

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1007/S40279-016-0652-4

Menthol: A Fresh Ergogenic Aid for Athletic Performance — [Source link](#)

[Christopher J Stevens](#), [Russell Best](#)

Institutions: [Southern Cross University](#), [Teesside University](#)

Published on: 01 Jun 2017 - [Sports Medicine](#) (Springer International Publishing)

Topics: [Menthol](#)

Related papers:

- [Running performance and thermal sensation in the heat are improved with menthol mouth rinse but not ice slurry ingestion.](#)
- [The effects of swilling an L\(-\)-menthol solution during exercise in the heat.](#)
- [The independent roles of temperature and thermal perception in the control of human thermoregulatory behavior](#)
- [Ingestion of a cold temperature/menthol beverage increases outdoor exercise performance in a hot, humid environment.](#)
- [Physical and Perceptual Cooling with Beverages to Increase Cycle Performance in a Tropical Climate](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/menthol-a-fresh-ergogenic-aid-for-athletic-performance-15qhvu13s9>

Title: Menthol: A fresh ergogenic aid for athletic performance

Running Head: Menthol and athletic performance

Authors: Christopher J. Stevens¹ and Russ Best²

Affiliations:

¹ *School of Health and Human Sciences, Southern Cross University, Coffs Harbour, Australia*

² *School of Social Sciences, Business and Law, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom*

Address for Correspondence

Dr Christopher John Stevens

School of Health and Human Sciences

Southern Cross University

Hogbin Dr

Coffs Harbour, 2450, NSW, Australia

Email: Christopher.Stevens@scu.edu.au

Ph: +61 411 797 245

1 **Abstract**

2 The application of menthol has recently been researched as a performance enhancing
3 aid for various aspects of athletic performance including endurance, speed, strength
4 and joint range of motion. A range of application methods has been used including a
5 mouth rinse, ingestion of a beverage containing menthol or external application to the
6 skin or clothing via a gel or spray. The majority of research has focused on the use of
7 menthol to impart a cooling sensation on athletes performing endurance exercise in
8 the heat. In this situation, menthol appears to have the greatest beneficial effect on
9 performance when applied internally. In contrast, the majority of investigations into
10 the external application of menthol demonstrated no performance benefit. While
11 studies are limited in number, menthol has not yet proven to be beneficial for speed or
12 strength, and only effective at increasing joint range of motion following exercise that
13 induced delayed onset muscle soreness. Internal application of menthol may provoke
14 such performance enhancing effects via mechanisms related to its thermal,
15 ventilatory, analgesic and arousing properties. Future research should focus on well-
16 trained subjects and investigate the addition of menthol to nutritional sports products.

1 **Key Points**

- 2 • Menthol applied internally via a mouth rinse or a beverage containing menthol
3 during endurance exercise in the heat is beneficial for performance
- 4 • Menthol is unlikely to have a beneficial effect on endurance exercise
5 performance when applied externally to the skin via a gel or spray.
- 6 • Menthol has not yet proven to be beneficial for speed or strength, and only
7 effective at increasing joint range of motion following exercise that induced
8 delayed onset muscle soreness.

1 **1. Introduction**

2 The role of the brain in the regulation of exercise performance has received increasing
3 attention across the last decade [1]. Opinion remains divided as to whether regulation
4 occurs exclusively at the neurological level [2] or if interactions between various
5 physiological and psychological feed-forward and feedback mechanisms to generate
6 an athlete's feelings of self [3] and as such, fatigue whilst exercising [4]. What has
7 been repeatedly demonstrated, however, is that physical performance can be modified
8 through interventions acting exclusively on the central nervous system, for example,
9 music [5] experimenter sex [6] and time or performance deception [7]. Various mouth
10 rinsing techniques may also be performance enhancing, which involve briefly
11 exposing the oral cavity to a stimulus (e.g. carbohydrate, caffeine, menthol) with the
12 intention to induce afferent feedback to the brainstem that may ameliorate fatigue [8].

13

14 Carbohydrate mouth rinsing has been the main strategy studied to date, with it being
15 postulated that the brief exposure of carbohydrate to the oral cavity elicits
16 neurological responses associated with imminent nutrient availability [9], reward [10]
17 and motor output [10]. These findings led to the emergence of other mouth rinsing
18 strategies [8] including menthol [11]. A menthol mouth rinse is used to impart
19 sensations of coolness, freshness and nasal patency through stimulation of the
20 trigeminal nerve [12, 13] and as an agonist to the TRPM8 channel which serves as a
21 cold temperature sensor [14]. These mechanisms and resultant sensations explain
22 menthol's prolific use as a flavouring and fragrance agent in confectionary and
23 medications [15].

