SLAC-PUB-918
(TH)
May 1971

MASSIVE QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS IN THE INFINITE-MOMENTUM FRAME*

Davison E. Soper
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

We extend an earlier canonical formulation of quantum electrodynamics in the infinite-momentum frame by replacing the photons by massive vector mesons. The structure of the theory remains nearly the same except that a new term appears in the infinite-momentum Hamiltonian describing the emission of helicity zero vector mesons with an amplitude proportional to the meson mass.

(Submitted to Phys. Rev.)

* Supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

I. Introduction

Recently a canonical formalism for quantum electrodynamics in the infinite-momentum frame was developed by J. B. Kogut and the present author.[1] Since then, discussions of current commutators on the light cone in a quark-vector gluon model by J. M. Cornwall and R. Jackiw[2], and by D. J. Gross and S. B. Treiman[3], have made it seem useful to extend the canonical formalism of reference 1 by replacing the photons by massive vector mesons. The object of this paper is to provide such an extension.

We find that the required generalization is quite simple if we consider in addition to the vector field A^μ a scalar field B in the manner of Stückelberg's 1938 paper on gluons.[4,5] The results confirm the belief of Cornwall and Jackiw[2] that terms in the vector meson propagator which might cause trouble in the infinite-momentum frame can be eliminated because of current conservation.

The notation used here is that of reference 1 with two minor changes[6] designed to facilitate calculations in perturbation theory.[7] In addition, we make free use of the results of reference 1 and devote most of our attention to the changes made necessary by going from massless to massive vector mesons.

II. Equations of Motion

The canonical theory of quantum electrodynamics in the infinite momentum frame[1] was based on the Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}(x)_{QED} = \overline{\Psi}\left[(\tfrac{1}{2} i\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu - eA_\mu)\gamma^\mu - m\right]\Psi - \tfrac{1}{4}(\partial^\nu A^\mu - \partial^\mu A^\nu)(\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu)$$

where $A^\mu(x)$ is the real vector field of the massless vector mesons and Ψ is a fourcomponent Dirac field. In order to introduce a meson mass $\kappa > 0$ and allow for mesons with helicity zero while maintaining gauge invariance, we introduce a real scalar field B(x) in addition to A_μ and Ψ. Then we begin with the modified Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}(x) = \overline{\Psi}\left[(\tfrac{1}{2} i\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu - eA_\mu)\gamma^\mu - m\right]\Psi - \tfrac{1}{4}(\partial^\nu A^\mu - \partial^\mu A^\nu)(\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\kappa A^\mu - \partial^\mu B)(\kappa A_\mu - \partial_\mu B) . \tag{1}$$

Variation of the fields Ψ, $\overline{\Psi}$, A_μ, and B give the equations of motion

$$\left[\partial_\nu \partial^\nu + \kappa^2\right]A^\mu - \partial^\mu\left[\partial_\nu A^\nu + \kappa B\right] = J^\mu \tag{2}$$

$$\kappa^2 \partial_\mu A^\mu - \kappa\, \partial_\mu \partial^\mu B = \partial_\mu J^\mu \tag{3}$$

$$\left[(i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)\gamma^\mu - m\right]\Psi = 0 , \tag{4}$$

where we have defined $J^\mu = e\overline{\Psi}\,\gamma^\mu \Psi$. (Notice that $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ as a consequence of the Dirac equation (4), and also that equation (3) is merely the divergence of equation (2).)

The reason for introduction of the seemingly superfluous scalar field B is that the gauge invariance of quantum electrodynamics is thereby preserved. Indeed, the Lagrangian, and hence the equations of motion, is left invariant by the gauge transformation

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x)$$

$$B(x) \rightarrow B(x) + \kappa\Lambda(x) \qquad (5)$$

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow \exp\left(-ie\Lambda(x)\right)\Psi(x) \ .$$

We could, if we wanted, use this gauge invariance to choose the "Lorentz gauge" B = 0. In this gauge the equations of motion would take the familiar form (after some simplifications) ,

$$\left[\partial_\nu \partial^\nu + \kappa^2\right] A^\mu = J^\mu$$

$$\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$$

$$\left[(i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)\gamma^\mu - m\right]\Psi = 0.$$

However, it turns out that it is very difficult to quantize the theory in the infinite-momentum frame in this gauge.

