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#### Abstract

We express the Masur-Veech volume and the area Siegel-Veech constant of the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ of genus $g$ meromorphic quadratic differentials with at most $n$ simple poles and no other poles as polynomials in the intersection numbers $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}} \psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{n^{\prime}}^{d_{n^{\prime}}}$ with explicit rational coefficients, where $g^{\prime}<g$ and $n^{\prime}<2 g+n$. The formulae obtained in this article are derived from lattice point counts involving the Kontsevich volume polynomials $N_{g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ that also appear in Mirzakhani's recursion for the Weil-Petersson volumes of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ of bordered hyperbolic surfaces with geodesic boundaries of lengths $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n^{\prime}}$.

A similar formula for the Masur-Veech volume (though without explicit evaluation) was obtained earlier by M. Mirzakhani through a completely different approach. We prove a further result: the density of the mapping class group orbit $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n} \cdot \gamma$ of any simple closed multicurve $\gamma$ inside the ambient set $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$ of integral measured laminations computed by Mirzakhani, coincides with the density of square-tiled surfaces having horizontal cylinder decomposition associated to $\gamma$ among all square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$.

We study the resulting densities (or, equivalently, volume contributions) in more detail in the special case when $n=0$. In particular, we compute explicitly the asymptotic frequencies of separating and non-separating simple closed geodesics on a closed hyperbolic surface of genus $g$ for all small genera $g$ and we show that in large genera the separating closed geodesics are $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3 \pi g}} \cdot \frac{1}{4^{g}}$ times less frequent.
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## 1. Introduction and statements of main theorems

### 1.1. Masur-Veech volume of the moduli space of quadratic differentials.

Let $g, n$ be non-negative integers with $2 g+n>2$. Consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ of complex curves of genus $g$ with $n$ distinct labeled marked points. The total space $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ of the cotangent bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ can be identified with the moduli space of pairs $(C, q)$, where $C \in \mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ is a smooth complex curve with $n$ (labelled) marked points and $q$ is a meromorphic quadratic differential on $C$ with at most simple poles at the marked points and no other poles. In the case $n=0$ the quadratic differential $q$ is holomorphic. Thus, as any total space of the cotangent bundle, the moduli space of quadratic differentials $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ is endowed with the canonical (real)
symplectic structure. The induced volume element on $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ is called the MasurVeech volume element. In Section 2.1 we provide an alternative, more common definition of the Masur-Veech volume element and explain why the two definitions are equivalent up to a global normalization constant.

A non-zero differential $q$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ defines a flat metric $|q|$ on the complex curve $C$. The resulting metric has conical singularities at zeroes and simple poles of $q$. The total area of $(C, q)$

$$
\operatorname{Area}(C, q)=\int_{C}|q|
$$

is positive and finite. For any real $a>0$, consider the following subset in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\text {Area } \leq a}:=\left\{(C, q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{g, n} \mid \text { Area }(C, q) \leq a\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Area}(C, q)$ is a norm in each fiber of the bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g, n}$, the set $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\mathrm{Area} \leq a}$ is a ball bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$. In particular, it is non-compact. However, by independent results of H. Masur Ma1 and W. Veech Ve1], the total mass of $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\text {Area } \leq a}$ with respect to the Masur-Veech volume element is finite. One of the objectives of this article is to provide a formula for this total mass. Our construction relies on square-tiled surface counting that we introduce next.
1.2. Square-tiled surfaces, simple closed multicurves and stable graphs. We have already mentioned that a non-zero meromorphic quadratic differential $q$ on a complex curve $C$ defines a flat metric with conical singularities. One can construct a discrete collection of quadratic differentials of this kind by assembling together identical flat squares in the following way. Take a finite set of copies of the oriented $1 / 2 \times 1 / 2$-square for which two opposite sides are chosen to be horizontal and the remaining two sides are declared to be vertical. Identify pairs of sides of the squares by isometries in such way that horizontal sides are glued to horizontal sides and vertical sides to vertical. We get a topological surface $S$ without boundary. We consider only those surfaces obtained in this way which are connected and oriented. The form $d z^{2}$ on each square is compatible with the gluing and endows $S$ with a complex structure and with a non-zero quadratic differential $q$ with at most simple poles. The total area $\operatorname{Area}(S, q)$ is $\frac{1}{4}$ times the number of squares. We call such a surface a square-tiled surface.


Figure 1. A square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{3},-1^{7}\right)$, and its associated multicurve and stable graph.

In order to be consistent with the literature on Masur-Veech volumes, we always label zeros and poles of our square-tiled surfaces. Each square-tiled surface
uniquely determines the ambient stratum of quadratic differentials. Given a list $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right)$ of integers not smaller than -1 , whose sum is $4 g-4$, the stratum of quadratic differentials $\mathcal{Q}(\mu)$ is the set of equivalence classes of pairs: (complex curve $C$ with $m$ marked points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$; a quadratic differential $q$ whose divisor is $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} p_{i}\right)$. The stratum $\mathcal{Q}(\mu)$ is naturally embedded in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, m}$.

For any pair of nonnegative integers $(g, n)$ satisfying $2 g+n>3$, the principal stratum of meromorphic quadratic differentials in genus $g$ with $n$ simple poles and with no other poles is $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$. By definition, $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{Q}_{g, 4 g-4+2 n}$. Under the morphism $\mathcal{Q}_{g, 4 g-4+2 n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ that forgets the points where the quadratic differential has a simple zero, the image of the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$. The fibers of $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ are discrete; they are in a bijective correspondence with different ways in which one can label the $4 g-4+n$ simple zeros of a given generic quadratic differential in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$.

Remark 1.1. The special cases $(g, n)=(0,3)$ and $(g, n)=(1,1)$ which correspond to $2 g+n=3$ are discussed in Appendix C.1. In these two cases $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ does not admit any natural interpretation in terms of meromorphic quadratic differentials with simple zeros and simple poles.

We denote by $\mathcal{S T}(\mathcal{Q}(\mu), N)$ the set of square-tiled surfaces in the stratum $\mathcal{Q}(\mu)$ made of at most $N$ squares. For example, the square-tiled surface in Figure 1 has genus $g=0$. It has 3 simple zeros and $n=7$ conical singularities with angle $\pi$. Hence, it belongs to the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{3},-1^{7}\right)$.

We shall see in Section 2.1] that the principal strata have a natural linear structure and that the square-tiled surfaces form a covolume one lattice in associated period coordinates. This justifies the following definition of the Masur-Veech volume of $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ (for $(g, n)$ different from $(0,3)$ and $(1,1)$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}:=\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)=2 d \cdot \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right.}{N^{d}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=6 g-6+2 n$. We emphasize that in the above formula we assume that all conical singularities of square-tiled surfaces are labeled. Formula 1.2 is the starting point of our expression for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$.

Cylinder decomposition, multicurve and stable graph. A square-tiled surface admits a decomposition into maximal horizontal cylinders filled with isometric closed regular flat geodesics. Every such maximal horizontal cylinder has at least one conical singularity on each of the two boundary components. The square-tiled surface in Figure has four maximal horizontal cylinders which are represented in the picture by different shades.

Let $S$ be a square-tiled surface and let $S=c y l_{1} \cup \ldots \cup c y l_{k}$ be its decomposition into the set of maximal horizontal cylinders. To each cylinder $c y l_{i}$ we associate the corresponding waist curve $\gamma_{i}$ considered up to a free homotopy. The curves $\gamma_{i}$ are non-peripheral (i.e. none of them bounds a disc containing a single pole) and pairwise non-homotopic. We encode the number of circular horizontal bands of squares contained in the corresponding maximal horizontal cylinder by the integer weight $H_{i}$ associated to the curve $\gamma_{i}$. The formal linear combination $\gamma=\sum H_{i} \gamma_{i}$ is a simple closed integral multicurve in the space $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$ of measured laminations.

For example, the simple closed multicurve associated to the square-tiled surface as in Figure 1 has the form $2 \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}+2 \gamma_{4}$.

The multicurve $\gamma=\sum H_{i} \gamma_{i}$ as above defines the associated reduced multicurve $\gamma_{\text {reduced }}=\sum \gamma_{i}$. Here we assume that $\gamma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ are pairwise non-isotopic for $i \neq j$. We associate to $\gamma_{\text {red }}$ its stable graph $\Gamma\left(\gamma_{\text {reduced }}\right)$ which should be thought as the dual graph to $\gamma_{\text {reduced }}$. More precisely, $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is the decorated graph whose vertices represent the components of $S \backslash \gamma_{\text {reduced }}$ and are decorated with the genus of the corresponding component. By convention, when this number is not explicitly indicated, it is zero. The edges of $\Gamma(\gamma)$ represent the components $\gamma_{i}$ of $\gamma_{\text {reduced }}$, where the endpoints of the edge associated to $\gamma_{i}$ are the two vertices corresponding to the two components of $S \backslash \gamma_{\text {reduced }}$ adjacent to $\gamma_{i}$. When $\gamma_{i}$ has the same component of $S \backslash \gamma_{\text {reduced }}$ on both sides, the corresponding edge of $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is a loop. Finally, $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is endowed with $n$ "legs" (or half-edges) labelled from 1 to $n$. The leg with label $i$ is attached to the vertex that represents the component that contains the $i$-th marked point of $S$. The right picture in Figure 1 shows the stable graph associated to the multicurve $\gamma$. A formal combinatorial definition of a stable graph is provided in Appendix B

The total number of stable graphs (considered up to isomorphism) is finite and is equal to the number of $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n}$-orbits of reduced multicurves in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$. For $2 g+n>2$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ the set of stable graphs. Table 1 in Section 1.4 and Table 2 in Appendix C. 4 list all stable graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2,0}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ respectively. The special cases $\mathcal{G}_{0,3}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1,1}$ are considered in Appendix C. 1 .

Given a pair of nonnegative integers $(g, n)$ satisfying $2 g+n>3$ and a stable graph $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$, let us consider the subset $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{H}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)$ of those square-tiled surfaces, for which the associated stable graph is $\Gamma$ and the heights of the cylinders are $\mathbf{H}=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}\right)$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{S T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)$ the analogous subset without restriction on the heights. Let us define $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \mathbf{H})$ and $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)$ to be respectively the contributions to $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ of square-tiled surfaces from the subsets $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{H}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{S T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right):$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \mathbf{H}) & :=2 d \cdot \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{H}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right.}{N^{d}}  \tag{1.3}\\
\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma) & :=2 d \cdot \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right.}{N^{d}} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d=6 g-6+2 n$. The results in DGZZ2 imply that for any $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ the above limits exist, are strictly positive, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)=\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \sum_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{E(\Gamma)}} \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \mathbf{H}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing both sides of (1.5) by $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ we see that the ratio $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma) / \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ can be interpreted as the "asymptotic probability" that a square-tiled surface taken at random has $\Gamma$ as stable graph associated to its horizontal cylinder decomposition.

Remark 1.2. A stable graph is commonly used to encode the boundary classes of the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$. Informally speaking, the stable curves in the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ are obtained by pinching along appropriate multicurves $\gamma_{\text {reduced }}$. In our situation, this can be done algebraically in the following way. Let $(C, q)$ be a quadratic differential whose horizontal cylinders fill the associated flat surface $S$. Consider the sequence of quadratic differentials $\left(C_{t}, q_{t}\right)$
obtained as follows. Define $q_{t}:=\operatorname{Re}(q)+i e^{t} \operatorname{Im}(q)$. The differential $q_{t}$ is meromorphic for a unique complex structure $C_{t}$. The horizontal cylinder decompositions of all $\left(C_{t}, q_{t}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}$, are topologically identical. Metrically, cylinders of $\left(C_{t}, q_{t}\right)$ are $e^{t}$ times higher than cylinders of $(C, q)$. The path $\left(C_{t}, q_{t}\right)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}}$ converges towards a stable quadratic differential $\left(C_{\infty}, q_{\infty}\right)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}}$ with exactly double poles at the nodes of $C_{\infty}$. Each double pole corresponds to a half-infinite cylinder associated to the corresponding boundary component of $S \backslash \gamma_{\text {reduced }}$.
1.3. Ribbon graphs, intersection numbers and volume polynomials. In this section we introduce multivariate polynomials $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ that appear in different contexts. They are an essential ingredient to our formula for the MasurVeech volume.

Let $g, n$ be non-negative integers with $2 g+n>2$. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be variables. For a multi-index $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ we denote by $b^{2 \boldsymbol{d}}$ the product $b_{1}^{2 d_{1}} \cdots \cdots b_{n}^{2 d_{n}}$, by $|\boldsymbol{d}|$ the sum $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{n}$ and by $\boldsymbol{d}$ ! the product $d_{1}!\cdots d_{n}$ !

Define the homogeneous polynomial $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ of degree $6 g-6+2 n$ in the variables $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\sum_{|\boldsymbol{d}|=3 g-3+n} c_{\boldsymbol{d}} b^{2 \boldsymbol{d}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\boldsymbol{d}}=\frac{1}{2^{5 g-6+2 n} \boldsymbol{d}!}\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}\right\rangle  \tag{1.7}\\
\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}\right\rangle=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}, \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}$ are the $\psi$-classes on the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$. That is, $\psi_{i}$ is the first Chern class of the $i$-th tautological bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$. Informally, the fiber of this bundle over $\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is the cotangent line $T_{p_{i}}^{*} C$ to $C$ at the $i$-th marked point. Note that $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ contains only even powers of $b_{i}$, where $i=1, \ldots, n$. For small $g$ and $n$ we get:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N_{0,3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) & =1 \\
N_{0,4}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right) & =\frac{1}{4}\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}+b_{4}^{2}\right) \\
N_{1,1}\left(b_{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{48}\left(b_{1}^{2}\right) \\
N_{1,2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{384}\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right)\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

A ribbon graph $G$ is a connected graph endowed at each vertex with a cyclic ordering of adjacent edges. The cyclic ordering determines faces, so one can consider a tubular neighborhood of $G$ as a surface with boundary, where boundary components correspond to faces of $G$. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_{g, n}$ the set of isomorphism classes of trivalent ribbon graphs of genus $g$ with $n$ faces labeled from 1 to $n$. For each trivalent ribbon graph $G$ in $\mathcal{R}_{g, n}$ and any collection of integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$, denote by $\mathcal{N}_{G}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ the number of integral metrics on $G$ (assigning a positive integral length to each edge) such that the perimeters of the faces get lengths $b_{1}$, $\ldots, b_{n}$.

Theorem (Kontsevich). Consider a collection of positive integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$ is even. The weighted count of genus $g$ connected trivalent metric ribbon graphs $G$ with integer edges and with $n$ labeled boundary components of lengths $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ is equal to $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ up to the lower order terms:

$$
\sum_{G \in \mathcal{R}_{g, n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \mathcal{N}_{G}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)+\text { lower order terms }
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{g, n}$ denotes the set of (nonisomorphic) trivalent ribbon graphs $G$ of genus $g$ and with $n$ boundary components.

This Theorem is a part of Kontsevich's proof Kon of Witten's conjecture Wi.
Remark 1.3. P. Norbury (Nb and K. Chapman-M. Mulase-B. Safnuk ChMuSa refined the count of Kontsevich proving that the function counting lattice points in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ corresponding to covers of the sphere ramified over three points (the so-called Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants) is a quasi-polynomial in variables $b_{i}$. In other terms, when considering all ribbon graphs (and not only trivalent ones) the lower order terms in Kontsevich's theorem form a quasi-polynomial. This quasi-polynomiality of the expression on the left hand side endows the notion of "lower order terms" with a natural formal sense.

We also use the following common notation for the intersection numbers (1.8). Given an ordered partition $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{n}=3 g-3+n$ of $3 g-3+n$ into a sum of non-negative integers we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\tau_{d_{1}} \ldots \tau_{d_{n}}\right\rangle=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.4. Formula for the Masur-Veech volumes. Following AEZ2 we consider the following linear operators $\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ on the spaces of polynomials in variables $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$, where $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots$ are positive integers. The operator $\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})$ is defined on monomials as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H}): \quad \prod_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}^{m_{i}} \longmapsto \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i}!}{H_{i}^{m_{i}+1}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and extended to arbitrary polynomials by linearity. The operator $\mathcal{Z}$ is defined on monomials as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}: \quad \prod_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}^{m_{i}} \longmapsto \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(m_{i}!\cdot \zeta\left(m_{i}+1\right)\right), \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and extended to arbitrary polynomials by linearity. In the above formula $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function

$$
\zeta(s)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{s}},
$$

so for any collection of strictly positive integers $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ one has

$$
\mathcal{Z}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}^{m_{i}}\right)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}} \mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}^{m_{i}}\right)
$$

Remark 1.4. For even integers $2 m$ we have

$$
\zeta(2 m)=(-1)^{m+1} \frac{B_{2 m}(2 \pi)^{2 m}}{2(2 m)!}
$$

where $B_{2 m}$ are the Bernoulli numbers. Consider a homogeneous polynomial in $k$ variables of degree $2 m-k$ with rational coefficients, such that all powers of all variables in each monomial are odd. The observation above implies that the value of $\mathcal{Z}$ on such polynomial is a rational number multiplied by $\pi^{2 m}$.

Given a stable graph $\Gamma$ denote by $V(\Gamma)$ the set of its vertices and by $E(\Gamma)$ the set of its edges. To each stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ we associate the following homogeneous polynomial $P_{\Gamma}$ of degree $6 g-6+2 n$. To every edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ we assign a formal variable $b_{e}$. Given a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ denote by $g_{v}$ the integer number decorating $v$ and denote by $n_{v}$ the valency of $v$, where the legs adjacent to $v$ are counted towards the valency of $v$. Take a small neighborhood of $v$ in $\Gamma$. We associate to each halfedge ("germ" of edge) $e$ adjacent to $v$ the monomial $b_{e}$; we associate 0 to each leg. We denote by $\boldsymbol{b}_{v}$ the resulting collection of size $n_{v}$. If some edge $e$ is a loop joining $v$ to itself, $b_{e}$ would be present in $\boldsymbol{b}_{v}$ twice; if an edge $e$ joins $v$ to a distinct vertex, $b_{e}$ would be present in $\boldsymbol{b}_{v}$ once; all the other entries of $\boldsymbol{b}_{v}$ correspond to legs; they are represented by zeroes. To each vertex $v \in E(\Gamma)$ we associate the polynomial $N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)$, where $N_{g, v}$ is defined in (1.6). We associate to the stable graph $\Gamma$ the polynomial obtained as the product $\prod b_{e}$ over all edges $e \in E(\Gamma)$ multiplied by the product $\Pi N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)$ over all $v \in V(\Gamma)$. We define $P_{\Gamma}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})=\frac{2^{6 g-5+2 n} \cdot(4 g-4+n)!}{(6 g-7+2 n)!}  \tag{1.12}\\
\qquad \frac{1}{2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \cdot \prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} b_{e} \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1 in Section 1.4 and Table 2 in Appendix C.4 list the polynomials associated to all stable graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2,0}$ and in $\mathcal{G}_{1,2}$.

Theorem 1.5. The Masur-Veech volume of the stratum of quadratic differentials with $4 g-4+n$ simple zeros and $n$ simple poles has the following value:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},\right. & \left.-1^{n}\right)  \tag{1.13}\\
& =\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)=\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \sum_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{E(\Gamma)}} \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \mathbf{H})
\end{align*}
$$

where the contributions of individual stable graphs $\Gamma$ defined by (1.3) (respectively the contributions of pairs $(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ defined by (1.4)) are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})=\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1 below illustrates the computation of the polynomials $P_{\Gamma}$ and of the contributions $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)$ to the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ in the particular case of $(g, n)=(2,0)$. To make the calculation tractable, we follow the structure of Formula (1.12). The first numerical factor $\frac{128}{5}$ represents the factor $\frac{2^{6 g-5+2 n} \cdot(4 g-4+n)!}{(6 g-7+2 n)!}$. It is common for all stable graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2,0}$. The second numerical factor in the first line of each calculation in Table 1 is $\frac{1}{2 \mid V(\Gamma)-1}$, where $|V(\Gamma)|$ is the number of vertices of the corresponding stable graph $\Gamma$ (equivalently - the number of connected components of the complement to the associated reduced multicurve). The third
numerical factor is $\frac{1}{\mid \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)}$. Recall that neither vertices nor edges of $\Gamma$ are labeled. We first evaluate the order of the corresponding automorphism group $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|$ (this group respects the decoration of the graph), and only then we associate to edges of $\Gamma$ variables $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ in an arbitrary way.

$$
1 \bigcirc \frac{b_{1}}{} 1
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{128}{5} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot b_{1} \cdot N_{1,2}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}\right)= \\
=\frac{64}{5} \cdot b_{1}\left(\frac{1}{384}\left(2 b_{1}^{2}\right)\left(2 b_{1}^{2}\right)\right)=\frac{2}{15} \cdot b_{1}^{5} \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\rightleftarrows}
\end{array} & \begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{15} \cdot(5!\cdot \zeta(6)) \\
&=\frac{16}{945} \cdot \pi^{6}
\end{aligned} \\
\hline \frac{128}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot b_{1} \cdot N_{1,1}\left(b_{1}\right) \cdot N_{1,1}\left(b_{1}\right)= \\
=\frac{32}{5} \cdot b_{1} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{48} b_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{48} b_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{360} \cdot b_{1}^{5} \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\rightleftarrows} & \begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{360} \cdot(5!\cdot \zeta(6)) \\
&=\frac{1}{2835} \cdot \pi^{6}
\end{aligned}
\end{array}
$$


$b_{2} \frac{128}{5} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} \cdot N_{0,4}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{2}\right)=$

$$
=\frac{16}{5} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{4}\left(2 b_{1}^{2}+2 b_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=
$$



$$
\begin{array}{r}
=\frac{8}{5}\left(b_{1}^{3} b_{2}+b_{1} b_{2}^{3}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\longmapsto} \quad \frac{8}{5} \cdot 2 \cdot 3!\zeta(4) \cdot 1!\zeta(2) \\
=\frac{8}{225} \cdot \pi^{6}
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{128}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} \cdot N_{0,3}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \cdot N_{1,1}\left(b_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{32}{5} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} \cdot(1) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{48} b_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{2}{15} \cdot b_{1} b_{2}^{3} \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\longmapsto} \quad \frac{2}{15} \cdot 1!\zeta(2) \cdot 3!\zeta(4) \\
& =\frac{1}{675} \cdot \pi^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$


$\frac{128}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdot N_{0,3}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot N_{0,3}\left(b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{3}\right)=\frac{8}{5} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdot(1) \cdot(1) \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad \frac{8}{5} \cdot(1!\zeta(2))^{3} \\
&= \frac{1}{135} \cdot \pi^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{128}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{12} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdot N_{0,3}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \cdot \\
& \cdot N_{0,3}\left(b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{3}\right)=\frac{16}{15} \cdot b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdot(1) \cdot(1) \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\longmapsto} \quad \begin{aligned}
\frac{16}{15} & (1!\zeta(2))^{3} \\
= & \frac{2}{405} \cdot \pi^{6}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1. Computation of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2,0}$. The left column represents the stable graphs $\Gamma$ and their associated multicurves; the middle column gives the polynomials $P_{\Gamma}$; the right column provides $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)$.

