 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1657/1523-0430(2006)38[499:MGASDI]2.0.CO;2

## Melting Glaciers and Soil Development in the Proglacial Area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps): I. Soil Type Chronosequence — [Source link](#)

Markus Egli, Michael Wernli, Christof Kneisel, Wilfried Haeberli

**Institutions:** University of Zurich, University of Würzburg

**Published on:** 01 Nov 2006 - Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research (The Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research UCB 450, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0450, U.S.A)

**Topics:** Soil map, Soil type, Soil classification, Glacier and Chronosequence

Related papers:

- [Plant succession and soil development on the foreland of the Morteratsch glacier \(Pontresina, Switzerland\) : straight forward or chaotic?](#)
- [Initial stages of weathering and soil formation in the Morteratsch proglacial area \(Upper Engadine, Switzerland\)](#)
- [Weathering and evolution of soils formed on granitic, glacial deposits: results from chronosequences of Swiss alpine environments](#)
- [Vegetation development on the glacier forefield Morteratsch \(Switzerland\)](#)
- [Melting glaciers and soil development in the proglacial area Morteratsch \(Swiss Alps\): II. Modeling the present and future soil state](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/melting-glaciers-and-soil-development-in-the-proglacial-area-4rln1zg7bv>



---

Year: 2006

---

## Melting glaciers and soil development in the proglacial area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps): I. Soil type chronosequence

Egli, M ; Wernli, M ; Kneisel, C ; Haeberli, W

**Abstract:** Proglacial areas in the Alps usually cover a time span of deglaciation of about 150 years (time since the end of the "Little Ice Age" in the 1850s). In these proglacial areas soils have started to develop. In view of the foreseeable climate change, the time factor is of growing interest with respect to the landscape and consequently the soil development. We investigated soil changes (primarily on the basis of soil types) in the proglacial area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps) to derive time trends that can be used as a basis for spatial modeling. Differences in the soil development could be primarily interpreted in view of the time scale and topography (landscape shape, slope, aspect). Data was managed with GIS and regression analyses. Input data sets were the digital soil map, the glacial states, and the digital elevation model. The calculations were done raster based (GRID, 20 m resolution). After about 20 years the first signs of soil development could be found. Around 25% of the area of the valley floor is covered with weakly developed Skeletic/Lithic Leptosol after about 30 years of deglaciation. One hundred years of soil development led to a strong decrease of the Skeletic/Lithic Leptosol in favor of the Humi-Skeletic Leptosol and Ranker. Fluvisols and Cambisols play a subordinate role also after 100-150 years. Undisturbed and fast soil evolution was measured in flat positions and on slopes up to about 14°. In general, the various landforms also correlated well with soil evolution. One of the most surprising facts was that the weathering between southand north-facing sites differed distinctly, with the north-facing sites having the higher weathering rates. Soil moisture seems to be a decisive factor in weathering. Thicker snow packs probably inhibit or reduce soil frost and allow larger fluxes of snowmelt water to infiltrate into already moist profiles. Slope, exposure and to a lesser extent also the landform determined the soil development: these influences could be quantified using regression analyses. These analyses serve as a basis for further spatio-temporal modeling.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2006\)38\[499:MGASDI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2006)38[499:MGASDI]2.0.CO;2)

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-62164>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Egli, M; Wernli, M; Kneisel, C; Haeberli, W (2006). Melting glaciers and soil development in the proglacial area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps): I. Soil type chronosequence. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 38(4):499-509.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2006\)38\[499:MGASDI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2006)38[499:MGASDI]2.0.CO;2)

## **Melting Glaciers and Soil Development in the Proglacial Area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps): I. Soil Type Chronosequence**

Author(s) :Markus Egli, Michael Wernli, Christof Kneisel, and Wilfried Haerberli

Source: Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 38(4):499-509. 2006.

Published By: Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado

DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2006\)38\[499:MGASDI\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2006)38[499:MGASDI]2.0.CO;2)

URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1657/1523-0430%282006%2938%5B499%3AMGASDI%5D2.0.CO%3B2>

---

BioOne ([www.bioone.org](http://www.bioone.org)) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/page/terms\\_of\\_use](http://www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use).

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

# Melting Glaciers and Soil Development in the Proglacial Area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps): I. Soil Type Chronosequence

Markus Egli\*‡

Michael Wernli\*

Christof Kneisel† and

Wilfried Haeblerli\*

\*Department of Geography, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland.

†Department of Physical Geography, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany.

‡megli@geo.unizh.ch

## Abstract

Proglacial areas in the Alps usually cover a time span of deglaciation of about 150 years (time since the end of the “Little Ice Age” in the 1850s). In these proglacial areas soils have started to develop. In view of the foreseeable climate change, the time factor is of growing interest with respect to the landscape and consequently the soil development. We investigated soil changes (primarily on the basis of soil types) in the proglacial area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps) to derive time trends that can be used as a basis for spatial modeling. Differences in the soil development could be primarily interpreted in view of the time scale and topography (landscape shape, slope, aspect). Data was managed with GIS and regression analyses. Input data sets were the digital soil map, the glacial states, and the digital elevation model. The calculations were done raster based (GRID, 20 m resolution). After about 20 years the first signs of soil development could be found. Around 25% of the area of the valley floor is covered with weakly developed Skeletic/Lithic Leptosol after about 30 years of deglaciation. One hundred years of soil development led to a strong decrease of the Skeletic/Lithic Leptosol in favor of the Humi-Skeletic Leptosol and Ranker. Fluvisols and Cambisols play a subordinate role also after 100–150 years. Undisturbed and fast soil evolution was measured in flat positions and on slopes up to about 14°. In general, the various landforms also correlated well with soil evolution. One of the most surprising facts was that the weathering between south- and north-facing sites differed distinctly, with the north-facing sites having the higher weathering rates. Soil moisture seems to be a decisive factor in weathering. Thicker snow packs probably inhibit or reduce soil frost and allow larger fluxes of snowmelt water to infiltrate into already moist profiles. Slope, exposure and to a lesser extent also the landform determined the soil development: these influences could be quantified using regression analyses. These analyses serve as a basis for further spatio-temporal modeling.


