

Methods to identify the unexplored diversity of microbial exopolysaccharides

Broder Rühmann, Jochen Schmid and Volker Sieber*

Chemistry of Biogenic Resources (Chair), Technische Universität München, Straubing, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Belinda Ferrari,
University of New South Wales,
Australia

Reviewed by:

Christopher L. Hemme,
University of Oklahoma, USA
Yu-Tzu Huang,
Chung Yuan Christian University,
Taiwan

*Correspondence:

Volker Sieber,
Chemistry of Biogenic Resources
(Chair), Technische Universität
München, Schulgasse 16,
94315 Straubing, Germany
sieber@tum.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Microbiotechnology, Ecotoxicology
and Bioremediation,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 26 March 2015

Accepted: 22 May 2015

Published: 09 June 2015

Citation:

Rühmann B, Schmid J and Sieber V
(2015) Methods to identify
the unexplored diversity of microbial
exopolysaccharides.
Front. Microbiol. 6:565.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00565

Microbial exopolysaccharides (EPS) are a structurally very diverse class of molecules. A number of them have found their application in rather diverging fields that extend from medicine, food, and cosmetics on the one side to construction, drilling, and chemical industry on the other side. The analysis of microbial strains for their competence in polysaccharide production has therefore been a major issue in the past, especially in the search for new polysaccharide variants among natural strain isolates. Concerning the fact that nearly all microbes carry the genetic equipment for the production of polysaccharides under specific conditions, the naturally provided EPS portfolio seems to be still massively underexplored. Therefore, there is a need for high throughput screening techniques capable of identifying novel variants of bacterial EPS with properties superior to the already described ones, or even totally new ones. A great variety of different techniques has been used in screening approaches for identifying microorganisms that are producing EPS in substantial amounts. Mucoid growth is often the method of choice for visual identification of EPS producing strains. Depending on the thickening characteristics of the polysaccharide, observation of viscosity in culture broth can also be an option to evaluate EPS production. Precipitation with different alcohols represents a common detection, isolation, and purification method for many EPS. A more quantitative approach is found in the total carbohydrate content analysis, normally determined, e.g., by phenol-sulfuric-acid-method. In addition, recently a new and reliable method for the detailed analysis of the monomeric composition and the presence of rare sugars and sugar substitutions has become available, which could give a first hint of the polymer structure of unknown EPS. This minireview will compare available methods and novel techniques and discuss their benefits and disadvantages.

Keywords: polysaccharide, screening, high throughput, carbohydrate fingerprint, colorimetric assays

Introduction

The global production of bacterial polymers is increasing rapidly, caused by the growing demand for biobased polymers. The natural variety of different exopolysaccharides (EPS) with specific properties has a huge potential for industrial utilization. Based on the Bacterial Carbohydrate Structure Data Base (Toukach et al., 2007) ca. Four hundred different EPS variants with different chemical structures have been published, of which some can be linked to specific strains or genera. Additionally many reports can be found, which describe microbes to be capable of producing EPS, without giving structural information. This impressively demonstrates the

high diversity of naturally available EPS and the capacity for new variants to be of technical and commercial interest. Especially the growing demand of sustainable products further increases the need for the replacement of petro-based polymers such as polyacrylates or polyvinyl alcohol. An example of one of those new products is the biobased lubricant Berufluid®. Furthermore, in fields such as medicine (Colegrove, 1983; Costerton et al., 1999), cosmetics (Thibodeau, 2005; Prajapati et al., 2013), water treatment (Srinivasan, 2013), agriculture (Colegrove, 1983), enhanced oil recovery (Rau and Brandt, 1994), and construction chemistry (Schmidt et al., 2013) new and innovative EPS variants are used. In order to trap the full potential of EPS fast and reliable screening methods are important to identify novel EPS with innovative properties to enhance the field of applications. Here we describe the most common and publicly available screening approaches that have been used including the different methods for identification of EPS on which they are based and discuss their advantages and disadvantages (Table 1) as well as their compatibility for high-throughput (HT).

