## Metal Hydrides for Concentrating Solar-Thermal Power Energy Storage

D. A. Sheppard<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Paskevicius<sup>2</sup>, T. D. Humphries<sup>1</sup>, M. Felderhoff<sup>3</sup>, G. Capurso<sup>4</sup>, J. Bellosta von Colbe<sup>4</sup>, M. Dornheim<sup>4</sup>, T. Klassen<sup>4</sup>, P. A. Ward<sup>5</sup>, J. A. Teprovich Jr.<sup>5</sup>, C. Corgnale<sup>5</sup>, R. Zidan<sup>5</sup>, D. M. Grant<sup>6</sup>, C.E. Buckley<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hydrogen Storage Research Group, Fuels and Energy Technology Institute, Department of Physics, Astronomy, and Medical Radiation Sciences, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia.

- <sup>2</sup> Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO) and Department of Chemistry, University of Aarhus, DK-8000, Denmark.
- <sup>3</sup> Max-Planck Institut für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany.
- <sup>4</sup> Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Department of Nanotechnology, Max-Planck-Straße 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany.
- <sup>5</sup> Savannah River National Laboratory, Clean Energy Directorate, Aiken, SC 29808, USA.
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Mechanical, Materials and Manufacturing Engineering, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK.

\*Corresponding Author: drew.sheppard@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The development of alternative methods for thermal energy storage is important for improving the efficiency and decreasing the cost for Concentrating Solarthermal Power (CSP). We focus on the underlying technology that allows metal hydrides to function as Thermal Energy Storage (TES) systems and highlight the current state-of-the-art materials that can operate at temperatures as low as room-temperature and as high as 1100 °C. The potential of metal hydrides for thermal storage is explored while current knowledge gaps about hydride properties, such as hydride thermodynamics, intrinsic kinetics and cyclic stability, are identified. The engineering challenges associated with utilising metal hydrides for high-temperature thermal energy storage are also addressed.

## 1 Introduction

Thermal energy storage using metal hydrides has been explored since the mid-1970s [1] but was generally applied at temperatures below 200 °C due to the limited number of hydrides known at that time. In the early 1990's, the development of low-cost magnesium hydride (MgH<sub>2</sub>) with rapid hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) sorption kinetics [2-6] led to a renewed interest in the application of metal hydrides for solar thermal energy storage at temperatures of 350 °C and above. With the commercialisation of concentrating solar-thermal power (CSP) using parabolic troughs and power towers, metal hydrides have the potential to be the next generation of thermal energy storage media [7-9]. Metal hydrides provide an alternative to the existing molten salt energy storage systems due to their impressive gravimetric and volumetric energy densities, which can be more than 10 times higher than molten salts [8]. As such, research into using metal hydrides for CSP is beginning to intensify with efforts split between: (1) metal hydrides suitable for use with current power tower technologies that operate in the 550 °C to 650 °C range and; (2) metal hydrides that operate in the 650 °C to 800 °C range that are compatible with the next generation of power tower technology being pursued by the U.S. Department of Energy as part of the SunShot research program [10, 11]. This research is directed towards identifying promising metal hydrides, testing their H<sub>2</sub> sorption properties, designing tanks and test beds with active heat extraction, and testing the metal hydride tank and heat extraction system will be as critical as the properties of the metal hydride itself [14]. This article provides an overview that spans the last 40 years of research into the use of metal hydrides for solar thermal energy storage.

#### 2 Utilisation of metal hydrides to store thermal energy

Thermal energy can be stored via reversible thermochemical reactions such as the partial oxidations of metals, the calcination of metal carbonates and the dehydration of metal hydroxides [16-19]. Unfortunately, all of these systems suffer from one or more disadvantages such as high cost, limited reversibility or capacity loss on cycling [17]. An alternative class of thermochemical candidates is represented by metal hydrides [20]. A wide range of compounds in this class can reversibly react with H<sub>2</sub> gas over a wide range of temperatures and have theoretical heat storage capacities that substantially exceed many other thermochemical candidates (Fig. 1). For example, the heat storage capacity of some metal hydrides are as much as 30 times higher than that available from current state-of-the-art molten nitrate salts [7]. These metal hydride compounds range from Mg<sub>2</sub>NiH<sub>4</sub> with an operating temperature of 260 – 400 °C and a theoretical heat storage capacity of 1117 kJ·kg<sup>-1</sup> [21] to LiH with an operating temperature above 950 °C and a theoretical heat storage capacity of 8397 kJ·kg<sup>-1</sup>. Unlike competing thermochemical heat storage materials [16-19], reversibility and capacity loss are typically less of an issue in metal hydrides [22-24]. The main challenge with metal hydrides for high temperature thermal storage is, instead, finding cost competitive materials and engineering solutions.



**Fig. 1 (a)** Theoretical heat storage capacity of various thermochemical systems including metal hydrides, metal oxides, metal hydroxides and metal carbonates. **(b)** Theoretical volumetric heat storage capacity of various thermochemical systems including metal hydrides, metal oxides, metal hydroxides and metal carbonates. It should be noted though that the values quoted are for the high-temperature metal hydrides only and does not consider the mass and volume of the low-temperature H<sub>2</sub> storage. While taking into account the low-temperature H2 storage reduces the gravimetric and volumetric capacity of a MH-TES by a factor of between 2 and 3.

A TES system using metal hydrides is comprised of a both a high-temperature metal hydride (HTMH) and a low-temperature metal hydride (LTMH). A schematic of a TES system for CSP based on metal hydrides is provided in Fig. 2 where HTMH acts as the heat storage component in the system and the LTMH acts as a hydrogen storage component. The high temperature metal hydride (HTMH) is maintained at a temperature of  $T_{HT}$  that controls the equilibrium pressure,  $P_{HT}$ , of the hydride. The low temperature metal hydride (LTMH) is kept at a temperature  $T_{LT}$  that controls the equilibrium pressure  $P_{LT}$  of the hydride. The system pressure (gas pressure),  $P_{SYSTEM}$ , will always be between the equilibrium pressures of both the low and high temperature hydrides (given adequate kinetics). In daytime operation the equilibrium pressure of the HTMH is greater than the equilibrium pressure of the LTMH (Fig. 2). In order to shift the thermochemical system from daytime to night-time operation, the equilibrium pressure of the LTMH must be larger than the equilibrium pressure of the HTMH (Fig. 2). This can be achieved by either dropping the temperature of the HTMH,  $T_{HT}$ , or by raising the temperature of the LTMH,  $T_{LT}$ . This induces H<sub>2</sub> to flow from the LTMH to the HTMH where it is absorbed in a highly exothermic reaction that generates heat that can be used by the heat engine/turbine for electricity production at night time.

# Day Cycle



**Fig. 2** Schematic of an energy storage system for concentrating solar thermal power. The temperature (*T*) and pressure (*P*) of both the high temperature (HT) and low temperature (LT) metal hydride beds are influenced during energy storage and release.

Cost is often pivotal when choosing to utilise a particular metal hydride as a fully operational CSP system and employing expensive coupled hydrides will never be commercially viable. Due to the incorporation of large quantities of transition or rare-earth metals, a wide range of metal hydrides are inherently expensive. These materials must be avoided despite their favourable properties due to their unrealistic industrial scale costs. Material cost is usually a function of the availability of the metal in question and the associated processing costs to obtain an optimal metal purity. As such, some consideration needs to be given to cheaper, lower purity metals that may be just as suitable as their higher purity versions. It should be noted though that hydrides with a high cost shouldn't necessarily be excluded from consideration if their properties lead to the lowest overall installed cost.

The operating temperature used for the high temperature metal hydride is a vital property that must be considered for a CSP system. This is primarily due to the inherent efficiency of the heat engine that is defined by its operating temperature differential, a function of the temperature of the high temperature hydride. High operating temperatures of around 400 °C have been suggested in the past for metal hydride solar thermal heat storage applications [20]. Operating temperatures vary with CSP configurations and designs and they are often influenced by practical cost effective solutions to plant designs. For example the heat transfer fluid, HTF, that circulates in the parabolic collectors of a CSP solar field is limited to ~400 °C for oils, while power tower CSP design use molten salts operating at ~565 °C, and incorporation of supercritical-CO<sub>2</sub> enables operation temperatures in excess of 650 °C [11, 25].