Taking the sum of the six contributions we obtain the answer, matching the value found in G2 by implementing the method of A. Eskin and A. Okounkov EO2.

$$
\text { Vol } \mathcal{Q}_{2,0}=\left(\left(\frac{16}{945}+\frac{1}{2835}\right)+\left(\frac{8}{225}+\frac{1}{675}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{135}+\frac{2}{405}\right)\right) \pi^{6}=\frac{1}{15} \pi^{6} .
$$

Remark 1.6. In genus 0 , the formula simplifies considerably. It was conjectured by M. Konstevich and proved by J. Athreya, A. Eskin and A. Zorich in AEZ2 that for all $n \geq 4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n}=\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{n-4},-1^{n}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2 n-6}}{2^{n-5}} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in genus 0 all correlators of $\psi$-classes admit a closed explicit expression. Rewriting all polynomials $N_{0, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)$ for all stable graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{0, n}$ in Formula (1.13) for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n}$ in terms of the corresponding multinomial coefficients we get the formula originally obtained in AEZ1. A lot of techniques in this article are borrowed from AEZ1. Note, however, that the proof of (1.15) is indirect and is based on analytic Riemann-Roch-Hierzebruch formula and on fine comparison of asymptotics of determinants of flat and hyperbolic Laplacians as $(X, q)$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{0, n}$ approaches the boundary.

Remark 1.7. In the recent paper CMöS D. Chen, M. Möller, A. Sauvaget proved an alternative formula for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ expressing it as a weighted sum of certain linear Hodge integrals. Their approach is based on intersection theory. Combined with the recursive formula for the linear Hodge integrals obtained by M. Kazarian in Kaz, it allows to compute the exact values of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ for $g$ up to 250 and, basically, for any $n$. In particular, it provides an alternative proof of (1.15).

Note the following important feature of Formula (1.13) which distinguishes it from the approach of Eskin-Okounkov EO1, EO2] based on the quasimodularity of certain generating functions or from the approach of Chen-Möller-Sauvaget CMöS] based on intersection theory. Formula (1.13) allows us to analyze the contribution of individual stable graphs to $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$. In particular, it allows us to study the statistical geometry of random square-tiled surfaces as in Sections 1.9 and statistical properties of random simple closed hyperbolic geodesics as in Section 4 .

Formula (1.13) also implies the following asymptotic lower bound for the MasurVeech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, 0}$ and a conjectural asymptotic value.

Theorem 1.8. The following inequality holds for any $g \geq 2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, 0}>\sqrt{\frac{2}{3 \pi g}} \cdot\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{4 g-4} \cdot\left(1-\frac{2}{6 g-7}\right) . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.8 is proved in Section 4.2 .
Conjecture 1.9. The Masur-Veech volume of the moduli space of holomorphic quadratic differentials has the following large genus asymptotic@:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, 0} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{4}{\pi} \cdot\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{4 g-4} \cdot\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact values of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, 0}$ obtained by combining results $\mathrm{CMÖS}$ and Kaz corroborate the conjectural Formula (1.17). The work [YZZ] also corroborates and develops this conjecture suggesting several first terms of the asymptotic expansion in the negative powers of $g$.

[^1]A conjectural generalization of Formula (1.17) to other strata of meromorphic quadratic differentials and numerical evidence beyond this conjecture are presented in ADGZZ]. The statistical geometry of random square-tiled surfaces of large genus and of random simple closed multicurves on surfaces of large genus is discussed in the separate paper [DGZZ5].

Remark 1.10. By the result of A. Eskin and A. Okounkov EO1] the Masur-Veech volume of any stratum of Abelian differentials is a rational multiple of $\pi^{2 g}$. For the strata of meromorphic quadratic differentials the results EO2 directly imply slightly weaker property: the Masur-Veech volume is a polynomial in powers of $\pi^{2}$ with rational coefficients, see the proof of Proposition 5.10 in G2]. Actually, one can derive from the results [EO2] a stronger statement, namely, that the MasurVeech volume of any stratum of meromorphic quadratic differentials is a rational multiple of $\pi^{2 g_{\text {eff }}}$, where the integer number $g_{\text {eff }}$ denotes the effective genus of the stratum. However, this implication is already non-trivial and was never written down. Alternative proofs of the latter statement were recently obtained by D. Chen, M. Möller, and A. Sauvaget for the principal stratum in CMöS and by V. Koziarz and D.-M. Nguyen in KozNg for certain more general arithmetic affine GL( $2, \mathbb{R}$ )invariant submanifolds of the strata.

By construction, the polynomial $P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})$ associated to a stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ by Expression (1.12) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $6 g-6+2 n-|E(\Gamma)|$. Moreover, each variable $b_{e}$ in each monomial appears with an odd power. Thus, Formula (1.13) implies that the contribution $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)$ of each stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ to $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ is already a rational multiple of $\pi^{6 g-6+2 n}$. Moreover, using the refined version of $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ due to Norbury (Nb] expressing the counting functions of ribbon graphs as quasi-polynomials in $b_{i}$, one can even show that the generating series of square-tiled surfaces corresponding a given stable graph is a quasi-modular form. This result develops the results of Eskin-Okounkov [EO2] that say that in each stratum, the generating series for the count of pillowcase covers (in the sense of A. Eskin and A. Okounkov) is a quasimodular form and the analogous result of P. Engel En1], En2] for the count of square-tiled surfaces.

Remark 1.11. Up to a normalization constant given by the explicit Formula (3.17) (depending only on $g$ and $n$ ), the polynomial $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ coincides with the top homogeneous part of Mirzakhani's volume polynomial $V_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ providing the Weil-Petersson volume of the moduli space of bordered Riemann surfaces Mi1. The classical Weil-Petersson volume of $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ corresponds to the constant term of $V_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ when the lengths of all boundary components are equal to zero. This relation between correlators $\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}\right\rangle$ and Weil-Petersson volumes allowed Mirzakhani to provide an alternative proof of Witten's conjecture.

In the paper ABCD, J. E. Andersen, G. Borot, S. Charbonniery, V. Delecroix, A. Giacchetto, D. Lewański, and C. Wheeler define a Masur-Veech polynomial whose top degree term is also (a rescaled) version of $N_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ and its constant term is the Masur-Veech volume.

Both the Weil-Petersson polynomial and the Masur-Veech polynomial satisfy a topological recursion that allows direct computations without relying on formulae such as (1.13) which involves the (huge) list of stable graphs.

Note that contrarily to the volume polynomial $V_{g, n}$, the topological recursion for the Masur-Veech polynomial does not admit a geometric interpretation yet.

Remark 1.12. Formulae (1.12)-(1.14) admit a generalization allowing one to express Masur-Veech volumes of those strata $\mathcal{Q}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, for which all zeroes have odd degrees $d_{i}$, in terms of intersection numbers of $\psi$-classes with the combinatorial cycles in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ associated to the strata (denoted by $W_{m_{*}, n}$ in ArCo , where $m_{*}$ is the sequence of multiplicities of the zeroes). In this more general case the formula requires additional correction subtracting the contribution of those quadratic differentials which degenerate to squares of globally defined Abelian differentials. This generalization is a work in progress.

Remark 1.13. Note that the contribution of square-tiled surfaces having a fixed number of cylinders to the Masur-Veech volume of more general strata of quadratic differentials might have a much more sophisticated arithmetic nature. In DGZZ3 we describe the contribution of square-tiled surfaces having a single maximal horizontal cylinder to the Masur-Veech volume of any stratum of Abelian or quadratic differentials. We conjecture that the contribution of $k$-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the Masur-Veech volume of the ambient stratum is expressed as a polynomial with rational coefficients in multiple-zeta values.
1.5. Siegel-Veech constants. We now turn to a formula for the Siegel-Veech constants of $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$. We first recall the definition of Siegel-Veech constants that involves the flat geometry of quadratic differentials.

Let $(C, q)$ be a non-zero quadratic differential in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$. It naturally defines a Riemannian metric $|q|$ which is flat with conical singularities exactly at the zeros and poles of $q$. This metric allows to define geodesics and we say that a geodesic is regular if it does not pass through the singularities of $q$. Closed regular flat geodesics appear in families composed of parallel closed geodesics of the same length. Each such family fills a maximal flat cylinder cyl having a conical singularity (possibly the same) at each of the two boundary components. The length of any regular geodesic in this family is called the width (or circumference) of the cylinder . The height of the cylinder is the distance between its boundary components measured inside the cylinder. In particular, the flat area of the cylinder is the product of its width and its height.

For $S=(C, q)$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n} \backslash\{0\}$ and any $L \in \mathbb{R}$, the number of maximal cylinders in $S$ filled with regular closed geodesics of bounded length $w(c y l) \leq L$ is finite. Thus the following quantity is well-defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\text {area }}(S, L):=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Area}(S)} \sum_{\substack{c y l \subset S \\ w(c y l) \leq L}} \operatorname{Area}(c y l) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any pair of nonnegative integers $(g, n)$ satisfying $2 g+n>3$, choose the Masur-Veech volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ which coincides with the Masur-Veech volume element on the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$. This volume element induces a canonical volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}_{1}$ on any level hypersurface $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\mathrm{Area}=a}$. The following theorem is a special case of the fundamental result of W. Veech, Ve2] developed by Y. Vorobets in Vo.

Theorem (W. Veech; Ya. Vorobets). Let ( $g, n$ ) be a pair of non-negative integers such that $2 g+n>3$. There exists a strictly positive constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ such that
for any strictly positive numbers $a$ and $L$ the following holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{\pi L^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\mathrm{Area}=a}} N_{\text {area }}(S, L) d \operatorname{Vol}_{1}(S)=\operatorname{Vol}_{1} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\mathrm{Area}=a} \cdot \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula is called the Siegel-Veech formula, and the corresponding constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ is called the Siegel-Veech constant. Note that $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$, actually, does not depend on the choice of the normalization of the Masur-Veech volume.

Eskin and Masur EMa proved that for almost all $S=(C, q)$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ (with respect to the Masur-Veech measure)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{Area}(S) \cdot \frac{N_{\text {area }}(S, L)}{\pi L^{2}}=\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.14. Beyond its geometrical relevance, let us mention that the area SiegelVeech constant is the most important ingredient in the Eskin-Kontsevich-Zorich formula for the sum of the Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle along the Teichmüller geodesic flow EKoZo.

An edge of a connected graph is called a bridge if the operation of removing this edge breaks the graph into two connected components. We define the following function $\chi_{\Gamma}: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}$ on the set of edges of any connected graph $\Gamma$ :

$$
\chi_{\Gamma}(e)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if the edge } e \text { is a bridge } \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We define the following operator $\partial_{\Gamma}$ on polynomials $P$ in variables $b_{e}$ associated to the edges of stable graphs $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$. For every $e \in E(\Gamma)$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\Gamma}^{e} P:=\left.\chi_{\Gamma}(e) b_{e} \frac{\partial P}{\partial b_{e}}\right|_{b_{e}=0} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\Gamma} P:=\sum_{e \in E(\Gamma)} \partial_{\Gamma}^{e} P \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.15. Let $g$, $n$ be non-negative integers satisfying $2 g+n>3$. The Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ satisfies the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n} \cdot \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \cdot \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Gamma} P_{\Gamma}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an illustration of the above Theorem we compute $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{2,0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1,2}\right)$ in appendices C. 2 and C. 4 respectively.
1.6. Masur-Veech Volumes and Siegel-Veech constants. The Siegel-Veech constant can be expressed in terms of the Masur-Veech volumes of certain boundary strata. The formula for strata of Abelian differentials was obtained in EMaZo and for strata of quadratic differentials in G1. Before presenting a reformulation of Corollary 1 in G1 we introduce the following conventions for $(g, n)$ being $(0,3)$ or $(1,1)$ (see Appendix C. 1 for a discussion)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,3}:=4  \tag{1.24}\\
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{1,1}:=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \tag{1.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem ([G1). Let $g$, $n$ be non-negative integers with $2 g+n>3$. Under Conventions (1.24) $-(1.25)$ the following formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=\frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{g_{1}+g_{2}=g \\
n_{1}+n_{2}=n+2 \\
g_{i} \geq 0, n_{i} \geq 1, d_{i} \geq 1}} \frac{\ell!}{\ell_{1}!\ell_{2}!} \frac{n!}{\left(n_{1}-1\right)!\left(n_{2}-1\right)!}  \tag{1.26}\\
& . \frac{\left(d_{1}-1\right)!\left(d_{2}-1\right)!}{(d-1)!} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g_{1}, n_{1}} \times \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g_{2}, n_{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{(4 g-4+n) n(n-1)}{(6 g-7+2 n)(6 g-8+2 n)} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,3} \times \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n-1}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{\ell!}{(\ell-2)!} \frac{(d-3)!}{(d-1)!} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g-1, n+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $d=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=6 g-6+2 n, d_{i}=6 g_{i}-6+2 n_{i}, \ell=4 g-4+n, \ell_{i}=4 g_{i}-4+n_{i}$.
For $g=0$ and any integer $n$ satisfying $n \geq 4$ the following formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{0, n}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n}=\frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}+n_{2}=n+2 \\
n_{i} \geq 4}} \frac{(n-4)!}{\left(n_{1}-4\right)!\left(n_{2}-4\right)!}  \tag{1.27}\\
& \cdot \frac{n!}{\left(n_{1}-1\right)!\left(n_{2}-1\right)!} \cdot \frac{\left(2 n_{1}-7\right)!\left(2 n_{2}-7\right)!}{(2 n-7)!} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n_{1}} \times \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n_{2}}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{(n-4) n(n-1)}{(2 n-7)(2 n-8)} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,3} \times \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

The terms which involve $(g, n)=(0,3)$ in the formulae above can be interpreted as particular cases of the corresponding general terms under the following convention. In the context of the formulae above it is natural to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\left(d_{i}-1\right)!}{\ell_{i}!}\right|_{\substack{g=0 \\ n_{i}=3}}:=\left.\frac{\left(2 n_{i}-7\right)!}{\left(n_{i}-4\right)!}\right|_{n_{i}=3}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow 3} \frac{\Gamma(2 n-6)}{\Gamma(n-3)}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the expressions appearing in the right-hand sides of (1.23), (1.26) and (1.27) can be seen as polynomials in correlators. More precisely, in the definition of $N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)$ one can keep the correlators $\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi_{k}^{d_{k}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau_{d_{1}} \ldots \tau_{d_{k}}\right\rangle$ in (1.7) without evaluation. We extend the operators $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\partial_{\Gamma}$ to polynomials in the variables $b_{e}$ and in "unevaluated" correlators by linearity. For example, under such convention one gets

$$
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,5}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{9}\left(5\left\langle\tau_{0}^{3} \tau_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\tau_{0}^{3}\right\rangle+4\left\langle\tau_{0}^{3}\right\rangle^{3}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{0,5}\right) \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,5}=\frac{5}{9}\left\langle\tau_{0}^{3}\right\rangle^{3}
$$

Numerical values of volumes $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and of Siegel-Veech constants carea $\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ for small $g$ and $n$ are presented in Table 3 in Appendix D. The corresponding expressions in terms of the intersection numbers are available in [DGZZ4].

Viewed in this way, the right-hand sides of (1.23) and of (1.26) in the case of $g \geq 1$ (respectively, the right-hand sides of (1.23) and of (1.27) in the case $g=0$ ) provide identities between polynomials in intersection numbers. We show that these identities are, actually, trivial.

Theorem 1.16. The right-hand sides of (1.23) and of (1.26) for $g \geq 1$ (respectively, the right-hand sides of (1.23) and of (1.27) for $g=0$ ) considered as polynomials in intersection numbers of $\psi$-classes coincide.

Theorem 1.16 is proved in Section 2.8
1.7. Frequencies of multicurves (after M. Mirzakhani). Let $g, n$ be nonnegative integers with $2 g+n>2$. We say that two integral multicurves on the same smooth surface of genus $g$ with $n$ punctures have the same topological type if they belong to the same orbit of the mapping class group $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n}$. As we have already seen, topological types of primitive multicurves (respectively multicurves) are in bijection with stable graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ (respectively stable graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ labeled with a height $\boldsymbol{H}_{i}$ at each edge).

Let $C$ be a complex curve, $C \in \mathcal{M}_{g, n}$. We denote by $X$ the underlying Riemann surface endowed with its hyperbolic metric of constant curvature -1 . Following M. Mirzakhani, given an integral multicurve $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$ we define $s_{X}(L, \gamma)$ as the number of simple closed geodesic multicurves on $X$ of length at most $L$ having the same topological type as $\gamma$. M. Mirzakhani analyzed the asymptotic behavior of $s_{X}(L, \gamma)$ which involves several quantities that we define now.

The hyperbolic length function $\ell_{X}$ defined on multicurves admits a continuous extension to $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}$, see Ker. Hence, we can consider the unit ball $B_{X}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{X}:=\left\{\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}: \ell_{X}(\gamma) \leq 1\right\} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}$ and the associated volume with respect to Thurston's measure ${ }^{2}$

$$
B(X)=\mu_{\mathrm{Th}}\left(B_{X}\right)
$$

Next, we define the number $b_{g, n}$ as the mean value of $B(X)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{g, n}:=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g, n}} B(X) d X \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we integrate with respect to the Weil-Petersson volume form $d X$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$. We can now state one of the main results of M. Mirzakhani from Mi3.

Theorem (M. Mirzakhani). Let ( $g, n$ ) be non-negative integers with $2 g+n>2$. Let $\gamma$ be a multicurve in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists a positive constant $c(\gamma)$ such that for any Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g$ with $n$ punctures we have

$$
s_{X}(L, \gamma) \sim B(X) \cdot \frac{c(\gamma)}{b_{g, n}} \cdot L^{6 g-6+2 n}
$$

as $L \rightarrow+\infty$.
Note that in this beautiful asymptotic formula all information about the hyperbolic metric $X$ is carried by the factor $B(X)$ (which does not depend on $\gamma$ ) and the topological information about $\gamma$ is carried by the constant $c(\gamma)$ (which does not depend on $X$ ). Mirzakhani showed furthermore that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{g, n}=\sum_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{P}} c(\gamma), \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum of $c(\gamma)$ is taken with respect to representatives $[\gamma]$ of all orbits $\mathcal{P}$ of the mapping class group $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n}$ in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$ as in the sum (1.5).

[^2]This allows to interpret the ratio $\frac{c(\gamma)}{b_{g, n}}$ as the probability to get a multicurve of type $\gamma$ taking a "long random" multicurve (in the same sense as the probability that the coordinates of a "random" point in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ are coprime equals $\left.\frac{6}{\pi^{2}}\right)$. More precisely, M. Mirzakhani showed that the asymptotic frequency $\frac{c(\gamma)}{b_{g, n}}$ represents the density of the orbit $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n} \cdot \gamma$ inside the set of all integral simple closed multicurves $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$. This density is analogous to the density $\frac{6}{\pi^{2}}$ of integral points with coprime coordinates in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ represented by the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-orbit of the vector $(1,0)$.

We now present a consequence of the bridge between square-tiled surfaces and multicurves that will appear in the next section. Let $g \geq 2$. There is a single topological type of a nonseparating simple closed curve in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, 0}$ and $\lfloor g / 2\rfloor$ classes of separating simple closed curves. We define

$$
c_{g, \text { nonsep }}:=c\left(\gamma_{\text {nonsep }}\right), \quad c_{g, \text { sep }}:=\sum_{\left[\gamma_{\text {sep }}\right]} c\left(\gamma_{\text {sep }}\right),
$$

where $\gamma_{\text {nonsep }}$ is the non-separating simple closed curve and the sum in the second term is over the $\lfloor g / 2\rfloor$ classes of separating simple closed curves.

Theorem 1.17. The frequency of separating simple closed geodesics on a closed hyperbolic surface of large genus $g$ is exponentially small with respect to the frequency of non-separating simple closed geodesics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{g, \text { sep }}}{c_{g, \text { nonsep }}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3 \pi g}} \cdot \frac{1}{4^{g}} \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.17 follows from analyzing some individual contributions of particularly simple stable graphs to the Masur-Veech volume of $\mathcal{Q}_{g, 0}$ and is proved in Section 4.3. The proof is based on the large genus asymptotic formulae for 2correlators $\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \psi_{2}^{d_{2}}\right\rangle$ uniform for all partitions $d_{1}+d_{2}=3 g-1$. This formula is obtained in Section 4 using results of Zog .

Remark 1.18. In order to go beyond the case of simple closed curve, one has to carry a much more involved asymptotic analysis of correlators that we perform in full generality in (DGZZ5.


Figure 2. Simple closed curves on a six-punctured sphere
Using hyperbolic geometry and the recursion relations for Weil-Petersson volumes, M. Mirzakhani found an explicit expression for the coefficient $c(\gamma)$ and for the global normalization constant $b_{g, n}$ in terms of the intersection numbers of $\psi$-classes.

Example 1.19. For any hyperbolic metric $X$ on a sphere with 6 cusps as in Figure 2 a long simple closed geodesic separates the cusps into groups of $3+3$ cusps with probability $\frac{4}{7}$ and into $2+4$ cusps with probability $\frac{3}{7}$ (see (2) on page 123 in Mi3] for calculation).
Remark 1.20. These values were confirmed experimentally in 2017 by M. Bell; see $B$ for a description of the computations. They were also confirmed by more implicit independent computer experiment by V. Delecroix.
1.8. Frequencies of square-tiled surfaces of fixed combinatorial type. The following Theorem bridges flat and hyperbolic count. Recall from Section 1.1 that weighted stable graphs are in bijection with topological classes of multicurves.

Theorem 1.21. Let $(g, n)$ be a pair of non-negative integers satisfying $2 g+n>$ 3 and different from $(2,0)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{g, n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a multicurve and $(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ the associated stable graph and weights. Then the volume contribution $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ to the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ coincides with the Mirzakhani's asymptotic frequency $c(\gamma)$ of closed geodesic multicurves of topological type $\gamma$ up to an explicit factor depending only on $g$ and $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})=2 \cdot(6 g-6+2 n) \cdot(4 g-4+n)!\cdot 2^{4 g-3+n} \cdot c(\gamma) \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.21 is proved in Section 3
Example 1.22. A one-cylinder square-tiled surface in the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{0,6}$ can have 3 simple poles on each of the two boundary component of the maximal horizontal cylinder or can have 2 simple poles on one boundary component and 4 simple poles on the other boundary component. The asymptotic frequency of square-tiled surfaces of the first type is $\frac{4}{7}$ and the asymptotic frequency of the square-tiled surfaces of second type is $\frac{3}{7}$; compare to Example 1.19 ,

Combining (1.5), (1.31) and (1.33) we get the following immediate Corollary:
Corollary 1.23. For any pair of non-negative integers satisfying $2 g+n>3$ and different from $(2,0)$, the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and the average Thurston measure of a unit ball $b_{g, n}$ are related as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=2 \cdot(6 g-6+2 n) \cdot(4 g-4+n)!\cdot 2^{4 g-3+n} \cdot b_{g, n} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.24. In the case, when $n=0$, M. Mirzakhani established in Theorem 1.4 in Mi4] a relation similar to (1.34) between $b_{g}=b_{g, 0}$ (computed in Theorem 5.3 in $\overline{\mathrm{Mi} 3}$ ) and the volume of $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$. However, Mirzakhani does not give any formula for the value of the normalization constant presented in (1.34). This constant was recently computed by F. Arana-Herrera AH1 and by L. Monin and I. Telpukhovkiy MoT] simultaneously and independently of us by different methods. The same value of the constant in (1.34) is obtained by V. Erlandsson and J. Souto in ErSo through an approach different from all the ones mentioned above.

Despite the fact that our main formula in Theorem 1.5 is obtained by completely different method, it has, basically, the same structure as Mirzakhani's formula for $b_{g, n}$. We provide a detailed comparison of these two formulae in Section 3 ,

Our announcement of the explicit relation between flat and hyperbolic counts described in the current paper inspired F. Arana-Herrera to suggest in [AH1] an alternative geometric proof of these results in the spirit of M. Mirzakhani.

