

RESEARCH

Open Access



Metabolic retroconversion of trimethylamine *N*-oxide and the gut microbiota

Lesley Hoyles^{1†}, Maria L. Jiménez-Pranteda^{2†}, Julien Chilloux^{1†}, Francois Brial³, Antonis Myridakis¹, Thomas Aranas³, Christophe Magnan⁴, Glenn R. Gibson², Jeremy D. Sanderson⁵, Jeremy K. Nicholson¹, Dominique Gauguier^{1,3}, Anne L. McCartney^{2*} and Marc-Emmanuel Dumas^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The dietary methylamines choline, carnitine, and phosphatidylcholine are used by the gut microbiota to produce a range of metabolites, including trimethylamine (TMA). However, little is known about the use of trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMAO) by this consortium of microbes.

Results: A feeding study using deuterated TMAO in C57BL6/J mice demonstrated microbial conversion of TMAO to TMA, with uptake of TMA into the bloodstream and its conversion to TMAO. Microbial activity necessary to convert TMAO to TMA was suppressed in antibiotic-treated mice, with deuterated TMAO being taken up directly into the bloodstream. In batch-culture fermentation systems inoculated with human faeces, growth of *Enterobacteriaceae* was stimulated in the presence of TMAO. Human-derived faecal and caecal bacteria ($n = 66$ isolates) were screened on solid and liquid media for their ability to use TMAO, with metabolites in spent media analysed by ¹H-NMR. As with the in vitro fermentation experiments, TMAO stimulated the growth of *Enterobacteriaceae*; these bacteria produced most TMA from TMAO. Caecal/small intestinal isolates of *Escherichia coli* produced more TMA from TMAO than their faecal counterparts. Lactic acid bacteria produced increased amounts of lactate when grown in the presence of TMAO but did not produce large amounts of TMA. Clostridia (sensu stricto), bifidobacteria, and coriobacteria were significantly correlated with TMA production in the mixed fermentation system but did not produce notable quantities of TMA from TMAO in pure culture.

Conclusions: Reduction of TMAO by the gut microbiota (predominantly *Enterobacteriaceae*) to TMA followed by host uptake of TMA into the bloodstream from the intestine and its conversion back to TMAO by host hepatic enzymes is an example of metabolic retroconversion. TMAO influences microbial metabolism depending on isolation source and taxon of gut bacterium. Correlation of metabolomic and abundance data from mixed microbiota fermentation systems did not give a true picture of which members of the gut microbiota were responsible for converting TMAO to TMA; only by supplementing the study with pure culture work and additional metabolomics was it possible to increase our understanding of TMAO bioconversions by the human gut microbiota.

Keywords: Co-metabolic axis, Gut–liver axis, Metabolomics, *Enterobacteriaceae*, Lactic acid bacteria

* Correspondence: a.l.mccartney@reading.ac.uk; m.dumas@imperial.ac.uk

†Equal contributors

²Food Microbial Sciences Unit, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, School of Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy, Faculty of Life Sciences, The University of Reading, Whiteknights Campus, Reading RG6 6UR, UK

¹Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Disease, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, London SW7 2AZ, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

Dietary methylamines such as choline, trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMAO), phosphatidylcholine (PC), and carnitine are present in a number of foodstuffs, including meat, fish, nuts, and eggs. It has long been known that gut bacteria are able to use choline in a fermentation-like process, with trimethylamine (TMA), ethanol, acetate, and ATP among the known main end products [1–3]. TMA can be used by members of the order *Methanomassiliicoccales* (*Archaea*) present in the human gut to produce methane [4], or taken up by the host. Microbially produced TMA derived from PC is absorbed in the small intestine [5]. TMA diffuses into the bloodstream from the intestine via the hepatic vein to hepatocytes, where it is converted to trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMAO) by hepatic flavin-containing monooxygenases (FMOs) [6]. The bulk of TMAO, and lesser amounts of TMA, derived from dietary methylamines can be detected in urine within 6 h of ingestion, and both compounds are excreted in urine but not faeces [7, 8]. TMAO can also be detected in human skeletal muscle within 6 h of an oral dose of TMAO [8].

TMAO present in urine and plasma is considered a biomarker for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), insulin resistance, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [9–12]. Feeding TMAO to high-fat-fed C57BL/6 mice exacerbates impaired glucose tolerance, though the effect on the gut microbiota is unknown [13]. Low plasma PC and high urinary methylamines (including TMAO) were observed in insulin-resistant mice on a high-fat diet, leading to the suggestion microbial methylamine metabolism directly influences choline bioavailability; however, the microbiota of the mice was not studied nor was labelled choline used to determine the metabolic fate of TMA/TMAO [9]. Choline bioavailability is thought to contribute to hepatic steatosis in patients [11]. Spencer et al. [11] manipulated dietary choline levels in 15 females for 2 weeks and monitored changes in the faecal microbiota during the intervention. They found the level of choline supplementation affected Gammaproteobacteria (inhibited by high levels of dietary choline, negatively correlated with changes in liver fat/spleen fat ratios) and Erysipelotrichi (positively correlated with changes in liver fat/spleen fat ratios), suggesting baseline levels of these taxa may predict the susceptibility of an individual to liver disease. However, the study was under-powered and requires replication with a much larger cohort to fully examine the link between choline bioavailability and liver disease. High levels of circulating TMAO are associated with CVD [12], potentially via a platelet-mediated mechanism [14]. Circulating TMAO has been suggested to play a role in protection from hyperammonemia in mice, acting as an osmoprotectant, and from glutamate neurotoxicity in mice and primary cultures of neurons [15, 16]. Recently, chronic exposure to

TMAO was shown to attenuate diet-associated impaired glucose tolerance in mice and reduce endoplasmic reticulum stress and adipogenesis in adipocytes [10]. The relevance of these findings to human health remains to be determined. There is a basal level of TMA and TMAO detected in human urine even in the absence of dietary supplementation [17], suggesting the use of (microbial and/or host) cell-derived choline or PC in the intestinal tract by the gut microbiota.

While it is well established that choline [2, 11, 18], PC [2, 12], and carnitine [19–22] are used by the human and rodent gut microbiotas to produce TMA, little is known about the reduction of TMAO (predominantly from fish) to TMA (or other compounds) by members of these consortia. TMAO is found at concentrations of 20–120 mg per 100 g fish fillet [23]. Ingestion of fish by humans leads to increased urinary excretion of dimethylamine (DMA) and TMA, from 5.6 to 24.1 and from 0.2 to 1.6 $\mu\text{mol}/24 \text{ h/kg}$ of body weight, respectively [24]. Individuals with trimethylaminuria (fish odour syndrome), in which TMA is not detoxified to TMAO by hepatic FMOs, have been shown to reduce 40–60% of an oral dose of TMAO to TMA [25]. It was suggested the gut microbiota was responsible for reducing TMAO in these individuals, and in those individuals without trimethylaminuria, the TMA was re-oxidized in the liver before being excreted in the urine. The process was termed “metabolic retroversion” (“i.e. a cycle of reductive followed by oxidative reactions to regenerate TMAO”) [25]. The reduction of TMAO to TMA is most commonly associated with the gut microbiota of marine fish, with the TMA generated by these bacteria contributing to the characteristic odour of rotting fish [26]. Members of the class *Gammaproteobacteria*, which includes a number of food spoilage organisms, are known to reduce TMAO to TMA quantitatively and are unable to reduce TMA further [26, 27]. The conversion of TMAO to TMA by bacteria represents a unique form of anaerobic respiration, in which TMAO reductase acts as a terminal electron acceptor (from NADH or formate) for respiratory electron flow [27].