24

1 Considering hotter perceptions of thermal sensation and discomfort negatively affect
2 endurance exercise performance [16] and menthol has a perceptual cooling effect
3 [12], it may be useful as an ergogenic aid for athletic performance, especially in hot
4 environmental conditions [17]. Additionally, menthol has been proposed as a cooling
5 and analgesic compound useful for application on injured and/or sore muscles, to
6 promote recovery and enhance subsequent contraction force [18]. With a vast range of
7 application methods, dosages, exercise protocols and performance outcomes however,
8 the beneficial effect of menthol on athletic performance seems equivocal. Hence, the
9 current review aims to provide recommendations for athletes using menthol to
10 enhance athletic performance. The psychophysiological mechanisms of action will
11 also be explored and directions will be provided for future research.

12

13 **2. Literature Search Methods**

14 Searching was carried out within the databases *PubMed* and *Scopus* up to October,
15 2016. Search terms included menthol, L-menthol, mint, peppermint, counterirritant,
16 cooling, exercise, performance and thermal sensation. Inclusion criteria stipulated that
17 investigations must be written in English and have implemented a menthol-based
18 intervention on a measured aspect of athletic performance. Subjects of all abilities
19 were included and while the majority of studies were performed in a hot environment
20 (>30°C), investigations performed in neutral-warm environments (20-30°C) were also
21 included.

22

23 **3. Menthol and Athletic Performance**

24 To date, the use of menthol as an ergogenic aid for athletic performance has taken the
25 form of a mouth rinse [19], an additive to other beverages [20, 21] or as a gel or spray

1 applied externally to the skin or clothing [22, 23]. Hence, it is either applied internally
2 or externally. Importantly, the degree of the cooling sensation from menthol to a body
3 area correlates inversely with the thickness of the stratum corneum, where a thicker
4 stratum corneum is a more difficult barrier to penetrate [24]. The density of cold-
5 sensitive afferents on a particular body segment will also influence the degree of the
6 cooling sensation from menthol application. Hence, for the same menthol dose, the
7 tongue and oral cavity are more sensitive to menthol in comparison to the torso [24]
8 and as such, the effects of menthol application on the oral cavity (internal) will be
9 discussed separately to application on the skin (external).

10

11 *3.1. Internal Application of Menthol and Athletic Performance*

12 A summary of research determining the effect of internal menthol application on
13 physical capacity and performance appears in Table 1. A novel strategy is to simply
14 rinse (or swill) the mouth with a liquid menthol solution prior to spitting out the
15 solution. In the first study of its kind, a menthol mouth rinse (25 mL at a
16 concentration of 0.01% performed every 10 min) significantly improved cycling time
17 to exhaustion by 9% [11]. The researchers also observed significantly increased
18 expired air volume, highlighting a greater drive to breath and/or lowered airway
19 resistance, as well as a lower rating of perceived exertion. Similar findings have also
20 been observed within running time trials in the heat, where menthol mouth rinse (25
21 mL at a concentration of 0.01% performed every 1 km) significantly improved 5 km
22 performance time by 3% [19] and 3 km performance time by 3.5% when combined
23 with a facial water spray [25]. Across these studies, significantly increased expired air
24 volume was also observed alongside significantly cooler thermal sensation [19, 25].
25 Notably, the use of a menthol mouth rinse performed during exercise, whether

1 combined with facial water spray or not, was significantly more beneficial for running
2 time trial performance in the heat compared to the use of well established pre-cooling
3 strategies [19, 25]. As such, a menthol mouth rinse performed intermittently during
4 exercise appears to be an effective intervention to improve endurance exercise
5 performance in the heat.

6

7

****Insert Table 1 Here****

8

9 Two promising investigations on internal menthol application and endurance
10 performance have involved ingesting a menthol-aromatized beverage [20, 21]. Riera
11 et al. [20] performed several comparisons of different menthol-aromatized beverages
12 that were ingested prior to and every 5 km during a 20 km cycling time trial in the
13 heat. Menthol-aromatized beverages at 23°C, 3°C and ice slurry at -1°C were
14 compared to a beverage of the same volume and temperature without menthol [20].
15 The addition of menthol to the 3°C beverage significantly improved performance time
16 by 9%, while no significant differences were observed in the other conditions.
17 Importantly, however, menthol-aromatized ice slurry was the most beneficial
18 intervention compared to a 23°C control beverage without menthol. Similar studies
19 out of the same laboratory have also demonstrated that the combination of menthol
20 and ice slurry significantly improved performance in a simulated duathlon in hot
21 conditions compared to other beverages also containing menthol at 28°C and 3°C, by
22 6% and 3%, respectively [21]. Hence, the addition of menthol to a beverage ingested
23 immediately prior to and during endurance exercise has a performance enhancing
24 effect, and like the menthol mouth rinse, this strategy is not further enhanced by pre-
25 cooling [26]. For the best outcome, menthol should be added to an ice slurry mixture

1 to maximize cooling. Practically, however, recent research has demonstrated that
2 when given the choice, athletes drink less ice slurry than cold fluid during a cycling
3 time trial, which may contribute to deteriorated performance and feeling state [27].

