



Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Pub-93/133-E
CDF

**Measurement of Drell-Yan Electron and Muon Pair
Differential Cross-Sections in $\bar{p}p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV**

F. Abe et al
The CDF Collaboration

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

June 1993

Submitted to *Physical Review D, Rapid Communications*



Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CHO3000 with the United States Department of Energy

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Measurement of Drell-Yan Electron and Muon Pair Differential

Cross-Sections in $\bar{p}p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV

FERMILAB-PUB-93/133-E

F. Abe,⁽¹²⁾ M. Albrow,⁽⁶⁾ D. Amidei,⁽¹⁵⁾ C. Anway-Wiese,⁽³⁾ G. Apollinari,⁽²³⁾ M. Atac,⁽⁶⁾
 P. Auchincloss,⁽²²⁾ P. Azzi,⁽¹⁷⁾ N. Bacchetta,⁽¹⁶⁾ A. R. Baden,⁽⁸⁾ W. Badgett,⁽¹⁵⁾
 M. W. Bailey,⁽²¹⁾ A. Bamberger,^(6,a) P. de Barbaro,⁽²²⁾ A. Barbaro-Galtieri,⁽¹³⁾
 V. E. Barnes,⁽²¹⁾ B. A. Barnett,⁽¹¹⁾ G. Bauer,⁽¹⁴⁾ T. Baumann,⁽⁸⁾ F. Bedeschi,⁽²⁰⁾
 S. Behrends,⁽²⁾ S. Belforte,⁽²⁰⁾ G. Bellettini,⁽²⁰⁾ J. Bellinger,⁽²⁸⁾ D. Benjamin,⁽²⁷⁾
 J. Benloch,⁽¹⁴⁾ J. Bensinger,⁽²⁾ A. Beretvas,⁽⁶⁾ J. P. Berge,⁽⁶⁾ S. Bertolucci,⁽⁷⁾ K. Biery,⁽¹⁰⁾
 S. Bhadra,⁽⁹⁾ M. Binkley,⁽⁶⁾ D. Bisello,⁽¹⁷⁾ R. Blair,⁽¹⁾ C. Blocker,⁽²⁾ K. Bloom,⁽⁴⁾ A. Bodek,⁽²²⁾
 V. Bolognesi,⁽²⁰⁾ A. W. Booth,⁽⁶⁾ C. Boswell,⁽¹¹⁾ G. Brandenburg,⁽⁸⁾ D. Brown,⁽⁸⁾ E. Buckley-
 Geer,⁽⁶⁾ H. S. Budd,⁽²²⁾ G. Busetto,⁽¹⁷⁾ A. Byon-Wagner,⁽⁶⁾ K. L. Byrum,⁽¹⁾ C. Campagnari,⁽⁶⁾
 M. Campbell,⁽¹⁵⁾ A. Caner,⁽⁶⁾ R. Carey,⁽⁸⁾ W. Carithers,⁽¹³⁾ D. Carlsmith,⁽²⁸⁾ J. T. Carroll,⁽⁶⁾
 R. Cashmore,^(6,a) A. Castro,⁽¹⁷⁾ Y. Cen,⁽¹⁸⁾ F. Cervelli,⁽²⁰⁾ K. Chadwick,⁽⁶⁾ J. Chapman,⁽¹⁵⁾
 G. Chiarelli,⁽⁷⁾ W. Chinowsky,⁽¹³⁾ S. Cihangir,⁽⁶⁾ A. G. Clark,⁽⁶⁾ M. Cobal,⁽²⁰⁾ D. Connor,⁽¹⁸⁾
 M. Contreras,⁽⁴⁾ J. Cooper,⁽⁶⁾ M. Cordelli,⁽⁷⁾ D. Crane,⁽⁶⁾ J. D. Cunningham,⁽²⁾ C. Day,⁽⁶⁾
 F. DeJongh,⁽⁶⁾ S. Dell'Agnello,⁽²⁰⁾ M. Dell'Orso,⁽²⁰⁾ L. Demortier,⁽²³⁾ B. Denby,⁽⁶⁾
 P. F. Derwent,⁽¹⁵⁾ T. Devlin,⁽²⁴⁾ D. DiBitonto,⁽²⁵⁾ M. Dickson,⁽²²⁾ R. B. Drucker,⁽¹³⁾
 A. Dunn,⁽¹⁵⁾ K. Einsweiler,⁽¹³⁾ J. E. Elias,⁽⁶⁾ R. Ely,⁽¹³⁾ S. Eno,⁽⁴⁾ S. Errede,⁽⁹⁾
 A. Etchegoyen,^(6,a) B. Farhat,⁽¹⁴⁾ M. Frautschi,⁽¹⁶⁾ G. J. Feldman,⁽⁸⁾ B. Flaughner,⁽⁶⁾
 G. W. Foster,⁽⁶⁾ M. Franklin,⁽⁸⁾ J. Freeman,⁽⁶⁾ H. Frisch,⁽⁴⁾ T. Fuess,⁽⁶⁾ Y. Fukui,⁽¹²⁾
 A. F. Garfinkel,⁽²¹⁾ A. Gauthier,⁽⁹⁾ S. Geer,⁽⁶⁾ D. W. Gerdes,⁽¹⁵⁾ P. Giannetti,⁽²⁰⁾

