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#### Abstract

A synthesis method for orthogonal beam-forming networks (BFNs) with arbitrary N inputs and N outputs is presented. Compared to those formerly developed, the new method allows the design of a BFN in order to not only generate arbitrary N orthogonal beams and N inputs but also to make the $180^{\circ}$ hybrids less. This skill is obtained by means of a new approach to decompose the matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ which are mentioned by Sodin. The solution of such a design problem can be carried out by applying $Q R$ decomposition based on Givens transformations. Such a design method also takes into account the computer programming realization. Numerical results are obtained through the commercial simulator to prove the correctness of the method. The ease, accuracy, and efficiency of this synthesis method for the design of BFN make it very useful in modern applications of multi-beam antenna arrays.


INDEX TERMS Orthogonal beam-forming network, butler matrix, arbitrary N beams, $Q R$ decomposition, Givens transformations.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple-beam antennas (MBAs) are antenna arrays that connect beam-forming networks (BFNs) [1]. With the advantages of transmitting or receiving multiple beams simultaneously in prefixed directions, MBAs can mitigate multipath fading, increase channel capacity, and enhance system performance [2], [3]. Therefore, MBAs have been broadly applied in satellite communications, adaptive nulling, electronic countermeasures, multi-target radars and so on [4]-[7]. Once the antenna arrays are determined, the BFNs are the key point in designing MBAs. Thus, the need for designing a proper BFN has arisen.
The profitable BFN used for multiple beams with a linear array is the Butler matrix [8]. It can form orthogonal beams with the advantages of lossless property, high beam crossover and easy design. Compared to the Blass matrix [9] and the Nolen matrix [10], the Butler matrix requires less microwave couplers. However, the biggest problem of the Butler matrix is that it can only allow $N=2^{m}$ inputs and $N=2^{m}$ outputs, where $m$ is a positive integer.
Recently, many refinements of Butler matrices have been reported to extend the number of beams/antenna elements to arbitrary number [11]-[14]. By adding a particular hybrid
junction to the conventional Butler network, [11] increases the number of antenna ports from $2^{n}$ to any number. In [12], a reduced side-lobe four-beam N -element antenna array fed by $4 \times N$ butler matrices is presented. However, the beams in [11] and [12] are not orthogonal. Reference [13] describes a new kind of Butler matrices with the number of $N=2^{l} 3^{m} 4^{n}$, where $l, m$ and $n$ are integers using $2 \times 2,3 \times 3$ and $4 \times 4$ junctions. Though the number of matrices is extended, it is limited.

A method of synthesizing an orthogonal BFN with arbitrary number of beams is presented in [14]. The BFN is represented by a cascade connection of elementary matrices containing one $180^{\circ}$ hybrid or several $90^{\circ}$ phase shifters. Unfortunately, as mentioned in [14], the decomposing method using elementary matrices may not be the optimum one. Hence, finding a new method to reduce the number of hybrids required for building BFN becomes a matter of significance.

Based on the mentioned problems above, this paper has proposed a new synthesis method to design orthogonal BFNs with arbitrary $N$ inputs and $N$ outputs. The main purpose is to decompose the matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ which are mentioned in [14]. This new technique is based on $Q R$ decomposition
which is computed with a series of Givens matrices. It has two primary advantages over [14]: one is that it can find the less number of $180^{\circ}$ hybrids for building matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$; the other is that it can be realized by computer programs. By theory analysis and formula derivation, two key results are concluded: one is that a Givens matrix can be presented by a $180^{\circ}$ hybrid; the other is that any square, real, symmetric, and orthogonal matrix can be expressed as a product of several transposed Givens matrices. Hence, matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ can be represented by a cascade connection of Givens matrices.
By changing the order of $Q R$ decomposition, the reduced number of non-unity Givens matrices is found out. With the help of computer program, the procedure is illustrated using examples of synthesizing orthogonal BFN for 9 inputs and 9 outputs, which is one less component than [14]. With the increasing size of BFN, the less number of components is required comparing to [14].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Two key conclusions are described in Section II. In Section III, the synthesis method of the BFN is introduced. An example of synthesizing the BFN for $\mathrm{N}=9$ is proposed in Section IV. Section V validates the method described in Section IV using commercial simulation software Keysight Advanced Design System (ADS). Finally, a summary and conclusions are given in Section VI.

