

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/761643

# METABOLIC: A scalable high-throughput metabolic and biogeochemical functional trait profiler based on microbial genomes — Source link

Zhichao Zhou, Patricia Q. Tran, Yang Liu, Kristopher Kieft ...+1 more authors Institutions: University of Wisconsin-Madison, Shenzhen University Published on: 08 Sep 2019 - <u>bioRxiv</u> (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) Topics: Metagenomics and KEGG

#### Related papers:

- CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes
- Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification
- dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from
  metagenomes through de-replication
- Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication, aggregation and scoring strategy.
- GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database



1	<b>METABOLIC: A scalable high-throughput metabolic and</b>
2	biogeochemical functional trait profiler based on microbial
3	genomes
4	
5	Zhichao Zhou <sup>1</sup> , Patricia Tran <sup>1</sup> , Yang Liu <sup>2</sup> , Kristopher Kieft <sup>1</sup> , Karthik Anantharaman <sup>1,*</sup>
6	
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, 53706,
9	USA,
10	<sup>2</sup> Institute for Advanced Study, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province,
11	518060, China
12	
13	*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

### 14 Abstract

Summary: Microbial metabolism mediates fundamental transformations of chemistry 15 and energy that drive biogeochemical cycles on our planet. Increasingly, we can read 16 genomic blueprints of microorganisms, decipher their functional capacities and 17 activities, and reconstruct their roles in biogeochemical processes using omic-based 18 techniques such as metagenomics. Currently available tools for analyses of genomic 19 20 data can annotate and depict metabolic functions to some extent, but they are not comprehensive. No standardized approaches are currently available for bioinformatic 21 validation of metabolic predictions and identifying contributions of microorganisms 22 to biogeochemical cycles. Here **METABOLIC** 23 and genes we present (METabolic And BiogeOchemistry anaLyses In miCrobes), a scalable metabolic and 24 25 biogeochemical functional trait profiler to comprehensively study microbial metabolism using genome data. METABOLIC uses metagenome-assembled (MAG), 26 single-cell (SAG), or isolate genomes as input, annotates and processes genomes for 27 identification and characterization of metabolism markers using KEGG and curated 28 29 custom protein HMM databases, and applies motif confirmation of biochemically validated conserved residues in proteins. The output report includes functionally 30 important HMM hit tables, protein collections for downstream analysis, tables (KEGG 31 modules) and diagrams representing metabolic pathways for individual genomes, and 32 33 a summary figure representing selected biogeochemical cycling processes on a community scale. We expect that METABOLIC will facilitate the study of genome-34 informed microbial metabolism and biogeochemistry and transform our understanding 35 36 of environmental microbiomes.

37

Availability and implementation: METABOLIC is available on github:
https://github.com/AnantharamanLab/METABOLIC.

40

41 **Contact:** karthik@bact.wisc.edu

42

2

## 43 **1. Introduction**

Microbially-mediated biogeochemical processes serve as important driving forces for 44 transformation and cycling of elements, energy, and matter among the lithosphere, 45 atmosphere, hydrosphere and biosphere (Madsen, 2011). Metagenomics and single-cell 46 genomics have transformed the field of microbial ecology by revealing a rich diversity 47 of microorganisms from diverse settings, including terrestrial and marine environments 48 49 and human body (Anantharaman, et al., 2016; Dombrowski, et al., 2018; Parks, et al., 2017; Pasolli, et al., 2019). These approaches can provide an unbiased and insightful 50 view into microorganisms mediating and contributing to the biogeochemical activities 51 at a number of scales ranging from individual organisms to communities 52 (Anantharaman, et al., 2016; Bowers, et al., 2017; Hug, et al., 2016; Parks, et al., 2017). 53 Prediction of microbial metabolism relies on annotation of protein function for 54 microorganisms using a number of established databases, e.g., KEGG (Kanehisa and 55 Goto, 2000), MetaCyc (Caspi, et al., 2006), Pfam (Finn, et al., 2014), TIGRfam 56 (Selengut, et al., 2007), SEED (Overbeek, et al., 2013), and eggNOG (Huerta-Cepas, 57 58 et al., 2016). However, these results are often highly detailed. Obtaining a functional profile and identifying metabolic pathways in a microbial genome can involve manual 59 inspection of thousands of genes. Interpreting, organizing and visualizing such datasets 60 remains a challenge and is often untenable, and there is a critical need for a tool to 61 62 identify and validate the presence of metabolic pathways and genes of biogeochemical function in a user-friendly manner. Such a tool would also allow standardization and 63 easy integration of genome-informed metabolism into biogeochemical models which 64 65 currently rely primarily on physico-chemical data and treats microorganisms as black 66 boxes.