Mining of metagenomic data (*torA*) suggests *Proteobacteria* (particularly *Escherichia* and *Klebsiella* spp.) are likely to contribute greatest to the production of TMA from TMAO in the human gut via the TMAO reductase pathway, with *Actinobacteria* (*Eggerthellaceae*) becoming more important under stress [28]. Other microbial genes are associated with production of TMA from choline (*cutC*), glycine-betaine (*grdH*), L-carnitine (*cntA*), and γ -butyrobetaine (*cntA*) [4]. A search of the NCBI nucleotide database with the phrase “TMAO reductase” also suggests many other bacteria of human intestinal origin (namely, *Salmonella*, *Helicobacter*, *Prevotella*, *Bacillus*, and *Bacteroides* spp.) should be able to reduce

TMAO to TMA. However, this trait has not been examined *in vitro* for isolates of intestinal origin.

Consequently, we carried out an *in vivo* study in mice to confirm the use of TMAO by the mouse gut microbiota and to allow us to examine metabolic retroconversion of TMAO in a murine model. We then used an *in vitro* fermentation system to highlight the effect of TMAO on the human faecal microbiota. Finally, we screened a panel of human faecal and caecal/small intestinal isolates to determine which members of the human gut microbiota were able to reduce TMAO to TMA and whether their metabolism was affected by being grown in the presence of TMAO.

Methods

Animal work

Groups of 6-week-old C57BL6/J mice (Janvier Labs, Courtabouef, France) were received and acclimated in specific pathogen-free (SPF) maintenance conditions. They were fed with a standard chow diet (R04-40, Safe, Augy, France) and were either given free access to tap water or tap water containing the antibiotic cocktail (0.5 g/L vancomycin hydrochloride, 1 g/L neomycin trisulfate, 1 g/L metronidazole, 1 g/L ampicillin sodium; all antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) for 14 days. Mice were given in the morning by gavage either a solution of d_9 -TMAO at 1×10^{-4} M (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc., DLM-4779-0, UK) or saline and euthanized 6 h later by cervical dislocation. Blood samples were collected by tail tipping every 2 h in Microvette® CB 300 Lithium Heparin (Sarstedt, Marnay, France). Plasma was separated by centrifugation (10 min, 5000g, 4 °C) and stored at -80 °C until analysed by ultra-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS).

UPLC–MS/MS determination of plasma TMA, d_9 -TMA, TMAO, and d_9 -TMAO

UPLC–MS/MS was employed for the determination of TMA, d_9 -TMA, TMAO, and d_9 -TMAO. Samples (10 μ L) were spiked with 10 μ L internal standard solution (d_9 -choline and $^{13}C_3/^{15}N$ -TMA in water; 1 mg/L, Sigma-Aldrich). Ethyl 2-bromoacetate solution (45 μ L) (15 g/L ethyl 2-bromoacetate, 1% NH_4OH in acetonitrile; ChromaSolv grade, Sigma-Aldrich) was added and derivatization of TMAs (TMA, d_9 -TMA, and $^{13}C_3/^{15}N$ -TMA) to their ethoxy analogues was completed after 30 min at room temperature. Protein/lipid precipitation solution (935 μ L) (94% acetonitrile/5% water/1% formic acid; ChromaSolv grade, Sigma-Aldrich) was added; samples were centrifuged (20 min, 20,000g, 4 °C) and were transferred to UPLC autosampler vials. Sample injections (5 μ L loop) were performed with a Waters Acquity UPLC–Xevo TQ-S UPLC–MS/MS system equipped with an Acquity BEH HILIC (2.1 \times 100 mm, 1.7 μ m) chromatographic column.

An isocratic elution was applied with 10 mM ammonium formate (Sigma-Aldrich) in 95:5 (*v/v*) acetonitrile/water for 7 min at 750 μ L/min and 50 °C. Positive electrospray (ESI⁺) was used as ionization source, and mass spectrometer parameters were set as follows: capillary, cone, and source voltages at -700, -18, and 50 V, respectively, and desolvation temperature at 600 °C; desolvation/cone/nebulizer gases were high-purity nitrogen (BOC) at 1000 L/h, 150 L/h, and 7 bar, respectively. Collision gas was high-purity argon (BOC). Mass spectrometer was operated in multiple reaction monitoring mode. The monitored transitions were the following: for TMA, +146 \rightarrow +118/59 *m/z* (23/27 V); for d_9 -TMA, +155 \rightarrow +127/68 *m/z* (21/23 V); for $^{13}C_3/^{15}N$ -TMA, +150 \rightarrow +63/122 *m/z* (27/22 V); for TMAO, +76 \rightarrow +59/58 *m/z* (12/13 V); for d_9 -TMAO, +85 \rightarrow +68/66 *m/z* (18/20 V); and for d_9 -choline, +108 \rightarrow +60/45 *m/z* (20/22 V). The system was controlled by MassLynx software, also used for data acquisition and analysis.

1H -NMR spectroscopy and data analysis

Medium samples were randomized and centrifuged (5 min, 16,000g). Aliquots (50 μ L) of the supernatants were diluted in 550 μ L D_2O containing 1 mM trimethylsilyl-(2,2,3,3- 2H_4)-1-propionate. Samples were transferred to 5 mm NMR tubes and measured on a NMR spectrometer (Bruker) operating at 600.22 MHz 1H frequency as described [29]. 1H -NMR spectra were pre-processed and analysed as described [9] using the Statistical Recoupling of Variables algorithm [30]. Structural assignment was performed as described [31], using in-house and publicly available databases.

In vitro fermentation systems

Freshly voided faecal samples were obtained from three healthy human volunteers (one male and two females; age range 29–31 years), none of whom had been prescribed antibiotics 6 months prior to the study, eaten fish 3 days prior to sample collection, or had any history of gastrointestinal disease. The University of Reading's Research Ethics Committee (UREC) does not require that specific ethical review and approval be given by UREC for the collection of faecal samples from healthy human volunteers to inoculate *in vitro* fermentation systems. Samples were processed immediately by diluting them 1 in 10 (*w/w*) in pre-reduced minimal broth and homogenizing them in a filter bag in a stomacher (Stomacher 400 Lab System; Seward) for 2 min at "high" speed. For each donor, a batch culture vessel containing 175 mL of pre-reduced minimal broth was inoculated with 20 mL of the faecal homogenate and 5 mL of sterile H_2O containing 2 g TMAO dihydrate (Sigma-Aldrich). Control vessels were inoculated with 20 mL of the faecal homogenate and 5 mL of sterile H_2O . The final working

volume of each batch culture vessel was 200 mL. The pH of each vessel (pH 6.5) was controlled automatically by the addition of 2 M HCl or 2 M NaOH. pH controllers were supplied by Electrolab. The contents of each vessel were stirred constantly. An anaerobic environment was maintained by constantly sparging the vessels with O₂-free N₂. The temperature of each vessel was maintained at 37 °C by the use of a circulating waterbath connected to each fermentation vessel. The experiment was run for 9 h, with samples taken at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 9 h.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis

Aliquots (2 × 375 µL) of sample were fixed in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde for 4 h, washed in sterile phosphate-buffered saline, and stored for FISH analysis as described [32]. Additional file 1: Table S1 gives details for probes used in this study. Probes were synthesized commercially (MWG-Biotech) and labelled with the fluorescent dye cyanine 3 (Cy3; excitation λ, 514 nm; emission λ, 566 nm; fluorescence colour, orange–red). FISH was done as described [32].