4

5 Other investigations into menthol ingestion and sports performance have taken the
6 form of peppermint ingestion, which typically contains a high concentration of
7 menthol [28-30]. No performance improvements were gained in an outdoor 400 m
8 running time trial following the ingestion of 5 mL·kg⁻¹ of peppermint extract (50 g of
9 dried mint infused into 1 L of water for 15 min) [28]. Hence, this initial study
10 suggests menthol may not be an effective aid for such short duration activity, but
11 more research is needed to confirm this notion. Other studies to investigate the use of
12 peppermint ingestion as a pre-exercise ergogenic aid [29] or an oral supplement
13 consumed every day for 10 days [30] were tarnished by failing to implement a cross-
14 over design or failing to include a control trial, respectively.

15

16 *3.2. External Application of Menthol and Athletic Performance*

17 A summary of research determining the effect of external menthol application on
18 physical capacity and performance appears in Table 2. Half of these investigations
19 have involved the spraying of a menthol solution onto the exercise clothing either
20 prior to [31, 32] or during an endurance exercise time trial [22]. Spraying a menthol
21 solution on the exercise clothing at a concentration of 0.05% resulted in no
22 improvements in 40 km cycling time trial performance [31] or 5 km running time trial
23 performance [32] despite significantly cooler thermal sensation and improved thermal
24 comfort in both instances. The spray was also ineffective when the menthol solution
25 was more concentrated (0.2%) and implemented at the 10 km mark of a 16.1 km

1 cycling time trial, despite lower ratings of perceived exertion, cooler thermal
2 sensation and improved thermal comfort [22]. Only one study has demonstrated a
3 beneficial performance effect of an external menthol application when a menthol gel
4 at 8% concentration was applied to the face in a volume of 0.5 g·100 cm² [16]. This
5 intervention increased total work completed by 21% in a cycling time to exhaustion
6 protocol at a fixed rating of perceived exertion and was also accompanied by
7 significantly cooler thermal sensation and improved comfort. As such, the external
8 application of menthol may need to be applied directly to the face, or at least directly
9 to the skin at a high concentration in order to have an ergogenic effect. It should be
10 noted, however, that the perceptually driven protocol may be more likely to be
11 affected by an intervention designed to influence perception and hence, further
12 investigation into the application of menthol on the face is needed.

13

14

Insert Table 2 Here

15

16 Other investigations that have applied a menthol gel directly to the skin have assessed
17 the effects on muscle strength [18, 33] and joint range of motion [34, 35]. A menthol
18 gel applied to the forearm at a concentration of 3.5% and a volume of 0.5 g·100 cm²
19 did not improve isokinetic muscle strength 20 minutes after application [33].
20 Similarly, a menthol gel with the same concentration and volume applied to the
21 *biceps brachii* did not improve maximal voluntary contraction or evoked force of the
22 elbow flexors 20 minutes after application and 48 hours after exercise that induced
23 delayed onset muscle soreness [18]. In regards to joint range of motion, one
24 investigation demonstrated that application of a 2% menthol gel increased range of
25 motion of the elbow joint following an eccentric exercise protocol to induce delayed

1 onset muscle soreness [35], however, application of a 16% menthol gel did not affect
2 hamstring range of motion in absence of preceding eccentric exercise [34]. Therefore,
3 the use of a topical menthol gel appears to have little influence on muscle strength and
4 joint range of motion in the recovered state.

5

6 **4. Mechanisms of Action**

7 The application of menthol for the improvement of endurance performance in the heat
8 has been proposed to induce several psychophysiological adjustments including
9 thermal [36], ventilatory [19], analgesic [18] and arousal effects [37].

10

11 *4.1. Thermal Effect*

12 Improved feelings of thermal comfort and sensation are observed when menthol is
13 applied topically [16, 22, 31, 32] and when administered orally [19, 25]. Researchers
14 investigating topical application of menthol often apply garments that have been
15 treated with low concentration menthol solutions. This facilitates evaporative cooling
16 and stimulation of cold receptors by placing the garment and menthol in contact with
17 large, cold sensitive areas such as the chest and back [38]. Specifically, the solvent
18 (typically water and alcohol) evaporates as a result of an increased rate of heat
19 production and skin temperature during exercise, whilst menthol stimulates cold
20 sensitive TRPM8 receptors, creating a subjective feeling of coolness [12]. Menthol
21 has, however, also been shown to promote a heat storage response during exercise
22 [36, 39] and at rest [40] due to perturbed sweat rate [23] and vasoconstriction of blood
23 vessels [40, 41]. These thermoregulatory responses may explain why topical
24 application of menthol is not beneficial for endurance performance in the heat when
25 applied to large areas, prior to or during an intense and prolonged bout of exercise