Instead, we choose the "infinite-momentum gauge",

$$A^o(x) = 0 \ . \qquad (6)$$

Then the $\mu = 0$ component of the equation of motion (2) reads[8]

$$\partial_3\left[\partial_3 A^3 + \partial_k A^k + \kappa B\right] = -J^o \ .$$

This equation can be solved for A^3 as follows:

$$A^3 = -\frac{i}{\eta}\left[\partial_k A^k + \kappa B\right] + \frac{1}{\eta^2} J^o \ , \qquad (7)$$

where $(1/\eta)$ and $(1/\eta^2)$ are the integral operators[9]

$$\left(\frac{1}{\eta} f\right)(x) = -\tfrac{1}{2} i \int d\xi \, \epsilon(x^3 - \xi) f(x^0, x, \xi)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} f\right)(x) = -\tfrac{1}{2} \int d\xi \, | x^3 - \xi | f(x^0, x, \xi) .$$

Thus if we regard A^1, A^2, and B as independent dynamical variables, then A^3 is reduced to the status of a dependent field since it is determined at any "time" x^0 by the other fields at that x^0 according to the constraint equation (7).

The equations of motion for the independent fields A^k and B can now be simplified by substituting the expression (7) for A^3 back into the equations of motion (2) and (3). From (7) we have

$$\partial_\nu A^\nu = -\kappa B - \frac{i}{\eta} J^0 . \tag{8}$$

If we substitute this into (3) and remember that $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ we get the equation of motion for B,

$$\left[\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + \kappa^2\right] B = -i\kappa \frac{1}{\eta} J^0 . \tag{9}$$

If we substitute (8) into equation (2) with $\mu = 1$ or 2 we get the equation of motion for A,

$$\left[\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + \kappa^2\right] A^k = J^k - i \frac{1}{\eta} \partial^k J^0 . \tag{10}$$

The equations for the Dirac field are changed very little from those developed in reference 1 for quantum electrodynamics. The two components $\Psi_+ = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^3 \gamma^0 \Psi$ are independent dynamical variables. The two components $\Psi_- = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^0 \gamma^3 \Psi$ are dependent variables, to be determined by the constraint equation

$$\Psi_- = \frac{1}{2\eta}\gamma^o\left[-(i\partial_k - eA_k)\gamma^k + m\right]\Psi_+ , \tag{11}$$

which follows from the Dirac equation. The equation of motion for Ψ_+ is

$$i\partial_0\Psi_+ = eA^3\Psi_+ + \tfrac{1}{2}\left[(i\partial_k - eA_k)\gamma^k + m\right]\gamma^3\Psi_- . \tag{12}$$

The only difference between this equation of motion and the corresponding equation in quantum electrodynamics is that A^3 depends on B through the constraint equation (7).

III. Equal- τ Commutation Relations and Fourier Expansions of the Fields

In order to make quantum fields out of the independent fields Ψ_+, $\underset{\sim}{A}$, B we must specify their commutation relations at equal τ. By analogy with reference 1, we choose