Slides were viewed under a Nikon E400 Eclipse microscope. DAPI slides were visualized with the aid of a DM400 filter; probe slides were visualized with the aid of a DM575 filter. Cells (between 15 and 50 per field of view) were counted for 15 fields of view, and the numbers of bacteria were determined by using the following equation:

$$DF \times ACC \times 6,732.42 \times 50 \times DF_{\text{sample}}$$

where the DF (dilution factor) was calculated by taking into account the concentration of the original sample (375 to 300 µL = 0.8×). ACC (average cell count) was determined by counting 15 fields of view and assumes that a normal distribution was observed for the counts. The figure 6732.42 refers to the area of the well divided by the area of the field of view. DF_{sample} refers to the dilution of sample used with a particular probe (e.g. 5× for t₀ Lab158 counts). The detection limit of this method was 89,766 bacteria/mL of sample (= log₁₀ 4.95).

Screening of bacteria for ability to reduce TMAO

Part of an in-house collection (University of Reading) of bacteria isolated from human caecal/small intestinal and faecal samples (Table 1) was screened on minimal agar [g/L: glucose (Fisher Scientific), 4; bacteriological peptone (Oxoid), 20; NaCl (Fisher Scientific), 5; neutral red (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.03; agar technical no. 3 (Oxoid), 15; pH 7] with and without 1% (w/v) TMAO dihydrate (Sigma-Aldrich). The composition of the agar was based on [27], who used the medium with crystal violet and bile salts to screen members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*

for TMAO reductase activity. Colonies of isolates able to ferment glucose were red, whereas those able to reduce TMAO were white. Growth curves (OD₆₀₀ measured hourly for 10–12 h, then at 24 h) were determined for selected isolates grown anaerobically at 37 °C in anaerobic minimal broth [g/L: glucose, 4; bacteriological peptone, 20; NaCl, 5; L-cysteine HCl (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5; resazurin solution (0.25 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), 4 mL; pH 7] with and without 1% (w/v) TMAO dihydrate. Glucose was substituted by raffinose (Sigma-Aldrich) [33] in the minimal broth when working with bifidobacteria because of the poor growth of these bacteria on the glucose-based medium. pH and metabolite profiles of culture medium were examined at the end of the growth experiment (i.e. at t₂₄).

Statistical analyses

Differences between metabolites produced by faecal and caecal/small intestinal isolates of *Escherichia coli* and lactic acid bacteria in the presence and absence of TMAO were analysed using Student's *t* test. FISH data from the in vitro fermentation systems were analysed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with statistical significance, after correction for multiple testing, taken at *P* < 0.05. Because of the presence of ties in the metabolite data from in vitro fermentation systems, the bootstrapped Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (10,000 replications) was used with these data. Data from in vitro fermentation systems (FISH and NMR) were correlated using Spearman's rank correlation (corrected for ties) with results corrected for multiple testing using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg [34].

Results

In vivo confirmation of metabolic retroconversion

First, to confirm in vivo metabolic retroconversion (i.e. microbial conversion of TMAO to TMA, followed by host conversion of TMA to TMAO), we administered isotopically labelled d₉-TMAO or saline to mice that had or had not been treated with a broad-spectrum antibiotic cocktail for 14 days to suppress the gut microbiota [12]. Reduction of d₉-TMAO to d₉-TMA was quantified in urine by UPLC–MS/MS up to 6 h after d₉-TMAO gavage, together with unlabelled TMA and TMAO potentially produced from dietary sources (Fig. 1).

In the absence of antibiotics, d₉-TMAO was converted to d₉-TMA within 2 h of gavage. This conversion was dramatically reduced, leading to a significantly lower concentration of d₉-TMA and a higher concentration of d₉-TMAO, when the gut microbiota was suppressed by antibiotics (Fig. 1a–d). We noted that in the absence of antibiotics, all animals excreted approximately three times higher levels of urinary unlabelled TMA than TMAO (Fig. 1e–h), corresponding to constitutively low

Table 1 Details for human-derived gut bacteria screened for their ability to reduce or utilize TMAO

Isolate*	Identified as	Source	Reference	Facultative anaerobe?
D2(9)	[<i>Clostridium</i>] <i>innocuum</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	No
L16-FAA1	[<i>Clostridium</i>] <i>ramosum</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L12-BSM1	<i>Actinomyces odontolyticus</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L6-BSM10	<i>Actinomyces viscosus</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L6-FAA7	<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
D1(4)	<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	No
L9-FAA7	<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
DSM 20083 ^T	<i>Bifidobacterium adolescentis</i>	Human intestine	DSMZ [†]	No
LCR26	<i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
LCR11	<i>Bifidobacterium bidifum</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
L25-MRS2	<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
LCR5	<i>Bifidobacterium breve</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
LCR8	<i>Bifidobacterium breve</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
LCR1	<i>Bifidobacterium dentium</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
DSM 20093 ^T	<i>Bifidobacterium gallicum</i>	Human intestine	DSMZ	No
LCR6	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
DSM 20088 ^T	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp. <i>infantis</i>	Infant intestine	DSMZ	No
LCR2	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp. <i>infantis</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
DSM 20219 ^T	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp. <i>longum</i>	Human intestine	DSMZ	No
LCR3	<i>Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No
L19-MRS1	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp. <i>longum</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L25-MRS8	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp. <i>longum</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L26-MRS4	<i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L26-FAA1	<i>Citrobacter gillenii</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L8-FAA3	<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L16-FAA6	<i>Clostridium paraputrificum</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L20-BSM1	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
D1(9)	<i>Clostridium sporogenes</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	No
D2(14)	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D3(1)	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D5(2)	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D6(1)	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D6(5)	<i>Enterococcus gallinarum</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D1(2)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D2(1)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D2(2)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D2(8)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D3(8)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D4(15)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D5(1)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
L1-FAA5	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L13-FAA2	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L16-FAA5	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L19-FAA2	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes

Table 1 Details for human-derived gut bacteria screened for their ability to reduce or utilize TMAO (*Continued*)