Remark 1.25. The pairs $(0,3),(1,1)$ and $(2,0)$ of $(g, n)$ are exceptional by several reasons, which affect, in particular, Expression (1.30) for $b_{g, n}$. The cases of $(0,3)$ and $(1,1)$ are discussed in appendix C.1 and in Remark 3.3 see also the footnotes 1 and 2 on pages 9 and 12-13 respectively in Wr .

The following observation distinguished the case $(g, n)=(2,0)$. Any complex curve $C$ in $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ admits a hyperelliptic involution $\tau$. Any holomorphic quadratic differential $q$ is invariant under this involution, $\tau^{*} q=q$. Suppose that $q$ belongs to the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4}\right)$, i.e., suppose that $q$ has four distinct simple zeroes. Then, the zeroes of $q$ are arranged into two groups of two zeroes in each group, and the hyperelliptic involution interchanges the zeroes in each of the two groups. Thus, there are $4!/ 2$ ways to label these 4 zeroes and not 4 ! ways as suggests the factor $(4 g-4+n)$ ! in the general Formulae (1.33) and (1.34).

Formula (1.13) from Theorem 1.5 allows to compute $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ for all sufficiently small values of $(g, n)$. Since recently, more efficient methods are now known (see Remark 1.7). Corollary 1.23 thus provides explicit values of $b_{g, n}$ for all such pairs.

When $g=0$ the value $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0, n}$ admits closed Formula (1.15) obtained in AEZ2. Corollary 1.23 translates this formula into the following explicit expression for $b_{0, n}$.

Corollary 1.26. The quantity $b_{0, n}$ defined in (1.30) is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0, n}=\frac{1}{(n-3)!} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2(n-3)} . \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Stirling's formula we get the following asymptotics for large $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0, n} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi n}} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi^{2} e}{4 n}\right)^{n-3} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

M. Mirzakhani notes in [Mi3] with a reference to [Mi6] that the frequency of simple closed curves of any fixed topological type $\gamma$ can be described in a purely topological way as

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}(\{\lambda \text { an integral multi-curve } \mid \iota(\lambda, \gamma) \leq N\} / \operatorname{Stab}(\gamma))}{N^{6 g-6+2 n}}=\tilde{c}(\gamma)
$$

J. Souto attracted our attention to the fact that Theorem 1.21 implies the following Corollary.

Corollary 1.27 (J. Souto). The frequencies $c(\gamma)$ and $\tilde{c}(\gamma)$ are related by the following scaling factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\gamma)=2^{2 g-3+n} \cdot \tilde{c}(\gamma) \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The subset of the set \{an integral multi-curve $\lambda \mid \iota(\lambda, \gamma) \leq N\} / \operatorname{Stab}(\gamma)$ for which the pair $(\lambda, \gamma)$ is not filling has cardinality $o\left(N^{6 g-6+2 n}\right)$. The complimentary subset for which the pair $(\lambda, \gamma)$ is filling are images under the morphism that forgets the labelling of square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{S T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)$ defined in Section 1.2, Hence (1.4) implies that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})=2(6 g-6+2 n) \cdot(4 g-4+n)!\cdot 2^{6 g-6+2 n} \cdot \tilde{c}(\gamma)
$$

where $(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ is the weighted stable graph corresponding to $\gamma$. The factor ( $4 g-$ $4+n)$ ! comes from possible ways to label the $(4 g-4+n)$ zeroes and the factor $2^{6 g-6+2 n}$ comes from
$\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right) \sim 2^{6 g-6+2 n} \cdot \operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S T}_{\gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), N\right)\right)$.

It remains to apply (1.33).
An alternative proof based on the result MoT of L. Monin and I. Telpukhovskiy is suggested in ErSo.
1.9. Statistical geometry of square-tiled surfaces. Theorem 1.5 provides a detailed description of the statistical geometric properties of square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ tiled with large number of squares. It has the same spirit as the result Mi5, Theorem 1.2] of M. Mirzakhani describing statistics of lengths of simple closed geodesics in random pants decomposition. More precisely, she fixes a reduced multicurve $\gamma=\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{3 g-3}$ decomposing the surface of genus $g$ into pairs of pants and considers its $\operatorname{Mod}_{g}$-orbit. For any hyperbolic metric, she describes the asymptotic distribution of (normalized) lengths of simple closed geodesics represented by the components $\gamma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 3 g-3$, of the multicurve $\gamma$.

Our result concerns, in particular, the asymptotic statistics of (normalized) perimeters of a random square-tiled surface corresponding to a given stable graph $\Gamma$ tiled with large number of squares. The resulting statistics disclose the geometric meaning of the coefficients of the polynomials $P_{\Gamma}$ associated to a stable graph $\Gamma$ appearing in our formulae for the Masur-Veech volumes as in Theorem 1.5 and for the Siegel-Veech constants as in Theorem 1.15

As we have seen in Section [1.8, asymptotic statistical properties of random square-tiled surfaces can be translated into asymptotic statistical properties of geodesic multicurves on random hyperbolic surfaces and vice versa. This general correspondence translates the results mentioned above into analogs of a mean version of Theorem 1.2 in Mi5, in the sense that we obtain the average of her statistics over all hyperbolic surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$, where the average is computed using the WeilPetersson measure on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$.

Let us define the operator $\mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})$ on polynomials $\mathbb{Q}\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]$ as follows. We define it on monomials

$$
\mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}^{m_{i}}\right)=\left(m_{1}+\cdots+m_{k}+k\right)!\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{x_{i}^{m_{i}}}{H_{i}^{m_{i}+1}}
$$

and extend it by $\mathbb{Q}$-linearity. We denote by

$$
\Delta^{k}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \mid x_{i} \geq 0 ; x_{1}+\ldots+x_{k} \leq 1\right\}
$$

the standard $k$-dimensional simplex. The operator $\mathcal{X}$ generalizes both $\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ (defined by (1.10) and (1.11) respectively) in the sense that we can recover $\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ by integration

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})(P) & =\int_{\Delta_{k}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})(P) d x_{1} \ldots d x_{k}  \tag{1.38}\\
\mathcal{Z}(P) & =\sum_{H_{i} \geq 1} \int_{\Delta_{k}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})(P) d x_{1} \ldots d x_{k} \tag{1.39}
\end{align*}
$$

To any square-tiled surface $S$ in $\mathcal{S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)$ we associate the following data

$$
\left(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H}, \frac{\boldsymbol{b}}{2 N}\right) \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n} \times \mathbb{N}^{k} \times \Delta^{k}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the stable graph associated to the horizontal cylinder decomposition, $k$ is the number of maximal horizontal cylinders (i.e., number of edges of $\Gamma$ ), and $\boldsymbol{H}=$
$\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ are respectively the heights and perimeters of the maximal horizontal cylinders measured in those units, in which the square of the tiling has unit sides. Consider the set $\mathcal{S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)$ of all square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ tiled with at most $2 N$ squares as in (1.2). Recall that $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)$ denotes the set of square-tiled surfaces associated to the stable graph $\Gamma$ and having the vector of heights $\boldsymbol{H}$ with at most $2 N$ squares.

For each stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$, each $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$, where $k=|E(\Gamma)|$, and each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define the following measure $\mu_{g, n, N}^{\gamma(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})}$ on the simplex $\Delta^{k}$ :

$$
\mu_{g, n, N}^{\gamma(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})}:=2(6 g-6+2 n) \cdot \frac{1}{N^{d}} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(S)|} \delta_{\boldsymbol{b}(S) /(2 N)}
$$

where $\delta_{\boldsymbol{b}(S) /(2 N)}$ is the Dirac measure concentrated at the point $\frac{\boldsymbol{b}(S)}{2 N} \in \Delta^{k}$. We can disintegrate the discrete part $(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ defining the following measure on $\Delta^{k}$ :

$$
\mu_{g, n, N}^{\Gamma}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mu_{g, n, N}^{\gamma(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})}
$$

Let $g, n$ be non-negative integers with $2 g+n>3$. Let $\mu_{g, n, N}^{\gamma(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})}$ and $\mu_{g, n, N}^{\Gamma}$ be the measures defined above.

Theorem 1.28. For each stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ and each $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$ we have weak convergence of measures:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{g, n, N}^{\gamma(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})}\right)_{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) d x \quad \text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P_{\Gamma}=P_{\Gamma}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ is the global polynomial associated to the stable graph $\Gamma$ by Formula (1.12) and $d x=d x_{1} \ldots d x_{k}$ is the Lebesgue measure on the simplex $\Delta^{k}$. Similarly, we have the weak convergence of measures:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{g, n, N}^{\Gamma}\right)_{N} \rightarrow \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathcal{X}(x, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) d x \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.28 is proved in Section 2.5
Comparing (1.38) and (1.39) with (1.14) we conclude that the total masses of the limiting measures are finite and have the following geometric meaning:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Delta^{k}} \mathcal{X}(x, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) d x=\mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H}) \\
& \int_{\Delta^{k}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathcal{X}(x, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) d x=\sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \int_{\Delta^{k}} \mathcal{X}(x, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) d x=\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Gamma}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above theorem allows us to describe some statistical properties of random square-tiled surfaces. For example, it allows us to compute the asymptotic probability that a random square-tiled surface tiled with a large number of squares corresponds to a given stable graph $\Gamma$. Considering only square-tiled surfaces associated to a given stable graph $\Gamma$, we can compute asymptotic distributions of the heights $\boldsymbol{H}$ of the maximal horizontal cylinders and asymptotic distribution of their areas normalized by the area of the surface. We can also compute asymptotic statistics of perimeters of the cylinders under appropriate normalization; for example statistics of the ratios of any two perimeters. Note, that for the ratios of length
variables, the unit of measurement becomes irrelevant, in particular,

$$
\frac{H_{i}}{H_{j}}=\frac{h_{i}}{h_{j}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{b_{i}}{b_{j}}=\frac{w_{i}}{w_{j}}
$$

We will use the notation $\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma}$ (respectively $\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H}}$ ) to denote the asymptotic expectation values of quantities evaluated on square-tiled surfaces with given cylinder decomposition associated to $\Gamma$ (respectively associated to $\Gamma$ and given heights $\boldsymbol{H}$ ). Let us consider several simple examples.

Example 1.29. Consider the following stable graph $\Phi_{1}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{2,0}$ and the associated reduced multicurve:


From Table 1 we see that $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{675} \cdot \pi^{6}$ and $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2,0}=\frac{1}{15} \cdot \pi^{6}$. Thus, a random square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{Q}_{2,0}$ (tiled with very large number of squares) corresponds to the stable graph $\Phi_{1}$ with (asymptotic) probability $\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2,0}}=\frac{1}{45}$.

We have also computed in Table 1 the polynomial $P_{\Phi_{1}}=\frac{2}{15} b_{1} b_{2}^{3}$. For any given $\boldsymbol{H}=\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} P_{\Phi_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Phi_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}= \\
&=\frac{\int_{\Delta^{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{H_{1}^{3} H_{2}^{3}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{\Delta^{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{3}}{H_{1}^{2} H_{2}^{4}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}=\frac{2!\cdot 2!}{1!\cdot 3!} \frac{H_{2}}{H_{1}}=\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{H_{2}}{H_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, if we impose to a square-tiled surface "of type $\Phi_{1}$ " to have cylinders of the same height, then the perimeter $b_{2}$ of the "separating" cylinder is in average slightly longer than the perimeter $b_{1}$ of the "non-separating" cylinder. However, if we impose a large height $H_{2}$ to the "separating" cylinder, its perimeter becomes proportionally shorter in average, which is quite natural.

What might seem somehow counterintuitive is that if we do not fix $H$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_{1}}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} P_{\Phi_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Phi_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}= \\
& =\frac{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{H_{1}^{3} H_{2}^{3}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{3}^{3}}{H_{1}^{2} H_{2}^{2}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}=\frac{\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \frac{1}{H_{1}^{3} H_{2}^{3}}\right) \cdot \int_{\Delta^{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \frac{1}{H_{1}^{2} H_{2}^{4}}\right) \cdot \int_{\Delta^{2}} x_{1} x_{2}^{3} d x_{1} d x_{2}}= \\
& \quad=\frac{\zeta(3) \zeta(3)}{\zeta(2) \zeta(4)} \cdot \frac{2!\cdot 2!}{1!\cdot 3!} \approx 0.811605 \cdot \frac{2}{3} \approx 0.54107,
\end{aligned}
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\Phi_{1}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}} P_{\Phi_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Phi_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}= \\
=\frac{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{x_{2}^{4}}{H_{1} H_{2}^{5}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{\Delta^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{3}}{H_{1}^{2} H_{2}^{4}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}=\frac{\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \frac{1}{H_{1} H_{2}^{5}}\right) \cdot \int_{\Delta^{2}} x_{2}^{4} d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \frac{1}{H_{1}^{2} H_{2}^{4}}\right) \cdot \int_{\Delta^{2}} x_{1} x_{2}^{3} d x_{1} d x_{2}}= \\
=\frac{\zeta(1) \zeta(5)}{\zeta(2) \zeta(4)} \cdot \frac{0!\cdot 4!}{1!\cdot 3!}=+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1.30. Now consider the following graph $\Phi_{2}$ with two edges:


It was computed in Table 1 that $P_{\Phi_{2}}=\frac{8}{5}\left(b_{1}^{3} b_{2}+b_{1} b_{2}^{3}\right)$. Then for any given $\boldsymbol{H}=$ $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\Phi_{2}, \boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)=\frac{\frac{4!\cdot 0!}{H_{1}^{5} H_{2}}+\frac{2!\cdot 2!}{H_{1}^{3} H_{2}^{3}}}{\frac{3!\cdot 1!}{H_{1}^{4} H_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1!\cdot 2!}{H_{1}^{2} H_{2}^{4}}}=\frac{2 H_{2}\left(H_{1}^{2}+6 H_{2}^{2}\right)}{3 H_{1}\left(H_{1}^{2}+H_{2}^{2}\right)} .
$$

In particular, in the symmetric case, when $H_{1}=H_{2}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\Phi_{2}(2), \boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}\right)=\frac{7}{3} .
$$

Example 1.31. Note also, that we get for free the averaged version of Mi5, Theorem 1.2]. Namely, when the stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, 0}$ has the maximal possible number $3 g-3$ of vertices (i.e., when the corresponding multicurve provides a pants decomposition of the surface), Equation (1.12) for $P_{\Gamma}$ takes the following form:

$$
P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})=(\text { numerical factor }) \cdot b_{1} \ldots b_{3 g-3} \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{0,3}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right) .
$$

Since $N_{0,3}=1$ identically, we conclude that for $H_{1}=H_{2}=\cdots=H_{3 g-3}$ the density function of statistics of the normalized lengths is the product $x_{1} \cdots x_{3 g-3}$ up to a constant normalization factor depending only on the genus $g$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{g, n, N}^{\gamma(\Gamma,(1, \ldots, 1))} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x},(1, \ldots, 1))\left(C_{1}(g) \cdot b_{1} \ldots b_{3 g-3}\right) d x= \\
& \quad=C_{2}(g) \cdot x_{1} \cdots \cdots x_{3 g-3} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{3 g-3} \quad \text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Mirzakhani proved in Mi5, Theorem 1.2] that the same asymptotic length statistics is valid for any individual hyperbolic surface in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ (and not only in average, as we do). F. Arana Herrera and M. Liu independently proved in AH2, [AH3] and in Liu a generalization of this result to arbitrary multicurves. Namely, for any stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$, any associated collection of positive integer weights $\boldsymbol{H}$ and any hyperbolic surface $X \in \mathcal{M}_{g, n}$, the asymptotic statistics of normalized lengths of components of hyperbolic geodesic multicurves in $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n} \cdot \gamma(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ coincides (up to a global normalization constant depending only on $g$ and $n$ ) with $\mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H})\left(P_{\Gamma}\right) d x$. In particular, it does not depend on the hyperbolic metric $X$.

We complete this section considering two examples describing statistics of heights of the maximal horizontal cylinders of a random square-tiled surfaces. We start with the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 1.32. Consider a random square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ having a single maximal horizontal cylinder. The asymptotic probability that this cylinder is represented by a single band of squares (i.e. that $H_{1}=1$ ) equals $\frac{1}{\zeta(6 g-6+2 n)}$.
Proof. When a stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ has a single edge $b_{1}$, Formula (1.12) gives $P_{\Gamma}=$ (numerical factor) $\cdot b_{1}^{6 g-7+2 n}$, and the Lemma follows.

In terms of multicurves this means that a random single-component integral multicurve $n \gamma$ in $\mathcal{M L}(\mathbb{Z})$ (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma$ is a simple closed curve) is reduced (i.e. $n=1$ ) with asymptotic probability $\frac{1}{\zeta(6 g-6+2 n)}$.

Note that $\zeta(x)$ tends to 1 exponentially rapidly as the real-valued argument $x$ grows. Thus, our result implies, that when at least one of $g$ or $n$ is large enough, a random one-cylinder square-tiled surface is tiled with a single horizontal band of squares with a very large probability, and a random single-component integral multicurve is just a simple closed curve with a very large probability.

The polynomial $P_{\Gamma}$ enables to compute analogous probabilities for any given stable graph $\Gamma$. For example, a random square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ associated to the stable graph $\Phi_{1}$, considered earlier in this section, has both cylinders of height $H_{1}=H_{2}=1$ with probability

$$
\frac{\mathcal{Y}(1,1)\left(P_{\Phi_{1}}\right)}{\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Phi_{1}}\right)}=\frac{1}{\zeta(2) \zeta(4)}=\frac{540}{\pi^{6}} \approx 0.561687
$$

A random square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ associated to the stable graph $\Phi_{2}$, considered earlier in this section, has heights $H_{i}$ of both horizontal cylinders bounded by 2 with probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{Y}(1,1)\left(P_{\Phi_{2}}\right)+\mathcal{Y}(1,2)\left(P_{\Phi_{2}}\right)+\mathcal{Y}(2,1)\left(P_{\Phi_{2}}\right)+\mathcal{Y}(2,2)\left(P_{\Phi_{2}}\right)}{\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Phi_{2}}\right)} & = \\
& =\frac{2+\frac{2}{16}+\frac{2}{4}+\frac{2}{64}}{2 \zeta(2) \zeta(4)}=\frac{\frac{85}{64}}{\zeta(2) \zeta(4)} \approx 0.745991 .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.10. Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.5 stated in Section 1.4 providing the formula for the Masur-Veech volume Vol $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and Theorem 1.15 stated in Section 1.5 providing the formula for the area Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$.

In Section 3 we compare our Formula 1.13 for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ with Mirzakhani's formula for $b_{g, n}$ and our Formula (1.14) for $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)$ for a stable graph $\Gamma$ with Mirzakhani's formula for the associated $c(\gamma)$ for the associated multicurve $\gamma$. We elaborate the translation between the two languages and prove Theorem 1.21 stated in Section 1.8 evaluating the normalization constant 1.33 between the corresponding quantities.

In Section4we state a uniform asymptotic Formula (4.3) for correlators $\left\langle\tau_{d_{1}} \tau_{d_{2}}\right\rangle$, which has independent interest. We apply it to the computation of the asymptotic frequencies $c_{g, s e p}$ and $c_{g, n o n s e p}$ of separating and of non-separating simple closed hyperbolic geodesics on a hyperbolic surface of large genus $g$ thus proving Theorem 1.17 stated in Section 1.7

In Appendix A we present the proof of the asymptotic Formula (4.3) used in Section 4 This proof consists of a sequence of combinatorial manipulations with expressions in binomial coefficients. For the sake of completeness, we present a detailed formal definition of a stable graph in Appendix B Appendix C provides examples of explicit calculations of the Masur-Veech volume Vol $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and of the Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ for small $g$ and $n$. Appendix D presents tables of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and of $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ for small $g$ and $n$.
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## 2. Proofs of the formulae for the Masur-Veech volumes and for the Siegel-Veech constants

We start this section by recalling the necessary background and normalization conventions that are used in the subsequent sections of the paper.
2.1. The principal stratum and Masur-Veech measure. In this section we recall the canonical construction of the Masur-Veech measure on $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ and its link with the integral structure given by square-tiled surfaces.

Consider a compact nonsingular complex curve $C$ of genus $g$ endowed with a meromorphic quadratic differential $q$ with $\ell=4 g-4+n$ simple zeroes and with $n$ simple poles. Any such pair $(C, q)$ defines a canonical ramified double cover $\pi: \hat{C} \rightarrow C$ such that $\pi^{*} q=\hat{\omega}^{2}$, where $\hat{\omega}$ is an Abelian differential $\widehat{\omega}$ on the double cover $\widehat{C}$. The ramification points of $\pi$ are exactly the zeroes and poles of $q$. The double cover $\widehat{C}$ is endowed with the canonical involution $\iota$ interchanging the two preimages of every regular point of the cover. The stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)$ of such differentials is modelled on the subspace of the relative cohomology of the double cover $\widehat{C}$, anti-invariant with respect to the involution $\iota$. This anti-invariant subspace is denoted by $H_{-}^{1}\left(\widehat{C},\left\{\widehat{P}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{P}_{\ell}\right\} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$, where $\left\{\widehat{P}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{P}_{\ell}\right\}$ are zeroes of the induced Abelian differential $\widehat{\omega}$. For $(g, n)$ with $2 g+n>3$, the image of the stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ (its complement in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ is closed and of positive codimension). In what follows we always work with the principal stratum

We define a lattice in $H_{-}^{1}\left(\widehat{S},\left\{\widehat{P}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{P}_{\ell}\right\} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ as the subset of those linear forms which take values in $\mathbb{Z} \oplus i \mathbb{Z}$ on $H_{1}^{-}\left(\widehat{S},\left\{\widehat{P}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{P}_{\ell}\right\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The integer points in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ are exactly those quadratic differentials for which the associated flat surface with the metric $|q|$ can be tiled with $1 / 2 \times 1 / 2$ squares. In this way the integer points in $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)$ are represented by square-tiled surface as defined in Section 1.2 ,

We define the Masur-Veech volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}$ on $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)$ as the linear volume element in the vector space $H_{-}^{1}\left(S,\left\{\widehat{P}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{P}_{\ell}\right\} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ normalized in such a way that the fundamental domain of the above lattice has unit volume. The MasurVeech volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}$ in $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)$ induces a volume element on the level sets of the Area function. In particular on the level hypersurface $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}^{\text {Area }=\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right):=\operatorname{Vol}_{1} \mathcal{Q}^{\text {Area }=\frac{1}{2}}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)=2 \cdot d \cdot \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}^{\text {Area } \leq \frac{1}{2}}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d=6 g-6+2 n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$. The right-hand side term in the above formula can be considered as the definition of the middle term and of the left-hand-side term.

By construction, the volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}^{\text {symplectic }}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ induced by the canonical symplectic structure considered in Section 1.1 and the linear volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}^{\text {period }}$ in period coordinates defined in this section belong to the same Lebesgue measure class. It was proved by H. Masur in Ma2 that the Teichmüller flow is Hamiltonian, in particular, that $d \mathrm{Vol}^{\text {symplectic }}$ is preserved by the Teichmüller flow. By the results of H. Masur Ma1 and W. Veech Ve1, the volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}^{\text {period }}$ is also preserved by the Teichmüller flow. Ergodicity of the Teichmüller flow now implies that the two volume forms are pointwise proportional with constant coefficient.