The operating temperature of a particular metal hydride can be expressed as a function of its enthalpy of  $H_2$  absorption,  $\Delta H$ , in addition to its entropy of absorption,  $\Delta S$ . As the thermodynamics ( $\Delta H \& \Delta S$ ) define the  $H_2$  equilibrium pressure of a metal hydride at a given temperature, the potential operating temperatures and pressures for a particular are intrinsically linked. Since  $\Delta S$  is similar for most metal hydrides [26], the operating temperature is primarily related to  $\Delta H$ . This means that metal hydrides that have higher enthalpies of absorption can operate at higher temperatures and lower pressures. This property is of course advantageous for the implementation of metal hydrides in CSP system: A higher heat of absorption reduces the quantity of metal hydride and hydrogen that is required for a CSP system with a fixed power output. In contrast to metal hydrides with a high operating temperature, those operating at low temperatures often exhibit small enthalpy changes. This is also advantageous as the absorption enthalpy of the LTMH determines how much heat must be exchanged with the HTF from the LTMH upon storing the  $H_2$  and how much heat must be supplied to the LTMH to release the  $H_2$ .

In addition to operating temperature and pressure, there are a range of other considerations when assessing metal hydrides as thermal storage materials. These include: the kinetics of  $H_2$  absorption and desorption; the hysteresis between the  $H_2$  absorption and desorption pressures; the  $H_2$  capacity of the metal hydride; the stability of the  $H_2$  capacity over the cycling lifetime; the variation of kinetics through the bed dependent on operating conditions; managing effective heat transfer through the MH bed (as the thermal conductivity of most MH are low in the range of 0.1 to 0.4 W·m<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>); and a containment vessel that is impervious to  $H_2$  diffusion at the desired operating temperature.

## 3 Candidate Hydrides for High-Temperature Heat Storage

The potential high-temperature metal hydrides for heat storage can be classified into a number of overlapping groups. These include:

- (1) Simple saline or ionic hydrides of the alkali and alkaline earth metals;
- (2) Metallic and Intermetallic hydrides of transition metals and;

- (3) Complex transition metal hydrides and;
- (4) Magnesium-based complex hydrides.

## 3.1 Ionic Hydrides

The ionic, or saline, hydrides form from all of the alkali metals and the alkaline earth metals from calcium to barium [27]. As the hydrogen exists as a negatively charged ion (H<sup>-</sup>) in these compounds, their physical properties, such as brittleness, are similar to the corresponding halides. The three most well characterised ionic hydrides are LiH, NaH and CaH<sub>2</sub> while MgH<sub>2</sub> is considered to be a bridge between the ionic and covalent hydrides that has sufficient similarities with the others to be classified as an ionic hydride [28]. Lithium hydride is of interest due to its high enthalpy of formation ( $-181 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub> for solid LiH and  $-133.5 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub> for liquid LiH above ~690 °C) [29] and high theoretical H<sub>2</sub> capacity (12.7 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>). However, the high temperature required to generate a H<sub>2</sub> equilibrium pressure of 1 bar (~950 °C), the corrosiveness of liquid LiH at this temperature and its high cost mean that, by itself, LiH is unlikely to find use as a thermal storage medium except in niche applications. Because of its promising attributes, attempts have been made to alter the properties of LiH by the addition of secondary elements such as Si [30], Ge [31], Sn [32] and Al [33]. The secondary element acts to destabilise LiH by altering the thermodynamic pathway for H<sub>2</sub> release. This is illustrated for the reaction between LiH and Si (Eq. 1), where the addition of the second element reduces the 1 bar H<sub>2</sub> equilibrium temperature from ~950 °C for pure LiH to between 400 °C and 565 °C [30].

## $^{5}/_{2}LiH + Si \rightleftharpoons Li_{2.5}Si + ^{5}/_{4}H_{2}$

(1)

(2)

Sodium Hydride (NaH) has favourable operating temperatures for CSP implementation. It has an equilibrium pressure of 1 bar H<sub>2</sub> at 425 °C, stores 4.2 wt.% H<sub>2</sub> and has a high enthalpy of H<sub>2</sub> absorption ( $\Delta H_{abs} = -117 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>). However, the reversibility of the system is extremely limited. When NaH releases H<sub>2</sub> it forms liquid Na (melting point of 97.8 °C) and upon rehydrogenation a thin NaH layer forms on the surface of the molten Na that restricts further hydrogenation [34]. Commercial synthesis of NaH is achieved using a continuously stirred emulsion of molten sodium and mineral oil during exposure to H<sub>2</sub> gas at ~300°C [35]. The boiling point of mineral oil precludes the application of this technique for CSP applications above ~400°C.

A dearth of research has been performed on the CaH<sub>2</sub> in recent years, mostly owing to the extremely high operating temperatures required for reasonable H<sub>2</sub> pressures to be obtained. In 1939 Johnson performed PCT measurements between 778 °C and 894 °C [36]. No equilibrium plateau exists in the 0 – 20 mol.% CaH<sub>2</sub> composition range as CaH<sub>2</sub> dissolves in the solid Ca metal to form a solid solution. Below 900 °C and between 20 and 90 mol.% CaH<sub>2</sub>, solid solution Ca and CaH<sub>2</sub> co-exist to form a flat plateau. Above 90 mol.% CaH<sub>2</sub> there is a sharp rise in the equilibrium pressure and it is theorised that it is due to the formation of a solution of Ca in CaH<sub>2</sub>. There is small or negligible hysteresis in the system and the thermodynamics have been calculated as  $\Delta H_{abs} = -170.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . H<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta S_{abs} = 127.4 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . K<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub> in the temperature range of 780 to 900 °C [37]. The use of CaH<sub>2</sub> as a solar thermal energy storage material was patented in 2010 [38] due to its promising high temperature properties especially its high energy density: over 20 times higher than molten salts. As for LiH, containment of CaH<sub>2</sub> is difficult due to its corrosiveness at high temperatures.

Magnesium hydride (MgH<sub>2</sub>) has a high H<sub>2</sub> gravimetric storage capacity of 7.6 wt.% and a high volumetric storage density of 111 kg·m<sup>-3</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>. Upon thermal treatment, MgH<sub>2</sub> decomposes into Mg and H<sub>2</sub> (Eq. 2) with  $\Delta H_{abs} = -74.06 \pm 0.42$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta S_{abs} = 133.4 \pm 0.7$  J·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub> [39]. There is little to no hysteresis despite some mixed reports in the literature, and the plateau is flat and wide.

#### $MgH_2 \rightleftharpoons Mg + H_2$

Simulations and modelling of the hydrogenation and dehydrogenation of Mg/MgH<sub>2</sub> in a TES system relies on the availability of reliable kinetic data commensurate with operation conditions of the TES bed. The kinetics of H<sub>2</sub> sorption in pure Mg are generally very poor [40] due to the slow hydrogen diffusion through the initial MgH<sub>2</sub> layer that forms on the outer surface of the particle. However, the kinetic issues have recently been overcome by introducing additives during ball milling [21, 41, 42] that help to promote microstructure refinement of Mg/MgH<sub>2</sub> and reduce H<sub>2</sub> diffusion lengths. However, above 380 °C the effect of the additive can become limited due to sintering of Mg or the reduction of oxides by Mg to form MgO that impedes the transport of hydrogen [43]. The overpressure of H<sub>2</sub> experienced by MgH<sub>2</sub>, defined as the H<sub>2</sub> pressure in excess of the equilibrium pressure of the metal hydride, can have a strong bearing on the kinetics and capacity. Initially an increase in over pressure compared to the equilibrium pressure translates to an increase in the rate of the hydrogen absorption reaction. However beyond a critical over pressure, typically twice the plateau pressure, the initial reaction rate increases as expected, but decelerates during the remainder of the reaction. This is often attributed to the speed at which localised regions of MgH<sub>2</sub> form on the surface of each Mg particle and grow together into a single continuous outer layer [44-46]. Increases in over pressure speed up the formation of MgH<sub>2</sub> reducing the time it takes the outer layer to reach a thickness that will inhibit the penetration of hydrogen into the core of the particle.

Pure MgH<sub>2</sub> exhibits very poor cycling stability where a significant reduction in the H<sub>2</sub> storage capacity was observed after hundreds of cycles (absorption/desorption) [20]. The phenomenon was overcome by doping the MgH<sub>2</sub> with rather high levels of Fe. Similar success in cycling stability within the Mg-H system has been achieved using low levels of Ni doping [47]. In general, temperatures above ~420 °C need to be avoided due to the sintering, agglomeration and capacity reduction of Mg. The Ni doped MgH<sub>2</sub> has stable capacity of over 10,000 charge/discharge cycles as long as the temperature is maintained below 420 °C.