## Introduction

Mountainous ecosystems are likely to be especially sensitive to changing environmental conditions such as global warming, acid deposition, or nutrient cycling (Theurillat et al., 1998; Arn, 2002; Hosein et al., 2004). Although almost negligible on a worldwide scale, the Alps are an essential element of the landscape of Central Europe. Glaciers and discontinuous permafrost in such ecosystems react sensitively to atmospheric warming because the year-round temperature of their surroundings is not greatly below their melting point (Haeblerli and Beniston, 1998; Maisch et al., 2003; Haeblerli, 2004). The direct response of glaciers to climate change occurs through changes in the mass balance, and ultimately through variations in glacier length and size (Jóhannesson et al., 1989; Hoelzle et al., 2003; Haeblerli et al., 2004). The Alpine landscape may respond very noticeably and differentially to climate change as it integrates all ecological and historical factors (Theurillat et al., 1998).

A key or “interface” function must be attributed to soils. Soil-landscape patterns result from the integration of short- and long-term pedogeomorphic processes (Friedrich, 1996; Klingl, 1996). Many of the soil properties change continuously with time.

Soil sequences may give an insight into the influence of a factor on the weathering rates. Jenny (1980) differentiated the following sequences: lithosequences (differences in parent mineralogy), climosequences (differences in precipitation and/or temperature), toposequences (lateral variations in slope and topography), chronosequences (effect of time on chemical weathering), and biosequences (variation in biota and its influence on chemical weathering). Precipitation and temperature, in particular, distinctly influence soil properties by affecting types and rates of chemical, biological, and physical processes (Dahlgren et al., 1997). Currently occurring worldwide climate changes are fuelling a growing interest in the effect that the factors of climate and time are having on the landscape and consequently soil evolution. Global warming due to anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases is predicted to increase the earth’s average surface temperature during the next 50–100 years (IPCC, 2001).

Soils play a major role in the biogeochemical cycle including storage of nutrients and carbon. Carbon dioxide is converted to bicarbonate, and nutrients are released during carbonic acid weathering of silicate minerals, thus contributing to both carbon and nutrient cycling. Climate change can have significant impacts on the global biogeochemical cycle by altering the type and rate of soil processes and the resulting soil properties (Bain et al., 1994;



**FIGURE 1.** Location of and overview over the investigation site Morteratsch (Morteratsch glacier photographed by Christine Rothenbühler, 2004).

Dahlgren et al., 1997). Much of the work on climosequences has been summarized by Birkeland (1999). Earlier studies documented the effect of differences in climate along an altitudinal gradient—generally a decrease in temperature and an increase in precipitation—on plant communities and soil taxa. Common trends reported in these studies included changes in soil types, soil organic matter, clay content, soil acidity, and exchangeable ions (e.g. Whittaker et al., 1968; Mahaney, 1978; Laffan et al., 1989; Bäumler and Zech, 1994; Bockheim et al., 2000). Several studies (chrono- and climosequences) have been carried out in the past several years on soils developing in Alpine environments of northeastern Italy and southern Switzerland. These studies have elucidated the chemical and mineralogical processes leading to the formation of podzols (Mirabella and Sartori, 1998; Righi et al., 1999; Egli et al., 2001a, 2001b; Mirabella et al., 2002; Egli et al., 2003).

Time scales involved with the formation of the presently visible landscape reach as far back as the Alpine orogeny but mainly relate to the late glacial ice retreat at the end of the last Ice Age (20,000–10,000 yr BP) and embrace the entire Holocene time period. Soil chronosequences in the Alps (and also climosequences) cover periods up to about 15,000 years.


Soils in proglacial areas in the Alps are, however, young and were formed over a time span of about 150 years (the time since the “Little Ice Age” in the 1850s; Fitze, 1982). Proglacial areas are in most cases defined as the areas between present-day glaciers and the distinct moraines deposited in the 1850s.

As a consequence of warming, additional areas will become ice-free and subject to weathering and soil formation. The most evident soil changes in the Alps will occur in proglacial areas where already-existing young soils will continuously develop and new soils will form due to glacier retreat. The rate of reactions is of fundamental interest in the understanding of the soil system and its interaction with the surrounding environmental conditions. The aim of our research was to determine soil changes (primarily on the basis of soil types) in a proglacial area in order to derive time-trends that can be used for a further modeling. As a soil type reflects a specific soil evolution, soil types can be used to derive further properties if certain random conditions are considered (Egli et al., 2006 [this issue]).

### Investigation Area

The soils studied lie within the proglacial area of Morteratsch in the Upper Engadine (Switzerland). The border of the proglacial

area is given by distinct moraines deposited in the 1850s during the “Little Ice Age” (Figs. 1 and 2). The actual length of this proglacial area is approximately 2 km, and it has an area of 1.8 km<sup>2</sup>. The proglacial area is in a valley that runs N–S. The altitude ranges from 1900 m a.s.l. to about 2150 m a.s.l. Alpine glaciers have fluctuated during the past 12,000 years near the borders of the moraines formed in the year 1850 indicating more



**FIGURE 2.** The proglacial area of Morteratsch with isochrones of deglaciation and monitoring sites (after Burga, 1999).

TABLE 1

Geochemical and mineralogical characteristics of the parent material (Egli et al., 2003).

| Compounds  | Mean chemical composition (SD) | Minerals       | Mean composition (wt.%) |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| SiO <sub>2</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )               | 734.7 (29.4)                   | Albite         | 25–28                   |
| CaO (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 7.6 (9.3)                      | Orthoclase     | 25–29                   |
| MgO (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 6.3 (6.1)                      | Anorthite      | 3–6                     |
| Na <sub>2</sub> O (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )              | 27.7 (5.8)                     | Muscovite      | 1–4                     |
| K <sub>2</sub> O (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )               | 45.1 (8.9)                     | Biotite–Illite | 3–7                     |
| MnO (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 0.4 (0.4)                      | Chlorite       | 1–2                     |
| Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 29.1 (10.6)                    | Quartz         | 30–35                   |
| Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133.9 (5.9)                    | Amphiboles     | 1–3                     |
| TiO <sub>2</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )               | 6.5 (4.2)                      |                |                         |

SD = standard deviation.

or less similar climatic ( $\pm 1$ – $1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and hydrologic conditions within that period. This has been shown by many geomorphologic and climatic studies (cf. Burga and Perret, 1998; Keller, 1994; Patzelt, 1977; Magny, 1992; Renner, 1982; Gamper, 1985; Maisch, 1992).