Isolate*	Identified as	Source	Reference	Facultative anaerobe?
L20-FAA3	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L24-FAA5	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L5-FAA2	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L6-FAA1	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L9-MRS1	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L9-FAA5	<i>Fusobacterium ulcerans</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L15-FAA9	<i>Hafnia paralvei</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L4-FAA5	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> subsp. <i>pneumoniae</i>	Human caecum	[52]	Yes
L26-MRS5	<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L26-FAA6	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
L13-FAA10	<i>Parabacteroides johnsonii</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	No
D4(1)	<i>Paraclostridium bifermentans</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	No
L1-FAA6	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
D2(4)	<i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D1(5)	<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
D5(12)	<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
L25-MRS1	<i>Streptococcus gallolyticus</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L4-MRS5	<i>Streptococcus oralis</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
L4-MRS1	<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
D4(3)	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	Human faeces	M.L. Jiménez-Pranteda, unpublished	Yes
L26-MRS7	<i>Streptococcus vestibularis</i>	Human caecum	L. Hoyles, unpublished	Yes
LCR4	Unknown <i>Bifidobacterium</i>	Infant faeces	[51]	No

*Ln- prefix, different numbers indicate isolates recovered from different individuals

†DSMZ, Leibniz Institute DSMZ – German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures

FMO3 activity in mice [35]. Levels of unlabelled TMAO/TMA were not significantly different from one another in the control and d₉-TMAO-fed animals, while antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the amount of both TMAO and TMA (Fig. 1e, f). Bioavailability of unlabelled TMAO/TMA, as assessed by area under the curve (AUC), was significantly reduced by antibiotic treatment in both saline and d₉-TMAO-gavaged animals, with almost no TMA detected in either experimental group (Fig. 1g, h).

Effect of TMAO on human gut bacteria within a mixed system

After in vivo validation of the role of the gut microbiota in metabolic retroconversion, we analysed the effect of TMAO on the faecal microbiota in an anaerobic batch-culture fermentation system. Fermenter vessels filled with the glucose-containing medium supplemented or not with 1% (w/v) TMAO were inoculated with faecal slurries from three healthy donors and monitored for 9 h. With the exception of enhanced growth of the *Enterobacteriaceae* (probe Ent), the presence of TMAO in the medium had no statistically significant effect on

the growth of bacteria within the fermentation systems at 9 h (Fig. 2a, Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Huge variability, as measured by ¹H-NMR, was observed in the amount of TMA and DMA produced by gut bacteria in the TMAO-containing fermentation systems, with the concentrations of both metabolites increasing steadily from 0 to 9 h and differing significantly ($P < 0.05$) from the control systems (Fig. 2b). The amount of TMAO in the systems was seen to decrease at 8 h.

Correlation of metabolite and FISH data demonstrated *Clostridium* clusters I and II (Chis150), *Enterobacteriaceae* (Ent), bifidobacteria (Bif164) and coriobacteriia (Ato291) were associated with TMA, acetate, ethanol, and lactate (Fig. 2c). The *Betaproteobacteria* (Bet42a) were anti-correlated with TMA, acetate, ethanol, and lactate, which is unsurprising given this was the only group of bacteria whose representation decreased in the fermentation systems over the course of the experiment (Additional file 1: Figure S1, Fig. 2c). The *Enterobacteriaceae* and clostridia were positively correlated with DMA production. The lactic acid bacteria (Lab158) were not significantly correlated with any of the metabolites in the mixed culture.




Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)

(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 1 In vivo confirmation of metabolic retroconversion of TMAO. Reduction of d_9 -TMAO to d_9 -TMA was quantified by UPLC-MS/MS up to 6 h after d_9 -TMAO gavage and antibiotic treatment, together with unlabelled TMA and TMAO levels. Plasma quantification of post-gavage **a** d_9 -TMA and **b** d_9 -TMAO. *Significantly ($P < 0.05$; t test and corrected for multiple comparison using the Holm-Sidak method) different from the respective groups not treated with antibiotics. **c** d_9 -TMA bioavailability (AUC). **d** d_9 -TMAO bioavailability (AUC). Plasma quantification of post-gavage unlabelled/endogenous **e** TMA and **f** TMAO. *Significant between d_9 and d_9 antibiotic treatment; [‡]significant between TMAO and TMAO antibiotic treatment. **g** Unlabelled/endogenous TMA bioavailability (AUC). **h** Unlabelled/endogenous TMAO bioavailability (AUC). Data ($n = 6$ per group) are shown as mean \pm SEM (**a, b, e, f**). Differences between the bioavailabilities (**c, d, g, h**) were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Holm-Sidak post hoc tests. Data with different superscript letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)




Fig. 2 Effect of TMAO on mixed faecal microbial population in vitro. **a** Enumeration of selected bacteria in fermentation vessels by FISH analysis. Red lines, TMAO-containing systems; blue lines, negative controls. Data are shown as mean \pm SD ($n = 3$). Eub338, total bacteria; Ent, *Enterobacteriaceae*; Bif164, *Bifidobacterium* spp.; Lab158, lactic acid bacteria. *Statistically significantly different (adjusted $P < 0.05$) from the control at the same time point. Full data are shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1. **b** $^1\text{H-NMR}$ data for batch culture samples. Data are shown as mean \pm SD ($n = 3$). Red lines, TMAO-containing systems; blue lines, negative controls. *Statistically significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from the negative control at the same time point. **c** Bidirectional clustering of correlation matrix of FISH data and data for the six metabolites found in the highest amounts in the NMR spectra from the batch-culture samples. +Adjusted P value (Benjamini-Hochberg) statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). FISH and metabolite data and a table of correlations and adjusted P values (Benjamini-Hochberg) for the batch-culture samples are available in Additional file 1: Table S3-S5

Growth of pure cultures of gut bacteria in the presence of TMAO

Using an in-house collection of bacteria, we initially screened isolates on a modified version of the agar of Takahi and Ishimoto [27] as a rapid means of screening bacteria for TMAO reductase activity, and thereby their ability to reduce TMAO to TMA. While members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* produced expected results (i.e. white colonies when grown in the presence of TMAO and glucose, rather than red colonies on the glucose only control), we observed a number of unexpected outcomes depending on the species under study. For example, colonies of lactic acid bacteria were larger (almost twice their usual size) on TMAO-containing agar than on the glucose control but remained red in colour, suggesting they had not reduced TMAO to TMA at detectable levels but TMAO was influencing their growth. The clostridia examined produced mixed results on the control and TMAO-containing media (i.e. white colonies on both plates or on the control plate only, larger colonies on the TMAO-containing medium but without a colour change of the medium).