1 [40]. When menthol is applied to smaller areas, such as the face, these physiological
2 responses are not observed, yet cooler thermal sensation and improved thermal
3 comfort still occur [16]. However, the disassociation between the physiological and
4 perceptual responses to body heat from topical menthol application presents an ethical
5 consideration for researchers, as it may permit exercise beyond normal thermal limits
6 and an increase in the stress hormone prolactin [19]. Application of menthol close to
7 the onset of hyperthermia should be avoided to allow perception of symptoms
8 associated with high levels of heat stress, adjustment to self-selected exercise
9 intensity and the prevention of heat injury.

10

11 When administered orally, menthol evokes pleasant and refreshing sensations of
12 airflow and nasal patency, improving thermal comfort and sensation by acting as an
13 afferent to the palatine and trigeminal nerves [13, 15]. Despite performance
14 improvements with oral menthol supplementation when used in conjunction with
15 other cooling methods, thermal perception was not cooler in protocols performed
16 outside of the laboratory [20, 21]. Such a finding suggests that in the presence of
17 airflow, oral application of menthol improves performance by mechanisms beyond
18 improvements in thermal perception.

19

20 *4.2. Ventilatory Effect*

21 Menthol consistently increases ventilation in the form of expired air volume [11, 19,
22 25] when administered as a liquid mouth rinse (0.01%) with concomitant
23 improvements in running performance [19, 25] and cycling time to exhaustion [11].
24 While at rest, oral application of menthol inhibits the drive to breathe [12] and
25 decreases the discomfort experienced during breathing with a restrictive load [42],

1 serving to reduce ventilation [43]. Therefore, since exercise increases the ventilatory
2 requirements of the body, at times to a near maximal level [44], oral administration of
3 menthol during exercise can lower perceived cardiopulmonary exertion [11] which
4 may allow an overall greater depth and/or rate of breathing. However, there is no
5 evidence that menthol has the capacity to decrease physical airway resistance [13, 45,
6 46], suggesting the effect is perceptual only [42, 45].

7

8 *4.3. Analgesic Effect*

9 Menthol has been used for medicinal purposes since ancient times [14] and more
10 recently, it has been suggested to have an analgesic effect for sports injuries, delayed
11 onset muscle soreness and arthritis [15, 18] and hence its inclusion in many topical
12 creams to reduce musculoskeletal pain. Aside from its cooling effect through the
13 TRPM8 channel, menthol has been demonstrated to inhibit the TRPA1 channel, a
14 mediator of inflammatory pain [47]. While topical application of menthol (3.5%)
15 decreased perceived pain and improved physical function in patients with knee
16 osteoarthritis [48], research to date has not investigated the analgesic effects of
17 menthol during exercise in athletes.

18

19 *4.4. Arousal Effect*

20 Menthol has also been suggested to have arousing properties similar to the feeling of
21 cold air on the face when drowsy [12]. Chewing menthol gum has been associated
22 with improved mental alertness [37] and breathing a menthol fragrance through a
23 mask increased vigilance in a sustained visual attention task [49]. In contrast
24 however, chewing on a menthol lozenge failed to enhance mood ratings of alertness,
25 hedonic tone and tension during simulated firefighting in the heat [50]. As such,

1 further research is needed to determine if arousal plays a role in the improvement of
2 endurance exercise performance in the heat from internal menthol application.

3

4 **5. Practical Recommendations**

5 Endurance athletes competing in the heat are recommended to experiment with
6 internal menthol application methods both pre-and mid-exercise. This may take the
7 form of a mouth rinse or a beverage containing menthol by adding 0.1-0.5 g of
8 crushed menthol crystals, dissolved in alcohol, to 1 L of water. Alternatively, a pre-
9 mixed L-menthol/alcohol solution that is available commercially as a food additive
10 can be used in the same quantity. Athletes should experiment with different
11 concentrations of menthol in their beverages to find individual limits that are both
12 tolerable and beneficial to performance. Indeed, all attempts at internal menthol
13 application should be trialled thoroughly within mock competition scenarios at race
14 intensities to ensure no adverse consequences are to occur in a race situation.