67

Here we present the software METABOLIC, a tool to profile metabolic and
biogeochemical functional traits based on microbial genomes. It integrates annotation
of proteins using KEGG (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000), TIGRfam (Selengut, et al., 2007),
Pfam (Finn, et al., 2014), and custom HMM databases (Anantharaman, et al., 2016),

real incorporates a motif validation step to accurately identify proteins based on prior biochemical validation, determines presence or absence of metabolic pathways based on KEGG modules, and produces user-friendly outputs in the form of tables and figures including a summary of biogeochemically-relevant pathways and their abundance for individual genomes and at the community scale.

77

#### 78 2. Methods

METABOLIC is written in Perl and R and is expected to run in Unix/Linux and MacOS. 79 The prerequisites described **METABOLIC's** GitHub 80 are on page (https://github.com/AnantharamanLab/METABOLIC). The input folder requires 81 microbial genome sequences in FASTA format and an optional set of metagenomic 82 reads in which were used to reconstruct those genomes (Supplementary Figure S1). 83 Genomic sequences are annotated by Prodigal (Hyatt, et al., 2010), or a user can provide 84 self-annotated proteins (with extensions of ".faa") in order to facilitate incorporation 85 86 into existing pipelines. Proteins will be queried against hidden Markov model (HMM) databases using hmmsearch implemented within HMMER (Finn, et al., 2011) which 87 implements methods to detect remote homologs as sensitively and efficiently as 88 possible. The HMM databases include Kofam prokaryotic (KEGG) (Aramaki, et al., 89 2019), TIGR fam (Selengut, et al., 2007), Pfam (Finn, et al., 2014) and custom metabolic 90 HMM files (Anantharaman, et al., 2016). The cutoff threshold values for HMM 91 databases used follows: Kofam - Kofam suggested 92 were as values; TIGRfam/Pfam/Custom databases - Manually curated by adjusting noise cutoffs (NC) 93 94 and trusted cutoffs (TC) to avoid potential false positive hits; detailed curation methods are described previously (Anantharaman, et al., 2016). 95

96

97 To computationally validate protein hits and avoid false positives, we have introduced
98 a motif validation step that including comparison of protein motifs against a manually
99 curated set of highly conserved residues in important proteins. As an example, DsrC
100 (sulfite reductase subunit C) and TusE (tRNA 2-thiouridine synthesizing protein E) are

similar proteins that are routinely misannotated. Both are assigned to the family
KO:K11179 in the KEGG database. To avoid assigning TusE as a sulfite reductase, we
identified a specific motif for DsrC but not TusE (GPXKXXCXXXGXPXPXXCX"
where "X" stands for any amino acid) (Venceslau, et al., 2014). We use these specific
motifs to filter for proteins which have high sequence similarity but functionally
divergent homologs.

107

108 The software output integrates the presence and absence of genes from the outputs of individual HMM runs and relates them to microbial functional traits. Individual KEGG 109 annotations are inferred in the context of KEGG modules for better interpretation of 110 metabolic pathways. A KEGG module is a collection of manually defined functional 111 units. A module is comprised of multiple steps with each step representing a distinct 112 metabolic function. Since genomes can often have incomplete metabolic pathways, we 113 determine the completeness of specific metabolic pathways by parsing KEGG module 114 IDs. A user-defined cutoff is used to estimate the completeness of a given module (the 115 116 default value is 75%), which is then used to produce KEGG module presence/absence table. All modules exceeding the cutoff are determined to be complete in the given 117 genome. Outputs consist of four different results that are reported in an Excel 118 spreadsheet (Supplementary Figure S2). These contain details of HMM hits 119 120 (Supplementary Figure S2A), presence/absence of functional traits (Supplementary Figure S2B), presence/absence of KEGG modules (Supplementary Figure S2C), and 121 presence/absence of KEGG module steps (Supplementary Figure S2D). Each collection 122 of HMM hits can be extracted from input genomes for the downstream phylogenetic 123 124 analysis. A detailed workflow of METABOLIC is available in Supplementary Figure **S**1. 125

126

To visualize pathways of biogeochemical importance, the software draws schematic profiles for nitrogen, carbon, sulfur and other element cycles for each genome. A summary schematic diagram at the scale of a microbial community integrates results from all genomes from a given dataset (Figure 1) and includes computed abundances

131 for each step in a biogeochemical cycle if the metagenomic reads datasets are provided.

