one solution to eq. (2.18) is apparent. If one defines

$$|f\rangle = \mathcal{D}_f|-\rangle \quad (2.22)$$

⁴We hope that this terminology does not cause confusion, as we are working in quantum field theory and not quantum mechanics.

then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f|\phi(x)|f\rangle &= \langle -|\mathcal{D}_f^\dagger\phi(x)\mathcal{D}_f|-\rangle = \langle -|\mathcal{D}_f^\dagger[\phi(x),\mathcal{D}_f]|-\rangle + \langle -|\mathcal{D}_f^\dagger\mathcal{D}_f\phi(x)|-\rangle \\ &= \langle -|\mathcal{D}_f^\dagger\mathcal{D}_f f(x)|-\rangle + \langle -|\phi(x)|-\rangle = f(x)\langle -|-\rangle + 0 = f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where we have assumed the state $|-\rangle$ to be normalized to unity and we used the unitarity of \mathcal{D}_f .

We have not shown that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{D}_f$ but merely that \mathcal{D}_f would yield the correct form factor (2.18). More generally

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{D}_f\mathcal{O}_1 \quad (2.24)$$

where \mathcal{O}_1 is another operator whose effect is subdominant in $\sqrt{\lambda}$.

Any change in the normal ordering prescription will affect the kink mass, and so we need to study the action of \mathcal{D}_f on the normal ordering carefully. Let us define the Fourier transform of $f(x)$ by

$$\tilde{f}(p) = \int dx f(x)e^{-ipx}. \quad (2.25)$$

The commutators of the exponentials in eq. (2.19) are

$$\left[\int dx f(x)\pi(x), a_q \right] = i \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \tilde{f}(p) [a_p^\dagger, a_q] = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(q). \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\left[\int dx f(x)\pi(x), a_q^\dagger \right] = -i \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \tilde{f}(p) [a_{-p}, a_q^\dagger] = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(-q). \quad (2.27)$$

Again the right hand side is a scalar in both cases, and the commutators with the full exponential (2.19) are easily calculated

$$[\mathcal{D}_f, a_q] = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(q)\mathcal{D}_f, \quad [\mathcal{D}_f, a_q^\dagger] = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(-q)\mathcal{D}_f. \quad (2.28)$$

We will need to move products of a_q and a_q^\dagger past \mathcal{D}_f . From the commutators one finds that this is done by shifting a_q and a_q^\dagger

$$a_q\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f \left(a_q + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(q) \right), \quad a_q^\dagger\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f \left(a_q^\dagger + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(-q) \right). \quad (2.29)$$

Note that any normal ordered product will remain normal ordered when pushed past \mathcal{D}_f , as the substitution of a_q or a_q^\dagger by a scalar leaves all a^\dagger on the left. For example

$$: F(a_q^\dagger, a_r) : \mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f : F \left(a_q^\dagger + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_q}{2}} \tilde{f}(-q), a_r + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_r}{2}} \tilde{f}(r) \right) : \quad (2.30)$$

where F is any function of two variables. Similarly, as π and \mathcal{D}_f commute,

$$: F(\pi(x), \phi(x)) : \mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f : F(\pi(x), \phi(x) + f(x)) :. \quad (2.31)$$

Recall that the normal ordering prescription is always that of the decomposition of ϕ with mass m into a^\dagger and a as in eq. (2.9). The identity (2.31) was derived without assuming that f satisfies the equations of motion.

2.4 Shifting the Hamiltonian

To solve eq. (2.14) for E_K , we will need to apply the identities in eqs. (2.30) and (2.31) to the Hamiltonian given in eqs. (2.6) and (2.8). Let us push H past \mathcal{D}_f one piece at a time

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0 \mathcal{D}_f &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p a_p^\dagger a_p \mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p \left(a_p^\dagger + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \tilde{f}(-p) \right) \left(a_p + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \tilde{f}(p) \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_f \left(H_0 + \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \tilde{f}(p) (a_p^\dagger + a_{-p}) + \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\omega_p^2}{2} \tilde{f}(p) \tilde{f}(-p) \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_f (H_0 + T_1 + T_0)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

where T_1 and T_0 are the two terms in the previous expression. Using

$$\omega_p^2 = p^2 + m^2, \quad \omega_p^2 \tilde{f}(p) = \int dx e^{-ixp} (m^2 - \partial_x^2) f(x) \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$a_p^\dagger + a_{-p} = \sqrt{2\omega_p} \int dy \phi(y) e^{ipy} \tag{2.34}$$

we can simplify the second term in eq. (2.32)

$$T_1 = \int dx \phi(x) (m^2 - \partial_x^2) f(x). \tag{2.35}$$

Similarly (2.33) simplifies the third term to

$$T_0 = \int dx f(x) \frac{m^2 - \partial_x^2}{2} f(x). \tag{2.36}$$

Next we need to treat the interaction terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1 \mathcal{D}_f &= \int dx \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}m}{\sqrt{2}} : \phi^3(x) : + \frac{\lambda}{4} : \phi^4(x) : \right) \mathcal{D}_f \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_f \int dx \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}m}{\sqrt{2}} : (\phi(x) + f(x))^3 : + \frac{\lambda}{4} : (\phi(x) + f(x))^4 : \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_f (H_1 + T'_0 + T'_1 + T'_2 + T'_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

where T'_N is of order ϕ^N . These are each easily evaluated

$$T'_N = \int dx : \phi^N(x) : \left(-\frac{3!}{N!(3-N)!} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}m}{\sqrt{2}} f^{3-N} + \frac{4!}{N!(4-N)!} \frac{\lambda}{4} f^{4-N} \right) \tag{2.38}$$

where it is understood that only terms with strictly positive powers of f are included.

Putting H_0 and H_1 together, we are now ready to move the entire Hamiltonian past \mathcal{D}_f

$$H \mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f (H + \tilde{T}_0 + \tilde{T}_1 + \tilde{T}_2 + \tilde{T}_3) \tag{2.39}$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_N = T'_N + T_N \quad (2.40)$$

is of order ϕ^N . Eq. (2.38) yields the new interaction term \tilde{T}_3

$$\tilde{T}_3 = T'_3 = \lambda \int dx f(x) : \phi^3(x) : . \quad (2.41)$$

The scalar term is

$$\tilde{T}_0 = \int dx \left[\frac{m^2}{2} f^2(x) - \frac{f(x)f''(x)}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} m f^3(x) + \frac{\lambda}{4} f^4(x) \right]. \quad (2.42)$$

Using the kink solution (2.17) one finds

$$\tilde{T}_0 = \frac{m^3}{3\lambda} \quad (2.43)$$

which is the well-known formula for the classical energy of the kink.

The term linear in ϕ is

$$\tilde{T}_1 = \int dx \phi(x) \left[m^2 f(x) - f''(x) - 3\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} m f^2(x) + \lambda f^3(x) \right]. \quad (2.44)$$

As usual, the fact that $f(x)$ satisfies the classical equations of motion

$$f''(x) = -\frac{m^2}{2} \left(f(x) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right) + \lambda \left(f(x) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right)^3 \quad (2.45)$$

implies that the linear term vanishes

$$\tilde{T}_1 = 0. \quad (2.46)$$

The most interesting term is the quadratic term

$$\tilde{T}_2 = \int dx : \phi^2(x) : \left[-3\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} m f(x) + \frac{3\lambda}{2} f^2(x) \right]. \quad (2.47)$$

Again using the solution (2.17) one finds

$$\tilde{T}_2 = -\frac{3m^2}{4} \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{mx}{2} \right) : \phi^2(x) : . \quad (2.48)$$

Finally we may assemble our result

$$H\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f H', \quad H' = E_{\text{cl}} + H_{\text{PT}} + H_I \quad (2.49)$$

where the classical energy is

$$E_{\text{cl}} = \tilde{T}_0 = \frac{m^3}{3\lambda} \quad (2.50)$$

the interaction terms are

$$H_I = H_1 + \tilde{T}_3 = \int dx \left[\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} m + \lambda f(x) \right) : \phi^3(x) : + \frac{\lambda}{4} : \phi^4(x) : \right] \quad (2.51)$$

and the remaining terms are

$$H_{\text{PT}} = \int dx \left[\frac{\pi^2(x)}{2} + \frac{\partial_x \phi(x) \partial_x \phi(x)}{2} + \left(\frac{m^2}{2} - 3 \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)^2 \text{sech}^2 \left(\frac{mx}{2} \right) \right) \phi^2(x) \right] \quad (2.52)$$

The mass term is space-dependent. However it takes the form of the exactly solvable modified Pöschl-Teller (PT) potential.