15

16 **6. Directions for Future Research**

17 To improve translation for athletes, future research into menthol and sports
18 performance should recruit well-trained subjects. Only half of the investigations
19 presented in Tables 1-2 used trained or well-trained subjects, which is known to
20 improve test reliability [51] and is also important to understand the specific responses
21 within this population. It should be noted that for the studies concerning the internal
22 application of menthol and endurance performance, the researchers formulated their
23 own liquid menthol solution for mouth rinsing or ingestion. Hence, development of an
24 optimal solution for these purposes is needed, and further, experimentation with
25 combinations of menthol, carbohydrate, electrolyte and caffeine would increase

1 practicality for athletes. Synthetic compounds with similar cooling effects should also
2 be considered as they may have improved palatability and may be easier to formulate
3 [24]. Future researchers should ensure that the dose of any external solution is
4 specified (in g·cm²) to simplify comparisons between studies and further, assessment
5 of the dose-response relationship is also needed for the various menthol application
6 methods. Finally, current research has focussed on the thermal and ventilatory
7 mechanisms of internal menthol application, while the analgesic and arousing
8 properties of menthol may also contribute to improved endurance exercise
9 performance in the heat. Hence, these measures should be incorporated into future
10 research.

11

12 **7. Conclusion**

13 The majority of research has focused on the use of menthol to impart a cooling
14 sensation on athletes performing endurance exercise in the heat. In this situation,
15 menthol appears to have the greatest beneficial effect on performance when applied
16 internally. Conversely, only one study observed an improvement in endurance
17 exercise capacity following external application of menthol. While studies are limited
18 in number, menthol has not yet proven to be beneficial for speed or strength and only
19 effective at increasing joint range of motion following exercise that induced delayed
20 onset muscle soreness. Internal application of menthol likely stimulates improvements
21 in endurance performance in the heat through thermal and ventilatory mechanisms,
22 however the analgesic and arousing properties of menthol may also play a role.

23

1 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

2 *Funding*

3 No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article.

4

5 *Conflicts of Interest*

6 Christopher Stevens and Russell Best declare that they have no conflicts of interest

7 relevant to the content of this review.

8

1 **References**

- 2
- 3 1. Noakes TD. Time to move beyond a brainless exercise physiology: The
4 evidence for complex regulation of human exercise performance. *Appl Physiol Nutr*
5 *Metab.* 2011 Feb;36(1):23-35.
- 6 2. St Clair Gibson A, Noakes TD. Evidence for complex system integration and
7 dynamic neural regulation of skeletal muscle recruitment during exercise in humans.
8 *Br J Sports Med.* 2004 Dec;38(6):797-806.
- 9 3. Craig AD. Interoception: The sense of the physiological condition of the body.
10 *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2003 Aug;13(4):500-5.
- 11 4. Marino FE, Gard M, Drinkwater EJ. The limits to exercise performance and
12 the future of fatigue research. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011 Jan;45(1):65-7.
- 13 5. Karageorghis CI, Priest DL. Music in the exercise domain: A review and
14 synthesis (Part I). *Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol.* 2012 Mar;5(1):44-66.
- 15 6. Lamarche L, Gammage KL, Gabriel DA. The effects of experimenter gender
16 on state social physique anxiety and strength in a testing environment. *J Strength*
17 *Cond Res.* 2011 Feb;25(2):533-8.
- 18 7. Jones HS, Williams EL, Bridge CA, et al. Physiological and psychological
19 effects of deception on pacing strategy and performance: A review. *Sports Med.* 2013
20 Dec;43(12):1243-57.
- 21 8. Burke LM, Maughan RJ. The Governor has a sweet tooth - mouth sensing of
22 nutrients to enhance sports performance. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2015;15(1):29-40.
- 23 9. Simon SA, de Araujo IE, Gutierrez R, et al. The neural mechanisms of
24 gustation: A distributed processing code. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2006 Nov;7(11):890-901.

- 1 10. Chambers ES, Bridge MW, Jones DA. Carbohydrate sensing in the human
2 mouth: Effects on exercise performance and brain activity. *J Physiol.* 2009 Apr
3 15;587(Pt 8):1779-94.
- 4 11. Mundel T, Jones DA. The effects of swilling an L(-)-menthol solution during
5 exercise in the heat. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2010 May;109(1):59-65.
- 6 12. Eccles R. Role of cold receptors and menthol in thirst, the drive to breathe and
7 arousal. *Appetite.* 2000 Feb;34(1):29-35.
- 8 13. Naito K, Komori M, Kondo Y, et al. The effect of L-menthol stimulation of
9 the major palatine nerve on subjective and objective nasal patency. *Auris Nasus*
10 *Larynx.* 1997 Apr;24(2):159-62.
- 11 14. Patel T, Ishiujii Y, Yosipovitch G. Menthol: A refreshing look at this ancient
12 compound. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2007 Nov;57(5):873-8.
- 13 15. Eccles R. Menthol and related cooling compounds. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 1994
14 Aug;46(8):618-30.
- 15 16. Schlader ZJ, Simmons SE, Stannard SR, et al. The independent roles of
16 temperature and thermal perception in the control of human thermoregulatory
17 behavior. *Physiol Behav.* 2011 May 3;103(2):217-24.
- 18 17. Stevens CJ, Taylor L, Dascombe BJ. Cooling during exercise: An overlooked
19 strategy for enhancing endurance performance in the heat. *Sports Med.* In Press Sep
20 27;10.1007/s40279-016-0625-7.
- 21 18. Johar P, Grover V, Topp R, et al. A comparison of topical menthol to ice on
22 pain, evoked tetanic and voluntary force during delayed onset muscle soreness. *Int J*
23 *Sports Phys Ther.* 2012 Jun;7(3):314-22.

