

2015

Mechanism of Inactivation by High Voltage Atmospheric Cold Plasma Differs between *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*

Lu Han

Technological University Dublin, lu.han@tudublin.ie

Sonal Patil

Technological University Dublin, sonalpatil81@gmail.com

Daniela Boehm

Technological University Dublin, daniela.boehm@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>



Part of the [Biotechnology Commons](#), and the [Other Microbiology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Han, L. et al. (2015) Mechanism of Inactivation by High Voltage Atmospheric Cold Plasma Differs between *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, Published online 2015. DOI:10.1128/AEM.02660-15

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License](#)
Funder: European Community

Authors

Lu Han, Sonal Patil, Daniela Boehm, vladimir Milosavljević, Patrick Cullen, and Paula Bourke

1 **Mechanism of Inactivation by High Voltage Atmospheric Cold Plasma Differs**
2 **between *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus***

3

4 **Running title: Inactivation Mechanism of Atmospheric Cold Plasma**

5

6 Han, L.¹, Patil, S.¹, Boehm, D.¹, Milosavljević, V.¹, Cullen, P.J.^{1,2}, & Bourke, P.^{1#}

7

8 1. *School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of*

9 *Technology, Ireland*

10 2. *School of Chemical Engineering, UNSW, Sydney, Australia*

11 **Correspondence:**

12 # Paula Bourke, Dublin Institute of Technology, Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1,

13 Ireland.

14 Tel: +353 1 4027594

15 E-mail: paula.bourke@dit.ie

16 **Abstract:**

17 Atmospheric cold plasma (ACP) is a promising non-thermal technology effective
18 against a wide range of pathogenic microorganisms. Reactive oxygen species (ROS)
19 play a crucial inactivation role when air or other oxygen containing gases are used.
20 With strong oxidative stress, cells can be damaged by lipid peroxidation, enzyme
21 inactivation and DNA cleavage. Identifying ROS and understanding their role is
22 important to advance ACP applications to a range of complex microbiological issues.
23 In this study, the inactivation efficacy of in-package, high voltage (80 kV_{RMS}) ACP
24 (HVACP) and the role of intracellular ROS were investigated. Two mechanisms of
25 inactivation were observed where reactive species were found to either react primarily
26 with the cell envelope or to damage intracellular components. *E. coli* was inactivated
27 mainly by cell leakage and low level DNA damage. Conversely, *S. aureus* was mainly
28 inactivated by intracellular damage with significantly higher levels of intracellular
29 ROS observed and little envelope damage. However, for both bacteria studied,
30 increasing treatment time had a positive effect on intracellular ROS levels generated.

31 **Keywords:**

32 High voltage atmospheric cold plasma, in-package, intracellular ROS, cell leakage,
33 DNA damage, *E. coli* and *S. aureus*

34 INTRODUCTION

35 Atmospheric cold plasma (ACP) refers to non-equilibrium plasma generated at near
36 ambient temperatures and pressure. They are composed of particles including free
37 electrons, radicals, positive and negative ions, but are low in collision frequency of
38 gas discharging compared to equilibrium plasma (1, 2). ACP technologies have been
39 widely applied for many surface treatments and environmental processes. Recently
40 they have been studied for food sterilisation and plasma medicine (2-5).

41 ACP provides inactivation effects against a wide range of microbes, mainly by the
42 generation of cell-lethal reactive species (6-8). By discharging in air, groups of
43 reactive species are generated, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive
44 nitrogen species (RNS), ultraviolet (UV) radiation, energetic ions and charged
45 particles (5). However, the inactivation efficacy can be varied by changing the
46 working gases which results in different types or amounts of reactive species
47 generated (9-11). ROS are often identified as the principal affecting species with
48 relatively long half-life and strong anti-microbial effects, which are generated in
49 oxygen containing gases (12).

50 ROS generated during plasma discharge in air or oxygen-containing mixtures are
51 assemblies of ozone, hydrogen peroxide, singlet and atomic oxygen, while ozone is
52 considered as the most microbicidal specie (13). With strong oxidative stress, cells are
53 damaged by lipid peroxidation, enzyme inactivation and DNA cleavage. Generating
54 plasma in air or a nitrogen containing gas mixture can also generate NO_x species.
55 However, a higher inactivation efficacy has been reported with the combined

56 application of NO and H₂O₂ on *E. coli* than a treatment with NO or H₂O₂ alone (14).
57 Reactive nitrogen species are highly toxic and can lead to cell death by increasing
58 DNA damage (15). One of the potential benefits of ACP as a sterilization or
59 pasteurization technology is the reported low mutation level associated which may be
60 attributed to the ‘cocktail’ of reactive species generated (16, 17). However, different
61 patterns of cellular damage between Gram negative and positive bacteria were
62 observed in former studies (18, 19). Moreover, the treatment parameter of mode of
63 exposure has been previously described (13, 20), where the inactivation mechanism
64 reported was similar in relation to direct or indirect exposure to the plasma. With
65 regard to inactivation efficacy, indirect exposure to ACP had a reduced microbicidal
66 effect where interaction with UV, electron beam, charged particles and other
67 short-lived species was absent. However, the in-package treatment used in this study
68 allows the contained recombination of reactive radicals, which could result in strong
69 bactericidal effects, even with indirect exposure.

70 Thus, the inactivation mechanism of ACP is a possible result of the reactive species
71 actions, which correlate to process and system parameters. Reactive species reactions
72 with Gram negative and positive bacteria are potentially different. To prove this
73 hypothesis, this study compared the inactivation mechanism of HVACP against *E. coli*
74 and *S. aureus* to expand understanding of the possible different patterns of damage
75 against Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria, especially the action of reactive
76 oxygen species. The interactive effects of intracellular ROS generation and DNA
77 damage with treatment time were examined in conjunction with spectral diagnostics

78 of the in package process to elucidate the mechanism.

