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Weedy plant species that have evolved resistance to herbicides due to enhanced metabolic capacity to detoxify herbicides (metabolic resistance) are a major issue. Metabolic herbicide resistance in weedy plant species first became evident in the 1980s in Australia (in *Lolium rigidum*) and the United Kingdom (in *Alopecurus myosuroides*) and is now increasingly recognized in several crop-weed species as a looming threat to herbicide sustainability and thus world crop production. Metabolic resistance often confers resistance to herbicides of different chemical groups and sites of action and can extend to new herbicides. Cytochrome P450 monoxygenase, glycosyl transferase, and glutathione S-transferase are often implicated in herbicide metabolic resistance. However, precisebiochemical and molecular genetic elucidation of metabolic resistance had been stalled until recently. Complex cytochrome P450 superfamilies, high genetic diversity in metabolic resistant weedy plant species (especially cross-pollinated species), and the complexity of genetic control of metabolic resistance have all been barriers to advances in understanding metabolic herbicide resistance. However, next-generation sequencing technologies and transcriptome-wide gene expression profiling are now revealing the genes conferring metabolic herbicide resistance in plants. This Update presents an historical review to current understanding of metabolic herbicide resistance evolution in weedy plant species.

Antibiotics and agricultural chemicals (herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, etc.) are of immense value in controlling pest organisms plaguing human health and agricultural production. These chemicals greatly contribute to human health and the abundant food production evident in many but not all parts of the world. However, there is a major threat looming for their continued efficacy posed by the evolution of resistant pest populations. The widespread evolution of resistant pest populations is a salutary example of evolution in action. Strong selection pressure on large, genetically diverse pest populations initially causes high mortality, but there are initially rare resistance genes present in populations that are selected, enriched, and result in resistance evolution.

Herbicide resistance in the grass weed *Lolium rigidum* in Australian cropping is one of the world’s most dramatic examples of resistance evolution. Genetically diverse *L. rigidum*, often at high densities, infests much of the vast Australian grain belt and is combated with herbicides. There has been a pattern of initial herbicide success on *L. rigidum*, followed by herbicide failure due to rapid resistance evolution. Particularly worrisome is the fact that resistant populations often exhibit cross-resistance to different herbicides, and this can even extend to resistance to experimental herbicides not yet commercialized.

