UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Mechanism for the difference in lifetimes of charged and neutral D mesons

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/03k4b45v

Journal

Physical Review Letters, 44(1)

ISSN

0031-9007

Authors

Bander, M Silverman, D Soni, A

Publication Date

1980

DOI

10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.7

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

1977), p. 489.

⁶I. Peruzzi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 1301 (1977);

D. L. Scharre et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 74 (1978); and J. E. Wiss et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 1531 (1976).

⁷S. P. Rosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>41</u>, 3 (1978).

⁸S. P. Rosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. D 18, 2514 (1978).

⁹See, for example, the paper by Fakirov and Stech, Ref. 3.

¹⁰The pole model for strangeness-changing decay was originally introduced by G. J. Feldman, P. T. Matthews, and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. 121, 302 (1961). For reviews of the subject, see V.S. Mathur and L.K. Pandit in Advances in Particle Physics, edited by R. L. Cool and R. E. Marshak (Interscience, New York, 1968), Vol. 2, p. 383, and S. P. Rosen and S. Pakvasa, ibid.,

p. 473.

¹¹The importance of strong interactions in enhancing particular final states of D decay has recently been emphasized by J. Donoghue and B. R. Holstein [M.I.T Report No. CTP-779, 1979 (to be published)], and by S. Kaptanoglu, Phys. Rev. D 18, 1554 (1978).

¹²Estimates of f_K have been given by S. Gershtein and M. Khlopov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, 374 (1976) [JETP Lett. 23, 338 (1976)]; V. Novikov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 626 (1977); and A. Ali et al., Z. Phys. C 1, 269 (1979).

¹³B. McKellar (private communication) has estimated the annihilation-diagram contribution to $F^+ \rightarrow$ hadrons via a pole model and finds it comparable to the purely leptonic rate.

Mechanism for the Difference in Lifetimes of Charged and Neutral D Mesons

Myron Bander, D. Silverman, and A. Soni

Department of Physics, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92717

(Received 17 October 1979)

The reaction $D^0 \rightarrow s + \overline{d} + \text{gluon is proposed as a source for the difference in the life$ times of the charged and neutral D mesons. In a nonrelativistic bound-state model the rate for the reaction is found to depend on the ratio f_D/m_{μ} . For reasonable values of this ratio the observed difference in the lifetimes may be accounted for.

A number of experiments¹ have recently reported a significant difference in the lifetimes of the charged and neutral D mesons, with $\tau_{D^{\pm}}$ perhaps as much as six times as large as τ_{D^0} . It has been argued that mesons containing a heavy quark c, b, or t will decay through a mechanism where the light quark acts as a spectator² [Fig. 1(a)]. The process depicted in Fig. 1(b) can contribute only to the decay of the $D^{0,3}$ However, by the usual helicity arguments the contribution of Fig. 1(b) is suppressed by the square of the ratio of light-to heavy-quark masses and by f_D^2/m_c^2 , f_D being the pure leptonic decay constant of the Ddefined by

$$\langle D(p) | J_{\mu}^{A} | 0 \rangle = \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{f_{D} p_{\mu}}{(2\omega_{D})^{1/2}},$$
 (1)

where J^A is the weak hadronic axial-vector current. The spectator graph leads to equal charged and neutral decay rates given by⁴

$$\Gamma_{\rm sp} = \Gamma_{\rm u} (m_c / m_{\rm u})^5 [2 + 3a_3], \qquad (2)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mu} = G_{F}^{2} m_{\mu}^{5} / 192 \pi^{3}$ is the rate for muon decay $\mu \rightarrow e \nu_{\mu} \nu_{e}$. The factor of 2 is for leptons, and 3 for colors, and $a_3 = (2f_+^2 + f_-^2)/3$. The coefficients f_+ and f_- incorporate renormalization effects due to gluon exchange on the terms in the

weak Lagrangian transforming as the 20 and 84 of SU(4), respectively.⁵ Using $\alpha_s(m_c^2) = 0.6$, we obtain $f_- \sim 2$ and $f_+ \sim 0.7$, leading to $a_3 = 1.7$.

In this note, we propose a mechanism that may account for the observed difference in lifetimes. It is the one depicted in Fig. 2, namely,

$$D^0 \rightarrow s + \vec{d} + \gamma_s (\text{gluon})$$
 (3)

We have calculated the contribution of this process by considering the D^0 meson (mass = 1.86 GeV) as a nonrelativistic bound state of c and u quarks with "constituent" quark masses of $m_c \sim 1.55 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\mu} \sim 0.3$ GeV. The momentum variation of the bound-state wave function is faster than that



FIG. 1. Graphs contributing to D-meson decays. (a) The "spectator" graph that contributes to the nonleptonic and semileptonic decays of both the charged and the neutral D mesons. (b) This contributes to the decays of the D^0 (\overline{D}^0) only. See Ref. 3.



