ORIGINAL



Marco Colatosti · Farui Shi · Nicholas Fantuzzi · Patrizia Trovalusci

Mechanical characterization of composite materials with rectangular microstructure and voids

Received: 23 January 2022 / Accepted: 8 March 2022 / Published online: 13 May 2022 \circledcirc The Author(s) 2022, corrected publication 2022

Abstract The purpose of this work is to study the mechanical behavior of microstructured materials, in particular porous media. We consider a detailed description of the material through a discrete model, considered as the benchmark of the problem. Two continuous models, one micropolar and one classic, obtained through a homogenization procedure of the material, are studied both in static and dynamic conditions. Furthermore, the internal characteristics of the material, such as the internal scale of the microstructure and the percentage of the voids, are made to vary in order to investigate the mechanical response and to have an exhaustive comparison among the models.

Keywords Composite materials \cdot Porous materials \cdot Orthotropic material \cdot Multiscale procedure \cdot Homogenization \cdot Micropolar theory

1 Introduction

Micromechanical modeling of materials has sparked a lot of attention in recent years, as it is well known that many materials contain heterogeneous microstructures that play a dominating role in defining macromechanical behavior. Multiphase fiber and particle composites, ceramic and metal composites, poly-crystals (tungsten-carbide, zinc oxide, aluminia, zirconia), rocks, concrete, masonry structures and granular materials are examples of materials where this happens. These materials show microstructures that occur at a variety of length scales, from meters to nanometers. The micromechanical behaviors across distinct material phases have a profound influence on the response of such heterogeneous solids. A detailed discrete model, considering these

M. Colatosti · P. Trovalusci (⊠) DISG Department, Sapienza University of Rome, Via A. Gramsci 53, Rome 00197, Italy E-mail: patrizia.trovalusci@uniroma1.it

M Colatosti E-mail: marco.colatosti@uniroma1.it

F. Shi

State Key Laboratory of Coal Mine Disaster Dynamics and Control, Chongqing University, No.174 Shazhengjie, Shapingba, Chongqing 400044, China E-mail: farui.shi@unibo.it

F. Shi

School of Resources and Safety Engineering, Chongqing University, No.174 Shazhengjie, Shapingba, Chongqing 400044, China

F. Shi · N. Fantuzzi DICAM Department, University of Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 2, Bologna 40136, Italy E-mail: nicholas.fantuzzi@unibo.it materials as Lagrangian systems, is characterized by the expensive computational cost of the numerical model [1,2] and for this, an alternative approach is preferable such as to adopt multiscale procedures for deriving equivalent homogenized continua. The capability of classical continuum mechanics theories to predict such phenomena is restricted, prompting the creation of a slew of novel micromechanical solids theories. There have been several instances where significant differences between theory and experimentation have been noticed. This refers, first and foremost, to stress situations with significant stress gradients. Stress concentrations in the vicinity of holes, notches, and fractures might be instances of such states. The disparity between the classical theory of elasticity and the tests is also noticeable in dynamical situations, such as elastic vibrations with high frequency and short wave lengths, such as ultrasonic waves [3–8]. More recently, different kind of continuum models have been proposed, in which the material response is dependent on certain microscale length parameters linked to the existence of inner degrees of freedom and non-local continuum behavior. 'Explicit' non-local behavior refers to the fact that the stress at a given location is influenced not only by the strain at that location, but also by the stresses at nearby locations. This necessitates non-local descriptions that incorporate internal length parameters, 'implicit' non-local description, with internal length and dispersion properties in wave propagation [3, 6, 9, 10], can be also obtained by adding extra degrees of freedom [11-14]. To explain the micromechanical behavior of materials, non classical and non-local theories based on higher-order gradients have been developed as well as micromorphic continua [9, 15]: the micropolar theory [16-18] is an example of 'implicit' non-local descriptions, in which the rotation of the material point (microrotation), different from the classical local rigid rotation (macrorotation), is introduced as an additional kinematic parameter. This theory has been successfully used to a variety of composites with both periodic and random microstructure [19-21] as well as the couple stress theory [22,23], in which the microrotation and the macrorotation coincide. Non-local 'explicit' theories have been adopted for the modeling of heterogeneous materials [24,25], such as composites with periodic microstructure [26] or random composites [27–29]. Given the extensive application of composite materials in many engineering structures, strong is the interest of researcher about the thermomechanical behavior of anisotropic materials [30,31]. In particular, techniques based on homogenization have been widely exploited to study the mechanical behavior of materials: for example, the effects of micro-fractures and contact simulations on the macroscopic response of deformed composites, fiber-reinforced materials [32] and the failure and the damage of periodic masonry assemblies [33,34] and of ceramic and advanced materials [35–37]. There are also many examples in which materials with voids [38–40] have been studied referring to Cosserat theory, such as foams [41–44], granular and geo-materials [45–51], cellular solids [52– 54 and different kind of structures such as rods [55] and shells [56–58] or even multifield models with affine microstructure [5,7]. In order to extend the numerical investigations about the microstructured composite materials made of rigid particles and elastic thin interfaces [59–61], the goal is to test the efficiency of the homogenization technique adopted [62,63], to describe porous materials, not yet analyzed with this approach by the authors themselves [64–66]. The study is conducted both in static and dynamic conditions, varying the internal characteristics and then proposing a comparison between a discrete model and two continuous models, one micropolar and one classic. This is how the article is structured: we start from a brief description of the micropolar theory, especially referring to the 2D case (Sect. 2), then the homogenization technique used for the homogenization of the materials is mentioned (Sect. 3), the simulations will be illustrated both as regards the numerical implementation and both as regards the results (Sect. 4), and, finally, the conclusions will be remarked (Sect. 5).