A MgH<sub>2</sub> based solar thermal energy store was reported in 1994 that consisted of 24 kg of MgH<sub>2</sub> with a cycling capacity of 5.8 wt.% and a heat storage capacity of 14 kWh<sub>th</sub> [4]. In this case, a Stirling engine with an efficiency of 20 % was utilised that gave an overall solar radiation to electricity efficiency of ~11 %. A relatively high cost, V containing Ti-Mn based LTMH H<sub>2</sub> store was implemented in this study. One problem with using a metal hydride pair for the purpose of storing solar energy is dealing with the heat generated during H<sub>2</sub> sorption from the LTMH. Instead of trying to keep the LTMH near a fixed absorption or desorption temperature it can be allowed to vary. In this case, the LTMH reached a temperature of 60 – 80 °C during absorption (which was labelled as "useable heat") and reached –10 °C during desorption. The problem with this method is that it increases the temperature change required in the HTMH to drive H<sub>2</sub> between the two hydride beds.

A prototype-scale CSP apparatus has also recently been built that utilises MgH<sub>2</sub> with active heat extraction that was paired with compressed H<sub>2</sub> gas storage [14]. This work also showed that the H<sub>2</sub> sorption properties of MgH<sub>2</sub> appeared favourable, although powder compaction led to slow H<sub>2</sub> absorption kinetics. Significant environmental heat loss was problematic due to the small scale of the test-bed (24 g MgH<sub>2</sub>), and this heat loss is shown to be less problematic with scale-up. These results demonstrated that large-scale testing of metal hydrides under dynamic conditions with active heat extraction (and supply) is critical when evaluating these energy storage systems.

#### 3.2 Metallic and Intermetallic Hydrides of Transition Metals

Intensive study of metallic and intermetallic transition metal hydrides began in the 1950's due to their potential use as shielding materials in nuclear applications [48]. They are formed by the interaction of H<sub>2</sub> with transition or rare-earth metals or their intermetallic alloys. Some high-temperature examples include TiH<sub>1.7</sub>  $\Delta H_{abs} = -151 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>), ZrH<sub>1.6</sub>  $\Delta H_{abs} = -210 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>), YH<sub>1.8</sub>  $\Delta H_{abs} = -220 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>), LaH<sub>1.7</sub>  $\Delta H_{abs} = -198 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>), UH<sub>3</sub>  $\Delta H_{abs} = -85 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>) and ZrNiH<sub>2.8</sub>  $\Delta H_{abs} = -77 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>) [29, 49].

Despite their very high enthalpy of  $H_2$  absorption, the application of transition metals for heat storage is limited by two main factors: their useable  $H_2$  capacity and their cost. In most cases only a limited fraction of the theoretical  $H_2$  capacity is available at constant pressure. That is, they have large  $H_2$  solubilities that result in relatively short absorption/desorption plateaux (Fig. 3). Combined with the high cost of the  $H_2$  absorbing transition metals (such as Sc, Ti, V, Y, Zr, La, Ce etc.) then, with the possible exception of Ti [50], they can be excluded as large-scale thermal storage materials.



Fig. 3 Pressure-composition isotherms of selected transition metal hydrides [51].

#### 3.3 Complex Transition Metal Hydrides

Hydride complexes of transition metals (*TM*) have been of interest for many years with the first,  $K_2ReH_9$ , being structurally characterised in 1964 [52]. The number of known complexes steadily increased over time with 23 known by 1991 [53] that exploded to 127 known compounds by 2005 that cover 47 structure types [54]. This class of hydride consists of a *TM*-hydrogen anion complex, such as  $[PdH_2]^2$ ,  $[PtH_4]^4$ ,  $[NiH_4]^4$ ,  $[CoH_5]^4$ ,  $[RuH_6]^4$ ,  $[RuH_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[MnH_6]^{5-}$  and  $[ReH_9]^{2-}$  [55], paired with metal counter-cations from the alkali, alkaline earth and rare earth families (Fig. 4).

While many of the complex transition metal hydrides that belong to this group have high thermal stability that makes them potential candidates for thermal storage, they typically consist of relatively expensive elements such as Pd, Pt or Ru and decompose via multiple H<sub>2</sub> release events that limit their economic viability. Some examples of these include  $Cs_2PdH_4$ ,  $Na_2PtH_4$  or  $Eu_2RuH_6$  and an extensive review of their synthesis, structure, bonding and properties has previously been published [54]. A recent development in this field is the synthesis of complex Fe and Ni transition metal hydrides incorporating monovalent cations, and in some cases incorporating anionic hydrogen, and include YLiFeH<sub>6</sub> [56],  $Na_2Mg_2NiH_6$  [57, 58] and  $Na_2Mg_2FeH_8$  [59-61] as examples. A recent analysis of the structural properties of complex transition metal hydrides based on  $[NiH_4]^{4-}$ ,  $[COH_5]^{4-}$  and  $[FeH_6]^{4-}$  anions has determined a relationship between the cation electronegativity and the *TM* – hydrogen bond lengths that, in turn, provides a platform for designing and predicting the stability of novel complex transition metal hydrides that may be suitable for thermal energy storage [62].



Fig. 4 Ligand geometries and coordination of counter-cations of Complex transition metal hydrides. Green spheres correspond to the transition metal atoms; blue spheres are hydrogen atoms and orange spheres are the counter-cations [55, 63].

#### 3.4 Mg-based Complex Hydrides

High temperature hydrides based on Mg are of particular interest due to their relatively low cost. While a number of Mg-based complex hydrides can be classified as complex transition metal hydrides, we have chosen to discuss them separately due to the focus on low-cost Mg as a primary component in high-temperature complex hydrides.

The synthesis and characterisation of Mg<sub>2</sub>NiH<sub>4</sub> was first reported in 1968, along with the realisation that the compound could feasibly be employed as a H<sub>2</sub> storage material [64]. It was initially identified that the material undergoes reversible hydrogenation at 325 °C and 21 bar of H<sub>2</sub> pressure ( $\Delta H_{des}$  = -64.4 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>) with a theoretical H<sub>2</sub> capacity of 3.62 wt.% H<sub>2</sub> (Eq. 3). Although this material is easily reversible, the relatively low H<sub>2</sub> capacity and decomposition temperature make this material undergoid of use as a HTMH above ~400 °C.

 $Mg_2NiH_4 \rightleftharpoons Mg_2Ni + 2H_2$ 

(H<sub>2</sub> wt.% = 3.62)

(3)

 $Mg_2FeH_6$ , with a volumetric  $H_2$  density that is twice that of liquid  $H_2$ , decomposes to the elements (Mg & Fe) upon  $H_2$  desorption, has a theoretical capacity of 5.47 wt.%  $H_2$  and a practical reversible capacity of 5 – 5.3 wt.% [24]. The  $H_2$  storage system exhibits a flat equilibrium pressure plateau with no hysteresis and a  $H_2$  absorption enthalpy of –77 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. $H_2$ . In addition, the  $H_2$  capacity remains relatively constant up to 600 cycles [24]. The high stability of  $Mg_2FeH_6$  requires temperatures above 400 °C for standard operation, while acceptable hydrogenation kinetics are observed above 450 °C [47]. Desorption temperatures of up to

590 °C have been tested and unlike for MgH<sub>2</sub>, did not affect the cyclic stability [20]. Mg<sub>2</sub>FeH<sub>6</sub> stands as one of the most promising materials for near term commercialisation due to the cyclic stability, advantageous temperature and pressure ranges and, of vital importance, its low cost.