Screening Approaches for Solid Media

Detection of EPS Producing Phenotypes

Exopolysaccharides producers can be identified by their phenotypes on solid as well as liquid media. This technique is the most prevalent method to date and has been successfully used within the past several years to identify bacteria that are used for EPS production today. Generally, the terms “ropy,” “mucoid,” and “slimy” are used for this visual characterization. “Ropy” in liquid cultures is characterized via high resistance to flow through serological pipettes as well as via formation of viscous strands during “free fall” from the pipette tip (Vedamuthu and Neville, 1986). Furthermore, “ropy” colonies form long filaments when extended with an inoculation loop (Dierksen et al., 1997). The “mucoid” colonies have a glistening and slimy appearance on agar plates and do not form a filament during this process. One successful example for a screening via “mucoid” and “slimy” morphology was performed by Ortega-Morales et al. (2007) for a prescreening. Biomass of positive, “mucoid” and “slimy” strains was then scraped from the agar plate surface and diluted, before cells were removed and EPS was precipitated. The problem of this method is that it leads to false negative strains, which are excluded. After dissolving the precipitate the total carbohydrate content was determined via phenol-sulfuric-acid-method. The advantage of this screening method is that it can be easily performed without the need of any special equipment. The weakness of the method is that strain selection via colony morphology occurs by human interpretation and can hardly be standardized. Novel interesting polymers might not be detected due to a missing obvious slime formation. Ruas-Madiedo and De Los Reyes-Gavilán (2005) also pointed out that the nomenclature used to describe the different EPS producing phenotypes of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) can be confusing. The terms “ropy,” “mucoid” and “slimy” have been used indistinctly in literature, without any consequence and therefore lack of a clear definition.

A good way to evaluate mucoid and slimy colonies is the comparison of colony morphology between induced and non-induced EPS production. This can be efficiently used for strains showing extracellular sucrose activity, which is known to be inducible and strongly substrate dependent. Therefore, sucrose and raffinose as supplemented to the agar plates induce glucan- and fructansucrase activity of the EPS producers. Malik et al. (2009) screened 63 LAB-strains with this method and identified 29 isolates, from which 18 were randomly selected and proven via PCR to carry sucrose genes by use of degenerated primers. Tallgren et al. (1999) screened 600 strains for mucoid and slimy phenotypes and identified 170 interesting strains. Of these they only selected 10% (randomly chosen 17 strains) for further characterization of the monomeric composition, since no fast and reliable HT-screening methods for the determination of the monomeric composition of the produced polymers was available.

Agar-Plates with Dyes

Some dyes are known to interact with polysaccharides with different specificities. This phenomenon can be used to identify different EPS-producers by a fast and easy agar plate based screening approach. Aniline Blue fluorochrome (Sinofluor) for example shows an intense fluorescence when bound to β -(1-3)-glucans. Additionally, the relative fluorescence with different types of other polysaccharides is well studied (Evans et al., 1984). Ma and Yin (2011) screened for EPS producing bacteria from different environments on LB-agar-plates supplemented with aniline blue. They identified 89 EPS producing strains and selected eight of them for further physiological, biochemical, and genetic analysis. The same technique, but with a different dye, was successfully utilized for the identification of EPS-defective mutants of *Rhizobium meliloti* (Leigh et al., 1985). Calcofluor White binds to succinoglycan as well as pure β -(1-3)- and β -(1-4)-glucans and exhibits a blue-green fluorescence when irradiated by long-wave UV light. Thereby, fluorescence negative colonies can easily be identified on agar-plates. This makes this method additionally suitable for a fast screening and characterization of a large number of mutagenized strains. Furthermore, there exist several dyes for various applications. Congo Red, for example, is known to interact with β -(1-3)- and β -(1-4)-glucans (Wood and Fulcher, 1978) and was successfully used to identify biofilm formation by different *Staphylococci* strains (Darwish and Asfour, 2013).

The use of dyes can be very useful when working with one or two defined polymers or if the screening targets a specific class of polysaccharide, e.g., β -(1-3)-glucan. However, screening for novel polymers containing different sugars, uronic acids as well as deoxy- and amino-sugars cannot be performed by use of these dyes, since interactions of the dyes to new EPS are unpredictable rendering this technique useless to identify novel EPS variants.

Screening Approaches for Liquid Media

Precipitation

When screening approaches are carried out in liquid media instead of solid media different techniques for EPS identification

TABLE 1 | Overview and description of different screening approaches including benefits and disadvantages.