79 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

80 **Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

81 The bacterial strains used in this study were *Escherichia coli* NCTC 12900
82 (non-toxigenic O157:H7) and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923. Strains were
83 chosen to represent both Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria and to facilitate
84 comparison with other studies. They are pathogens of relevance to the food industry
85 in addition to their multi-drug resistance and high rate of mutations (21, 22). *E. coli*
86 NCTC 12900 was obtained from the National Collection of Type Cultures of the
87 Health Protection Agency (HPA, UK), and *S. aureus* was obtained from the
88 microbiology stock culture of the School of Food Science and Environmental Health,
89 Dublin Institute of Technology. Strains were maintained as frozen stocks at -70 °C in
90 the form of protective beads, which were plated onto tryptic soy agar (TSA, Scharlau
91 Chemie, Barcelona, Spain) and incubated overnight at 37 °C to obtain single colonies
92 before storage at 4 °C.

93 **Preparation of Bacterial Cell Suspensions**

94 Cells were grown overnight (18 h) by inoculating isolated colonies of respective
95 bacteria in tryptic soy broth without glucose (TSB-G, Scharlau Chemie, Barcelona,
96 Spain), at 37 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,720 g for 10 min. The
97 cell pellet was washed twice with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Oxoid LTD,
98 UK). The pellet was re-suspended in PBS and the bacterial density was determined by
99 measuring absorbance at 550 nm using McFarland standard (BioMérieux,

100 Marcy-l'Étoile, France). Finally, cell suspensions with a concentration of 10^8 CFU
101 ml^{-1} were prepared in PBS.

102 **HVACP system configuration**

103 The dielectric-barrier discharge (DBD) HVACP system used in this study consists of a
104 high voltage transformer (with input voltage 230 V at 50 Hz), and a voltage variac
105 (output voltage controlled within 0~120 kV) (Figure 1). HVACP discharge was
106 generated between two 15-cm diameter aluminium electrodes separated by two
107 perspex dielectric layers (10 mm and 1mm thickness). The system was operated at
108 high voltage level of 80 kV_{RMS} at atmospheric pressure. Voltage and input current
109 characteristics of the system were monitored using an InfiniVision 2000 X-Series
110 Oscilloscope (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA). A polypropylene container, which
111 acted as both a sample holder and an additional dielectric barrier, was placed between
112 the two perspex dielectric layers. The distance between the two electrodes was kept
113 constant (2.2 cm) for all experiments.

114 **HVACP treatment**

115 For direct plasma treatment, 10 ml of bacterial cell suspensions in PBS were
116 aseptically transferred to a sterile plastic petri dish, which was placed in the centre of
117 the polypropylene container, between the electrodes. For indirect plasma treatment, a
118 separate container was used, where the sample petri dish was placed on the upper left
119 corner of the container, outside the plasma discharging area. Each container was
120 sealed in a high barrier polypropylene bag (B2630; Cryovac Sealed Air Ltd, Dunkan,
121 SC, USA) using atmospheric air as a working gas for HVACP generation. Bacterial

122 samples were then treated with HVACP at 80 kV_{RMS} for 1, 3 and 5 min. After HVACP
123 treatment, samples were subsequently stored at room temperature for either 0, 1 or 24
124 h (23). Ozone concentrations were measured using GASTEC gas tube detectors
125 (Product # 18M, Gastec Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan) immediately after treatment
126 and also after 1 or 24 h storage. Containers were kept sealed to ensure the retention of
127 contact with generated reactive species during post-treatment storage. Microbiological
128 analysis were immediately applied after respective post-treatment storage. All
129 experiments were carried out in duplicate and replicated twice.

130 **Microbiological Analysis**

131 To quantify the effects of plasma treatment, 1 ml of treated samples were serially
132 diluted in maximum recovery diluent (MRD, Scharlau Chemie, Barcelona, Spain) and
133 0.1 ml aliquots of appropriate dilutions were surface plated on TSA. 1 ml and 0.1 ml
134 of the treated sample was spread onto TSA plates as described by EN ISO 11290-2
135 method (ISO 11290-2, 1998). The limit of detection was 1 Log CFU ml⁻¹. Plates were
136 incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and colony forming units were counted. Any plates with
137 no growth were incubated for up to 72 h and checked for the presence of colonies
138 every 24 h. Results are reported in Log CFU ml⁻¹ units.

139 **Detection of reactive oxygen species after plasma treatment**

140 DCFH (2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein) is a cellular assay probe widely used for
141 fluorescence detection of intracellular ROS. It revealed the concentration of ROS in
142 HVACP treated samples.

143 After HVACP treatment and subsequent storage, cells were incubated with DCFH-DA

144 (2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, Sigma Aldrich Ltd, Dublin, Ireland) at a
145 final concentration of 5 μ M in PBS for 15 min at 37 °C. Two hundred μ L aliquots of
146 each sample were transferred into 96 well fluorescence microplate wells (Fisher
147 Scientific, UK) and measured by SynergyTM HT Multi-Mode Microplate Reader
148 (BioTek Instruments Inc.) at excitation and emission wave lengths of 485 and 525 nm.

149 **Optical emission spectroscopy**

150 Optical emission spectroscopy (OES) of the discharge within empty packages was
151 acquired with an Edmund Optics UV Enhanced Smart CCD Spectrometer with an
152 optical fibre input. UV Enhanced Smart CCD Spectrometers have been optimized for
153 maximum performance in the ultraviolet and near UV region, and for multichannel
154 operation with ultra-low trigger delay. The spectral resolution of the system was 0.6
155 nm.

156 The fibre optic from the spectrometer was placed facing towards the package to allow
157 the light to cross the centre of the side wall of the polypropylene container. The fibre
158 had a numerical aperture of 0.22 mm and was optimized for use in the ultraviolet,
159 visible and near infrared portion of the spectrum with a wavelength range of 200 –
160 920 nm. A 5 mm diameter lens collected light from a column across the diameter of
161 the package and focused it onto a 200 μ m multi-mode fibre. The other end of the 2 m
162 long fibre was connected to the spectrometer.