2.5 A new problem

We wish to solve the Schrodinger equation (2.14) for the kink state

$$|K\rangle = \mathcal{D}_f \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle. \quad (2.53)$$

We can now reorganize this equation using (2.49)

$$\begin{aligned} H|K\rangle &= H\mathcal{D}_f \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle = \mathcal{D}_f H' \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle \\ &= E_K |K\rangle = \mathcal{D}_f E_K \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

Identifying the last term on each line, and using the fact that \mathcal{D}_f is invertible, one finds

$$H' \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle = E_K \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle. \quad (2.55)$$

Subtracting the scalar E_{cl} from both coefficients this yields our new problem

$$(H_{\text{PT}} + H_I) \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle = (E_K - E_{\text{cl}}) \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle. \quad (2.56)$$

We have reduced the problem (2.14) of finding the kink state to a new problem (2.56).

What is this new problem? It is a Schrodinger equation for the state $\mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle$. As \mathcal{D}_f is gone, there is no kink. As a result, if desired, one could compactify the theory on a circle with periodic boundary conditions. As we are searching for the kink ground state, the goal is to solve the eigenvalue problem such that E_K is minimized. Of course the global minimum would be to include \mathcal{D}_{-f} so as to remove the kink. To remove such a spurious solution, one should specify that the boundary conditions of $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$ are to be kept fixed during this minimization, which would be automatic were the theory compactified.

The H_I operator may be treated using an ordinary perturbative expansion in $\sqrt{\lambda}$. This is straightforward and will be done in a future work. In the present paper we will solve the truncated problem

$$H_{\text{PT}} \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle = (E_K - E_{\text{cl}}) \mathcal{O}_1 |-\rangle \quad (2.57)$$

which is sufficient to give the $O(m)$ contributions to E_K . Therefore it will allow us to calculate E_K to the same order as ref. [7]. In this truncated problem the \mathcal{D}_{-f} solution is not present.

Note that (2.57) cannot be treated simply by perturbing about H_0 and expanding in powers of $\sqrt{\lambda}$, because there will be contributions with arbitrary numbers of mass terms \tilde{T}_2 which all contribute at the same order. We have attempted this, and found that convergence is at best quite slow. Instead, we will use the exact eigenfunctions of H_{PT} to exactly diagonalize the Hamiltonian H_{PT} .

3 Classical solutions to the Pöschl-Teller problem

The PT theory is a free theory, in the sense that all terms in the Hamiltonian are at most quadratic in ϕ . However, due to the space-dependent mass term \tilde{T}_2 , the solutions of the classical equations of motion are not plane waves.⁵ To solve the problem (2.57) it will be convenient to decompose the field $\phi(x)$ into the basis of PT solutions with constant frequency. In this way we will introduce creation and annihilation operators b^\dagger and b which create and annihilate PT solutions. The field $\phi(x)$ is the same quantum operator as it was in the previous section, the role of this new basis is simply to reorganize its projections so that the Hamiltonian is of the form $b^\dagger b$. This is useful because it implies that the ground state $\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle$ in (2.57) is the unique state annihilated by all operators b . As this condition already completely characterizes the state, we will not need to find an explicit example of the operator \mathcal{O}_1 .

In this section we will calculate the inverse Fourier transforms of the eigenfunctions of the Pöschl-Teller wave equation. The reader who is not interested in this derivation may simply note that the answers are given in eq. (3.23) for the continuum states and eqs. (3.31) and (3.36) for the even and odd bound states respectively.

3.1 General solutions

The classical equation of motion derived from H_{PT} in (2.52) is

$$\partial_t^2 \phi_{cl}(x, t) - \partial_x^2 \phi_{cl}(x, t) = (-4\beta^2 + 6\beta^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta x)) \phi_{cl}(x, t) \quad (3.1)$$

where for convenience we have defined

$$\beta = \frac{m}{2}. \quad (3.2)$$

As we are looking for eigenstates of H_{PT} , the time-dependence should be of the form $e^{-i\omega t}$ and so we search for solutions of the form

$$\phi_{cl}(x, t) = f_k(x) e^{-i\omega_k t}. \quad (3.3)$$

The functions f_k then satisfy the equation

$$0 = \partial_x^2 f_k(x) + (\omega_k^2 - 4\beta^2 + 6\beta^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta x)) f_k(x). \quad (3.4)$$

This can be recognized as the wave equation for a field in a well of width $1/\beta$. The 6 in (3.4) characterizes the depth of the potential well, and corresponds to the third reflectionless PT potential. We will see how the fact that the potential is reflectionless affects the structure of the leading quantum correction to its mass. The fact that it is the third means that there will be precisely two bound states.

The term $\omega_k^2 - 4\beta^2$ is just energy squared minus mass squared, and so one would like it to be

$$\omega_k^2 - 4\beta^2 = k^2. \quad (3.5)$$

As so far we have not defined our parametrization k , we will fix it by demanding (3.5). In general there will be two solutions with each value of k^2 , one even and one odd.

⁵They are however plane waves asymptotically, as the mass term becomes constant far from the origin.

3.2 Continuum states

After a change of variables

$$y = \cosh^2(\beta x) \tag{3.6}$$

and dividing f_k by $y^{3/2}$ our wave equation (3.4) becomes the hypergeometric equation and it has even and odd solutions [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k^e(x) &= \cosh^{3/2}(\beta x) F\left(\frac{3+ik/\beta}{2}, \frac{3-ik/\beta}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -\sinh^2(\beta x)\right) \\ \psi_k^o(x) &= \cosh^{3/2}(\beta x) \sinh(\beta x) F\left(\frac{4+ik/\beta}{2}, \frac{4-ik/\beta}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh^2(\beta x)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where F are ordinary hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$ which are calculated in the appendix.

Substituting (A.19) into (3.7) we find the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k^e(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{3}{k^2/\beta^2 + 1} \tanh^2(\beta x)\right) \cos(kx) - \frac{3k/\beta}{k^2/\beta^2 + 1} \tanh(\beta x) \sin(kx) \\ \psi_k^o(x) &= \left(\frac{k^2/\beta^2 + 1 - 3 \tanh^2(\beta x)}{(k^2/\beta^2 + 4)k/\beta}\right) \sin(kx) + \frac{3}{k^2/\beta^2 + 4} \tanh(\beta x) \cos(kx). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The function ψ^e agrees with ref. [9] while ψ^o differs by a factor of $(k^2/\beta^2 + 4)$ in the first term. We have checked that our functions satisfy the wave equation (3.4) and so we believe that our result is correct. When $\beta|x| \gg 1$ the coefficients of $\sin(kx)$ and $\cos(kx)$ in eq. (3.8) are constant, and so the solutions are plane waves with wave number k , as expected far from the sech^2 potential well.

The even and odd functions have different normalizations. This can be fixed with a simple rescaling

$$\psi_k^e(x) \longrightarrow (k^2/\beta^2 + 1)\psi_k^e, \quad \psi_k^o(x) \longrightarrow (k^2/\beta^2 + 4)k/\beta\psi_k^o \tag{3.9}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k^e(x) &= (k^2/\beta^2 - 2 + 3\text{sech}^2(\beta x)) \cos(kx) - 3k/\beta \tanh(\beta x) \sin(kx) \\ \psi_k^o(x) &= (k^2/\beta^2 - 2 + 3\text{sech}^2(\beta x)) \sin(kx) + 3k/\beta \tanh(\beta x) \cos(kx). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The normalizations are now identical. As these are eigenstates of a Hermitian Hamiltonian with distinct eigenvalues, the $\psi_k(x)$ at distinct k are orthogonal. The normalization can be obtained from the $\beta|x| \gg 1$ region, where all coefficients are constant

$$\int dx \psi_{k_1}^i(x) \psi_{k_2}^j(x) = \pi \delta^{ij} C_{k_1}^2 \delta(k_1 - k_2), \quad C_k = \sqrt{(k^2/\beta^2 + 1)(k^2/\beta^2 + 4)}, \quad i, j \in \{e, o\}. \tag{3.11}$$

In the case of plane waves, the normalization constant analogous to C_k was equal to unity.