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2}\left\{\Psi_+(x)_\alpha, \Psi_+^\dagger(0)_\beta\right\}_{\tau=0} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\delta(\mathcal{y})\delta(\underset{\sim}{x}) \\
\left[A^i(x), A^j(0)\right]_{\tau=0} &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\,i\,\delta_{ij}\,\epsilon(\mathcal{y})\delta(\underset{\sim}{x}) \\
\left[B(x), B(0)\right]_{\tau=0} &= -\tfrac{1}{4}i\epsilon(\mathcal{y})\,\delta(\underset{\sim}{x}) \\
\left[A(x), B(0)\right]_{\tau=0} &= \left[\underset{\sim}{A}(x), \Psi_+(0)\right]_{\tau=0} \\
&= \left[B(x), \Psi_+(0)\right]_{\tau=0} \\
&= \left\{\Psi_+(x), \Psi_+(0)\right\}_{\tau=0} = 0 .
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using these commutation relations we can derive the commutation relations among the creation and destruction operators appearing in the Fourier expansion of the fields. Furthermore, the transformation properties of the fields under space translations in the transverse and $\mathfrak{z}$-directions and under rotations in the (x^1, x^2)-plane determine the momentum and "infinite-momentum helicity"[10] of the states created and destroyed by these operators. Since the calculation is elementary, we only state the results. Let $b^\dagger(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p}, s)$, $[d^\dagger(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p}, s)]$ be creation operators for electrons, [positrons] with momentum $(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p})$ and helicity s ($s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$). Let $a^\dagger(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p}, \lambda)$ be creation operators for mesons with momentum $(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p})$ and helicity $\lambda (\lambda = -1, 0, +1)$. These operators have covariant commutation relations[11]

$$\left\{b(p, s),\ b^\dagger(p', s')\right\}_+ = \left\{d(p, s),\ d^\dagger(p', s')\right\}_+ = \delta_{ss'}(2\pi)^3 2\eta\, \delta(\eta - \eta')\delta^2(\underset{\sim}{p} - \underset{\sim}{p}')$$

$$\left[a(p, \lambda),\ a^\dagger(p', \lambda')\right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}(2\pi)^3 2\eta\, \delta(\eta - \eta')\delta^2(\underset{\sim}{p} - \underset{\sim}{p}') \ . \tag{14}$$

The expansion of $\Psi_+(x)$ at $\tau = 0$ in terms of b(p, s) and $d^\dagger(p, s)$ is

$$2^{\frac{1}{4}}\,\Psi_+(x) = (2\pi)^{-3}\int d\underset{\sim}{p}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{2\eta}\sum_{s=\pm\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\sqrt{2\eta}\ w(s)e^{-ip\cdot x}b(p, s) + \sqrt{2\eta}\ w(-s)e^{+ip\cdot x}d^\dagger(p, s)\right\} \tag{15}$$

where the spinors w(s) are

$$w(+\tfrac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad w(-\tfrac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{16}$$

The expansion of $\underset{\sim}{A}(x)$ at $\tau = 0$ contains creation and destruction operators for mesons with helicity + 1 and −1; the expansion of B(x) at $\tau = 0$ contains creation and destruction operators for mesons with helicity zero:

$$A(x) = (2\pi)^{-3}\int d\underset{\sim}{p}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{2\eta}\sum_{\lambda=\pm 1}\left\{\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(\lambda)e^{-ip\cdot x}a(p,\lambda) + \underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(\lambda)^* e^{+ip\cdot x}a^\dagger(p,\lambda)\right\}, \tag{17}$$

$$B(x) = (2\pi)^{-3}\int d\underset{\sim}{p}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{2\eta}\left\{-i\,e^{-ip\cdot x}a(p,0) + i\,e^{+ip\cdot x}a^\dagger(p,0)\right\}. \tag{18}$$

The vectors $\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(\lambda)$ appearing in (17) are

$$\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(+1) = -2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1, i) \qquad \underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(-1) = +2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1, -i) . \tag{19}$$

IV. Hamiltonian

The invariance of the Lagrangian under τ-translations provides us, using Noether's theorem, with a conserved canonical Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d\underset{\sim}{x}\, d\mathfrak{z}\, \mathscr{H}(\tau, \underset{\sim}{x}, \mathfrak{z}) \tag{20}$$

where

$$\mathscr{H} = \overline{\Psi}\tfrac{1}{2}\, i\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0\,\gamma^0\Psi - (\partial_0 A_\alpha)(\partial_3 A^\alpha) - (\partial_0 B)(\partial_3 B) - \mathscr{L} \tag{21}$$