We postpone evaluation of this constant factor to another paper. Throughout this paper we consider the normalization of the Masur-Veech volume element $d \mathrm{Vol}=d \mathrm{Vol}^{\text {period }}$ as defined in the current section and then define $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=$ $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{\ell},-1^{n}\right)$ by means of (2.1). This definition incorporates the conventions on the choice of the lattice, on the choice of the level of the area function, and the convention on the dimensional constant. We follow AEZ1, AEZ2, G2, [DGZZ2, DGZZ3] in the choice of these conventions; see Section 4.1 in AEZ2] and Appendix A in DGZZ2 for the arguments in favor of this normalization.
2.2. Jenkins-Strebel differentials and stable graphs. A quadratic differential $q$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ is called Jenkins-Strebel if its horizontal foliation contains only closed leaves. Any Jenkins-Strebel differential can be decomposed into maximal horizontal cylinders with zeroes and simple poles located on the boundaries of these cylinders. We call these boundaries singular layers. Each singular layer defines a metric ribbon graph representing an oriented surface with boundary. When the quadratic differential belongs to the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$, the ribbon graph has vertices of valence three at simple zeroes of $q$, vertices of valence one at simple poles of $q$ and no vertices of any other valency. Throughout this paper we always assume that the quadratic differential $q$ belongs to the principal stratum.

Every ribbon graph $G$ considered as an oriented surface with boundary has a certain genus $g(G)$, number $n(G)$ of boundary components, and number $p(G)$ of univalent vertices often called leaves of the graph. The number $m(G)$ of trivalent vertices can be expressed through these quantities as


Figure 3. This ribbon graph $G$ has genus $g(G)=1$; it has $n(G)=2$ boundary components and $p(G)=2$ univalent vertices.

To every Jenkins-Strebel differential $q$ as above we associate a stable graph $\Gamma=$ $(V, H, \alpha, \iota, \boldsymbol{g}, L)$ in the same way as we did it in Section 1.2 in the particular case when Jenkins-Strebel differential $q$ represents a square-tiled surface. (A formal definition of a stable graph can be found, for example, in OP; we reproduce it in Appendix B for completeness.) We recall briefly the construction of $\Gamma$.

The vertices of $\Gamma$ encode the singular layers. The set of all vertices (singular layers) is denoted by $V$. A tubular neighborhood of a singular layer $v$ is a surface with boundary, which has some genus $g_{v}$. The genus decoration $\boldsymbol{g}=\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}$ associates to each $v \in V$ the non-negative integer $g_{v}$. Any maximal horizontal cylinder of $q$ has two boundary components which are canonically identified with appropriate boundary components of tubular neighborhoods of appropriate singular layers $v_{i}, v_{j}$ (where $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ coincide when the cylinder goes from the singular layer to itself). In this way, each maximal horizontal cylinder defines an edge of $\Gamma$ joining the boundary layers $v_{i}, v_{j}$. Finally, simple poles of $q$ are encoded by the legs of $\Gamma$. By convention the $n$ simple poles are labeled, so the legs of $\Gamma$ inherit the labeling $L$. Relation (2.2) implies the stability condition $2 g_{v}-2+n_{v}>0$ for every vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$.

Remark 2.1. Consider the following trivial stable graph: it has a unique vertex decorated by the integer $g$; it has $n$ legs; it has no edges. Such graph does not correspond to any (non-zero) Strebel differential. For $(g, n) \neq(0,3)$ it provides zero contribution in Formulae (1.13) for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and (1.23) for $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$.
2.3. Conditions on the lengths of the waist curves of the cylinders. Having a square-tiled surface and its associated stable graph $\Gamma$, we denote by $k=|E(\Gamma)|$ the number of maximal cylinders filled with closed horizontal trajectories. Denote by $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ the lengths of the waist curves of these cylinders. Since every edge of any singular layer $v$ is followed by the boundary of the corresponding ribbon graph twice, the sum of the lengths of all boundary components of each singular layer $V$ is integral (and not only half-integral).

Let $\Gamma$ be a stable graph and let us consider the collection of linear forms $l_{v}=$ $\sum_{e \in E_{v}(\Gamma)} w_{e}$ in variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$, where $k=|E(\Gamma)|, v$ runs over the vertices $V(\Gamma)$, and $E_{v}(\Gamma)$ is the set of edges adjacent to the vertex $v$ (ignoring legs). It is immediate to see that the $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$-vector space spanned by all such linear forms has dimension $|V(\Gamma)|-1$.

Let us make a change of variables passing from half-integer to integer parameters $b_{i}:=2 w_{i}$ where $i=1, \ldots, k$. Consider the integer sublattice $\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ defined by the linear relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{v}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\sum_{e \in E_{v}(\Gamma)} b_{e}=0(\bmod 2) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vertices $v \in V(\Gamma)$. By the above remark, the sublattice $\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}$ has index $2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$. We summarize the observations of this section in the following criterion.

Corollary 2.2. A collection of strictly positive numbers $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$, where $w_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$, corresponds to a square-tiled surface realized by a stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ if and only if $k=|E(\Gamma)|$ and the corresponding vector $\boldsymbol{b}=2 \boldsymbol{w}$ belongs to the sublattice $\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}$. This sublattice has index $\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}\right|=2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$ in the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$.

We complete this section with a generalization of Lemma 3.7 in AEZ1 which would be used in the proof of our main Formula (1.14) for the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a sublattice of finite index $\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|$ in the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ and let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ be any positive integers. The following formula holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N^{|m|+k}} \sum_{\substack{b \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq N \\
b_{i}, H_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}}} b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}} & =  \tag{2.4}\\
=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|} \cdot \frac{1}{(|m|+k)!} & \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(m_{i}!\cdot \zeta\left(m_{i}+1\right)\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|} \cdot \frac{1}{(|m|+k)!} \cdot \mathcal{Z}\left(b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the sum in $\boldsymbol{H}$ and the limit commute:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N^{|m|+k}} \sum_{\substack{b \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq N \\ b_{i}, H_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}}} b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}=\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{H} \\ H_{i} \in \mathbb{N}}} \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N^{|m|+k}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq N \\ b_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}}} b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}
$$

and we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N^{|m|+k}} \sum_{\substack{b \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq N \\ b_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}}} b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|} \cdot \frac{1}{(|m|+k)!} \cdot \mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{H})\left(b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}\right)
$$

Proof. The limit with omitted restriction $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}$ is computed in Lemma 3.7 in the paper AEZ1. Note that the inversion of sum and limits here is valid by virtue of the dominated convergence theorem. More precisely, under the substitution $x_{i}=\frac{b_{i} H_{i}}{N}$ the sum approximates the integral from below.

The restriction $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}$ rescales the volume element in the corresponding integral sum by the index $\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|$ of the sublattice $\mathbb{L}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ which produces the extra factor $\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|^{-1}$.

Finally, the last equality in (2.4) and the last equality in the last line of the assertion of Lemma 2.3 are just the Definitions (1.11) and (1.10) of $\mathcal{Z}$ and of $\mathcal{Y}$ respectively.
2.4. Counting trivalent metric ribbon graphs with leaves. We need the following elementary generalization of the theorem of M. Kontsevich stated in section 1.3 allowing to our metric ribbon graph have univalent vertices (leaves) in addition to trivalent vertices.

We use the letter $p$ to denote the number of leaves. Consider a collection of positive integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$ is even. Similarly to $\mathcal{N}_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ defined in section [1.3, let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_{g, n, p}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ the weighted count of connected metric ribbon graphs $G$ of genus $g$ with $n$ labeled boundary components of integer lengths $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ and $p$ univalent vertices. In other words

$$
\mathcal{N}_{g, n, p}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right):=\sum_{G \in \mathcal{R}_{g, n, p}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} N_{G}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{g, n, p}$ is the set of equivalence classes of ribbon graphs of genus $g$, with $n$ boundary components and $p$ univalent vertices. The counting function $\mathcal{N}_{g, n, p}$ generalizes Kontsevich's polynomials.

Proposition 2.4. Consider $n$-tuples of large positive integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$ is even. The following relation holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N}_{g, n, p}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) & =\mathcal{N}_{g, n+p, 0}(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{p})  \tag{2.5}\\
& =N_{g, n+p}(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{p})+\text { lower order terms } \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Kontsevich polynomials $N_{g, n}$ are defined by Formula (1.6).
The proof of Proposition [2.4] is the combination of the following two Lemmas.
Lemma. Suppose that for some $g, n, p$ the leading term of $\mathcal{N}_{g, n, p}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial $N_{g, n, p}^{\text {top }}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ in $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$. Then the leading term of $\mathcal{N}_{g, n, p+1}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ is also a homogeneous polynomial $N_{g, n, p+1}^{t o p}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ in $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$. Moreover, it satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{g, n, p+1}^{t o p}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathcal{I}\left(N_{g, n, p}^{t o p}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{I}_{b_{i}}$, and the operators $\mathcal{I}_{b_{i}}$ are defined on monomials by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{b_{i}}\left(b_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots b_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} \cdot b_{i}^{j_{i}} \cdot b_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \ldots b_{n}^{j_{n}}\right)=b_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots b_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{b_{i}^{j_{i}+2}}{j_{i}+2} \cdot b_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \ldots b_{n}^{j_{n}}
$$

and are extended to arbitrary polynomials by linearity.
Proof. This Lemma mimics Lemma 3.5 in AEZ1. Formally speaking, in AEZ1] the corresponding statement is formulated only for $g=0$, but it is immediate to see that the inductive proof is applicable without any changes to any genus as soon as the base of induction, corresponding to $p=0$ is valid.

Lemma. The polynomials $N_{g, n}$ defined by Equation (1.6) satisfy the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{g, n+p+1}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathcal{I}\left(N_{g, n+p}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the explicit expressions of $N_{g, n+p+1}$ and of $N_{g, n+p}$ in terms of $\psi$ classes we see that the above relation is equivalent to the following one:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \psi_{2}^{d_{2}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}\right\rangle_{g, n+p+1}=\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}-1} \psi_{2}^{d_{2}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}\right\rangle_{g, n+p}+ \\
& \quad+\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \psi_{2}^{d_{2}-1} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}}\right\rangle_{g, n+p}+\cdots+\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \psi_{2}^{d_{2}} \ldots \psi_{n}^{d_{n}-1}\right\rangle_{g, n+p},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the well-known identity for the intersection numbers known as "string equation", see [Wi].

Proof of Proposition 2.4. For $p=0$ (when there are no poles at all) the statement corresponds to the original theorem of Kontsevich stated in Section 1.3 . We use this as the base of induction in $p$ for any fixed pair $(g, n)$. It remains to notice that Equations (2.7) and (2.8) recursively define the corresponding polynomials for any $(g, n, p)$ starting from $(g, n, 0)$.
2.5. Proof of the volume formula. A square-tiled surface corresponding to a fixed stable graph $\Gamma$ can be described by three groups of parameters. The parameters in different groups can be varied independently. The parameters in the first group are responsible for the lengths of horizontal saddle connections. In this group we fix only the lengths $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ of the waist curves of the cylinders filled with closed horizontal trajectories, where $k$ is the number of edges in $\Gamma$. This leaves a certain freedom for the choice of the lengths of horizontal saddle connections. The criterion of admissibility of a given collection $\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ is given by Corollary 2.2. The count for the number of choices of the lengths of all individual saddle connections for a fixed choice of $\boldsymbol{w}$ is given in Proposition 2.4.

There are no restrictions on the choice of strictly positives integer or half-integer heights $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ of the cylinders.

Having chosen the widths $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ of all maximal cylinders (i.e. the lengths of the closed horizontal trajectories) and the heights $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ of the cylinders, the flat area of the entire surface is already uniquely determined as the sum $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}=$ $w_{1} h_{1}+\cdots+w_{k} h_{k}$ of flat areas of individual cylinders.

However, when the lengths of all horizontal saddle connections and the heights $h_{i}$ of all cylinders are fixed, there is still a freedom in the third independent group of parameters. Namely, we can twist each cylinder by some twist $\phi_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N}$ before attaching it to the layer. Applying, if necessary, appropriate Dehn twist we can assume that $0 \leq \phi_{i}<w_{i}$, where $w_{i}$ is the perimeter (length of the waist curve) of the corresponding cylinder. Thus, for any choice of lengths of horizontal saddle
connections realizing some square-tiled surface with the stable graph $\Gamma$ and for any choice $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ of heights of the cylinders we get $\left(2 w_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(2 w_{k}\right)$ square-tiled surfaces sharing the same lengths of the horizontal saddle connections and same heights of the cylinders.

In Proposition 2.4 we assume that the lengths of the edges of the metric ribbon graph are integer. Clearly, if we allow these lengths to be also half-integer, we get $N_{g, n+p}\left(2 w_{1}, \ldots, 2 w_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ as the leading term of the new count. The realizability condition $2 \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}$ from Corollary 2.2 translates as the compatibility condition of the parity of the sum of the lengths of the boundary components of each individual connected ribbon graph as in Proposition 2.4.

We are ready to write a formula for the leading term in the number of all squaretiled surfaces tiled with at most $2 N$ squares represented by the stable graph $\Gamma$ when the integer bound $N$ becomes sufficiently large:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n}, 2 N\right)\right)\right) \sim \\
& \quad \sim(4 g-4+n)!\cdot \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{h} \leq N / 2 \\
w_{i}, h_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N} \\
2 \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}}\left(2 w_{1}\right) \cdots\left(2 w_{k}\right) \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(2 \boldsymbol{w}_{v}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

The notation in the above expression mimic notation in (1.13), namely $k=|E(\Gamma)|$, and $\boldsymbol{w}_{v}$ is defined analogously to $\boldsymbol{b}_{v}$ in (1.13). The factor $(4 g-4+n)$ ! represents the number of ways to label the $4 g-4+n$ trivalent vertices of the ribbon graphs, which correspond to $\ell=4 g-4+n$ simple zeroes of the corresponding Strebel quadratic differential $q$. Note that by convention the univalent vertices (leaves) (corresponding to simple poles of $q$ and also to $n$ marked points) are already labeled.

Making a change of variables $b_{h}:=\left(2 w_{h}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H_{i}:=\left(2 h_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ we can rewrite the above expression as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right) \sim  \tag{2.9}\\
& \sim(4 g-4+n)!\cdot \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 \boldsymbol{N} \\
b_{i}, H_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}^{k}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The expression above is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $6 g-6+2 n-k$. For any individual monomial the corresponding sum was evaluated in Lemma 2.3 It remains to adapt Formula (2.4) to our specific context.

By Corollary 2.2 the sublattice $\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}$ in the above formula has index $2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$. The corresponding factor $1 / 2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$ appears as the first factor in the second line of Definition (1.12) of $P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})$.

The degree of the homogeneous polynomial denoted by $|m|$ in Formula (2.4) equals in our case to $6 g-6+2 n-k$, so

$$
|m|+k=6 g-6+2 n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)=d
$$

Note also that in (2.4) we perform the summation under the condition $\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq N$ while in the above formula we sum over the region $\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N$. This provides an extra factor $2^{d}$. Finally, passing from $\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right)$ in (2.9) to $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ by (1.2) we introduce the extra factor $2(6 g-6+2 n)$. The resulting
product factor

$$
2(6 g-6+2 n) \cdot \frac{2^{d}}{d!} \cdot(4 g-4+n)!=\frac{2^{6 g-5+2 n} \cdot(4 g-4+n)!}{(6 g-7+2 n)!}
$$

is the factor in the first line of Definition (1.12) of $P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})$.
Theorem 1.5 is proved.
The Lemma below is a straightforward combination of Lemma 2.3 stated in terms of densities and of Theorem 1.5. This Lemma corresponds to Relation (1.41) from Theorem 1.28. The other statements of Theorem 1.28 are proved analogously.

Lemma 2.5. Let $F: \Delta^{k} \times \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function integrable with respect to the density $\mathcal{X}\left(b_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots b_{k}^{m_{k}}\right) \delta_{\boldsymbol{H}} d \boldsymbol{x}$ defined in (1.41). Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a lattice of finite index in $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N^{|m|+k}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\
b_{i}, H_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}}} F\left(\left(\frac{b_{1} H_{1}}{2 N}, \ldots, \frac{b_{k} H_{k}}{2 N}\right), \boldsymbol{H}\right) b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}= \\
&=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{Z}^{k}: \mathbb{L}\right|} \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{H}} \int_{\Delta^{k}} F(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{H}) \mathcal{X}\left(b_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots b_{k}^{m_{k}}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.6. Yet another expression for the Siegel-Veech constant. For any squaretiled surface $S$ define the following quantity. Suppose that $S$ has $k$ maximal cylinders filled with closed horizontal trajectories. Denote as usual by $w_{i}$ the length of the closed horizontal trajectory (length of the waist curve) of the $i$-th cylinder and denote by $h_{i}$ its height. Define

$$
M(S):=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{h_{i}}{w_{i}}
$$

The GL( $2, \mathbb{R}$ )-orbit of any square-tiled surface $S$ is a closed invariant submanifold $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in the ambient stratum of quadratic (or Abelian) differentials. In the same way in which we defined in Section 1.5 the area Siegel-Veech constant carea $\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ for $\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$, we can define the area Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}(\mathcal{L}(S))$ for $\mathcal{L}(S)$. It satisfies, in particular, analogs of (1.19) and (1.20). Theorem 4 in EKoZo proves the following assertion.
Theorem (EKoZo ). For any square-tiled surface S, its Siegel-Veech constant satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}(\mathcal{L}(S))=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\operatorname{card}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot S)} \sum_{S_{i} \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot S} M(S) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that we denote by $\mathcal{S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), N\right)$ the set of square-tiled surfaces in the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ tiled with at most $N$ squares. Define now the quantity:

$$
\mathcal{D S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), N\right):=\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), N\right)} M(S)
$$

The latter quantity has the following geometric interpretation. Consider all square-tiled surfaces obtained from square-tiled surfaces as above by cutting exactly one cylinder $c y l_{i}$ along the closed horizontal trajectory at the level $h$, where $0 \leq h<$
$h_{i}$ and $h$ is integer in the case of Abelian differentials and half-integer in the case of quadratic differentials. In other words, we do not chop the squares along the cut. The above quantity enumerates bordered square-tiled surfaces obtained in this way. Indeed, we lose the twist parameter $w_{i}$ (correspondingly $2 w_{i}$ ) along the cylinder which is now cut open, but we gain the new height parameter $h_{i}$ (correspondingly $2 h_{i}$ ) responsible for the level of the cut.

As a corollary of the above theorem, D. Chen and A. Eskin proved the following result.

Theorem (D. Chen, A. Eskin, Appendix A in (C). The area Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)$ has the following value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)\right)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \cdot \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{D S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)}{\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{S T}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right), 2 N\right)\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formally speaking, the original Theorem is proved only for the components of the strata of Abelian differentials. However, all the arguments are applicable to any arithmetic $G L(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant submanifold, in particular to the loci induced from strata of quadratic differentials by the ramified double covering construction.

An alternative way to derive (2.11) is to use the following more elaborate technique. Neglecting exceptional orbits of square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ containing negligibly small number of square-tiled surfaces, we can arrange other orbits in a sequence of affine invariant manifolds for which the natural $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant measures supported on the orbits converge to the invariant measure of the ambient stratum. By Theorem 2.8 from [BEW] all individual Lyapunov exponents of affine invariant manifolds converge to the Lyapunov exponents of the ambient stratum. Results from EKoZo now imply that the area Siegel-Veech constants of the corresponding arithmetic Teichmüller discs converge to the area Siegel-Veech constant of the ambient stratum, which implies (2.11).
2.7. Proof of the formula for the area Siegel-Veech constant. We have already evaluated the denominator in (2.11). Evaluating the numerator following the lines of the initial computation we reduce the problem to the evaluation of the sum (2.9) counted with the weight $\frac{h_{i}}{w_{i}}=\frac{H_{i}}{b_{i}}$, for each $i=1, \ldots, k$, where we use the notation of Formula (2.9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\ b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}}\left(b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{H_{i}}{b_{i}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerator in (2.11) is the sum of the above expressions with respect to the summation index $i$ varying from 1 to $k$.

Denote by $P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ the homogeneous polynomial $\prod N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)$ in the formula above. It is easy to see that the condition $b_{i} H_{i}<\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N$ implies that the contribution of any monomial of $P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ containing the variable $b_{i}$ to the above sum is of order $o\left(N^{d}\right)$, so it does not contribute to the limit (2.11). Thus, up to lower order terms the sum (2.12) coincides with the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\ b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{i-1} \cdot H_{i} \cdot b_{i+1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to interchange the notation $b_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{b \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 \boldsymbol{N} \\
b_{i}, H_{i} \in \mathbb{N}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{i-1} \cdot H_{i} \cdot b_{i+1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)= \\
&=\sum_{\substack{b \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 \boldsymbol{N} \\
b_{i}, H_{i} \in \mathbb{N}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We already know how to evaluate the latter sum, so it remains to study the impact of the extra condition $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}$ present in the sum (2.13).

Recall the strategy of evaluation of the sum (2.13) (see the proof of analogous Lemma 3.7 in AEZ1 for reduction to integral sums and the proof of Lemma 2.3 for the impact of the sublattice condition). The variables $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{i-1}, b_{i}, H_{i+1}, \ldots, H_{k}$ are considered as parameters. For each collection of such parameters we evaluate the corresponding integral sum over a simplex in the $k$-dimensional space with coordinates $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, H_{i}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}$. After that we perform summation with respect to the parameters $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{i-1}, b_{i}, H_{i+1}, \ldots, H_{k}$,

When the edge of the graph $\Gamma$ corresponding to the variable $b_{i}$ is a bridge (i.e. when this edge is separating), the parameter $b_{i}$ is always even. The space of integration now has coordinates $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, H_{i}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}$; the sublattice $\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}$ in it is defined by the system of Equations (2.3) where we let $b_{i}=0$. Such sublattice has index $2^{|V(\Gamma)|-2}$ and not $2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$ as before. Thus, on the level of integration we gain the factor 2 with respect to the initial count. However, since the parameter $b_{i}$ is now always even, evaluating the corresponding sum with respect to possible values of this parameter we get the sum

$$
\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{4^{2}}+\frac{1}{6^{2}} \cdots=\frac{1}{4} \cdot \zeta(2)
$$

instead of the original sum

$$
\frac{1}{1^{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}} \cdots=\zeta(2)
$$

Thus, when $b_{i}$ corresponds to a bridge (i.e. to a separating edge), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\
b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{i-1} \cdot H_{i} \cdot b_{i+1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 \boldsymbol{N} \\
b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $b_{i}$ corresponds to a non separating edge, the parameter $b_{i}$ in the sum (2.13) can take even and odd values. The new space of integration has coordinates $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, H_{i}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}$; where the sublattice in it is defined by the system of Equations (2.3) in which we substitute $b_{i}=0$ or $b_{i}=1$ depending on the parity of the value of the parameter $b_{i}$. The sublattice is linear in the first case and affine in the second case. Such a sublattice has index $2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$ as before. Thus, when $b_{i}$
corresponds to a non-separating edge, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\
b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{i-1} \cdot H_{i} \cdot b_{i+1} b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\
b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot P\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 0, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proved that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\
b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}}\left(b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{H_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)= \\
& \quad=\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \leq 2 N \\
b_{j}, H_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \\
\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}} b_{1} \cdots b_{k} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}\left(\prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right)\right)+\text { lower order terms },
\end{aligned}
$$

where operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}$ is defined in Formula (1.22). Applying to the latter sum the same technique as in the end of the proof of Theorem 1.5 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.15
2.8. Equivalence of two expressions for the Siegel-Veech constant. In this section we prove Theorem 1.16.

We start the proof by establishing a natural correspondence between the summands of the two expressions. For any stable graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ and any edge $e$ of $\Gamma$ we define a combinatorial surgery $\mathrm{Cut}_{e} \Gamma$ of $\Gamma$. We describe it separately in the case when $e$ is a bridge (i.e. a separating edge), and when it is not.