Method/Reference	Example of Screening Approach/Description	Pros and Cons
Detection of exopolysaccharides (EPS) producing phenotypes		
Colony morphology Ortega-Morales et al. (2007)	<i>Screening of 34 strains for biofilm formation:</i> – replication of isolates – selection of mucoid (slimy) colonies (indication for their ability to produce exopolymeric substances) – biomass scraped from the agar surface – dissolving and centrifugation – precipitation with two volumes cold ethanol – dissolving and detection via phenol-sulfuric acid method	+ simple experimental setup and low cost + only small amounts needed – preselection via visual observation (mucoid colonies) may result in many false negative – only EPS which precipitate detected – only determination of total carbohydrate content
Colony morphology Tailgren et al. (1999)	<i>Screening of 600 strains for EPS production from sugar beets</i> – first screening round: 170 out of 600 strains detected via slimy colony morphology – 17 randomly chosen isolates – centrifugation of liquid culture – precipitation with two volumes isopropanol – collection of precipitate by centrifugation and freeze dried – hydrolysis: 1N H ₂ SO ₄ for 60 min at 120°C – neutralization with 5 M sodium hydroxide – monosaccharide composition: via high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD)	+ preselection: simple experimental setup and low cost + detailed monosaccharide analysis of selected strains – preselection via visual observation (mucoid colonies) may result in many false negatives – randomly chosen isolates for further monosaccharide analysis – only 10% of positive strains were analyzed – not manageable in high throughput
Agar-plate with dyes		
Aniline Blue Ma and Yin (2011)	<i>Screening for EPS producers in different environments</i> – interacts with β -(1-3)-glucans – visual observation of colony color and morphology	+ manageable in high throughput and low cost – fluorochrome Sinofluor is only an impurity in Aniline Blue
Calcofluor White Leigh et al. (1985)	<i>Screening of defective mutants in succinoglycan production:</i> – visual observation under UV-light – Calcofluor-dark mutants are defective in EPS production.	+ manageable in high throughput and low cost + binding of Calcofluor White to succinoglycan and (1-4)- β and (1-3)- β -glucans
Congo Red Darwish and Asfour (2013)	<i>Biofilm formation ability in Staphylococci</i> – interacts with β -(1-3)- and β -(1-4)-glucans – visual observation of colony color and morphology	+ manageable in high throughput and low cost
Precipitation		
Precipitation Van Geel-Schutten et al. (1998)	<i>Screening of 182 LAB strains for EPS production:</i> – centrifugation of liquid culture – precipitation with two volumes cold ethanol, stored overnight at 4°C – collection of precipitate by centrifugation – dissolving in original volume, second precipitation – drying at 55°C and measuring the dry weight – dissolving in original volume – detection via phenol-sulfuric-acid-method and HPAEC-PAD	+ simple experimental setup and low cost + detailed monosaccharide analysis of selected strains – difficult redissolving – limited to EPS that can be precipitated – time consuming
Viscosity		
Culture viscosity Visual observation Garai-lbabe et al. (2010)	<i>Screening of 147 LAB strains for β-glucans:</i> – EPS positive strains showed a ropy liquid culture and deposit formed a long string – identification of the gene (<i>gtf</i>) encoding for β -glucan-synthase – β -glucan agglutination test with <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> type 37-specific antisera – centrifugation of liquid culture – precipitation with two volumes cold acetone and washing – dissolving and detection via phenol-sulfuric acid method – EPS characterization via NMR studies	+ preselection: simple experimental setup and low cost + specific identification of the β -glucan-synthase gene + specific β -glucan immunoprecipitation via antisera + NMR studies of selected EPS – preselection via visual observation may result in many false negative (viscosity) – molecular characterization is time consuming – only EPS which precipitate were detected – only determination of total carbohydrate content
Microhaematocrit capillaries Ricciardi et al. (1997)	– inverting tubes and measuring the time taken by the liquid to reach by gravity the opposite extremity of the tube – precipitation with three volumes cold ethanol – dissolving and detection via phenol-sulfuric acid method	+ simple experimental setup and low cost + only small volume needed – manual handling – only viscous EPS are detected
Carbohydrate screening		
Uronic acid determination with m-hydroxydiphenyl Mojca et al. (2007)	<i>Screening for biofilm formation:</i> – dissolving of washed and dried biofilms – intense vortexing for 2.5 min, 2 min resting – adding reagent solution 1, vortex 45 s	+ manageable in high throughput + fast determination of UA + no interference with neutral sugars

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Method/Reference	Example of Screening Approach/Description	Pros and Cons
Modular exopolysaccharide screening platform Rühmann et al. (2015b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – heating 100°C for 5 min – adding reagent solution 2, vortex – absorbance read at 520 nm after 4 min 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – only detection of UA – no discrimination of UA's – different color development for ManUA, GalUA, GlcUA + combination of different detection systems + detailed carbohydrate fingerprint + high throughput method – only aldoses can be detected as ketoses cannot be derivatized with PMP
	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>HT-Screening of 96-strains per day</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – 96-well cultivation and cell removal – visual observation of viscosity and precipitation assay – 96-well gel-filtration and hydrolysis – PMP-derivatization and UHPLC-UV-ESI-MS analysis 	