The first three terms in (21) cancel the terms in the Lagrangian containing ∂_0, and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H} = & -\overline{\Psi}\left[(\tfrac{1}{2}\, i\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_k - eA_k)\,\gamma^k - m\right]\Psi - \overline{\Psi}\tfrac{1}{2}\, i\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_3\gamma^3\Psi + eA^3\overline{\Psi}\gamma^0\Psi \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}(\partial_3 A^3)(\partial_3 A^3) - (\partial_3 A^k)(\partial_k A^3) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial^k A^\ell)(\partial_k A_\ell) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}(\partial^k A^\ell)(\partial_\ell A_k) - \tfrac{1}{2}\kappa^2 A^k A_k - \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial^k B)(\partial_k B) \\ & + \kappa A^k(\partial_k B) + \kappa A^3(\partial_3 B) .\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is apparent that this form for the Hamiltonian is not very useful. However, if we substitute the expressions for A^3 and Ψ_- given by the constraint equations (7) and (11) into (22), then integrate the resulting expression to form H, and finally integrate by parts freely, we obtain a useful expression:

$$H = \int d\underset{\sim}{x}\, d\chi \left\{ \frac{e^2}{2} \sqrt{2}\, \Psi_+^\dagger \Psi_+ \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sqrt{2}\, \Psi_+^\dagger \Psi_+ + e\sqrt{2}\, \Psi_+^\dagger \Psi_+ \frac{1}{\eta} [\underset{\sim}{p} \cdot \underset{\sim}{A} - i\kappa B] \right.$$
$$+ \sqrt{2}\, \Psi_+^\dagger [m - (\underset{\sim}{p} - e\underset{\sim}{A}) \cdot \underset{\sim}{\gamma}] \frac{1}{2\eta} [m + (\underset{\sim}{p} - e\underset{\sim}{A}) \cdot \underset{\sim}{\gamma}] \Psi_+ \tag{23}$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} A^k (\underset{\sim}{p}^2 + \kappa^2) A^k + \frac{1}{2} B (\underset{\sim}{p}^2 + \kappa^2) B \right\} .$$

Here $\underset{\sim}{p}$ is the differential operator $p^k = i\partial^k$ and $\underset{\sim}{\gamma} = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2)$.

By using the equal-τ commutation relations (13), one can verify that the canonical Hamilton (23) actually generates τ-translations in the theory. One finds, indeed, that $[iH, \underset{\sim}{A}] = \partial_0 \underset{\sim}{A}$, $[iH, B] = \partial_0 B$ and $[iH, \Psi_+] = \partial_0 \Psi_+$, where the τ-derivatives of $\underset{\sim}{A}$, B and Ψ_+ are given by the equations of motion (9), (10) and (12).

An examination of the Hamiltonian (23) shows that the theory is changed very little when the vector meson mass is changed from $\kappa = 0$ to $\kappa > 0$. One must, of course, introduce a helicity zero meson into the theory and adjust the free meson Hamiltonian from $\underset{\sim}{p}^2/2\eta$ to $(\underset{\sim}{p}^2 + \kappa^2)/2\eta$. But the interactions among the electrons and helicity $\pm$ 1 mesons are unchanged, and the helicity zero mesons interact with the electrons only through the very simple coupling $-ie\kappa\sqrt{2}\, \Psi_+^\dagger \Psi_+ (1/\eta) B$. As $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ this coupling vanishes — so that the helicity zero mesons are never produced.

We can illustrate the dynamics more vividly by writing out the rules for old fashioned (τ - ordered) diagrams using the Hamiltonian (23).[12]

(1) A factor $(H_f - H + i\epsilon)^{-1}$ for each intermediate state.

(2) An overall factor $-2\pi i\,\delta(H_f - H_i)$.

(3) For each internal line, a sum over spins and an integration

$$(2\pi)^{-3}\int d\underset{\sim}{p}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{2\eta} \,.$$

(4) For each vertex

(a) a factor $(2\pi)^3\,\delta(\eta_{out} - \eta_{in})\,\delta^2(\underset{\sim}{p}_{out} - \underset{\sim}{p}_{in})$,

(b) a factor $[2\eta]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for each fermion line entering or leaving the vertex. (The factors $[2\eta]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ associated with each internal fermion line have the effect of removing the factor $1/2\eta$ from the phase space integral.)