## II. TWO KEY THEORIES

## A. ANALYSIS OF THE GIVENS MATRICES

At first, let us consider the characteristic of the transmission matrix of a $180^{\circ}$ hybrid. It is worth noting that the $180^{\circ}$ hybrid is a four port network with two inputs and two outputs. As mentioned in [14], the transmission matrix of $180^{\circ}$ hybrid is expressed as:

$$
T_{h}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{1}\\
-b & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

or

$$
T_{h}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{2}\\
b & -a
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are real and they satisfy $a^{2}+b^{2}=1$.
Normally, according to [11], a Givens matrix can be expressed as:

$$
G(i, j, \theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \\
& \ddots & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & 1 & & & & & \\
0 & & & c & & \cdots & s & \\
& & & & 1 & & & \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \\
0 & & & & 1 & & & \vdots \\
0 & & -s & \cdots & c & & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & 1 & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & \\
0 & & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \\
& & & {[i]} & & & {[j]} & \\
&
\end{array}\right) \text { (i) }
$$

where $c=\cos \theta$ and $s=\sin \theta$ appear at the intersections $i$ th and $j$ th rows and columns. That is, for fixed $i>j$, the non-zero elements of Givens matrix are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{k k} & =1 \quad \text { for } k \neq i, j \\
g_{k k} & =c \quad \text { for } k=i, j \\
g_{j i} & =-g_{i j}=-s \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Getting rid of the unity elements and zero elements in Eq. (3), the rest elements of $g_{i i}, g_{j j}, g_{i j}$, and $g_{j i}$ satisfy the conditions of the $180^{\circ}$ hybrid transmission matrix in Eq. (1). Thus, a Givens matrix can be presented by a $180^{\circ}$ hybrid with output powers ratio of $p=(c / s)^{2}$.

On the other hand, if we rotate the position of $g_{i i}, g_{j j}, g_{i j}$, and $g_{j i}$ in Eq. (3) clockwise, the Givens matrix is modified as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(i, j, \theta)^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & 1 & & & & & & \vdots \\
0 & & c & \cdots & & s & & & 0 \\
& & & 1 & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & & \\
& & & & 1 & & & \\
0 & & s & \cdots & -c & & & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & & 1 & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & \ddots & \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & \cdots & & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { (i) } \\
& \text { [i] [j] }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=\cos \theta$ and $s=\sin \theta$ appear at the intersections $i$ th and $j$ th rows and columns. Likewise, for fixed $i>j$, the non-zero elements of the modified Givens matrix are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{k k} & =1 \quad \text { for } k \neq i, j \\
g_{i i} & =-g_{j j}=c \\
g_{j i} & =g_{i j}=s \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the non-unity elements and non-zero elements in Eq. (5) have the same characteristics of the $180^{\circ}$ hybrid transmission matrix in Eq. (2). Thus, the modified Givens matrix can be presented by a $180^{\circ}$ hybrid with output powers ratio of $p=(c / s)^{2}$.

In particular case, when $s=0$ and $c=1$, Eq. (3) and (5) are degenerated into the unity matrix. Considering the four port network with two inputs and two outputs, it means inputs are directly connected to the corresponding outputs without any hybrids.