Submitted to Physical Review D, Rapid Communications, May 28, 1993.

N. Giokaris,⁽²³⁾ P. Giromini,⁽⁷⁾ L. Gladney,⁽¹⁸⁾ M. Gold,⁽¹⁶⁾ J. Gonzalez,⁽¹⁸⁾ K. Goulianos,⁽²³⁾
H. Grassmann,⁽¹⁷⁾ G. M. Grieco,⁽²⁰⁾ R. Grindley,⁽¹⁰⁾ C. Grosso-Pilcher,⁽⁴⁾ C. Haber,⁽¹³⁾
S. R. Hahn,⁽⁶⁾ R. Handler,⁽²⁸⁾ K. Hara,⁽²⁶⁾ B. Harral,⁽¹⁸⁾ R. M. Harris,⁽⁶⁾ S. A. Hauger,⁽⁵⁾
J. Hauser,⁽³⁾ C. Hawk,⁽²⁴⁾ T. Hessian,⁽²⁵⁾ R. Hollebeek,⁽¹⁸⁾ L. Holloway,⁽⁹⁾ A. Hölscher,⁽¹⁰⁾
S. Hong,⁽¹⁵⁾ G. Houk,⁽¹⁸⁾ P. Hu,⁽¹⁹⁾ B. Hubbard,⁽¹³⁾ B. T. Huffman,⁽¹⁹⁾ R. Hughes,⁽²²⁾
P. Hurst,⁽⁸⁾ J. Huth,⁽⁶⁾ J. Hylen,⁽⁶⁾ M. Incagli,⁽²⁰⁾ T. Ino,⁽²⁶⁾ H. Iso,⁽²⁶⁾ H. Jensen,⁽⁶⁾
C. P. Jessop,⁽⁸⁾ R. P. Johnson,⁽⁶⁾ U. Joshi,⁽⁶⁾ R. W. Kadel,⁽¹³⁾ T. Kamon,⁽²⁵⁾ S. Kanda,⁽²⁶⁾
D. A. Kardelis,⁽⁹⁾ I. Karliner,⁽⁹⁾ E. Kearns,⁽⁸⁾ L. Keeble,⁽²⁵⁾ R. Kephart,⁽⁶⁾ P. Kesten,⁽²⁾
R. M. Keup,⁽⁹⁾ H. Keutelian,⁽⁶⁾ D. Kim,⁽⁶⁾ S. B. Kim,⁽¹⁵⁾ S. H. Kim,⁽²⁶⁾ Y. K. Kim,⁽¹³⁾
L. Kirsch,⁽²⁾ K. Kondo,⁽²⁶⁾ J. Konigsberg,⁽⁸⁾ K. Kordas,⁽¹⁰⁾ E. Kovacs,⁽⁶⁾ M. Krasberg,⁽¹⁵⁾
S. E. Kuhlmann,⁽¹⁾ E. Kuns,⁽²⁴⁾ A. T. Laasanen,⁽²¹⁾ S. Lammel,⁽³⁾ J. I. Lamoureux,⁽²⁸⁾
S. Leone,⁽²⁰⁾ J. D. Lewis,⁽⁶⁾ W. Li,⁽¹⁾ P. Limon,⁽⁶⁾ M. Lindgren,⁽³⁾ T. M. Liss,⁽⁹⁾
N. Lockyer,⁽¹⁸⁾ M. Loreti,⁽¹⁷⁾ E. H. Low,⁽¹⁸⁾ D. Lucchesi,⁽²⁰⁾ C. B. Luchini,⁽⁹⁾ P. Lukens,⁽⁶⁾
P. Maas,⁽²⁸⁾ K. Maeshima,⁽⁶⁾ M. Mangano,⁽²⁰⁾ J. P. Marriner,⁽⁶⁾ M. Mariotti,⁽²⁰⁾
R. Markeloff,⁽²⁸⁾ L. A. Markosky,⁽²⁸⁾ J. A. J. Matthews,⁽¹⁶⁾ R. Mattingly,⁽²⁾ P. McIntyre,⁽²⁵⁾
A. Menzione,⁽²⁰⁾ E. Meschi,⁽²⁰⁾ T. Meyer,⁽²⁵⁾ S. Mikamo,⁽¹²⁾ M. Miller,⁽⁴⁾ T. Mimashi,⁽²⁶⁾
S. Miscetti,⁽⁷⁾ M. Mishina,⁽¹²⁾ S. Miyashita,⁽²⁶⁾ Y. Morita,⁽²⁶⁾ S. Moulding,⁽²³⁾ J.
Mueller,⁽²⁴⁾ A. Mukherjee,⁽⁶⁾ T. Muller,⁽³⁾ L. F. Nakae,⁽²⁾ I. Nakano,⁽²⁶⁾ C. Nelson,⁽⁶⁾
D. Neuberger,⁽³⁾ C. Newman-Holmes,⁽⁶⁾ J. S. T. Ng,⁽⁸⁾ M. Ninomiya,⁽²⁶⁾ L. Nodulman,⁽¹⁾
S. Ogawa,⁽²⁶⁾ R. Paoletti,⁽²⁰⁾ V. Papadimitriou,⁽⁶⁾ A. Para,⁽⁶⁾ E. Pare,⁽⁸⁾ S. Park,⁽⁶⁾
J. Patrick,⁽⁶⁾ G. Pauletta,⁽²⁰⁾ L. Pescara,⁽¹⁷⁾ T. J. Phillips,⁽⁵⁾ A. G. Piacentino,⁽²⁰⁾
R. Plunkett,⁽⁶⁾ L. Pondrom,⁽²⁸⁾ J. Proudfoot,⁽¹⁾ F. Ptohos,⁽⁸⁾ G. Punzi,⁽²⁰⁾ D. Quarrie,⁽⁶⁾
K. Ragan,⁽¹⁰⁾ G. Redlinger,⁽⁴⁾ J. Rhoades,⁽²⁸⁾ M. Roach,⁽²⁷⁾ F. Rimondi,^(6,a) L. Ristori,⁽²⁰⁾