163 **Cell membrane integrity**

164 Membrane integrity was examined by determination of the release of intracellular
165 materials absorbing at 260 and 280 nm (A_{260} and A_{280}) (24). Untreated (bacterial cells

166 in PBS) and HVACP-treated samples were centrifuged at 13,200 g for 10 min.
167 Untreated controls were used to determine the release of any intracellular material
168 before HVACP treatment. Two hundred μL supernatant of each sample was
169 transferred into UV-transparent microtitre plate (Corning Life Science, US) wells and
170 measured by SynergyTM HT Multi-Mode Microplate Reader at 260 nm and 280 nm.

171 **DNA damage**

172 To further examine intracellular damage, double-strand DNA (dsDNA) concentrations
173 were investigated after 24 h storage, which provided adequate reaction time between
174 ROS and cell components. SYBR Green I,
175 [2-[N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-propylamino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3
176 -thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium], is a highly sensitive detector of
177 dsDNA and can be used to quantify nucleic acids. SYBR Green I has been widely
178 used in fluorescence analysis, real-time PCR and biochip applications. (25) In this
179 study, it was used as an indicator of DNA damage with a digested cell solution.
180 Lysozyme and lysostaphin hydrolyse the bacterial cell wall by breaking 1-4 bonds
181 between N-acetyl- β -D-glucosamine (NAG), N-acetyl- β -D-muramic acid (NAM) and
182 polyglycine cross-links present in the peptidoglycan (26).

183 Following HVACP treatment *E. coli* samples were incubated with $100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$
184 lysozyme at 37°C for 4 h to break the cell envelope and release the intracellular DNA.
185 Because of the different cellular structures in Gram positive bacteria, *S. aureus*
186 samples were incubated with $100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ lysozyme and $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ lysostaphin at 37°C
187 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h. Cell digestion effects were verified by colony counts on TSA plates. Cells

188 without HVACP treatment were digested and used as positive control group, while
189 untreated cells without digestion were used as negative controls. The bacterial
190 envelope was considered as completely digested when the survival rate was below the
191 detection level.

192 After cell digestion, solutions were incubated with SYBR Green I (1:10,000, Sigma
193 Aldrich Ltd, Dublin, Ireland) at working concentration (1:1) for 15 min at 37 °C. 200
194 µL aliquots of each sample were transferred into 96 well fluorescence microplate
195 wells (Fisher Scientific, UK) and measured by Synergy™ HT Multi-Mode Microplate
196 Reader at excitation and emission wave lengths of 485 and 525 nm.

197 **Scanning Electron Microscopy**

198 Bacterial samples in PBS exposed to plasma for 1 min treatment with a post-treatment
199 storage time of 1 or 24 h were selected for SEM analysis. This was based on a
200 noticeable difference in plasma inactivation efficacy with respect to post-treatment
201 storage time. Bacterial cells were prepared as described by Thanomsub *et al.* 2002
202 with minor modifications (27, 28). Samples were then examined visually by using a
203 FEI Quanta 3D FEG Dual Beam SEM (FEI Ltd, Hillsboro, USA) at 5 kV.

204 **Statistical Analysis**

205 Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, U.S.A.).
206 Data represent the means of experiments performed in duplicate and replicated at least
207 twice. Means were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Fisher's
208 Least Significant Difference-LSD at the 0.05 level.

209

210 **RESULTS**

211 **Effect of treatment time and post-storage time on plasma inactivation efficacy**

212 The inactivation efficacy of HVACP against *E. coli* NCTC 12900 and *S. aureus* ATCC
213 25923 is shown in Tables 1 and 2. Inactivation was related to both treatment time and
214 post-treatment storage time.

215 After 1 min exposure of HVACP, *E. coli* samples were decreased by around 2 log
216 cycles in conjunction with 24 h post treatment storage. When treatment time was
217 increased to 3 min, bacterial populations were undetectable for both 1 and 24 h
218 storage times. Without post-treatment storage, approximately 3.6 and 2.3 log cycle
219 reductions were detected with direct and indirect exposure after 3 min treatment, but
220 further extending treatment time to 5 min resulted in 6 log cycle and at least 8 log
221 cycle reductions for direct and indirect exposure respectively (Table 1, $p \leq 0.05$).

222 A similar trend of HVACP inactivation was recorded for *S. aureus*. With 24 h storage,
223 all treatment times used led to undetectable levels of bacterial population, irrespective
224 of the mode of exposure. Increasing treatment time, from 1 min to either 3 or 5 min,
225 yielded undetectable levels, with direct and indirect exposure, respectively, after 1 h
226 storage. With no post treatment storage time, populations declined by approximately
227 1.8 and 6.1 log cycles by increasing treatment time from 1 min to 5 min with direct
228 exposure (Table 2, $p \leq 0.05$). Similar effects were achieved with indirect exposure.

229 **Effect on cell membrane integrity**

230 The absorbance of 260 and 280 nm which is commonly used for quantification of
231 DNA and protein concentration, can also indicate the release of intracellular DNA and

232 protein and loss of cell integrity (24). Different trends between *E. coli* and *S. aureus*
233 were observed from their absorbance measured at 260 nm following plasma treatment
234 (Figure 2 and 3).

235 For *E. coli*, all absorption curves showed similar trends (Figure 2). With 24 h
236 post-treatment storage, a sharp increase in absorbance followed by a steady stage
237 indicated that the cell integrity was compromised within 1 min of HVACP treatment.
238 In the case of 0 and 1 h post treatment storage samples, a sharp increase at 1 min of
239 treatment was followed by a gradual increase in the absorbance as a function of
240 treatment time ($p \leq 0.05$). In contrast, no leakage was recorded for *S. aureus*, even
241 after 5 min treatment (Figure 3, $p > 0.05$). However, a small increase in absorbance was
242 observed for the 24 h post treatment storage sample group for both control and treated
243 samples. Similar trends were observed at 280 nm (data not shown).