Generally, three plant species groups were distinguished (Burga, 1999): (1) pioneer species, starting early in the chronosequence and reaching their optimum in early or medium stages; (2) a selection of subalpine forest and dwarf-shrub/heat species, most starting in later stages; and (3) a selection of species occurring mainly in alpine grassland with different distributions and optima along the gradient. The glacial till consists of granite and gneissic material (Table 1). The morainic material was produced through glacial transport within a small area of relatively homogeneous parent material. The lithostratigraphic units are mainly the Bernina- and Stretta-crystalline (Spillmann, 1993; Büchi, 1994). The parent material was altered and reached the state of the greenschist facies (Trommsdorff and Dietrich, 1999). Present climatic conditions for the Morteratsch site are approximately  $0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  mean annual temperature and approximately 1000–1300 mm mean annual precipitation as calculated by using data from the nearby Samedan and Bernina meteorological stations. According to the WRB (FAO, 1998) soil classification, the soils vary from Lithic Leptosols to Dystric Cambisols.

## Materials and Methods

### SOIL CARTOGRAPHY

Soil mapping in the proglacial area was made by means of aerial photographs and field investigations. The soil map had a scale of 1:10,000. Soil cartography and classification was performed according to the FAL system (Brunner et al., 1997). Soil mapping already includes a certain generalization as small-scale variations (less than approximately 100 m<sup>2</sup>) could not be considered. The items included the soil type, soil depth relevant for plant growth (= soil volume – skeleton volume – groundwater volume; result is related to depth instead of volume), parent material, vegetation, topography, soil hydrology, terrain form, pH-value, organic C content, soil skeleton, granulometry, aggregates, and humus form. In total, 47 soil pits and core drillings were made and described in more detail and used as reference profiles for mapping. According to the occurrence of the soil units, the number of profiles varied (1 for Gleyic Cambisol, 3 for Dystric Cambisol, 18 for Humi-Skeletal Leptosol (including Ranker), 3 for Fluvisol, and 22 for Skeletal/Lithic Leptosol). Ten pits (that are related to a botanical monitoring; Burga, 1999) were

TABLE 2

Classification of the slope.

| Class | Slope (°) |
|-------|-----------|
| 1     | 0–3       |
| 2     | 3–6       |
| 3     | 6–9       |
| 4     | 9–14      |
| 5     | 14–19     |
| 6     | 19–27     |
| 7     | 27–37     |
| 8     | 37–42     |
| 9     | >42       |

excavated for the chemical and physical analysis of the soil material. Around 2–4 kg of soil material were collected per soil horizon. In order to yield reasonable results, large soil sampling volumes are needed for soils in alpine areas (Hitz et al., 2002). Soil bulk density was determined by a soil core sampler (or by excavated holes with a volume of about 500–2000 mL that were backfilled with a measurable volume of quartz sand). Taking advantage of the profile pits, undisturbed soil samples were taken down to the C horizon.

### SOIL CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS

Element pools in the parent material (Ca, Mg, K, Na, Fe, Al, Mn, Si, and Ti) were determined by a method of total dissolution (using a mixture of HF, HCl, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) in a previous investigation (Egli et al., 2003). Total C and N contents of the soil and parent material were measured with a C/H/N analyzer (Elementar Vario EL). Soil pH (in 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>) was determined on air-dried fine earth samples using a soil:solution ratio of 1:2.5.

The particle size distribution was determined on some selected soil samples. After a pretreatment of the samples with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3%), particle size distribution of the soils was measured by a combined method consisting of sieving the coarser particles (2000–32  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the measurement of the finer particles (<32  $\mu\text{m}$ ) by means of an X-ray sedimentometer (SediGraph 5100).

### AREA STATISTICS

Area calculations (proportion of different soil types between two isochrones and in relation to either time or topographic features) and statistics (regression analyses) were performed using ArcGIS 8.3 (ESRI) with modules programmed in Visual Basic for Applications (VBA). Input data sets were the digital soil map, the glacial states (Burga, 1999), and the digital elevation model (raster of 20 m) within the proglacial area. The calculations were done raster-based (GRID, 20 m resolution).

Soil types were not only related to the state factor time but also to the landscape forms, slope, and north and south exposure (see Tables 2 and 3). North exposure is related to  $>270$ – $90^{\circ}\text{N}$  and south exposure to  $>90$ – $270^{\circ}\text{N}$ . Relative area calculations refer to the area between two isochrones of deglaciation (Fig. 2). In total, 11 different glacial states (with corresponding isochrones) could be distinguished (Burga, 1999).

## Results

### SOIL CHARACTERISTICS

According to the FAL classification (translated into the FAO–UNESCO [1990] and WRB system [FAO, 1998]), primarily

**TABLE 3**  
**Description of landscape forms derived from the digital elevation model.**

| Landscape form                      | Landscape code | Landscape form: perpendicular to slope<br>(planform curvature) | Landscape form: direction of slope<br>(profile curvature) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| Depressions                         | 10             | concave  | concave   |
| Foot of the slope, flattening slope | 20             | planar   | concave   |
| Flattening slope ridge              | 30             | convex   | concave   |
| Valley shape                        | 40             | concave  | planar  |
| Flat slope                          | 50             | planar   | planar  |
| Steepening slope ridge              | 60             | convex   | planar  |
| Steepening valley                   | 70             | concave  | convex  |
| Steepening slope                    | 80             | planar   | convex  |
| Ridges                              | 90             | convex   | convex  |

the following types of sites can be differentiated: Endoskeletal Fluvisols, Skeletic or Lithic Leptosols, Humi-Skeletic Leptosols (including some sites with Ranker (FAO–UNESCO) that have a weak B horizon, and Dystric and Gleyic Cambisols (endoskeletal) and sites having no soil. The young soils that are closer to the glacier show almost no obvious signs of chemical weathering and alteration products. They are usually characterized by a very thin and often discontinuous humus layer (Table 4; Fig. 3). The oldest

soils (150 years), however, have a spatially continuous humus layer (O or A horizon) and partially signs of weathering product formation (formation of Fe- and Al-oxyhydroxides; start of clay mineral formation/transformation) and, thus, a weakly pronounced B horizon. This could be shown by a higher chroma (but the same hue and value) with a Munsell soil color chart when compared to the A or C horizon (10YR7/4 in the AB horizon; Egli et al., 2003). The oxalate extractable Fe (442–1115 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and