W. J. Robertson,⁽⁵⁾ T. Rodrigo,⁽⁶⁾ T. Rohaly,⁽¹⁸⁾ A. Roodman,⁽⁴⁾ W. K. Sakumoto,⁽²²⁾
A. Sansoni,⁽⁷⁾ R. D. Sard,⁽⁹⁾ A. Savoy-Navarro,⁽⁶⁾ V. Scarpine,⁽⁹⁾ P. Schlabach,⁽⁸⁾,
E. E. Schmidt,⁽⁶⁾ O. Schneider,⁽¹³⁾ M. H. Schub,⁽²¹⁾ R. Schwitters,⁽⁸⁾ G. Sciacca,⁽²⁰⁾
A. Scribano,⁽²⁰⁾ S. Segler,⁽⁶⁾ S. Seidel,⁽¹⁶⁾ Y. Seiya,⁽²⁶⁾ G. Sganos,⁽¹⁰⁾ M. Shapiro,⁽¹³⁾
N. M. Shaw,⁽²¹⁾ M. Sheaff,⁽²⁸⁾ M. Shochet,⁽⁴⁾ J. Siegrist,⁽¹³⁾ A. Sill,⁽²²⁾ P. Sinervo,⁽¹⁰⁾
J. Skarha,⁽¹¹⁾ K. Sliwa,⁽²⁷⁾ D. A. Smith,⁽²⁰⁾ F. D. Snider,⁽¹¹⁾ L. Song,⁽⁶⁾ T. Song,⁽¹⁵⁾
M. Spahn,⁽¹³⁾ P. Sphicas,⁽¹⁴⁾ A. Spies,⁽¹¹⁾ R. St. Denis,⁽⁸⁾ L. Stanco,⁽¹⁷⁾ A. Stefanini,⁽²⁰⁾
G. Sullivan,⁽⁴⁾ K. Sumorok,⁽¹⁴⁾ R. L. Swartz, Jr.,⁽⁹⁾ M. Takano,⁽²⁶⁾ K. Takikawa,⁽²⁶⁾
S. Tarem,⁽²⁾ F. Tartarelli,⁽²⁰⁾ S. Tether,⁽¹⁴⁾ D. Theriot,⁽⁶⁾ M. Timko,⁽²⁷⁾ P. Tipton,⁽²²⁾
S. Tkaczyk,⁽⁶⁾ A. Tollestrup,⁽⁶⁾ J. Tonnison,⁽²¹⁾ W. Trischuk,⁽⁸⁾ Y. Tsay,⁽⁴⁾ J. Tseng,⁽¹¹⁾
N. Turini,⁽²⁰⁾ F. Ukegawa,⁽²⁶⁾ D. Underwood,⁽¹⁾ S. Vejcik, III,⁽¹⁵⁾ R. Vidal,⁽⁶⁾ R. G. Wagner,⁽¹⁾
R. L. Wagner,⁽⁶⁾ N. Wainer,⁽⁶⁾ R. C. Walker,⁽²²⁾ J. Walsh,⁽¹⁸⁾ A. Warburton,⁽¹⁰⁾
G. Watts,⁽²²⁾ T. Watts,⁽²⁴⁾ R. Webb,⁽²⁵⁾ C. Wendt,⁽²⁸⁾ H. Wenzel,⁽²⁰⁾ W. C. Wester, III,⁽¹³⁾
T. Westhusing,⁽⁹⁾ S. N. White,⁽²³⁾ A. B. Wicklund,⁽¹⁾ E. Wicklund,⁽⁶⁾ H. H. Williams,⁽¹⁸⁾
B. L. Winer,⁽²²⁾ J. Wolinski,⁽²⁵⁾ D. Y. Wu,⁽¹⁵⁾ X. Wu,⁽²⁰⁾ J. Wyss,⁽¹⁷⁾ A. Yagil,⁽⁶⁾
K. Yasuoka,⁽²⁶⁾ Y. Ye,⁽¹⁰⁾ G. P. Yeh,⁽⁶⁾ J. Yoh,⁽⁶⁾ M. Yokoyama,⁽²⁶⁾ J. C. Yun,⁽⁶⁾ A. Zanetti,⁽²⁰⁾
F. Zetti,⁽²⁰⁾ S. Zhang,⁽¹⁵⁾ W. Zhang,⁽¹⁸⁾ S. Zucchelli,^(6,a)