In addition to  $Mg_2FeH_6$ , a number of mixed cation and mixed anion magnesium-based complex transition metal hydrides have been identified. Mixed cation Mgbased complex hydrides include  $Na_2Mg_2NiH_6$  [57, 58], CaMgNiH<sub>4</sub> [65], Ca<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>4</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>22</sub> [66], Ca<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>4</sub>Co<sub>3</sub>H<sub>19</sub> [67], Na<sub>2</sub>Mg<sub>2</sub>FeH<sub>8</sub> [59-61] while mixed anion Mg based hydrides include  $Mg_2(FeH_6)_{0.5}(CoH_5)_{0.5}$  [68, 69] and  $Mg_2(FeH_6)_{0.5}(NiH_4)_{0.5}$  [68]. Even though the theoretical H<sub>2</sub> content of these phases varies between ~3.2 wt.% and ~5.0 wt.%, the few thermodynamic studies that have been performed (on CaMgNiH<sub>4</sub>, Ca<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>22</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>Mg<sub>2</sub>FeH<sub>8</sub>) show multi-step H<sub>2</sub> release that limits their practical H<sub>2</sub> capacity to around half the theoretical value (Eqs. 4 – 6) [59, 65, 66]:

$2CaMgNiH_4 \rightleftharpoons 2CaH_2 + Mg + MgNi_2$	(H <sub>2</sub> wt.% = 1.59)		(4)
$Ca_4Mg_4Fe_3H_{22} \rightleftharpoons 2Ca_2FeH_6 + 4Mg + Fe + 5H_2$ (H <sub>2</sub> wt.% = 2.25)		(5)	
$Na_2Mg_2FeH_8 \rightleftharpoons 2NaMgH_3 + Fe + H_2$	(H <sub>2</sub> wt.% = 1.27)		(6a)
$2NaMgH_3 + Fe \rightleftharpoons 2NaH + 2Mg + Fe + 2H_2$ (H <sub>2</sub> wt.%	= 2.54)	(6b)	

Despite this, the high stability of the phases so far characterised  $\Delta H_{abs}$ (CaMgNiH<sub>4</sub>) = -129 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta H_{abs}$ (Ca<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>4</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>22</sub>) = -122 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>) makes Mg-based complex transition metal hydrides a promising class of compounds for thermal storage applications.

In addition to the Mg containing transition metal complex hydrides, there are a number of complex ternary hydrides comprised of only Mg and an alkali, alkaline or rare earth metal that have been reviewed [70]. Of most interest for thermal storage, from a cost point of view, are Ca<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>H<sub>14</sub>, Ca<sub>19</sub>Mg<sub>8</sub>H<sub>54</sub> and NaMgH<sub>3</sub>. The H<sub>2</sub> desorption from Ca<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>H<sub>14</sub> and Ca<sub>19</sub>Mg<sub>8</sub>H<sub>54</sub> have not been characterised but it is likely these materials initially decompose to CaH<sub>2</sub> and Mg [71]. This would limit their practical H<sub>2</sub> capacity to 2.44 and 1.60 wt.%, respectively.

The perovskite hydride NaMgH<sub>3</sub> (6.01 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>) can be formed readily from any combination of Na, NaH, Mg or MgH<sub>2</sub> under a H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at a suitable temperature [72] or by high energy milling [73]. Full H<sub>2</sub> desorption follows a two-step decomposition pathway but only the first reaction is of practical interest (4.01 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>) due to the limited reversibility of NaH (Eq. 7):

(7)

### $NaMgH_3 \rightarrow NaH + Mg + H_2$

This system exhibits a number of advantageous properties: (1) It has a very flat H<sub>2</sub> absorption and desorption plateau meaning it will absorb and desorb H<sub>2</sub> at a constant pressure regardless of composition; (2) it has negligible hysteresis (meaning absorption and desorption of H<sub>2</sub> occurs at the same pressure) thereby improving the efficiency of the system; (3) it has a high reaction enthalpy ( $-86.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .H<sub>2</sub>) and; (4) the cost of the raw materials is relatively low. A variation on this system has also been studied to increase its enthalpy for solar thermal applications [12]. Fluorine incorporation in NaMgH<sub>3</sub> to form NaMgH<sub>2</sub>F results in an increase in the H<sub>2</sub> absorption enthalpy to  $-96.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  H<sub>2</sub>. Despite having less than half the H<sub>2</sub> capacity and thermal storage capacity of MgH<sub>2</sub>, the higher enthalpy of NaMgH<sub>2</sub>F results in an installed system cost for CSP heat storage that is ~37 % lower than for MgH<sub>2</sub>, ~23 % lower than for Mg<sub>2</sub>FeH<sub>6</sub> and ~18 % lower than for NaMgH<sub>3</sub>. One current disadvantage of NaMgH<sub>2</sub>F is that the high temperature cyclic stability is problematic due to vaporisation and loss of sodium from the sample [15].

## 4 Options for Low-Temperature Hydrogen Storage

#### 4.1 Candidate Hydrides for Low-Temperature Hydrogen Storage

Typically, intermetallic hydrides are the most promising LTMHs for near-ambient  $H_2$  storage applications due to their fast kinetics and favorable thermodynamics. Examining the Sandia DOE database reveals over 1400 intermetallic compounds based on the stoichiometries of *AB*, *ABs*, *ABa* and *A*<sub>2</sub>*B* (where *A* = hydride forming metal such as Ti, Zr, La, Ce and *B* = non-hydride forming metal such as Cr, Mn, Fe, Co or Ni) [74]. There are hysteresis issues with many intermetallic hydrides that reduces overall system efficiency but these are not insurmountable. Often the properties of these intermetallic metal hydrides can be fine-tuned to match the HTMH by the addition of metal additives. It should be noted that  $H_2$  storage within metal hydrides is favorable due to the high volumetric storage density in the solid state. However, the gravimetric  $H_2$  capacity can vary greatly between storage materials. This means that for materials with a low  $H_2$  capacity a large amount of material is required, increasing the cost and volume of the containment system. To reduce the number of potential low-temperature  $H_2$  storage candidates a number of criteria were established as follows: The practical  $H_2$  capacity must be greater than 1 wt.%; the equilibrium pressure of the material must be between 0.1 and 40 bar at 25 °C and; the intermetallic should not contain large proportions of rare or expensive metals.

Applying these criteria immediately excludes the *A*<sub>2</sub>*B* class of intermetallics based purely on cost. Most of these compounds consist of Ti, Zr or Hf as the *A* element where they exceed 60 wt.% of the total alloy weight. Of the intermetallics from the *AB* and *AB*<sub>5</sub> classes, only TiFe and (La, Mm, Ca)Ni<sub>5</sub> based compounds bear considering. The *AB*<sub>2</sub> class of intermetallics provide far more possibilities as Ti and Zr can partially substitute for each other as the *A* element while Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni can readily substitute for each other over a wide range of compositions as the *B* element [75]. Certain alloys are commercially available such as heavily substituted Ti-Mn (Ti<sub>0.97</sub>Zr<sub>0.019</sub>V<sub>0.439</sub>Fe<sub>0.097</sub>Cr<sub>0.045</sub>Al<sub>0.026</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>) [76], which have favourable properties. Other intermetallics excluded include those based on CaNi<sub>3</sub>, which show degradation in performance for only a minimal decrease in cost compared to CaNi<sub>5</sub> [77, 78], and solid solutions of Ti-Cr-V-Fe, which remain expensive even when produced with cheaper forms of vanadium such as ferrovanadium [12, 79, 80].

Recently, cheaper LTMHs based on the alanate complex hydrides, such as NaAlH<sub>4</sub> (Eq. 8) and Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> (Eq. 9), have been investigated as replacements for the higher cost intermetallic hydrides [13, 15, 81]:

$3NaAIH_4 \rightleftharpoons Na_3AIH_6 + 2AI + 3H_2$	$(\Delta H_{abs} = -38 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}.\text{H}_2, T_{1bar} \sim 32^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{H}_2 \text{ wt}. \% = 3.7)$	(8)
$Na_3AIH_6 \rightleftharpoons 3NaH + AI + 3/2H_2$	(∆H <sub>abs</sub> = −47 kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> .H <sub>2</sub> , T <sub>1bar</sub> ~103°C, H <sub>2</sub> wt. % = 3.0)	(9)

To achieve useful H<sub>2</sub> absorption/desorption kinetics in these materials, an operational temperature of at least 100 °C is required. This is higher than that of intermetallic hydrides, such as LaNi<sub>4.7</sub>Al<sub>0.3</sub> ( $T_{op}$  = 20 – 100°C,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  = -34.0 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>) [82] and Ti<sub>1.2</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub> ( $T_{op}$  = 0 – 80°C,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  = -28.7 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>) [75], but the cost of the material is significantly less [15]. Additionally, the waste heat generated by a back pressure/condensing type steam turbine during electricity production from CSP can be utilised for desorbing H<sub>2</sub> from the alanate systems.