**TABLE 4**  
**Selected chemical and physical properties of 11 typical soil profiles in the proglacial area.**

| Site/Soil                         | Soil age<br>(y) | Horizons | Depth<br>(cm) | Bulk density<br>(g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) | Skeleton<br>(wt.-%) | Sand*<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Silt*<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Clay*<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | pH<br>(CaCl <sub>2</sub> ) | Org. C<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Org. N<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A/Humi–Skeletic Leptosol (Ranker) | 140             | A        | 0–7           | 1.4                                   | 65                  | 77                             | 19                             | 4                              | 4.7                        | 34.3                            | 2.5                             |
|                                   |                 | (Bw)A    | 7–25          | 1.9                                   | 59                  | 78                             | 19                             | 3                              | 5.2                        | 3.7                             | 0.3                             |
|                                   |                 | C        | >25           | 1.9                                   | 64                  | 78                             | 19                             | 3                              | 5.5                        | 2.5                             | 0.2                             |
| 1/(Endoskeletal) Fluvisol         | 140             | (C)A1    | 0–2           | 1.0                                   | 11                  | 55                             | 36                             | 9                              | 4.8                        | 16.4                            | 0.6                             |
|                                   |                 | (C)A1    | 2–8           | 1.0                                   | 11                  | 55                             | 36                             | 9                              | 4.8                        | 16.4                            | 0.6                             |
|                                   |                 | 2C       | 8–14          | 1.3                                   | 19                  | 49                             | 42                             | 9                              | 4.9                        | 8.4                             | n.d.                            |
|                                   |                 | 3C       | 14–23         | n.m.                                  | 70                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                       | n.m.                            | n.d.                            |
| 2/Humi–Skeletic Leptosol          | 120             | A        | 0–3           | n.m.                                  | 40                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | 5.5                        | 176.1                           | 7.6                             |
|                                   |                 | C        | 3–13          | n.m.                                  | 65                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | 4.9                        | 16.7                            | 1.4                             |
| 3/Skeletic Leptosol               | 100             | A        | 0–2           | n.m.                                  | 40                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                       | n.m.                            | n.m.                            |
|                                   |                 | C        | 2–26          | 1.5                                   | 70                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | 5                          | 1.7                             | n.d.                            |
| 4/Skeletic Leptosol               | 80              | A        | 0–2           | n.m.                                  | 15                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                       | n.m.                            | n.m.                            |
|                                   |                 | AC       | 2–6           | 1.5                                   | 70                  | 85                             | 12                             | 3                              | 4.5                        | 5.2                             | n.d.                            |
|                                   |                 | (B)C     | 6–8           | 1.5                                   | 75                  | 85                             | 12                             | 3                              | 4.5                        | 5.2                             | n.d.                            |
|                                   |                 | C        | 8–14          | 1.4                                   | 75                  | 84                             | 14                             | 2                              | 4.8                        | 1.7                             | n.d.                            |
| 5/Skeletic Leptosol               | 70              | A        | 0–3           | n.m.                                  | 40                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                       | n.m.                            | n.m.                            |
|                                   |                 | C        | 3–22          | 1.3                                   | 68                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | 4.5                        | 4.4                             | n.d.                            |
| 6/Skeletic Leptosol               | 60              | CA       | 0–12          | 1.5                                   | 55                  | 85                             | 13                             | 2                              | 5.1                        | 6                               | n.d.                            |
| 7/Skeletic Leptosol               | 30              | AC       | 0–6           | 1.5                                   | 53                  | 92                             | 6                              | 2                              | 5.2                        | 1.9                             | n.d.                            |
|                                   |                 | C        | 6–25          | 1.4                                   | 70                  | 83                             | 14                             | 3                              | 5.4                        | 0.7                             | n.d.                            |
| 8/Skeletic Leptosol               | 30              | (A)C     | 0–15          | 1.6                                   | 66                  | 82                             | 17                             | 1                              | 5.7                        | 2                               | n.d.                            |
| 9/Skeletic Leptosol               | 20              | (A)C     | 0–18          | 1.8                                   | 77                  | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | n.m.                           | 5.7                        | 2                               | n.d.                            |
| 10/Lithic Leptosol                | 10              | C        | 0–9           | 1.7                                   | 75                  | 75                             | 22                             | 3                              | 5.8                        | 0.9                             | n.d.                            |

n.d. = not detectable.

n.m. = not measured.

\* size fractions: sand (2000–63 μm), silt (<63–2 μm), clay (<2 μm).




FIGURE 3. Soil types (a) and skeleton content (in vol.-%) of the parent material (b) in the proglacial area. DEM25 reproduced by permission of swisstopo (BA067583).

Al ( $122\text{--}287\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) in the A and B horizon showed higher values than in the C horizon (Fe:  $427\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , and Al:  $115\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ; Egli et al., 2003).

The fine earth of the soils is very sandy (sand content 50–90%) and contains partially some silt (eolian attribution? or grinding due to fluvial transport). The Fluvisols had partially a slightly increased silt content. In all other soils, granulometry was rather uniform (Fig. 3, Table 4). On specific sites, Dystric Cambisols (with a clearly differentiated A-Bw-C profile sequence and endoskeletal characteristics) can be found that are not a further step in the observable soil evolution in the proglacial area but clear evidence of the impact of geomorphodynamics on soil development in this Alpine environment. Close to the lateral moraines, there are a few sites where debris flows penetrated the moraine and deposited pre-weathered material of older soils from outside the proglacial area.