2 Micropolar theory

Extra degrees of freedom are considered in micropolar theory, in particular, the microrotation of the material particles is considered together with the displacements. Therefore, the displacement field of a material particle in a micropolar continuum is characterized by displacements and rotations. In a 3D framework, there are three displacement and three rotation components, which reduce to two displacement and one rotation components in the 2D case. In the present study, we refer to 2D problems in a linearized kinematical framework, where, the displacement components being gathered in the vector $\mathbf{u}^{\top} = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \omega], u_1$ and u_2 being the displacement components, and ω the micro-rotation. Note that the variable ω is different from the macro-rotation θ , defined as the skew-symmetric part of the gradient of displacement. The strain vector is: $\mathbf{e}^{\top} = [\varepsilon_{11} \ \varepsilon_{22} \ \varepsilon_{12} \ \varepsilon_{21} \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2]$, where ε_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2) are the normal and shear strain components, and the microcurvatures are indicated by κ_1 and κ_2 . Differently from the classical continuum, the strain components are not reciprocal $\varepsilon_{12} \neq \varepsilon_{21}$. The vector $\mathbf{\sigma}^{\top} = [\sigma_{11} \ \sigma_{22} \ \sigma_{12} \ \sigma_{21} \ \mu_1 \ \mu_2]$ collects the stress components, where σ_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2) represents the

normal and shear stress components, and μ_1 , μ_2 are the microcouples. Due the presence of microcouples, differently from the classical continuum, the shear stress components are not reciprocal: $\sigma_{12} \neq \sigma_{21}$. The couple stress components μ_1 , μ_2 allow to satisfy the moment equilibrium of the micropolar body.

The operator **D** is defined below:

$$\mathbf{D}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix},$$
(1)

as consequence, is possible to write the kinematic compatibility relation between the vectors u and ε :

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{u} \,, \tag{2}$$

Using the Hamilton's principle, the equilibrium of the body can be expressed as:

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (K - \Pi) \,\mathrm{d}t = 0\,, \tag{3}$$

where the term K indicates the kinetic energy and Π is the total potential energy, which is defined by the sum of the strain energy U and the potential of external loads V:

$$\Pi = U + V. \tag{4}$$

The variation of the kinetic energy is:

$$\delta K = \int_{V} \rho \delta \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\top} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}} \, \mathrm{d}V = h \int_{A} \delta \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\top} \mathbf{m} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}} \, \mathrm{d}A = -h \int_{A} \delta \boldsymbol{u}^{\top} \mathbf{m} \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}} \, \mathrm{d}A \,, \tag{5}$$

h is the thickness of the body which can be assumed unitary and **m** is mass matrix defined as:

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho J_c \end{bmatrix},$$
 (6)

where ρ is the material density and J_c represents the rotary inertia of the material point. The variation of the strain energy is written in the form:

$$\delta U = \int_{A} \delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, \mathrm{d}A \,, \tag{7}$$

and using Eq. (1):

$$\delta U = \int_{A} \delta \boldsymbol{u}^{\top} \mathbf{D}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, \mathrm{d}A \,. \tag{8}$$

Below, the variation of the potential of external loads is reported:

$$\delta V = -\int_{A} \delta \boldsymbol{u}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b} \, \mathrm{d}A - \int_{\gamma_{t}} \delta \boldsymbol{u}^{\top} \boldsymbol{t} \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \qquad (9)$$

where the body and surface forces are represented by the vectors \boldsymbol{b} and \boldsymbol{t} , respectively.

At this point, the micropolar anisotropic constitutive equation can be reported:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \,, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1111} & A_{1122} & A_{1112} & A_{1121} & B_{111} & B_{112} \\ A_{2222} & A_{2212} & A_{2221} & B_{221} & B_{222} \\ A_{1212} & A_{1221} & B_{121} & B_{122} \\ A_{2121} & B_{211} & B_{212} \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{A} & \mathbb{B} \\ \mathbb{B}^\top & \mathbb{D} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{11}$$



Fig. 1 Rectangular microstructures for the scale parameter s = 1 (a) v = 0.25, (b) v = 0.5, (c) v = 0.75



Fig. 2 RVEs for the microstructure with scale parameter s = 1 with a voids percentage v = 0.75

As hyperelastic media are considered, the stiffness matrix of the discrete system is symmetric, this yields that the continuum constitutive matrix **C** is symmetric ($\mathbf{C} \in Sym$). Thus, $A_{ijhk} = A_{hkij}$; $B_{ijh} = B_{hij}$; $D_{ij} = D_{ji}$ (*i*, *j*, *h*, *k* = 1, 2) and they are a fourth-order, third-order and second-order tensors, respectively [67]. On the basis of these assumptions, the Hamilton's principle can be written as:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\int_A \delta \boldsymbol{u}^\top \left(\mathbf{m} \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}} + \mathbf{D}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{u} \right) dA + \int_A \delta \boldsymbol{u}^\top \boldsymbol{b} \, dA + \int_{\gamma_t} \delta \boldsymbol{u}^\top \boldsymbol{t} \, d\gamma \right) dt = 0.$$
(12)