If MgH<sub>2</sub> is chosen as the HTMH and NaAlH<sub>4</sub> as the LTMH for H<sub>2</sub> storage, then by considering the H<sub>2</sub> equilibrium pressure of the two hydrides as a function of temperature, the operating temperatures and pressures of the hydrides can be matched. Fig. 5 shows the H<sub>2</sub> equilibrium pressure of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> on the left side and MgH<sub>2</sub> on the right side. At a temperature of 95 °C the equilibrium pressure of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> is 20 bar H<sub>2</sub>. Using this pressure for hydrogenation of Mg-metal, a temperature of ~400 °C is produced, which can be converted into steam for electricity production with a turbine. The reverse reaction can proceed at slightly higher temperatures for the decomposition of MgH<sub>2</sub> producing a H<sub>2</sub> pressure of 28 bar at ~420 °C, used for the hydrogenation of the Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> + 2Al mixture.



Fig. 5 Equilibrium pressure curves of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> and MgH<sub>2</sub> used for the description of paired metal hydride systems.

The NaAlH<sub>4</sub>/MgH<sub>2</sub> combination was used by BSR Solar Technology and the Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung to construct a demonstration project of a Stirling engine with a thermochemical heat storage system. The LTMH was comprised of 8.5 kg of doped NaAlH<sub>4</sub> which was combined with 10.2 kg MgH<sub>2</sub> as the HTMH [83]. Since a large enough quantity of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> could not be commercially acquired at the time, the amount of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> was too low for a complete hydrogenation of the Mg material and the system could not be optimised. Although a short working time of the Stirling engine with the thermochemical heat storage system was demonstrated, a lack of optimisation resulted in kinetic limitations for the absorption/desorption in the NaAlH<sub>4</sub> system [83]. The experience from this demonstration project highlights that selecting a suitably matched HTMH and LTMH extends beyond just operating temperatures and pressures but also includes choosing metal hydride pairs with compatible kinetics.

If a waste heat source is available in the temperature range of 130 to 160 °C, then Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> can also be used as the LTMH H<sub>2</sub> storage material [81, 84]. The enthalpy of H<sub>2</sub> absorption is higher for Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> ( $\Delta H_{abs} = -47$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>) compared to NaAlH<sub>4</sub> ( $\Delta H_{abs} = -38.6$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.H<sub>2</sub>) and this may have design consequences for managing the higher thermal load during H<sub>2</sub> absorption. However, the kinetics of H<sub>2</sub> absorption and desorption will also be improved for Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> at the higher operating temperatures [85]. One further advantage of pure Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> compared to NaAlH<sub>4</sub> is the much lower H<sub>2</sub> equilibrium pressure in the temperature range of 130 °C to 160 °C. At 160 °C the equilibrium pressure for Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> is ~20 bar and for NaAlH<sub>4</sub> it is ~80 bar. As a consequence of this pressure difference, a significantly lighter tank system that is based on Al alloys can be constructed when Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> is used for H<sub>2</sub> or heat storage systems [81].

An additional requirement for a thermal energy storage system is that of cyclic stability. While there are a number of complex hydride systems that have advantageous H<sub>2</sub> capacities and low enthalpies to be used as LTMHs, cycling stability is a key requirement for their consideration [86-88]. Another factor that must be considered for metal hydride TES systems is that these materials typically have low thermal conductivity that limits the effective heat transfer through the bed to the HTF and the overall efficiency of the system. The doping of alanates with additives, such as graphite and excess Al, has been shown to increase the thermal conductivity of these systems [89]. Surprisingly, the addition of these additives also helps to stabilise the reversibility of the material for extended cycling. Fig. 6 displays cycling of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> with the incorporation of these additives. While the practical H<sub>2</sub> capacity of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> is much higher than that of Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> (~4 wt.% vs. ~1 wt.%), the cycling stability and required rehydrogenation pressure of Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> is far superior. Interestingly, the incorporation of Al and expanded natural graphite (ENG) to Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> resulted in an increase H<sub>2</sub> capacity for the entire composite. These results show promise for the use of cheaper complex metal hydrides in metal hydride TES systems. Further investigation into additives which may enhance kinetics, thermal conductivity, and cycling stability of complex metal hydrides may result in the discovery of better candidates for this application.



Fig. 6 A) NaAlH<sub>4</sub> + 10 wt. % Al cycled at 90 bar H<sub>2</sub> and 120 °C B) NaAlH<sub>4</sub> + 10 wt. % Al + 10 wt. % ENG cycled at 90 bar and 120 °C C) Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> + 2 mol % TiCl<sub>3</sub> cycled at 150 °C and 30 bar H<sub>2</sub> D) Na<sub>3</sub>AlH<sub>6</sub> + 2 mol % TiCl<sub>3</sub> + 10 wt. % Al + 10 wt. % ENG cycled at 30 bar H<sub>2</sub> and 150 °C).

### 4.2 Compressed Gas Hydrogen Storage

A problem with using a LTMH to store H<sub>2</sub> gas for re-use at night time is that the LTMH itself generates appreciable quantities of heat while absorbing H<sub>2</sub> (based on its enthalpy of formation) and significant quantities of heat are required to release the H<sub>2</sub>. If this heat is not removed from the system during absorption then significant self-heating of the LTMH occurs that will slow or halt the H<sub>2</sub> absorption process. This would require an increase in the HTMH operating temperature to generate an increased H<sub>2</sub> pressure that would allow the H<sub>2</sub> absorption by the LTMH to proceed. Comparable issues arise for the night cycle during endothermic H<sub>2</sub> desorption from the LTMH and exothermic H<sub>2</sub> absorption by the HTMH. This results in large temperature excursions in the metal hydride beds with a decrease in the overall efficiency of the system [4]. This imposes significant constraints and costs on the internal and external design of the storage vessels to enable efficient heat transfer and to manage the heat to maintain the efficiency of the whole system.

If the heat developed by the LTMH during absorption is not stored and re-used to help release the  $H_2$  later, it represents a significant energy loss in the system. For example, the heat released by the LTMH paired with MgH<sub>2</sub> as a solar thermochemical heat store, represented ~30 % of the heat stored in the MgH<sub>2</sub>[4]. As the enthalpy of the HTMH decreases (i.e. in lower operating temperature scenarios), this fraction of heat generated by the LTMH becomes more significant and could approach 50 %, or more, of the energy stored in the HTMH. In addition, depending on the LTMH thermodynamic and kinetics properties, reduction of the plant efficiency can be observed when the heat needs to be supplied at temperatures higher than the waste heat temperature of power plant.

Storing H<sub>2</sub> as a compressed gas has a number of advantages over a LTMH. First, the cost of the materials for the LTMH can be as much as 90 % of the total materials cost for the entire CSP energy storage system [12] and this cost is removed in the case of compressed gas storage. Additional costs will also arise due to the construction materials and design of a LTMH tank that can deal with the thermal management issues discussed above. The disadvantages of storing H<sub>2</sub> as a compressed gas are the larger tank volume required compared to LTMH storage and that a compressor may be needed to boost the pressure to allowH<sub>2</sub> absorption by HTMH during the night cycle.

Costs for large scale above ground volumetric H<sub>2</sub> storage in the available literature and from manufacturers are highly variable and range between ~60 USD and ~1130 USD per kg of H<sub>2</sub> stored for pressure vessels and pipelines with ratings of between 30 and 430 bar [90-94]. Given that a CSP system using metal hydrides for thermal energy storage would utilise several hundred tonnes of gaseous H<sub>2</sub>, determining a more accurate cost for large scale compressed H<sub>2</sub> storage will be critical in evaluating its economic competitiveness against H<sub>2</sub> storage in LTMH's. As a result, the H<sub>2</sub> storage pressure will represent one of the most significant factors that influence the cost and the actual feasibility of the compressed H<sub>2</sub> storage system.

Due to the possibility of reduced cost by using a compressed H<sub>2</sub> tank, it should be considered as a replacement for the LTMH. Burying the tank has the advantage of producing a stable temperature environment (typically 10 – 16 °C year round), but has large costs associated with the required excavation and installation. A small amount of adiabatic heating occurs during H<sub>2</sub> compression while a small amount of adiabatic cooling occurs during expansion. The earth around the tank

may act as thermal ballast to extract and supply this small amount of heat. If the tank is exposed to ambient conditions, the day-night temperature swing actually works against efficiency. However, suitable insulation of an above ground tank may be a less expensive option but requires further investigation.

