

Mathematics for Neuroscientists

Fabrizio Gabbiani and Steven J Cox

Chapter 27.

Exercise 2. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} E(j+1) - E(j) &= -(\mathbf{s}^j)^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^{j+1} + (\mathbf{s}^{j-1})^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j + \mathbf{b}^T (\mathbf{s}^{j+1} - \mathbf{s}^{j-1}) \\ &= -(\mathbf{s}^{j+1})^T \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{s}^j + (\mathbf{s}^{j-1})^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j + \mathbf{b}^T (\mathbf{s}^{j+1} - \mathbf{s}^{j-1}) \\ &= -(\mathbf{s}^{j+1} - \mathbf{s}^{j-1})^T (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j - \mathbf{b}) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Now, if $\mathbf{s}^{j+1} \neq \mathbf{s}^{j-1}$ then $s_i^{j+1} = -s_i^{j-1}$ for some i . There are only two possibilities. If $s_i^{j+1} = 1$ then $(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j)_i > b_i$ and so

$$(\mathbf{s}^{j+1} - \mathbf{s}^{j-1})_i (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j)_i = 2(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j - \mathbf{b})_i > 0,$$

while if $s_i^{j+1} = -1$ then $(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j)_i < b_i$ and so, again,

$$(\mathbf{s}^{j+1} - \mathbf{s}^{j-1})_i (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j)_i = -2(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}^j - \mathbf{b})_i > 0.$$

Summing over i we find that if $\mathbf{s}^{j+1} \neq \mathbf{s}^{j-1}$ then $\Delta E < 0$. Hence, if there exists a period greater than 2, i.e., if $\mathbf{s}^{j-1} = \mathbf{s}^{j+1+k} \neq \mathbf{s}^{j+1}$ for some $k > 0$ then $E(j) = E(j+2+k)$, is contradiction of $E(j+2+k) < E(j)$.

Exercise 3. If we integrate Eq. (27.2) on a small interval, say $(P - \varepsilon, P + \varepsilon)$, about the first input spike we find

$$\tau_E (g_{E,1}(P + \varepsilon) - g_{E,1}(P - \varepsilon)) = - \int_{P-\varepsilon}^{P+\varepsilon} g_{E,1}(t) dt + w_{inp}. \quad (1)$$

Prior to the first spike we expect $g_{E,1}$ to remain at its initial value and so $g_{E,1}(P - \varepsilon) = 0$ regardless of ε . Now, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. (1) the integral vanishes and we arrive at $\tau_E g_{E,1}(P^+) = w_{inp}$ as claimed. This provides the initial data for $g_{E,1}$ from $t = P$ up to (but not including) $t = 2P$. In that interval $g_{E,1}$ obeys $\tau_E g'_{E,1}(t) = -g_{E,1}(t)$ and so, proceeding as in §3.1 we find

$$g_{E,1}(t) = \exp((P - t)/\tau_E) w_{inp}/\tau_E \quad P \leq t < 2P \quad (2)$$

and so $g_{E,1}(2P^-) = \exp(-P/\tau_E) w_{inp}/\tau_E$. If we next integrate Eq. (27.2) across $t = 2P$ we find $\tau_E (g_{E,1}(2P^+) - g_{E,1}(2P^-)) = w_{inp}$, and so conclude that $g_{E,1}(2P^+) = g_{E,1}(2P^-) + w_{inp}/\tau_E = (1 + \exp(-P/\tau_E)) w_{inp}/\tau_E$ as claimed. Arguing as above we find

$$\begin{aligned} g_{E,1}(t) &= g_{E,1}(2P^+) \exp((2P - t)/\tau_E) \\ &= \exp((P - t)/\tau_E) (1 + \exp(P/\tau_E)) w_{inp}/\tau_E, \quad 2P \leq t < 3P \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

as claimed. Upon comparing Eqs. (2) and (3) we deduce that

$$g_{E,1}(t) = \exp((P - t)/\tau_E) (w_{inp}/\tau_E) \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} \exp(P/\tau_E)^m, \quad (n-1)P \leq t < nP. \quad (4)$$

We recognize this sum as a finite geometric series and find

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-2} \exp(P/\tau_E)^m = \frac{1 - \exp((n-1)P/\tau_E)}{1 - \exp(P/\tau_E)}.$$

On inserting this back into Eq. (4) we arrive at the desired Eq. (27.41).

Exercise 8. If we define $V(\theta) \equiv W(\theta) \star f(\theta) - U(\theta)$ then the convolution theorem and linearity yield $\hat{V}_n = \hat{W}_n \hat{f}_n - \hat{U}_n$. This, together with Parseval's equality yields

$$E(W) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{V}_n|^2 + \lambda |\hat{W}_n|^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{W}_n \hat{f}_n - \hat{U}_n|^2 + \lambda |\hat{W}_n|^2, \quad (5)$$

as claimed. Now, for n fixed, we choose \hat{W}_n as the minimizer of

$$E_n(W) \equiv |W \hat{f}_n - \hat{U}_n|^2 + \lambda |W|^2.$$

We write $W = a + ib$ and expand the squared magnitudes and find

$$E_n(W) = E_n(a, b) = (|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda)(a^2 + b^2) - (a + ib)\hat{U}_n^* \hat{f}_n - (a - ib)\hat{U}_n \hat{f}_n^*.$$

It remains to determine its critical point. We compute

$$\partial_a E_n = 2a(|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda) - (\hat{U}_n^* \hat{f}_n + \hat{U}_n \hat{f}_n^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_b E_n = 2b(|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda) - i(\hat{U}_n^* \hat{f}_n - \hat{U}_n \hat{f}_n^*).$$

These vanish when

$$a = \frac{\hat{U}_n^* \hat{f}_n + \hat{U}_n \hat{f}_n^*}{2(|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda)} = \frac{\Re(\hat{f}_n^* \hat{U}_n)}{|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{\hat{U}_n^* \hat{f}_n - \hat{U}_n \hat{f}_n^*}{2(|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda)} = \frac{\Im(\hat{f}_n^* \hat{U}_n)}{|\hat{f}_n|^2 + \lambda}.$$

On combining these as $W = a + ib$ we recover Eq. (27.33).

Exercise 10. (i) We proceed from the definition

$$\hat{f}_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(-x) e^{-inx} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{inx} dx = \hat{f}_{-n}.$$

(ii) We note that $U(-\theta) = \sigma^{-1}(f(-\theta)) = \sigma^{-1}(f(\theta)) = U(\theta)$.

(iii) The result follows from $\hat{f}_n^* = \hat{f}_{-n}$.

(iv) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\theta) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(\theta + h) - W(\theta)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(-\theta - h) - W(-\theta)}{h} \\ &= - \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(-\theta - h) - W(-\theta)}{-h} = -W'(-\theta). \end{aligned}$$