3 Continuum model

3.1 Homogenization

In this work, materials made of a rectangular microstructure and with the presence of voids (Fig. 1), representing to a porous medium, are taken into account: the blocks of the microstructure are assumed to be constant while the voids percentage v varies. In this case, two different size of the internal microstructure and three different percentage of the voids have been considered. In order to test the homogenization procedure for these kind of microstructured materials, we introduce a scale parameter s corresponding to the block lengths l_1 , l_2 and consider two cases: s = 1, with $l_1 = 0.8 \ \mu\text{m}$ and $l_2 = 0.2 \ \mu\text{m}$, and s = 0.5, with $l_1 = 0.4 \ \mu\text{m}$ and $l_2 = 0.1 \ \mu\text{m}$. The scale parameter is intended as the ratio between the characteristic length of the macrostructure and the microstructure internal length of the material. The percentage of the voids can be defined through the ratio, indicated as v, between the length of the portion of the side in horizontal contact between the blocks and the total side of the block. Here, the ratios considered are: v = 0.2/0.8 = 0.25, v = 0.4/0.8 = 0.5 and v = 0.6/0.8 = 0.75, obviously, by changing the scale of the blocks the aforementioned ratios are constant.

Three different voids size are considered, as reported in Fig. 2 where the representing volume element (RVE) is depicted. To apply the homogenization method to periodic assemblies, the RVE must be identified, as the volume element, made of the minimal number of elements and joints necessary to fully define the behavior of a discontinuous and heterogeneous material. The blocks are assumed rigid respect to the stiffness of the contact interfaces, and the elasticity of the microstructure is assumed to be concentrated in the joints between the blocks. For the interfaces, a linear elastic constitutive law is considered.

As homogenization criterion, an energy equivalence criterion is adopted, based on the a generalized version of the so-called Cauchy–Born rule [68–74] that is a kinematic correspondence map between discrete and continuous fields proposed in [62,63]. Non-classical continuous models of discrete systems of various kinds have

been derived using revised discrete-continuum correspondence maps using this approach, always accounting for the internal size effect of the microstructure [75–77]. The feasibility of giving a macroscopic description for heterogeneous media has been widely studied within the framework of the homogenization or coarse graining theories [11]. The power equivalence approach provides a straightforward way to determine all the constitutive parameters of the continuous medium, the components of the matrix **C** in Eq. 11, by establishing the elastic constants and the geometry of the discrete system. Finally, the constitutive matrix for the Cauchy model is derived from the previous Eq. 11 using the procedure in [78] as:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1111} & A_{1122} & 0 \\ A_{2211} & A_{2222} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} [A_{1212} + A_{2121}] + \frac{1}{2} A_{1221} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (13)

It should be remarked that Cauchy model does not keep memory of the internal length of the microstructure.

3.2 Finite element model

The continuum models have been solved with the finite element method that is based on the approximation of nodal displacements:

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \mathcal{N} \boldsymbol{d}^{\boldsymbol{e}}, \tag{14}$$

The current implementation was carried out utilizing an in-house MATLAB code [79]. The kinematic displacement vector is arranged as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{d}^{eT} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^1 \dots u_1^4 \ u_2^1 \dots u_2^4 \ \omega^1 \dots \omega^4 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

there are 12 degrees of freedom overall (3 per node). The vector N, which gathers the Lagrangian shape functions, makes up the matrix of shape functions:

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix} \,. \tag{16}$$

By replacing the above expression of the displacement vector, in the Hamilton principle, the kinetic energy becomes:

$$\delta K = -\delta d^{eT} \int_{A} \mathcal{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{m} \mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{d} A \, \ddot{d}^{e} \,. \tag{17}$$

The mass matrix reads:

$$\mathbf{M}^{e} = \int_{A} \mathcal{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{m} \mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{d}A \,. \tag{18}$$

The internal work takes the form:

$$\delta U = \delta d^{eT} h \int_{A} (\mathbf{D} \mathcal{N})^{\top} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{D} \mathcal{N}) \, \mathrm{d}A \, d^{e} = \delta d^{eT} h \int_{A} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{C} \, \mathbf{B} \, \mathrm{d}A \, d^{e} \,, \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D} \mathcal{N}$, thus the element stiffness matrix is:

$$\mathbf{K}^{e} = \int_{A} \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \, \mathbf{B} \, \mathrm{d}A \,, \tag{20}$$

which must be integrated using a 2×2 Gauss integration for normal components and micro-couples, while reduced integration is used for shear components. Finally, the potential of external forces is:

$$\delta V = -\delta d^{eT} \int_{A} \mathcal{N}^{\top} b \, \mathrm{d}A - \delta d^{eT} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \mathcal{N}^{\top} t \, d\gamma = -\delta d^{eT} F \,, \qquad (21)$$

where F is the global vector of volume and surface forces.