Over the past 25 years, we have studied the biochemical and genetic bases of herbicide resistance and cross-resistance in *L. rigidum* and have established that resistant individuals can exhibit from one to several coexisting resistance mechanisms. There is both target-site and non-target-site resistance. Target-site resistance occurs by mutation within a gene coding for an herbicide target-site enzyme (limiting the herbicide binding) or by overproduction of the target enzyme (gene overexpression or amplification). Non-target-site resistance involves mechanisms that minimize the amount of active herbicide reaching the target site (e.g., reduced herbicide uptake or translocation, increased herbicide sequestration, or enhanced herbicide metabolism). It is essential to understand that the accumulation of several resistance mechanisms within resistant individuals is now the normal situation for *L. rigidum* across vast areas of Australia (Powles and Matthews, 1992; Hall et al., 1994; Powles and Yu, 2010; Han et al., 2014a). Herbicide target-site enzymes/molecules (Heap, 2014) and their genes are mostly well known, and target-site resistance is often documented in resistant weed populations (Tranel and Wright, 2002; Délye, 2005; Powles and Yu, 2010; Yu and Powles, 2014). As target-site resistance is relatively easy to study, then, when identified, researchers often fail to examine for other coexisting resistance mechanisms. This is unfortunate, as the evolutionary reality is that any and all gene traits that can endow survival to an herbicide will be selected (Powles and Matthews, 1992). Insufficiently appreciated is that the intensity of the herbicide selection (herbicide rate used) is an important factor determining the resistance mechanism(s) selected, especially in genetically diverse, cross-pollinated species like *L. rigidum*. A very effective (high) herbicide dose results in very high mortality, and among the few survivors...
in large treated populations there may be resistant individ-
uals carrying an initially rare target-site gene mutation(s).
However, herbicides frequently do not achieve very high
mortality, due to a lower effective dose resulting from
low herbicide application rate, poor application, large
plants, adverse environmental factors, or plant stress,
etc. Unfortunately, herbicides often have been used at
low doses in Australia. When there is a low herbicide
dose there is lower plant mortality, and some survive
because they possess gene traits that confer survival
at the prevailing low herbicide dose. Principal among
the possible mechanisms enabling plant survival from a
low herbicide dose is the capacity to metabolize (degrade
or detoxify) enough herbicide for the plant to survive.
Many herbicides can be metabolized by plants. Thus,
especially where metabolizable herbicides are at low
doses (low rate, suboptimal conditions, poor timing, etc.),
individual plants survive because they possess sufficient
capacity to metabolize the herbicide. Therefore, the genes
coding for the enzymes conferring herbicide metabolism
are selected at low herbicide doses and can be enriched
(e.g. through cross-pollination) in the population, result-
ing in high levels of resistance within a few generations.
In research on herbicide resistance, too few studies
examine for enhanced herbicide metabolism capacity;
thus, this topic is underresearched and underappreci-
ated, yet it is very important. Here, we focus on herbicide
resistance conferred by an enhanced capacity to meta-
bolize herbicides (hereinafter defined as metabolic
resistance). Metabolic herbicide resistance and cross-
resistance are widespread in the grass weeds L. rigidum,
Alopecurus myosuroides, and Echinochloa phyllopogon
and increasingly prevalent in some other weed species.
Metabolic resistance can be endowed by increased
activity of endogenous cytochrome P450 monoxygen-
ases (P450s), glucosyl transferases (GTs), glutathione
S-transferases (GSTs), and/or other enzyme systems
such as aryl acylamidase (Carey et al., 1997) that can
metabolize herbicides. P450s, GTs, and GSTs belong to
major enzyme superfamilies with many roles in pri-
mary and secondary metabolism, and, by chance, some
of them achieve herbicide detoxification (Creuz et al.,
1996; Cole and Edwards, 2000; Edwards and Dixon,
2000; Werck-Reichhart et al., 2000; Morant et al., 2003;
Siminszky, 2006; Yuan et al., 2007). For instance, some
P450s can catalyze herbicide arylation or
alkylaroylation, which is followed by GT-catalyzed
Glc conjugation (Fig. 1). Certain herbicides can also be
directly inactivated by GST-catalyzed glutathione con-
jugation. Conjugated herbicides are subsequently transported
into vacuoles for storage and/or further metabolism
(Fig. 1). An important, potentially devastating character-
istic of metabolic herbicide resistance is that the respon-
sible enzymes can confer cross-resistance (for definitions,
see Hall et al., 1994) to herbicides of different chemical
groups and sites of action. Metabolic cross-resistance
is determined by the ability of P450, GT, or GST to me-
tabolize particular herbicide chemistries, irrespective of
their sites of action. As discussed below, cross-resistance
can be conferred to herbicides to which the plants have
never been exposed. Thus, metabolism-based herbicide
cross-resistance is a major threat, as it can automatically
confer resistance to existing, new, or yet-to-be-discovered
herbicides.

HERBICIDE RESISTANCE AND CROSS-RESISTANCE
IN L. RIGIDUM DUE TO ENHANCED CAPACITY FOR
HERBICIDE METABOLISM

L. rigidum is by far the most widespread weed in
Australian field cropping. Herbicides have long been
employed for L. rigidum control, and resistance evolution
quickly followed. Striking, and initially inexplicable, was
that L. rigidum populations that evolved resistance to one
herbicide (Heap and Knight, 1982) displayed cross-
resistance to dissimilar herbicides (Heap and Knight, 1986).
Subsequently, such cross-resistance became widespread in
L. rigidum in Australia. Similarly, cross-resistance was early
evident in A. myosuroides populations in the United King-
dom (Moss and Cussans, 1985). Since then, metabolic re-

ependent cross-resistance and cross-resistance have been reported in some
other resistant weed species (Coupland et al., 1990; Anderson and Gronwald, 1991; Gimenez-Espinosa et al., 1996; Hidayat and Preston, 1997, 2001; Maneecnote et al., 1997; Singh et al., 1998; Fischer et al., 2000b; Veldhuis et al., 2000; Cocker et al., 2001; Fragal and Tsende, 2003; Park et al., 2004; Menendez et al., 2006; Owen et al., 2012; Ahmad-Hamdan et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2013; Iwakami et al., 2014c; for review, see De Prado and Franco, 2004; Preston, 2004; Yuan et al., 2007; Powles and Yu, 2010; Beckie and Tardif, 2012; Yu and Powles, 2014). As most research on metabolic resistance has fo-
cused on L. rigidum, A. myosuroides, and E. phyllopogon, we
review metabolism-based resistance and cross-resistance in these
three species while recognizing that metabolic resistance
also occurs in other weedy species and is an increasingly
observed phenomenon.