244 **Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species**

245 The emission spectrum is presented in Figure 4 (a). Analysis of the discharge was
246 carried out in air at 80 kV_{RMS} over the range of 200 - 920 nm. Distinct peaks obtained
247 in the near UV and visible regions corresponded to strong emissions from N₂ and N₂⁺
248 excited species. The ozone concentration inside package after HVACP treatment was
249 investigated using colorimetric tubes, which revealed its correlation with treatment
250 and post-treatment storage time (Table 3). The in-package ozone densities were
251 similar for each bacterial sample. Treatment time and post-treatment storage time had
252 positive and negative effects respectively on the ozone concentration detected.
253 Detected ozone concentration were not significantly different from containers of *E.*

254 *coli* or *S. aureus* samples with same treatment parameters. No ozone was detected in
255 either treatment condition after the 24 h post-treatment storage time. In air
256 DBD-ACPs, the well-known generation–depletion cycle of ozone is interlinked to that
257 of nitrogen oxides through several gas-phase reactions that generate N_2O , NO and O
258 atoms starting from O_2 and N_2^* (29). In Figure 4 (b), one of the major emission
259 intensity of second positive N_2 system from empty box and sample packages, where
260 other major peaks had similar results (data not shown).

261 The concentrations of ozone and nitrogen oxides (O_3 , NO_2 , NO_3 , N_2O_4) for this set-up
262 were quantified using absorption spectroscopy (OAS) and are reported elsewhere (29).
263 The measurements of ozone using the gas detectors compare with those reported
264 using OAS.

265 The oxidant-sensing fluorescent probe, DCFH-DA, is a nonpolar dye, which is
266 converted into the nonfluorescent polar derivative DCFH by cellular esterases and
267 switched to highly fluorescent DCF when oxidized by intracellular ROS and other
268 peroxides (30). It has been widely used for intracellular detection with fluorescence
269 analysis. The fluorescence signal correlated with the intracellular ROS density. Figure
270 5 shows the intracellular ROS density results of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in PBS, where a
271 similar trend of ROS generation in response to HVACP is demonstrated for both
272 bacteria. With regard to the effect of mode of exposure, with indirect treatment the
273 ROS density increased gradually as a function of treatment time from 1 min to 5 min,
274 by comparison with direct treatment where ROS density was lower with prolonged
275 treatment.

276 **DNA damage**

277 Figure 6 presents the dsDNA quantity of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* before and after
278 HVACP treatment. The control group from both bacteria obtained similar signal
279 strength, which proved a similar initial DNA amount from samples. However,
280 different signal levels were observed from the two treated strains. *E. coli* samples
281 showed a reduction of fluorescence signal which correlated with treatment time.
282 However, there was only a trace of fluorescence signal from *S. aureus* samples after
283 treatment ($p \leq 0.05$).

284 **Scanning Electron Microscopy**

285 From the SEM results (Figure 7), more visible damage was evident on *E. coli* surfaces
286 than *S. aureus*, indicating cell breakage effects for *E. coli* inactivation, while HVACP
287 treatment caused irregular shape and cell shrinkage in *S. aureus*.

288 **Proposed Inactivation Mechanism**

289 Figure 8 illustrates the proposed mechanism of action of ACP with Gram negative and
290 Gram positive bacteria based on the results described here for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.
291 After HVACP treatment, generated reactive oxygen species, associated with process
292 and system parameters, attack both cell envelope and intracellular components. For
293 Gram negative cells the cell envelope is the major target of ROS. Reactions of ROS
294 with cell components cause disruption of the cell envelope and result in leakage, with
295 some possible damage of intracellular components (eg. DNA). For Gram positive
296 cells the intracellular components are the major target of ROS. Reactions of ROS will
297 cause severe damage of intracellular components (eg. DNA), but not cell leakage.

298 Lower intracellular ROS in Gram negative bacteria can be result of both ROS
299 depletion by cell envelope components and the cell leakage.

300

301 **DISCUSSION**

302 From the results of inactivation efficacy, there is clearly a strong effect of increasing
303 treatment time, even without post treatment storage time. However, a surviving
304 population could be below the detection limit with recovery possible during storage
305 under some treatment and storage conditions. No further enrichment procedures were
306 employed in this study. Incorporating a post-treatment storage time increased the
307 inactivation efficacy significantly, especially with 24 h post-treatment storage time,
308 which could be attributed to the amount of reactive species generated and their
309 extended reaction time with bacteria (Tables 1 and 2). Similar results have been
310 observed in our former studies (18). A post treatment storage time with retained
311 antimicrobial efficacy has two-fold potential advantage, whereby the initial exposure
312 could be minimal with enhanced efficacy during storage which is compatible with
313 treatment of sensitive samples. Additionally a post treatment storage stage is
314 compatible with many industrial processes. However, with applications to the food,
315 beverage and pharmaceutical industries in mind, the strong oxidative effect with long
316 HVACP exposure time could adversely affect some ingredients by inducing surface
317 oxidation, which has been observed from ozone food sterilization technologies (31). A
318 challenge for developing HVACP applications in the food industry is to optimize the
319 dose or gas mixtures applied to ensure control of microbiological risks whilst

320 maintaining food quality characteristics.

321 A hypothetical mechanism of action of HVACP against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* were
322 concluded as shown in Figure 8. Different reaction mechanism with ROS and cell
323 components are discussed below from reactive species and cell damage results.