Finally, the vegetation also reflects the soil status. The first flowering plants invading young deglaciated surfaces are scattered individuals of mostly sterile *Epilobium fleischeri* and *Linaria alpina* that appear after about 7 years. *Epilobium fleischeri* obtain a higher cover-abundance after ca. 27 years. First plants of *Oxyrietum digynae* appear after ca. 12 years and disappear after ca. 27 years. The establishment of *Larici-Pinetum cembrae* takes


place after about 77 years (Burga, 1999) on sites where the soil has been more intensely developed.

According to the soil map, a great part of the very young soils have a thickness of <10 cm (after the subtraction of soil skeleton) and a skeleton content of >50% (weight). Soil skeleton (defined as the fraction >2 mm) was constantly high in all soils. The size of the soil skeleton, however, varied considerably, giving rise on especially very young surfaces to a patterned soil distribution and development. Older soils (on sites with a tendency of accumulation) had a thickness of up to 40 cm and a skeleton content of <50%. The humus content usually varied between <2% and 10%. The soils are generally weakly to strongly acidic, which depends also on their age. Generally, the closer the site is located to the present-day glacier margin, the higher is the pH value.

#### REGRESSION ANALYSES

On a small scale (less than approximately  $100\text{ m}^2$ ), the parent material varies due to changing physical properties (e.g. size of the soil skeleton) and minor lithological variations. This results in fine-scale soil heterogeneity and variations of soil development. The patterned distribution of the soils (especially at its earliest stage) shows that the soil forming conditions were not identical





**FIGURE 4. Relative area of soil types in the proglacial area as a function of time.**

everywhere. On a larger scale, the parent material in the proglacial area can, however, be considered more or less constant (see Tables 1 and 4, Fig. 3) and thus a negligible factor according to Jenny (1980) if forming conditions were to be taken into account in the explanation of the different state of a specific soil.

Climate, furthermore, does not vary greatly in the area of interest and can therefore also be considered as a negligible factor. The state factor vegetation is in this case not a really independent one. The quality of the substratum such as the grain size of the parent material, the distribution of moisture, and the availability of nutrients are essential factors for the establishment of the vegetation (Burga, 1999). In a following step, plants influence also further soil development. According to Jenny's (1980) paradigm, only the state factors time and topography remain and consequently determine differences in soil evolution. This means that changes in the soil can be primarily interpreted in view of the time scale and topography and we tried, therefore, to model soil development in the proglacial area of Morteratsch using only these two state factors (see also Egli et al., 2006 [this issue]). Topography pertains to the configurations of the landscape and may refer to inclination, length of slope, concavity or convexity, and (north and south) exposure (Jenny, 1980). The time factor finally needs a dynamic simulation. Dynamic simulation of soils is based on the assumption that the state of each system at any moment can be quantified, and that changes in the state can be described by rate or differential equations (Hoosbeek et al., 2000). The derivation of rates in changes was obtained in this work indirectly by regression analyses (and not by process models).

The relative distribution of the different soil types as a function of time is shown in Figure 4. As expected, the weakly developed soils (Leptosols) dominate. The Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols develop earlier than the Humi-Skeletic Leptosol. First signs of soil development can be found after about 20 years. Around 25% of the area of the valley floor is covered with weakly developed Skeletic/Lithic Leptosol after about 30 years of deglaciation. One hundred years of soil development led to a strong decrease of Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols in favor of Humi-Skeletic Leptosols and Rankers (not evidenced in Fig. 4 because of its marginal occurrence). Fluvisols and Cambisols after 100–150 years also play a subordinate role. The sum of the soil area increases steadily in the first 70 years and then reaches a kind of asymptotic value that is, however, due to a partial hindrance of further soil development caused by a rock outcrop on the orographically left and northern part of the proglacial area (Fig. 3a).

The form of the landscape influences soil formation. On sites with a tendency to accumulation, such as depressions and at the foot of slopes as well as on concave slopes or flat slopes, a very significant correlation of the soil types with time and furthermore

a distinct soil sequence with time from less to better evolved soils can be measured (Fig. 5). Sites receiving deposition are, however, not ideal for quantifying time-dependent processes under “undisturbed” situations. Under such circumstances, soil development is, in its initial stages, slightly enhanced. In most cases the correlations between landform and soil type are similarly significant. A higher variability and less pronounced correlation can be seen regarding the landforms “valley shape” and “steepening valley.” A similar behavior can be detected if the soils are correlated to the slope classes. Generally Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols develop first and tend to be replaced by the Humi-Skeletic Leptosols after a certain time. The fastest soil development can be measured—as expected—in flat or only moderately steep slopes. The faster soil development in flat slopes and in depressions might, theoretically, also be due to finer rock material deposited in such areas. The steeper the slope the later is the transition from Skeletic/Lithic Leptosol to Humi-Skeletic Leptosol (Fig. 6). For very steep sites, however, only a small number of relative areas were available.



Very distinct differences between north- and south-facing sites can be differentiated (Fig. 7). The development of Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols begins earlier on the north-facing than on the south-facing sites. At about 80 years, a greater percentage of the area is covered with this soil on south-facing sites. Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols transform much earlier into Humi-Skeletic Leptosols on north-facing sites where a continuous and quite distinct increase in the relative area of this soil type can be already found after 30 years. On south-facing sites, Humi-Skeletic Leptosols cover an area of about 20% after 150 years of soil development (60% on north-facing sites).

## Discussion



Soil development proceeds quickly in the proglacial area. One hundred fifty years of soil development lead to Ranker (Humi-Skeletic Leptosols) that will be transformed later into Dystric Cambisol. Our soil cartography revealed that within 150 years significant soil-forming processes took place such as accumulation of organic matter, the beginning of parent material alteration, and the formation of weathering products (weak B-horizons in Ranker). Glaciers and periods of glaciation may have a significant impact on weathering, changing the interplay between physical and chemical weathering processes, by putting large volumes of dilute meltwaters and fine-grained sediment in contact with each other.

There exist various indications in literature about the rate of soil formation and weathering in cold environments. Especially on young surfaces, Arn (2002), Egli et al. (2003), and Hosein et al.