With one of the first multiply resistant L. rigidum
populations identified (termed SLR31), we established
that, as expected, cross-resistance was not target site
based (Matthews et al., 1990; Christopher et al., 1991)
but was due to enhanced rates of in vivo herbicide
metabolism (Christopher et al., 1991, 1992; Holtum et al.,
1991; Cotterman and Saari, 1992). We speculated that
this metabolic resistance was likely due to enhanced activity of
P450 enzymes (Powles et al., 1990), as the HPLC profile
of herbicide metabolism in resistant L. rigidum is qualitatively
similar to that in wheat (Triticum aestivum; Fig. 2) and
wheat is known to metabolize herbicides such as chlor-
sulfuron and diclofop by P450 and GT (Shimabukuro et al.,
1979, 1987; Sweetser et al., 1982; Zimmerlin and Durst,
1990; Fig. 3). P450 involvement was further indicated in
cross-resistant L. rigidum, as the P450 inhibitor malathion
inhibited the enhanced herbicide metabolism and reversed
resistance to some specific herbicides (Christopher et al.,
1994; Preston et al., 1996). Since then, we have established
metabolic resistance in several L. rigidum populations
resistant and cross-resistant to one or many herbicide
chemical groups and sites of action (Table I).
An extreme example is *L. rigidum* population VLR69, with a 21-year field selection history by different herbicides resulting in resistance to at least nine herbicide groups across five different sites of action (Burnet et al., 1994). We established that metabolic resistance is a major mechanism in this population that likely involves multiple P450s, including some that can be reversed by certain P450 inhibitors (Burnet et al., 1993a, 1993b; Preston et al., 1997; Maneechote et al., 1997; Veldhuis et al., 2000; Park et al., 2004; Yasuor et al., 2010; Ahmad-Preston, 1997; Manalil et al., 2011). This low-diclofop-dose selected resistance is due to enhanced rates of diclofop metabolism, again mimicking that of wheat and thus suggestive of P450 involvement (Yu et al., 2013b; Fig. 5). Conversely, we did a reverse study, in which recurrent selection of herbicide-susceptible *L. rigidum* for the individuals most susceptible to diclofop resulted in a rapid shift toward diclofop supersensitivity (Manalil et al., 2012). Importantly, these plants also become supersensitive to some other herbicides metabolizable by P450s (Manalil et al., 2012).

Clearly, the above-mentioned studies establish that genetically variable, cross-pollinated *L. rigidum* exposed to metabolizable herbicides (especially at a low herbicide dose) results in resistance evolution that involves P450s and potentially other resistance genes. We emphasize that herbicides select for all possible resistance traits, so target-site resistance mutations and any other potential resistance mechanisms are also selected at low herbicide doses when populations are large. This is starkly evident in the *L. rigidum* population WLR1, which for 7 years was selected in large commercial wheat fields at a low chlorosulfuron dose (6 g ha⁻¹ compared with the Australian recommended field rate of 20 g ha⁻¹; Christopher et al., 1992). This poor commercial practice resulted in the selection and enrichment of genes endowing metabolic resistance to chlorosulfuron (Christopher et al., 1992) as well as at least six different target-site acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS) gene mutations (Yu et al., 2008).

Recently, we have shown that the auxinic herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), a known P450 inducer (Adele et al., 1981; Hirose et al., 2007), can provide protection against metabolizable herbicides in susceptible *L. rigidum*. With 2,4-D pretreatment followed by diclofop treatment, there was a 10-fold increase in diclofop herbicide resistance in the population VLR69, with a 21-year field selection history by different herbicides resulting in resistance to at least nine herbicide groups across five different sites of action (Burnet et al., 1994). We established that metabolic resistance is a major mechanism in this population that likely involves multiple P450s, including some that can be reversed by certain P450 inhibitors (Burnet et al., 1993a, 1993b; Preston et al., 1997; Maneechote et al., 1997; Veldhuis et al., 2000; Park et al., 2004; Yasuor et al., 2010; Ahmad-Preston, 1997; Manalil et al., 2011). This low-diclofop-dose selected resistance is due to enhanced rates of diclofop metabolism, again mimicking that of wheat and thus suggestive of P450 involvement (Yu et al., 2013b; Fig. 5). Conversely, we did a reverse study, in which recurrent selection of herbicide-susceptible *L. rigidum* for the individuals most susceptible to diclofop resulted in a rapid shift toward diclofop supersensitivity (Manalil et al., 2012). Importantly, these plants also become supersensitive to some other herbicides metabolizable by P450s (Manalil et al., 2012).

Clearly, the above-mentioned studies establish that genetically variable, cross-pollinated *L. rigidum* exposed to metabolizable herbicides (especially at a low herbicide dose) results in resistance evolution that involves P450s and potentially other resistance genes. We emphasize that herbicides select for all possible resistance traits, so target-site resistance mutations and any other potential resistance mechanisms are also selected at low herbicide doses when populations are large. This is starkly evident in the *L. rigidum* population WLR1, which for 7 years was selected in large commercial wheat fields at a low chlorosulfuron dose (6 g ha⁻¹ compared with the Australian recommended field rate of 20 g ha⁻¹; Christopher et al., 1992). This poor commercial practice resulted in the selection and enrichment of genes endowing metabolic resistance to chlorosulfuron (Christopher et al., 1992) as well as at least six different target-site acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS) gene mutations (Yu et al., 2008).