The CDF Collaboration

(1) *Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439*

(2) *Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254*

(3) *University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024*

(4) *University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637*

- (5) *Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706*
- (6) *Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510*
- (7) *Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Frascati, Italy*
- (8) *Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138*
- (9) *University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801*
- (10) *Institute of Particle Physics, McGill University, Montreal, and University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada*
- (11) *The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218*
- (12) *National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK), Japan*
- (13) *Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720*
- (14) *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*
- (15) *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109*
- (16) *University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131*
- (17) *Università di Padova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*
- (18) *University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104*
- (19) *University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260*
- (20) *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University and Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy*
- (21) *Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907*
- (22) *University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627*
- (23) *Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021*
- (24) *Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854*
- (25) *Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843*
- (26) *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*
- (27) *Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155*

Abstract

We measure the Drell-Yan differential cross section $d^2\sigma/dMdy|_{|y|<1}$ over the mass range $11 < M < 150 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ using dielectron and dimuon data from $\bar{p}p$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s}=1.8 \text{ TeV}$. Our results show the $1/M^3$ dependence that is expected from the naive Drell-Yan model. In comparison to the predictions of recent QCD calculations, we find our data favor those parton distribution functions with the largest quark contributions in the x interval 0.006 to 0.03.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Qk, 12.15.Ji, 13.40.-f, and 12.38.Qk.