**Landforms 90 + 80**





**Landforms 30 + 60**





**Landform 50**



**Landforms 40 + 70**





**Landforms 10 + 20**





**FIGURE 5.** Relative area of the Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols and Humi-Skeletic Leptosols as a function of landscape form and time span of deglaciation with 10 = depressions, 20 = foot of the slope, 30 = flattening slope ridge, 40 = valley shape, 50 = flat slope, 60 = ridge slope, 70 = steepening valley, 80 = steepening slope, 90 = ridges.



slope: 0 - 6°





slope: 6 - 9°





slope: 9 - 14°





slope: 14 - 19°





slope: 19 - 27°





slope: 27 - 37°



### North exposure



### South exposure



**FIGURE 7. Relative area of the Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols and Humi-Skeletic Leptosols as a function of exposure and time span of deglaciation.**

(2004) measured high formation or transformation rates of minerals as well as chemical denudation rates (Anderson et al., 2000). Egli et al. (2001a, 2001b) found Humi-Skeletic Leptosols after 150 years of soil formation and Dystric Cambisols after about 350 years of soil formation in a proglacial area at a similar altitude in the Alps. Fitz (1982) and Patzelt (1973) described Rankers that have been formed in the time span of 200–350 years after deglaciation. Zech and Wilke (1977) found already after 200 years of soil evolution a Dystric Cambisol and after 600 years a Podzol in a proglacial area consisting of granitic, glacial deposits and having a mean annual precipitation of 1800 mm and mean annual temperature of 0°C. Haugland (2004), furthermore, described in Norway (Jotunheimen region; characterized by a slightly colder climate when compared to Morteratsch) a Ranker after 47 years of deglaciation and a beginning brunification on sites with about 120 years of soil evolution. The rate of soil evolution is, in Alpine areas, distinctly determined by the parent material and the climate (especially the amount of precipitation). A very fast soil evolution was found by Alexander and Burt (1996) in southeast Alaska where already after about 240 years an E horizon and thus a podzolization could be seen on moraines. Soil evolution was, however, enhanced there by very high precipitation (>250 cm yr<sup>-1</sup>) and higher temperatures.

The rate of soil formation in the Morteratsch area is in the same order of magnitude that was found in studies in Norway (Haugland, 2004). Dystric Cambisols usually form after about 250–300 years of deglaciation (Egli et al., 2001a) in Central Alpine areas. Podzols require a minimum duration of soil formation of about 1200 years (Egli et al., 2003).

Except for the landforms steepening valley and valley shape, the various landforms correlate well, in general, with soil evolution. The individual regression curves, however, differ only slightly, which means that differences in the evolutionary sequence are existent but small. Undisturbed and fast soil evolution can be expected in flat positions and on slopes of up to about 14° (Fig. 6).

The differences in weathering between sites with northern and southern exposure were surprisingly distinct (with higher weathering rates on sites with northern exposure; see Fig. 7). North exposure includes in this context NW-, N-, and NE-facing sites, and south exposure SE-, S-, and SW-facing sites. Physical weathering in Alpine areas is thought to be more intense on south-exposing sites or rock walls due to repeated influence of freeze-thaw cycles (e.g. Gruber et al., 2004). Several studies show the influence of slope aspect and the resulting microclimate on soil weathering and development (Cooper, 1960; Klemmedson, 1964; Macyk et al., 1978; Carter and Ciolkosz, 1991; Rech et al., 2001). Higher temperatures on south-facing slopes should theoretically increase rates of chemical weathering (Rech et al., 2001). Other factors that influence weathering include the number of freeze-thaw cycles and the availability of moisture (Rech et al., 2001). Several studies (e.g. Cooper, 1960) found more advanced stages of soil development on south-facing slopes. In contrast, other authors (e.g. Macyk et al., 1978; Carter and Ciolkosz, 1991) measured thicker solums and higher clay concentrations on north-facing slopes. In general, higher mean annual air and soil temperatures can be expected on south exposure, while a higher moisture content is usually associated with north-facing slopes.

←

**FIGURE 6. Relative area of the Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols and Humi-Skeletic Leptosols as a function of slope classes and time span of deglaciation.**

The higher moisture content most probably leads to a more intense leaching of ions and to an enhanced transformation of primary into secondary minerals (cf. Hunckler and Schaetzl, 1997). Thick snow packs inhibit or reduce soil frost and allow large fluxes of snow meltwater to infiltrate into already moist profiles (Hart and Lull, 1963; Sartz, 1973; Isard and Schaetzl, 1995; Schaetzl and Isard, 1996).

## Conclusions

Although the soil distribution has partially a patterned character, the statistical and geographical analysis of a soil map in a proglacial area has shown several significant trends in soil types that can be used for a further modeling of their evolution. Using the statistical analyses a modeling of soil dynamics in the proglacial area can be made possible. Several stages of soil development could be distinguished. After about 20 years of deglaciation, Skeletic/Lithic Leptosols begin to develop. Humi-Skeletic Leptosols (including Ranker) start to replace them after about 100 years. Dystric Cambisols will start to develop only after about 250–300 years of deglaciation. The WRB classification system (FAO, 1998) did not always fully match the required soil description (e.g. Ranker).

Slope, exposure, and to a lesser extent also landform determine soil development. The influence of individual parameters (and according classes) could be described with regression analyses. Despite the generally cold conditions in the proglacial area, the climate is favorable enough for rapid soil development with organic matter accumulation, soil acidification, etc. (see Burt and Alexander, 1996). Soil distribution is, however, also patterned. Patterned structures may be associated with abrupt thresholds that either enhance or stop/hinder soil formation. This might be due to several causes such as microclimatic conditions, microrelief, deposition of physically inhomogeneous parent material (sites with a more fine-grained deposit close to rock debris) and probably also to brief periglacial activity (cf. Haughland, 2004). The regression analyses and area statistics were raster based (20 m) and therefore took such microvariations into consideration only to a limited degree.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants of the National Research Programme 48, “Landscapes and Habitats of the Alps” (Swiss National Foundation), project number 4048-064352. We would like to express our appreciation to Bruno Kägi for his assistance in the laboratory. We are, furthermore, indebted to Bruce Harrison, James Bockheim, and one unknown reviewer for their helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

## References Cited

Alexander, E. B., and Burt, R., 1996: Soil development on moraines of Mendenhall Glacier, southeast Alaska. 1. The moraines and soil morphology. *Geoderma*, 72: 1–17.