We will need the inverse Fourier transforms of the wave functions. As our answer differs from that obtained using Mathematica by some Dirac delta functions, we will derive

our answer systematically here as we believe it to be correct. Let us begin by decomposing ψ_k^e into three pieces

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_k^e(x) &= A_k^e(x) + B_k^e(x) + C_k^e(x), & A_k^e(x) &= (k^2/\beta^2 - 2) \cos(kx) \\ B_k^e(x) &= 3 \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \cos(kx), & C_k^e(x) &= -3k/\beta \tanh(\beta x) \sin(kx).\end{aligned}\quad (3.12)$$

Contour integration, using Cauchy's theorem with residues evenly spaced along the imaginary axis, yields the inverse Fourier transform

$$\int dx \tanh(\beta x) e^{ipx} = \frac{\pi i}{\beta} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi p}{2\beta}\right) \quad (3.13)$$

whose derivative is

$$\int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{ipx} = \frac{\pi p}{\beta^2} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi p}{2\beta}\right). \quad (3.14)$$

We will also need the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\int dx f(x) \cos(kx) e^{ipx} &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx f(x) \left(e^{i(p+k)x} + e^{i(p-k)x} \right) = \frac{\tilde{f}(p+k) + \tilde{f}(p-k)}{2} \\ \int dx f(x) \sin(kx) e^{ipx} &= \frac{1}{2i} \int dx f(x) \left(e^{i(p+k)x} - e^{i(p-k)x} \right) = \frac{\tilde{f}(p+k) - \tilde{f}(p-k)}{2i}\end{aligned}\quad (3.15)$$

where we have defined the inverse Fourier transform \tilde{f} of an arbitrary function f to be

$$\tilde{f}(p) = \int dx f(x) e^{ipx}. \quad (3.16)$$

Combining these identities one finds the desired inverse Fourier transforms. First

$$\tilde{A}_k^e(p) = (k^2/\beta^2 - 2) \int dx \cos(kx) e^{ipx} = (k^2/\beta^2 - 2) \pi (\delta(p+k) + \delta(p-k)) \quad (3.17)$$

captures the asymptotic behavior of the eigenfunctions, which are just the same plane waves that one would find in a free theory. Next using (3.14) and (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B}_k^e(p) &= 3 \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \cos(kx) e^{ipx} \\ &= \frac{3\pi}{2\beta^2} \left[(p+k) \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi(p+k)}{2\beta}\right) + (p-k) \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi(p-k)}{2\beta}\right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (3.18)$$

Finally combining (3.13) and (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}_k^e(p) &= -3k/\beta \int dx \tanh(\beta x) \sin(kx) e^{ipx} \\ &= \frac{3\pi k}{2\beta^2} \left[\operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi(p-k)}{2\beta}\right) - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi(p+k)}{2\beta}\right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (3.19)$$

In contrast Mathematica finds additional Dirac delta functions in (3.19), as its calculation of Fourier transforms does not appear to respect (3.15) which follows from the shift invariance

of the integral. The same steps applied to ψ_k^o yield the Fourier transforms

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_k^o(p) &= (k^2/\beta^2 - 2)\pi i (\delta(p - k) - \delta(p + k)) \\ \tilde{B}_k^o(p) &= \frac{3\pi}{2\beta^2} i \left[(p - k) \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p - k)}{2\beta} \right) - (p + k) \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p + k)}{2\beta} \right) \right] \\ \tilde{C}_k^o(p) &= \frac{3\pi k}{2\beta^2} i \left[\operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p - k)}{2\beta} \right) + \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p + k)}{2\beta} \right) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

We would like to simultaneously diagonalize H_{PT} and the momentum k , and so we will assemble these even and odd real solutions into complex solutions

$$g_k(x) = \psi_k^e(x) - i\psi_k^o(x).\tag{3.21}$$

The same decomposition into A , B and C may be applied to g and its inverse Fourier transform, which by linearity of the inverse Fourier transform yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_k(p) &= \tilde{A}_k^e(p) - i\tilde{A}_k^o(p) = (k^2/\beta^2 - 2)2\pi\delta(p - k) \\ \tilde{B}_k(p) &= \frac{3\pi}{\beta^2}(p - k) \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p - k)}{2\beta} \right) \\ \tilde{C}_k(p) &= \frac{3\pi k}{\beta^2} \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p - k)}{2\beta} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Summing these we find our final answer for the inverse Fourier transform of the wave functions

$$\tilde{g}_k(p) = \tilde{A}_k(p) + \tilde{B}_k(p) + \tilde{C}_k(p) = (k^2/\beta^2 - 2)2\pi\delta(p - k) + \frac{3\pi p}{\beta^2} \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\pi(p - k)}{2\beta} \right).\tag{3.23}$$

The first term is the plane wave piece which comes from the fact that the eigenfunctions of H_{PT} and H_0 are identical asymptotically. The fact that there is no $\delta(p + k)$ term results from the reflectionless nature of the Pöschl-Teller potential with coefficient equal to $n(n - 1)/2$ for n an integer. The quantum corrections to the mass of the kink come from the second term, which has a simple pole at $p = k$ but is nonzero away from the pole.

Using the normalization (3.11) one easily finds

$$\int dx g_{k_1}^*(x) g_{k_2}(x) = 2\pi C_{k_1}^2 \delta(k_1 - k_2)\tag{3.24}$$

where the real and imaginary parts of $g_k(x)$ contribute equally. We will also need the fact that

$$g_k^*(x) = g_k(-x) = g_{-k}(x).\tag{3.25}$$

As a result of the first equality, $\tilde{g}_k(p)$ is real. Also the inverse Fourier transforms satisfy

$$\tilde{g}_k(p) = \tilde{g}_{-k}(-p)\tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{k_1}(p) \tilde{g}_{k_2}(p) = \int dx g_{k_1}(x) g_{k_2}(-x) = 2\pi C_{k_1}^2 \delta(k_1 - k_2).\tag{3.27}$$

3.3 Bound states

As the Hamiltonian is reflection-invariant, the nondegenerate bound states will be even or odd. They are still given by (3.10), however now the kinetic energy $\omega_k^2 - 4\beta^2$ is negative and so k is imaginary. Imposing that the wave function is normalizable at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ yields only two bound states. There is one even bound state g_{BE} with $\omega = 0$ and one odd bound state g_{BO} with $\omega = \beta\sqrt{3}$. This is well-known [7, 8].

Inserting

$$\omega_{\text{BE}} = 0, \quad k_{\text{BE}} = 2i\beta \quad (3.28)$$

into the general solution (3.10) for the even mode ψ_k^e and dividing by -3 one finds the wave function of the even bound state

$$g_{\text{BE}}(x) = \text{sech}^2(\beta x). \quad (3.29)$$

This is proportional to the derivative of the classical kink solution (2.17) and so we can identify it with the expected Goldstone mode corresponding to the translation symmetry broken by the kink. The normalization is

$$\int dx g_{\text{BE}}^2(x) = C_{\text{BE}}^2, \quad C_{\text{BE}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\beta}. \quad (3.30)$$

The inverse Fourier transform is given by eq. (3.14)

$$\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) = \int dx g_{\text{BE}}(x) e^{ipx} = \frac{\pi p}{\beta^2} \text{csch}\left(\frac{\pi p}{2\beta}\right). \quad (3.31)$$

Similarly, inserting

$$\omega_{\text{BO}} = \beta\sqrt{3}, \quad k_{\text{BO}} = i\beta \quad (3.32)$$

into the solution (3.10) for the odd mode ψ_k^o and rescaling one finds the odd bound state

$$g_{\text{BO}}(x) = -i \frac{\sinh(\beta x)}{\cosh^2(\beta x)} \quad (3.33)$$

whose normalization is

$$\int dx g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}^*(x) = C_{\text{BO}}^2, \quad C_{\text{BO}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\beta}. \quad (3.34)$$

We included a factor of $-i$ in eq. (3.33) because $g_{\text{BO}}(x)$ is odd, and our complex eigenfunctions are constructed from real even parts and imaginary odd parts so that their Fourier transforms will be real. The inverse Fourier transform of sech can be evaluated by a contour integral whose residues are identical to those appearing in the transform of \tanh up to relative signs and an overall phase, leading to the identity

$$\int dx \text{sech}(\beta x) e^{ipx} = \frac{\pi}{\beta} \text{sech}\left(\frac{\pi p}{2\beta}\right). \quad (3.35)$$

As eq. (3.34) is proportional to the derivative of $\text{sech}(\beta x)$, one finds

$$\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) = \int dx g_{\text{BO}}(x) e^{ipx} = \frac{\pi p}{\beta^2} \text{sech}\left(\frac{\pi p}{2\beta}\right). \quad (3.36)$$

Note that

$$\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(-p) = \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p), \quad \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(-p) = -\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p). \quad (3.37)$$

4 Mode expansion of the Pöschl-Teller Hamiltonian

4.1 PT annihilation and creation operators

This paper is about the dynamics of a quantum field $\phi(x)$. The original Hamiltonian was the ϕ^4 theory, but we found that the problem of finding the mass of the quantum kink is equivalent to another problem involving the PT Hamiltonian H_{PT} plus interaction terms, which we have dropped as they are subdominant in our λ expansion. The Hamiltonian H_{PT} is not equal to our original Hamiltonian H , but the quantum field is the same operator.