Recently, we have shown that the auxinic herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), a known P450 inducer (Adele et al., 1981; Hirose et al., 2007), can provide protection against metabolizable herbicides in susceptible *L. rigidum*. With 2,4-D pretreatment followed by diclofop treatment, there was a 10-fold increase in diclofop herbicide

---

**Figure 1.** Major superfamily enzymes involved in metabolic herbicide resistance (modified from De Prado and Franco, 2004). GSH, Reduced glutathione; X-R, the electrophile; GS-R, the glutathione-conjugated product; HX, the unconjugated product.
rates causing 50% plant mortality and herbicide rates causing 50% reduction in plant growth (Han et al., 2013). This occurred because the 2,4-D pretreatment induced a higher capacity for diclofop metabolism, with the HPLC profile of diclofop metabolites similar to that observed in wheat (Han et al., 2013), indicative of P450 involvement. These 2,4-D-pretreated susceptible L. rigidum plants in every way were transiently similar to field-evolved metabolic resistant L. rigidum. For instance, the 2,4-D pretreatment also induced cross-protection to the metabolizable but otherwise dissimilar sulfonylurea herbicide chlorsulfuron (and other herbicides that can be metabolized), and the P450 inhibitor malathion could reverse this effect. Therefore, protection against herbicides induced by 2,4-D pretreatment of susceptible L. rigidum is due to the induction of higher rates of herbicide metabolism, mirroring that identified in resistant L. rigidum populations. We hypothesize that the pretreatment with 2,4-D rapidly induces higher expression of herbicide-metabolizing genes, hence providing transient protection (safening) against the subsequently applied herbicide.

Our work on several well-characterized metabolic resistant L. rigidum populations shows that metabolic herbicide resistance can be endowed by one or several nuclear gene loci (Busi et al., 2011, 2013). For example, metabolic resistance in the L. rigidum population SLR31 was found to be controlled by two loci (Busi et al., 2011). In another resistant L. rigidum population, at least three resistance genes were enriched (Busi et al., 2013). A monogenic resistance trait was reported previously for metabolic resistance to chlorsulfuron in a multiple resistant L. rigidum population (VLR69; Preston, 2003), but our recent work indicates more complicated genetic control patterns (Han et al., 2014). We emphasize that each resistant population is a different evolutionary event, and it

Figure 2. HPLC scans of [14C]chlorsulfuron metabolism in excised seedlings of wheat (A) and susceptible (B) and cross-resistant (C) L. rigidum (SLR31; modified from Christopher et al., 1991). The arrow indicates the parent herbicide chlorsulfuron. The major metabolites (asterisks) in wheat and both L. rigidum populations have the same retention time.

Figure 3. Diclofop metabolism in wheat via esterase-mediated hydrolysis and P450-based arylhydroxylation followed by GT-catalyzed Glc conjugation (Shimabukuro et al., 1979, 1987; Zimmerlin and Durst, 1990).
is to be expected for metabolic resistance involving P450 and other enzyme superfamilies that individuals and populations, particularly of genetically variable, cross-pollinated species such as *L. rigidum*, differ in the number of gene loci conferring herbicide resistance. Major influencing factors in the evolution of metabolic resistance are the herbicide chemistry, herbicide dose, duration of exposure, and environmental conditions, interacting with genetic diversity. What is unknown and intriguing is whether the expression of some metabolic resistance genes under herbicide selection is subject to epigenetic control. Given the complex genetic nature of metabolic herbicide resistance in cross-pollinated weed species, identifying all the P450s and other genes involved remains challenging. However, comprehensive genomic approaches such as next-generation transcriptome sequencing (RNA sequencing [RNA-seq]) opens up new research opportunities (see below).

In total, these studies with a range of *L. rigidum* populations show that resistance and cross-resistance to different metabolizable herbicides are due to an enhanced capacity for herbicide metabolism, which can be inhibited (and resistance thus reversed) in vivo by P450 inhibitors. However, it must be stated that, until recently, there was little direct evidence for the involvement of specific P450s or the identity of other genes responsible for this herbicide metabolic resistance. Despite much effort, our studies to isolate P450-active microsomes from *L. rigidum* have not been successful (S. Powles and D. Werck-Reichhart, unpublished data). Thus, until recently, progress had stalled on further characterizing herbicide-metabolizing enzymes and identifying the specific genes conferring resistance (see below).