The Drell-Yan process, $q\bar{q} \rightarrow (\gamma, Z^0) \rightarrow l^+l^-$, is a probe of the parton distribution functions of the proton [1]. We present measurements of the differential cross section for electron and muon pair production, $d^2\sigma/dMdy|_{|y|<1}$, where y is the rapidity of the lepton pair [2], in $\bar{p}p$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s}=1.8 \text{ TeV}$.

The data were collected with the Collider Detector at the Fermilab Tevatron (CDF) during the 1988-89 run. The sample used consists of dielectron and dimuon events of integrated luminosity, \mathcal{L} , of 4.13 pb^{-1} and 2.77 pb^{-1} , respectively. The CDF is a large solenoidal magnetic spectrometer surrounded by calorimeters and muon detectors. The detector is described in detail in Reference 3. The fine-grain calorimeter segmentation and high-resolution central tracking allowed for excellent electron and muon identification as described in References 4 and 5, respectively.

The range of dilepton invariant masses measured in this experiment allows access to the small x region of the parton distribution functions down to $x = 0.006$ [6] [7], where x is

defined as the fraction of proton momentum carried by the parton. This work complements our previous measurement of the Z^0 cross section [4] [5] and our searches for new vector bosons and compositeness [8] in the dielectron and dimuon decay channels.

The online trigger required all dilepton events to have at least one hit in each of the forward and backward scintillator arrays [9]. Dielectron events were selected by additionally requiring a trigger of two clusters of electromagnetic energy in the central calorimeter [10]. Each of the triggering clusters was required to have transverse electromagnetic energy [11] (E_T) > 5 GeV, a track associated with the cluster with transverse momentum (p_T) > 4.8 GeV/c, and a ratio of hadronic to electromagnetic $E_T < 0.125$. The efficiency of this trigger, for a single electron, was determined as a function of E_T by studying events collected with an independent trigger. After reconstructing the events, we required two clusters of electromagnetic $E_T > 5$ GeV in the central detector ($|\eta| < 1$) [12]. To maintain high detection efficiency, one electron candidate was required to satisfy a set of tight cuts and the other to pass a set of looser cuts. The tight cuts were identical to those of Reference 13 with the exception of the cut value on the ratio of the calorimeter energy to track momentum, E/P , which was set to 1.5 instead of 2.0. The loose cuts differed from the tight ones in that the requirements were removed on energy sharing between adjacent towers and on lateral shower profile. The efficiency of these requirements, ϵ_{id} , was constant at 0.79 ± 0.03 for a wide range of electron E_T , as determined from Z^0 and J/ψ events. After these cuts 1111 dielectron events remained in the mass range $11 \leq M_{ee} \leq 150$ GeV/c².

Dimuon events were selected online with a trigger that required two tracks in the central tracking chamber with $p_T > 3$ GeV/c and matching tracks in the central muon chambers ($|\eta| < 0.6$) located outside the steel of the central hadron calorimeters [14]. The efficiency of

this trigger, for a single muon, was determined as a function of p_T by studying muons from events collected with an independent trigger and from cosmic ray events. After reconstruction we required one muon to have $p_T > 5$ GeV/c, and the other muon to have $p_T > 3$ GeV/c. Both muon tracks had to match a track segment in the central muon chambers to within 10 cm in the $\tau - \phi$ plane [15]. Each muon was also required to deposit less than 2.0 GeV of energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter tower and less than 6.0 GeV of energy in the hadronic calorimeter tower which it traversed. The efficiency of these requirements, ϵ_{id} , was determined from Z^0 and J/ψ events to be 0.84 ± 0.05 . To reject cosmic ray background events the opening angle between the two muons was required to be less than 175° and the impact parameters of both tracks with respect to the beam axis to be less than 0.15 cm. We were left with 832 dimuon events in the mass range $11 \leq M_{\mu\mu} \leq 150$ GeV/c².