(5) Finally, a simple matrix element is associated with each vertex as a factor. There are three types of vertices, as shown in Figure 1. The corresponding factors are

(a) for single meson emission (Figure 1a), a factor eM, where M is given by Table I;

(b) for instantaneous electron exchange as shown in Figure 1b, a factor e^2/η_0 if all the particles are right handed or if all the particles are left handed (otherwise, a factor zero);

(c) for the "Coulomb force" vertex as shown in Figure 1c, a factor $e^2(\eta_0)^{-2}\delta_{s_1 s_2}\delta_{s_3 s_4}$.

V. Free Fields

In this section and the next we will examine the question of whether the infinite-momentum formalism presented here is equivalent to the usual formalism for massive quantum electrodynamics developed in an ordinary reference frame. We begin with a short discussion of the free fields.

If the coupling constant e is zero, the equations of motion for the meson fields $\underset{\sim}{A}$ and B are simply

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + \kappa^2)\, \underset{\sim}{A}(x) = 0$$
$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + \kappa^2)\, B(x) = 0 \ . \tag{24}$$

These equations can be solved exactly, given initial conditions at $\tau = 0$. If (17) and (18) are the Fourier expansions of $\underset{\sim}{A}(x)$ and B(x) at time $\tau = 0$, then these same expansions will give $\underset{\sim}{A}(x)$ and B(x) for all τ if we put

$$p_0 = H(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p}) = (\underset{\sim}{p}^2 + \kappa^2)/2\eta$$

in the exponentials $\exp(\pm\, i p_\mu x^\mu)$ inside the integrals.

With the solutions for $\underset{\sim}{A}(x)$ and B(x) in hand, we can write down $A^3(x)$ using the constraint equation (7). Finally, we recall that $A^0(x) = 0$. Thus we have the complete solution $(A^\mu(x), B(x))$ for the free vector meson field in the infinite-momentum gauge. We can use the gauge transformation (5) to transform this solution back to the more familiar Lorentz gauge. To do this, we let

$$A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x)$$
$$B'(x) = B(x) + \kappa\, \Lambda(x)$$

be the fields in the new gauge, and require that $B'(x) = 0$. Then

$$A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) - \kappa^{-1} \partial_\mu B(x) \ . \tag{25}$$

(Note that this gauge transformation becomes singular in the limit $\kappa \to 0$.)

The free field $A'^\mu(x)$ which results from these operations can be written as

$$A'^\mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d\underset{\sim}{p} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{2\eta} \sum_{\lambda=-1}^{1} \left\{ e^\mu(p,\lambda) e^{-ip\cdot x} a(p,\lambda) + e^\mu(p,\lambda)^* e^{+ip\cdot x} a^\dagger(p,\lambda) \right\} , \tag{26}$$

where the polarization vectors $e^\mu(p,\lambda)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} e^\mu(p,1) &= -2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (0, 1, i, [p^1 + ip^2]/\eta) , \\ e^\mu(p,-1) &= +2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (0, 1, -i, [p^1 - ip^2]/\eta) , \\ e^\mu(p,0) &= \kappa^{-1} (\eta, p^1, p^2, H - \kappa^2/\eta) \\ &= \kappa^{-1} p^\mu - \delta^\mu_3 \ \kappa/\eta \ . \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The field $A'_\mu(x)$ which we have obtained by canonical quantization in the infinite-momentum frame will be equal to the usual free vector field if the polarization vectors $e^\mu(p,\lambda)$ form an orthogonal set of spacelike unit vectors each orthogonal to p^μ:

$$\begin{aligned} e^\mu(p,\lambda)^* e_\mu(p,\lambda') &= -\delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \\ p^\mu e_\mu(p,\lambda) &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

A quick check shows that this is indeed the case.

One can also show, just as in reference 1, that the free Dirac field obtained in the infinite-momentum frame is equal to the usual Dirac field. We will not comment on this proof here except to note that the gauge change discussed above does not affect the Dirac field if e = 0.