Fig. 3 Static scheme for the static analyses

4 Numerical simulations

It is emphasized that the constitutive matrix of the continuum model, C (Eq. 11), for all the cases here considered, with rectangular microstructure, is centrosymmetric and moreover orthotropic (Eqs. 22–27). Only the submatrix \mathbb{D} takes into account the internal scale of the microstructure of the material. In particular, due to the central symmetry, the submatrix $\mathbb{B} = \mathbf{0}$ and there is no coupling between normal and shear stresses/strains with curvatures/micro-couples, moreover, due to the absence of dilatancy effect in the discrete original model, no Poisson effect is present.

A rectangular panel, of width $L_x = 12 \ \mu m$ and height $L_y = 11.6 \ \mu m$, in the 2D plane stress case, both in static and in dynamic conditions, is studied. As a comparison, a lattice model as benchmark has been carried out in ABAQUS[®], and two continuum models, the Cosserat model and the Cauchy model, are compared with the latter. For the static case, the panel is subjected to a vertical load *p* applied at the top distributed in a foot print equal to $a = L_x/4$ (Fig. 3). In the dynamic case, free vibrations are considered.

4.1 Scale s = 1

The constitutive matrix for the microstructure with the scale parameter s = 1 and voids size v = 0.25 is:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\nu=0.25}^{s=1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2944 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1472 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.8398 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1449 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0232 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(22)

In Fig. 4, the vertical displacement component is shown and due to the symmetry of the problem only half of the panel is reported (only the vertical component of displacements is reported, as the most significant of the case study). It can be noted that the contour plot of the micropolar continuum is in line with the discrete system. There are not evident differences among the Cauchy and Cosserat models, even if, this last is able to

better distribute the level curves in comparison with the classical model. These differences have been shown to strongly increase when the load tip decreases [67].

The constitutive matrix for the microstructure with the scale parameter s = 1 and voids size v = 0.5 is:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\nu=0.5}^{s=1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8831 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1962 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0981 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.7662 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1766 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0196 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (23)

An increase in the size of the voids causes a decrease in the elastic contact among the rigid blocks of the microstructure, and this translates into a reduction in the elastic constants of the submatrix \mathbb{A} , hence, in a loss of the stiffness. Differently, for the terms of the submatrix \mathbb{D} , which depends, not only on the interface stiffness, but also on the internal size, there is an increment of the value of the term D_{11} , while the term D_{22} decreases respect to the previous case. The lower stiffness led to an increase in the maximum displacements, and the classical model gives reliable results only for the static case (Fig. 4), although different from the discrete model which results are in agreement with the micropolar ones.

Finally, the constitutive matrix for the microstructure with the scale parameter s = 1 and the voids size v = 0.75 is:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\nu=0.75}^{s=1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6010 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0981 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0491 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.2020 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1548 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0126 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (24)

In the latter case, there is a further reduction in the elastic constants of the matrix \mathbb{A} , while as regards the terms of the sub-matrix \mathbb{D} , the term D_{11} decreases with respect to the case in which the dimensions of the voids are v = 0.5, but it is greater than the case v = 0.25, the term D_{22} is smaller in both cases. This implies an increase in the maximum displacement, and both continuous models provide a good characterization of the discrete model behavior (Fig. 4).

Regarding the dynamic analysis of the microstructure with a voids size v = 0.75 (Fig. 5), it can be observed as for the first three modes, both the classical and the micropolar continuum are able to detect the displacement plots. However, for this last model the maximum error, in the natural frequencies evaluation (Table 1), is around 3%, whereas for the Cauchy model the error is very high compared to the discrete system and only the axial vibration mode exhibits a good result (the errors reported are the relative errors of the continuous models computed with respect to the discrete system). Furthermore, only the micropolar model is capable of detecting the correct dynamic behavior (mode shape) of the fourth mode.

From the dynamic analysis, the growth of the voids size highlights that the Cauchy model has worse results than the micropolar one, when compared to the discrete system and also with respect to the previous simulations with smaller voids percentage. Such large differences are noticed in terms of frequency (Table 1) and mode shapes (Fig. 6). The fourth mode of the Cauchy model, even if different from the previous case, does not match with the discrete one, and only the Cosserat model satisfactory represents the behavior of the benchmark.

For the voids size v = 0.75 case, the micropolar model is still efficient as regards the representation of the displacement fields of the discrete model (Fig. 7). The estimation of frequencies for both continuous models deteriorated as the size of the voids has become larger (Tab. 1), even if the largest error threshold of the Cosserat model is about the 3.5%, and as a result, it continues to be reliable.



Fig. 4 Vertical displacements for the microstructure with scale parameter s = 1. (a) v = 0.75 (b) v = 0.5 (c) v = 0.75

4.2 Scale s = 0.5

The constitutive matrix for the microstructure with the scale parameter s = 0.5 and voids size v = 0.25 is:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\nu=0.25}^{s=0.5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2944 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1472 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.8398 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0362 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0058 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(25)





Fig. 6 Vibration modes: microstructure with scale parameter s = 1 and voids size v = 0.5



Fig. 7 Vibration modes: microstructure with scale parameter s = 1 and voids size v = 0.75