**RECENT PROGRESS ON THE IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIFIC GENES ENDOWING METABOLIC HERBICIDE RESISTANCE IN *L. RIGIDUM***

Until now, biochemical and other molecular approaches for the discovery of herbicide-metabolizing and resistance-endowing genes in *Lolium* spp. have been difficult and have yielded little (Preston and Powles, 1997; Fischer et al., 2001; Duhoux and Délye, 2013). Recently, utilizing global differential gene expression profiling (RNA-seq) technology, we have generated an *L. rigidum* reference transcriptome library using Roche 454 technology (Gaines et al., 2014). RNA-seq has been performed using Illumina HiSeq with resistant and susceptible individuals

| Table I. *L. rigidum* populations with confirmed metabolic herbicide cross-resistance |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Population | Selecting Herbicides | Metabolic Resistance to | Major References |
| Field-evolved populations | | | |
| SLR31 | Trifluralin | Dinitroanilines | Tardif and Powles (1999) |
| | Diclofop | ACCase inhibitors | Holturn et al. (1991) |
| WLR1 | Chlorsulfuron | AHAS inhibitors | Christopher et al. (1992) |
| | Amitrole | PSII inhibitors (including ureas) | Burnet et al. (1993a, 1993b); Preston and Powles (1997) |
| WLR2 | Atrazine | | |
| VLR69 | Diuron | PSII inhibitors (including ureas) | Burnet et al. (1993a, 1993b); Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Chlorsulfuron | AHAS inhibitors | Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Atrazine | PSII inhibitors | |
| | Diclofop | ACCase inhibitors | |
| Low-herbicide-rate recurrent selection | | | |
| VLR1 subset | Diclofop | ACCase inhibitors | Neve and Powles (2005a) |
| | | AHAS inhibitors | Yu et al. (2013b) |
| Walr1 subset | Diclofop | ACCase inhibitors | Manalil et al. (2011) |
| | | AHAS inhibitors | Yu et al. (2013b) |

| Table II. Herbicide-susceptible *L. rigidum* populations with some capacity to metabolize herbicides |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Susceptible Population | Herbicides with Some Initial Metabolism | References |
| VLR1 | Chlorsulfuron | Christopher et al. (1991, 1992); Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Diclofop | Holturn et al. (1991); Tardif et al. (1996); Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Chlorotoluron | Burnet et al. (1993a); Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Simazine | Burnet et al. (1993b); Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Sethoxydim | Tardif et al. (1993); Tardif and Powles (1994) |
| | Tralkoxydim | Preston et al. (1996) |
| | Haloxypoph | Tardif et al. (1996) |
| Low-rate-selected supersensitive | | |
| VLR1 subset | Diclofop | Han et al. (2013) |
| VLR2 | Chlorotoluron | Preston and Powles (1997) |
| VLR6 | Chlorsulfuron | Christopher et al. (1991) |
| Walr1 | Diclofop | Yu et al. (2013b) |
from a well-characterized, metabolism-based resistant *L. rigidum* population (Neve and Powles, 2005a; Yu et al., 2013b). Differentially expressed contigs (putatively annotated as P450s, nitrate monooxygenase [NMO], GST, and GT) were highly expressed in resistant versus susceptible plants and cosegregated with diclofop resistance in an F2 herbicide resistance segregating population (Table III). Supporting our previous study in which 2,4-D pretreatment induced protection against diclofop (Han et al., 2013), 2,4-D-treated susceptible *L. rigidum* individuals showed overexpression of the same transcripts (Han et al., 2013), 2,4-D-treated susceptible *L. rigidum* individuals showed overexpression of the same transcripts (Han et al., 2013). Furthermore, four of these transcripts (two P450s, NMO, and GT) were consistently highly expressed in nine unrelated *L. rigidum* populations with field-evolved metabolic resistance from both Europe and Australia (Table III). This suggests that these four genes collectively play critical roles in conferring metabolic herbicide resistance in *L. rigidum* (Gaines et al., 2014). While a role in endowing resistance is expected for the P450 and GT genes, the possible role of NMO in diclofop metabolic resistance is unknown and remains to be determined. This enzyme is a flavin-dependent monooxygenase and catalyzes an oxidative denitriﬁcation reaction (Gadda and Francis, 2010). Current research is functionally characterizing these four genes. Given the diversity and complexity of the genetic control of metabolic resistance, variation in the resistance genes involved is envisaged to differ among populations with distinct evolutionary selection histories (Table I). For example, the GST genes may contribute to metabolic resistance in some *L. rigidum* populations (Table III).

Our recent success (Gaines et al., 2014) further conﬁrms that global RNA-seq, when coupled with genetic and physiological validation (e.g. 2,4-D-induced gene expression changes), is powerful for metabolic herbicide resistance gene discovery. We are now focused on metabolic resistance gene discovery in several field-evolved resistant *Lolium* spp. populations and in other resistant weed species.