VI. Scattering Theories Compared

We have seen that massive quantum electrodynamics in the infinite-momentum frame is the same as ordinary massive quantum electrodynamics in the trivial case e = 0. We cannot demonstrate that the two theories are the same for $e \neq 0$ since we are unable to solve for the exact interacting Heisenberg fields in either theory. However, it is possible to show that the perturbation expansions of the S matrix in the two theories are formally identical.

What we have to show is that the ordinary Feynman rules for massive quantum electrodynamics lead to the same expressions for scattering amplitudes as the rules for old fashioned diagrams given in Section IV. Since the same demonstration has been given for quantum electrodynamics in reference 1, we will indicate here only how the agrument can be modified to account for a non-zero meson mass and the contributions from helicity zero mesons.

To that end, we examine the Feynman propagator for massive vector mesons

$$D_F(x)^{\mu\nu} = (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4p \, \exp(-\, ip\cdot x) \left[-\, g^{\mu\nu} + p^\mu p^\nu/\kappa^2\right] (p^2 - \kappa^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}. \tag{29}$$

One can show (by simple computation if necessary) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\, g^{\mu\nu} + p^\mu p^\nu/\kappa^2 = \sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} e(p,\lambda)^\mu e^*(p,\lambda)^\nu + \delta^\mu_3 \delta^\nu_3 \, \kappa^2/\eta^2 + \delta^\mu_3 \delta^\nu_3 (p^2 - \kappa^2)/\eta^2 \\ -\, (1/\eta)\, \delta^\mu_3 p^\nu - (1/\eta) p^\mu \delta^\nu_3 + p^\mu p^\nu/\kappa^2 , \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where the vectors $e(\eta, \underset{\sim}{p}, \lambda)$ are the polarization vectors for helicity $\pm$ 1 defined in equation (27). If one uses this expression in the numerator of the meson propagator, the last three terms will not contribute to any scattering process because of current conservation. Thus one is left with an effective propagator

$$D_F(x)^{\mu\nu} = (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4p \exp(-ip\cdot x) \left[\sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} e(p,\lambda)^{\mu} e^*(p,\lambda)^{\nu} + \delta_3^{\mu}\delta_3^{\nu}\frac{\kappa^2}{\eta^2}\right] (p^2 - \kappa^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}$$

$$+ \delta_3^{\mu}\delta_3^{\nu}(2\pi)^{-4}\int d^4p \exp(-ip\cdot x)\, \eta^{-2}(p^2-\kappa^2)(p^2-\kappa^2+i\epsilon)^{-1} \ . \tag{31}$$

The H integral in the first term can be done by contour integration as in reference 1. In the second term, $(p^2-\kappa^2)(p^2-\kappa^2+i\epsilon)^{-1} \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ so that the H integral gives a factor $\delta(\tau)$. Thus the meson propagator takes the form

$$D_F(x)^{\mu\nu} = -i(2\pi)^{-3}\int d\underset{\sim}{p} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\eta}{2\eta} \left[\sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} e(p,\lambda)^{\mu} e^*(p,\lambda)^{\nu} + \delta_3^{\mu}\delta_3^{\nu}\frac{\kappa^2}{\eta^2}\right]$$

$$\times \left[\Theta(\tau)\exp(-ip_{\mu}x^{\mu}) + \Theta(-\tau)\exp(+ip_{\mu}x^{\mu}) \right] \tag{32}$$

$$+ (2\pi)^{-3}\delta(\tau)\delta_3^{\mu}\delta_3^{\nu}\int d\underset{\sim}{p}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta\, \eta^{-2}\exp\left(-i\left[\eta\mathscr{y} - \underset{\sim}{p}\cdot\underset{\sim}{x}\right]\right)$$

where

$$p_0 = H = (\underset{\sim}{p}^2 + \kappa^2)/2\eta \ .$$

Note that this expression for the vector meson propagator is nearly identical to the corresponding expression for the photon propagator derived in reference 1. In particular, the "Coulomb force" term proportional to $\delta(\tau)$ remains unchanged.

There are only two changes in $D_F^{\mu\nu}$, which account for the corresponding changes in the perturbation theory rules of Section IV between $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa > 0$. First, the free meson Hamiltonian is changed from $H = \underset{\sim}{p}^2/2\eta$ to $H = (\underset{\sim}{p}^2 + \kappa^2)/2\eta$.