Two sources dominate the background to the Drell-Yan signal: misidentification background and heavy quark decays. Misidentification background consists of events with either an electron from a photon conversion, a lepton from the decay in flight of a hadron, or a misidentified hadron. The heavy quark decay background consists of pairs, mostly $b\bar{b}$, for which both quarks decay semileptonically. Both of these backgrounds originate predominantly from QCD jet events and tend to have non-isolated lepton candidates since the leptons are typically surrounded by other particles from the jet. Leptons from the Drell-Yan process have opposite charge, and are expected to be isolated. We defined an isolation variable, $I = \max(I_1, I_2)$, where the I_i are the sums of the transverse momenta of the tracks within cones in η - ϕ space [12]. The cones had a radius of 0.5 and were centered on each of the two lepton tracks. In order to be included in the sum, a track must have had a transverse momentum above 0.4 GeV/c and must not have been the lepton itself. Figures 1a and 1b

show the distribution of this isolation variable for opposite- and same-charged electron and muon pairs, respectively.

Misidentification backgrounds are expected to be represented in equal amounts in events with same-charged leptons and in events with opposite-charged leptons. Indeed, we found that the isolation distributions for events failing the lepton identification cuts are charge symmetric. Therefore, we corrected for this background by subtracting the same-charge mass spectrum from the opposite-charged mass spectrum. This procedure also subtracted same-charged heavy flavor events. After subtraction, there remained the Drell-Yan signal plus the excess of the opposite-charged over same-charged heavy flavor backgrounds. To suppress the heavy flavor background we required I to be less than 1.0 GeV/c. After this cut, there were 171 e^+e^- , 13 $e^\pm e^\pm$, 81 $\mu^+\mu^-$, and 13 $\mu^\pm\mu^\pm$ events.

We estimate the background from heavy flavor events using the mass spectrum and isolation distributions observed in $e\mu$ pairs [16] which have no direct Drell-Yan contribution [17]. This background was estimated to be 19 ± 12 events in the dielectron sample and 8 ± 6 in the dimuon sample (see Figure 2).

The efficiency of the isolation cut, ϵ_{iso} , was 0.72 ± 0.03 and 0.69 ± 0.06 in the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ samples, respectively, independent of pair mass. This efficiency was measured by imposing the isolation cut on the leptons from Z^0 decays as well as from randomly selected directions in the isolated, opposite-charged pair events with invariant mass between 20 GeV/c² and 50 GeV/c². The two methods were consistent.

Other backgrounds are small. From a study of the distributions of dimuon opening angle and track impact parameters, we estimated that 1.7 ± 0.3 cosmic-ray events survived the dimuon cuts. Using a Monte Carlo calculation, we estimated 0.8 ± 0.5 dimuon events and 1.5

± 0.1 dielectron events from the leptonic decays of τ pairs produced through the Drell-Yan process. The total number of signal and background events in each mass bin for the electron and muon samples is given in Table 1.

The acceptance due to geometric and kinematic cuts was calculated using the ISAJET Monte Carlo [18] with the MRSB parton distribution functions [19] for $|y| < 1.0$. Table 1 lists the combined geometric and kinematic acceptance, A , including a requirement that the event vertex position be within 60 cm (2σ) of the nominal interaction point along the beam axis. The main difference between the dielectron and dimuon acceptance is due to the electron detector η coverage of -1.0 to +1.0 while the muon detector η coverage is only -0.6 to +0.6. Table 1 also contains the dielectron and dimuon trigger efficiencies, ϵ_{trig} , as a function of dilepton pair invariant mass. These trigger efficiencies were measured for electrons and muons passing their respective geometric and kinematic cuts.

To calculate the differential cross section we used the formula

$$\left. \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM dy} \right|_{|y|<1} = \frac{N_{oc} - N_{sc} - N_{bg}}{\Delta M \Delta y A \epsilon_{trig} \epsilon_{id} \epsilon_{iso} \mathcal{L}} \quad (1)$$

where N_{oc} is the number of isolated opposite-charged events, N_{sc} is the number of isolated same-charged events, N_{bg} is the number of background events in the charge-subtracted distribution, ΔM is the width of the mass bin, and Δy is the rapidity range of the parent boson. The cross sections are presented in Table 2 and are shown as a function of dilepton invariant mass in Figure 3. The points are plotted at the mass centroid of the bins. The cross section calculated at the Z^0 mass agrees well with previous CDF measurements [5].