**HERBICIDE RESISTANCE/CROSS-RESISTANCE AND RESISTANCE GENE DISCOVERY IN A. MYOSUROIDES**

Similar to *L. rigidum*, an herbicide-resistant *A. myosuroides* population early reported in the United Kingdom (Moss and Cussans, 1985) displayed cross-resistance to herbicides of different chemical groups and sites of action (Kemp et al., 1990). Subsequent studies with these resistant *A. myosuroides* populations demonstrated that resistance is due to enhanced rates of herbicide metabolism that could be reduced by P450 inhibitors (Kemp et al., 1990; Hall et al., 1995, 1997; Hyde et al., 1996). Since then, metabolic herbicide resistance, likely involving P450s, has been identiﬁed in many other European *A. myosuroides* populations (Menendez and De Prado, 1997; Cocker et al., 1999; Letouzé and Gasquez, 2001, 2003; De Prado and Franco, 2004). In addition to P450s, a GST with glutathione peroxidase activity (speciﬁcally, the Phi class GSTF1 gene product) has been shown to play a role in resistance to some herbicides in some resistant *A. myosuroides* populations (Cummins et al., 1997, 1999, 2011). Transgenic Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) expressing the *A. myosuroides* GSTF1 gene (AmGSTF1) has improved tolerance to some herbicides, which is reversible by the application of a speciﬁc GST inhibitor (Cummins et al., 2013). Resistance is due to increased accumulation of protective compounds (glutathione, anthocyanins, and ﬂavonoids), which is mediated by the AmGSTF1 gene via a yet-unknown regulating mechanism, rather than direct herbicide detoxiﬁcation activity by the GST itself (Cummins et al., 2013). In addition, higher expression of the GSTF1-like genes was recently found in at least 10 other resistant UK *A. myosuroides* populations (R. Edwards, personal communication). However, a similar GST-based resistance mechanism seems to be less evident in metabolic resistant Australian *L. rigidum* populations (Cummins et al., 2013; Table III; R. Edwards, personal communication). This likely reﬂects genetic diversity and different herbicide selection, as the resistant UK *A. myosuroides* populations had been selected with fenoxaprop, which is known to be detoxiﬁed by GST (Edwards and Cole, 1996), while many of the resistant Australian *L. rigidum* populations were selected with diclofop, which can be P450 detoxiﬁed (Zimmerlin and Durst, 1990).

**METABOLIC HERBICIDE RESISTANCE AND RESISTANCE GENE DISCOVERY IN ECHINOCLOA spp.**

*E. phyllopogon*, a predominantly self-pollinated allotetraploid species, is a major global weed long selected with herbicides and prone to resistance evolution. More than 40 years of herbicide use has resulted in the evolution of resistance to several different herbicides in many populations of *E. phyllopogon* (Fischler et al., 2000a; Osuna et al., 2002; Yasuor et al., 2009). Cross-resistance to dissimilar herbicides was shown to be non-target-site based (Osuna et al., 2002; Yasuor et al., 2009), and studies involving in
vivo herbicide metabolism, measurement of microsomal P450 content and activity, and use of P450 inhibitors/inducers all strongly indicate that resistance is due to P450-based enhanced herbicide metabolism (Fischer et al., 2000b; Osuna et al., 2002; Yun et al., 2005; Yasuo et al., 2009, 2010). With recent advances in P450 gene discovery in herbicide-tolerant rice (Oryza sativa; Pan et al., 2006; Saika et al., 2014), progress has been made in the identification, cloning, and characterization of the P450 genes responsible for metabolic resistance in E. phyllopocon. For example, two P450 genes (CYP71AK2 and CYP72A) were recently found to be highly induced by bispyribac-sodium in multiple herbicide-resistant plants (Iwakami et al., 2014b). More recently, the two P450 genes (CYP81A12 and CYP81A21) identified in a resistant E. phyllopocon population conferred resistance to certain metabolizable herbicides when expressed in Arabidopsis, and yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae WAT11 strain)-expressed CYP81A12 and CYP81A21 enzymes metabolized herbicide through O-demethylation (Iwakami et al., 2014a). These two highly similar P450 genes (likely homologs) are likely to be up-regulated simultaneously by a single trans-acting element in the resistant individuals (Iwakami et al., 2014a). Therefore, based on available studies on metabolic resistance genetics and P450 gene discovery in weedy species, a single P450 can confer resistance to a few herbicides, as has been reported in herbicide-tolerant crops (e.g. Pan et al., 2006; Dam et al., 2007). However, it is more likely that the expression of several existing P450s (and other genes) contributing to the basal level of herbicide

Figure 5. HPLC scans comparing diclofop metabolism between wheat and the unselected susceptible (S) and low-dose-selected resistant (R) L. rigidum populations (modified from Yu et al., 2013b).
metabolism in a weedy plant could be simultaneously upregulated through a regulatory cascade, endowing resistance to a wider range of herbicides.