324 The leakage studies recorded pointed to different modes of action. High leakage levels
325 were observed with all treatment and post-treatment storage steps for *E. coli* ($p \leq 0.05$),
326 but not in *S. aureus* ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 2 and 3). The cell wall of Gram positive bacteria
327 consists of peptidoglycan with tight structure and strength, while Gram negative
328 bacteria are covered by a thin layer of peptidoglycan and an outer membrane of
329 lipopolysaccharide. During plasma treatment, generated ROS can react with both
330 lipopolysaccharide and peptidoglycan thus breaking the molecule structure by
331 damaging C-O, C-N and C-C bonds. (32-34) However, an obvious leakage was only
332 observed from *E. coli*. With the higher lipid content, lipid peroxidation may have
333 taken place on lipopolysaccharides and resulted in the breakage of the cell envelope.

334 (19) This could suggest that reactive species reacted with the cell wall in different
335 patterns. Reactions with other cell wall components, such as peptidoglycan, could be
336 also involved. Furthermore, Figure 7 visually illustrates the difference between *E. coli*
337 and *S. aureus* after HVACP treatment and further supports our hypothesis on the
338 pattern of damage. The effect of shrinkage but not breakage has also been reported on
339 another Gram positive bacteria, *L. monocytogenes* (35).

340 As a main inactivation species, the ozone level inside the package showed strong
341 correlation with treatment time and post-treatment storage time, but not with the type

342 of bacteria in the sample (Table 3). However, the fluorescent signal recorded for *S.*
343 *aureus* was three times that of *E. coli*, thus indicating a much higher intracellular ROS
344 density in *S. aureus* than for *E. coli* (Figure 5, $p \leq 0.05$). A similar time correlated ROS
345 generation was reported by other researchers using a plasma jet treatment.
346 Intracellular ROS increased over 5 min of treatment by air plasma from a jet (36),
347 with a similar trend reported on generation of RNS (37). Plasma treatment time
348 determines the input energy during discharging. As the key reactive species for
349 oxygen containing working gases, the generation of ROS consumes most of the
350 energy in air plasma. It has been suggested that in-package ROS can penetrate cell
351 membranes by active transport across the lipid bilayer or transient opening of pores in
352 the membrane (3). This could explain the correlation between treatment time and
353 ozone/ intracellular ROS. The mode of exposure also adds complexity, where an
354 obvious difference in reactive species was observed from OES and DCFH DA assay
355 according to mode of exposure (Figure 4 and 5). Lower reactive species levels were
356 detected from samples exposed to direct plasma than the indirectly exposed samples.
357 This could be due to the quenching effect of liquid between electrodes on the ionizing
358 of gases. However, similar inactivation levels and cell components damage were
359 recorded. During direct treatment, undetectable ROS, mostly very short lived and
360 transient species, might react immediately with cell components and be transformed.
361 It appears cells were damaged by the relatively long lived species associated with
362 indirect treatment, such as higher ozone levels.

363 After plasma discharging, the ozone concentrations in the gas phase were determined

364 to be independent of the type of bacteria, while intracellular ROS levels were strongly
365 correlated with both process parameter and target bacteria characteristic. This could
366 contribute to the different reaction and diffusion patterns of ROS to the cells. Based
367 on the absorbance results at 260 nm in Figure 2 and 3, HVACP generated ROS could
368 react with the cell wall rather than entering the cell in *E. coli* samples, whilst ROS
369 accumulated inside the *S. aureus* cells.

370 *E. coli* samples showed a reduction of fluorescence signal of DNA correlating with
371 treatment time in Figure 6. This trend elucidated that DNA damage has a plasma dose
372 dependent pattern. There was only a trace of fluorescence signal from *S. aureus*
373 samples post treatment, indicating greater DNA damage than with *E. coli*. It has been
374 reported that plasma induced oxidative stress damage in *S. aureus* is due to
375 intracellular oxidative reactions (38).

376 Overall, treatment time and post-treatment storage time had strong effects on
377 inactivation efficacy against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in this study, with a lower impact
378 observed for mode of plasma exposure. The amount of reactive species generated,
379 including ozone, has been correlated with inactivation efficacy (12, 36, 39-41).

380 Among the reactive species generated during HVACP treatment, ROS contributed as
381 major antimicrobial factors. Their concentrations were governed by plasma dose and
382 applied gas compositions (18). The generation of ozone as an indicator of ROS
383 showed a time-dependent pattern, while intracellular ROS had a similar trend. During
384 penetration, ROS could react with the lipid content in the cell membrane and cause
385 certain damage. Compared with Gram positive bacteria, the membrane of Gram

386 negative bacteria was more vulnerable. Visible damage as a result of plasma exposure
387 was previously observed for *E. coli* (13).

388 A much higher intracellular ROS density detected in *S. aureus* showed the probable
389 penetration of reactive species within the cell. At the same time, higher concentrations
390 of reactive species overall could lead to more intracellular damage to cell components
391 such as DNA, which was clearly noted in this study. Since the total amount of ROS
392 generated using any system or process setting is around the same level and is
393 independent of the target bacteria characteristics, it is apparent that less cell envelope
394 damage may be associated with more intracellular damage.

395 In this study, the HVACP inactivation efficacy of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria was
396 correlated with process and system parameters (i.e. treatment time or post-treatment
397 storage time). These determined the amount and reaction time of reactive species,
398 which were the essential factors of antimicrobial reactions. Two different possible
399 mechanisms of inactivation were observed in the selected Gram negative and Gram
400 positive bacteria. Reactive species were either reacting with cell envelope or
401 damaging intracellular components. *E. coli* was inactivated by cell envelope damage
402 induced leakage, while *S. aureus* was mainly eliminated by intracellular damage.
403 Additionally, the different cell damage mechanisms might due to different type of
404 reactive species with regard to the mode of exposure. These findings are critical for
405 the successful development of plasma applications where the system and process
406 parameters can be nuanced in relation to the target risk characteristics presented.

407 **Acknowledgements**

408 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European
409 Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2207-2013) under grant agreement
410 number 285820.