To determine whether these isolates were converting TMAO to TMA but a low level, we examined the growth of all isolates in liquid culture and metabolites in the spent medium using NMR. The growth of the *Enterobacteriaceae* was most greatly affected by the presence of TMAO in the medium, with a faster, longer-lasting exponential phase than for the same isolates grown in the control medium (Fig. 3a). pH of the spent medium (after 24 h) when *Enterobacteriaceae* were grown in the presence of TMAO increased from a mean of 4.7 ± 0.3 (for the control) to 7.6 ± 0.3 ($n = 20$) (the change in pH is what causes the colonies to appear white on TMAO-containing agar). The growth of lactic acid bacteria, including *Enterococcus* and *Streptococcus* (Fig. 3a) spp., was enhanced in the presence of TMAO, but not to the same extent as seen for the *Enterobacteriaceae*. There was no significant difference ($P = 0.27$, t test) in the pH of the spent medium for these bacteria after 24 h (mean 4.67 ± 0.9 compared with 4.33 ± 0.27 for the control). The growth of members of *Clostridium* cluster I (e.g. *Clostridium perfringens*, Fig. 3a) was not enhanced in the presence of TMAO, though some of these bacteria changed the colour of both the control and TMAO-containing plates yellow during their growth. The pH of the spent liquid medium confirmed this observation to be due to the alkalinity of the media in the control and TMAO-containing media after 24-h incubation: e.g. *Clostridium sporogenes* D1(9) (pH 6.25 compared with 6.76 in the control medium), *Clostridium paraputrificum* L16-FAA6 (pH 6.45 vs 5.38), and *Clostridium perfringens* L20-BSM1 (pH 5.56 vs 4.64).


¹H-NMR analysis of spent medium from the TMAO-containing and control samples demonstrated that, as expected, the *Enterobacteriaceae* produced the greatest amount of TMA from TMAO (mean 38.79 ± 11.08 mM compared with 0.03 ± 0.01 mM, $n = 20$) (Fig. 3b; Additional file 1: Table S2). Members of the families *Peptostreptococcaceae* (3.72 mM, $n = 1$), *Clostridiaceae* (cluster I) (2.62 ± 1.83 mM, $n = 3$), *Porphyromonadaceae* (1.42 mM, $n = 1$), *Bacteroidaceae* (1.40 ± 0.31 mM, $n = 3$), *Enterococcaceae* (1.19 ± 0.05 mM, $n = 5$), *Erysipelotrichaceae* (0.94 mM, $n = 1$; [*Clostridium*] *ramosum*), *Staphylococcaceae* (0.34 mM, $n = 1$), *Streptococcaceae* (0.30 ± 0.16 mM, $n = 5$), *Lactobacillaceae* (0.17 ± 0.07 mM, $n = 2$), *Pseudomonadaceae* (0.12 mM, $n = 1$), and *Bifidobacteriaceae* (0.13 ± 0.1 mM, $n = 17$) produced low levels of TMA from TMAO (Fig. 3b; Additional file 1: Table S2). There was great variability in the ability of the bifidobacteria to produce TMA from TMAO, with several isolates and [*Clostridium*] *innocuum*, *Actinomyces odontolyticus*, *Fusobacterium ulcerans*, and *Actinomyces viscosus* not producing TMA from TMAO (Fig. 3b; Additional file 1: Table S2).

Differences in the metabolic capabilities of faecal and caecal *Escherichia coli* isolates

Comparison of the amounts of TMA, and co-metabolites, produced by the faecal ($n = 7$) and caecal ($n = 9$) isolates of *Escherichia coli* demonstrated significantly higher amounts of TMA were produced by the caecal isolates compared with the faecal isolates in the TMAO-containing medium (Fig. 3c). *Escherichia coli* of caecal origin produced more TMA than faecal isolates of the same bacterium or other enterobacteria (*Hafnia*, *Citrobacter*, and *Klebsiella* spp.) (Additional file 1: Table S2). The faecal isolates produced more acetate and lactate than the caecal isolates when grown in the control medium. Taken together, these results demonstrate the different metabolic capabilities of isolates of *Escherichia coli* recovered from different regions of the human gut.

Lactic acid bacteria produce more lactate in the presence of TMAO

Differences were also seen in the amount of lactic acid produced by lactic acid bacteria in the presence and absence of TMAO (Fig. 3b, d). In raffinose-containing medium, bifidobacteria produced increased amounts of lactate when grown in the presence of TMAO (the bifidobacteria grew poorly, if at all, in glucose-containing media). Unlike the bifidobacteria, the *Streptococcaceae* and *Enterococcaceae* grew well in the glucose-containing medium and produced over 25 mM lactic acid in the TMAO-containing samples compared with <5 mM in the control samples (Fig. 3d). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time TMAO has been shown to influence the metabolism of gut bacteria—specifically lactic



acid bacteria—without producing appreciable amounts of TMA.

Discussion

TMAO is a circulating metabolite produced as a direct result of microbial degradation of dietary methylamines in the intestinal tract and can be readily detected along with its precursor TMA in human urine, blood, and skeletal muscle [8, 12, 18, 22]. It worsens atherosclerosis in some mouse models of CVD and is positively correlated with CVD severity in humans. Beneficial effects

associated with TMAO include potential protection from hyperammonemia and glutamate neurotoxicity, alleviation of endoplasmic reticulum stress, and improved glucose homeostasis by stimulating insulin secretion by pancreatic cells [10, 15, 16].

Over many decades, it has been established that choline, PC, and carnitine are dietary methylamines that contribute directly to microbiome-associated circulating levels of TMAO found in humans and other animals—e.g. [2, 12, 18, 19, 21, 22]. However, TMAO itself is a water-soluble osmolyte found in high abundance in fish. Based on their

observations that individuals with trimethylaminuria could reduce an oral dose of TMAO to TMA but could not detoxify it to TMAO, al-Waiz et al. [25] suggested the gut microbiota could use TMAO as a substrate, and in those individuals without trimethylaminuria, the TMA was re-oxidized in the liver before being excreted in the urine. This led these authors to propose the process of metabolic retroversion. Reference to the literature associated with fish spoilage and recent mining of metagenomic data have predicted members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* (particularly *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*) have the potential to convert TMAO to TMA in the intestinal tract, but this has not been tested in vitro or in vivo to date [4, 8, 26–28]. Consequently, we instigated this study to demonstrate metabolic retroconversion of TMAO and to determine the effect of TMAO on the growth and metabolism of human-derived intestinal bacteria in pure and mixed cultures.

Through in vivo administration of deuterated TMAO to mice via oral gavage in mice, we unambiguously demonstrated that TMAO is converted to TMA, with this TMA detectable in plasma within 2 h of administration. This conversion was highly dependent on the gut microbiota, as conversion of TMAO to TMA was dramatically reduced when the microbiota was suppressed by treatment of animals with broad-spectrum antibiotics. Even in the presence of antibiotics, there was low-level conversion of TMAO to TMA, suggesting a subpopulation of the microbiota was resistant to the antibiotics used in our experiment. However, administration of a broad-spectrum antibiotic cocktail for 14 days has been demonstrated to be an effective means of suppressing the gut microbiota in studies associated with gut microbial use of dietary methylamines [12].

Our in vivo experiment clearly demonstrates the gut microbiota converts TMAO to TMA and that this TMA is re-oxidized to TMAO, in line with the process of metabolic retroversion [25]. Gut-associated microbial conversion of the majority of TMAO to TMA is at odds with recent findings [8], in which it was suggested TMAO is taken up intact and not metabolized by the gut microbiota of humans. The ratio of TMAO-to-TMA is around 10:1 in humans and 1:10 in mice, meaning TMAO was *N*-oxidized before Taesuwan et al. [8] were able to observe it in circulating blood of their human subjects. In a human system with high FMO3 activity, ¹⁷O-labelled TMAO would need to be used in any study evaluating O turnover to allow calculation of the true rate of retroconversion.