Second, a new term describing the propagation of helicity zero mesons is added to $D_F^{\mu\nu}$; namely

$$-i(2\pi)^{-3}\int d\underset{\sim}{p}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{2\eta}\, e_{\text{eff}}(p,0)^\mu\, e^*_{\text{eff}}(p,0)^\nu \left[\Theta(\tau)\exp(-ip\cdot x) + \Theta(-\tau)\exp(-ip\cdot x)\right],$$

where the "effective polarization vector" for helicity zero mesons is

$$e_{\text{eff}}(p,0)^\mu = -(\kappa/\eta)\,\delta_3^\mu .$$

This is also the effective polarization vector for helicity zero mesons in the initial and final states, since $e(p,0)^\mu = \kappa^{-1}p^\mu - (\kappa/\eta)\,\delta_3^\mu$, and the term $\kappa^{-1}p^\mu$ does not contribute to scattering amplitudes because of current conservation.

From here on, one can continue the argument just as in reference 1 to show that the covariant Feynman rules are equivalent to the rules for old fashioned perturbation theory in the infinite-momentum frame given in Section IV.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank R. Jackiw for his encouragement and for helpful discussions. I would also like to thank J. D. Bjorken and J. B. Kogut for their advice and criticisms.

Figure Caption

Electron-Vector Meson Vertices

References

1. J. B. Kogut and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D1, 2901 (1970).
2. J. M. Cornwall and R. Jackiw, UCLA Preprint 1971; with Addendum, MIT Preprint 1971.
3. D. J. Gross and S. B. Treiman, Princeton Preprint 1971.
4. I am indebted to R. Jackiw for pointing this out.
5. E. C. G. Stückelberg, Helv. Phys. Acta 11, 299 (1938).
6. Specifically, we insert a factor $2(2\pi)^3$ in the normalization of states, $< p \mid p' > = (2\pi)^3 2\eta\ \delta(\eta - \eta')\ \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$, and we use a curcular polarization basis for the vector mesons instead of a linear polarization basis.
7. cf. J. D. Bjorken, J. B. Kogut, and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D3, 1382 (1971).
8. We adopt the conventions that Latin indices are to be summed from 1 to 2 and that transverse vectors (a^1, a^2) are denoted by boldface $\mathbf{a}$. We also recall here that in the infinite momentum coordinate system described in reference 1, $g_{\mu\nu}$ is not diagonal and that, in particular, $\partial^0 = \partial_3 = \partial / \partial x^3$.
9. The observant reader may notice that in reference 1, equation 7 was written as $A^3 = (-i/\eta) \left[\partial_k A^k + \kappa B + (i/\eta) J^0\right]$ and arguments were given for preferring this form. In this paper, in contrast to reference 1, we will not try to make such nice distinctions, nor will we worry about possible surface terms arising from integrations by parts.
10. cf. reference 1, Appendix B.
11. These differ from reference 1 by a factor $2(2\pi)^3$.
12. cf. reference 6. Notice, however, that some of the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian, as given in Table 1, differ by a phase from those given in reference 6. The difference is due to differing choices of phases for the states.

TABLE I

Matrix Elements for Meson Emission

$$p_{\pm} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(p' \pm ip^2)$$

S	S'	λ	M
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$-q_-/\eta_q + p'_-/\eta'$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$-\kappa/\eta_q$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-1	$+q_+/\eta_q - p_+/\eta$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	$2^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \ \eta_q/\eta\eta'$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-1	0
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-1	$2^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \ \eta_q/\eta\eta'$
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	$-q_-/\eta_q + p_-/\eta$
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$-\kappa/\eta_q$
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-1	$+q_+/\eta_q - p'_+/\eta'$



(a)
(p, s)
(p', s')
(q, λ)
(b)
(p1, s1)
(p3, λ3)
η0
(p2, λ2)
(p4, s4)
(c)
(p1, s1)
(p3, s3)
η0
(p2, s2)
(p4, s4)
1684B1