Anderson, S. P., Drever, J. I., Frost, C. D., and Holden, P., 2000: Chemical weathering in the foreland of a retreating glacier. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 64: 1173–1189.

Arn, K., 2002: *Geochemical weathering in the sub- and proglacial zone of two glaciated crystalline catchments in the Swiss Alps (Oberaar- and Rhoneglacier)*. Ph.D. thesis. University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

Bain, D. C., Mellor, A., Wilson, M. J., and Duthie, D. M. L., 1994: Chemical and mineralogical weathering rates and pro-

cesses in an upland granitic till catchment in Scotland. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 73: 11–27.

Bäumler, R., and Zech, W., 1994: Soils of the high mountain region of Eastern Nepal: classification, distribution and soil forming processes. *Catena*, 22: 85–103.

Birkeland, P. W., 1999: *Soils and geomorphology*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Bockheim, J. G., Munroe, J. S., Douglass, D., and Koerner, D., 2000: Soil development along an elevational gradient in the southeastern Uinta Mountains, Utah, USA. *Catena*, 39: 169–185.

Brunner, J., Jäggi, F., Nievergelt, J., and Peyer, K., 1997: *Kartieren und Beurteilen von Landwirtschaftsböden*. Schriftenreihe der FAL (Eidgenössische Forschungsanstalt für Agrarökologie und Landbau) 24, Zürich-Reckenholz.

Büchi, H., 1994: Der variskische Magmatismus in der östlichen Bernina (Graubünden, Schweiz). *Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen*, 74: 359–371.

Burga, C., 1999: Vegetation development on the glacier forefield Morteratsch (Switzerland). *Applied Vegetation Science*, 2: 17–24.

Burga, C., and Perret, R., 1998: *Vegetation und Klima der Schweiz seit dem jüngeren Eiszeitalter*. Thun: Ott Verlag.

Burt, R., and Alexander, E. B., 1996: Soil development on moraines of Mendenhall Glacier, southeast Alaska 2. Chemical transformations and soil micromorphology. *Geoderma*, 72: 19–36.

Carter, B. J., and Ciolkosz, E. J., 1991: Slope gradient and aspect effects on soils developed from sandstone in Pennsylvania. *Geoderma*, 49: 199–213.

Cooper, A. W., 1960: An example of the role of microclimate in soil genesis. *Soil Science*, 90: 109–120.

Dahlgren, R. A., Boettinger, J. L., Huntington, G. L., and Amundson, R. G., 1997: Soil development along an elevational transect in the western Sierra Nevada, California. *Geoderma*, 78: 207–236.

Egli, M., Mirabella, A., and Fitze, P., 2001a: Clay mineral formation in soils of two different chronosequences in the Swiss Alps. *Geoderma*, 104: 145–175.

Egli, M., Fitze, P., and Mirabella, A., 2001b: Weathering and evolution of soils formed on granitic, glacial deposits: results from chronosequences of Swiss alpine environments. *Catena*, 45: 19–47.

Egli, M., Mirabella, A., Sartori, G., and Fitze, P., 2003: Weathering rates as a function of climate: results from a climosequence of the Val Genova (Trentino, Italian Alps). *Geoderma*, 111: 99–121.

Egli, M., Wernli, M., Kneisel, C., Biegger, S., and Haerberli, W., 2006: Melting glaciers and soil development in the proglacial area Morteratsch (Swiss Alps): II. Modeling the present and future soil state. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 38(4): 510–521.

FAO, 1998: *World Reference Base for Soil Resources (WRB)*. Rome: World Soil Resources Reports 84.

FAO–UNESCO, 1990: Soil map of the world—revised legend. Rome, Italy.

Fitze, P. F., 1982: Zur Relativedatierung von Moränen aus der Sicht der Bodenentwicklung in den kristallinen Zentralalpen, *Catena*, 9: 265–306.

Friedrich, K., 1996: *Digitale Reliefgliederungsverfahren zur Ableitung bodenkundlich relevanter Flächeneinheiten*. Frankfurt am Main: Frankfurter Geowissenschaftliche Arbeiten, 21.

Gamper, M., 1985: *Morphochronologische Untersuchungen an Solifluktioniszungen, Moränen und Schwemmkegeln in den Schweizer Alpen*. Zürich: Schriftenreihe Physische Geographie, 17.

Gruber, S., Hoelzle, M., and Haerberli, W., 2004: Permafrost thaw and destabilization of Alpine rock walls in the hot summer of 2003. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31: L13504, 1–4.