Based on work done in mice [10, 36], metabolic retroconversion of TMAO may be protective and may even go some way to explaining why TMA and TMAO are detected at low levels in urine in the absence of dietary methylamines [17]. Chronic exposure of high-fat-fed

mice to TMAO reduced diet-associated endoplasmic stress and adipogenesis and improved glucose tolerance [10]. Exposure of high-fat-fed mice to TMA reduced low-grade inflammation and insulin resistance via inhibition of interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 4 (IRAK-4) [36]. Concentrations of the microbial signalling metabolite TMA used by Chilloux et al. [36] were comparable to those found in normal human plasma. Continual exposure of the human system to TMA/TMAO may bring similar benefits to host metabolic and inflammatory responses; these remain to be studied.

Having conducted our in vivo experiment in mice, we examined the ability of a range of human-derived gut bacteria to convert TMAO to TMA. In the mixed microbiota system and in pure cultures, the growth of the *Enterobacteriaceae*—the main TMA producers—was quickly affected by the presence of TMAO. This is likely to happen in the human gut also. Consequently, we believe dietary TMAO undergoes metabolic retroconversion in mammals, with the TMA produced as a result of bacterial activity in the gut available to the host for conversion back to TMAO by FMOs in hepatocytes. It should be noted that [8] did not suppress or monitor the intestinal/faecal microbiota when they administered isotopically labelled TMAO to humans, nor did they measure d₅-TMA and d₉-TMAO in the portal vein, bypassing subsequent hepatic *N*-oxidation of d₅-TMA, so it is not possible to interpret their results in the context of the presence/absence of microbial activity.

Of note is the finding that caecal isolates of enterobacteria produce more TMA from TMAO than faecal isolates of the same bacterium. The speed with which TMAO was reduced to TMA by the *Enterobacteriaceae* in the present study suggests bacterial conversion of TMAO to TMA takes place in the small intestine/proximal colon of humans and small intestine/caecum of mice. It is, therefore, unsurprising that caecal bacteria—representing the microbiota present at the intersection of the small and large intestine—are metabolically more active than their faecal counterparts with respect to TMAO metabolism. This finding is relevant to functional studies of the gut microbiota where gene annotations are based largely on faecal isolates, whose functionalities may be greatly different from those of bacteria in other regions of the human intestinal tract [37, 38]. It has already been demonstrated that the microbiota of the small intestine is enriched for functions associated with rapid uptake and fermentation of simple carbohydrates compared with the faecal microbiota and that streptococci isolated from this niche are functionally very different from the same bacteria isolated from different habitats [37, 38]. It is, therefore, important that we characterize the functions and genomes of bacteria isolated from all regions of the intestinal tract, not just those of faecal bacteria, to gain a true picture of how microbial activity influences host health.

Enterobacteriaceae made the greatest contribution to the conversion of TMAO to TMA, both in pure culture and in a mixed microbiota. These Gram-negative bacteria are a source of the virulence factor lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which is associated with low-grade inflammation in high-fat-fed mice and elevated plasma levels that define metabolic endotoxaemia [39, 40]. High-fat feeding has been shown to increase the representation of *Enterobacteriaceae* in the caecal microbiota of obesity-prone Sprague Dawley rats [41], though this mode of feeding is known to modulate the microbiota of mice independent of obesity [42]. Non-LPS-associated virulence of *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Helicobacter pylori* is increased when these bacteria are grown anaerobically or microaerophilically in the presence of as little as 5–10 mM TMAO [43–46], and may be an additional means by which the gut microbiota contributes to CVD and other diseases in which increased representation of *Gammaproteobacteria* is observed. This warrants attention in future animal studies.

Lactic acid bacteria clearly grow better in the presence of TMAO. The relatively high concentration (1%) of TMAO used in this study may have contributed to this improved growth, as TMAO is an osmolyte that stabilizes proteins. Future work will involve growing lactic acid bacteria in a range of TMAO concentrations to determine how this compound affects their growth and gene expression, and comparing faecal and caecal isolates. Similar to the *Enterobacteriaceae*, a large number of these bacteria are facultative anaerobes able to grow over a range of conditions, and whose representation is increased in obese, and cirrhotic patients [47, 48]. *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* spp. are commensal lactic acid bacteria of the gut microbiota known to modulate immune function, but little is known about their metabolic activities in mixed microbial populations [49]. Understanding how commensal lactic acid bacteria influence the host in dysbiosis in mixed microbial communities may allow the development of approaches to modulate their activity and influence host health.

With respect to the lactic acid bacteria, it is important to note that our mixed culture work did not highlight these as being relevant to TMAO metabolism. This is unsurprising given these bacteria do not produce large quantities of TMA from TMAO. However, we have shown their metabolism is affected by the presence of TMAO in growth medium, and the increased lactate they produce in its presence may contribute to cross-feeding associated with short-chain fatty acid production [50]. It is difficult to determine the relevance of correlations from mixed microbial ecosystems in the absence of isotope labelling or pure culture work, i.e. correlation does not equate with causation. As an example, three (*Clostridium* clusters I and II, bifidobacteria, coriobacteriia) of the four groups of bacteria correlated with

TMA production in our fermentation study did not produce notable quantities of TMA from TMAO based on our pure culture work. Therefore, correlating microbiota and metabolite data derived from complex systems will not give a true picture of which members of microbiota contribute to specific metabolic processes, and work with pure cultures is required to supplement functional studies to increase our understanding of poorly understood microbially driven metabolic processes within the human gut.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated metabolic retroconversion—another example of host–microbial co-metabolism—occurs in the mammalian system with respect to TMAO, whereby TMAO is reduced by the gut microbiota to TMA and regenerated by host hepatic enzymes. We have also demonstrated that growth and metabolism of members of the gut microbiota are affected by TMAO in a source- and taxon-dependent manner, with the family *Enterobacteriaceae* making the greatest contribution to production of TMA in the gut.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Effect of TMAO on mixed faecal microbial population in vitro. Enumeration of bacteria in fermentation vessels by FISH analysis. Red lines, TMAO-containing systems; blue lines, negative controls. Data are shown as mean + SD ($n = 3$). Eub338, total bacteria; Bac303, *Bacteroidales*; Ato291, “*Atopobium* cluster”; Bif164, *Bifidobacterium* spp.; Ent, *Enterobacteriaceae*; Bet42a, *Betaproteobacteria*; Prop853, *Veillonellaceae*; Lab158, lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillales*); Fpra655, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and *Subdoligranulum* spp.; Erec482, *Lachnospiraceae*; Clit135, *Clostridium* cluster XI; Rbro730/Rfla729, *Ruminococcaceae*; Chis150, *Clostridium* clusters I and II. Detection limit of the method was 4.95 log₁₀ (number of bacteria/mL sample). *Statistically significantly different (adjusted $P < 0.05$) from the control at the same time point. **Table S1.** Oligonucleotide probes used in this study. **Table S2.** NMR-based analysis of metabolites in growth medium of pure cultures after 24-h incubation (anaerobic) in the presence and absence of TMAO. **Table S3.** FISH data for the batch culture samples, with data presented as log₁₀ (number of bacteria/mL sample). **Table S4.** NMR data for the batch culture samples, with concentrations of metabolites given in mM. **Table S5.** Spearman correlation (corrected for ties) and adjusted P values (Benjamini–Hochberg) for FISH and metabolite data for batch culture samples. (DOCX 227 kb)