## B. QR DECOMPOSITION OF A SQUARE, REAL, SYMMETRIC, AND ORTHOGONAL MATRIC USING GIVENS MATRICES

Based on $Q R$ decomposition solved by Givens matrices [15], any real square matrix $A$ of size $N \times N$ can be decomposed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=Q_{0} R \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a nonsingular upper triangular matrix and $Q_{0}$ is an orthogonal matrix which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{T} Q_{0}=Q_{0} Q_{0}^{T}=I \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $Q_{0}$ can be represented as a product of several Givens matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}=G^{T}=\left(G_{M} G_{M-1} \cdots G_{i} \cdots G_{2} G_{1}\right)^{T} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=(N-1) N / 2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{i}$ is a Givens matrix of size $N \times N$ for $i=1-M$.
Owing to the symmetry and orthogonality of matrix $Q_{0}$, Matrix $R$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=Q_{0}^{T} A \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let matrix $A$ be a square, real, symmetric, and orthogonal matrix, it has the characteristic as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{T} A=A A^{T}=I \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Eq. (8), (11) and (12), one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{T} R & =\left(Q_{0}^{T} A\right)^{T} Q_{0}^{T} A \\
& =A^{T} Q_{0} Q_{0}^{T} A \\
& =I \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that $R$ is an orthogonal matrix. Because $R$ is an upper triangular matrix, it is demonstrated that $R$ is a unit matrix.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=I \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Submitting Eq. (9) and (14) into (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =Q_{0} R=Q_{0} \\
& =\left(G_{M} G_{M-1} \cdots G_{2} G_{1}\right)^{T} \\
& =G_{1}^{T} G_{2}^{T} \cdots G_{M-1}^{T} G_{M}^{T} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by means of Eq. (15), it has been demonstrated that when matrix $A$ is a square, real, symmetric, and orthogonal matrix, it can be expressed as a product of several transposed Givens matrices. The number of Givens matrices satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=(N-1) N / 2 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the size of matrix $A$.
Here, we give an example of how compute $Q R$ decomposition of a square matrix. As shown in Fig.1, a matrix $A$ with size of $4 \times 4$ is decomposed using Givens matrices. At first, we form a Givens matrix that will zero element $a_{21}$. We need to rotate the vector $\left(a_{11}, a_{21}\right)$ to point along the X axis. This vector has an angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\arctan \left(\frac{-a_{21}}{a_{11}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow[(1,2)]{\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right)} \xrightarrow{(1,3)}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow[(1,4)]{\longrightarrow}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{(2,3)}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & 0 & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{3} G_{2} G_{1} A \quad G_{4} G_{3} G_{2} G_{1} A \\
& \xrightarrow{(2,4)}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & 0 & \times & \times \\
0 & 0 & \times & \times
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{(3,4)}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
0 & 0 & \times & \times \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \times
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{5} G_{4} G_{3} G_{2} G_{1} A \quad G_{6} G_{5} G_{4} G_{3} G_{2} G_{1} A
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 1. The general order of $Q R$ factorization at the $4 \times 4$ case.

Then, we create the orthogonal Givens matrix, $G_{1}$ :

$$
G_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) & 0 & 0  \tag{18}\\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The result of $G_{1} A$ has a zero in the $a_{21}$ element.

$$
G_{1} A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times  \tag{19}\\
0 & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can similarly form Givens matrices $G_{2}$ to $G_{6}$, which will zero the sub-diagonal elements of matrix $A$, forming a triangular matrix. It is worth noting that in each new zero element $a_{i j}$ affects only the row with the element to be zeroed ( $i$ ) and a row above ( $j$ ).

## III. A NEW SYNTHESIS METHOD OF THE BFN

Reference [14] has decomposed the transmission matrix of an orthogonal BFN into

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=Y Q Y \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where matrix $Y$ is a square, real, symmetric, and orthogonal matrix. $Q$ is a block-diagonal matrix and can be written as

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} &  \tag{21}\\
& i Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are square, real, symmetric, and orthogonal matrices.

Based on the analysis above, matrices $Q_{1}$ of size $M \times M$ and $Q_{2}$ of size $N \times N$ can be decomposed into a product of several transposed Givens matrices:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=G_{1}^{T} G_{2}^{T} \cdots G_{p-1}^{T} G_{p}^{T}  \tag{22}\\
& Q_{2}=G_{1}^{T} G_{2}^{T} \cdots G_{q-1}^{T} G_{q}^{T} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are positive integers and they satisfy Eq. (16) with the order of matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =(M-1) M / 2  \tag{24}\\
q & =(N-1) N / 2 \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling theories discussed in Section II, a Givens matrix can be presented by the corresponding $180^{\circ}$ hybrid. Hence, matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ can be realized by cascading a series of $180^{\circ}$ hybrids which are determined by $Q R$ decomposition. The general order of $Q R$ decomposition is shown in Fig.1. Without any improvement, matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ require $p$ and $q 180^{\circ}$ hybrids, respectively.