Voids	Modes	Discrete	Cosserat	Error (%)	Cauchy	Error (%)
v = 0.25	1	5.19	5.20	-0.21	6.10	- 17.61
	2	11.79	11.70	0.841	11.69	0.84
	3	13.76	13.83	-0.48	19.20	-39.57
	4	24.44	25.17	-2.99	32.41	-32.62
v = 0.5	1	4.25	4.28	-0.76	5.10	-19.74
	2	9.62	9.55	0.84	9.55	0.84
	3	11.27	11.38	-1.00	16.85	- 49.49
	4	20.33	20.97	-3.17	28.66	-41.00
v = 0.75	1	3.16	3.05	3.45	3.65	-15.47
	2	6.95	6.75	2.84	6.75	2.84
	3	8.29	8.10	2.24	12.53	-51.16
	4	14.60	15.08	- 3.29	20.27	- 38.81

Table 1 Natural frequencies (Mhz) for the microstructure with scale parameter s = 1

The scale parameter s is taken into account by the submatrix \mathbb{D} , and, specifically, it can be seen that $D_{ii}^{s=1} \approx 4D_{ii}^{s=0.5}$ (i = 1, 2). There is an improvement in the correspondence between the results of the discrete model and the micropolar model when the internal scale of the microstructure is reduced, as shown in the contour plots of the vertical displacements for the static case (Fig. 8).

The constitutive matrix for the microstructure with the scale parameter s = 0.5 and voids size v = 0.5 is:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\nu=0.5}^{s=0.5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8831 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1962 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0981 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.7662 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0442 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0049 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(26)

Also in this case, the displacement field of the discrete model is well represented by the two continuous models, and the reduction in the scale favors the correspondence between the models; moreover, there is an increase in the maximum vertical displacement compared to the previous case (Fig. 8).



Fig. 8 Vertical displacements for the microstructure with scale parameter s = 0.5. (a) v = 0.75 (b) v = 0.5 (c) v = 0.75

In the end, the constitutive matrix for the microstructure with the scale parameter s = 0.5 and the voids size v = 0.75 is:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\nu=0.75}^{s=0.5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6010 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0981 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0491 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.2020 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0387 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0032 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(27)

With the decrease in the scale, as seen in the previous two cases, there is still a better correspondence between the continuous models and the discrete system (Fig. 8).



Fig. 9 Vibration modes: microstructure with scale parameter s = 0.5 and voids size v = 0.25

	6.11	
v = 0.25 1 5.18 5.17 0.21	6.11	- 17.79
2 11.74 11.70 0.40	11.69	0.40
3 17.76 13.78 -0.13	19.20	-39.54
4 24.68 24.85 -0.70	32.41	-31.32
v = 0.5 1 4.25 4.25 -0.04	5.09	-19.78
2 9.58 9.55 0.39	9.55	0.39
3 11.30 11.33 -0.35	16.85	-49.16
4 20.39 20.62 -1.13	28.66	-40.59
v = 0.75 1 2.99 3.01 -0.74	3.65	-21.951
2 6.75 6.75 0.02	6.75	0.02
3 7.95 8.06 -1.38	12.53	- 57.55
4 14.49 14.78 - 2.01	20.27	-39.92

Table 2 Natural frequencies (Mhz) for the microstructure with scale parameter s = 0.5

As regards the dynamic analysis, it is clear that only the micropolar model gives satisfactory results both in terms of plots (Fig. 9) and frequencies (Table 2). In particular, it has been noticed that the errors of the present results are smaller compared to the previous case with the scale parameter s = 1, in fact the the maximum error is around the 0.70%.

Also for case where the voids size is v = 0.5, the maximum error is reduced when the microstructure scale is reduced, which improves the natural frequencies evaluation for the micropolar model (Table 2); however, also in this case, the classical model is not able to predict the dynamic behavior of the benchmark and this is related to the orthotropic character of the material as well, except for the axial mode (Fig. 10).

Finally, the dynamic study reveals that decreasing the scale parameter improves the outcomes and in general, it can be stated that with the increase in the voids size the evaluation of the frequencies presents some worsening; however, the Cosserat model still offers a good estimate of the dynamic response, the maximum error being around the 2% (Fig. 11 and Table 2).







Fig. 11 Vibration modes: microstructure with scale parameter s = 0.5 and voids size v = 0.75

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have dealt with the numerical study of an orthotropic material, with the presence of voids, therefore assimilable to a porous medium. The comparisons among the discrete systems, assumed to be a micromechanical model of the material and supposed as the benchmark of the problem, and two continuous models were carried out through static and dynamic analyses. When the contact area between the blocks becomes significantly smaller than their geometric size, there is a worsening of the capability of the continuous model to represent the lattice system behavior. The differences between the Cosserat and Cauchy solutions arise as the scale ratio, understood as the ratio between the characteristic length of the macrostructure and the internal length of the material microstructure, increases because the Cauchy model cannot account for scale effects [80,81]. The work highlights the possibility of describing porous media through this homogenization procedure, not yet previously detailed for these kinds of materials, and how the introduction of a new internal characteristic of the material, the size of the voids, involves a deterioration of the results compared to nonporous materials for the classical model [65]. As widely shown in earlier works [59,62,81], for orthotropic media the inadequacy of the a classical continuum arises even when the actual value of the length parameter of the internal microstructure can be considered small compared to the macroscopic characteristics. Only in the isotropic case, the classical model can provide results comparable with the results of the micropolar model when the internal length decreases. The numerical results show that the Cauchy model is not sufficient to adequately characterize problems in which material internal lengths play a significant role and the case of porous media analyzed in this work also contribute to highlight, both in the static and the dynamic case, this aspect. In this work, it has been particularly highlighted, from the dynamic analyses, not already performed in earlier papers, that the micropolar model, accounting for material size and anisotropy [67], makes an important contribution and allows us to accurately describe the mechanical behavior of the discrete system adopted to finely represent the material behavior.