Abbreviations

AUC: Area under the curve; DMA: Dimethylamine; FISH: Fluorescence in situ hybridization; FMO: Flavin mono-oxygenase; MMA: Monomethylamine; PC: Phosphatidylcholine; TMA: Trimethylamine; TMAO: Trimethylamine *N*-oxide; UPLC–MS/MS: Ultra-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry

Funding

MLJP was sponsored by Fundación Alfonso Martín Escudero (Spain). Work was supported by a grant from the EU-FP7 (METACARDIS, HEALTH-F4-2012-305312) to DG and MED. LH is in receipt of an MRC Intermediate Research Fellowship in Data Science (grant number MR/L01632X/1, UK Med-Bio).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

MLJP, LH, and ALM performed the microbiological work. JC was responsible for the NMR analysis and interpretation of the NMR data. TA and FB did the animal work. MED and JKN supervised the NMR work. ALM supervised the microbiological work. CM provided invaluable advice for the labelling study. DG supervised the animal work. AM did the UPLC-MS/MS analyses. GRG and JRD provided access to caecal samples for isolation of bacteria. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal procedures were authorized following review by the institutional ethics committee (Sorbonne Universities) and carried out under national license conditions. Ethical approval to collect caecal effluent from patients was obtained from St Thomas' Hospital Research Ethics Committee (06/Q0702/74) covering Guy's and St Thomas' Hospitals and transferred by agreement to London Bridge Hospital. Patients provided written consent to provide samples.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Disease, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, London SW7 2AZ, UK. ²Food Microbial Sciences Unit, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, School of Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy, Faculty of Life Sciences, The University of Reading, Whiteknights Campus, Reading RG6 6UR, UK. ³Sorbonne Universities, University Pierre & Marie Curie, University Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, INSERM UMR_S 1138, Cordeliers Research Centre, Paris, France. ⁴Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Denis Diderot, Unité de Biologie Fonctionnelle et Adaptative, CNRS UMR 8251, 75205 Paris, France. ⁵Department of Gastroenterology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London, UK.

Received: 18 December 2017 Accepted: 13 April 2018

Published online: 20 April 2018

References

1. Wünsche R. Über die wirkung von darmbakterien auf trimethylammoniumbasen. *Ber Ges Physiol Exp Pharm.* 1940;118:303.
2. Zeisel SH, Wishnok JS, Blusztajn JK. Formation of methylamines from ingested choline and lecithin. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1983;225:320–4.
3. Bradbeer C. The clostridial fermentations of choline and ethanolamine. 1. Preparation and properties of cell-free extracts. *J Biol Chem.* 1965;240:4669–74.
4. Borrel G, McCann A, Deane J, Neto MC, Lynch DB, Brugère JF, et al. Genomics and metagenomics of trimethylamine-utilizing *Archaea* in the human gut microbiome. *ISME J.* 2017;11:2059–74.
5. Stremmel W, Schmidt KV, Schuhmann V, Kratzer F, Garbade SF, Langhans CD, et al. Blood trimethylamine-N-oxide originates from microbiota mediated breakdown of phosphatidylcholine and absorption from small intestine. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0170742.
6. Bennett BJ, de Aguiar Vallim TQ, Wang Z, Shih DM, Meng Y, Gregory J, et al. Trimethylamine-N-oxide, a metabolite associated with atherosclerosis, exhibits complex genetic and dietary regulation. *Cell Metab.* 2013;17:49–60.
7. de la Huerga J, Popper H. Urinary excretion of choline metabolites following choline administration in normals and patients with hepatobiliary diseases. *J Clin Invest.* 1951;30:463–70.
8. Taesuwan S, Cho CE, Malysheva OV, Bender E, King JH, Yan J, et al. The metabolic fate of isotopically labeled trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) in humans. *J Nutr Biochem.* 2017;45:77–82.
9. Dumas ME, Barton RH, Toye A, Cloarec O, Blancher C, Rothwell A, et al. Metabolic profiling reveals a contribution of gut microbiota to fatty liver phenotype in insulin-resistant mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006;103:12511–6.
10. Dumas ME, Rothwell AR, Hoyles L, Aranas T, Chilloux J, Calderari S, et al. Microbial-host co-metabolites are prodromal markers predicting phenotypic

heterogeneity in behavior, obesity, and impaired glucose tolerance. *Cell Rep.* 2017;20:136–48.