We know that $\phi(x)$ and its conjugate momentum can be expanded in oscillator modes a_k^\dagger and a_k , with an expansion given in (2.9). This is an expansion in plane waves. Our Hamiltonian H_{PT} in eq. (2.52) is the sum of two pieces

$$H_{\text{PT}} = H_0 + \tilde{T}_2 \quad (4.1)$$

where H_0 is defined in eqs. (2.6) and (2.8) and \tilde{T}_2 is defined in eq. (2.48). While H_0 can be written in the form $a^\dagger a$

$$H_0 = \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p a_p^\dagger a_p \quad (4.2)$$

the same is not true of \tilde{T}_2 , which contains terms $a_p^\dagger a_{-p}^\dagger$ and $a_p a_{-p}$. As a result the ground state is probably not annihilated by all of the annihilation operators a_p , and so the Schrodinger equation (2.57) is difficult to solve. The problem of course is that the expansion (2.9) is an expansion in plane waves, which are eigenfunctions of H_0 but not of H_{PT} . It is in the basis of the latter that the Hamiltonian is diagonal and so the ground state corresponds to zero excitations, and so is annihilated by the corresponding annihilation operators.

This motivates us to instead expand $\phi(x)$ in terms of the eigenfunctions of H_{PT} . We have seen that there are three kinds of eigenfunctions: continuum eigenfunctions and an odd and even bound state. Thus we will decompose $\phi(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ into three pieces

$$\phi(x) = \phi_C(x) + \phi_{\text{BO}}(x) + \phi_{\text{BE}}(x), \quad \pi(x) = \pi_C(x) + \pi_{\text{BO}}(x) + \pi_{\text{BE}}(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Now we will define our PT annihilation and creation operators similarly to the free case, but using the eigenstates $g(x)$ of H_{PT} instead of the plane wave eigenstates of H_0

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_C(x) &= \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left(b_k^\dagger + b_{-k} \right) \frac{g_k(x)}{C_k} \\ \phi_{\text{BO}}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\text{BO}}}} \left(b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger - b_{\text{BO}} \right) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}} \\ \phi_{\text{BE}}(x) &= \phi_0 \frac{g_{\text{BE}}(x)}{C_{\text{BE}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that ϕ_{BE} , corresponding to the Goldstone mode, could not be defined similarly to the others because $\omega_{\text{BE}} = 0$. Therefore we have defined a new operator ϕ_0 instead of introducing oscillators b and b^\dagger . Also we have chosen a relative minus sign in our definition of b_{BO} . This is necessary to arrive at the canonical commutation relations for b_{BO} . Intuitively it is

necessary because $g_{\text{BO}}(x)$ is odd in k , and so this is the natural generalization of the sign choices in the definition of b_k .

We similarly decompose the conjugate momentum field

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_C(x) &= i \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{2}} (b_k^\dagger - b_{-k}) \frac{g_k(x)}{C_k} \\ \pi_{\text{BO}}(x) &= i \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\text{BO}}}{2}} (b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger + b_{\text{BO}}) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}} \\ \pi_{\text{BE}}(x) &= \pi_0 \frac{g_{\text{BE}}(x)}{C_{\text{BE}}}\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

where we have introduced the operator π_0 for the momentum of the Goldstone mode. Note that eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) are merely definitions of the fields b , b^\dagger , ϕ_0 and π_0 as expansions of the field $\phi(x)$ and its conjugate $\pi(x)$ in the basis given by the $g(x)$. We have not yet used the Hamiltonian or the fact that the g are eigenstates.

Using the completeness of the eigenfunctions $g(x)$, these relations can be inverted to provide explicit definitions of our new operators. For the continuum states

$$b_k^\dagger = \int dx \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{2}} \phi(x) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \pi(x) \right] \frac{g_k^*(x)}{C_k}, \quad b_{-k} = \int dx \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{2}} \phi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \pi(x) \right] \frac{g_k^*(x)}{C_k}\tag{4.6}$$

from which the canonical commutation relations (2.11) of $\phi(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ together with the normalization (3.24) yield the commutation relations of the new oscillators

$$[b_{k_1}, b_{k_2}^\dagger] = 2\pi\delta(k_1 - k_2).\tag{4.7}$$

Similarly for the odd bound state

$$\begin{aligned}b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger &= \int dx \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\text{BO}}}{2}} \phi(x) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\text{BO}}}} \pi(x) \right] \frac{g_{\text{BO}}^*(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}} \\ b_{\text{BO}} &= \int dx \left[-\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\text{BO}}}{2}} \phi(x) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\text{BO}}}} \pi(x) \right] \frac{g_{\text{BO}}^*(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}}\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

which, using the fact that g_{BO} is imaginary, yields

$$[b_{\text{BO}}, b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger] = 1.\tag{4.9}$$

Finally, for the even bound state,

$$\phi_0 = \int dx \phi(x) \frac{g_{\text{BE}}^*(x)}{C_{\text{BE}}}, \quad \pi_0 = \int dx \pi(x) \frac{g_{\text{BE}}^*(x)}{C_{\text{BE}}}.\tag{4.10}$$

The complex conjugation is not important here as $g_{\text{BE}}(x)$ is real. From (4.10) we see that the field and momentum zero modes satisfy the canonical commutations

$$[\phi_0, \pi_0] = i.\tag{4.11}$$

Therefore ϕ_0 and π_0 , unlike the b operators, do not create and annihilate excitations. Rather they are the position and momentum operators for the kink.

We would like to rewrite the Hamiltonian in terms of the new oscillators b , b^\dagger , ϕ_0 and π_0 . However we cannot simply substitute eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) into our formula (2.52) for the Hamiltonian because the latter is normal ordered in terms of a^\dagger and a . The new oscillator modes will not be normal ordered, and in fact it is precisely this failure of normal ordering which is responsible for the quantum mass of the kink.

Therefore we will proceed as follows. First we will write the Hamiltonian in terms of a and a^\dagger , where the normal-ordering is easily achieved. Then we will rewrite a and a^\dagger in terms of the PT oscillator modes. To do this, we note that eq. (2.9) is easily inverted to obtain

$$a_p^\dagger = \int dx \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \phi(x) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \pi(x) \right] e^{ipx}, \quad a_{-p} = \int dx \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \phi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \pi(x) \right] e^{ipx}. \quad (4.12)$$

We will decompose these into their projections onto PT eigenfunctions

$$a_p^\dagger = a_{C,p}^\dagger + a_{\text{BO},p}^\dagger + a_{\text{BE},p}^\dagger, \quad a_p = a_{C,p} + a_{\text{BO},p} + a_{\text{BE},p} \quad (4.13)$$

which are found by inserting (4.4) and (4.5) into eq. (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} a_{C,p}^\dagger &= \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_k(p)}{2C_k} \left(\frac{\omega_p + \omega_k}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_k}} b_k^\dagger + \frac{\omega_p - \omega_k}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_k}} b_{-k} \right) \\ a_{C,-p} &= \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_k(p)}{2C_k} \left(\frac{\omega_p - \omega_k}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_k}} b_k^\dagger + \frac{\omega_p + \omega_k}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_k}} b_{-k} \right) \\ a_{\text{BO},p}^\dagger &= \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p)}{2C_{\text{BO}}} \left(\frac{\omega_p + \omega_{\text{BO}}}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_{\text{BO}}}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger - \frac{\omega_p - \omega_{\text{BO}}}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_{\text{BO}}}} b_{\text{BO}} \right) \\ a_{\text{BO},-p} &= \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p)}{2C_{\text{BO}}} \left(\frac{\omega_p - \omega_{\text{BO}}}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_{\text{BO}}}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger - \frac{\omega_p + \omega_{\text{BO}}}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_{\text{BO}}}} b_{\text{BO}} \right) \\ a_{\text{BE},p}^\dagger &= \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p)}{C_{\text{BE}}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \phi_0 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \pi_0 \right], \quad a_{\text{BE},-p} = \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p)}{C_{\text{BE}}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_p}{2}} \phi_0 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \pi_0 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

These are essentially Bogoliubov transformations, although they would be of the standard form only were $\tilde{g}_k(p)$ supported on $p = \pm k$.