We start with the case when $e$ is a bridge. Cut the edge $e$ transforming it into two legs. Assign index 1 to one of the resulting graphs, and index 2 to the remaining one. We do not modify the genus decoration of the vertices. The set of vertices $V(\Gamma)$ gets naturally partitioned into two complementary subsets $V=V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}$. Define $g_{i}=\sum_{v \in V_{i}} g_{v}$ for $i=1,2$. Similarly, the $n$ original legs are partitioned into $n_{1}$ legs which go to $\Gamma_{1}$ and into $n_{2}$ legs which go to $\Gamma_{2}$. For $i=1,2$, relabel the $n_{i}$ legs of $\Gamma_{i}$ to the consecutive labels $1,2, \ldots, n_{i}$ preserving the order of labels. Assign the label $n_{i}+1$ to the new leg of $\Gamma_{i}$ created during the surgery. The stability condition $2 g_{v}-2+n_{v}>0$, which is valid for every vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, implies that we get two stable graphs $\Gamma_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{g_{i}, n_{i}+1}$.

The only ambiguity in this construction is the choice of the label (1 or 2 ) for one of the components $\Gamma_{i}$ of the graph $\Gamma$ with removed bridge $e$. In general, there are two choices, except the case when there is a symmetry of $\Gamma$ acting on the edge $e$ as a flip (i.e. a symmetry which sends $e$ to itself exchanging its two ends).

Note that the surgery is reversible in the following sense. Given two stable graphs $\Gamma_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{g_{i}, n_{i}+1}$ we can glue the endpoint of the leg with index $n_{1}+1$ of $\Gamma_{1}$ to the endpoint of the leg with index $n_{2}+1$ of $\Gamma_{2}$ creating a connected graph with $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ legs and with an extra bridge joining $\Gamma_{1}$ to $\Gamma_{2}$. The only ambiguity in this construction is in relabeling the $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ legs to a consecutive list $(1,2, \ldots, n)$; there are $\binom{n}{n_{1}}$ ways to do it.

We describe now the surgery $\mathrm{Cut}_{e} \Gamma$ in the remaining case when the edge $e$ of $\Gamma$ is not a bridge (i.e. is not separating). Cutting such edge we transform it into two legs. We keep the same labels for the preexisting legs and we associate labels $n+1$ and $n+2$ to the two created legs. In general, there are two ways to do that, except when there is a symmetry of $\Gamma$ acting on the edge $e$ as a flip (i.e. a symmetry which sends $e$ to itself exchanging its two ends). We get a stable graph $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{g-1, n+2}$.

The inverse operation (applicable to stable graphs with at least two legs) consists in gluing the two legs of higher index together transforming them into an edge and keeping the same labeling for the other legs. Once again we do not modify the genus decoration of the vertices.

Note that the operator $\partial_{\Gamma}$ is defined in (1.22) as a sum $\sum \partial_{\Gamma}^{e}$ over edges $e \in E(\Gamma)$ of a stable graph $\Gamma$. Thus, our key sum $\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Gamma} P_{\Gamma}\right)$ in the right-hand side of (1.23) in Theorem 1.15 can be seen as the sum over all pairs ( $\Gamma, e$ ), where $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ and $e \in E(\Gamma)$. We show below that for every such pair $(\Gamma, e)$, the corresponding term of the resulting sum has simple expression in terms of the product $\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Gamma_{1}}\right) \mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Gamma_{2}}\right)$ when $e$ is a bridge and in terms of $\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)$ when $e$ is not a bridge, where $\Gamma_{1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2}$ (respectively $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ) are the stable graphs obtained under applying the surgery $\mathrm{Cut}_{e} \Gamma$.

Having a stable graph $\Gamma$ we associate to it the polynomial

$$
\Pi_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b}):=\prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{v}\right) .
$$

By Definition (1.12) of $P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})$ we have

$$
P_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})=(\text { combinatorial factor }) \cdot\left(\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} b_{e}\right) \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})
$$

A pair $\left(\Gamma, e_{0}\right)$ provides a nonzero contribution to the sum in the right-hand side of (1.23) if and only if the term $\partial_{\Gamma}^{e_{0}}=\left.\chi_{\Gamma}\left(e_{0}\right) b_{e_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{e_{0}}}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}$ in the operator $\partial_{\Gamma}$ applied to $\left(\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} b_{e}\right) \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{b})$ does not identically vanish (see given by (1.21)). The latter is equivalent to the condition that the polynomial $\left.\Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}$ does not identically vanish.

If the edge $e_{0}$ is a bridge, consider the stable graphs $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ obtained under the surgery $\mathrm{Cut}_{e} \Gamma$. The polynomial $\left.\Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}$ splits naturally into the product: $\left.\Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{j}=0}=\Pi_{\Gamma_{1}} \Pi_{\Gamma_{2}}$, so when $e_{0}$ is a bridge, and when $\left.\Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}$ does not identically vanish, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Gamma}^{e_{0}}\left(\prod_{e} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma}\right)\right)=\mathcal{Z}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \cdot \prod_{e} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
=\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot b_{e_{0}} \cdot \prod_{e \in E\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma_{1}} \cdot \prod_{e \in E\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma_{2}}\right) \\
= \\
=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \cdot \mathcal{Z}\left(\prod_{e \in E\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma_{1}}\right) \cdot \mathcal{Z}\left(\prod_{e \in E\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma_{2}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

If the edge $e_{0}$ is not a bridge and $\left.\Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}$ does not identically vanish, we get $\left.\Pi_{\Gamma}\right|_{b_{e_{0}}=0}=\Pi_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Gamma}^{e_{0}}\left(\prod_{e} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma}\right)\right)=  \tag{2.15}\\
&=\mathcal{Z}\left(b_{e_{0}} \cdot \prod_{e \in E\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \cdot \mathcal{Z}\left(\prod_{e \in E\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)} b_{e} \cdot \Pi_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Rewrite the right-hand side of (1.23) as

$$
\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \cdot \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Gamma} P_{\Gamma}\right)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \cdot \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}} \sum_{e \in E(\Gamma)} \mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Gamma}^{e} P_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

Apply (2.14) and (2.15) to the resulting sum, keeping the intersection numbers as formal expressions and simplify the product of the factors $\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}$ and $\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}$.

Suppose now that $g \geq 1$ (the consideration in the case $g=0$ is completely analogous). Consider the sum in the right-hand side of (1.26) and replace $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g_{i}, n_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$ and $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g-1, n+2}$ in it by the corresponding sums (1.13) over $\mathcal{G}_{g_{1}, n_{1}} \times$ $\mathcal{G}_{g_{2}, n_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{g-1, n+2}$ respectively (where we keep the intersection numbers as formal expressions. It is easy to see, that we get term-by-term the same sum as above. Theorem 1.16 is proved.

## 3. Comparison with Mirzakhani's formula for $b_{g, n}$

3.1. Mirzakhani's expression for the volume of $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$. Consider a pair $(X, \lambda)$, where $X$ is a hyperbolic surface of genus $g$ without punctures and $\lambda$ is a measured lamination on $X$. In the paper Mi4] M. Mirzakhani associates to almost any such pair $(X, \lambda)$ a unique holomorphic quadratic differential $q=F(\lambda, X)$ on the complex curve $C=C(X)$ corresponding to the hyperbolic metric $X$.

Consider the measure $\mu_{\mathrm{WP}}$ on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ coming from the WeilPetersson volume element and consider Thurston measure $\mu_{\mathrm{Th}}$ on the space of measured laminations $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g}$. Using ergodicity arguments, M. Mirzakhani proves in Mi4 that the pushforward measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g}:=F_{*}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{WP}} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{Th}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$ under the map

$$
F: \mathcal{M}_{g} \times \mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{g}
$$

is proportional to the Masur-Veech measure. Equation (3.1) should be considered as the definition of Mirzakhani's normalization of the Masur-Veech measure on $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$.
Remark 3.1. Note that under such implicit definition of the Masur-Veech measure it is not clear at all why its density should be constant in period coordinates. Definition (3.1) does not provide any distinguished lattice in period coordinates either. Thus, though we know, by results of Mirzakhani, that the density of the Masur-Veech measure defined by (3.1) differs from the Masur-Veech volume element defined in section 2.1 by a constant numerical factor which depends only on $g$, evaluation of this factor is not straightforward.
M. Mirzakhani proves in Mi4 that the map $F$ identifies the length $\ell_{\lambda}(X)$ of the measured lamination $\lambda$ evaluated in the hyperbolic metric $X$ with the norm $\|q(\lambda, X)\|=\int_{C}|q|$ of the quadratic differential $q$ (defined as the area of the flat surface associated to the pair $(C, q))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C(X)}|q(\lambda, X)|=\ell_{\lambda}(X) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (3.2) implies that as the image of $F$ restricted to the total space of the bundle of "unit balls" $B_{X}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g}$, defined by Equation (1.29), one gets the total space $\mathcal{Q}_{g}^{\leq 1}$ of the bundle of "unit balls" in $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{g}^{\mathrm{Area} \leq 1}=\left\{(C, q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{g} \mid \operatorname{Area}(C, q) \leq 1\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have seen in Section 1.1 that the real hypersurface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{g}^{\text {Area }=1}=\left\{(C, q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{g, n} \mid \operatorname{Area}(C, q)=1\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be seen as the unit cotangent bundle to $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ (denoted by $\mathcal{Q}^{1} \mathcal{M}_{g}$ in [Mi4]). M. Mirzakhani defines the Masur-Veech volume of $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{Mir}} \mathcal{Q}_{g}:=\mu_{g}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g}^{\mathrm{Area} \leq 1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above observations imply the following formula for $\operatorname{Vol}_{\text {Mir }} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ (see Theorem 1.4 in (Mi4):

Theorem (Mirzakhani). The Masur-Veech volume of the moduli space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on complex curves of genus $g$ defined by (3.5) under normalizations (3.1) satisfies the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Mir}} \mathcal{Q}_{g}=b_{g} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{g}=b_{g, 0}$ is defined by (1.30).
Formula (1.34) from Corollary 1.23 relating $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}=\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, 0}$ and $b_{g}=b_{g, 0}$ implies that the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol}_{\text {Mir }} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ in Mirzakhani's normalization (3.6) and the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ in normalization of Formula (1.13) are related by the following factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}=\left((12 g-12) \cdot(4 g-4)!\cdot 2^{4 g-3}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{Mir}} \mathcal{Q}_{g} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2. Mirzakhani's formulae for the Masur-Veech volume of $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$ and for asymptotic frequencies of simple closed geodesic multicurves. As we have seen, $\operatorname{Vol}_{\text {Mir }} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ that appears in Formula (3.6) is a particular case of the more general quantity $b_{g, n}$ defined in (1.30). The quantity $b_{g, n}$ is computed in Theorem 5.3 on page 118 in Mi 3 . To reproduce the corresponding formula and closely related formula for the asymptotic frequencies $c(\gamma)$ of simple closed geodesic multicurves $\gamma$ of fixed topological type we need to remind the notation from (Mi3].
Simple closed multicurves. Depending on the context, we denote by the same symbol $\gamma$ a collection of disjoint, essential, nonperipheral simple closed curves, no two of which are in the same homotopy class; a disjoint union of such curves; the corresponding primitive multicurve; and the corresponding orbit in the space $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}_{g, n}$ under the action of the mapping class group $\operatorname{Mod}_{g, n}$,

$$
\gamma=\gamma_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{k}=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right) .
$$

(M. Mirzakhani uses in Mi3] symbols $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ for these objects depending on the context.) To every such multicurve $\gamma$ M. Mirzakhani associates in Mi3 a
collection of quantities $N(\gamma), M(\gamma), \operatorname{Sym}(\gamma), b_{\gamma}, \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{WP}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, n}(\gamma, x)\right)$ involved in the formula for $b_{g, n}$. For the sake of completeness, and to simplify formulae comparison we reproduce the definitions of these quantities; see the original paper [Mi3] of M. Mirzakhani for details.

The collection $\mathcal{S}_{g, n}$ of all topological types of primitive multicurves. Recall that by $S_{g, n}$ we denote a smooth orientable topological surface of genus $g$ with $n$ punctures. Consider the finite set $\mathcal{S}_{g, n}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{g, n}:=\left\{\gamma \mid \gamma \text { is a union of simple closed curves on } S_{g, n}\right\} / \operatorname{Mod}_{g, n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see formula (5.4) on page 118 in Mi3]). It is immediate to see that $\mathcal{S}_{g, n}$ is in a canonical bijection with the set $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ of stable graphs defined in section 1.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{g, n} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{g, n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Symmetries $\operatorname{Stab}(\gamma)$ and $N(\gamma)$ of a primitive multicurve. For any set $A$ of homotopy classes of simple closed curves on $S_{g, n}$, Mirzakhani defines $\operatorname{Stab}(A)$ as

$$
\operatorname{Stab}(A):=\{g \in \operatorname{Mod} g, n \mid g \cdot A=A\} \subset \operatorname{Mod}_{g, n}
$$

Having a multicurve $\gamma$ on $S_{g, n}$ as above, Mirzakhani defines

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma):=\operatorname{Stab}(\gamma) / \cap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Stab}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)
$$

(see the beginning of section 4 on page 112 of (Mi3] for both definitions). For any single connected simple closed curve $\gamma_{i}$ one has $\left|\operatorname{Sym}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)\right|=1$.

For each connected simple closed curve $\gamma_{i}$ define $\operatorname{Stab}_{0}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \subset \operatorname{Stab}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ as the subgroup consisting of elements which preserve the orientation of $\gamma_{i}$. Define $N(\gamma)$ as

$$
N(\gamma):=\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Stab}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) / \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Stab}_{0}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)\right|
$$

(see page 113 of (Mi3]).
Consider a stable graph $\Gamma(\gamma)$ associated to a primitive multicurve $\gamma$. It follows from definitions of $\operatorname{Stab}(\gamma), N(\gamma)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(\gamma))|=|\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma)| \cdot N(\gamma) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Number $M(\gamma)$ of one-handles. Consider now the closed surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{g, n}(\gamma)=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{s} S_{g_{j}, n_{j}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained from $S_{g, n}$ by cutting along all $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}$. Here $S_{g_{1}, n_{1}}, \ldots, S_{g_{s}, n_{s}}$ are the connected components of the resulting surface $S_{g, n}(\gamma)$. Define

$$
M(\gamma):=\mid\left\{i \mid \gamma_{i} \text { separates off a one-handle from } S_{g, n}\right\} \mid
$$

(see this formula in the statement of Theorem 4.1 on page 114 of [Mi3]). By definition, a "one-handle" is a surface of genus one with one boundary component, i.e., a surface of type $S_{1,1}$.

Remark 3.2. There is one very particular case, when the index $i$ in the definition of $M(\gamma)$ should be counted with multiplicity 2. Namely, when $\gamma$ is a connected separating simple closed curve on a surface $S_{2}=S_{2,0}$ it simultaneously separates
off two one-handles, and thus should be counted with multiplicity 2 . In other words, the quantity $M(\gamma)$ might be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\gamma):=\text { number of surfaces of type } S_{1,1} \text { in decomposition (3.11) } \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

without any exceptions and multiplicities.
A. Wright suggested an alternative way to fix this issue; see footnote 2 on pages 12-13 in Wr.

Volume polynomials $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{n}}$. In Mi1 and Mi2 M. Mirzakhani proves the following statement, that we reproduce from Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 in Mi3.

Theorem (Mirzakhani). The Weil-Petersson volume $\operatorname{Vol}_{W P} \mathcal{M}_{g, n}(b)$ of the moduli space of bordered hyperbolic surfaces of genus $g$ with hyperbolic boundary components of lengths $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ is a polynomial $V_{g, n}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ in even powers of $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$; that is,

$$
\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{WP}} \mathcal{M}_{g, n}(b)=V_{g, n}(b)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \\|\alpha| \leq 3 g-3+n}} C_{\alpha} \cdot b^{2 \alpha}
$$

where $C_{\alpha}>0$ lies in $\pi^{6 g-6+2 n-2|\alpha|} \cdot \mathbb{Q}$. The coefficient $C_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
C_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|} \cdot \alpha!\cdot(3 g-3+n-|\alpha|)!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \psi_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \psi_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \cdot \omega^{3 g-3+n-|\alpha|}
$$

where $\omega$ is the Weil-Petersson symplectic form, $\alpha!=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}!$, and $|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$.
Remark 3.3 (M. Kazarian). For one particular pair $(g, n)$, namely for $(g, n)=(1,1)$, Mirzakhani's normalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{WP}}^{M i r} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}(b)=\frac{1}{24}\left(b^{2}+4 \pi^{2}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see equation (4.5) on page 116 in Mi 3 ] or Mi 2$]$ ) is twice bigger than the normalization in many other papers. Topologically $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$. However, since every elliptic curve with a marked point admits an involution, the fundamental class of the orbifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ used in the integration equals $\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}\right]=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbb{C P}^{1}\right] \in H_{2}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}\right)$ which gives the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{WP}} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}(b)=\frac{1}{48}\left(b^{2}+4 \pi^{2}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $V_{g, n}^{t o p}(b)$ the homogeneous part of the top degree $6 g-6+2 n$ of $V_{g, n}(b)$. It follows from the definition of the volume polynomial $V_{g, n}(b)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{g, n}^{t o p}(b)=\sum_{|\alpha|=3 g-3+n} C_{\alpha} \cdot b^{2 \alpha} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2^{3 g-3+n} \cdot \alpha!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \psi_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \psi_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the definition of $V_{g, n}^{t o p}(b)$ with the Definition (1.6) -(1.8) of the polynomial $N_{g, n}(b)$ we get the following result.

Lemma 3.4. The homogeneous parts of top degree of Mirzakhani's volume polynomial $V_{g, n}$ and of Kontsevich's polynomial $N_{g, n}$ coincide up to the constant factor:

$$
V_{g, n}^{t o p}(b)=\left\{\begin{align*}
2^{2 g-3+n} \cdot N_{g, n}(b) & \text { for }(g, n) \neq(1,1)  \tag{3.17}\\
2 \cdot 2^{2 g-3+n} \cdot N_{g, n}(b) & \text { for }(g, n)=(1,1)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

In the exceptional case $(g, n)=(1,1)$ the polynomial $V_{1,1}^{t o p}(b)=\frac{1}{24} b^{2}$ used by Mirzakhani is twice larger than $N_{1,1}(b)=\frac{1}{48} b^{2}$. The origin of this extra factor 2 is explained in Remark 3.3 .
Volume polynomial $\operatorname{Vol}_{W P}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, n}(\gamma, x)\right)$ associated to a multicurve $\gamma$. Assuming that the initial surface $S_{g, n}$ is endowed with a hyperbolic metric, and that the simple closed curves $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}$ are realized by simple closed hyperbolic geodesics of hyperbolic lengths $x_{1}=\ell_{\gamma_{1}}(X), \ldots, x_{k}=\ell_{\gamma_{k}}(X)$, the boundary $\partial S_{g, n}(\gamma)$ gets $k$ pairs of distinguished boundary components of lengths $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. We assume that the $n$ marked points of $S_{g, n}$ are represented by hyperbolic cusps, i.e. by hyperbolic boundary components of zero length. Denote by $g_{j}$ the genus and by $n_{j}$ the number of boundary components (including cusps) of each surface $S_{j}$, where $j=1, \ldots, s$. To each boundary component of each surface $S_{j}$ we have assigned a length variable which is equal to $x_{i}$ if the boundary component comes from the cut along $\gamma_{i}$, or is equal to 0 if the boundary component comes from a cusp (one of the $n$ marked points). Consider the corresponding Mirzakhani-Weil-Petersson volume polynomial $V_{g_{j}, n_{j}}(x)$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{WP}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, n}(\gamma, x)\right):=\frac{1}{N(\gamma)} \prod_{j=1}^{s} V_{g_{j}, n_{j}}(x) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see formula (4.1) on page 113 of Mi3]). Denote by $\left(2 d_{1}, \ldots, 2 d_{k}\right)_{\gamma}$ the coefficient of $x^{2 d_{1}} \cdots x^{2 d_{k}}$ in this polynomial and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\gamma}\left(2 d_{1}, \ldots, 2 d_{k}\right):=\left(2 d_{1}, \ldots, 2 d_{k}\right)_{\gamma} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(2 d_{i}+1\right)!}{(6 g-6+2 n)!} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see equation (5.3) on page 118 in [Mi3]).
Now everything is ready to state Mirzakhani's result and to prove Theorem 1.21

Mirzakhani's results and proof of comparison theorems. Let $\gamma=\gamma_{1}+\cdots+$ $\gamma_{k}$ be a primitive simple closed multicurve as above. Let $\gamma_{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \gamma_{i}$, where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. (To follow original notation of Mirzakhani, we denote integer weights of components of a multicurve by $a_{i}$ and not by $H_{i}$ as before.)

Theorem (Mirzakhani Mi3]). The frequency $c\left(\gamma_{a}\right)$ of a multi-curve $\gamma_{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \gamma_{i}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\gamma_{a}\right)=\frac{2^{-M(\gamma)}}{|\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma)|} \cdot \sum_{|d|=3 g-3+n-k} \frac{b_{\gamma}\left(2 d_{1}, \ldots, 2 d_{k}\right)}{a_{1}^{2 d_{1}+2} \ldots a_{k}^{2 d_{k}+2}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression $b_{g, n}$ given by the integral (1.30) can be represented as the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{g, n}=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{g, n}} B_{\gamma} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $\gamma=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\gamma}=\frac{2^{-M(\gamma)}}{|\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma)|} \cdot \sum_{|d|=3 g-3+n-k} b_{\gamma}\left(2 d_{1}, \ldots, 2 d_{k}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \zeta\left(2 s_{i}+2\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.21. Let $\gamma=\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{k}$ be a primitive simple closed multicurve in $\mathcal{S}_{g, n}$. Let $\Gamma$ be the associated decorated graph. The sets $\mathcal{S}_{g, n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ are in the canonical one-to-one correspondence.