If a compressed gas storage system is implemented then the operation of the CSP system would occur as follows. At the start of a day cycle the high temperature hydride (i.e. Mg/MgH<sub>2</sub>) will be full of H<sub>2</sub> and the compressed gas tank will be under low pressure. The MgH<sub>2</sub> will heat up (from solar energy) and will progressively have to reach higher and higher temperatures to generate the pressure required to fill the compressed tank. At the end of the day cycle the high temperature hydride will be nearly exhausted of H<sub>2</sub> (by forming metal) and a high pressure of H<sub>2</sub> gas will be present in the compressed tank. Over the night cycle the H<sub>2</sub> will absorb back into the HTMH as it cools. Slowly the pressure in the compressed tank will drop as the H<sub>2</sub> is absorbed by the HTMH. The HTMH will have to reach progressively lower temperatures to absorb under the supplied pressure. This will result in a non-constant (variable) power output from the heat engine overnight due to a variable turbine inlet temperature that results from the HTMH absorbing H<sub>2</sub> under progressively lower pressures. The power plant efficiency variation, as well as the plant capacity factor, assessment will be part of another publication.

The associated costs relating to tank size and heat engine efficiency need to be weighed to evaluate whether this system is viable. A likely scenario for using a pressurised  $H_2$  tank would be in conjunction with a high temperature hydride operating in the temperature range of 450 – 650 °C and in the pressure range of 50 – 150 bar. It would potentially be cheaper than a LTMH based option. The high pressure system does not have any pressure hysteresis and is in effect equivalent to a metal hydride with a large sloped plateau.

### 5 Heat transfer and other engineering considerations

As stated in the introduction, the hydride tank design and engineering of the system will present their own challenges due to dual issues presented by the high operating temperatures and the use of hydrogen gas. The temperature range between 450 °C and, in the extreme cases, 950 °C, contemplated in this implementation of metal hydrides are mainly above the self-ignition temperature of hydrogen [95], although other values have been quoted [96]. It can thus be agreed that even without the ignition source of hot surfaces, which is almost unavoidable under these circumstances, hydrogen can ignite in the case of a leak. Therefore, the highest safety standards and good engineering are required to reduce this risk to manageable levels.

There are two important engineering parameters to consider when using metal hydrides to store heat: how to transfer the heat in an efficient way and which structural materials to use for the tank hull in order to achieve safe operation.

#### 5.1 Heat transfer considerations

In CSP applications, heat transfer at high temperatures is obviously an essential part of the plant design. The concentrating heliostats must pass the solar energy to some medium in order to bring this energy to the generators that will turn it into usable electricity. Due to price and availability, there are two main heat transfer media in use today: water (steam) and air. Steam is a well-established heat transfer medium [97], known for more than 2000 years, although the first recorded successful commercial use of a steam engine is acknowledged to have happened only in 1712 in Thomas Newcomen's "atmospheric engine" for pumping water out of a mine. Superheated steam at temperature levels of around 450 °C, while a more recently developed way to transfer energy, was already in use in the 1940's [98]. The advantages of steam are: easy availability, established knowledge base, low price, excellent heat transfer properties (see Table 1), absence of health dangers and environmental benignity. Water does have some drawbacks as a heat transfer medium including: corrosion of pipes at high temperatures, decomposition at even higher temperatures (realistically, this is not a problem at all unless catalysts for this process happen to be in the composition of the piping) and the impossibility of using the heat of evaporation if superheated steam is to be maintained throughout. Due to this wealth of advantages and lack of significant drawbacks, steam is used in almost every CSP plant in existence [97].

Air is used only in CSP plants operating at extremely high temperatures [99], as is the case for central tower receivers. In the focus of the heliostats, temperatures above 1000 °C can exist and water would decompose into hydrogen and oxygen. Even if this effect can be avoided, the slightest contamination would cause trouble at these temperatures. Air, on the other hand, despite having significantly inferior heat capacity and heat conductivity compared to steam and supercritical water (see Table 1), is stable at these temperatures and can be pumped at high speed and volumetric flow. The properties shown in Table 1 are for N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>; those of air lie somewhere in between (the weighted average using air's composition is somewhat complex due to non-ideality of the gases under these conditions). Its availability is obviously even better than that of water and all other properties are similar to the ones mentioned for water. Usually, hot air is not directly used in a turbine but employed to generate steam.

 Table 1 Some properties of heat transfer agents (according to Ref [100] unless otherwise specified).

Agent	Р	Т	Ср	Din. Viscosity	ρ	λ
	(bar)	(°C)	(J·g <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup> )	(Pa.s)(x10 <sup>5</sup> )	(kg·m⁻³)	(W·m <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup> )
Superheated	60	450	2.4376	2.657	19.17	0.0661
steam						
Supercritical	225	450	4.4903	2.831	92.895	0.099
water						
Supercritical CO <sub>2</sub>	80	450	1.1746	3.297	58.37	0.0527
He	30	450	5.1911	3.677	1.9873	0.2892
N2	30	450	1.1095	3.378	13.806	0.0514
O <sub>2</sub>	30	450	1.0424	3.967	15.834	0.0605
Na [101]	1	527	1.2603	22.7	846 [102]	-
NaNO <sub>2</sub> /KNO <sub>3</sub> , 52.4	1	275 - 427	1.469 [103]	-	1780	-
mol.% NaNO <sub>2</sub>						

Due to the differences in heat transfer properties, metal hydrides used for heat storage will require tanks of different dimensional design depending on the choice of heat transfer media. For air, superheated steam and supercritical water, however, a new issue specific to metal hydrides arises in the event of a catastrophic failure of the heat transfer tubing: that of reaction between the heat transfer medium and the hydride. In the case of water, the combination with hydrides,

especially the complex ones, will result in a powerful exothermic oxidation reaction. Alkali and earth-alkali metals will combine with the  $O_2$  in water, liberating massive amounts of  $H_2$  and the bursting of the reactor walls is likely to ensue. Counter-intuitively, air could, in the case of a leakage into the hydride bed, be the lesser evil, since oxidation of the metals in the hydrides will result in temperature flares, but not in uncontrolled  $H_2$  generation. In both cases, however, the combination of  $O_2$  in the heat transfer medium and  $H_2$  in the hydride loop will result in fires or explosions. Therefore, it may be a good idea to look into alternatives for the heat transfer media.

Due to the high temperature levels of CSP applications, there is not a very wide range of possible other agents to transfer heat. They can be classified into liquids, gases and supercritical fluids.

- Liquids. The agents that remain liquid (do not boil) at temperatures above 450 °C are either metals (like sodium), salts (like the NaNO<sub>2</sub>.KNO<sub>3</sub> mixture, hitherto used as a phase-change material for heat storage but decompose above 600 °C) or ionic liquids (which however tend to decompose above 200 400 °C, since they are usually hydrocarbon compounds) [25]. They all share the advantage of good heat exchange qualities due to reasonable heat capacities (see Table 1), as well as much higher density than gases. Therefore, they can be pumped at acceptable cost and do not decompose under operating conditions. In the same way, they usually share the drawback of being extremely corrosive (requiring special alloys for the piping) and becoming solid at temperatures higher than ambient conditions. Therefore, care has to be taken that the temperature in the circuit is kept above the melting point of the agent of choice to avoid sudden flow disruptions due to solids in the lines. In the specific case of usage together with hydrides, metals like Na have the capability to react at high temperatures with some of the components of the hydride. However, these reactions are less violent than the oxidation that was mentioned above and result in the inertisation of the hydride system, not seldom by forming alloys with the metals in the hydride. Sometimes the heat exchange agent is even part of the hydride: in the case of NaAlH<sub>4</sub> for instance, a leak of Na would be practically harmless, since Na is already in the composition of the hydride.
- Gases. As already stated for air, gases have, due to their lower density, the need to be pumped at higher speeds to produce the same heat transfer effect as liquids. That said, their chemical stability makes them less prone to corrode piping or decompose under operational conditions. Inert gases, not containing O<sub>2</sub> or other reactive species, can mix with the various hydrides considered above without adverse effects. This is the case for N<sub>2</sub>, Ar and He. A special case would be the usage of H<sub>2</sub> itself to transfer heat. Since this gas is already used in the hydride-based heat storage system, it would make sense to use it as heat transfer medium. H<sub>2</sub> has the advantage of having the best heat capacity and heat conductivity of all the gases mentioned above, yielding a most efficient heat transfer medium. Only its flammability makes it less of a contestant in comparison with N<sub>2</sub>, Ar or He.
- Supercritical fluids. The definition of a supercritical fluid (SCF) is any substance that the temperature and pressure are higher than their critical values, and which has a density close to or higher than its critical density [104]. As such, they are fluids above the critical point of pressure and temperature and the properties are often described as a "hybrid of those of a liquid and a gas." On one hand, they fill containers like a gas. On the other, their density is higher than that of gases and can be comparable to that of liquids depending on conditions. With the higher density come better heat conductivity and heat capacity, thus yielding good heat exchange agents. The main candidates are supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> and supercritical water. In the first case, the supercritical point lies at 304.1 K and 74 bar [100]. These conditions are easily surpassed during operations in a CSP system. Only the fact that CO<sub>2</sub> contains O<sub>2</sub> and can therefore react with hydrides is a disadvantage for use in our application. Supercritical water shares this disadvantage. With a critical point at 647.1 K and 221 bar [100] it is still usable from an engineering point of view, but requires the pressure resistance of the piping to be increased to levels that may render this option uneconomical.