## 132 **3. Results**

METABOLIC has been successfully applied on a metagenomic dataset which includes 133 98 MAGs from a deep-sea hydrothermal plume at Guaymas Basin in the Pacific Ocean, 134 and two sets of metagenomic reads (that are subsets of original reads with 10 million 135 read numbers for each pair comprising  $\sim 10\%$  of the total reads). The total run time was 136 ~8 hours using 25 CPU threads in a Linux version 4.15.0-48-generic server (Ubuntu 137 v5.4.0). The resulting summary scheme on various biogeochemical cycling processes 138 reflects the pattern on a community scale (Figure 1) (Supplementary Data S1 contains 139 tables and figures from the METABOLIC output). 140

141

In order to test the accuracy of the results predicted by METABOLIC, we picked 15 142 bacterial and archaeal genomes from Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, and Crenarchaeota 143 which are reported to have 3 Hydroxypropionate cycle (3HP) or 3-144 hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle (3HP/4HB) for carbon fixation. 145 METABOLIC predicts results in line with KEGG genome database annotations and can 146 147 also be visualized with the KEGG Mapper (Supplementary Table S1). Our predictions are also in accord with biochemical evidence of the existence of corresponding carbon 148 fixation pathways in each microbial group: only organisms from the phylum 149 Chloroflexi are known to possess the 3HP pathway and 3HP/4HB pathway could only 150 151 be detected in Crenarchaeota and Thaumarchaeota (Supplementary Table S1 and references therein). These results suggest that METABOLIC can provide accurate 152 annotations and genomic profiles of metabolism and serve as a good functional 153 154 predictor for microbial genomes at the individual and community scales.

155

## 156 Funding

We thank the University of Wisconsin - Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and
Graduate Education, University of Wisconsin – Department of Bacteriology, Wisconsin
Alumni Research Foundation, and University of Wisconsin – College of Agriculture
and Life Sciences for their support.

*Conflict of interest*: none declared.



- 163
- 164

165

Figure 1. The summary scheme of biogeochemical cycling processes on a community scale. Above each arrow (which represent each step within a cycle) there are three lines. The first one indicates the step name and the reaction, the second one indicates the number of genomes that acquire these reactions, the third one indicates the percentage of metagenomic coverage on each step.

- 171
- 172

## References

Anantharaman, K., et al. (2016) Thousands of microbial genomes shed light on interconnected biogeochemical processes in an aquifer system, *Nat. Commun.*, **7**, 13219.

Aramaki, T., et al. (2019) KofamKOALA: KEGG ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold, *bioRxiv*, 602110.

Bowers, R.M., *et al.* (2017) Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **35**, 725. Caspi, R., *et al.* (2006) MetaCyc: a multiorganism database of metabolic pathways and enzymes, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **34**, D511-516.

Dombrowski, N., Teske, A.P. and Baker, B.J. (2018) Expansive microbial metabolic versatility and biodiversity in dynamic Guaymas Basin hydrothermal sediments, *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 4999.

Finn, R.D., et al. (2014) Pfam: the protein families database, Nucleic Acids Res., 42, D222-230.

Finn, R.D., Clements, J. and Eddy, S.R. (2011) HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **39**, W29-37.

Huerta-Cepas, J., *et al.* (2016) eggNOG 4.5: a hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **44**, D286-D293.

Hug, L.A., et al. (2016) A new view of the tree of life, Nat. Microbiol., 1, 16048.

Hyatt, D., *et al.* (2010) Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification, *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**, 119.

Kanehisa, M. and Goto, S. (2000) KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **28**, 27-30.

Madsen, E.L. (2011) Microorganisms and their roles in fundamental biogeochemical cycles, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, **22**, 456-464.

Overbeek, R., *et al.* (2013) The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST), *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **42**, D206-D214.

Parks, D.H., et al. (2017) Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life, *Nat. Microbiol.*, **2**, 1533-1542.

Pasolli, E., *et al.* (2019) Extensive Unexplored Human Microbiome Diversity Revealed by Over 150,000 Genomes from Metagenomes Spanning Age, Geography, and Lifestyle, *Cell*, **176**, 649-662 e620.

Selengut, J.D., *et al.* (2007) TIGRFAMs and Genome Properties: tools for the assignment of molecular function and biological process in prokaryotic genomes, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **35**, D260-264.

Venceslau, S.S., *et al.* (2014) The "bacterial heterodisulfide" DsrC is a key protein in dissimilatory sulfur metabolism, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1837**, 1148-1164.