Defining $M(\gamma)$ as in (3.12) we see that $M(\gamma)$ is the number of factors $V_{1,1}$ in the product (3.18), i.e. the number of indices $j$ in the range $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\left(g_{j}, n_{j}\right)=(1,1)$. Thus, the factor $2^{-M(\gamma)}$ in Equations (3.20) and (3.22) compensates the difference in normalizations (3.13) and (3.14), see Remark 3.3 Hence, applying (3.17) to the right-hand side of (3.18) we get

$$
2^{-M(\gamma)} \prod_{j=1}^{s} V_{g_{j}, n_{j}}(x)=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{s} N_{g_{j}, n_{j}}(x)\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=1}^{s} 2^{2 g_{j}-3+n_{j}}\right) .
$$

For a decorated graph $\Gamma$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
g=1-\chi(\Gamma) & +\sum_{v_{j} \in V(\Gamma)} g\left(v_{j}\right)=1-|V(\Gamma)|+|E(\Gamma)|+\sum_{j=1}^{s} g_{j}= \\
& =1+\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(g_{j}-1+\frac{n_{j}}{2}\right)-\frac{(\text { total number of half-edges (legs) })}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(By convention $E(\Gamma)$ denotes the set of "true" edges of $\Gamma$ versus $n$ half-edges (legs) corresponding to $n$ marked points on $S_{g, n}$.) Hence,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(2 g_{j}-3+n_{j}\right)=2 g-2+n-|V(\Gamma)|=2 g-3+n-(|V(\Gamma)|-1)
$$

Note also that by definition

$$
|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|=|\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma)| \cdot N(\gamma) .
$$

We have proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2^{-M(\gamma)}}{|\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma)| \cdot N(\gamma)} & \prod_{j=1}^{s} V_{g_{j}, n_{j}}(x)=  \tag{3.23}\\
& =2^{2 g+n-3} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \cdot \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} N_{g_{v}, n_{v}}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let us prove now Relation (1.33). Expressions (3.19) and (3.20) represent $c\left(\gamma_{\boldsymbol{H}}\right)$ as the sum of terms constructed using the polynomial in the left-hand side of (3.23). Expression (1.14) represents $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ as the sum of the corresponding terms constructed using the proportional polynomial in the brackets on the right-hand side of (3.23). The only difference between the two sums comes from the global normalization factors shared by all terms of the sums. Namely, Expression (3.19) has an extra factor $(6 g-6+2 n)$ ! in the denominator, while the first line of Expression (1.12)
has the extra global factor $\frac{2^{6 g-5+2 n} \cdot(4 g-4+n)!}{(6 g-7+2 n)!}$. Taking into consideration the coefficient of proportionality $2^{2 g+n-3}$ relating the two polynomials in (3.23) we get

$$
(6 g-6+2 n)!\cdot c\left(\gamma_{a}\right)=2^{2 g+n-3} \cdot\left(\frac{2^{6 g-5+2 n} \cdot(4 g-4+n)!}{(6 g-7+2 n)!}\right)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})
$$

and (1.33) follows.
It remains to notice that by Definitions (3.22) and (3.20) of $B_{\gamma}$ and $c\left(\gamma_{a}\right)$ respectively one has

$$
B_{\gamma}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}} c\left(\gamma_{\boldsymbol{H}}\right)
$$

Similarly, by (1.5) one has

$$
\left.\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}} \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})\right)
$$

Since the coefficient of proportionality const $_{g, n}$ between $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{H})$ and $c\left(\gamma_{\boldsymbol{H}}\right)$ in (1.33) is common for all $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$, Relation (1.33) for the individual terms implies the analogous relation $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)=$ const $_{g, n} \cdot B_{\gamma}$ for the above sums of all terms over all $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$ and for the corresponding sums $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}=$ const $_{g, n} \cdot b_{g, n}$ (see (1.13) and (3.21)) over all stable graphs $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g, n}$. Theorem 1.21 and Corollary 1.23 are proved.
4. LARGE GENUS ASYMPTOTICS FOR FREQUENCIES OF SIMPLE CLOSED CURVES AND OF ONE-CYLINDER SQUARE-TILED SURFACES
4.1. Universal bounds for 2-correlators. Following Witten Wi define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\tau_{d_{1}} \ldots \tau_{d_{n}}\right\rangle=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \psi^{d_{1}} \ldots \psi^{d_{n}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{n}=3 g-3+n$.
Consider the following normalization of the 2-correlators $\left\langle\tau_{k} \tau_{3 g-1-k}\right\rangle_{g}$ introduced in Zog :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{g, k}=\frac{(2 k+1)!!\cdot(6 g-1-2 k)!!}{(6 g-1)!!} \cdot 24^{g} \cdot g!\cdot\left\langle\tau_{k} \tau_{3 g-1-k}\right\rangle_{g} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7) in Zog under such normalization the differences of 2-correlators admit the following explicit expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{g, k+1}-a_{g, k}=  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\frac{(6 g-3-2 k)!!}{(6 g-1)!!} \cdot \begin{cases}\frac{(6 j-1)!!}{j!} \cdot \frac{(g-1)!}{(g-j)!} \cdot(g-2 j), & \text { for } k=3 j-1, \\
-2 \cdot \frac{(6 j+1)!!}{j!} \cdot \frac{(g-1)!}{(g-1-j)!}, & \text { for } k=3 j \\
2 \cdot \frac{(6 j+3)!!}{j!} \cdot \frac{(g-1)!}{(g-1-j)!}, & \text { for } k=3 j+1\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k=0,1, \ldots,\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]-1$, and $a_{g, 0}=1$.
It follows from Definition (4.2) that $a_{g, k}=a_{g, 3 g-1-k}$, so Relations (4.3) determine $a_{g, k}$ for all $g \geq 1$ and for all $k$ satisfying $0 \leq k \leq 3 g-1$.

Proposition 4.1. For all $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all integer $k$ in the range $\{2,3, \ldots, 3 g-3\}$ the following bounds are valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}=a_{g, 1}=a_{g, 3 g-2}<a_{g, k}<a_{g, 0}=a_{g, 3 g-1}=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1 is proved in Appendix A
4.2. Asymptotic volume contribution of one-cylinder square-tiled surfaces. In this section we compute the large genus asymptotics for the contributions of the stable graphs having a single edge to the Masur-Veech volume Vol $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$. In other words, we compute the asymptotic contributions of one-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the Masur-Veech volume of the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4}\right)$. These contributions obviously provide lower bounds for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$.

Denote by $\Gamma_{1}(g)$ the stable graph having a single vertex and having a single edge and such that the vertex is decorated with integer $g-1$ (see Figure 4). The single edge forms a loop, so this stable graph represents a surface of genus $g$ without marked points. The stable graph $\Gamma_{1}(g)$ encodes the orbit of a simple closed nonseparating curve on a surface of genus $g$.


Figure 4. The graph $\Gamma_{1}(g)$ on the left represents a nonseparating simple closed curve on a surface of genus $g$.

Theorem 4.2. Consider the stable graph $\Gamma_{1}(g)$ having a single vertex decorated with label $g-1$ and single edge (see Figure 4). The contribution $\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g)$ of $\Gamma_{1}(g)$ to the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ of the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g}$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials on complex curves of genus $g$ has the following asymptotics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3 \pi g}} \cdot\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{4 g-4} \cdot\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It would be slightly more convenient to shift $g$ by 1. Assign variable $b_{1}$ to the only edge of the graph. Formula (1.14) from Theorem 1.5 applied to the graph $\Gamma_{1}(g+1)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{(4 g)!}{(6 g)!} \cdot 2^{6 g} \cdot 12 g\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot b_{1} \cdot N_{g, 2}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \stackrel{\mathcal{Z}}{\longmapsto} \operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1)=(4 g)!\cdot 2^{g+2} \cdot \zeta(6 g) \cdot \sum_{d_{1}+d_{2}=3 g-1} \frac{\left\langle\psi_{1}^{d_{1}} \psi_{2}^{d_{2}}\right\rangle}{d_{1}!\cdot d_{2}!}= \\
& \quad=(4 g)!\cdot 2^{g+2} \cdot \zeta(6 g) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{3 g-1} \frac{\left\langle\tau_{k} \tau_{3 g-1-k}\right\rangle_{g}}{k!\cdot(3 g-1-k)!}
\end{align*}
$$

Now pass to the normalization (4.2) of the correlators $\left\langle\tau_{k} \tau_{3 g-1-k}\right\rangle_{g}$ :

$$
a_{g, k}=\frac{(2 k+1)!!\cdot(6 g-1-2 k)!!}{(6 g-1)!!} \cdot 24^{g} \cdot g!\cdot\left\langle\tau_{k} \tau_{3 g-1-k}\right\rangle_{g}
$$

By Proposition 4.1, the 2-correlators admit the following uniform bounds under such normalization:

$$
1-\frac{2}{6 g-1} \leq a_{g, k} \leq 1, \quad \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, 3 g-1
$$

Rewriting the Expression (4.6) for $\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1)$ in terms of $a_{g, k}$ we get
(4.7) $\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1) / \zeta(6 g)=$

$$
=(4 g)!\cdot 2^{g+2} \cdot \frac{(6 g-1)!!}{24^{g} \cdot g!} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{3 g-1} \frac{a_{g, k}}{k!\cdot(2 k+1)!!\cdot(3 g-1-k)!\cdot(6 g-1-2 k)!!} .
$$

Passing from double factorials to factorials,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6 g-1)!! & =\frac{(6 g)!}{(3 g)!\cdot 2^{3 g}} \\
(2 k+1)!! & =\frac{(2 k+1)!}{k!\cdot 2^{k}} \\
(6 g-1-2 k)!! & =\frac{(6 g-1-2 k)!}{(3 g-1-k)!\cdot 2^{3 g-k-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

we rewrite and simplify Expression (4.7) as follows:
(4.8) $\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1) / \zeta(6 g)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=(4 g)!\cdot 2^{g+2} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{g} \cdot 2^{3 g}} \cdot & \frac{1}{g!\cdot(3 g)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{3 g-1}\binom{6 g}{2 k+1} \cdot a_{g, k}= \\
& =\frac{(4 g)!}{g!\cdot(3 g)!} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{g} \cdot 2^{2 g}} \cdot 2 \sum_{k=0}^{3 g-1}\binom{6 g}{2 k+1} \cdot a_{g, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the difference of binomial expansions of the left-hand sides of the identities $(1-1)^{2 n}=0$ and $(1+1)^{2 n}=2^{2 n}$ we derive the classical identity

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2 n}{2 k+1}=2^{2 n-1}
$$

Combining the latter identity evaluated for $n=3 g$ with bounds (4.4) we get the following bounds for $\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{4 g}{g} \cdot\left(\frac{2^{4}}{3}\right)^{g} \cdot\left(1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1) / \zeta(6 g) \leq\binom{ 4 g}{g} \cdot\left(\frac{2^{4}}{3}\right)^{g} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\zeta(6 g) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty
$$

and the convergence is exponentially fast.
Applying Stirling's formula to the factorials in the binomial coefficient $\binom{4 g}{g}$ in the latter expression we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{4 g}{g}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3 \pi g}} \cdot\left(\frac{2^{8}}{3^{3}}\right)^{g} \cdot\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the latter equality with bounds (4.9) we get the desired Formula (4.5) in genus $g+1$ :

$$
\mathrm{Vol} \Gamma_{1}(g+1)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3 \pi g}} \cdot\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{4 g} \cdot\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Remark 4.3. Actually, we have a very good control on the asymptotic expansions of correlators $a_{g, k}$ in powers of $\frac{1}{g}$, so it would not be difficult to specify several terms of the asymptotic expansion of $O\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)$ in Formula (4.5). We do not do it only because we do not currently see any specific need for a more precise expression.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Inequality (1.16) now follows from (4.9), where we use the following estimates for the factorials involved in the binomial coefficient. By Theorem 1.6 in Ba for all positive real numbers $x \geq 1$ one has

$$
x^{x} e^{-x} \sqrt{2 \pi(x+a)}<\Gamma(x+1)<x^{x} e^{-x} \sqrt{2 \pi(x+b)},
$$

where $a=1 / 6=0.1666666 \ldots$ and $b=\frac{e^{2}}{2 \pi}-1=0.176005 \ldots$.
We proceed with the remaining graphs having a single edge. This time it has two vertices joined by the edge as in Figure 5. The two vertices are decorated with strictly positive integers $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{1}+g_{2}=g$ (see Figure (4). Without loss of generality we may assume that $g_{1} \leq g_{2}$. This stable graph encodes the orbit of a simple closed curve separating the compact surface of genus $g$ without punctures into subsurfaces of genera $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$.


Figure 5. The graph $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ on the left represents a simple closed curve on a surface of genus $g$ separating the surface into surfaces of genera $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, where $g_{1}+g_{2}=g$ (on the right).

Proposition 4.4. Consider the stable graph $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)$ having a single edge joining two vertices decorated with labels $g_{1}$ and $g-g_{1}$ respectively (see Figure 5). The contribution $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)\right)$ of $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)$ to the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{4 \cdot \zeta(6 g-6)}{\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)\right)\right|} \cdot\binom{4 g-4}{g} \cdot \frac{1}{12^{g}} \cdot\binom{g}{g_{1}} \cdot\binom{3 g-4}{3 g_{1}-2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $g_{2}=g-g_{1}$. The contribution of the graph $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is given by Formula (1.13) from Theorem 1.5
$\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{2^{6 g-5} \cdot(4 g-4)!}{(6 g-7)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)\right|} \cdot \mathcal{Z}\left(b \cdot N_{g_{1}, 1}(b) \cdot N_{g_{2}, 1}(b)\right)$,
where

$$
\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)\right|= \begin{cases}2, & \text { when } g_{1}=g_{2}  \tag{4.13}\\ 1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By the result of E. Witten Wi one has the following closed expression for 1correlators:

$$
\left\langle\psi_{1}^{3 g-2}\right\rangle=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}} \psi_{1}^{3 g-2}=\frac{1}{24^{g} \cdot g!}
$$

Applying Definitions (1.6) and (1.7) to $N_{g, 1}(b)$ and using the above expression for $\left\langle\psi_{1}^{3 g-2}\right\rangle$ we get the following closed form expression for the polynomial $N_{g, 1}(b)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.N_{g, 1}(b)=c_{3 g-2} b^{2(3 g-2)}=\frac{1}{2^{5 g-6+2} \cdot( } 3 g-2\right)! & \left\langle\psi_{1}^{3 g-2}\right\rangle \cdot b^{6 g-4}=  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\frac{1}{2^{8 g-4} \cdot 3^{g} \cdot g!\cdot(3 g-2)!} \cdot b^{6 g-4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Definition (1.11) of $\mathcal{Z}$ and the assumption $g_{1}+g_{2}=g$ we can now develop the rightmost factor in (1.11) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}\left(b \cdot N_{g_{1}, 1}(b) \cdot N_{g_{2}, 1}(b)\right)= \\
& =\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{2^{8 g_{1}-4} \cdot 2^{8 g_{2}-4}} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{g_{1}} \cdot 3^{g_{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{g_{1}!g_{2}!} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(3 g_{1}-2\right)!\left(3 g_{2}-2\right)!} \cdot b \cdot b^{6 g_{1}-4} \cdot b^{6 g_{2}-4}\right)= \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2^{8 g-8}} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{g}} \cdot \frac{1}{g_{1}!\left(g-g_{1}\right)!} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(3 g_{1}-2\right)!\left(3 g-3 g_{1}-2\right)!} \cdot(6 g-7)!\cdot \zeta(6 g-6) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this expression into (4.12); multiplying and dividing by $g$ ! and by $(3 g-4)$ ! to pass to binomial coefficients and simplifying we get the desired Formula (4.11).

We complete this section with the computation of the cumulative contribution of the graphs $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$ coming from all $g_{1}=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]$.
Proposition 4.5. As $g \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following asymptotic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{g_{1}=1}^{\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)\right) \sim \frac{2}{3 \pi g} \cdot \frac{1}{4^{g}} \cdot\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{4 g-4} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying Formula (4.12), making the summation index range from 1 to $g-1$ (instead of up to $\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]$ ) and taking into consideration that when $g=2 g_{1}$, the term $\operatorname{Vol} \Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ has $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{1}\right)\right)\right|=2$ (see Equation (4.13)) we get

$$
\sum_{g_{1}=1}^{\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)\right)=2 \cdot \zeta(6 g-6) \cdot\binom{4 g-4}{g} \cdot \frac{1}{12^{g}} \cdot \sum_{g_{1}=1}^{g-1}\binom{g}{g_{1}} \cdot\binom{3 g-4}{3 g_{1}-2}
$$

The zeta value $\zeta(6 g-6)$ tends to 1 exponentially fast when $g \rightarrow+\infty$. Stirling's formula provides the following asymptotic value of the binomial coefficient

$$
\binom{4 g-4}{g} \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{6 \pi g}} \cdot 3^{g} \cdot\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{4 g-4}
$$

Thus, to complete the proof of Formula (1.32) and, thus, of Theorem 1.17 it remains to prove the Lemma below.

Lemma 4.6. The following asymptotic formula holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(g)=\sum_{g_{1}=1}^{g-1}\binom{g}{g_{1}}\binom{3 g-4}{3 g_{1}-2} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{6 \pi g}} \cdot 2^{4 g-4} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $g \rightarrow+\infty$.
Proof. The probability density of the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is given by the function

$$
f\left(x \mid \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$

Let $g$ be a large positive integer. By the de Moivre-Laplace theorem, after normalization by $2^{g}$ the distribution of the binomial coefficients $\binom{g}{k}$, where $k=$ $0,1, \ldots, g$, tends to the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g}{4}\right)$ as $g \rightarrow+\infty$.

Let $m$ be any positive integer which we use as a fixed parameter. The normalized distribution of the binomial coefficients $\binom{m \cdot g}{k}$, where $k=0,1, \ldots, m \cdot g$, tends to the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{m \cdot g}{2}, \frac{m \cdot g}{4}\right)$ as $g \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the normalized distribution of binomial coefficients $\binom{m \cdot g}{m \cdot k}$, where $k=0,1, \ldots, g$, tends to the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g}{4 m}\right)$ as $g \rightarrow+\infty$. In particular, letting $m=3$ we see that the normalized distribution of binomial coefficients $\binom{3 g-4}{3 k-2}$, where $k=1, \ldots, g-1$, tends to the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g}{12}-\frac{1}{9}\right)$.

We have
$f\left(x \mid \mu, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot f\left(x \mid \mu, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{1}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{2}^{2}}} \cdot f\left(x \mid \mu, \sigma^{2}\right), \quad$ where $\quad \sigma^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}$.
In other words, the normalized distribution of the product of two independent normal distributions $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$ sharing the same mean $\mu$ is the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right)$. Hence, after normalization by $S(g)$, the product $\binom{g}{g_{1}}\binom{3 g-4}{3 g_{1}-2}$ of the two binomial distributions, where $g_{1}=1, \ldots, g-1$, tends to the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(g / 2, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{\frac{g}{4}\left(\frac{g}{12}-\frac{1}{9}\right)}{\frac{g}{4}+\left(\frac{g}{12}-\frac{1}{9}\right)} \sim \frac{g}{16}, \quad \text { as } g \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Thus, the asymptotic value of the sum $S(g)$ can be computed as the value of the product distribution $\binom{g}{g_{1}}\binom{3 g-4}{3 g_{1}-2}$ at $\mu=g / 2$ multiplied by $\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(g) \sim\binom{g}{\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]} \cdot\binom{3 g-4}{3\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]-2} \cdot \sqrt{2 \pi \frac{g}{16}} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Stirling's formula we get

$$
\binom{2 m}{m} \sim \frac{2^{2 m}}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{2 m+1}{m} \sim \frac{2^{2 m+1}}{\sqrt{\pi m}}
$$

Applying these asymptotic formulae to each of the binomial coefficients in 4.17) we get

$$
S(g) \sim \frac{2^{g}}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{g}{2}}} \cdot \frac{2^{3 g-4}}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{3 g-4}{2}}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi g}}{\sqrt{8}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{6 \pi g}} \cdot 2^{4 g-4}
$$

Remark 4.7. The hypergeometric sum $S(g)$ on the left hand side of (4.16) satisfies the following recursive relation obtained applying Zeilberger's algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(g+2)=2 \cdot \frac{\left(324 g^{4}+432 g^{3}+123 g^{2}-49 g-8\right)}{(6 g-1)(3 g+4)(3 g-1)(g+1)} \cdot S(g+1)+ \\
& \quad+36 \cdot \frac{(6 g+5)(4 g-1)(4 g-3)}{(6 g-1)(3 g+4)(3 g-1)} \cdot S(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.3. Frequencies of simple closed geodesics. In this section we use the setting and the notation as in Theorem 6.1 of M. Mirzakhani [Mi3] reproduced at the end of Section 1.2 ,

Recall that equivalence classes of smooth simple closed curves on a compact oriented surface of genus $g$ without boundary are classified as follows. The curve can be separating or non-separating. All non-separating curves as in Figure 4 belong to the same class; we denote the corresponding frequency by $c_{g, n o n s e p}$.

Separating simple closed curves are classified by the genera $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of components in which the curve separates the surface; see Figure 5. Here $g_{1}+g_{2}=g ; g_{1}, g_{2} \geq 1$, and pairs $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $g_{2}, g_{1}$ correspond to the same equivalence class. Denote a simple closed curve of this type by $\gamma_{g_{1}, g_{2}}$. The stable graph corresponding to $\gamma_{g_{1}, g_{2}}$ is $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$, see Figure 5

Recall that the volume contribution $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)$ comes from all one-cylinder square-tiled surfaces of genus $g=g_{1}+g_{2}$ such that the waist curve of the single cylinder separates the surface into two surfaces of genera $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ respectively. This single horizontal cylinder can be composed of $a=1,2, \ldots$ horizontal bands of squares. The contribution $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)$ is the sum of contributions $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), H\right)$ of square-tiled surfaces having a fixed value $H \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)=\sum_{H=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), H\right)
$$

Recall also, that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), H\right)=\frac{1}{H^{d}} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta_{g_{1}, g_{2}}\right)
$$

where $d=6 g-6=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Q}_{g}$, which implies that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)=\zeta(6 g-6) \cdot \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta_{g_{1}, g_{2}}, 1\right)
$$

Combining the latter relation with Formula (1.33) from Theorem 1.21 we get

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)}{\zeta(6 g-6)}=2 \cdot(6 g-6) \cdot(4 g-4)!\cdot 2^{4 g-3} \cdot c\left(\gamma_{g_{1}, g_{2}}\right)
$$

Applying the Expression (4.11) for $\operatorname{Vol} \Gamma\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ we get the following formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
c\left(\gamma_{g_{1}, g-g_{1}}\right) & =\frac{1}{\mid \text { Aut } \Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right) \mid}  \tag{4.18}\\
& \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3 g-4} \cdot 24^{g} \cdot g_{1}!\cdot\left(g-g_{1}\right)!\cdot\left(3 g_{1}-2\right)!\cdot\left(3\left(g-g_{1}\right)-2\right)!\cdot(6 g-6)}
\end{align*}
$$

In this way we reproduce the formula for the frequency of simple closed separating geodesics first proved by M. Mirzakhani (see page 124 in [Mi3]).

Remark 4.8. The formula on page 124 in Mi3 contains two misprints: the power in the first factor in the denominator is indicated as $2^{3 g-2}$ while it should be read as $2^{3 g-4}$ and the fifth factor is indicated as $(3 g-2)$ ! while it should be read as (3i-2)!. Indeed, following Mirzakhani's calculation we have to use formula (5.5) from Mi3] for $c(\gamma)$. Using notation of this formula applied to our particular $\gamma$ we have $n=0, k=1, a_{1}=1, s_{1}=3 g-4$. Mirzakhani assumes for simplicity that $g>2 i>2$, which implies that $M(\gamma)=0$ and $|\operatorname{Sym}(\gamma)|=1$ (and implies that $\mid$ Aut $\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right) \mid=1$ in notation of Formula (4.18) above). Thus, applying formula (5.5) from Mi3] Mirzakhani gets

$$
c(\gamma)=b_{\Gamma}(2 \cdot(3 g-4))=(2 \cdot(3 g-4))_{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{(2 \cdot(3 g-4)+1)!}{(6 g-6)!}
$$

where $b_{\Gamma}\left(2 s_{1}\right)$ and $\left(2 s_{1}\right)_{\Gamma}$ are introduced in Mi3] in formula (5.3) and in the line above it respectively.

In order to evaluate $(2 \cdot(3 g-4))_{\Gamma}$ we compute following Mirzakhani the product of the coefficients of the leading terms of the polynomials $V_{i, 1}(x)$ and $V_{g-i, 1}(x)$ (see the bottom of page 123 in [Mi3]). In this way we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \cdot(3 g-4))_{\Gamma}= \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{(3 i-2)!\cdot i!\cdot 24^{i} \cdot 2^{3 i-2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(3(g-i)-2)!\cdot(g-i)!\cdot 24^{g-i} \cdot 2^{3(g-i)-2}}= \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2^{3 g-4} \cdot 24^{g} \cdot i!\cdot(g-i)!\cdot(3 i-2)!\cdot(3(g-i)-2)!},
\end{aligned}
$$

compare to (4.18) replacing $g_{1}$ with $i$. The remaining last factor $(6 g-6)$ in the denominator of the formula of Mirzakhani comes from $\frac{(2 \cdot(3 g-4)+1)!}{(6 g-6)!}=\frac{1}{6 g-6}$.