To reduce the required $180^{\circ}$ hybrids in matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, the calculated Givens matrices must consist of several identity matrices or permutation matrices. Fortunately, that can be achieved by changing the order of zero elimination in $Q R$ decomposition. In order to decompose a matrix of size $N \times N$, there are $q$ elements to be zeroed in the lower triangular area. Regardless of the realization of $Q R$ decomposition and repeatability, there is a factorial of $q$ orders to zero elimination using Givens matrices.

$$
\begin{equation*}
q!=q \times(q-1) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under some specific orders, $Q R$ decomposition can be computed with several identity matrices or permutation matrices. As the size of matrix $Q$ becomes larger, the more identity matrices are obtained by specific orders of $Q R$ decomposition.
When the size of matrix is larger than $6 \times 6$, the better order required to fully exploit the algorithm. In our example, the maximum size of matrix is $5 \times 5$. Thus, the results can be easily solved by enumerating method using MATLAB. The detailed steps to find out the optimized solution are shown as follows:

1) Write out matrices $T$ and $Y$ of the BFN for any N based on [14].
2) Calculate the corresponding matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ from Eq. (19) and (20).
3) Decompose matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ using $Q R$ decomposition based on Givens matrices. The decomposition orders are at random and all cases are calculated.
4) Find out the better decompositions that the corresponding Givens matrices consist of more identity matrices or permutation matrices.

## IV. SYNTHESIS OF THE BFN FOR 9 INPUTS AND 9 OUTPUTS

The proposed method is used for designing orthogonal BFNs with arbitrary $N$ inputs and $N$ outputs. In view of paper length limitations and simplicity, we do not show the detailed process for all $N$. As a result, an example of synthesizing an orthogonal BFN with $N=9$ is proposed, which can be compared with [14].

Matrices $T$ and $Y$ of the BFN are shown at the top of the next page.

This $Y$ matrix represents four $180^{\circ}$ hybrids with equal amplitude and one direct connection. Matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are calculated from Eq. (21)
$Q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0.333 & 0.471 & 0.471 & 0.471 & 0.471 \\ 0.471 & 0.511 & 0.116 & -0.333 & -0.627 \\ 0.471 & 0.116 & -0.627 & -0.333 & 0.5111 \\ 0.471 & -0.333 & -0.333 & 0.667 & -0.333 \\ 0.471 & -0.627 & 0.511 & -0.333 & 0.116\end{array}\right)$
$Q_{2}$

## A. SYNTHESIS OF MATRIX $Q_{1}$

Matrix $Q_{1}$ of size $5 \times 5$ requires 10 Givens matrices to compute $Q R$ decomposition. By changing the sequence of zero elimination in the lower triangular elements, there are up to 3 unity matrices or permutation matrices among the calculated 10 Givens matrices. Thus, we can reduce the number of the $180^{\circ}$ hybrid from 10 to 7 . It is worth noting that the optimized solutions are not unique. Here we just give one solution.