4.2 Continuum state contribution

All that remains to do is insert (4.14) into our Hamiltonian H_{PT} to rewrite it as a free theory whose Schrodinger equation we may trivially solve by turning off all b oscillators as well as the kink momentum π_0 . Let us start by decomposing H_0 into parts with contributions from distinct PT eigenfunctions

$$H_0 = H_{C,0} + H_{\text{BO},0} + H_{\text{BE},0}. \quad (4.15)$$

In principle there may be cross terms, in which for example both a_C^\dagger and a_{BO} appear. However such cross-terms vanish due to the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions $g(x)$.

Now we can calculate the continuous contribution

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{C,0} &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p a_{C,p}^\dagger a_{C,p} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{k_1}(p) \tilde{g}_{k_2}(-p)}{C_{k_1} C_{k_2} \sqrt{\omega_{k_1} \omega_{k_2}}} \\
&\quad \times \left[(\omega_p^2 - \omega_{k_1} \omega_{k_2}) (b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{k_2}^\dagger + b_{-k_1} b_{-k_2}) + 2(\omega_p^2 + \omega_{k_1} \omega_{k_2}) b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{-k_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\omega_p - \omega_{k_1})(\omega_p - \omega_{k_2}) [b_{-k_2}, b_{k_1}^\dagger] \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{C_{k_1} C_{k_2} \sqrt{\omega_{k_1} \omega_{k_2}}} \\
&\quad \times \left[(I_3(k_1, k_2) - I_4(k_1, k_2)) (b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{k_2}^\dagger + b_{-k_1} b_{-k_2}) + 2(I_3(k_1, k_2) + I_4(k_1, k_2)) b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{-k_2} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{I_5(k)}{C_k^2 \omega_k}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where we have used the $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$ symmetry to simplify the first term. We have defined the integrals over p

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3(k_1, k_2) &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p^2 \tilde{g}_{k_1}(p) \tilde{g}_{k_2}(-p), & I_4(k_1, k_2) &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_{k_1} \omega_{k_2} \tilde{g}_{k_1}(p) \tilde{g}_{k_2}(-p) \\
I_5(k) &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} (\omega_p - \omega_k)^2 \tilde{g}_k(p) \tilde{g}_k(p)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where we have used (3.26) to remove two minus signs in I_5 .

Using the normalization

$$\int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{k_1}(p) \tilde{g}_{k_2}(-p) = 2\pi C_{k_1}^2 \delta(k_1 + k_2) \tag{4.18}$$

one easily evaluates I_4

$$I_4(k_1, k_2) = 2\pi C_{k_1}^2 \omega_{k_1}^2 \delta(k_1 + k_2). \tag{4.19}$$

The integral I_3 may be simplified by Fourier transforming and using the equations of motion (3.4) which are satisfied by $g_k(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3(k_1, k_2) &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int dx \int dy g_{k_1}(x) g_{k_2}(y) e^{ip(x-y)} (4\beta^2 + p^2) \\
&= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int dx \int dy g_{k_1}(x) g_{k_2}(y) (4\beta^2 - \partial_y^2) e^{ip(x-y)} \\
&= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int dx \int dy g_{k_1}(x) e^{ip(x-y)} (4\beta^2 - \partial_y^2) g_{k_2}(y) \\
&= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int dx \int dy g_{k_1}(x) e^{ip(x-y)} (\omega_{k_2}^2 + 6 \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta y)) g_{k_2}(y) \\
&= \int dx (\omega_{k_2}^2 + 6\beta^2 \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)) g_{k_1}(x) g_{k_2}(x) \\
&= I_4(k_1, k_2) + 6\beta^2 \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) g_{k_1}(x) g_{k_2}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Assembling these contributions

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{C,0} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{I_5(k)}{C_k^2 \omega_k} + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{k_1}(x)g_{k_2}(x)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} (b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{k_2}^\dagger + b_{-k_1} b_{-k_2}) \\
 &+ \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k + 3\beta^2 \int dx \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{k_1}(x)g_{k_2}(x)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{-k_2}. \quad (4.21)
 \end{aligned}$$

The first terms on each line are the kind that we expect. The first term in the first line is a scalar, and so contributes to the vacuum energy of the model, which is our quantum kink mass. The first term on the second line is the expected oscillator sum in a free theory.

The other terms should not be present in H_{PT} as it should also be a noninteracting theory. However so far we have only calculated the continuous contribution to H_0 . We must also add the continuum contribution to the PT potential \tilde{T}_2 . We decompose it as was done for H_0

$$\tilde{T}_2 = \tilde{T}_{C,2} + \tilde{T}_{\text{BO},2} + \tilde{T}_{\text{BE},2}. \quad (4.22)$$

The continuum term is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{T}_{C,2} &= -3\beta^2 \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) : \phi_C^2(x) : \quad (4.23) \\
 &= -\frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_q}} e^{-i(p+q)x} \left(a_{C,p}^\dagger a_{C,q}^\dagger + a_{C,p}^\dagger a_{C,-q} + a_{C,q}^\dagger a_{C,-p} + a_{C,-q} a_{C,-p} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{3\beta^2}{8} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p \omega_q} e^{-i(p+q)x} \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{k_1}(p)\tilde{g}_{k_2}(q)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[4\omega_p \omega_q (b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{k_2}^\dagger + b_{-k_1} b_{-k_2}) + 2\omega_q (2\omega_p + \omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2}) b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{-k_2} + 2\omega_q (2\omega_p - \omega_{k_1} - \omega_{k_2}) b_{-k_2} b_{k_1}^\dagger \right] \\
 &= A+B
 \end{aligned}$$

where A contains all terms with $b^\dagger b^\dagger$ and bb while B contains the others. Note that A cancels precisely with the corresponding terms in eq. (4.21). This means that $H_{C,0}$ is of the form $b^\dagger b$ plus a constant. This simplification is the reason that we introduced the b oscillators.

Let us simplify B by rewriting the $b^\dagger b$ and bb^\dagger terms as $b^\dagger b$ terms and commutator terms, which are scalars

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= -3\beta^2 \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{-i(p+q)x} \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{k_1}(p)\tilde{g}_{k_2}(q)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{-k_2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{-i(p+q)x} \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{k_1}(p)\tilde{g}_{k_2}(q)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} [b_{-k_2}, b_{k_1}^\dagger] \\
 &\quad + \frac{3\beta^2}{4} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{-i(p+q)x} \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{k_1}(p)\tilde{g}_{k_2}(q)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} \\
 &\quad \times (\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2}) [b_{-k_2}, b_{k_1}^\dagger]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -3\beta^2 \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \frac{g_{k_1}(x)g_{k_2}(x)}{C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}\omega_{k_2}}} b_{k_1}^\dagger b_{-k_2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{g_k(x)g_k^*(x)}{C_k^2\omega_k} \\
 &\quad + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{-i(p+q)x} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_k(p)\tilde{g}_{-k}(q)}{C_k^2}. \tag{4.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

The $b^\dagger b$ term cancels that in (4.21), leaving the last two lines, which are scalars.

Summarizing, the continuum contribution to the Hamiltonian is

$$H_C = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k + Q_C \tag{4.25}$$

where the scalar term Q_C is

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_C &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{I_5(k)}{C_k^2\omega_k} + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{-i(p+q)x} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_k(p)\tilde{g}_{-k}(q)}{C_k^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{g_k(x)g_k^*(x)}{C_k^2\omega_k}. \tag{4.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite Q_C in a mixed position-momentum form

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_C &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{I_5(k)}{C_k^2\omega_k} + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{-ipx} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_k(p)g_{-k}(x)}{C_k^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{-ipx} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{g_{-k}(x)\tilde{g}_k(p)}{C_k^2\omega_k}. \tag{4.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the equations of motion imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 6\beta^2 \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{-ipx} \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) g_{-k}(x) &= \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{-ipx} (-k^2 - \partial_x^2) g_{-k}(x) \tag{4.28} \\
 &= \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} g_{-k}(x) (-k^2 - \partial_x^2) e^{-ipx} \\
 &= \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} g_{-k}(x) (p^2 - k^2) e^{-ipx} \\
 &= \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} g_{-k}(x) (\omega_p^2 - \omega_k^2) e^{-ipx} \\
 &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{-k}(-p) (\omega_p^2 - \omega_k^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

This derivation also works with the integrand multiplied by any function of p but not x .