In addition, it has long been known that metabolic resistance to the rice-selective herbicide propanil in *Echinochloa crus-galli* and *Echinochloa colona* is due to rapid propanil hydrolysis catalyzed by the enzyme aryl acylamidase (Leah et al., 1995; Carey et al., 1997), similar to that occurring in tolerant rice. Several organophosphate insecticides/herbicides can be used as synergists to combat the aryl acylamidase-endowed resistance (for review, see Hoagland et al., 2004).

Compared with cross-pollinated diploid species (e.g. *L. rigidum* and *A. myosuroides*), resistance gene enrichment and thus resistance evolution in self-pollinated polyploid species (e.g. *E. phyllopogon* and *Avena fatua*) are expected to be slower (Yu et al., 2013a). Nevertheless, metabolic resistance gene discovery may be made easier in *E. phyllopogon* due to the ease of generating self-pollinated true-breeding lines and the likely fewer resistance genes involved.

### METABOLIC HERBICIDE CROSS-RESISTANCE IN WEED SPECIES: A VERY IMPORTANT BUT UNDERSTUDIED THREAT

Metabolic herbicide cross-resistance, ranging from resistance to a few to many metabolizable herbicides, is most evident in the economically important and damaging grass weeds *L. rigidum*, *A. myosuroides*, and *E. phyllopogon*. However, such resistance has also been identified in populations of at least 12 other weed species (Owen et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013; Iwakami et al., 2014c; for review, see Preston, 2004; Powles and Yu, 2010; Beckie and Tardif, 2012). A very recent development is the discovery of metabolic resistance to atrazine and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase-inhibiting herbicides in *Amaranthus tuberculatus* (Ma et al., 2013). Therefore, herbicide resistance (and cross-resistance) due to an enhanced capacity to metabolize (detoxify) herbicides is becoming increasingly reported and should be recognized as a significant threat to global herbicide efficacy and thus food production (Preston, 2004; Powles and Yu, 2010; Délye et al., 2011; Beckie and Tardif, 2012; Délye, 2013; Yu and Powles, 2014). Despite this threat, metabolic resistance has been severely underinvestigated, likely because it is difficult to study and can co-occur along with easily identified target-site mutations that provide higher levels of resistance and mask the presence of metabolic resistance. For example, a large survey of the target-site acetylcoenzyme A carboxylase (ACCase) gene mutations in *A. myosuroides* in France (over 10,000 seedlings in 243 populations) established that 75% of the resistant plants did not have target-site resistance and thus must have non-target-site resistance, although the mechanistic basis was not characterized (Délye et al., 2007).

Similarly, in Australia, there is much, albeit indirect, evidence that *L. rigidum* across vast areas exhibits both target-site resistance and metabolic cross-resistance to many herbicides (Llewellyn and Powles, 2001; Owen et al., 2007, 2014; Malone et al., 2014). Our most recent work analyzing the in vivo metabolism of [14C]diclofop in 33 multiply resistant *L. rigidum* populations collected in a random field survey (Owen et al., 2014) provides direct evidence that metabolic resistance is common (H.P. Han, Q. Yu, M. Owen, G.R. Cawthray, and S.B. Powles, unpublished data). This work revealed that nearly 80% of resistant *L. rigidum* populations showed metabolic herbicide resistance. While target-site resistance co-occurs (91% of the populations) in these resistant *L. rigidum* populations, 70% of the populations exhibit both target-site resistance (ACCase mutations) and non-target-site enhanced herbicide metabolism. Direct evidence of metabolic resistance in large numbers of resistant plants is currently being obtained using an automated 14C-labeled herbicide metabolism screen at Bayer CropScience in Frankfurt, Germany. This work, conducted with hundreds of resistant populations from many geographies, has established that metabolic resistance in *L. rigidum* is widespread. For example, analysis of more than 2,000 individuals from 301 different resistant *L. rigidum* populations from France revealed that 72% of the populations displayed metabolic resistance (to ACCase-inhibiting herbicides), with only 28% of the populations possessing solely target-site resistance (R. Befa, personal communication).