As can be seen, heat transfer at high temperatures and, in some cases high pressures poses a challenging engineering problem. Although solvable in a variety of ways, the user needs to take into consideration the cost of the system and especially the comparison with extant, less powerful but quite economical alternatives. Other engineering challenges, such as the tank hull alloys, sealings, etc. will be addressed in future publications. Only when the whole system can provide better performance at a comparable or lower cost there will be the possibility of implementing hydrides as heat storage materials in a massive scale.

## 6 Challenges and Outlook

The characterisation of high temperature metal hydrides for CSP applications is still in its infancy. Further research must be directed towards accurately measuring the properties and suitability of high temperature metal hydrides, in addition to identifying further hydrides that are cost effective and suitable for high temperature energy storage. Preliminary techno-economic investigations demonstrate high potential of TES based on MH materials to compete with traditional molten salt TES systems based on sensible heat storage. Results reported in the literature [12, 13, 105, 106] show installed cost values on the order of 25-30 /kWh<sub>th</sub> for currently available MH materials. The costs for the actual molten salt systems are on the order of 30-32 /kWh<sub>th</sub> as reported in Reference [107] which makes the MH based TES a highly competitive solution in terms of economic performance compared to the current systems. The next step in development should be the testing of metal hydrides at high temperature on a prototype and then pilot scales to assess their ability to perform under dynamic and realistic operating conditions for CSP.

In addition to the challenge of finding low-cost metal hydrides that are suitable for high temperature thermal energy storage, there are a number of technical challenges that must also be addressed. These primarily relate to the difficulty in containing gaseous hydrogen at high temperature, the poor thermal conductivity of metal hydrides and the development of appropriate safety standards but, with significant energy density advantages of metal hydrides, there is great promise in these materials for storing thermal energy on a large scale.

#### **References**

- 1. G.G. Libowitz, In Proceedings of the 9th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, (New York, 1974), p. 322-325
- 2. B. Bogdanović, A. Ritter, B. Spliethoff, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 29, 223 (1990)
- 3. B. Bogdanović, T.H. Hartwig, B. Spliethoff, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 18, 575 (1993)
- 4. M. Groll, A. Isselhorst, M. Wierse, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 19, 507 (1994)
- 5. B. Bogdanović, A. Ritter, B. Spliethoff, K. Straburger, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 20, 811 (1995)
- 6. B. Bogdanović, H. Hofmann, A. Neuy, A. Reiser, K. Schlichte, B. Spliethoff, S. Wessel, J. Alloys Compd. 292, 57 (1999)
- 7. D.N. Harries, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, T. Price, C.E. Buckley, Proc. IEEE 100, 539 (2012)

8. M. Fellet, C.E. Buckley, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, MRS Bulletin 38, 1012 (2013)

9. Q. Lai, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, A.W. Thornton, M.R. Hill, Q. Gu, J. Mao, Z. Huang, H.K. Liu, Z. Guo, A. Banerjee, S. Chakraborty, R. Ahuja, K.-F. Aguey-Zinsou, ChemSusChem 8, 2789 (2015)

10. US Department of Energy, D.O.E. ARPA-E High Energy Advanced Thermal Storage - DE-FOA-0000471. (2011), <u>https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/FileContent.aspx?FileID=79a5de09-8bfd-4590-9cb4-e42578248d90</u>, Accessed 27 August 2015

11. SunShot Vision Study, Chapter 5: Concentrating Solar Power: Technologies, Cost, and Performance (US Department of Energy, 2012)

12. D.A. Sheppard, C. Corgnale, B. Hardy, T. Motyka, R. Zidan, M. Paskevicius, C.E. Buckley, RSC Adv. 4, 26552 (2014)

13. C. Corgnale, B. Hardy, T. Motyka, R. Zidan, J. Teprovich, B. Peters, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 38, 821 (2014)

14. M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, K. Williamson, C.E. Buckley, Energy, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2015.05.068 (2015)

15. P.A. Ward, C. Corgnale, J. Teprovich, T. Motyka, B. Hardy, B. Peters, R. Zidan, J. Alloys Compd. 645, S374 (2015)

16. M. Felderhoff, R. Urbanczyk, S. Peil, Green **3**, 113 (2013)

17. P. Pardo, A. Deydier, Z. Anxionnaz-Minvielle, S. Rougé, M. Cabassud, P. Cognet, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 32, 591 (2014)

18. S. Kuravi, J. Trahan, D.Y. Goswami, M.M. Rahman, E.K. Stefanakos, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 39, 285 (2013)

19. Y. Tian, C.Y. Zhao, Appl. Energy **104**, 538 (2013)

20. M. Felderhoff, B. Bogdanović, Int J Mol Sci 10, 325 (2009)

21. G. Barkhordarian, T. Klassen, R. Bormann, J. Alloys Compd. 364, 242 (2004)

22. T. Gamo, Y. Moriwaki, N. Yanagihara, T. Iwaki, J. Less-Common Met. 89, 495 (1983)

23. P. Dantzer, Metal-Hydride Technology: A Critical Review, In Topics in Applied Physics, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997), p. 279-340

24. B. Bogdanović, A. Reiser, K. Schlichte, B. Spliethoff, B. Tesche, J. Alloys Compd. 345, 77 (2002)

25. N. Boerema, G. Morrison, R. Taylor, G. Rosengarten, Solar Energy 86, 2293 (2012)

26. M.V. Lototskyy, V.A. Yartys, B.G. Pollet, R.C.B. Jr., Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 39, 5818 (2014)

27. G.G. Libowitz, The Solid-State Chemistry of Binary Metal Hydrides. (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1965), p 139

28. C.B. Magee, Saline Hydrides, In Metal Hydrides, ed. by W.M. Mueller, J.P. Blackledge, G.G. Libowitz (Academic Press, Inc, New York, 1968), p. 791

29. F.D. Manchester, A. San-Martin, Phase Diagrams of Binary Hydrogen Alloys. (ASM International, Ohio, 2000),

30. J.J. Vajo, F. Mertens, C.C. Ahn, R.C. Bowman, B. Fultz, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 13977 (2004)

31. M.A. Abbas, D.M. Grant, M. Brunelli, T.C. Hansen, G.S. Walker, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 12139 (2013)

32. A. Jain, H. Miyaoka, T. Ichikawa, Y. Kojima, J. Alloys Compd. 580, S211 (2013)

33. E. Veleckis, J. Less-Common Met. 73, 49 (1980)

34. S. Landa, B. Lebl, J. Mostecky, V. Prochazka, J. Stuchlik, J. Vit, (US3535078 A, 1970)

35. W. Fedor, M.D. Banus, D. Ingalls, Ind. Eng. Chem. 49, 1664 (1957)

36. W.C. Johnson, M.F. Stubbs, A.E. Sidwell, A. Pechukas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 61, 318 (1939)

37. R.W. Curtis, P. Chiotti, J. Phys. Chem. 67, 1061 (1963)

38. W. Bliesner, (WO 2010/147674 A2, 2010)

39. M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 5077 (2010)

40. N. Gérard, S. Ono, Hydride Formation and Decomposition Kinetics, In Hydrogen in Intermetallic Compounds II, ed. by L. Schlapbach (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992)

41. K.F. Aguey-Zinsou, T. Nicolaisen, J.R. Ares Fernandez, T. Klassen, R. Bormann, J. Alloys Compd. 434-435, 738 (2007)

42. M.P. Pitt, M. Paskevicius, C.J. Webb, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, E.M. Gray, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 37, 4227 (2012)