Eq. (4.28) allows us to remove the sech from Q_C

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_C &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left[\frac{(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2}{\omega_k} + \frac{\omega_p^2 - \omega_k^2}{\omega_p} - \frac{\omega_p^2 - \omega_k^2}{\omega_k} \right] \frac{\tilde{g}_k^2(p)}{C_k^2} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2}{\omega_p} \frac{\tilde{g}_k^2(p)}{C_k^2}. \tag{4.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the main result of this subsection. As \tilde{g} is real, it is real. Notice that the integrand is nonsingular because each $\tilde{g}_k(p)$ has a simple pole at $k = p$ and the total double pole is cancelled by the double zero in $(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2$. Similarly the delta functions in \tilde{g} only appear with zero coefficient, whereas a nonzero coefficient may have led to a divergence. Q_C is the contribution to the kink energy of the continuum PT modes.

The fact that Q_C is negative is a result of the fact that the PT potential is negative. This is the first quantum correction to the energy resulting from the existence of a potential well, and so it must be negative. The ω_p in the denominator never vanishes due to the mass gap. On the contrary, had the ω_k in the denominator of $H_{C,0}$ not been cancelled by \tilde{T}_2 , it would have been a problem later when we consider the Goldstone mode $H_{BE,0}$, which has $\omega_k = 0$.

The best feature of this expression is that every trace of the potential sech has disappeared. They have disappeared not because of any nice property of the sech function, but just because we have used the equations of motion to replace them with the momentum squared. This leads us to believe that had we chosen any other classical solution in a 1+1 dimensional theory with a canonical kinetic term, we could have done exactly the same manipulations, replacing the new potential with the momentum squared, and so obtained the same answer in terms of the eigenfunctions for its potential. Thus we conjecture that (4.29) applies to all time-independent classical solutions in such theories.

4.3 Odd bound state contribution

The formulas for the odd bound state are essentially the same as that for the continuum, but without the index k and with an extra minus sign before every b_{BO} but not b_{BO}^\dagger .

Let us start with $H_{BO,0}$. Now we can calculate the continuous contribution

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{BO,0} &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p a_{BO,p}^\dagger a_{BO,p} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{BO}(p) \tilde{g}_{BO}(-p)}{C_{BO}^2 \omega_{BO}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[(\omega_p^2 - \omega_{BO}^2) (b_{BO}^\dagger b_{BO}^\dagger + b_{BO} b_{BO}) - 2(\omega_p^2 + \omega_{BO}^2) b_{BO}^\dagger b_{BO} - (\omega_p - \omega_{BO})^2 [b_{BO}, b_{BO}^\dagger] \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4 C_{BO}^2 \omega_{BO}} \left[(I_0 - I_1) (b_{BO}^\dagger b_{BO}^\dagger + b_{BO} b_{BO}) - 2(I_0 + I_1) b_{BO}^\dagger b_{BO} \right] + \frac{1}{4} \frac{I_2}{C_{BO}^2 \omega_{BO}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

We have defined the integrals over p

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_0 &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p^2 \tilde{g}_{BO}(p) \tilde{g}_{BO}(-p), & I_1 &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_{BO}^2 \tilde{g}_{BO}(p) \tilde{g}_{BO}(-p) \\
 I_2 &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} (\omega_p - \omega_{BO})^2 \tilde{g}_{BO}(p) \tilde{g}_{BO}(p)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

where we have used (3.37) to remove two minus signs in I_2 .

Using the normalization

$$\int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{BO}(p) \tilde{g}_{BO}(-p) = -C_{BO}^2 \tag{4.32}$$

one finds

$$I_1 = -C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}^2. \quad (4.33)$$

The integral I_0 may be simplified as was done above for I_3

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int dx \int dy g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}(y) e^{ip(x-y)} (4\beta^2 + p^2) \\ &= \int dx (\omega_{\text{BO}}^2 + 6\beta^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta x)) g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}(x) \\ &= I_1 + 6\beta^2 \int dx \text{sech}^2(\beta x) g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Assembling these contributions

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{BO},0} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{I_2}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \text{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} (b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger + b_{\text{BO}} b_{\text{BO}}) \\ &\quad + \omega_{\text{BO}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}} - 3\beta^2 \int dx \text{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Again the first terms on the first two lines are scalar contributions to the kink mass and also the free theory oscillator term respectively, while we expect other terms to be canceled by the potential term \tilde{T}_2 .

Next we evaluate the odd bound contribution to \tilde{T}_2

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{\text{BO},2} &= -\frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\text{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_q}} e^{i(p+q)x} \\ &\quad \times \left(a_{\text{BO},p}^\dagger a_{\text{BO},q}^\dagger + a_{\text{BO},p}^\dagger a_{\text{BO},-q} + a_{\text{BO},q}^\dagger a_{\text{BO},-p} + a_{\text{BO},-q} a_{\text{BO},-p} \right) \\ &= -\frac{3\beta^2}{8} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\text{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p \omega_q} e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} \\ &\quad \times \left[4\omega_p \omega_q (b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger + b_{\text{BO}} b_{\text{BO}}) - 2\omega_q (2\omega_p + 2\omega_{\text{BO}}) b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}} - 2\omega_q (2\omega_p - 2\omega_{\text{BO}}) b_{\text{BO}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger \right] \\ &= A + B \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where A again contains all terms with $b^\dagger b^\dagger$ and bb and precisely cancels with the corresponding terms in eq. (4.35).

Let us simplify B as in the continuous case

$$\begin{aligned} B &= 3\beta^2 \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \text{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}} \\ &\quad + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \text{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} [b_{\text{BO}}, b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger] \\ &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{4} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\text{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} (2\omega_{\text{BO}}) [b_{\text{BO}}, b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 3\beta^2 \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}^2(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}^*(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2}. \tag{4.37}
 \end{aligned}$$

The $b^\dagger b$ term cancels that in (4.35), leaving the last two lines, which are scalars.

Summarizing, the odd bound state contribution to the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{BO}} = \omega_{\text{BO}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}} + Q_{\text{BO}} \tag{4.38}$$

where the scalar term Q_{BO} is

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{\text{BO}} &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{I_2}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}} - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\omega_p} e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \operatorname{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{\text{BO}}(x) g_{\text{BO}}^*(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_{\text{BO}}}. \tag{4.39}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the equations of motion one can again remove the sech from Q_{BO}

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{\text{BO}} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left[\frac{(\omega_p - \omega_{\text{BO}})^2}{\omega_{\text{BO}}} + \frac{\omega_p^2 - \omega_{\text{BO}}^2}{\omega_p} - \frac{\omega_p^2 - \omega_{\text{BO}}^2}{\omega_{\text{BO}}} \right] \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}^2(p)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_{\text{BO}})^2}{\omega_p} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}^2(p)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2}. \tag{4.40}
 \end{aligned}$$

In this derivation we repeatedly used the fact that $\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}(p)$ is odd. Notice that our result is nearly identical to that in the continuum case (4.29), except that the integral over k is gone as there is only one state.

4.4 Even bound state contribution

Recall that the even bound state is a Goldstone mode and so has zero frequency ω_{BE} . Therefore instead of oscillator modes satisfying the Heisenberg algebra we introduced zero modes ϕ_0 and π_0 which satisfy the canonical algebra. Their contribution to H_0 is

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\text{BE},0} &= \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \omega_p a_{\text{BE},p}^\dagger a_{\text{BE},p} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(-p)}{C_{\text{BE}}^2} (\omega_p^2 \phi_0^2 + \pi_0^2 + i\omega_p [\phi_0, \pi_0]) \\
 &= \frac{\pi_0^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p)}{C_{\text{BE}}^2} (\omega_p^2 \phi_0^2 - \omega_p). \tag{4.41}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term is the kinetic energy of the kink arising from a plane wave superposition of kinks with different centers x_0 and phase proportional to x_0 times the eigenvalue of π_0 .

The contribution from \tilde{T}_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{T}_{\text{BE},2} &= -\frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{\text{sech}^2(\beta x)}{\sqrt{\omega_p \omega_q}} e^{i(p+q)x} \\
 &\quad \times \left(a_{\text{BE},p}^\dagger a_{\text{BE},q}^\dagger + a_{\text{BE},p}^\dagger a_{\text{BE},-q} + a_{\text{BE},q}^\dagger a_{\text{BE},-p} + a_{\text{BE},-q} a_{\text{BO},-p} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{3\beta^2}{4} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \text{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[4\phi_0^2 + i \left(\frac{1}{\omega_p} + \frac{1}{\omega_q} \right) [\phi_0, \pi_0] \right] \\
 &= -3\beta^2 \int dx \text{sech}^2(\beta x) \frac{g_{\text{BE}}(x)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) e^{-ipx} \phi_0^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{3\beta^2}{2} \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \text{sech}^2(\beta x) e^{i(p+q)x} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(q)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2 \omega_p} \\
 &= A + B
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

where A is the term proportional to ϕ_0^2 .