- 1 19. Stevens CJ, Thoseby B, Sculley DV, et al. Running performance and thermal
2 sensation in the heat are improved with menthol mouth rinse but not ice slurry
3 ingestion. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2016 Sep 26;26(10):1209-16.
- 4 20. Riera F, Trong TT, Sinnapah S, et al. Physical and perceptual cooling with
5 beverages to increase cycle performance in a tropical climate. *PLoS One*.
6 2014;9(8):e103718.
- 7 21. Tran Trong T, Riera F, Rinaldi K, et al. Ingestion of a cold
8 temperature/menthol beverage increases outdoor exercise performance in a hot,
9 humid environment. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(4):e0123815.
- 10 22. Barwood MJ, Corbett J, Thomas K, et al. Relieving thermal discomfort:
11 Effects of sprayed L-menthol on perception, performance, and time trial cycling in the
12 heat. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2015 Jun;25 Suppl 1:211-8.
- 13 23. Kounalakis SN, Botonis PG, Koskolou MD, et al. The effect of menthol
14 application to the skin on sweating rate response during exercise in swimmers and
15 controls. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2010 May;109(2):183-9.
- 16 24. Watson HR, Hems R, Roswell DG, et al. New compounds with menthol
17 cooling effects. *J Soc Cosmet Chem*. 1978;29:185-200.
- 18 25. Stevens CJ, Bennett KJM, Sculley D, et al. A comparison of mixed-method
19 cooling interventions on pre-loaded running performance in the heat. *J Strength Cond*
20 *Res*. In Press;10.1519/JSC.0000000000001532.
- 21 26. Riera F, Tran Trong T, Rinaldi K, et al. Precooling does not enhance the effect
22 on performance of midcooling with ice-slush/menthol. *Int J Sports Med*. 2016;37(1-
23 7).

- 1 27. Maunder E, Laursen PB, Kilding AE. Effect of ad libitum ice slurry and cold
2 fluid ingestion on cycling time-trial performance in the heat. *Int J Sports Physiol*
3 *Perform.* 2016 May 3;10.1123/ijsp.2015-0764.
- 4 28. Sönmez G, Çolak M, Sönmez S, et al. Effects of oral supplementation of mint
5 extract on muscle pain and blood lactate. *Biomed Hum Kinetics.* 2010;2:66-9.
- 6 29. Meamarbashi A. Instant effects of peppermint essential oil on the
7 physiological parameters and exercise performance. *Avicenna J Phytomed.* 2014
8 Jan;4(1):72-8.
- 9 30. Meamarbashi A, Rajabi A. The effects of peppermint on exercise
10 performance. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr.* 2013;10(1):15.
- 11 31. Barwood MJ, Corbett J, White D, et al. Early change in thermal perception is
12 not a driver of anticipatory exercise pacing in the heat. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012
13 Oct;46(13):936-42.
- 14 32. Barwood MJ, Corbett J, White DK. Spraying with 0.20% L-Menthol does not
15 enhance 5k running performance in the heat in untrained runners. *J Sports Med Phys*
16 *Fitness.* 2014 May 20;54(5):595-604.
- 17 33. Topp R, Winchester L, Mink AM, et al. Comparison of the effects of ice and
18 3.5% menthol gel on blood flow and muscle strength of the lower arm. *J Sport*
19 *Rehabil.* 2011 Aug;20(3):355-66.
- 20 34. Akehi K, Long BC. Application of menthol counterirritant: Effect on
21 hamstring flexibility, sensation of pressure, and skin surface temperature. *Athletic*
22 *Training and Sports Health Care.* 2013;5(5):234-40.
- 23 35. Haynes SC, Perrin DH. Effect of a counterirritant on pain and restricted range
24 of motion associated with delayed onset muscle soreness. *J Sport Rehabil.*
25 1992;1(1):13-8.