Acknowledgements This study is supported by: Italian Ministry of University and Research PRIN 2017, project No. 2017HFPCUP: Ateneo 2021 CUP: B85F21008380001. The second author acknowledges the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for providing funds (No. 202006050138) to support his study and research at the University of Bologna.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Yang, D., Sheng, Y., Ye, J., Tan, Y.: Discrete element modeling of the microbond test of fiber reinforced composite. Comput. Mater. Sci. 49(2), 253–259 (2010)
- 2. Reccia, E., Leonetti, L., Trovalusci, P., Cecchi, A.: A multiscale/multidomain model for the failure analysis of masonry walls: a validation with a combined fem/dem approach. Int. J. Multiscale Comput. Eng. **16**(4) (2018)
- 3. Kunin, I.A.: The theory of elastic media with microstructure and the theory of dislocations. In: Kröner, E. (ed.) Mechanics of Generalized Continua, pp. 321–329. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1968)
- 4. Puri, P., Cowin, S.: Plane waves in linear elastic materials with voids. J. Elast. 15, 167–183 (1985)
- 5. Trovalusci, P., Varano, V., Rega, G.: A generalized continuum formulation for composite microcracked materials and wave propagation in a bar. J. Appl. Mech. 77(6) (2010)
- Reda, H., Rahali, Y., Ganghoffer, J.-F., Lakiss, H.: Wave propagation in 3d viscoelastic auxetic and textile materials by homogenized continuum micropolar models. Compos. Struct. 141, 328–345 (2016)
- 7. Settimi, V., Trovalusci, P., Rega, G.: Dynamical properties of a composite microcracked bar based on a generalized continuum formulation. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. **31**(6), 1627–1644 (2019)
- 8. Eremeyev, V.A., Rosi, G., Naili, S.: Transverse surface waves on a cylindrical surface with coating. Int. J. Eng. Sci. 147, 103188 (2020)