Remark 4.9. The computation of $c\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ on page 123 in [Mi3], where $\alpha_{2}$ denotes a separating simple closed curve on a surface of genus 2 , contains some misprints. It is correctly written that $N\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=2$. However, the factor $\frac{1}{N\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}$ involved in the definition (4.1) of $\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathrm{WP}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, n}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{x})\right)$ on page 113 of Mi3] is missing in the formula for $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(S\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \ell_{\alpha_{2}}=x\right)\right)$ on page 123. We assume that this formula should be read as

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(S\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \ell_{\alpha_{2}}=x\right)\right)=\frac{1}{N\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \cdot V_{1,1}(x) \times V_{1,1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{x^{2}}{24}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)^{2}
$$

Also, the factor $2^{-M\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}$ present in the general formula (5.5) on page 118 in $\mathrm{Mi3}$ is missing in the computation of $c\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$. Finally, in this particular case (and only in this case) either $M\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ should be readjusted as $M\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=2$, as we suggest in Remark 3.2 or one has to redefine the symmetry group taking into consideration the hyperelliptic involutions, as suggested in footnote 2 on pages $12-13$ in Wr . We get the following value for $c\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ :

$$
c\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=c\left(\gamma_{1,1}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \times 24 \times 24 \times 6} .
$$

In the above computation we followed the normalization conventions chosen by M. Mirzakhani in Mi3]. As it was pointed out in Remark [1.25] the case $(g, n)=(2,0)$ admits an alternative normalization. Nevertheless, such an alternative normalization changes $c\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $c\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ by common scaling factor and, hence,
does not affects the ratio:

$$
\frac{c\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{c\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}=\frac{c\left(\gamma_{\text {nonsep }, 2}\right)}{c\left(\gamma_{1,1}\right)}=48
$$

This value is independently confirmed in Be experimentally and in AH1 and in ErSo theoretically.

Denote by $c\left(\gamma_{\text {sep }, g}\right)$ the sum of the frequencies $c\left(\gamma_{g_{1}, g_{2}}\right)$ over all equivalence classes of separating curves, i.e. over all unordered pairs $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ satisfying $g_{1}+g_{2}=$ $g ; g_{1}, g_{2} \geq 1$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.17
Proof of Theorem 1.17. By Theorem 1.21 we have

$$
\frac{c_{g, \text { sep }}}{c_{g, \text { nonsep }}}=\frac{\sum_{g_{1}=1}^{\left[\frac{g}{2}\right]} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta\left(g_{1}, g-g_{1}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Gamma_{1}(g)\right)}
$$

Plugging the asymptotic values (4.5) and (4.15) respectively in the denominator and numerator of the ratio on the right hand side we obtain the desired asymptotic value for the ratio on the left hand side.

In the table below we present the exact (first line) and approximate (second line) values of the ratio $\frac{c_{g, s e p}}{\left.c_{g, \text { nonsep }}\right)}$ of the two frequencies in small genera and the value given by the asymptotic Formula (1.32) (third line).

| $g$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exact | $\frac{1}{48}$ | $\frac{5}{1776}$ | $\frac{605}{790992}$ | $\frac{4697}{27201408}$ | $\frac{166833285883}{5360555755385245488}$ |
| Approximate | $2.08 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.82 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $7.65 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.73 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $3.11 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| Asymp. formula | $2.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $4.16 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $9.00 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $2.01 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $3.31 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |

Appendix A. Proof of the asymptotic formula for 2-correlators.
In this Appendix we prove Proposition 4.1
Structure of the proof. The equality $a_{g, 1}=1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}$ immediately follows from the fact that $a_{g, 0}=1$ and the recursive relations (4.3). For $g=1$ bounds (4.4) are trivial. The symmetry $a_{g, 3 g-1-k}=a_{g, k}$ allows us to confine $k$ to the range $\left\{2,3, \ldots,\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]\right\}$.

Using recursive relations (4.3) we evaluate $a_{g, k}$ explicitly for $k=2, \ldots, 5$ and prove in Lemma A.1 bounds (4.4) for these small values of $k$. In genera $g=2,3,4$, the expression $\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ is bounded by 5 which implies bounds (4.4) for any $k$ when $g=2,3,4$. From this point we always assume that $g \geq 5$ and $k$ is in the range $\left\{6, \ldots,\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]\right\}$.

We start the main part of the proof by rewriting the recurrence Relations (4.3) in a form convenient for estimates. Namely, we introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(g, j)=\frac{\binom{3 g}{3 j}\binom{g}{j}}{\binom{6 g}{6 j}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and express the right-hand side of each of the recurrence Relations 4.3) as a product $R \cdot \frac{P_{i}}{Q}$, where $P_{i}, i=1,2,3$, and $Q$ are explicit polynomials in $g$ and $j$. In Lemma A. 3
we show that for any $g$ the absolute value of each of the rational functions $P_{i} / Q$ on the range of $j$ corresponding to $k \in\left\{6, \ldots,\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]\right\}$ is bounded from above by 1 . In Lemma A.4 we show that for any fixed $g$ and $0 \leq j \leq\left[\frac{g-1}{2}\right]$, the expression $R(g, j)$ is monotonically decreasing as a function of $j$. Combining these two lemmas we obtain in Lemma A. 5 the estimate $-R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{g-3}{2} \leq a_{g, k}-a_{g, 5} \leq R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{3 g-11}{3}$ valid for all $g$ and $k$ under consideration.

We use explicit expressions for rational functions $\varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)$ in $a_{g, 5}=$ $1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}+\varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)=1-\varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)$ obtained in Lemma A. 1 to prove in Lemma A.6 that for $g \geq 5$ the inequalities $R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{g-3}{3}<\varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)$ and $R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{3 g-11}{3}<\varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)$ hold.
A.1. Small values of $k$ and $g$. Recall that $a_{g, 0}=1$. Recursive relations (4.3) provide the following first several terms $a_{g, k}$ for $k=1,2,3,4,5$, where for each $k$ in this range we indicate the smallest value of $g$ starting from which the corresponding equality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{g, 0} & =1 & & \text { for } g \geq 1  \tag{A.2}\\
a_{g, 1} & =1-\frac{2}{6 g-1} & & \text { for } g \geq 1  \tag{A.3}\\
a_{g, 2} & =1-\frac{12(g-1)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)} & & \text { for } g \geq 2 \\
a_{g, 3} & =1-\frac{3\left(24 g^{2}-49 g+30\right)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)} & & \text { for } g \geq 3 \\
a_{g, 4} & =1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}+\frac{9(g-2)(34 g-35)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)(6 g-7)} & & \text { for } g \geq 3 \\
a_{g, 5} & =1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}+\frac{27\left(68 g^{3}-308 g^{2}+519 g-280\right)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)(6 g-7)(6 g-9)} & &  \tag{A.4}\\
& =1-\frac{9(g-2)\left(288 g^{3}-780 g^{2}+1012 g-525\right)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)(6 g-7)(6 g-9)} & & \text { for } g \geq 4 \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma A.1. For all $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $2 \leq k \leq \min \left(5,\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]\right)$ the Relations (4.4) are valid:

$$
1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}=a_{g, 1}<a_{g, k}<a_{g, 0}=1
$$

Proof. By (A.2) and (A.3) the terms $a_{g, 0}$ and $a_{g, 1}$ indeed have values as claimed in the statement of Lemma A.1.

It follows from recurrence relations (4.3) that for $k$ satisfying $0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]-1$ we have $a_{g, k+1}<a_{g, k}$ if and only if $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and we have $a_{g, k+1}>a_{g, k}$ for the remaining $k$ in this range. In particular, for $g \geq 2$ the difference $a_{g, 2}-a_{g, 1}$ is strictly positive, which implies the desired lower bound (4.4) for $a_{g, 2}$ when $g \geq 2$. The explicit expression for $a_{g, 2}$ when $g \geq 2$ implies the desired strict upper bound (4.4).

Recursive relations (4.3) imply that for $g \geq 3$ the difference $a_{g, 3}-a_{g, 2}$ is strictly positive. Since $a_{g, 2}$ satisfies the desired lower bound (4.4), the term $a_{g, 3}$ also does. The quadratic polynomial $\left(24 g^{2}-49 g+30\right)$ in the numerator of the explicit expression for $a_{g, 3}$ admits only strictly positive values, which implies the upper bound (4.4) for $a_{g, 3}$ when $g \geq 3$.

Recursive relations (4.3) imply that for $g \geq 3$ the difference $a_{g, 4}-a_{g, 3}$ is strictly negative. Since $a_{g, 3}$ satisfies the desired upper bound (4.4), the term $a_{g, 4}$ also does. The explicit expression for $a_{g, 4}$ implies the lower bound (4.4) for $a_{g, 4}$ when $g \geq 3$.

Finally, recursive relations (4.3) imply that for $g \geq 4$ the difference $a_{g, 5}-a_{g, 4}$ is strictly positive, which implies the desired lower bound (4.4) for $a_{g, 5}$ when $g \geq 4$. It remains to verify that the polynomial $\left(288 g^{3}-780 g^{2}+1012 g-525\right)$ in the explicit Expression (A.5) for $a_{g, 5}$ attains only strictly positive values for $g \geq 4$ to prove the desired upper bound (4.4) for $a_{g, 5}$. For $g \geq 4$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
288 g^{3}-780 g^{2}+1012 g & -525> \\
& >288 g^{3}-864 g^{2}+864 g-576=288\left((g-1)^{3}-1\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary A.2. For any $g$ in $\{1,2,3,4\}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $2 \leq k \leq 3 g-3$, the desired bounds (4.4) are valid:

$$
1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}=a_{g, 1}<a_{g, k}<a_{g, 0}=1
$$

Proof. Recall that the symmetry $a_{g, k}=a_{g, 3 g-1-k}$ allows to limit $k$ to the range $2 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$. Thus, for $g \leq 4$ the largest possible value of $k$ satisfying $k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ equals to $\left[\frac{3 \cdot 4-1}{2}\right]=5$. The proof of bounds (4.4) for $k \leq 5$ and any $g$ is already completed in Lemma A. 1.
A.2. Alternative form of recurrence relations. We start by extracting the common factor in Relations (4.3) and by simplifying it.

Define the following polynomial in $g$ and $j$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(g, j)=g(6 g-6 j-1)(6 g-6 j-3) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting double factorials in terms of factorials and rearranging we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(6 g-6 j-5)!!}{(6 g-1)!!} \cdot \frac{(6 j-1)!!\cdot(g-1)!}{j!(g-j)!}= \\
& =\left(\frac{(6 g-6 j-5)!}{\left.(3 g-3 j-3)!2^{2 g-3 j-3}\right)\left(\frac{(3 g-1)!2^{3 g-1}}{(6 g-1)!}\right)\left(\frac{(6 j-1)!}{(3 j-1)!2^{3 j-1}}\right) \frac{(g-1)!}{j!(g-j)!}=}\right. \\
& =8 \cdot\left(\frac{(6 j-1)!(6 g-6 j-5)!}{(6 g-1)!}\right)\left(\frac{(3 g-1)!}{(3 j-1)!(3 g-3 j-3)!}\right)\left(\frac{(g-1)!}{j!(g-j)!}\right)= \\
& \quad=8 \cdot\left(\frac{(6 j)!(6 g-6 j)!}{(6 g)!}\right)\left(\frac{(3 g)!}{(3 j)!(3 g-3 j)!}\right)\left(\frac{g!}{j!(g-j)!}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \frac{6 g}{(6 j) \cdot(6 g-6 j)(6 g-6 j-1)(6 g-6 j-2)(6 g-6 j-3)(6 g-6 j-4)} \\
& \quad \cdot \frac{(3 j) \cdot(3 g-3 j)(3 g-3 j-1)(3 g-3 j-2)}{3 g} \cdot \frac{1}{g}= \\
& \quad=\frac{\binom{3 g}{3 j}\binom{g}{j}}{\binom{6 g}{6 j}} \cdot \frac{1}{g \cdot(6 g-6 j-1)(6 g-6 j-3)}=R(g, j) \cdot \frac{1}{Q(g, j)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining the following polynomials in $g$ and $j$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(g, j)=(6 g-6 j-1)(6 g-6 j-3)(g-2 j),  \tag{A.7}\\
& P_{2}(g, j)=-2(6 g-6 j-3)(6 j+1)(g-j),  \tag{A.8}\\
& P_{3}(g, j)=2(6 j+1)(6 j+3)(g-j), \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

we can express the recurrence relations (4.3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{g, 3 j}-a_{g, 3 j-1}=R(g, j) \cdot \frac{P_{1}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}, & \text { where } 3 \leq 3 j \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]  \tag{A.10}\\
a_{g, 3 j+1}-a_{g, 3 j} & =R(g, j) \cdot \frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)},  \tag{A.11}\\
a_{g, 3 j+2}-a_{g, 3 j+1} & =R(g, j) \cdot \frac{P_{3}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}, \tag{A.12}
\end{align*} \quad \text { where } 1 \leq 3 j+1 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right],
$$

Lemma A.3. For any $g \in \mathbb{N}$ the following bounds are valid

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0 & <\frac{P_{1}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}<1, & \text { where } 3 \leq 3 j & \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right] \\
-1 & <\frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}<0, & \text { where } 1 \leq 3 j+1 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right] \\
0 & <\frac{P_{3}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}<1, & \text { where } 2 \leq 3 j+2 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The bounds $3 \leq 3 j \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ in A.13) imply that $0<j<\frac{g}{2}$. Dividing Expression A.7) for $P_{1}(g, j)$ by Expression A.6) for $Q(g, j)$ we get

$$
\frac{P_{1}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}=\frac{g-2 j}{g}
$$

Clearly,

$$
0<\frac{g-2 j}{g}<1
$$

for any $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $j$ satisfying $0<j<\frac{g}{2}$.
The bounds $1 \leq 3 j+1 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ in A.14) imply that $0 \leq j<\frac{g}{2}$. Dividing Expression (A.8) for $P_{2}(g, j)$ by Expression (A.6) for $Q(g, j)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}=-2 \cdot \frac{6 j+1}{6 g-6 j-1} \cdot \frac{g-j}{g}=- & \frac{6 g-6 j}{6 g-6 j-1} \cdot \frac{2 j+\frac{1}{3}}{g}= \\
& =-\left(1+\frac{1}{6 g-6 j-1}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{2 j+\frac{1}{3}}{g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \leq 2 j \leq g-1$, the latter expression is always strictly negative for this range of $j$. Both factors in the brackets in the latter expression are monotonically increasing on this range of $j$, so the maximum of the absolute value of the product is attained at $2 j=g-1$. We get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\left|\frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}\right| \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{6 g-(3 g-3)-1}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{g-\frac{2}{3}}{g}\right)=  \tag{A.16}\\
& =\left(1+\frac{1}{3 g+2}\right) \cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} g}\right)<1 \quad \text { for } g \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } 0 \leq j<\frac{g}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The bounds $2 \leq 3 j+2 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ in A.15) imply that $0 \leq j<\frac{g}{2}$ and that $6 j \leq 3 g-5$. Dividing Expression (A.9) for $P_{3}(g, j)$ by Expression (A.6) for $Q(g, j)$ we get

$$
\frac{P_{3}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}=2 \cdot \frac{(6 j+1)(6 j+3)(g-j)}{g(6 g-6 j-1)(6 g-6 j-3)}=\left|\frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}\right| \cdot \frac{6 j+3}{6 g-6 j-3}
$$

The expression $\frac{6 j+3}{6 g-6 j-3}$ is strictly positive and is monotonically increasing on the range of $j$ under consideration, so it attains its maximum on the largest possible value of $j$. Since $6 j \leq 3 g-5$ we get

$$
0<\frac{6 j+3}{6 g-6 j-3} \leq \frac{3 g-2}{3 g+2}<1 \quad \text { for } 2 \leq 3 j+2 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right] \text { and } g \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Combined with (A.16) this proves the desired bounds A.15) which completes the proof of Lemma A.3.

Lemma A.4. For any fixed value of $g \in \mathbb{N}$, the expression $R(g, j)$ considered as a function of $j$ is strictly monotonically decreasing on the range $\left\{0,1, \ldots,\left[\frac{g-1}{2}\right]\right\}$ of the argument $j$.

Proof. It is immediate to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
R(g, j & +1) / R(g, j)=\frac{(6 j+5)(6 j+3)(6 j+1)}{(6 g-6 j-1)(6 g-6 j-3)(6 g-6 j-5)} \cdot \frac{g-j}{j+1}=  \tag{A.17}\\
& =\frac{j+5 / 6}{j+1} \cdot \frac{6 j+1}{6 g-6 j-5} \cdot \frac{6 j+3}{6 g-6 j-3} \cdot \frac{6 g-6 j}{6 g-6 j-1}= \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{6 j+6}\right) \cdot \frac{6 j+1}{6 g-6 j-5} \cdot \frac{6 j+3}{6 g-6 j-3} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{6 g-6 j-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For any fixed $g \in \mathbb{N}$ each of the four terms in the last line of the above expression is strictly monotonically increasing as a function of $j$ on the range $\left\{0,1, \ldots\left[\frac{g-1}{2}\right]\right\}$. It is immediate to verify that when $2 j=g-1$, the product of four terms in the last line of the above expression is identically equal to 1 for all $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and the Lemma follows.

Lemma A.5. For any $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any integer $k$ in the range $6 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ the following bounds hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{g-3}{2} \leq a_{g, k}-a_{g, 5} \leq R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{3 g-11}{3} \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can assume that $g \geq 5$; otherwise the range of $k$ is empty and the statement is vacuous.

Consider the sequence $\left\{a_{g, 5}, a_{g, 6}, \ldots, a_{g, k}\right\}$, and denote by $n_{+}(k)$ the number of entries $m$ in the set $\{5,6, \ldots, k-1\}$, for which the inequality $a_{g, m+1}>a_{g, m}$ holds. Similarly, denote by $n_{-}(k)$ the number of entries in the same set for which inequality $a_{g, m+1}<a_{g, m}$ holds.

Combining the recurrence relations in the form (A.10) A.12), bounds A.13)(A.15) and Lemma A.4 we conclude that

$$
-R(g, 2) \cdot n_{-}(k) \leq a_{g, k}-a_{g, 5} \leq R(g, 2) \cdot n_{+}(k) .
$$

It remains to translate the restriction $k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ into upper bounds for $n_{+}(k)$ and $n_{-}(k)$ as functions of $g$.

Recall that it follows from recurrence relations (4.3) that for $m$ satisfying $0 \leq$ $m \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]-1$ we have $a_{g, m+1}<a_{g, m}$ if and only if $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and we have $a_{g, m+1}>a_{g, m}$ for the remaining $m$ in this range. This implies that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
n_{+}=2(j-2) & n_{-}=j-2, & \text { when } k=3 j-1, \\
n_{+}=2(j-2)+1 & n_{-}=j-2, & \text { when } k=3 j \\
n_{+}=2(j-2)+1 & n_{-}=j-1, & \text { when } k=3 j+1
\end{array}
$$

In all these cases we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{+}(k) \leq \frac{2 k-10}{3} \\
& n_{-}(k) \leq \frac{k-4}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption $k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$, so the latter bounds imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{+}(k) \leq \frac{3 g-11}{3} \\
& n_{-}(k) \leq \frac{g-3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (A.18) follows.
We assume that $g \geq 5$ and $k \in\left\{6, \ldots,\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]\right\}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)=\frac{27\left(68 g^{3}-308 g^{2}+519 g-280\right)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)(6 g-7)(6 g-9)}  \tag{A.19}\\
& \varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)=\frac{9(g-2)\left(288 g^{3}-780 g^{2}+1012 g-525\right)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)(6 g-7)(6 g-9)} \tag{A.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In these notation Expressions (A.4) and (A.5) for $a_{g, 5}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{g, 5}=1-\frac{2}{6 g-1}+\varepsilon_{b e l o w}(g)=1-\varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g) \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma A.6. For any integer $g$ satisfying $g \geq 5$ the following strict inequalities are valid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{g-3}{2}<\varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g) \\
& R(g, 2) \cdot \frac{3 g-11}{3}<\varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward calculation.
First note that all the quantities $R(g, 2),(g-3),(3 g-11), \varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g), \varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)$ are strictly positive for $g \geq 5$, where strict positivity of $\varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)$ and of $\varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)$ was proved in Lemma A.1. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{2 \varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)}{R(g, 2) \cdot(g-3)}>1 \quad \text { for } g \geq 5  \tag{A.22}\\
\frac{3 \varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)}{R(g, 2) \cdot(3 g-11)}>1 \quad \text { for } g \geq 5 \tag{A.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Definition (A.1) to evaluate $R(g, 2)$ and cancelling out common factors in the numerator and in the denominator of the resulting expression we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(g, 2)=\frac{10395}{2} \cdot \frac{g(g-1)}{(6 g-1)(6 g-3)(6 g-5)(6 g-7)(6 g-9)(6 g-11)} \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plug Expression (A.19) for $\varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)$ and the above Expression (A.24) for $R(g, 2)$ into the left-hand side of (A.22) and cancel out the common factors in the numerator and in the denominator of the resulting expression. Applying polynomial division with remainder to the resulting numerator and denominator we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{2 \varepsilon_{\text {below }}(g)}{R(g, 2) \cdot(g-3)}=2 \cdot 27 \cdot \frac{2}{10395} \cdot \frac{\left(68 g^{3}-308 g^{2}+519 g-280\right)(6 g-11)}{g(g-1)(g-3)}= \\
=\frac{4}{385} \cdot\left(408 g-964+\frac{1422 g^{2}-4497 g+3080}{g(g-1)(g-3)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

It is immediate to check that $\left(1422 g^{2}-4497 g+3080\right)$ is positive for $g \geq 5$. It remains to note that for $g \geq 5$ we have $(408 g-964) \geq(408 \cdot 5-964)=1076>385 / 4$ which completes the proof of A.22).

Performing analogous manipulations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3 \varepsilon_{\text {above }}(g)}{R(g, 2) \cdot(3 g-11)}= \\
& \quad=3 \cdot 9 \cdot \frac{2}{10395} \cdot \frac{(g-2)\left(288 g^{3}-780 g^{2}+1012 g-525\right)(6 g-11)}{g(g-1)(3 g-11)}= \\
& \quad=\frac{2}{385} \cdot\left(576 g^{2}-1080 g+2964+\frac{9790 g^{2}+1735 g-11550}{g(g-1)(3 g-11)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is immediate to check that $\left(9790 g^{2}+1735 g-11550\right)$ is positive for $g \geq 5$ as well as the denominator of the corresponding fraction. It remains to note that the function $\left(576 g^{2}-1080 g+2964\right)$ is monotonically increasing on the interval $[5 ;+\infty[$, so for $g \geq 5$ we get:

$$
576 g^{2}-1080 g+2964 \geq 576 \cdot 5^{2}-1080 \cdot 5+2964=11964>385 / 2
$$

which completes the proof of (A.23).
Proof of Proposition 4.1. For small genera, $g=1,2,3,4$, Proposition4.1 was proved in Corollary A. 2.