43. P.A. Huhn, M. Dornheim, T. Klassen, R. Bormann, J. Alloys Compd. 404, 499 (2005)

44. H.-Y. Tien, M. Tanniru, C.-Y. Wu, F. Ebrahimi, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 34, 6343 (2009)

45. G. Friedlmeier, M. Groll, J. Alloys Compd. 253, 550 (1997)

46. I. Konstanchuk, K. Gerasimov, J.L. Bobet, J. Alloys Compd. 509, S576 (2011)

47. A. Reiser, B. Bogdanovic, K. Schlichte, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 25, 425 (2000)

48. W.M. Mueller, J.P. Blackledge, G.G. Libowitz, (Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1968), p. 791

49. G.G. Libowitz, H.F. Hayes, T.R.P. Gibb, The Journal of Physical Chemistry 62, 76 (1958)

50. E. Rönnebro, G. Whyatt, M. Powell, M. Westman, F. Zheng, Z. Fang, Energies 8, 8406 (2015)

51. F.D. Manchester, In Monograph Series on Alloy Phase Diagrams, ed. by T.B. Massalski (ASM International, Materials Park, 2000), p. 322

52. S.C. Abrahams, A.P. Ginsberg, K. Knox, Inorg. Chem. 3, 558 (1964)

53. W. Bronger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **30**, 759 (1991)

54. K. Yvon, G. Renaudin, Hydrides: Solid State Transition Metal Complexes, In Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry, (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2006)

55. K. Yvon, Chimia **52**, 613 (1998)

56. M. Matsuo, H. Saitoh, A. Machida, R. Sato, S. Takagi, K. Miwa, T. Watanuki, Y. Katayama, K. Aoki, S.-i. Orimo, RSC Adv. 3, 1013 (2013)

57. K. Kadir, D. Noréus, Inorg. Chem. 46, 3288 (2007)

58. M. Orlova, J.-P. Rapin, K. Yvon, Inorg. Chem. 48, 5052 (2009)

59. T.D. Humphries, M. Matsuo, G. Li, S.-i. Orimo, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 17, 8276 (2015)

60. T.D. Humphries, S. Takagi, G. Li, M. Matsuo, T. Sato, M.H. Sørby, S. Deledda, B.C. Hauback, S. Orimo, J. Alloys Compd. 645, S347 (2015)

61. S. Takagi, T.D. Humphries, K. Miwa, S. Orimo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 203901 (2014)

62. T.D. Humphries, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, Chem. Commun. 51, 11248 (2015)

63. S. Takagi, Y. Iijima, T. Sato, H. Saitoh, K. Ikeda, T. Otomo, K. Miwa, T. Ikeshoji, K. Aoki, S.-i. Orimo, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 54, 5650 (2015)

64. J.J. Reilly, R.H. Wiswall, Inorg. Chem. 7, 2254 (1968)

65. B. Huang, K. Yvon, P. Fischer, J. Alloys Compd. 178, 173 (1992)

66. B. Huang, K. Yvon, P. Fischer, J. Alloys Compd. 190, 65 (1992)

67. B. Huang, K. Yvon, P. Fischer, J. Alloys Compd. 227, 121 (1995)

68. L.A. Baum, M. Meyer, L. Mendoza-Zelis, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 33, 3442 (2008)

69. S. Deledda, B.C. Hauback, Nanotechnology 20, 204010 (2009)

70. K. Yvon, B. Bertheville, J. Alloys Compd. 425, 101 (2006)

71. Y. Kim, D. Reed, Y.-S. Lee, J.Y. Lee, J.-H. Shim, D. Book, Y.W. Cho, J. Phys. Chem. C 113, 5865 (2009)

72. D.A. Sheppard, M. Paskevicius, C.E. Buckley, Chem. Mater. 23, 4298 (2011)

73. H. Reardon, N. Mazur, D.H. Gregory, Prog. Nat. Sci. 23, 343 (2013)

74. US Department of Energy, Hydrogen Storage Materials Database. http://www.hydrogenmaterialssearch.govtools.us/, Accessed 27 August 2015

75. T. Gamo, Y. Moriwaki, N. Yanagihara, T. Yamashita, T. Iwaki, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 10, 39 (1985)

76. J.A. Murshidi, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 36, 7587 (2011)

77. S. Chumphongphan, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 37, 7586 (2012)

78. S. Chumphongphan, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 38, 2325 (2013)

79. D.N. Harries, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, T.E.C. Price, C.E. Buckley, Proc. IEEE 100, 539 (2012)

80. G. Sandrock, J. Alloys Compd. 293-295, 877 (1999)

81. R. Urbanczyk, K. Peinecke, M. Felderhoff, K. Hauschild, W. Kersten, S. Peil, D. Bathen, J. Alloys Compd. 385, 224 (2014)

82. E.L. Huston, G.D. Sandrock, J. Less-Common Met. 74, 435 (1980)

83. Flexibles Hochtemperatur-System für Dezentrale Stromerzeugung: Stirlingmaschine mit thermochemischem Speicher, ('BSR Solar Technologies' Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, 2005)

84. R. Urbanczyk, S. Peil, D. Bathen, C. Heßke, J. Burfeind, K. Hauschild, M. Felderhoff, F. Schüth, Fuel Cells 11, 911 (2011)

85. W. Luo, K.J. Gross, J. Alloys Compd. 385, 224 (2004)

86. W. Luo, K. Stewart, J. Alloys Compd. 440, 357 (2007)

87. D.E. Demirocak, S.S. Srinivasan, M.K. Ram, J.N. Kuhn, R. Muralidharan, X. Li, D.Y. Goswami, E.K. Stefanakos, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 38, 10039 (2013)

88. G. Xia, D. Li, X. Chen, Y. Tan, Z. Tang, Z. Guo, H. Liu, Z. Liu, X. Yu, Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.) 25, 6238 (2013)

89. P. Adelhelm, P.E. de Jongh, J. Mater. Chem. 21, 2417 (2011)

90. Wei Zhang, Fei Ren, Zhili Feng, Jy-An Wang, Manufacturing Cost Analysis of Novel Steel/Concrete Composite Vessel for Stationary Storage of High-Pressure Hydrogen, (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2012), ORNL/TM-2013/113

91. W.A. Amos, Costs of Storing and Transporting Hydrogen, (Golden, 1998), NREL/TP-570-25106

92. R. Kottenstette, J. Cotrell, Hydrogen Storage in Wind Turbine Towers, (Golden, 2003), NREL/TP-500-34656

93. W.C. Leighty, J. Holloway, R. Merer, B. Somerday, C.S. Marchi, G. Keith, D.E. White, Compressorless Hydrogen Transmission Pipelines Deliver Large-scale Stranded Renewable Energy at Competitive Cost, (Juneau, 2006)

94. T.-P. Chen, Hydrogen Delivery Infrastructure Options Analysis, (2010), DE-FG36-05GO15032

95. Safety Standard for Hydrogen and Hydrogen Systems, (NASA, 1997), Report NSS 1740.16

96. IEC, Electrical apparatus for explosive gas atmospheres - Part 20: Data for flammable gases and vapours, relating to the use of electrical apparatus, (Massachusetts, 1986), p. 59

97. M. Medrano, A. Gil, I. Martorell, X. Potau, L.F. Cabeza, Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews 14, 56 (2010)

98. The Battleship Bismarck. http://www.kbismarck.com/propulsioni.html, Accessed 27 August 2015

99. A.L. Avila-Marin, M. Alvarez-Lara, J. Fernandez-Reche, Proceedings of the Solarpaces 2013 International Conference 49, 705 (2014)

100. E.W. Lemmon, M.O. McLinden, D.G. Friend, "Thermophysical Properties of Fluid Systems" in NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD, 20899, <u>http://webbook.nist.gov</u>, ), Accessed 27 August 2015

101. J.K. Fink, L. Leibowitz, Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of Sodium Liquid and Vapor, (Argonne Natioal Laboratory, 1995), ANL/RE-95/2

102. Density of Molten Salts and Representative Salts. <u>http://moltensalt.org/references/static/downloads/pdf/element-salt-densities.pdf</u>, Accessed 27 August 2015

103. Y. Iwadate, I. Okada, K. Kawamura, J. Chem. Eng. Data 27, 288 (1982)

104. P.G. Jessop, W. Leitner, Supercritical Fluids as Media for Chemical Reactions, In Chemical Synthesis Using Supercritical Fluids, (Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2007), p. 1-36

105. D.A. Sheppard, T.D. Humphries, C.E. Buckley, Applied Physics A submitted, APYA-D-1501572 (2015)

106. P.A. Ward, C. Corgnale, J.A. Teprovich, T. Motyka, B. Hardy, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, R. Zidan, Applied Physics A Submitted, (2015)

107. G. Kolb, C.K. Ho, T. Manchini, J. Gary, (2011), SAND2011-2419