- 1 36. Gillis DJ, Barwood MJ, Newton PS, et al. The influence of a menthol and
2 ethanol soaked garment on human temperature regulation and perception during
3 exercise and rest in warm, humid conditions. *J Therm Biol.* 2016 May;58:99-105.
- 4 37. Smith AP, Boden C. Effects of chewing menthol gum on the alertness of
5 healthy volunteers and those with an upper respiratory tract illness. *Stress Health.*
6 2013 Apr;29(2):138-42.
- 7 38. Filingeri D. Neurophysiology of skin thermal sensations. *Compr Physiol.*
8 2016;6(3):1429.
- 9 39. Gillis DJ, House JR, Tipton MJ. The influence of menthol on
10 thermoregulation and perception during exercise in warm, humid conditions. *Eur J*
11 *Appl Physiol.* 2010 Oct;110(3):609-18.
- 12 40. Valente A, Carrillo AE, Tzatzarakis MN, et al. The absorption and metabolism
13 of a single L-menthol oral versus skin administration: Effects on thermogenesis and
14 metabolic rate. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2015 Dec;86:262-73.
- 15 41. Gillis DJ, Weston N, House JR, et al. Influence of repeated daily menthol
16 exposure on human temperature regulation and perception. *Physiol Behav.* 2015
17 Feb;139:511-8.
- 18 42. Nishino T, Tagaito Y, Sakurai Y. Nasal inhalation of L-menthol reduces
19 respiratory discomfort associated with loaded breathing. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.*
20 1997 Jul;156(1):309-13.
- 21 43. Fisher JT. TRPM8 and dyspnea: from the frigid and fascinating past to the
22 cool future? *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2011 Jun;11(3):218-23.
- 23 44. Blackie SP, Fairbairn MS, McElvaney NG, et al. Normal values and ranges for
24 ventilation and breathing pattern at maximal exercise. *Chest.* 1991 Jul;100(1):136-42.

- 1 45. Kenia P, Houghton T, Beardsmore C. Does inhaling menthol affect nasal
2 patency or cough? *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2008 Jun;43(6):532-7.
- 3 46. Pereira EJ, Sim L, Driver H, et al. The effect of inhaled menthol on upper
4 airway resistance in humans: A randomized controlled crossover study. *Can Respir J.*
5 2013 Jan-Feb;20(1):e1-4.
- 6 47. Macpherson LJ, Hwang SW, Miyamoto T, et al. More than cool: Promiscuous
7 relationships of menthol and other sensory compounds. *Mol Cell Neurosci.* 2006
8 Aug;32(4):335-43.
- 9 48. Topp R, Brosky JA, Jr., Pieschel D. The effect of either topical menthol or a
10 placebo on functioning and knee pain among patients with knee OA. *J Geriatr Phys*
11 *Ther.* 2013 Apr-Jun;36(2):92-9.
- 12 49. Warm JS, Dember WN, Parasuraman R. Effects of olfactory stimulation on
13 performance and stress. *J Soc Cosmet Chem.* 1991;42(3):199-210.
- 14 50. Zhang Y, Balilionis G, Casaru C, et al. Effects of caffeine and menthol on
15 cognition and mood during simulated firefighting in the heat. *Appl Ergon.* 2014
16 May;45(3):510-4.
- 17 51. Stevens C, Dascombe B. The reliability and validity of protocols for the
18 assessment of endurance sports performance: An updated review. *Meas Phys Educ*
19 *Exerc Sci.* 2015;19(4):177-85.
- 20

Table 1. Summary of research determining the effect of internal menthol application on physical capacity and performance.