We obtained the total systematic uncertainty of the cross section by adding, in quadrature, the errors from the following sources. There was an uncertainty of 5% in the acceptance,

A , due to varying the choice of parton distribution functions. The systematic error in the measurement of integrated luminosity was 7% [4]. For dielectrons (dimuons), systematic errors of 4(8)% and 4(6)% were due to the uncertainties in ϵ_{iso} and ϵ_{id} , respectively. While relatively small at high mass, uncertainties due to trigger efficiency and background subtraction become dominant at low mass (see Table 1).

Our combined results are shown in Figure 4 and are consistent with the $1/M^3$ dependence that is expected from the naive Drell-Yan model. Also shown are the predictions of next-to-leading order QCD calculations [20] using several recent parton distribution functions [6]. We find better agreement with those parton distribution functions having the largest quark content in the x interval, $0.006 < x < 0.03$, covered by our data.

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. We also thank James Stirling and Wu-Ki Tung for many valuable discussions. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation, the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, the Ministry of Science, Culture, and Education of Japan, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, and the Grainger Foundation.

References

^aVisitor.

- [1] Y. Yamaguchi, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **43A**, 193 (1966), S.D. Drell and T-M. Yan, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **25**, 316 (1970), J. H. Christenson, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **25**, 1523 (1970), L. M. Lederman and B. G. Pope, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **27**, 765 (1971).
- [2] Rapidity, y , is defined as $y = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{E+p_{\parallel}}{E-p_{\parallel}}\right)$ where p_{\parallel} is the longitudinal momentum along

the proton beam direction and E is the energy of the lepton pair.

- [3] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A271**, 387 (1988).
- [4] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D. **44**, 29 (1991).
- [5] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **69**, 28 (1992).
- [6] Recent parton distribution functions include: A.D. Martin and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. **B248**, 443 (1990), P.N. Harriman, A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.G. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D. **42**, 798 (1990), J.G. Morfin and W.-K. Tung, Z. Phys. **C52**, 13 (1991). A review of Parton Distribution Functions can be found in: D. F. Geesaman, J. Morfin, C. Sazama, and W. K. Tung, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Hadron Structure Functions and Parton Distributions*, Fermilab, April 26-28 (1990).
- [7] For recent review articles, see for example; I. R. Kenyon, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **45**, 1261 (1982), *Proceedings of the Drell-Yan Workshop*, Fermilab, October 7-8 (1982), C. Grosso-Pilcher and M. J. Shochet, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **36**, 1 (1986), J. P. Rutherford, *Proceedings of the 1985 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies*, 661 (1985).
- [8] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **67**, 2418 (1991); F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **68**, 1463 (1992).
- [9] D. Amidei *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A269**, 51 (1988).
- [10] L. Balka *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A267**, 272 (1988).

- [11] $E_T = E \sin \theta$ and $p_T = P \sin \theta$, where θ is the polar angle measured from the proton beam direction
- [12] η is the pseudorapidity, $-\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$, and ϕ is the azimuthal angle in the plane perpendicular to the proton beam direction.
- [13] F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D. **45**, 3921 (1992).
- [14] G. Ascoli *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A268**, 33 (1988).
- [15] For muon selection details see Victor E. Scarpine, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois, 1992.
- [16] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **67**, 3351 (1991).
- [17] For details see Kenneth Bloom, Bachelor's thesis, University of Chicago, 1992 (unpublished). See also Toshihiro Mimashi, Ph.D. thesis, University of Tsukuba, 1990.
- [18] F. Paige and S. Protopopescu, BNL Report No. BNL38034, 1986 (unpublished).
- [19] A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D. **37**, 1161 (1988).
- [20] James Stirling and Wu-Ki Tung, private communications.
- [21] We use Gaussian statistics to combine the dielectron and dimuon cross sections in the first five mass bins of Table 2, and also for the bin containing the Z^0 peak. We assume that all systematic errors, except for that due to integrated luminosity, are uncorrelated. The combined cross sections for the other mass bins, where the number of events detected is small, result from the use of Poisson statistics and come from the total number of dielectron and dimuon events normalized by their respective luminosities.