are required. Most EPS are highly soluble in aqueous solutions, whereas the solubility can be drastically decreased by using water miscible solvents by extracting water molecules from the hydration shell. Accordingly, for various EPS (xanthan gum, gellan gum, welan gum, diutan gum, succinoglycan, colanic acid) precipitation with alcohols or acetone is a common purification and isolation method (Phillips and Williams, 2000). In the same way it can also be applied for identification of EPS in screening approaches. The efficiency of precipitation of polymers depends on their chemical structure, molecular weight, and the final concentration of polymer and alcohol used for precipitation (Smidsroed and Haug, 1967; Swennen et al., 2005). Most importantly, it has to be taken into account that other biopolymers like DNA, RNA, proteins, and polyglutamat are also precipitating in the same manner (Schmid et al., 2013; Kreyenschulte et al., 2014). The appearance of the precipitate can help to distinguish the different polymers. Polysaccharides usually precipitate as fibers, when alcohols such as ethanol or 2-propanol are used as precipitant. However, this has to be considered with care as some EPS, like, e.g., hyaluronic acid precipitate more in the form of flakes.

Van Geel-Schutten et al. (1998) screened 182 LAB strains in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) medium supplemented with different sugars. After 3 days of incubation at 37°C, the cells were removed from the cultures via centrifugation and EPS were precipitated with cold ethanol. This prescreening identified 60 strains that showed pellet formation. These were dried at 55°C, dissolved in water and the EPS-content was determined by measuring the total carbohydrate content with the phenol-sulfuric-acid-method (Dubois et al., 1956) and a detailed monosaccharide analysis for 17 selected strains was performed. However, one drawback of this method is that not all polysaccharides precipitate under the same conditions with alcohols or acetone (Sutherland, 1990; Azeredo and Oliveira, 1996). This has to be taken into account as limiting factor in the search for novel EPS structures, when only the precipitate is used for further analysis. On the other hand, the precipitation process is fast, easy to handle and at the same time purifies the EPS concerning remaining sugars and salts of the cultivation media (Kumar et al., 2007). This enables a better quantification of the total sugar content of the EPS and the polymer concentration can be increased by using less volume for dissolving the precipitate. This can lead to a higher sensitivity in the subsequent analysis. When

the precipitate is dried after the supernatant is removed, the dry weight of the polymer can be determined to compare the productivity of different strains. However, in combination with HT-screening approaches, determination of the polymer dry weight is not applicable due to the use of multi-well format. Generally, an additional disadvantage of drying the precipitated polymer is an altered dissolving property of dried EPS, leading to inhomogeneous suspensions and therefore, to non-reliable results in subsequent analytics. Xu et al. (2010) screened 60 LAB strains in a similar way, but without drying the precipitate and without performing a detailed monosaccharide analysis. In this screening all LAB's demonstrated their ability to produce EPS in different amounts. Only the strain showing the highest productivity was selected to be further optimized and characterized.

Taken together, precipitation is a fast and easy way to detect, isolate and purify polysaccharides. However, it is unsuitable for HT. It is difficult to handle precipitation in small volumes and even more though it is challenging to ensure the correct dissolving of the different precipitates (with or without drying) in 96-well format.

Viscosity

Visually observable properties such as viscosity of the liquid culture have also been used for the evaluation of EPS production. A rapid screening for β -glucans based on this technique was performed by Garai-Ibabe et al. (2010). All strains that showed “ropy” liquid culture and had a long string formed by the cell deposit were selected. This criterion was used for a preselection to identify strains from which genes encoding β -glucansynthases could be amplified. However, not all viscous cultures show “ropy” behavior and sometimes there is just no correlation between phenotypic characterization on the one side and viscous behavior in liquid cultures on the other side. Another reliable method is the determination of texture properties for example of LAB via a sensory and rheological screening (Folkenberg et al., 2006). These techniques for LAB and all other bacterial strains are very time consuming, though. Up to now there are no HT-screening applications in this field. Ricciardi et al. (1997) developed a rapid and convenient screening method for viscosity of LAB cultures based on the measurement of efflux time in microhaematocrit capillaries. For the simple experimental setup only small volumes of the samples are needed. However, the manual handling of this approach makes it more difficult to be manageable in HT.

Specific Carbohydrate Screening

One possibility to search for specific carbohydrates is the targeted screening for uronic acids in biofilms (Mojica et al., 2007). This method is based on the method of Blumenkrantz and Asboe-Hansen (1973). The combination of hydrolyzing the sample and the specific color reaction caused by the uronic acids present in the polymer leads to a method capable for HT-applications. Mannuronic-, glucuronic-, and galacturonic-acids show different calibration curves and therefore, can be quantified reliably when only one known uronic acid is present in the biofilm. Discrimination of various uronic acids cannot be performed. However, this method presents a rapid screening for uronic acids in biopolymers without interference of neutral sugars.