411 **Conflict of interest**

412 No conflict of interest.

413 Reference

- 414 1. **Bárdos L, Baránková H.** 2010. Cold atmospheric plasma: Sources, processes,
415 and applications. *Thin Solid Films* **518**:6705-6713.
- 416 2. **Misra NN, Tiwari BK, Raghavarao KSMS, Cullen PJ.** 2011. Nonthermal
417 plasma inactivation of food-borne pathogens. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci*
418 **30**:1409-1415.
- 419 3. **Sensenig R, Kalghatgi S, Cerchar E, Fridman G, Shereshevsky A, Torabi**
420 **B, Arjunan KP, Podolsky E, Fridman A, Friedman G.** 2011. Non-thermal
421 plasma induces apoptosis in melanoma cells via production of intracellular
422 reactive oxygen species. *Ann Biomed Eng* **39**:674-687.
- 423 4. **Dobrynin D, Wasko K, Friedman G, Fridman AA, Fridman G.** 2011. Cold
424 plasma sterilization of open wounds: live rat model. *Plasma Medicine*
425 **1**:109-114.
- 426 5. **Cullen PJ, Milosavljević V.** 2015. Spectroscopic characterization of a
427 radio-frequency argon plasma jet discharge in ambient air. *Prog Theor Exp*
428 *Phys* **2015**:063J001.
- 429 6. **Kayes MM, Critzer FJ, Kelly-Wintenberg K, Roth JR, Montie TC,**
430 **Golden DA.** 2007. Inactivation of foodborne pathogens using a one
431 atmosphere uniform glow discharge plasma. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* **4**:50-59.
- 432 7. **Basaran P, Basaran-Akgul N, Oksuz L.** 2008. Elimination of *Aspergillus*
433 *parasiticus* from nut surface with low pressure cold plasma (LPCP) treatment.
434 *Food Microbiol* **25**:626-632.

- 435 8. **Klämpfl TG, Isbary G, Shimizu T, Li Y-F, Zimmermann JL, Stolz W,**
436 **Schlegel J, Morfill GE, Schmidt H-U.** 2012. Cold atmospheric air plasma
437 sterilization against spores and other microorganisms of clinical interest. Appl
438 Environ Microb **78**:5077-5082.
- 439 9. **Lerouge S, Wertheimer M, Marchand R, Tabrizian M, Yahia L.** 2000.
440 Effect of gas composition on spore mortality and etching during low-pressure
441 plasma sterilization. J Biomed Mater Res **51**:128-135.
- 442 10. **Purevdorj D, Igura N, Ariyada O, Hayakawa I.** 2003. Effect of feed gas
443 composition of gas discharge plasmas on *Bacillus pumilus* spore mortality.
444 Lett Appl Microbiol **37**:31-34.
- 445 11. **Zhang M, Oh JK, Cisneros-Zevallos L, Akbulut M.** 2013. Bactericidal
446 effects of nonthermal low-pressure oxygen plasma on *S. typhimurium* LT2
447 attached to fresh produce surfaces. J Food Eng **119**:425-432.
- 448 12. **Joshi SG, Cooper M, Yost A, Paff M, Ercan UK, Fridman G, Friedman G,**
449 **Fridman A, Brooks AD.** 2011. Nonthermal dielectric-barrier discharge
450 plasma-induced inactivation involves oxidative DNA damage and membrane
451 lipid peroxidation in *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
452 **55**:1053-1062.
- 453 13. **Dobrynin D, Fridman G, Friedman G, Fridman A.** 2009. Physical and
454 biological mechanisms of direct plasma interaction with living tissue. New J
455 Phys **11**:115020.
- 456 14. **Boxhammer V, Morfill GE, Jokipii JR, Shimizu T, Klämpfl T, Li YF,**

- 457 **Köritzer J, Schlegel J, Zimmermann JL.** 2012. Bactericidal action of cold
458 atmospheric plasma in solution. *New J Phys* **14**:113042.
- 459 15. **Davies BW, Bogard RW, Dupes NM, Gerstenfeld TA, Simmons LA,**
460 **Mekalanos JJ.** 2011. DNA damage and reactive nitrogen species are barriers
461 to *Vibrio cholerae* colonization of the infant mouse intestine. *PLoS Pathog*
462 **7**:e1001295.
- 463 16. **Gaunt LF, Beggs CB, Georghiou GE.** 2006. Bactericidal action of the
464 reactive species produced by gas-discharge nonthermal plasma at atmospheric
465 pressure: a review. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci* **34**:1257-1269.
- 466 17. **Boxhammer V, Li Y, Köritzer J, Shimizu T, Maisch T, Thomas H, Schlegel**
467 **J, Morfill G, Zimmermann J.** 2013. Investigation of the mutagenic potential
468 of cold atmospheric plasma at bactericidal dosages. *MUTAT RES-GEN TOX*
469 **EN 753**:23-28.
- 470 18. **Han L, Patil S, Keener KM, Cullen PJ, Bourke P.** 2014. Bacterial
471 inactivation by high-voltage atmospheric cold plasma: influence of process
472 parameters and effects on cell leakage and DNA damage. *J Appl Microbiol*
473 doi:10.1111/jam.12426:784-794.
- 474 19. **Laroussi M, Mendis DA, Rosenberg M.** 2003. Plasma interaction with
475 microbes. *New J Phys* **5**:41.41-41.10.
- 476 20. **Okubo M, Kuroki T, Miyairi Y, Yamamoto T.** 2004. Low-temperature soot
477 incineration of diesel particulate filter using remote nonthermal plasma
478 induced by a pulsed barrier discharge. *IEEE Trans Ind Appl* **40**:1504-1512.