FIG. 2. Dominant contribution to the decay $D^{0} \rightarrow s$ + $\overline{d} + \gamma_s$. Graphs with emission of the gluons off the final quark lines are suppressed by the helicity factor and are being ignored.

of the amplitude multiplying it and thus the total amplitude is proportional to the wave function at the origin and, in turn, to f_{μ}^{6}

The gauge-invariant amplitude for the contribution of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) can be written as (color indices are suppressed)

$$A = \frac{g_s G_{eff}}{q^0 \sqrt{2}} \left[F_A(q_\mu p_\nu - q \cdot p g_{\mu\nu}) + i F_V \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p^{\alpha} q^{\beta} \right] \\ \times \frac{\epsilon^{\nu}(q) l^{\mu}}{\left[2\omega_D(2\pi)^3 \right]^{1/2}}, \qquad (4)$$

where ϵ^{ν} is the polarization of the gluon and l^{μ} the weak current of the light quarks:

$$l^{\mu} = \bar{u}_{s}(q_{1})\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})v_{d}(q_{2}).$$
(5)

Since we are dealing with gluon emission from a color-neutral state the gauge-invariant amplitude (4) is infrared finite. Note that the contribution for gluon emission from final-state light-quark lines will be suppressed by powers of m_s^2 and/or m_d^2 and is therefore neglected.

In the nonrelativistic model that we have adopted we find

$$F_{V} \approx \frac{\psi(0)}{m_{u}m_{c}} (2m_{D})^{1/2} = \frac{f_{D}}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{m_{D}}{m_{u}m_{c}} , \qquad (6)$$

$$F_{A} \approx \psi(0) \frac{m_{u} - m_{c}}{m_{u} m_{c} m_{D}} (2m_{D})^{1/2}$$
$$= \frac{f_{D}}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{m_{u} - m_{c}}{m_{u} m_{c}} .$$
(7)

The decay rate, Γ_{g} , for the process (3) is then found to be

$$\Gamma_{g} = G_{F}^{2} a_{8}^{+} \alpha_{s} \left[|F_{V}|^{2} + |F_{A}|^{2} \right] m_{D}^{-5} / 108 \pi^{2}$$
(8)

$$\approx G_{\rm F}^2 a_8^{+} \alpha_s f_D^2 m_D^5 / 324 \pi^2 m_u^2 , \qquad (9)$$

where $a_8^+ = (f_+ + f_-)^2/4$. This leads to a ratio of

lifetimes:

$$R = \frac{\tau_{D^{\pm}}}{\tau_{D^{0}}} = 1 + \left(\frac{m_{D}}{m_{c}}\right)^{5} \frac{16\alpha_{s}\pi}{27} \frac{f_{D}^{2}}{m_{u}^{2}} \frac{a_{s}^{+}}{2+3a_{3}}.$$
 (10)

With $\alpha_s = 4\pi/[9\ln(m_D^2/\Lambda^2)]$, and $\Lambda = 0.5$ GeV, we obtain

$$R \approx 1 + 0.7 (f_D^2/m_u^2).$$
 (11)

Both f_D and m_u are not accurately known. In the literature estimates for f_D range from about 150 to 800 MeV.⁷ In fact, a nonrelativistic-potential model calculation based on the potential $V(r) = -4\alpha_s/3r + r/a^2$, with $a = 1.95 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, yields⁸

$$1 \leq f_D / m_u \leq 2. \tag{12}$$

Using $m_u = 300$ MeV and the values of f_D from the literature quoted above, we find that R varies from 1.2 to 7. The larger values of f_D/m_u could therefore account for a significant difference in the lifetimes of neutral and charged D mesons.

Our method of calculating F_A and F_V of Eqs. (6) and (7) based on a nonrelativistic bound-state model are expected to work, at best, for heavyquark systems. They are totally unreliable for π or K mesons. Analogous form factors exist⁹ for $\pi (K) \rightarrow l\nu\gamma$ (also $\pi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$), but they are smaller by a factor of 10^2 for the π case and a factor of 10 for the case of K mesons than a model as ours would suggest. For light mesons these form factors can be understood on the basis of partial conservation of axial-vector current (PCAC) arguments. We do not expect soft-D-meson limits to work. On the other hand, nonrelativistic boundstate models have had considerable success in the heavier systems.¹⁰

We expect an analogous mechanism to be important in other heavy-meson decays. Some consequences are as follows:

(1) The contribution of the gluon mechanism of Fig. 2 to the width of the charmed F meson can be obtained by replacing a_8^+ with $a_8^- = (f_+ - f_-)^2/4$, m_D with m_F , m_u with m_s , and f_D with f_F in Eq. (9). Note that since the W carries no color, the renormalization of the weak four-fermion vertex via gluon exchange is crucial to this contribution and it vanishes in the limit of $f_+=f_-=1$. We thus obtain

$$\frac{\tau_{D^{\pm}}}{\tau_{F^{\pm}}} \approx 1 + \left[\left(\frac{m_{F}}{m_{c}} \right)^{5} \frac{16\alpha_{s}\pi}{27} \frac{f_{F}^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}} \frac{a_{8}}{2 + 3a_{3}} \right] \\ + \left[\frac{24\pi^{2}}{2 + 3a_{3}} \frac{m_{F}m_{\tau}^{2}}{m_{c}^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}}{m_{F}^{2}} \right)^{2} \frac{f_{F}^{2}}{m_{c}^{2}} \right]$$
(13)

$$\approx 1 + 0.2 (f_F^2/m_s^2) + 2.4 (f_F^2/m_c^2). \qquad (14)$$

In Eqs. (13) and (14) the last factors are for the pure leptonic mode $F \rightarrow \tau + \nu_{\tau}$. Thus the lifetime of the F meson and its semileptonic branching ratio would be somewhat smaller than that of the charged D meson.¹¹

(2) The lifetimes of the neutral mesons containing b and t quarks and their semileptonic branching ratios will also be smaller than those of their charged isospin counterparts.

(3) Of course, the strongest prediction of our model is the existence of a gluon jet in the decays of heavy mesons. Anticipating an ability to distinguish gluon from quark jets (for instance by $\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$ or multiplicities), we give the energy (ω) distribution of the gluon as

$$\Gamma_{r}^{-1} d\Gamma_{r} / dr = 6r(1-r), \qquad (15)$$

where $r = \omega / \omega_{\text{max}}$.

(4) Similar considerations should apply to radiative leptonic decays of *D* (Cabibbo suppressed) and *F* (Cabibbo allowed) decays. The rate for $D^+(F^+) \rightarrow e^+\nu\gamma$ should be 10⁴ times that for $D^+(F^+) \rightarrow e^+\nu$.

(5) As the gluon carries no isospin our mechanism indicates that isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ final states may dominate Cabibbo-allowed D^0 decays. It is not clear whether this dominance would extend to the exclusive two-body channels. If it does, then it is worth pointing out that the mechanism of Fig. 2 yields

$$\Gamma(D^0 \to \overline{K}{}^0\pi^0) / \Gamma(D^0 \to \overline{K}{}^\pi^+) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(16)

Recall that the contribution to this ratio from the spectator graph [Fig. 1(a)] is highly suppressed and amounts to $\frac{1}{40^{15}}$ Experimentally this ratio is 0.7 ± 0.35 .¹² If our mechanism is important for the above two-body modes, then it will also be important to Cabibbo-suppressed decays such as $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.

In short, even a large difference in the lifetimes of charged and neutral D mesons can be explained without requiring a revision of the underlying gauge model and/or invoking exotic new interactions, provided $f_D/m_u \approx 2$. The critical point in our calculation is the observation that in the rate for the reaction $D^0 \rightarrow s + \overline{d} + \text{gluon}$, the dependence on f_D^2 is compensated for by the appearance of m_μ^2 in the denominator.

We wish to thank Dr. F. Gilman for interesting discussions. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

¹J. Kirkby, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Fermilab, Batavia, 23-29 August 1979 (to be published); V. Lüth, *ibid.*; L. Voyvodic, *ibid.*; H. Harari, Rapporteur's talk, *ibid*.

²See, for example, M. K. Gaillard, in *Proceedings* of the Summer Institute on Particle Physics, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, July, 1978, edited by M. C. Zipf (Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Cal., 1978); J. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. <u>B100</u>, 313 (1975); N. Cabibbo and L. Maiani, Phys. Lett. 73B, 418 (1978).

³A crossed-channel version of Fig. 1(b) exists for charged-D-meson decays but it is Cabibbo suppressed.

⁴Throughout this paper we will assume the "standard" Weinberg-Salam and Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani model for weak interactions and set the Cabibbo angle in charm decays to zero.

⁵See, for example, Cabibbo and Maiani, Ref. 2.

 6 R. Van Royen and V. F. Weisskopf, Nuovo Cimento 3, 617 (1967).

⁷N. G. Deshpande and D. Iskandar, Phys. Rev. D <u>19</u>, 3457 (1979), and references therein; V. A. Novikov, L. B. Okun, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, M. B. Voloshin, and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 626 (1977); S. S. Gershtein and M. Y. Khlopov, Pis³ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>23</u>, 374 (1976) [JETP Lett. <u>23</u>, 338 (1976)].

⁸These parameters are taken from the charmonium model of E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D <u>17</u>, 3090 (1978).

⁹See, for example, R. Montemayor and M. Moreno, Phys. Rev. D <u>20</u>, 250 (1979); T. Goldman and W. J. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D <u>15</u>, 709 (1977). References to earlier literature may be found in these two works. ¹⁰See, for example, Eichten *et al.*, Ref. 8.

¹¹We acknowledge useful discussion with Professor N. Deshpande over this and other issues relating to this work.

¹²We thank Professor D. Hitlin for providing us with these results.