To deal with all these issues, Rühmann et al. (2015b) recently developed a EPS screening platform, that combines visual observation of viscosity, and precipitation with a detailed monosaccharide analysis via UHPLC-UV-ESI-MS. All steps are handled in 96-well format, starting from the strain cultivation up to the carbohydrate fingerprint. Cells are removed via centrifugation and filtration steps and polymers are purified by removal of remaining low molecular compounds such as glucose and salts by gel-filtration. An aliquot of the gel-filtrate is then hydrolyzed, derivatized, and analyzed via an optimized HT-PMP method (HT-1-phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone; Rühmann et al., 2014). This method allows the simultaneous analysis of hexoses, pentoses, deoxy, and amino-sugars, uronic acids as well as different sugar modifications in one single run. Double detection via UV- and ESI-MS-detector makes that quantification very reliable. Additionally, the method is also very fast. The carbohydrate fingerprint of 96 different strains can be analyzed within only 1 day. Other detection modules like precipitation and visual observation of viscosity are handled in parallel and therefore, there is not only one screening method causing a preselection. This allows to trap the full potential of various EPS producers. Additionally, the carbohydrate fingerprint enables a targeted screening. The throughput can be even increased by the factor of eight when coupling the detailed carbohydrate fingerprint analysis with a modular automated prescreening system (Rühmann et al., 2015a).

96-Well Colorimetric Carbohydrate Assays

Usually, methods for the determination of the total carbohydrate content are combined with other screening methods as shown in **Table 1**. Mostly they are placed at the end of the screening approach and for that reason will not be applied to all strains. However, to grab the full potential of all the different polysaccharides it would be more suitable to place the determination of total carbohydrate content as first detection system for EPS in order not to produce false negatives. **Table 2** gives an overview of some 96-well micro-titer-plate (MTP) based methods for the rapid analysis of carbohydrate content in parallel. The phenol-sulfuric-acid-method still represents the common procedure for the fast determination of total carbohydrate content of bacterial and plant polysaccharides

(Ortega-Morales et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2010). This method was first described by Dubois et al. (1956) and later adapted to a 96-well application (Masuko et al., 2005). Masuko et al. (2005) optimized it toward a really rapid method (<15 min) with only one heating step and without any mixing step. They reached a linear calibration range from 4.5 to 676 mg/L with a coefficient of determination (R^2) of 0.988. The major disadvantages of this method are the need of a carcinogenic phenol reagent and the incubation in a water bath, what necessitates manual drying of the plate. In addition the method does not provide real quantitative values as the molar extinction coefficient of different sugars varies causing unequal responses of different heteropolysaccharides.

Albalasmeh et al. (2013) developed a non-carcinogenic assay by removing the phenolic component. They also reduced the reaction time to a minimum and eliminated the heating step. By this setup they reduced the error in measurement and developed a more reliable method. This simple approach would be easily transferred to an automated liquid handling station to perform an HT-screening. However, a MTP version of the method was not reported up to now and has to be validated first. Additionally, the lack of phenol eliminates the ability to produce a color reaction and therefore, the UV absorbance at 315 nm strongly interferes with protein and/or flavonoid impurities.

Another non-carcinogenic assay was developed by Laurentin and Edwards (2003). This group used anthrone as reaction chemical, which mainly interacts with hexoses, and shows only slight reactivity with pentoses, or uronic acids. However, the limit of quantification (LOQ) is 10 times lower than for the phenol-sulfuric-acid-method. Furthermore, special equipment as well as many additional and time consuming handling steps are necessary to perform this assay.

Cesaretti et al. (2003) reported an MTP based assay with carbazole to detect uronic acids in glycosaminoglycans. The uronic acids were stabilized with tetraborate and hydrolyzed via sulfuric-acid in the first incubation step. The color reaction caused by carbazole takes place during a second incubation step. The method showed the lowest value for the coefficient of determination (R^2) with 0.975 and at the same time the widest linear calibration range (20–2,000 mg/L) of all methods as summarized in **Table 2**. Another assay for the determination of glycosaminoglycans was reported by Van Den Hoogen et al. (1998). The hydrolysis in the first step of this method is similar to the first step of the carbazole assay. Afterward, the brownish background color reaction caused by neutral sugars was measured at 540 nm. In the second incubation step the color reaction with *m*-hydroxydiphenyl and uronic acids takes place at room temperature and once again the absorbance was measured at 540 nm. The background subtraction enables a robust method where an excess of neutral sugars (up to 20-fold) does not interfere with the determination of the uronic acids. Both described assays are capable for targeted screening approaches for uronic acid containing polymers.

However, when screening for various kinds of polymers only total carbohydrate content methods are appropriate. They are able to detect all kinds of sugars and determine their total content, but are not able to distinguish between

TABLE 2 | Overview and description of different micro-titer based colorimetric assays including benefits and disadvantages.