- 479 21. **Braoudaki M, Hilton AC.** 2004. Low level of cross- resistance between
480 triclosan and antibiotics in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and *E. coli* O55 compared to
481 *E. coli* O157. FEMS Microbiol Lett **235**:305-309.
- 482 22. **Brown DF.** 2001. Detection of methicillin/oxacillin resistance in
483 staphylococci. J Antimicrob Chemother **48**:65-70.
- 484 23. **Ziuzina D, Patil S, Cullen PJ, Keener KM, Bourke P.** 2013. Atmospheric
485 cold plasma inactivation of *Escherichia coli* in liquid media inside a sealed
486 package. J Appl Microbiol **114**:778-787.
- 487 24. **Virto R, Manas P, Alvarez I, Condon S, Raso J.** 2005. Membrane damage
488 and microbial inactivation by chlorine in the absence and presence of a
489 chlorine-demanding substrate. Appl Environ Microbiol **71**:5022-5028.
- 490 25. **Zipper H, Brunner H, Bernhagen J, Vitzthum F.** 2004. Investigations on
491 DNA intercalation and surface binding by SYBR Green I, its structure
492 determination and methodological implications. Nucleic Acids Res
493 **32**:e103-e103.
- 494 26. **Goldman E, Green LH.** 2008. Practical handbook of microbiology. CRC
495 Press.
- 496 27. **Patil S, Valdramidis VP, Karatzas KAG, Cullen PJ, Bourke P.** 2011.
497 Assessing the microbial oxidative stress mechanism of ozone treatment
498 through the responses of *Escherichia coli* mutants. J Appl Microbiol
499 **111**:136-144.
- 500 28. **Thanomsub B, Anupunpisit V, Chanphetch S, Watcharachaipong T,**

- 501 **Poonkhum R, Srisukonth C.** 2002. Effects of ozone treatment on cell growth
502 and ultrastructural changes in bacteria. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* **48**:193-199.
- 503 29. **Moiseev T, Misra N, Patil S, Cullen P, Bourke P, Keener K, Mosnier J.**
504 2014. Post-discharge gas composition of a large-gap DBD in humid air by
505 UV–Vis absorption spectroscopy. *Plasma Sources Sci T* **23**:065033.
- 506 30. **Gomes A, Fernandes E, Lima JLFC.** 2005. Fluorescence probes used for
507 detection of reactive oxygen species. *J Biochem Biophys Methods* **65**:45-80.
- 508 31. **Kim J-G, Yousef AE, Dave S.** 1999. Application of ozone for enhancing the
509 microbiological safety and quality of foods: a review. *J Food Prot*
510 **62**:1071-1087.
- 511 32. **Chung TY, Ning N, Chu JW, Graves DB, Bartis E, Seog J, Oehrlein GS.**
512 2013. Plasma deactivation of endotoxic biomolecules: vacuum ultraviolet
513 photon and radical beam effects on lipid A. *Plasma Process Polym*
514 **10**:167-180.
- 515 33. **Yusupov M, Neyts E, Khalilov U, Snoeckx R, Van Duin A, Bogaerts A.**
516 2012. Atomic-scale simulations of reactive oxygen plasma species interacting
517 with bacterial cell walls. *New J Phys* **14**:093043.
- 518 34. **Yusupov M, Bogaerts A, Huygh S, Snoeckx R, van Duin AC, Neyts EC.**
519 2013. Plasma-induced destruction of bacterial cell wall components: a reactive
520 molecular dynamics simulation. *J Phys Chem C* **117**:5993-5998.
- 521 35. **Cullen PJ, Misra N, Han L, Bourke P, Keener K, O'Donnell C, Moiseev T,**
522 **Mosnier JP, Milosavljevic V.** 2014. Inducing a Dielectric Barrier Discharge

- 523 Plasma Within a Package. IEEE Trans Plasma Sci **42**:2368-2369.
- 524 36. **Ali A, Kim YH, Lee JY, Lee S, Uhm HS, Cho G, Park BJ, Choi EH.** 2014.
525 Inactivation of *Propionibacterium acnes* and its biofilm by non-thermal
526 plasma. Curr Appl Phys.
- 527 37. **Cheng X, Sherman J, Murphy W, Ratovitski E, Canady J, Keidar M.**
528 2014. The effect of tuning cold plasma composition on glioblastoma cell
529 viability. PLoS one **9**:e98652.
- 530 38. **Zhang Q, Liang Y, Feng H, Ma R, Tian Y, Zhang J, Fang J.** 2013. A study
531 of oxidative stress induced by non-thermal plasma-activated water for
532 bacterial damage. Appl Phys Lett **102**:203701.
- 533 39. **Arjunan KP, Friedman G, Fridman A, Clyne AM.** 2012. Non-thermal
534 dielectric barrier discharge plasma induces angiogenesis through reactive
535 oxygen species. J R Soc Interface **9**:147-157.
- 536 40. **Ishaq M, Kumar S, Varinli H, Han Z, Rider AE, Evans MD, Murphy AB,**
537 **Ostrikov KK.** 2014. Atmospheric gas plasma-induced ROS production
538 activates TNF-ASK1 pathway for the induction of melanoma cancer cell
539 apoptosis. Mol Biol Cell:mbc. E13-10-0590.
- 540 41. **Brun P, Vono M, Venier P, Tarricone E, Deligianni V, Martines E, Zuin M,**
541 **Spagnolo S, Cavazzana R, Cardin R, Castagliuolo I, Valerio AL, Leonardi**
542 **A.** 2012. Disinfection of ocular cells and tissues by atmospheric-pressure cold
543 plasma. PLoS One **7**:e33245.