| Investigation | Ambient Conditions | Subjects | Menthol Application Method | Protocol | Outcome |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Mundel and Jones [11] | 34°C, 27% RH | 9 males, VO ₂ max = 54 ± 5 mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹ | Menthol mouth rinse (25 mL at 0.01% every 10 min) | Cycling TTE at 65% VO ₂ max | ↑ TTE by 5 min (9%) ↑ VE, ↓ RPE |
| Sönmez et al. [28] | NR | 16 (sex NR), untrained | Oral mint extract (5 mL·kg) ingested prior to performance test | Running TT of 400 m | ↔ Perf time ↓ BLA, ↔ muscle pain |
| Riera et al. [20] | 31°C, 78% RH | 12 males, VO ₂ max = 60 ± 10 mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹ | Ingestion of beverage with/without menthol (190 mL at 0.05% 3 x prior and every 5 km during exercise) at a) 23°C; b) 3°C; or c) -1°C ice slurry | 15 min cycle at ventilatory threshold one then 20 km TT | a) ↔ Perf time b) ↑ Perf time by 3 min (9%) c) ↔ Perf time ↔ HR, RPE, TC or TS |
| Tran Trong et al. [21] | 28°C, 57% RH | 10 males, VO ₂ max = 59 ± 11 mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹ | Ingestion of a menthol aromatized beverage (190 mL at 0.05% during WU, every interval and recovery) at a) 3°C; or b) 0.2°C ice slurry, compared to 28°C fluid | 15 min cycle WU then 5 x intervals of (4 km cycle and 1 km running TT) | a) ↔ Perf time b) ↓ Perf time by 5 min (6%) and ↓ perf time by 2 min (3%) compared to 'a' ↔ HR, RPE, TC or TS |
| Stevens et al. [19] | 33°C, 46% RH | 11 males, 5 km run time of 18-22 min | Menthol mouth rinse (25 mL at 0.01% every 1 km) | 10 min walk/run on NMT then running TT of 5 km on NMT | ↓ Perf time by 0.7 min (3%) ↓ TS, ↑ VE, ↑ PRL, ↔ SR |
| Stevens et al. [25] | 33°C, 47% RH | 11 males, VO ₂ max = 61 ± 6 mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹ | Menthol mouth rinse (25 mL at 0.01% every 4 min/1 km) and facial water spray (every 4 min/1 km) | 20 min run at 70% VO ₂ max on NMT then running TT of 3 km on NMT | ↓ Perf time by 0.5 min (3.5%) ↓ TS, ↓ T _F , ↓ PRL, ↑ VE, ↔ SR |
| Riera et al. [26] | WBGT: 29°C, 80% RH | 9 males, VO ₂ max = 59 ± 11 mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹ | Ingestion of menthol aromatized ice slurry during exercise (7 mL·kg at 0.03%) with vs. without pre-cooling with cold water (7 mL·kg at 3°C) | 10 min cycle at ventilatory threshold one then 30 km time trial | ↔ Perf time ↔ TS, TC, HR, RPE, T _{CORE} |

↔ = no change, BLA = blood lactate concentration, HR = heart rate, NMT = non-motorized treadmill, NR = not reported, perf = performance, PRL = blood prolactin concentration, RH = relative humidity, RPE = rating of perceived exertion, SR = sweat rate, TC = thermal comfort, T_{CORE} = core temperature, T_F = forehead temperature, TS = thermal sensation, TT = time-trial, TTE = time to exhaustion, VE = volume of expired air, VO₂max = maximal oxygen uptake, WBGT = wet blub globe temperature, WU = warm-up.

Table 2. Summary of research determining the effect of external menthol application on physical capacity and performance.

| Investigation | Ambient Conditions | Subjects | Menthol Application Method | Protocol | Outcome |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Schlader et al. [16] | 20°C, 48% RH | 12 males, untrained | Topical application of menthol gel on the face (0.5 g·100 cm ² at 8% prior to protocol) | Cycling TTE RPE clamp protocol at 16 'hard-very hard' | ↑ Total work by 39 kJ (21%) ↓ TS, ↑ TC |
| Topp et al. [33] | NR | 9 males, 8 females, untrained | Topical application of menthol gel on the right forearm (3.5 g total: 0.5 g·100 cm ² at 3.5% 20 min prior to protocol) | 30 repeated maximal flexions and extensions of the wrists at 30°·s | ↔ Muscle strength ↓ Blood flow in radial artery |
| Johar et al. [18] | NR | 12 males, 4 females, untrained | Topical application of menthol gel on the <i>Biceps Brachii</i> (2 g total: 0.5 g·100 cm ² at 3.5% 20 min prior to protocol) | MVC and EF of the elbow flexors 48 h post DOMS inducing exercise | ↔ MVC or EF ↓ Perception of DOMS |
| Barwood et al. [31] | 32°C, 50% RH | 11 males, 40 km cycle time < 70 min | Menthol sprayed on the cycling jersey (106 mL at 0.05% between WU and TT) | Cycling TT of 40 km | ↔ Perf time ↓ TS, ↑ TC |
| Barwood et al. [32] | 34°C, 50% RH | 6 males, untrained | Menthol sprayed on the running top (100 mL at 0.05% between pre-load and TT) | 15 min fixed intensity pre-load run then 5 km TT | ↔ Perf time ↓ TS, ↑ TC |
| Barwood et al. [22] | 34°C, 33% RH | 8 males, untrained | Menthol sprayed on the cycling jersey (100 mL at 0.2% after 10 km of TT) | Cycling TT of 16.1 km | ↔ Perf time ↓ RPE, ↓ TS, ↑ TC |

↔ = no change, DOMS = delayed onset muscle soreness, EF = evoked force, NR = not reported, MVC = maximal voluntary contraction, perf = performance, RH = relative humidity, RPE = rating of perceived exertion, TC = thermal comfort, TS = thermal sensation, TT = time-trial, TTE = time to exhaustion, WU = warm-up.