Colorimetric assay/ Reference	Description	Calibration range/ R^2	Pros and Cons
Phenol-sulfuric-acid Masuko et al. (2005)	50 μ L sample + 150 μ L concentrated sulfuric acid + 30 μ L 5% phenol (w/v) Incubation: 5 min at 90°C in a water bath, cooling down Measurement: at 490 nm	4.5–676 mg/L 0.988 R^2	+ Very fast (<15 min) + No mixing step + One heating step – Carcinogenic – Manual drying of the plates after incubation in the water bath
Sulfuric-acid w/o phenol Albalasmeh et al. (2013)	1 mL sample + 3 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid rapidly mixed in a test tube Shaking: vortex for 30 s Measurement: at 315 nm	10–70 mg/L 0.992 R^2	+ Non-carcinogenic phenol + Reduced measurement time and error + No extra heating step – Not tested for high throughput in micro titer plates – Small linear calibration range – Interference by protein and/or flavonoid impurities
Anthrone-sulfuric-acid Laurentin and Edwards (2003)	40 μ L sample, cover plate with cling film, vortex gently Incubation: 15 min at 4°C (preparing reagent) 100 μ L reagent (2 g/L anthrone in concentrated sulfuric acid), seal with plate sealer, vortex gently Incubation: 3 min at 92°C; 5 min at RT; 15 min at 45°C Measurement: at 630 nm	50–400 mg/L 0.982 R^2	+ Non-carcinogenic + Selective to hexoses – Requirements needed (e.g., cling film, acetat film) – Two heating steps – 11 main steps for preparing the assay – Time consuming preparation (~2.5 h)
Carbazole Cesaretti et al. (2003)	50 μ L sample, 200 μ L (25 mM sodium tetraborate in sulfuric acid) Incubation: 10 min at 100°C in an oven, cooling down 15 min Carefully adding 50 μ L (0,125% carbazole in ethanol) Incubation: 10 min at 100°C in an oven; cooling down Measurement: at 550 nm	20–2,000 mg/L 0.975 R^2	+ Detection of glycosaminoglycans (e.g., heparin, chondroitin and hyaluronic acid) – Two heating steps – Long preparation time (~1 h) – Low interferences with hexoses
m-Hydroxydiphenyl Van Den Hoogen et al. (1998)	40 μ L sample + 200 μ L (120 mM sodium tetraborate in sulfuric acid) Incubation: 1 h at 80°C Measurement: at 540 nm (background) 40 μ L reagent (100 μ L (100 mg/mL m-hydroxydiphenyl in dimethyl sulfoxide) mixed with 4.9 mL 80% (v/v) sulfuric acid just before use) Incubation: 15 min at room temperature Measurement: at 540 nm	12.5–200 mg/L	+ Detection of glycosaminoglycans + Background subtraction + No interference of 20-fold excess of neutral sugar to uronic acids + Lower hydrolysis temperature – Long preparation time (~1.5 h) – Small linear calibration range
Phenol-sulfuric-acid coupled with glucose-assay Rühmann et al. (2015a)	20 μ L gel-filtrate + 180 μ L phenol-sulfuric-acid (30 μ L 5% (w/v) phenol in ddH ₂ O + 150 μ L concentrated sulfuric-acid, mixed before on ice) Shaking: 5 min at 900 rpm Incubation: 35 min at 80°C in an oven; cooling down Measurement: at 480 nm	50–5,000 mg/L 0,999 R^2	+ Background subtraction of remaining glucose after cultivation via glucose assay – One mixing step – Medium preparation time (~1 h)

different monomeric, oligomeric, or polymeric carbohydrates within the samples. Taking this into account, the choice of a suitable cultivation medium is essential to obtain reliable results. Complex media containing oligomeric or polymeric carbohydrate compounds (like, e.g., yeast extract) might lead to falsely increased values. Therefore, these complex media compounds should be avoided. Remaining carbohydrates from the cultivation process can also negatively interfere with the measurement of the EPS content, since often high amounts of sugars are used as C-source for cultivation of EPS producing strains. Therefore, a precipitation step is usually necessary to separate the monomeric and oligomeric material from the polymeric precipitate. After precipitation the dissolved polymer can be applied to further measurements. However, both steps, precipitation and dissolving, are hardly suitable for HT-screening approaches. A different possibility would be to eliminate oligomeric substances in the media, to determine the

remaining monomeric carbohydrates and to subtract this value from the total carbohydrate content. Ruijsenaars et al. (2000) investigated the biodegradability of extracellular polysaccharides and therefore, calculated the EPS content. This was done by measuring the total carbohydrate content via phenol-sulfuric-acid-method and subtraction of the reducing sugar concentration determined with dinitrosalicylic-acid (DNS) method (Miller, 1959). Another group adapted the DNS method toward a 96-well format (Negrulescu et al., 2012). Generally, the determination of reducing sugars is of special interest for the saccharification analysis of biomass and massive research is performed in this field. Whitehead et al. (2012) reported a completely automated analysis of reducing sugars via 3-methyl-2-benzothiazolinone hydrazone (MBTH). Other methods use enzymatic glucose oxidation, coupled with a color reaction to determine the remaining glucose after cultivation (Rühmann et al., 2015a). In combination with the total carbohydrate content this method

enables the selective detection of EPS without precipitation and dissolving. This method is designed for automated handling as well as for manual execution in 96-well format.