Using the equations of motion

$$6\beta^2 \int dx \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{-ipx} \text{sech}^2(\beta x) g_{\text{BE}}(x) = \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(-p) \omega_p^2 \tag{4.43}$$

one sees that A cancels the ϕ_0^2 term in (4.41).

We are left with

$$H_{\text{BE}} = \frac{\pi_0^2}{2} + Q_{\text{BE}} \tag{4.44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{\text{BE}} &= \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p)}{C_{\text{BE}}^2} \omega_p \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p) \tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}(p)}{C_{\text{BE}}^2} \omega_p.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

It is of the same form as Q_C in (4.29) and Q_{BO} in (4.40), as $\omega_{\text{BE}} = 0$.

4.5 Putting it all together

Now we are ready to evaluate the mass of the kink. Classically the mass is E_{cl} as given in eq. (2.50). The quantum correction $E_K - E_{\text{cl}}$ is given by the Schrodinger equation (2.57) as the minimal eigenvalue of H_{PT} . We have seen that H_{PT} is

$$H_{\text{PT}} = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k + \omega_{\text{BO}} b_{\text{BO}}^\dagger b_{\text{BO}} + \frac{\pi_0^2}{2} + Q \tag{4.46}$$

where eqs. (4.29), (4.40) and (4.45) give

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q &= Q_C + Q_{\text{BO}} + Q_{\text{BE}} \tag{4.47} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2}{\omega_p} \frac{\tilde{g}_k^2(p)}{C_k^2} - \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_{\text{BO}})^2}{\omega_p} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BO}}^2(p)}{C_{\text{BO}}^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{BE}}^2(p)}{C_{\text{BE}}^2} \omega_p
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a scalar.

The lowest energy state $\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle$ is one which satisfies

$$b\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle = \pi_0\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle = 0. \tag{4.48}$$

Of course the eigenstates of π_0 are nonnormalizable plane waves. However normalized states exist for which the expectation value of π_0^2 is as small as desired, although strictly positive.

The form (4.47) of Q is in line with intuition from second order perturbation theory. The weight $\tilde{g}_k^2(p)/C_k^2$ is the overlap squared of a PT eigenstate k and a plane wave momentum p eigenstate. Therefore at each PT state k , this computes the expectation value of $(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2/\omega_p$, averaged over p

$$Q \sim -\frac{1}{4} \sum_k \left\langle \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2}{\omega_p} \right\rangle_p \tag{4.49}$$

where we recall that ω is energy. The perturbation is nonvanishing because H_{PT} has a potential well. As a result, at first order in perturbation theory the state $\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle$ differs from $|-\rangle$ by of order $(\omega_p - \omega_k)/\omega_p$. This leads to a shift in energy at second order in perturbation theory of $(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2/\omega_p$. This intuition will be tested in future work when we compute \mathcal{O}_1 .

The state $|-\rangle$ is fixed, and so (4.48) is a condition on the operator \mathcal{O}_1 . Any such state will satisfy

$$H_{\text{PT}}\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle = Q\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle. \tag{4.50}$$

Therefore by (2.57) the kink mass, which is the lowest energy of a kink, is

$$E_K = E_{\text{cl}} + Q. \tag{4.51}$$

As expected, Q is the quantum correction to the kink mass. In the approximation (2.57), in which H_I has been dropped, it is exact. The inclusion of H_I will include corrections which are subdominant in our $\sqrt{\lambda}$ expansion.

What is Q ? While we have not been able to perform any of these integrals analytically, numerically we have found

$$Q_C = -0.544\beta, \quad Q_{\text{BO}} = -0.040\beta, \quad Q_{\text{BE}} = -0.082\beta, \quad Q = -0.666\beta \tag{4.52}$$

where we recall that

$$\beta = \frac{m}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}v. \tag{4.53}$$

β is equal to $m/\sqrt{2}$ in the notation of ref. [7]. Our expression for the total quantum correction to the energy agrees with theirs to the three-digit numerical precision that we have obtained, although the three individual contributions differ.

5 Remarks

5.1 Three corollaries

After a long calculation, we have arrived at the same mass found in ref. [7] via a short computation. What have we gained?

5.1.1 Other solutions

For any (1+1)-dimensional theory of a scalar ϕ with a canonical kinetic term and a potential $V[\phi]$, with a classical solution $f(x)$, we could have done the same calculation. An operator \mathcal{D}_f creates the solution, but leads to a new Hamiltonian H' defined by

$$H\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f H' \tag{5.1}$$

by shifting the kinetic term by

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} : V''[f(x)] : \phi^2(x) : \tag{5.2}$$

and modifying the higher order interactions. The fact that $f(x)$ solves the classical equations of motion guarantees that H' does not contain any terms linear in ϕ . The new Hamiltonian could be truncated to second order to obtain a new Schrodinger equation generalizing our eq. (2.57). This new Hamiltonian would have different eigenfunctions $g(x)$ which define new operators b and b^\dagger . However just the same steps could be followed as above to write H' as a sum of $b^\dagger b$ terms and a scalar. The V'' terms could be eliminated by the equation of motion, which is the same as above due to the canonical kinetic term.

The formula eq. (4.47) can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q &= Q_C + \sum_I Q_{BI} \tag{5.3} \\
 Q_C &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_k)^2}{\omega_p} \frac{\tilde{g}_k^2(p)}{C_k^2} \\
 Q_{BI} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{(\omega_p - \omega_{BI})^2}{\omega_p} \frac{\tilde{g}_{BI}^2(p)}{C_{BI}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the index I runs over all bound states. The procedure described above suggests that this formula yields the quantum correction to the mass of any time-independent classical solution in any such theory.

In the case treated in this paper, the functions \tilde{g} contained Dirac delta functions, which occur only at $p = k$ and so do not contribute to (5.3). This in turn is a result of the fact that scattering in the potential H_{PT} is reflectionless. Had this not been the case, there may have been another delta function at $p = -k$. Such a delta function would also not contribute, as the prefactor $(\omega_p - \omega_k)$ also vanishes at $p = -k$.

5.1.2 The spectrum

The form (4.46) for the Hamiltonian provides the entire spectrum. All mass eigenstates are created by combinations of the three following actions. First, one may boost the solution to tune π_0^2 to any positive value. This will increase the energy by half the eigenvalue of π_0^2 . Second, one may act with an arbitrary natural number k of b_{BO}^\dagger , exciting the odd bound state. This will increase the energy by $k\omega_{\text{BO}}$, where ω_{BO} is given in eq. (3.32). Finally one may act with any number of b_k^\dagger . Each increases the energy by ω_k , where ω_k is given in (3.5). This completely characterizes the spectrum of the 1-kink sector up to $O(m)$.

5.1.3 The soliton operator

Our kink is created from the vacuum $|-\rangle$ by the operator $\mathcal{D}_f\mathcal{O}_1$. While the displacement operator is defined by eq. (2.19), we have not found a candidate operator \mathcal{O}_1 . The operator \mathcal{O}_1 is defined by

$$H_{\text{PT}}\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle = Q\mathcal{O}_1|-\rangle \tag{5.4}$$

which is equivalent to the two conditions (4.48). Again dropping interaction terms, $|-\rangle$ satisfies

$$a_p|-\rangle = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Combining eqs. (4.48) and (5.5) we find that \mathcal{O}_1 must satisfy

$$b_k\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}_1A(a_p), \quad b_{\text{BO}}\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}_1B(a_p), \quad \pi_0\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}_1C(a_p) \tag{5.6}$$

where A , B and C are arbitrary functions of all of the annihilation operators a_p . These three necessary and sufficient conditions for \mathcal{O}_1 are reminiscent of those for a Bogoliubov transform from the b to the a , suggesting that \mathcal{O}_1 is a kind of squeeze operator. We will try to solve (5.6) in future work and compare our answer with the operator found in ref. [10].

5.2 What next?

Why are we interested in scalar field theories in 1+1 dimensions? Beyond the horizon, our goal is the monopole in Yang-Mills theory. There is no classical monopole solution, and so if the 't Hooft-Mandelstam mechanism for confinement [11, 12] is to be realized, the monopole will be an operator. We would like to find such an operator and use Hamiltonian methods such as those used here to show that it is tachyonic.