The optimum solution for $Q_{1}$ is provided as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{54}^{1} G_{21}^{1} G_{51}^{1} G_{43}^{1} G_{31}^{1} G_{42}^{1} G_{53}^{1} G_{52}^{1} G_{32}^{1} G_{41}^{1} Q_{1}=I \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{41}^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0.577 & 0 & 0 & 0.817 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0.817 & 0 & 0 & -0.577 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
G_{32}^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.975 & 0.221 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.221 & -0.975 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
G_{52}^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -0.641 & 0 & 0 & 0.767 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0.767 & 0 & 0 & 0.641
\end{array}\right) \\
G_{53}^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.900 & 0 & 0.435 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.435 & 0 & -0.900
\end{array}\right) \\
G_{42}^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -0.817 & 0 & 0.577 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.577 & 0 & 0.817 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \\
0 & 0 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & s & 0 & 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & -s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & s & 0 & 0 & -s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -s & 0 \\
0 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -s
\end{array}\right) \\
& T=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & \\
1 & e^{j \frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{2}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j \frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{4}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & 1 & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & 1 & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j \frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{8}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j-\frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{8}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & 1 & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & 1 & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j-\frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{4}{9} \pi} \\
1 & e^{j-\frac{2}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{4}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j-\frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{8}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{6}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{j}{9} \pi} & e^{j \frac{2}{9} \pi}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$G_{31}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
$G_{43}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.707 & 0.707 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.707 & 0.707 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
$G_{51}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}-0.577 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.817 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.817 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.577\end{array}\right)$
$G_{21}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
$G_{54}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$
Observing the calculated Givens matrices, it is seen that they are equal to the transposed matrices of themselves. Therefore, from the inverse transform of Eq. (27) we can
obtain the required representation for $Q_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1} & =\left(G_{54}^{1} G_{21}^{1} G_{51}^{1} G_{43}^{1} G_{31}^{1} G_{42}^{1} G_{53}^{1} G_{52}^{1} G_{32}^{1} G_{41}^{1}\right)^{T} \\
& =G_{41}^{1 T} G_{32}^{1 T} G_{52}^{1 T} G_{53}^{1 T} G_{42}^{1 T} G_{31}^{1 T} G_{43}^{1 T} G_{51}^{1 T} G_{21}^{1 T} G_{54}^{1 T} \\
& =G_{41}^{1} G_{32}^{1} G_{52}^{1} G_{53}^{1} G_{42}^{1} G_{31}^{1} G_{43}^{1} G_{51}^{1} G_{21}^{1} G_{54}^{1} \\
& =q_{11} q_{12} q_{13} q_{14} q_{15} q_{16} q_{17} p_{11} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{11}=G_{41}^{1} \\
& q_{12}=G_{32}^{1} \\
& q_{13}=G_{52}^{1} \\
& q_{14}=G_{53}^{1} \\
& q_{15}=G_{42}^{1} \\
& q_{16}=G_{31}^{1} G_{43}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.707 & -0.707 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.707 & 0.707 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& q_{17}=G_{51}^{1} G_{21}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0.577 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.817 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-0.817 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.577
\end{array}\right) \\
& p_{11}=G_{54}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, matrix $q_{1 i}(i=1-7)$ presents the corresponding $180^{\circ}$ hybrid and matrix $p_{11}$ is a permutation matrix. On the whole, for matrix $Q_{1}$, only seven $180^{\circ}$ hybrids are required,

TABLE 1. Simulated amplitude and phase difference characteristics of the BFN for $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{9}$ fed by imports $\mathbf{1 \sim 9}$. Magnitude unit: $d B$, phase unit: degree.

| input | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase | Magnitude | Phase |
| 1 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 0 |
| 2 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 40 | -9.54 | 80 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | 160 | -9.54 | -160 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | -80 | -9.54 | -40 |
| 3 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 80 | -9.54 | 160 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | -40 | -9.54 | 40 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | -160 | -9.54 | -80 |
| 4 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | -120 |
| 5 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | 160 | -9.54 | -40 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | -80 | -9.54 | 80 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 40 | -9.54 | -160 |
| 6 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | -160 | -9.54 | 40 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 80 | -9.54 | -80 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | -40 | -9.54 | 160 |
| 7 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 120 |
| 8 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | -80 | -9.54 | -160 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | 40 | -9.54 | -40 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | 160 | -9.54 | 80 |
| 9 | -9.54 | 0 | -9.54 | -40 | -9.54 | -80 | -9.54 | -120 | -9.54 | -160 | -9.54 | 160 | -9.54 | 120 | -9.54 | 80 | -9.54 | 40 |

with three $\left(q_{11}, q_{15}, q_{17}\right)$ of 4.77 dB , one $\left(q_{16}\right)$ of 3 dB , one $\left(q_{13}\right)$ of 3.86 dB , one $\left(q_{14}\right)$ of 7.22 dB , and one $\left(q_{12}\right)$ of 13.1 dB . As matrix $p_{11}$ is a permutation matrix, input ports of 4 and 5 are directly connected to the output ports of 5 and 4 , respectively.