Conclusion

Different techniques for EPS screening approaches are available. Identification of EPS via colony morphology, dye based plate-assays, precipitation, and visual evaluation of viscosity are techniques, which do not require special equipment. They all have their own benefits and limitations, which have to be taken into account. During the past few years colorimetric assays have been more and more transferred to 96-well format to determine different sugars in various applications and to increase the throughput. Further development in the carbohydrate analysis via UHPLC and MS technology enables a fast and reliable determination of the carbohydrate fingerprint, which gives a more detailed overview of the monomeric composition.

References

- Albalasmeh, A. A., Berhe, A. A., and Ghezzehei, T. A. (2013). A new method for rapid determination of carbohydrate and total carbon concentrations using UV spectrophotometry. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 97, 253–261. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.04.072
- Azeredo, J., and Oliveira, R. (1996). A new method for precipitating bacterial exopolysaccharides. *Biotechnol. Tech.* 10, 341–344. doi: 10.1007/BF00173251
- Blumenkrantz, N., and Asboe-Hansen, G. (1973). New method for quantitative determination of uronic acids. *Anal. Biochem.* 54, 484–489. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(73)90377-1
- Cesaretti, M., Luppi, E., Maccari, F., and Volpi, N. (2003). A 96-well assay for uronic acid carbazole reaction. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 54, 59–61. doi: 10.1016/S0144-8617(03)00144-9
- Colegrove, G. T. (1983). Agricultural applications of microbial polysaccharides. *Industrial Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev.* 22, 456–460. doi: 10.1021/i300011a014
- Costerton, J. W., Stewart, P. S., and Greenberg, E. P. (1999). Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. *Science* 284, 1318–1322. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5418.1318
- Darwish, S. F., and Asfour, H. A. E. (2013). Investigation of biofilm forming ability in Staphylococci causing bovine mastitis using phenotypic and genotypic assays. *Sci. World J.* 2013, 378492. doi: 10.1155/2013/378492
- Dierksen, K. P., Sandine, W. E., and Trempy, J. E. (1997). Expression of ropy and mucoid phenotypes in *Lactococcus lactis*. *J. Dairy Sci.* 80, 1528–1536. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76082-X
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A., and Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28, 350–356. doi: 10.1021/ac60111a017
- Evans, N. A., Hoyne, P. A., and Stone, B. A. (1984). Characteristics and specificity of the interaction of a fluorochrome from aniline blue (Sirofluor) with polysaccharides. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 4, 215–230. doi: 10.1016/0144-8617(84)90012-2
- Folkenberg, D. M., Dejmeck, P., Skriver, A., Skov Guldager, H., and Ipsen, R. (2006). Sensory and rheological screening of exopolysaccharide producing strains of bacterial yogurt cultures. *Int. Dairy J.* 16, 111–118. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2004.10.013
- Garai-Ibabe, G., Areizaga, J., Aznar, R., Elizaquível, P., Prieto, A., Irastorza, A., et al. (2010). Screening and selection of 2-Branches (1,3)- β -D-glucan producing lactic acid bacteria and exopolysaccharide characterization. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 58, 6149–6156. doi: 10.1021/jf904529q
- Kreyenschulte, D., Krull, R., and Margaritis, A. (2014). Recent advances in microbial biopolymer production and purification. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 34, 1–15. doi: 10.3109/07388551.2012.743501
- Additionally, automatization platforms are available and even further increase the throughput for screening of various strains. However, until now there are no HT-screening approaches available for the determination of the molecular weight distribution and/or rheological properties of microbial polymers. Development of such techniques might be hard to realize, but would drastically enhance our knowledge on microbial EPS. Other techniques, such as NMR, already give further information about the sequence of the repeating unit including linkages in-between these heteropolysaccharides. As soon as they are available in HT this would revolutionize the targeted screening of EPS.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the Technische Universität München within the funding program Open Access Publishing.