However we are not strong enough to guess this operator from scratch. We need to guess a good Ansatz, and for this we will first try to solve the corresponding problem in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD [13], where the monopole becomes tachyonic after a soft breaking to $\mathcal{N} = 1$. This case is similar to QCD in that it is strongly coupled and there is no semiclassical monopole. In the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case there is a Higgs field, unlike QCD, however its VEV is small and the monopole mass is instead dominated by instantons. Here the monopole which condenses is connected to a semiclassical monopole by a continuous deformation of the theory in which one turns on a bare mass for the hypermultiplets. In the massive case, the theory is weakly coupled in the infrared and so we can find the monopole operator using perturbation theory, as is done for the kink here. Then the key step will be to follow it

through the deformation to strong coupling. This may be possible because the monopole is BPS, and so the equations to be solved are first order and not second order like (2.57). Nonetheless, we will need to solve these equations exactly, not perturbatively, to obtain the monopole operator in the regime where it condenses.

To prepare ourselves for this exact calculation, we wish to do the same with the kink. The ϕ^4 kink studied in this paper also exists in supersymmetric field theories, where it may be BPS. Therefore, before moving to gauge theories in (3+1)-dimensions, we wish to try this program on the operator which creates the BPS kink. We intend to first construct it using perturbation theory, and then attempt to use the BPS equations to follow it to strong coupling. If we cannot succeed with the kink operator, it is unlikely that we may succeed with the monopole operator.

A Hypergeometric functions

The solutions of eqs. (3.4) are known in terms of the ordinary hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$

$$F\left(\frac{3+ik}{2}, \frac{3-ik}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -\sinh^2(x)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad F\left(\frac{4+ik}{2}, \frac{4-ik}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh^2(x)\right). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We leave the subscripts implicit as all hypergeometric functions will be ordinary. Our first goal is to compute these functions.

Mathematica is able to calculate a simpler function

$$F\left(a, 1-a; \frac{1}{2}; \sin^2(z)\right) = \frac{\cos((2a-1)z)}{\cos(z)}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

To go from (A.2) to (A.1) we will need an analytic continuation and also Gauss' contiguous relations, which allow one to shift the first three arguments by arbitrary integers.

To derive Gauss' contiguous relations, one uses the definition of the hypergeometric functions

$$F(a, b; c; y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where we have used the rising Pochhammer symbol

$$(q)_n = q(q+1) \cdots (q+n-1). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The derivative of (A.3) is readily computed

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y F(a, b; c; y) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \frac{ab}{c} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-1} (b+1)_{n-1}}{(c+1)_{n-1}} \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \frac{ab}{c} F(a+1, b+1; c+1; y). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 y\partial_y F(a, b; c; y) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{(a)_n (b)_n y^n}{(c)_n n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b+n-b) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n y^n}{(c)_n n!} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_{n+1} y^n}{(c)_n n!} - b \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n y^n}{(c)_n n!} \\
 &= b(F(a, b+1; c; y) - F(a, b; c; y)). \tag{A.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus one may increase the arguments of the hypergeometric functions using the identities

$$F(a, b+1; c; y) = F(a, b; c; y) + \frac{1}{b} y \partial_y F(a, b; c; y) \tag{A.7}$$

$$F(a+1, b; c; y) = F(a, b; c; y) + \frac{1}{a} y \partial_y F(a, b; c; y) \tag{A.8}$$

$$F(a+1, b+1; c+1; y) = \frac{c}{ab} \partial_y F(a, b; c; y). \tag{A.9}$$

The second identity falls from the first, using the $a \leftrightarrow b$ symmetry of (A.3).

We begin our recursion with (A.8) reexpressed in terms of y

$$F\left(a, 1-a; \frac{1}{2}; y\right) = \frac{\cos((2a-1)\arcsin(\sqrt{y}))}{\sqrt{1-y}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Applying the identity (A.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 F\left(a+1, 1-a; \frac{1}{2}; y\right) &= -\frac{\sqrt{y}(2a-1)}{2a(1-y)} \sin((2a-1)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y}} + \frac{y}{2a(1-y)^{3/2}}\right) \cos((2a-1)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})). \tag{A.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

We now make the replacement $a \rightarrow a-1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 F\left(a, 2-a; \frac{1}{2}; y\right) &= -\left(\frac{2a-3}{2a-2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{y}}{1-y} \sin((2a-3)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})) \\
 &\quad \frac{(2a-2) - (2a-3)y}{(2a-2)(1-y)^{3/2}} \cos((2a-3)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})). \tag{A.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we apply (A.7) to obtain the first desired hypergeometric function

$$\begin{aligned}
 F\left(a, 3-a; \frac{1}{2}; y\right) &= \frac{3(2a-3)\sqrt{y}}{(2a-4)(2a-2)(1-y)^2} \sin((2a-3)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})) \\
 &\quad \left(\frac{1}{(1-y)^{3/2}} - \frac{3y}{(2a-4)(2a-2)(1-y)^{5/2}}\right) \cos((2a-3)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})). \tag{A.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$a = \frac{3+ik}{2}, \quad y = -\sinh^2(x) \tag{A.14}$$

one finds

$$F\left(\frac{3+ik}{2}, \frac{3-ik}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -\sinh^2(x)\right) = -\frac{3k}{1+k^2} \frac{\sinh(x)}{\cosh^4(x)} \sin(kx) \tag{A.15}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\cosh^3(x)} - \frac{3}{1+k^2} \frac{\sinh^2(x)}{\cosh^5(x)}\right) \cos(kx).$$

To find the other needed function, one applies the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sin((a-1)x) &= -\cos(ax)\sin(x) + \sin(ax)\cos(x) \\ \cos((a-1)x) &= \cos(ax)\cos(x) + \sin(ax)\sin(x) \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

to (A.12) yielding

$$F\left(a, 2-a; \frac{1}{2}; y\right) = \frac{\sqrt{y}}{(2a-2)(1-y)^{3/2}} \sin((2a-2)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})) \tag{A.17}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1-y} \cos((2a-2)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})).$$

Then the identity (A.9) gives the general form of the desired function

$$F\left(a+1, 3-a; \frac{3}{2}; y\right) = \frac{(2a-1)(2a-3) + 3y}{2a(2a-2)(2a-4)\sqrt{y}(1-y)^{5/2}} \sin((2a-2)\arcsin(\sqrt{y}))$$

$$- \frac{3}{2a(2a-4)(1-y)^2} \cos((2a-2)\arcsin(\sqrt{y})) \tag{A.18}$$

and so in particular

$$F\left(\frac{4+ik}{2}, \frac{4-ik}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh^2(x)\right) = \left(\frac{k^2+1-3\tanh^2(x)}{k(k^2+4)\sinh(x)\cosh^3(x)}\right) \sin(kx)$$

$$+ \frac{3}{(k^2+4)\cosh^4(x)} \cos(kx). \tag{A.19}$$

Acknowledgments

JE is supported by the CAS Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences grant QYZDY-SSW-SLH006 and the NSFC MianShang grants 11875296 and 11675223. JE also thanks the Recruitment Program of High-end Foreign Experts for support.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, *Extended Particles in Quantum Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **11** (1975) 2943 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] G. Delfino, W. Selke and A. Squarcini, *Vortex mass in the three-dimensional O(2) scalar theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 050602 [[arXiv:1808.09276](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] D. Davies, *Quantum Solitons in any Dimension: Derrick's Theorem v. AQFT*, [arXiv:1907.10616](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [4] K. Hepp, *The Classical Limit for Quantum Mechanical Correlation Functions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **35** (1974) 265 [INSPIRE].
- [5] S. Mandelstam, *Soliton Operators for the Quantized sine-Gordon Equation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **11** (1975) 3026 [INSPIRE].
- [6] J.G. Taylor, *Solitons as Infinite Constituent Bound States*, *Annals Phys.* **115** (1978) 153 [INSPIRE].
- [7] R.F. Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, *Nonperturbative Methods and Extended Hadron Models in Field Theory 2. Two-Dimensional Models and Extended Hadrons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 4130 [INSPIRE].
- [8] S. Flügge, *Practical Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag (1999).
- [9] J. Lekner, *Reflectionless eigenstates of the sech^2 potential*, *Am. J. Phys.* **75** (2007) 1151.
- [10] M. Blasone and P. Jizba, *Topological defects as inhomogeneous condensates in quantum field theory: Kinks in (1 + 1)-dimensional $\lambda\psi^4$ theory*, *Annals Phys.* **295** (2002) 230 [[hep-th/0108177](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [11] G. 't Hooft, *Topology of the Gauge Condition and New Confinement Phases in Nonabelian Gauge Theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **190** (1981) 455 [INSPIRE].
- [12] S. Mandelstam, *Vortices and Quark Confinement in Nonabelian Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rept.* **23** (1976) 245 [INSPIRE].
- [13] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **426** (1994) 19 [Erratum *ibid.* **B** **430** (1994) 485] [[hep-th/9407087](#)] [INSPIRE].