- 9. Eringen, A.C.: Microcontinuum Field Theories: I. Foundations and Solids. Springer, Berlin (2012)
- Neff, P., Ghiba, I.-D., Madeo, A., Placidi, L., Rosi, G.: A unifying perspective: the relaxed linear micromorphic continuum. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. 26(5), 639–681 (2014)
- Trovalusci, P.: In: Sadowski, T., Trovalusci, P. (eds.) Molecular Approaches for Multifield Continua: Origins and Current Developments, pp. 211–278. Springer, Vienna (2014)
- 12. Tuna, M., Leonetti, L., Trovalusci, P., Kirca, M.: explicit and implicit non-local continuous descriptions for a plate with circular inclusion in tension. Meccanica 55(4), 927–944 (2020)
- 13. Tuna, M., Trovalusci, P.: Scale dependent continuum approaches for discontinuous assemblies: explicit and implicit non-local models. Mech. Res. Commun. **103**, 103461 (2020)
- 14. Tuna, M., Trovalusci, P.: Stress distribution around an elliptic hole in a plate with implicit and explicit non-local models. Compos. Struct. **256**, 113003 (2021)
- 15. Forest, S.: Micromorphic approach for gradient elasticity, viscoplasticity, and damage. J. Eng. Mech. 135(3), 117–131 (2009)
- 16. Nowacki, W.: Theory of micropolar elasticity. Springer, Berlin (1972)
- 17. Eringen, A.C.: Theory of Micropolar Elasticity, pp. 101-248. Springer, New York (1999)
- 18. Capriz, G.: Continua with microstructure. Springer, Berlin (2013)
- 19. Forest, S., Sab, K.: Cosserat overall modeling of heterogeneous materials. Mech. Res. Commun. 25(4), 449-454 (1998)
- Forest, S., Dendievel, R., Canova, G.R.: Estimating the overall properties of heterogeneous cosserat materials. Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. 7(5), 829 (1999)
- Trovalusci, P., Ostoja-Starzewski, M., De Bellis, M.L., Murrali, A.: Scale-dependent homogenization of random composites as micropolar continua. Eur. J. Mech. A. Solids 49, 396–407 (2015)
- 22. Bouyge, F., Jasiuk, I., Ostoja-Starzewski, M.: A micromechanically based couple-stress model of an elastic two-phase composite. Int. J. Solids Struct. **38**(10), 1721–1735 (2001)
- 23. Roque, C.M.C., Fidalgo, D.S., Ferreira, A.J.M., Reddy, J.N.: A study of a microstructure-dependent composite laminated timoshenko beam using a modified couple stress theory and a meshless method. Compos. Struct. **96**, 532–537 (2013)
- 24. Drugan, W.J., Willis, J.R.: A micromechanics-based nonlocal constitutive equation and estimates of representative volume element size for elastic composites. J. Mech. Phys. Solids **44**(4), 497–524 (1996)
- Luciano, R., Willis, J.R.: Bounds on non-local effective relations for random composites loaded by configuration-dependent body force. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 48(9), 1827–1849 (2000)
- Luciano, R., Barbero, E.J.: Analytical expressions for the relaxation moduli of linear viscoelastic composites with periodic microstructure. J. Appl. Mech. 62(3), 786–793 (1995)
- Luciano, R., Willis, J.R.: Boundary-layer corrections for stress and strain fields in randomly heterogeneous materials. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 51(6), 1075–1088 (2003)
- Luciano, R., Willis, J.R.: Fe analysis of stress and strain fields in finite random composite bodies. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 53(7), 1505–1522 (2005)
- Luciano, R., Willis, J.: Hashin–Shtrikman based Fe analysis of the elastic behaviour of finite random composite bodies. Int. J. Fract. 137(1), 261–273 (2006)
- 30. Marin, M., Othman, M., Abbas, I.: An extension of the domain of influence theorem for generalized thermoelasticity of anisotropic material with voids. J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 12 (2015)
- 31. Othman, M., Said, S., Marin, M.: A novel model of plane waves of two-temperature fiber-reinforced thermoelastic medium under the effect of gravity with three-phase-lag model. Int. J. Numer. Methods Heat Fluid Flow (2019)
- 32. Greco, F., Leonetti, L., Luciano, R., Blasi, P.N.: Effects of microfracture and contact induced instabilities on the macroscopic response of finitely deformed elastic composites. Compos. B Eng. **107**, 233–253 (2016)
- Greco, F., Leonetti, L., Luciano, R., Trovalusci, P.: Multiscale failure analysis of periodic masonry structures with traditional and fiber-reinforced mortar joints. Compos. B Eng. 118, 75–95 (2017)
- Leonetti, L., Greco, F., Trovalusci, P., Luciano, R., Masiani, R.: A multiscale damage analysis of periodic composites using a couple-stress/cauchy multidomain model: Application to masonry structures. Compos. B Eng. 141, 50–59 (2018)
- Sadowski, T., Samborski, S.: Prediction of the mechanical behaviour of porous ceramics using mesomechanical modelling. Comput. Mater. Sci. 28(3–4), 512–517 (2003)
- Sadowski, T., Samborski, S.: Development of damage state in porous ceramics under compression. Comput. Mater. Sci. 43(1), 75–81 (2008)
- 37. Altenbach, H., Sadowski, T.: Failure and Damage Analysis of Advanced Materials (2015)
- Yuan, X., Tomita, Y.: A homogenization method for analysis of heterogeneous cosserat materials. In: Key Engineering Materials, vol. 177, pp. 53–58 (2000). Trans Tech Publ
- Pasternak, E., Muhlhaus, H.: Cosserat continuum modelling of granulate materials. In: Cosserat Continuum Modelling of Granulate Materials, pp. 1189–1194 (2001). Elsevier
- Bigoni, D., Drugan, W.J.: Analytical Derivation of Cosserat Moduli via Homogenization of Heterogeneous Elastic Materials. J. Appl. Mech. 74(4), 741–753 (2006)
- 41. Lakes, R.S.: Size effects and micromechanics of a porous solid. J. Mater. Sci. 18(9), 2572–2580 (1983)
- 42. Anderson, W.B., Lakes, R.S.: Size effects due to cosserat elasticity and surface damage in closed-cell polymethacrylimide foam. J. Mater. Sci. 29, 6413–6419 (1994)
- 43. Rueger, Z., Lakes, R.S.: Experimental cosserat elasticity in open-cell polymer foam. Phil. Mag. 96, 111-93 (2016)
- 44. Rueger, Z., Lakes, R.: Experimental study of elastic constants of a dense foam with weak cosserat coupling. J. Elast. **137**(1), 101–115 (2019)
- 45. Muhlhaus, H.: Shear band analysis in granular material by cosserat theory. Int. Symp. Numer. Models Geomech. 2, 115–122 (1986)
- Tejchman, J., Herle, I., Wehr, J.: Fe-studies on the influence of initial void ratio, pressure level and mean grain diameter on shear localization. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech. 23(15), 2045–2074 (1999)
- Manzari, M.T.: Application of micropolar plasticity to post failure analysis in geomechanics. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech. 28(10), 1011–1032 (2004)