### CAN METABOLIC HERBICIDE CROSS-RESISTANCE BE MITIGATED?

The dire threat of metabolic herbicide resistance is that it can endow resistance across herbicides of different chemical groups. For example, in many *L. rigidum* populations selected with ACCase-inhibiting herbicides, there

---

**Table III. Candidate enzymes identified in metabolic resistant L. rigidum populations (data from Gaines et al., 2014)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Enzymes</th>
<th>Highly Induced in Susceptible L. rigidum by 2,4-D Pretreatment</th>
<th>Higher Expression in Two Australian Metabolic Resistant L. rigidum Populations</th>
<th>Higher Expression in Eight French Metabolic Resistant L. rigidum Populations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P450s (two CYP72As)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMO</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (seven out of eight)</td>
<td>Yes (six out of eight)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST1 (r class)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST2 (φ class)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (five out of eight)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST3 (r class)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*4Highly similar to LGSTF1, a homolog of AtGSTF1 (Cummins et al., 2013).*
is concomitant cross-resistance to AHAS-inhibiting herbicides (Table I). This has also been reported in A. myosuroides (Letouzé and Gasquez, 2001) and Digitaria sanguinalis (Hidayat and Preston, 1997, 2001). Similarly, in Phalaris minor, selection with a phenylurea herbicide resulted in cross-resistance to ACCase-inhibiting herbicides (Singh et al., 1998). In addition, selection with the very-long-chain fatty acid synthesis inhibitor herbicide pyroxsulfone in L. rigidum resulted in cross-resistance to the thiocarbamate herbicides prosulfocarb and triallate (Busi and Powles, 2013). As discussed above, such metabolic cross-resistance occurs because the P450 and/or other metabolism genes (e.g. GT and GST) responsible for resistance can serendipitously metabolize a range of herbicide chemical structures. The degree of metabolic cross-resistance in a given weed population will be dependent on the particular resistance gene selected and the substrate specificity of the enzymes encoded by the resistance genes. Environmental conditions also play a role in metabolic resistance evolution, as the enzymes involved (e.g. P450s and GSTs) can respond to biotic or abiotic stresses (Marrs, 1996; Schuler and Wernck-Reichhart, 2003).

Resistance management strategies based on herbicide rotation are confounded by the fact that metabolic resistance can confer resistance across different herbicide groups, and thus the efficacy of herbicide mixtures or rotations can be compromised. Therefore, once metabolic resistance has evolved, how can it be mitigated? One possibility is to use chemical synergists to inhibit the enzymes responsible for resistance. Insecticide synergists have long been successfully used for combating insecticide metabolic resistance (for review, see Bernard and Philogène, 1993). As discussed in previous sections, certain P450 or GST inhibitors can reverse metabolic resistance in weeds if the resistance is solely metabolism based. For instance, the P450 inhibitors malathion, aminobenzotriazole, and piperonyl butoxide can inhibit the in vivo metabolism of certain AHAS-inhibiting herbicides, chlorotoluron, and simazine, respectively, thus reversing resistance (Burnet et al., 1993a; Christopher et al., 1994; Preston et al., 1996; Fig. 6). Similarly, a specific inhibitor of AmGSTF1 can enhance the efficacy of some herbicides in A. myosuroides (Cummins et al., 2013). The crop selectivity and any other impacts of these inhibitors are challenges remaining to be addressed. Alternatively, once key genes endowing metabolic resistance are identified, specific double-stranded RNAs can be designed and delivered to plants to accurately silence or suppress the gene(s) conferring resistance. For example, silencing of P450 or other metabolic genes in insects by RNA interference (RNAi) has been shown to increase insect susceptibility to insecticides or inhibitory plant metabolites (Mao et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2014). RNAi can simultaneously target several genes; therefore, it is especially suitable for studying (and likely combating) metabolic herbicide resistance, as this is often under polygenic control (Busi et al., 2011). Pioneering work (Sammons et al., 2011) shows that RNAi plus herbicides has the potential to achieve a renaissance of important existing herbicides.

Interestingly, we have shown that metabolism-based resistant L. rigidum plants have reduced fitness (Vilaaub et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2009). At least in the resistant L. rigidum population examined, for unknown reasons, the presence of up-regulated metabolic resistance genes may come at a fitness cost. This fitness cost may be explored by agronomic practices such as crop competition and pasture phases to moderate resistance evolution in the field.

CONCLUSION

(1) Metabolic resistance, conferring resistance potentially to many herbicides, is a particular threat to herbicide sustainability and thus global crop production, but it is underinvestigated.

(2) Metabolic resistance evolution can be rapid, as the responsible genes can be at high initial frequencies. Many weedy plant species are genetically diverse, including genetic diversity in their capacity to metabolize herbicides. Under persistent herbicide selection, especially if at reduced herbicide rates, weed individuals with higher metabolic capacity will be rapidly selected, resulting in resistance evolution. High survival frequencies at relatively low herbicide use rates in Australia have been revealed in many L. rigidum populations (Neve and
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