544

545 Tables and Figures

546 Table 1. Surviving cell numbers of *E. coli* NCTC 12900 with respect to treatment and
547 post-treatment storage time

Post-treatment storage time (h)	Plasma treatment time (min)	Mode of Plasma Exposure			
		Direct		Indirect	
		Cell density (Log ₁₀ CFU/ml)	SD*	Cell density (Log ₁₀ CFU/ml)	SD*
0	0	8.0 ^a	0.0	8.0 ^a	0.0
	1	7.6 ^a	0.1	7.3 ^b	0.1
	3	4.3 ^b	0.1	5.7 ^c	0.1
	5	2.1 ^c	0.7	ND* ^d	0.0
1	0	8.0 ^a	0.0	8.0 ^a	0.0
	1	7.2 ^d	0.1	7.1 ^b	0.2
	3	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^d	0.0
	5	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^d	0.0
24	0	8.0 ^a	0.0	8.0 ^a	0.0
	1	5.9 ^{df}	0.1	6.1 ^{be}	0.8
	3	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^d	0.0
	5	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^d	0.0

548 Different letters indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between different
549 treatment times and post-treatment storage times

550 Critical controls were provided as 0 min treated samples with 0, 1 and 24 h
551 post-treatment storage.

552 SD*: Standard deviation

553 ND*: Under detection limit

554 Table 2. Surviving cell numbers of *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 with respect to treatment
 555 and post-treatment storage time

Post-treatment storage time (h)	Plasma treatment time (min)	Mode of Plasma Exposure			
		Direct		Indirect	
		Cell density (Log ₁₀ CFU/ml)	SD*	Cell density (Log ₁₀ CFU/ml)	SD*
0	0	7.9 ^a	0.2	7.9 ^a	0.2
	1	6.1 ^b	0.3	5.8 ^b	0.3
	3	5.4 ^c	0.6	5.3 ^c	0.1
	5	1.8 ^d	0.2	1.7 ^d	0.1
1	0	7.8 ^a	0.2	7.8 ^a	0.2
	1	4.3 ^{bf}	0.0	2.0 ^{bf}	0.0
	3	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^e	0.0
	5	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^e	0.0
24	0	7.8 ^a	0.2	7.8 ^a	0.2
	1	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^e	0.0
	3	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^e	0.0
	5	ND ^e	0.0	ND ^e	0.0

556 Different letters indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between different
 557 treatment times and post-treatment storage times

558 Critical controls were provided as 0 min treated samples with 0, 1 and 24 h
 559 post-treatment storage.

560 SD*: Standard deviation


561 ND*: Under detection limit

562

563 Table 3. In-package ozone concentration after different HVACP treatment and
 564 post-treatment storage time with both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* samples


Post-treatment storage time (h)	Plasma treatment time (min)	Ozone concentration (ppm)	
		Direct	Indirect
0	1	1600	1800
	3	2400	3000
	5	4200	4400
1	1	100	120
	3	180	190
	5	330	350
24	1	ND	ND
	3	ND	ND
	5	ND	ND

565 ND*: Non-detectable



566

567 Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the DIT120+ HVACP device.



568


569 Figure 2. Absorbance of HVACP treated *E. coli* NCTC 12900 suspension in PBS at
 570 260 nm with different post-treatment storage times

571 Data points at 0 min treatment time refer to untreated control stored with 0, 1, 24 h in
 572 PBS

573 1, 3, 5 min treatment at 80 kV_{RMS} with 0, 1, 24 h post-treatment storage

574 (■ 0 h post-treatment storage time; ◆ 1 h post-treatment storage time; ▲ 24 h
 575 post-treatment storage time)

576 (Solid line: direct exposure; Dotted line: indirect exposure)



577

578 Figure 3. *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 absorbance at 260 nm after HVACP treatment in

579 PBS

580 Data points at 0 min treatment time refer to untreated control stored with 0, 1, 24 h in



581 PBS

582 1, 3, 5 min treatment at 80 kV_{RMS} with 0, 1, 24 h post-treatment storage

583 (■ 0 h post-treatment storage time; ◆ 1 h post-treatment storage time; ▲ 24 h

584 post-treatment storage time)

585 (Solid line: direct exposure; Dotted line: indirect exposure)



586

587 Figure 4. Emission spectrum of dielectric barrier discharge atmospheric cold plasma


588 operating in air under atmospheric pressure

589 (a) Emission spectrum of empty box

590 (b) Emission intensity at 336.65 nm (■ Empty box; ▲ Direct exposure; ◆ Indirect

591 exposure.)

592



593


594 Figure 5. *E. coli* NCTC 12900 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 Intracellular ROS density

595 assay by DCFH DA

596 1, 3, 5 min treatment at 80 kV_{RMS} with 0 h post-treatment storage

597 (▨ *E. coli* NCTC 12900; ▩ *S. aureus* ATCC 25923)

598 * indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between *E. coli* and *S. aureus*



599


600 Figure 6. *E. coli* NCTC 12900 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 DNA quantification assay

601 by SYBR Green 1

602 1, 3, 5 min treatment at 80 kV_{RMS} with 24 h post-treatment storage

603 (▨ *E. coli* NCTC 12900; ■ *S. aureus* ATCC 25923)

604 * indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between *E. coli* and *S. aureus*



605

606 Figure 7. SEM images of control and treated cells with 80 kV_{RMS} 1 min indirect
 607 plasma exposed following 24 h post-treatment storage


608 (a) Untreated *S. aureus* ATCC 25923

609 (b) Treated *S. aureus* ATCC 25923

610 (c) Untreated *E. coli* NCTC 12900

611 (d) Treated *E. coli* NCTC 12900

612



613

614 Figure 8. Proposed mechanism of action of HVACP with Gram negative and positive

615 bacteria

616 a, b, c the proposed inactivation mechanism of Gram negative bacteria: a, structure of

617 Gram negative bacteria before treatment, cell envelope consists of thin layer of

618 peptidoglycan and lipopolysaccharide; b, ACP generated ROS attacking both cell

619 envelope and intracellular components, where cell envelope is the major target; c,

620 inactivation mainly caused by cell leakage, with some DNA damage possible.

621 c, d, e the proposed inactivation mechanism of Gram positive bacteria: c, structure of

622 Gram positive bacteria before treatment, cell envelope consist a thick rigid layer of

623 peptidoglycan; d, ACP generated ROS attacking both cell envelope and intracellular

624 components, where intracellular materials are the major targets; e, inactivation mainly

625 caused by intracellular damage (eg. DNA breakage), but not leakage.