For genera $g \geq 5$ and $k=2,3,4,5$, Proposition 4.1 was proved in Lemma A.1 The symmetry $a_{g, k}=a_{g, 3 g-1-k}$ implies Proposition 4.1 for symmetric values of $k$.

For $g \geq 5$ and $k$ in the range $6 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ Proposition4.1immediately follows from combination of Lemma A.5 Expression A.21) for $a_{g, 5}$ and Lemma A.6. The symmetry $a_{g, k}=a_{g, 3 g-1-k}$ implies Proposition 4.1 for symmetric values of $k$.
A.3. Asymptotic behavior of normalized 2-correlators in large genera. In this section we briefly describe the behavior of $a_{g, k}$ for $g \gg 1$. More detailed discussion would be given in a separate (and more general) paper.

When $g \rightarrow+\infty$ and $j$ remains bounded, Expressions (A.7) (A.9) and (A.6) for polynomials $P_{i}(g, j), i=1, \ldots, 4$, and $Q(g, j)$ respectively imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{P_{1}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}=1-(2 j) \cdot \frac{1}{g} \\
& \frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}=-\left(2 j+\frac{1}{3}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g}+o\left(\frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& \frac{P_{3}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}=\left(2 j+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g^{2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{g^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $g \rightarrow+\infty$. In particular, for $g \gg 1$ we see that for small values of $j$ the ratio $\frac{P_{1}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}$ is close to 1 , while the ratio $\frac{P_{2}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}$ is of the order $\frac{1}{g}$ and the ratio $\frac{P_{3}(g, j)}{Q(g, j)}$ is of the order $\frac{1}{g^{2}}$. Thus, assuming that $g \gg 1$, and taking consecutive terms $\left\{a_{g, 3 j-1}, a_{g, 3 j}, a_{g, 3 j+1}, a_{g, 3 j+1}\right\}$ with $j \ll g$ we observe certain increment from $a_{g, 3 j-1}$ to $a_{g, 3 j}$, much smaller decrement from $a_{g, 3 j}$ to $a_{g, 3 j+1}$ and very small increment from $a_{g, 3 j+1}$ to $a_{g, 3 j+2}$.

For any fixed $g \gg 1$ and $j \ll g$ the quantity $R(g, j)$ defined by (A.1) is very rapidly decreasing as $j$ grows. We conclude from Expression (A.17) that for bounded $j$ and $g \rightarrow+\infty$ one has

$$
R(g, j+1)=R(g, j) \cdot \frac{\left(j+\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(j+\frac{3}{6}\right)\left(j+\frac{1}{6}\right)}{(j+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{2}} \cdot(1+o(1))
$$

Since $R(g, 0)=1$ we get the following expressions for $j=0,1,2,3$ :
$R(g, 0)=1$,
$R(g, 1)=\frac{\left(0+\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(0+\frac{3}{6}\right)\left(0+\frac{1}{6}\right)}{(0+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{2}} \cdot(1+o(1)) \quad=\frac{5}{72} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{2}} \cdot(1+o(1))$,
$R(g, 2)=\frac{\left(1+\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(1+\frac{3}{6}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{6}\right)}{(1+1)} \cdot \frac{5}{72} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{4}} \cdot(1+o(1)) \quad=\frac{385}{3456} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{4}} \cdot(1+o(1))$,
$R(g, 3)=\frac{\left(2+\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(2+\frac{3}{6}\right)\left(2+\frac{1}{6}\right)}{(2+1)} \cdot \frac{385}{3456} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{6}} \cdot(1+o(1))=\frac{425425}{746496} \cdot \frac{1}{g^{6}} \cdot(1+o(1))$.
Lemma A. 5 admits the following immediate generalization:
Lemma A.7. For any $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any integer $k$ in the range $3 j \leq k \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$ the following bounds hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-R(g, j) \cdot \frac{g-j-1}{2} \leq a_{g, k}-a_{g, 3 j-1} \leq R(g, j) \cdot \frac{3 g-2 j-7}{3} . \tag{A.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, having found the asymptotic expansion (when $g \rightarrow+\infty$ ) in $\frac{1}{g}$ up to the term $\frac{1}{g^{2 j-2}}$ for some $a_{g, 3 j-1}$, we get the same asymptotic expansion up to the term $\frac{1}{g^{2 j-2}}$ for all $a_{g, k}$ with $k$ satisfying $3 j-1 \leq k \leq 3 g-3 j$.

Finally, no matter whether $g=2 j$ or $g=2 j+1$ one easily derives from Stirling's formula that

$$
R(g, j) \approx \frac{1}{2^{2 g-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi g}}
$$

Morally, when $g \gg 1$ and the index $k$ is located sufficiently far from the extremities of the range $\{0,1, \ldots, 3 g-1\}$, the values of $a_{g, k}$ become, basically, indistinguishable.


Figure 6. Graph of $f(x)$

It is curious to note that the sequence $\left\{a_{g, 2}, a_{g, 5}, \ldots, a_{g, 3 j_{\max }-1}\right\}$, where $j_{\max }$ is the maximum integer satisfying $3 j_{\max }-1 \leq\left[\frac{3 g-1}{2}\right]$, is not monotonically increasing for $g \geq 17$. Let $x=\frac{j}{g}$. Our bounds on $j$ imply that $0<x<\frac{1}{2}$. For any fixed $g \gg 1$ define

$$
f(x)=f\left(\frac{j}{g}\right)=\frac{\left(P_{1}(g, j)+P_{2}(g, j)+P_{3}(g, j)\right)}{Q(g, j)}
$$

For large values of $g$ the graph of $f(x)$ has the form as in Figure 6, so up to some point the function $f$ remains positive and the sequence $a_{g, 2}, a_{g, 5}, \ldots$ monotonically increases, but then it attains its maximum and very slowly monotonically decreases down to $a_{g, 3 j_{\max }-1}$.

Appendix B. Stable graphs: formal definition
Following M. Kontsevich Kon we now introduce the definition of a stable graph. Let $S$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g$ without boundary endowed with $n$ labelled marked points. Let $\gamma$ be a simple closed primitive multicurve on $S$. Consider the decomposition of $S \backslash \gamma$ into a union of surfaces with boundaries endowed with marked points. The dual graph $\Gamma$ to this decomposition is constructed as follows.

- Each connected component $S_{j}$ of $S \backslash \gamma$ gives rise to a vertex $v_{j}$ of $\Gamma$ decorated by the genus $g\left(S_{j}\right)$. The marked points on $S_{j}$ are encoded by the legs attached to $v_{j}$, the boundary components of $S_{j}$ correspond to half-edges incident to $v_{j}$.
- Each component $\gamma_{i}$ of $\gamma$ gives rise to an edge of $\Gamma$. When $\gamma_{i}$ is the common boundary of two distinct connected components of $S \backslash \gamma$, the corresponding edge of $\Gamma$ joins the two distinct vertices of $\Gamma$ representing these two connected components. When both sides of $\gamma_{i}$ are at the boundary of the same connected component of $S \backslash \gamma$, the edge of $\Gamma$ dual to $\gamma_{i}$ is a loop joining the vertex associated to the corresponding connected component to itself.
Figures in the tables of Appendix C. 2 illustrate the correspondence between multicurves and stable graphs in genus 2.

We now present the following formal definition
Definition B.1. Consider a 6 -tuple $\Gamma=(V, H, \iota, \alpha, \mathbf{g}, L)$, where

- $V$ is a finite set of vertices.
- $H$ is a finite set of half-edges.
- $\iota: H \rightarrow H$ is an involution. The fixed points of $\iota$ are called the legs and the 2-cycles of $\iota$ are called the edges of $\Gamma$.
- $\alpha: H \rightarrow V$ is a map that attaches a half-edge to a vertex. The number of half-edges at a given vertex $v$ is denoted by $n_{v}:=\left|\alpha^{-1}(v)\right|$.
- The graph is connected: for each pair of vertices $(u, v)$ there exists a sequence of half-edges $\left(h_{1}, h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}, h_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{k}, h_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\iota\left(h_{i}\right)=h_{i}^{\prime}, u=$ $\alpha\left(h_{1}\right), v=\alpha\left(h_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(h_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha\left(h_{i+1}\right)$.
- $\boldsymbol{g}=\left\{g_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$ is a set of non-negative integers, one at each vertex, called the genus decoration.
- $L$ is a bijection from the set of legs to $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Such a 6-tuple $\Gamma$ is called a stable graph for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ if the genus decoration $\boldsymbol{g}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- $g(\Gamma)=h_{1}(\Gamma)+\sum_{v \in V} g_{v}$, where $h_{1}(\Gamma)$ is the first Betti number of the graph,
- stability condition $2 g_{v}-2+n_{v}>0$ at each vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$.

To a stable graph $\Gamma$ we associate an underlying graph whose vertex set is $V$ and each 2-cycle $\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$ of $\iota$ gives an edge attached to $\alpha(h)$ and $\alpha\left(h^{\prime}\right)$. We denote the set of these edges by $E=E(\Gamma)$. Such a graph can have multiple edges and loops. The additional information carried by a stable graph is the genus decoration $\mathbf{g}$ and the $n$ legs.

Two stable graphs $\Gamma=(V, H, \iota, \alpha, \boldsymbol{g}, L)$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, \iota^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if there exists two bijections $\phi: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ and $\psi: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ that preserve edges, legs and genus decoration, that is

$$
\psi \circ \iota=\iota^{\prime} \circ \psi, \quad L^{\prime}(\psi(h))=L(h), \quad g_{\phi(v)}^{\prime}=g_{v}
$$

Note that automorphisms of stable graphs are allowed to interchange edges and vertices respecting the decoration but not the legs which are numbered by $L$.

We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ the set of isomorphism classes of stable graphs with given genus $g$ and number of legs $n$.

As we already mentioned, each stable graph in $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ corresponds to a cycle of the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$. More precisely, each vertex $v$ of the graph corresponds to the component of a nodal curve of genus $g_{v}$ and contains the marked points corresponding to the legs attached to this vertex. Each edge of $E(\Gamma)$ represents a node. (See the survey Va for an excellent introduction to this subject and for beautiful illustrations.) Hence, the unique stable graph with no edge and $n$ legs in $\mathcal{G}_{g, n}$ corresponds to the component $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ (the smooth curves).

## Appendix C. Examples of explicit calculations

C.1. The cases of $\mathcal{Q}_{0,3}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{1,1}$. We now consider the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ and the associated cotangent bundles $\mathcal{Q}_{0,3}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{1,1}$.

There is a unique complex curve $C$ in $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ which is $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}$. This curve does not admit any non-zero quadratic differentials with at most simple poles at the marked points 0,1 and $\infty$ and with no other poles (which is coherent with the fact that the tangent space to a point is 0 ). There is a unique stable graph for $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ :

$$
\mathcal{G}(0,3)=\{\underset{0}{ }\}
$$

Let us denote this graph by $\Phi_{0,3}$. If we try to apply Theorem 1.5 to define the value of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,3}$, the polynomial $P_{\Phi_{0,3}}$ defined by (1.12) is ill-defined since $4 g-4+n=$ $6 g-7+2 n=-1$ and ( -1 )! makes no sense. However, taking limits as in (1.28), we obtain the value

$$
P_{\Phi_{0,3}}=\left.2 \cdot \frac{(-4+n)!}{(-7+2 n)!}\right|_{n=3}=4
$$

leading to $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{0,3}=4$ by means of (1.13) which is coherent with the value in (1.15) evaluated for $n=3$ and also coherent with (1.24).

For $(g, n)=(1,1)$ there are two stable graphs as given below.

$$
\mathcal{G}(1,1)=\left\{\quad \frac{0}{1}, \quad\right\}
$$

Only the second graph contributes to Expression (1.13) from Theorem 1.5 for $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{1,1}$ and to Expression (1.23) from Theorem1.15 for $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1,1}\right)$. Let us denote
this graph by $\Phi_{1,1}$. Applying (1.12), one finds $P_{\Phi_{1,1}}=4 b_{1}$ from which we deduce

$$
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{1,1}=\mathcal{Z}\left(P_{\Phi_{1,1}}\right)=4 \zeta(2)=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1,1}\right)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \mathcal{Z}\left(\partial_{\Phi} P_{\Phi}\right)=2 \pi^{2}
$$

which coincides with our convention 1.25 .
Note that any element of $\mathcal{Q}_{1,1}$ is the square of a holomorphic one form (regular at the marked point). In other words, the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}(1,-1)$ is empty and Definition [1.2 of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ does not apply. One can make geometric sense of the values $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{1,1}$ and $c_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1,1}\right)$ by considering square-tiled surfaces for the stratum $\mathcal{H}(0)$ of holomorphic Abelian differentials.
C.2. Holomorphic quadratic differentials in genus two. We start by evaluation of the Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Note that certain graphs do not contribute to $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}$ at all.


Taking the sum of the four contributions we obtain:

$$
\left(\left(1 \cdot \frac{8}{225}+1 \cdot \frac{1}{675}\right)+\left(\frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{135}+3 \cdot \frac{2}{405}\right)\right) \cdot \pi^{6}=\left(\frac{1}{27}+\frac{1}{30}\right) \cdot \pi^{6}=\frac{19}{270} \cdot \pi^{6}
$$

Dividing by $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2}=\frac{1}{15} \cdot \pi^{6}$ we get the answer which matches the value found in G1]: $\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \cdot \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{19}{270} \pi^{6}\right):\left(\frac{1}{15} \pi^{6}\right)=\frac{19}{18}$.

The computation of the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2}$ was presented in Table 1 in Section 1.4 The first two graphs in Table 1 represent the contribution to the
volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2}$ of square-tiled surfaces having single maximal cylinder. The resulting contribution $\left(\frac{16}{945}+\frac{1}{2835}\right) \pi^{6}=\frac{7}{405} \pi^{6}=\frac{49}{3} \zeta(6)$ was found in Appendix C in DGZZ1 by completely different technique.

The third and the fourth graph together represent the volume contribution $\left(\frac{8}{225}+\frac{1}{675}\right) \pi^{6}=\frac{1}{27} \pi^{6}$ of square-tiled surfaces having two maximal cylinders. The last two graphs - the contribution $\left(\frac{1}{135}+\frac{2}{405}\right) \pi^{6}=\frac{1}{81} \pi^{6}$ of square-tiled surfaces having three maximal cylinders.

Normalizing the contribution of $1,2,3$-cylinder square-tiled surfaces by the entire volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{2}$ of the stratum we get the quantity $p_{k}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{2}\right)$ which can be interpreted as the "probability" for a "random" square-tiled surface in the stratum $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ to have exactly $k$ horizontal cylinders. These same quantities $p_{k}$ provide "probabilities" of getting a $k$-band generalized interval exchange transformation (linear involution) taking a "random" generalized interval exchange transformation in the Rauzy class representing the stratum $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ (see section 3.2 in DGZZ1 for details). The latter quantities are particularly simple to evaluate in numerical experiments. The resulting proportions

$$
\left(p_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{2}\right), p_{2}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{2}\right), p_{3}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{7}{405}, \frac{1}{27}, \frac{1}{81}\right): \frac{1}{15}=\left(\frac{7}{27}, \frac{15}{27}, \frac{5}{27}\right)
$$

match the numerical experiments obtained earlier in Appendix C of DGZZ1.
C.3. Holomorphic quadratic differentials in genus three. In genus three there are already 41 different decorated ribbon graphs. We do not provide the graph-by-graph calculation as we did in genus two but only the contributions of $k$-cylinder square-tiled surfaces for all possible values $k=1, \ldots, 6$ of cylinders.

| Number of <br> cylinders $k$ | Number of <br> graphs $\Gamma$ | Contribution <br> to the volume | Relative <br> contribution $p_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | $\frac{94667}{126299250} \cdot \pi^{12}$ | $\frac{757336}{3493125}$ |
| 2 | 5 | $\frac{150749}{108256500} \cdot \pi^{12}$ | $\frac{4220972}{10479375}$ |
| 3 | 9 | $\frac{84481}{86605200} \cdot \pi^{12}$ | $\frac{591367}{2095875}$ |
| 4 | 8 | $\frac{5989}{21651300} \cdot \pi^{12}$ | $\frac{167692}{2095875}$ |
| 5 | 5 | $\frac{1}{174320} \cdot \pi^{12}$ | $\frac{28}{1725}$ |
| 6 | $\pi^{12}$ | $\frac{56}{27945}$ |  |

The resulting contribution of 1-cylinder surfaces was confirmed by the alternative combinatorial study of the Rauzy class of the stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{8}\right)$ (see section 3.2 in DGZZ1. The approximate values $p_{k}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{8}\right)\right)$ were confirmed by numerical experiments with statistics of $k$-band generalized interval exchange transformations.

Taking the sum of all contributions we get the volume of the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{3}$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials in genus 3: $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{3}=\frac{115}{33264} \cdot \pi^{12}$.
C.4. Meromorphic quadratic differentials in genus one. In this section we apply Formula (1.13) to compute the Masur-Veech volume $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{2},-1^{2}\right)$ of the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{1,2}$ of meromorphic quadratic differentials in genus $g=1$ with two simple poles $p=2$.

We use the same convention on the order of numerical factors in every first line of the middle column as in section C.2. Namely, for $(g, p)=(1,2)$ we have $\ell!\cdot 2 d \cdot \frac{2^{d}}{d!}=\frac{32}{5}$, which is the first factor. The second factor is $1 / 2^{|V(\Gamma)|-1}$.

The third factor is $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|^{-1}$. We remind that the vertices and edges of $\Gamma$ are not labeled while the two legs are labeled. An automorphism of $\Gamma$ preserves the decoration of vertices and the labeling of the legs. For example, the graph $\Gamma$ in the second line does not have any nontrivial automorphisms.


Table 2. Computation of $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{1,2}$. The left column represents stable graphs $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ and associated multicurves; the middle column gives associated polynomials $P_{\Gamma}$; the right column provides volume contributions $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma)$.

The resulting value

$$
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{1,2}=\left(\left(\frac{8}{45}+\frac{1}{135}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{27}+\frac{2}{27}\right)\right) \cdot \pi^{4}=\left(\frac{5}{27}+\frac{4}{27}\right) \cdot \pi^{4}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{3}
$$

matches the one found in G2. The contribution of 1-cylinder square-tiled surfaces and the proportion 5:4 between 1-cylinder and 2-cylinder contributions match the corresponding quantities found in Appendix C in DGZZ1.

Now we evaluate the Siegel-Veech constant $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(Q\left(1^{4}\right)\right)$.


Taking the sum of the two contributions we obtain the answer:

$$
\left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{27}+2 \cdot \frac{2}{27}\right) \cdot \pi^{4}=\frac{7}{27} \cdot \pi^{4}
$$

Dividing by $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{2},-1^{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{4}}{3}$ we get the answer which matches the value found in G1.

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \cdot \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{2},-1^{2}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{7}{27} \cdot \pi^{4}\right):\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \pi^{4}\right)=\frac{7}{9}
$$

## Appendix D. Tables of volumes and of Siegel-Veech constants

In this appendix we present numerical data for $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ corresponding to small values of $g$ and $n$. Table 3 gathers the numerical values of the volumes, of the Siegel-Veech constants and of the sums $\Lambda^{+}$(respectively $\Lambda^{-}$) of the top $g$ (respectively $g_{\text {eff }}$ ) Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle over the principal stratum $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$. By Formulae (2.3) and (2.4) in the paper of A. Eskin, M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich EKoZo, these quantities are related as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda^{+}=\frac{(5 g-5-n)}{18}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right) . \\
& \Lambda^{-}=\Lambda^{+}+\frac{(g-1+n)}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is possible to approximate $\Lambda^{+}$and $\Lambda^{-}$numerically by computer simulations of the accelerated Rauzy induction. The values of $\Lambda^{+}$and of $\Lambda^{-}$based on the values of $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ computed in this paper match the approximate values obtained in these numerical experiments.

One has $\Lambda^{+}=0$ in genus zero, so in genus zero the Siegel-Veech constant admits a simple closed formula. By Formula (1.1) in the paper of J. Athreya, A. Eskin and A. Zorich AEZ2] one has

$$
\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}\left(1^{n-4},-1^{n}\right)=2 \pi^{2}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}\right)^{n-4}
$$

We get the same expressions in the cells of Table 3 corresponding to genus 0 computed by Formulae (1.13) and (1.23) of the current paper.

| $g$ | $n$ | Stratum | Volume | $\pi^{2} / 3 \cdot \mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}$ | $\Lambda^{+}$ | $\Lambda^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1,-1^{5}\right)$ | $\pi^{4}$ | $5 / 9$ | 0 | $4 / 3$ |
| 0 | 6 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{2},-1^{6}\right)$ | $1 / 2 \cdot \pi^{6}$ | $11 / 18$ | 0 | $5 / 3$ |
| 0 | 7 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{3},-1^{7}\right)$ | $1 / 4 \cdot \pi^{8}$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{2},-1^{2}\right)$ | $1 / 3 \cdot \pi^{4}$ | $7 / 9$ | $2 / 3$ | $4 / 3$ |
| 1 | 3 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{3},-1^{3}\right)$ | $11 / 60 \cdot \pi^{6}$ | $47 / 66$ | $6 / 11$ | $17 / 11$ |
| 1 | 4 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4},-1^{4}\right)$ | $1 / 10 \cdot \pi^{8}$ | $44 / 63$ | $10 / 21$ | $38 / 21$ |
| 1 | 5 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{5},-1^{5}\right)$ | $163 / 3024 \cdot \pi^{10}$ | $2075 / 2934$ | $70 / 163$ | $1025 / 489$ |
| 2 | 0 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4}\right)$ | $1 / 15 \cdot \pi^{6}$ | $19 / 18$ | $4 / 3$ | $5 / 3$ |
| 2 | 1 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{5},-1\right)$ | $29 / 840 \cdot \pi^{8}$ | $230 / 261$ | $32 / 29$ | $154 / 87$ |
| 2 | 2 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{6},-1^{2}\right)$ | $337 / 18144 \cdot \pi^{10}$ | $8131 / 10110$ | $1636 / 1685$ | $3321 / 1685$ |
| 3 | 0 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{8}\right)$ | $115 / 33264 \cdot \pi^{12}$ | $24199 / 25875$ | $4286 / 2875$ | $18608 / 8625$ |
| 4 | 0 | $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{12}\right)$ | $\pi^{18} \cdot 2106241 /$ | $283794163 /$ | $91179048 /$ | $143835073 /$ |
|  |  |  | 11548293120 | 315936150 | 52656025 | 52656025 |

Table 3. Numerical values of volumes, of Siegel-Veech constants and of sums of Lyapunov exponents for low-dimensional strata

The recent paper of D. Chen, M. Möller and A. Sauvaget CMöS suggested alternative formulae for the Masur-Veech volumes and for the area Siegel-Veech constants of the principal strata $\mathcal{Q}\left(1^{4 g-4+n},-1^{n}\right)$ as weighted sums of certain very special linear Hodge integrals. The subsequent paper of M. Kazarian Kaz provided very efficient recursive formula for these Hodge integrals, which allows one to compute $\operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}_{g, n}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ for all sufficiently small values of $g$ and $n$ fast enough. In particular, following this alternative approach one obtains the same data as in Table 3

Further numerical data can be found in DGZZ4 where we apply Formulae (1.13) and (1.23) to express respectively the Masur-Veech volumes $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ and the Siegel-Veech constants $\mathrm{c}_{\text {area }}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{g, n}\right)$ as polynomials in the intersection numbers of $\psi$-classes. Recall that applying Formula (1.26) one can express the Siegel-Veech constant $c_{\text {area }}$ in terms of the volumes of the principal boundary strata. One more table in DGZZ4] provides the corresponding explicit expressions for lowdimensional strata.
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