- 48. Alshibli, K.A., Alsaleh, M.I., Voyiadjis, G.Z.: Modelling strain localization in granular materials using micropolar theory: numerical implementation and verification. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech. **30**(15), 1525–1544 (2006)
- Tejchman, J.: Effect of fluctuation of current void ratio on the shear zone formation in granular bodies within micro-polar hypoplasticity. Comput. Geotech. 33(1), 29–46 (2006)
- Ebrahimian, B., Noorzad, A., Alsaleh, M.I.: Modeling shear localization along granular soil-structure interfaces using elastoplastic cosserat continuum. Int. J. Solids Struct. 49(2), 257–278 (2012)
- 51. Li, X., Liang, Y., Duan, Q., Schrefler, B.A., Du, Y.: A mixed finite element procedure of gradient cosserat continuum for second-order computational homogenisation of granular materials. Comput. Mech. **54**(5), 1331–1356 (2014)
- 52. Lakes, R.: Experimental micro mechanics methods for conventional and negative Poisson's ratio cellular solids as Cosserat Continua. J. Eng. Mater. Technol. **113**(1), 148–155 (1991)
- 53. Onck, P.R.: Cosserat modeling of cellular solids. Comptes Rendus Mécanique 330(11), 717–722 (2002)
- Goda, I., Assidi, M., Belouettar, S., Ganghoffer, J.-F.: A micropolar anisotropic constitutive model of cancellous bone from discrete homogenization. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 16C, 87–108 (2012)
- 55. Bîrsan, M., Altenbach, H.: On the cosserat model for thin rods made of thermoelastic materials with voids. Discrete Continuous Dyn. Syst.-S 6(6), 1473 (2013)
- 56. Bîrsan, M.: Saint-Venant's problem for cosserat shells with voids. Int. J. Solids Struct. 42(7), 2033–2057 (2005)
- 57. Bîrsan, M.: On a thermodynamic theory of porous Cosserat elastic shells. J. Therm. Stresses 29(9), 879-899 (2006)
- Bîrsan, M.: On the use of Korn's type inequalities in the existence theory for cosserat elastic surfaces with voids, pp. 11–20 (2007)
- Fantuzzi, N., Trovalusci, P., Dharasura, S.: Mechanical behavior of anisotropic composite materials as micropolar continua. Front. Mater. 6, 59 (2019)
- Fantuzzi, N., Trovalusci, P., Luciano, R.: Material symmetries in homogenized hexagonal-shaped composites as Cosserat continua. Symmetry 12(3), 441 (2020)
- Fantuzzi, N., Trovalusci, P., Luciano, R.: Multiscale analysis of anisotropic materials with hexagonal microstructure as micropolar continua. Int. J. Multiscale Comput. Eng. 18(2) (2020)
- 62. Masiani, R., Rizzi, N., Trovalusci, P.: Masonry as structured continuum. Meccanica 30(6), 673–683 (1995)
- 63. Masiani, R., Trovalusci, P.: Cosserat and Cauchy materials as continuum models of brick masonry. Meccanica **31**(4), 421–432 (1996)
- 64. Colatosti, M., Fantuzzi, N., Trovalusci, P., Masiani, R.: New insights on homogenization for hexagonal-shaped composites as cosserat continua. Meccanica, 1–20 (2021)
- Colatosti, M., Fantuzzi, N., Trovalusci, P.: Dynamic characterization of microstructured materials made of hexagonal-shape particles with elastic interfaces. Nanomaterials 11(7) (2021)
- 66. Colatosti, M., Fantuzzi, N., Trovalusci, P.: Time-history analysis of composite materials with rectangular microstructure under shear actions. Materials 14(21) (2021)
- 67. Trovalusci, P., Masiani, R.: Material symmetries of micropolar continua equivalent to lattices. Int. J. Solids Struct. **36**(14), 2091–2108 (1999)
- 68. Ericksen, J.L.: Special topics in elastostatics. Adv. Appl. Mech. 17, 189-244 (1977)
- Ericksen, J.: Phase Transformations and Material Instabilities in Solids. The Cauchy and Born Hypotheses for Crystals, pp. 61–77. Academic Press, London (1984)
- 70. Ericksen, J.L.: On the Cauchy-Born rule. Math. Mech. Solids 13(3-4), 199-220 (2008)
- 71. Trovalusci, P., Capecchi, D., Ruta, G.: Genesis of the multiscale approach for materials with microstructure. Arch. Appl. Mech. **79**(11), 981 (2009)
- 72. Capecchi, D., Ruta, G., Trovalusci, P.: From classical to Voigt's molecular models in elasticity. Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. 64(5), 525–559 (2010)
- Capecchi, D., Ruta, G., Trovalusci, P.: Voigt and Poincaré's mechanistic-energetic approaches to linear elasticity and suggestions for multiscale modelling. Arch. Appl. Mech. 81(11), 1573–1584 (2011)
- 74. Fish, J., Wagner, G., Keten, S.: Mesoscopic and multiscale modelling in materials. Nat. Mater. 20(6), 774-786 (2021)
- Sulem, J., Mühlhaus, H.-B.: A continuum model for periodic two-dimensional block structures. Mech. Cohesive-frict. Mater. 2(1), 31–46 (1997)
- Stefanou, I., Sulem, J., Vardoulakis, I.: Homogenization of interlocking masonry structures using a generalized differential expansion technique. Int. J. Solids Struct. 47(11–12), 1522–1536 (2010)
- Reis, F.D., Ganghoffer, J.-F.: Construction of micropolar continua from the asymptotic homogenization of beam lattices. Comput. Struct. 112, 354–363 (2012)
- Pau, A., Trovalusci, P.: Block masonry as equivalent micropolar continua: the role of relative rotations. Acta Mech. 223(7), 1455–1471 (2012)
- 79. Ferreira, A., Fantuzzi, N.: MATLAB Codes for Finite Element Analysis 2nd edition: Solids and Structures. Springer (2020)
- Trovalusci, P., Masiani, R.: Non-linear micropolar and classical continua for anisotropic discontinuous materials. Int. J. Solids Struct. 40(5), 1281–1297 (2003)
- Trovalusci, P., Pau, A.: Derivation of microstructured continua from lattice systems via principle of virtual works: the case of masonry-like materials as micropolar, second gradient and classical continua. Acta Mech. 225(1), 157–177 (2014)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.