- Ruas-Madiedo, P., and De Los Reyes-Gavilán, C. G. (2005). Invited review: methods for the screening, isolation, and characterization of exopolysaccharides produced by lactic acid bacteria. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88, 843–856. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(05)72750-8
- Rühmann, B., Schmid, J., and Sieber, V. (2014). Fast carbohydrate analysis via liquid chromatography coupled with ultra violet and electrospray ionization ion trap detection in 96-well format. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1350, 44–50. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2014.05.014
- Rühmann, B., Schmid, J., and Sieber, V. (2015a). Automated modular high throughput exopolysaccharide screening platform coupled with highly sensitive carbohydrate fingerprint analysis. *J. Visualized Exp.*
- Rühmann, B., Schmid, J., and Sieber, V. (2015b). High throughput exopolysaccharide screening platform: From strain cultivation to monosaccharide composition and carbohydrate fingerprinting in one day. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 122, 212–220. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.12.021
- Ruijsenaars, H. J., Stingle, F., and Hartmans, S. (2000). Biodegradability of food-associated extracellular polysaccharides. *Curr. Microbiol.* 40, 194–199. doi: 10.1007/s002849910039
- Schmid, J., Mueller-Hagen, D., Sieber, V., and Meyer, V. (2013). “Nucleic and protein extraction methods for fungal exopolysaccharide producers,” in *Laboratory Protocols in Fungal Biology*, eds V. K. Gupta, M. G. Tuohy, M. Ayyachamy, K. M. Turner, and A. O’donovan (New York: Springer), 427–434. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-2356-0_39
- Schmidt, W., Brouwers, H. J. H., Kuehne, H.-C., and Meng, B. (2013). The working mechanism of starch and diutan gum in cementitious and limestone dispersions in presence of polycarboxylate ether superplasticizers. *Appl. Rheol.* 23, 52903–52914. doi: 10.3933/ApplRheol-23-52903
- Smidsroed, O., and Haug, A. (1967). Precipitation of acidic polysaccharides by salts in ethanol-water mixtures. *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Lett. Ed.* 16, 1587–1598. doi: 10.1002/polc.5070160335
- Srinivasan, R. (2013). “Natural polysaccharides as treatment agents for wastewater,” in *RSC Green Chemistry Ser.*, eds A. Mishra and J. H. Clark (Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry), 51–81.
- Sutherland, I. W. (1990). *Biotechnology of Microbial Exopolysaccharides*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511525384
- Swennen, K., Courtin, C. M., Van Der Bruggen, B., Vandecasteele, C., and Delcour, J. A. (2005). Ultrafiltration and ethanol precipitation for isolation of arabinoxylooligosaccharides with different structures. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 62, 283–292. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.08.001
- Tallgren, A. H., Airaksinen, U., Von Weissenberg, R., Ojamo, H., Kuusisto, J., and Leisola, M. (1999). Exopolysaccharide-producing bacteria from sugar beets. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 862–864.
- Thibodeau, A. (2005). Protecting the skin from environmental stresses with an exopolysaccharide formulation. *Cosmet. Toiletries* 120, 81–82, 84–90.
- Toukach, P., Joshi, H. J., Ranzinger, R., Knirel, Y., and Von Der Lieth, C.-W. (2007). Sharing of worldwide distributed carbohydrate-related digital resources: online connection of the Bacterial Carbohydrate Structure DataBase and GLYCOSCIENCES.de. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, D280–D286. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl883
- Van Den Hoogen, B. M., Van Weeren, P. R., Lopes-Cardozo, M., Van Golde, L. M. G., Barneveld, A., and Van De Lest, C. H. A. (1998). A microtiter plate assay for the determination of uronic acids. *Anal. Biochem.* 257, 107–111. doi: 10.1006/abio.1997.2538
- Van Geel-Schutten, G. H., Flesch, F., Ten Brink, B., Smith, M. R., and Dijkhuizen, L. (1998). Screening and characterization of *Lactobacillus* strains producing large amounts of exopolysaccharides. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 50, 697–703. doi: 10.1007/s002530051353
- Vedamuthu, E. R., and Neville, J. M. (1986). Involvement of a plasmid in production of ropiness (mucoidness) in milk cultures by *Streptococcus cremoris* MS. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 51, 677–682.
- Whitehead, C., Gomez, L. D., and Mcqueen-Mason, S. J. (2012). The analysis of saccharification in biomass using an automated high-throughput method. *Methods Enzymol.* 510, 37–50. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-415931-0.00003
- Wood, P. J., and Fulcher, R. G. (1978). Interaction of some dyes with cereal β -glucans. *Cereal Chem.* 55, 952–966.
- Xu, R., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Liu, L., and Li, P. (2010). Screening, identification and statistic optimization of a novel exopolysaccharide producing *Lactobacillus paracasei* HCT. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 4, 783–795.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Rühmann, Schmid and Sieber. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.