## B. SYNTHESIS OF MATRIX $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}$

By the similar actions for matrix $Q_{2}, Q R$ decomposition needs one unity or permutation matrix and 5 Givens matrices. Therefore, we can reduce the $180^{\circ}$ hybrid from 6 to 5 . Likewise, the optimized solutions are not unique. Here we just give one solution.

The optimum solution for synthesis of matrix $Q_{2}$ is provided as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{41}^{2} G_{31}^{2} G_{21}^{2} G_{43}^{2} G_{42}^{2} G_{32}^{2} Q_{2}=I \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{42}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -0.707 & 0 & 0.707 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0.707 & 0 & 0.707
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{43}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.678 & 0.735 \\
0 & 0 & 0.735 & 0.678
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{21}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-0.817 & 0.577 & 0 & 0 \\
0.577 & 0.817 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{31}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-0.851 & 0 & 0.525 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0.525 & 0 & 0.851 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{41}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-0.945 & 0 & 0 & 0.328 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0.328 & 0 & 0 & 0.945
\end{array}\right) \\
& G_{32}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 2. Beam-forming network for $\boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{9}$.
From the inverse transform of this relationship we can obtain the required representation for $Q_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{2} & =\left(G_{41}^{2} G_{31}^{2} G_{21}^{2} G_{43}^{2} G_{42}^{2} G_{32}^{2}\right)^{T} \\
& =G_{32}^{2 T} G_{42}^{2 T} G_{43}^{2 T} G_{21}^{2 T} G_{31}^{2 T} G_{41}^{2 T} \\
& =G_{32}^{2} G_{42}^{2} G_{43}^{2} G_{21}^{2} G_{31}^{2} G_{41}^{2} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

For matrix $Q_{2}$, five $180^{\circ}$ hybrids are required. As matrix $G_{32}^{2}$ is a permutation matrix, input ports of 2 and 3 are directly connected to the output ports of 3 and 2, respectively.

TABLE 2. Number of required hybrids for $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, and $Q$ for $N=9$.

| Ref. | Number of required hybrids for |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Q_{1}$ | $Q_{2}$ | $Q$ |
| Optimal method | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| $[14]$ | 8 | 5 | 13 |

Thus, for the orthogonal BFN with 9 inputs and 9 outputs, twenty $180^{\circ}$ hybrids and four $90^{\circ}$ phase shifters are required by the proposed synthesis.

## V. VERIFICATION AND COMPARISON

In order to validate the method, the Keysight Advanced Design System (ADS) simulator is used to simulate the proposed BFN obtained in Section IV. The full scheme of the device for $N=9$ is shown in Fig. 2. All the components are ideal.

Simulated results demonstrate the correctness of the proposed optimal solution. The simulated results in Table 1 show that the signal injected into one of the nine input ports is divided and transferred to the nine outputs with equal amplitude. The signals outputting from the nine output ports have constant phase difference, i.e., their phases are $0, \pm 40^{\circ}$, $\pm 80^{\circ}, \pm 120^{\circ}$, and $\pm 160^{\circ}$, respectively.

The number of the required hybrids for $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, and $Q$ of our work and [14] for $N=9$ is summarized in Table 2. Seven hybrids and five hybrids are required using optimal method for matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, respectively. In [14], eight hybrids and five hybrids are required for matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, respectively. It is noted that our proposed method can find the better solution that uses one less hybrid than that of [14] for $N=9$.

## VI. CONCLUSION

An improved method is presented to synthesize orthogonal BFNs for any beam number using Givens transformation. It reduces the components compared with method proposed in [14]. The procedure is illustrated using examples of synthesizing orthogonal BFN with 9 inputs and 9 outputs. As the BFN ports increase, the more hybrids are reduced. It is worth noting that as the size of matrix becomes larger, computer algorithm is needed. On the other hand, considering the power ratio of the hybrids and crossovers, it is important to find out the solution that is easier to be fabricated. Based on the synthesis method, optimal algorithm is going to be studied in the future.
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