11. Spencer MD, Hamp TJ, Reid RW, Fischer LM, Zeisel SH, Fodor AA. Association between composition of the human gastrointestinal microbiome and development of fatty liver with choline deficiency. *Gastroenterology.* 2011;140:976–86.
12. Tang WH, Wang Z, Levison BS, Koeth RA, Britt EB, Fu X, et al. Intestinal microbial metabolism of phosphatidylcholine and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:1575–84.
13. Gao X, Liu X, Xu J, Xue C, Xue Y, Wang Y. Dietary trimethylamine N-oxide exacerbates impaired glucose tolerance in mice fed a high fat diet. *J Biosci Bioeng.* 2014;118:476–81.
14. Zhu W, Wang Z, Tang WHW, Hazen SL. Gut microbe-generated trimethylamine N-oxide from dietary choline is prothrombotic in subjects. *Circulation.* 2017;135:1671–3.
15. Kloiber O, Banjac B, Drewes LR. Protection against acute hyperammonemia: the role of quaternary amines. *Toxicology.* 1988;49:83–90.
16. Miñana MD, Hermenegildo C, Llsansola M, Montoliu C, Grisolia S, Felipe V. Carnitine and choline derivatives containing a trimethylamine group prevent ammonia toxicity in mice and glutamate toxicity in primary cultures of neurons. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1996;279:194–9.
17. de la Huerga J, Gyorgy P, Waldstein S, Katz R, Popper H. The effects of antimicrobial agents upon choline degradation in the intestinal tract. *J Clin Invest.* 1953;32:1117–20.
18. Wang Z, Klipfell E, Bennett BJ, Koeth R, Levison BS, Dugar B, et al. Gut flora metabolism of phosphatidylcholine promotes cardiovascular disease. *Nature.* 2011;472:57–63.
19. Rebouche CJ, Mack DL, Edmonson PF. L-carnitine dissimulation in the gastrointestinal tract of the rat. *Biochemistry.* 1984;23:6422–6.
20. Rebouche CJ, Chenard CA. Metabolic fate of dietary carnitine in human adults: identification and quantification of urinary and fecal metabolites. *J Nutr.* 1991;121:539–46.
21. Seim H, Schulze J, Strack E. Catabolic pathways for high-dosed L(-)- or D(+)-carnitine in germ-free rats? *Biol Chem Hoppe Seyler.* 1985;366:1017–21.
22. Koeth RA, Wang Z, Levison BS, Buffa JA, Org E, Sheehy BT, et al. Intestinal microbiota metabolism of L-carnitine, a nutrient in red meat, promotes atherosclerosis. *Nat Med.* 2013;19:576–85.
23. Jebsen JW, Tang M. Breakdown products of trimethylamine oxide in airdried stockfish. Means of enhancing the formation of formaldehyde and dimethylamine. *Fish Dir Skr Ser Ernoering.* 1977;1:145–53.
24. Zeisel SH, Da Costa KA. Increase in human exposure to methylamine precursors of N-nitrosamine after eating fish. *Cancer Res.* 1986;46:6136–8.
25. al-Waiz M, Ayesb R, Mitchell SC, Idle JR, Smith RL. Disclosure of the metabolic retroversion of trimethylamine N-oxide in humans: a pharmacogenetic approach. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 1987;42:608–12.
26. Barrett EL, Kwan HS. Bacterial reduction of trimethylamine oxide. *Ann Rev Microbiol.* 1985;39:131–49.
27. Takagi M, Ishimoto M. *Escherichia coli* mutants defective in trimethylamine N-oxide reductase. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 1983;17:247–50.
28. Jameson E, Doxey AC, Airs R, Purdy KJ, Murrell JC, Chen Y. Metagenomic data-mining reveals contrasting microbial populations responsible for trimethylamine formation in human gut and marine ecosystems. *Microb Genom.* 2016;2:e000080.
29. Dona AC, Jiménez B, Schäfer H, Humpfer E, Spraul M, Lewis MR, et al. Precision high-throughput proton NMR spectroscopy of human urine, serum, and plasma for large-scale metabolic phenotyping. *Anal Chem.* 2014;86:9887–94.
30. Blaise BJ, Shintu L, Elena B, Emsley L, Dumas ME, Toulhoat P. Statistical recoupling prior to significance testing in nuclear magnetic resonance based metabolomics. *Anal Chem.* 2009;81:6242–51.
31. Dona AC, Kyriakides M, Scott F, Shephard EA, Varshavi D, Veselkov K, et al. A guide to the identification of metabolites in NMR-based metabolomics/metabolomics experiments. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* 2016;14:135–53.
32. Martín-Peláez S, Gibson GR, Martín-Orúe SM, Klinder A, Rastall RA, La Ragione RM, et al. *In vitro* fermentation of carbohydrates by porcine faecal inocula and their influence on *Salmonella typhimurium* growth in batch culture systems. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2008;66:608–19.
33. Trojanová I, Vlková E, Rada V, Marounek M. Different utilization of glucose and raffinose in *Bifidobacterium breve* and *Bifidobacterium animalis*. *Folia Microbiol (Praha).* 2006;51:320–4.

34. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B*. 1995;57:289–300.
35. Zhang J, Cerny MA, Lawson M, Mosadeghi R, Cashman JR. Functional activity of the mouse flavin-containing monooxygenase forms 1, 3, and 5. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol*. 2007;21:206–15.
36. Chilloux J, Everard A, Zhang L, Blancher C, Fuchs J, Hoyles L, et al. Gut microbial trimethylamine inhibits IRAK-4 and chronic inflammation in metabolic syndrome. *bioRxiv*. 2018; <https://doi.org/10.1101/277434>.
37. Zoetendal EG, Raes J, van den Bogert B, Arumugam M, Booijink CC, Troost FJ, et al. The human small intestinal microbiota is driven by rapid uptake and conversion of simple carbohydrates. *ISME J*. 2012;6:1415–26.
38. van den Bogert B, Boekhorst J, Herrmann R, Smid EJ, Zoetendal EG, Kleerebezem M. Comparative genomics analysis of *Streptococcus* isolates from the human small intestine reveals their adaptation to a highly dynamic ecosystem. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e83418.
39. Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, Poggi M, Knauf C, Bastelica D, et al. Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. *Diabetes*. 2007;56:1761–72.
40. Cani PD, Bibiloni R, Knauf C, Waget A, Neyrinck AM, Delzenne NM, et al. Changes in gut microbiota control metabolic endotoxemia-induced inflammation in high-fat diet-induced obesity and diabetes in mice. *Diabetes*. 2008;57:1470–81.
41. de La Serre CB, Ellis CL, Lee J, Hartman AL, Rutledge JC, Raybould HE. Propensity to high-fat diet-induced obesity in rats is associated with changes in the gut microbiota and gut inflammation. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. 2010;299:G440–8.
42. Hildebrandt MA, Hoffmann C, Sherrill-Mix SA, Keilbaugh SA, Hamady M, Chen YY, et al. High-fat diet determines the composition of the murine gut microbiome independently of obesity. *Gastroenterology*. 2009;137:1716–24.
43. Ando H, Abe H, Sugimoto N, Tobe T. Maturation of functional type III secretion machinery by activation of anaerobic respiration in enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology*. 2007;153:464–73.
44. Lee KM, Park Y, Bari W, Yoon MY, Go J, Kim SC, Lee HI, et al. Activation of cholera toxin production by anaerobic respiration of trimethylamine *N*-oxide in *Vibrio cholerae*. *J Biol Chem*. 2012;287:39742–52.
45. Paiva JB, Penha Filho RA, Pereira EA, Lemos MV, Barrow PA, Lovell MA, et al. The contribution of genes required for anaerobic respiration to the virulence of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Gallinarum for chickens. *Braz J Microbiol*. 2009;40:994–1001.
46. Wu D, Cao M, Peng J, Li N, Yi S, Song L, et al. The effect of trimethylamine *N*-oxide on *Helicobacter pylori*-induced changes of immunoinflammatory genes expression in gastric epithelial cells. *Int Immunopharmacol*. 2017;43:172–8.
47. Qin N, Yang F, Li A, Pfrift E, Chen Y, Shao L, et al. Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. *Nature*. 2014;513:59–64.
48. Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, Pfrift E, Hildebrand F, Falony G, et al. Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature*. 2013;500:541–6.
49. van den Bogert B, Meijerink M, Zoetendal EG, Wells JM, Kleerebezem M. Immunomodulatory properties of *Streptococcus* and *Veillonella* isolates from the human small intestine microbiota. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e114277.
50. Hoyles L, Wallace RJ. Gastrointestinal tract: intestinal fatty acid metabolism and implications for health. In: McGenity T, Timmis KN, van der Meer JR, de Lorenzo V, editors. *Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology*, vol. 4, part 7, *Human-Animal-Plant Health and Physiology Consequences of Microbial Interactions with Hydrocarbons and Lipids*. New York: Springer; 2010. p. 3120–32.
51. Roger LC, Costabile A, Holland DT, Hoyles L, McCartney AL. Examination of faecal *Bifidobacterium* populations in breast- and formula-fed infants during the first 18 months of life. *Microbiology*. 2010;156:3329–41.
52. Hoyles L, Murphy J, Neve H, Heller KJ, Turton JF, Mahony J, et al. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp. *pneumoniae*-bacteriophage combination from the caecal effluent of a healthy woman. *PeerJ*. 2015; <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1061>.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