- Haerberli, W., 2004: Mass balance of glaciers. In Gouldie, A. S. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Geomorphology*. Vol. 2. London: Routledge, 643–644.
- Haerberli, W., and Beniston, M., 1998: Climate change and its impacts on glaciers and permafrost in the Alps. *Ambio*, 27: 258–265.
- Haerberli, W., Frauenfelder, R., Kääb, A., and Wagner, S., 2004: Characteristics and potential climatic significance of “miniature ice caps” (crest- and cornice-type low-altitude ice archives). *Journal of Glaciology*, 50: 129–136.
- Hart, G., and Lull, H. W., 1963: *Some relationships among air, snow and soil temperature and soil frost*. USDA Forest Service Research Note NE-3, Northeastern Forest and Range Exp. Station, Broomall, PA.
- Haugland, J. E., 2004: Formation of patterned ground and fine-scale soil development within two late Holocene glacial chronosequences: Jotunheimen, Norway. *Geomorphology*, 61: 287–301.
- Hitz, C., Egli, M., and Fitze, P., 2002: Determination of the sampling volume for representative analysis of alpine soils. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde*, 165: 326–331.
- Hoelzle, M., Haerberli, W., Dischl, M., and Peschke, W., 2003: Secular glacier mass balances derived from cumulative glacier length changes. *Global and Planetary Change*, 36: 295–306.
- Hoosbeek, M. R., Amundson, R. G., and Bryant, R. B., 2000: Pedological modeling. In Sumner, M. E. (ed.), *Handbook of Soil Science*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, E77–120.
- Hosein, R., Arn, K., Steinmann, P., Adatte, T., and Föllmi, K. B., 2004: Carbonate and silicate weathering in two presently glaciated, crystalline catchments in the Swiss Alps. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 68: 1021–1033.
- Hunckler, R. V., and Schaetzl, R. J., 1997: Spodosol development as affected by geomorphic aspect, Baraga County, Michigan. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61: 1105–1115.
- IPCC, 2001: *Climate change 2001: the scientific basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Isard, S. A., and Schaetzl, R. J., 1995: Estimating soil temperatures and frost in the lake effect snowbelt region, Michigan, USA. *Cold Regions Science Technology*, 23: 317–332.
- Jenny, H., 1980: *The soil resource*. New York: Springer.
- Jóhannesson, T., Raymond, C., and Waddington, E., 1989: Time-scale for adjustment of glaciers to changes in mass balance. *Journal of Glaciology*, 35: 355–369.
- Keller, O., 1994: Entstehung und Entwicklung des Bodensees—Ein geologischer Lebenslauf. In Maurer, H. (ed.), *Umweltwandel am Bodensee*. St. Gallen: UVK Fachverlag für Wissenschaft und Studium GmbH, 33–92.
- Klemmedson, J. O., 1964: Topofunction of soils and vegetation in a landscape. *American Society of Agronomy*, 5: 176–189 (special publication).
- Klingl, T., 1996: *GIS-gestützte Generierung synthetischer Bodenkarten und landschaftsökologische Bewertung der Risiken von Bodenwasser- und Bodenverlusten*. Geographica Bernesia, 50. Ph.D. thesis. University of Berne, Bern, Switzerland.
- Laffan, M. D., Daly, J. S., and Whitton, T., 1989: Soil patterns in weathering, clay translocation and podzolisation on hilly and steep land at Port Underwood, Marlborough Sounds, New Zealand: classification and relation to landform and altitude. *Catena*, 16: 251–268.
- Macyk, T. M., Pawluk, S., and Lindsay, D., 1978: Relief and microclimate as related to soil properties. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 58: 421–438.
- Magny, M., 1992: Holocene lake-level fluctuations in Jura and the northern subalpine ranges, France. Regional pattern and climatic implications. *Boreas*, 21: 319–334.
- Mahaney, W. C., 1978: Late-Quaternary stratigraphy and soils in the Wind River Mountains, western Wyoming. In Mahaney, W. C. (ed.), *Quaternary Soils*. Norwich: Geo-Abstracts, 223–264.
- Maisch, M., 1992: *Die Gletscher Graubündens: Rekonstruktion und Auswertung der Gletscher und deren Veränderung seit dem Hochstand von 1850 im Gebiet der östlichen Schweizer Alpen (Bündnerland und angrenzende Regionen)*. Universität Zürich-Irchel: Schriftenreihe Physische Geographie, 32 pp.
- Maisch, M., Haerberli, W., Frauenfelder, R., and Kääb, A., 2003: Lateglacial and Holocene evolution of glaciers and permafrost in the Val Muragl, Upper Engadin, Swiss Alps. In Phillips, M., Springman, S. M., and Arenson, L. U. (eds.), *Permafrost*. Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Permafrost (ICOP), 21–25 July 2003, Zurich, Switzerland, A. A. Balkema Publishers, Vol. 2: 717–722.
- Mirabella, A., and Sartori, G., 1998: The effect of climate on the mineralogical properties of soils from the Val Genova Valley (Trentino, Italy). *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 7: 478–483.
- Mirabella, A., Egli, M., Carnicelli, S., and Sartori, G., 2002: Influence of parent material on clay minerals formation in podzols of Trentino—Italy. *Clay Minerals*, 37: 699–707.
- Patzelt, G., 1973: Die neuzeitlichen Gletscherschwankungen in der Venedigergruppe. *Zeitschrift für Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie*, IX: 5–57.
- Patzelt, G., 1977: Der zeitliche Ablauf und das Ausmass postglazialer Klimaschwankungen in den Alpen. In Frenzel, B. (ed.), *Dendrochronologie und postglaziale Klimaschwankungen in Europa*. Wiesbaden: Erdwiss. Forschung, 13: 248–259.
- Rech, J. A., Reeves, R. W., and Hendricks, D., 2001: The influence of slope aspect on soil weathering processes in the Springerville volcanic field, Arizona. *Catena*, 43: 49–62.
- Renner, F., 1982: *Beiträge zur Gletschergeschichte des Gotthardgebietes und dendroklimatologische Analysen an fossilen Hölzern*. Zürich: Schriftenreihe Physische Geographie, 8 pp.
- Righi, D., Huber, K., and Keller, C., 1999: Clay formation and podzol development from postglacial moraines in Switzerland. *Clay Minerals*, 34: 319–332.
- Sartz, R. S., 1973: *Snow and forest depth on north and south slopes*. USDA Forest Service Note NC-157, North Central Forest and Range Experimental Station, St. Paul, MN.
- Schaetzl, R. J., and Isard, S. A., 1996: Regional-scale relationships between climate and strength of podzolization in the Great Lakes region, North America. *Catena*, 28: 47–69.
- Spillmann, P., 1993: *Die Geologie des penninisch-ostalpinen Grenzbereichs im südlichen Berninagebirge*. Ph.D. thesis. ETH Zürich, Switzerland.
- Theurillat, J. P., Felber, F., Geissler, P., Gobat, J. M., Fierz, M., Fischlin, A., Küpfer, P., Schlüssel, A., Velluti, C., Zhao, G-F., and Williams, J., 1998: Sensitivity of plant and soil ecosystems of the alps to climate change. In Cebon, P., Dahinden, U., Davies, H. C., Imboden, D., and Jaeger, C. C. (eds.), *Views from the Alps*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 225–308.
- Trommsdorff, V., and Dietrich, V., 1999: *Grundzüge der Erdwissenschaften*. Auflage, Zürich, Switzerland: vdf-Verlag, 6 pp.
- Whittaker, R. H., Buol, S. W., Niering, W. A., and Havens, Y. H., 1968: A soil and vegetation pattern in the Santa Catalina Mountains, Arizona. *Soil Science*, 105: 440–450.
- Zech, W., and Wilke, B. M., 1977: Vorläufige Ergebnisse einer Bodenchronosequenzstudie im Zillertal. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft*, 25: 571–586.

Ms accepted January 2006