Table 1: Mass dependent values used to calculate $d^2\sigma/dMdy|_{|y|<1}$ using Equation 1. N_{oc} is the number of isolated opposite-charged events, N_{sc} is the number of isolated same-charged events, N_{bg} is the number of background events in the charge-subtracted distribution, A is the geometric and kinematic acceptance, and ϵ_{trig} is the trigger efficiency.

Mass bin (GeV/c ²)	N_{oc}	N_{sc}	N_{bg}	A	ϵ_{trig}
Electrons					
11-15	36	11	5.7 ± 5.4	0.18 ± 0.01	$0.47^{+0.16}_{-0.23}$
15-20	31	2	9.5 ± 7.3	0.23 ± 0.01	$0.77^{+0.03}_{-0.06}$
20-30	23	0	4.6 ± 3.6	0.25 ± 0.01	$0.90^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$
30-40	9	0	1.0 ± 0.6	0.25 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.05
40-50	3	0	0.21 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.04
50-60	2	0	0.14 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.04
60-70	2	0	0.035 ± 0.001	0.25 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.04
70-110	64	0	0.011 ± 0.001	0.24 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.04
110-150	1	0	0	0.25 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.04
Muons					
11-15	35	12	3.9 ± 3.9	0.078 ± 0.006	0.78 ± 0.06
15-20	18	1	2.6 ± 2.3	0.080 ± 0.006	0.81 ± 0.06
20-30	9	0	2.3 ± 1.7	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07
30-40	3	0	0.7 ± 0.4	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07
40-50	1	0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07
50-60	0	0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07
60-70	0	0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07
70-110	15	0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07
110-150	0	0	0	0.080 ± 0.006	0.82 ± 0.07

Table 2: $d^2\sigma/dMdy|_{y<1}$ for the dielectron, dimuon, and combined samples. The first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic for the dielectron and dimuon samples while the combined sample has the total statistical and systematic uncertainty.

Mass bin (GeV/c ²)	Mass centroid (GeV/c ²)	Dielectron (pb/(GeV/c ²))	Dimuon (pb/GeV/c ²)	Combined [21] (pb/GeV/c ²)
11-15	12.7	$12.4 \pm 4.5^{+5.5}_{-7.0}$	$24.4 \pm 8.8 \pm 6.4$	16.4 ± 6.3
15-20	17.1	$4.6 \pm 1.4^{+1.9}_{-2.3}$	$13.8 \pm 4.2 \pm 3.2$	6.3 ± 2.3
20-30	23.8	$1.8 \pm 0.45^{+0.40}_{-0.45}$	$3.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.0$	2.0 ± 0.6
30-40	34.2	$0.74 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.09$	$1.1 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.23$	0.78 ± 0.28
40-50	44.3	$0.27 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.03$	$0.43 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.09$	0.29 ± 0.16
50-60	54.5	$0.18 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$	—	0.16 ± 0.11
60-70	64.8	$0.19 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$	—	0.16 ± 0.11
70-110	90.7	$1.52 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.17$	$1.77 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.30$	1.56 ± 0.23
110-150	122.8	$0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.003$	—	0.02 ± 0.016

Figure 1: The distribution of the isolation variable I for opposite-charged (solid line) and same-charged (dashed line) electron pairs(1a) and muon pairs(1b).

Figure 2: Subtracted isolation distributions, ΔI , which result from subtracting the I -distribution for same-charged events from that of opposite-charged events. The data (Drell-Yan signal plus background) are shown as circles for both dielectrons (2a) and dimuons (2b). Also shown, as diamonds, are the estimated contribution from heavy flavor background events as measured from $e\mu$ events.

Figure 3: The Drell-Yan differential cross section $d^2\sigma/dMdy$ as measured in the dielectron and dimuon samples plotted as a function of dilepton invariant mass.

Figure 4: The combined dielectron and dimuon Drell-Yan differential cross section $M^3 d^2\sigma/dMdy$ as a function of dilepton invariant mass, with predictions using Martin-Roberts-Stirling and Morfin-Tung parton distribution functions [6].







