METRIC SPACES WHICH CANNOT BE ISOMETRICALLY EMBEDDED IN HILBERT SPACE

YANG LU AND ZHANG JING-ZHONG

Let $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ be a planar convex quadrangle with diagonals A_1A_3 and A_2A_4 . Is there a quadrangle $B_1B_2B_3B_4$ in Euclidean space such that $A_1A_3 < B_1B_3$, $A_2A_4 < B_2B_4$ but $A_iA_j > B_iB_j$ for other edges?

The answer is "no". It seems to be obvious but the proof is more difficult. In this paper we shall solve similar more complicated problems by using a higher dimensional geometric inequality which is a generalisation of the well-known Pedoe inequality (*Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 38 (1942), 397-398) and an interesting result by L.M. Blumenthal and B.E. Gillam (*Amer. Math. Monthly* 50 (1943), 181-185).

1. Definitions and main result

DEFINITION 1. Let $G = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ be an (n+2)-tuple in E^n . An edge $A_i A_j$ of G is called "red" or "blue" if there exists uniquely a hyperplane $\pi_{ij}(G)$ containing $G \setminus \{A_i, A_j\}$ such that A_i and A_j lie to the opposite sides or the same side of $\pi_{ij}(G)$, respectively.

Received 8 March 1984.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/84 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

Some edges, of course, may be neither red nor blue.

DEFINITION 2. Let G be an (n+2)-tuple in E^n , (M, d) a semimetric space. A mapping $f: G \rightarrow (M, d)$, satisfying

(i)
$$|A_i - A_j| \le d(f(A_i), f(A_j))$$
 if $A_i A_j$ is a red edge of G ,
(ii) $|A_i - A_j| \ge d(f(A_i), f(A_j))$ if $A_i A_j$ is a blue edge of G ,

and the strict inequality holding at least for one edge red or blue, is called a "skew mapping" of G into (M, d). f(G) is called a "skew image" of G, and G is called a "skew inverse image" of f(G).

The following theorem gives a geometric condition under which a metric space (M, d) cannot be isometrically embedded in Hilbert space.

THEOREM 1. If a metric space (M, d) contains a finite subset R which has a skew inverse image in Euclidean space. then (M, d) cannot be isometrically embedded in Hilbert space l^2 .

We shall prove this assertion in Section 3. Furthermore, its converse theorem is true for separable metric spaces. In fact, the authors have proved in [6] that a separable metric space which cannot be isometrically embedded in ℓ^2 must contain a finite subset which has a skew inverse image in Euclidean space.

The proof [6] of the converse theorem, however, is very long and much more difficult than Theorem 1 itself so we need not repeat it here. The purpose of this note is only to prove Theorem 1 which is sufficient to answer the type of problems analogous to the one posed at the beginning of the present paper.

2. Notations and lemmas

Let $G = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ and $R = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n+2}\}$ be two (n+2)-tuples in E^{n+1} , $a_{ij} = |A_i - A_j|$, $b_{ij} = |B_i - B_j|$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+2)$. By A, B denote the values of the determinants of the following two bordered matrices. respectively:

162

(1)
$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ 1 & & -\frac{1}{2}a_{ij}^2 \\ \vdots & & & \end{vmatrix}$$
, $B = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & -\frac{1}{2}b_{ij}^2 \\ \vdots & & & & 1 \end{vmatrix}$

By A_{ij} and B_{ij} denote the cofactors of $-\frac{1}{2}a_{ij}^2$ in A and $-\frac{1}{2}b_{ij}^2$ in B (i, j = 1, 2, ..., n+2), respectively.

LEMMA 1.

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} a_{ij}^2 B_{ij} \ge 0 , \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} b_{ij}^2 A_{ij} \ge 0 .$$

Proof. If G and R span two non-degenerate simplices in E^{n+1} , denoting by V(G) and V(R) the volumes of G and R, we have ([4], p. 204, Theorem 1, or [5])

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} a_{ij}^2 B_{ij} \ge 2(n+1) \left((n+1)! \right)^2 V(G)^{2/(n+1)} V(R)^{2-2/(n+1)}$$

This is a generalisation of the Neuberg-Pedoe inequality which is the case n = 1 in (3).

It is obvious by continuity that (3) holds still when G or R is degenerate; hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} a_{ij}^2 B_{ij}^2 \ge 0 ,$$

analogously

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} b_{ij}^2 A_{ij} \ge 0 .$$

LEMMA 2. If $G = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ is an (n+2)-tuple in E^n and some cofactor A_{ij} in A is non-vanishing, then A_i and A_j lie to the opposite sides or the same side of the hyperplane $\pi_{ij}(G)$ when $A_{ij} < 0$ or $A_{ij} > 0$.

This lemma is due to Blumenthal and Gillam ([2], p. 183, Theorem 3.1). There are merely a few differences of notation between the two statements.

LEMMA 3. Let $G = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ be an (n+2)-tuple in E^n . If an edge A_iA_j is red or blue, then the corresponding cofactor A_{ij} is non-vanishing.

Proof. We apply the following algebraic identity (4) which is very useful in distance geometry ([1], §41, p. 100). Let D be a symmetric determinant, D_{ii} , D_{jj} and D_{ij} be the corresponding cofactors in D, and D_{ij}^{ii} be the sub-determinant obtained by deleting the *i*th row, the *i*th column, the *j*th row and the *j*th column from D. Then, for $i \neq j$,

(4)
$$D_{ii}D_{jj} - D_{ij}^2 = D \cdot D_{jj}^{ii}$$
.

Now we apply this well-known identity to determinant A. It has been shown ([4], p. 206, (1.10)) that

(5)
$$A = -((n+1)!V(G))^{2}$$

where V(G) denotes the (n+1)=dimensional volume of the simplex spanned by G. Since G is an (n+2)-tuple in E^n this simplex must be degenerate; hence V(G) = 0 and so A = 0. It follows that

$$(6) \qquad \qquad A_{ii}A_{jj} - A_{ij}^2 = 0$$

Suppose $A_{ij} = 0$ for a certain i and a certain j; then either $A_{ii} = 0$ or $A_{jj} = 0$. Hence either A_j or A_i lies in the hyperplane $\pi_{ij}(G)$. (Since, by analogue with (5) we have $A_{ii} = -(n!V(G \setminus \{A_i\}))^2$, $A_{ii} = 0$ implies that the simplex spanned by $G \setminus \{A_i\}$ is degenerate and the points of $G \setminus \{A_i\}$ including A_j lie in the same hyperplane which is just $\pi_{ij}(G)$.)

But, in this case, according to Definition 1, the edge $A_i A_j$ is neither red nor blue, contradicting the hypothesis, and Lemma 3 has been proved.

164

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We use reduction to absurdity. Suppose a metric space (M, d) has been isometrically embedded in l^2 and there exists a finite subset R of M with a skew inverse image G in Euclidean space. From this we conclude that there exists $G = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ in E^n and $R = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n+2}\}$ in l^2 such that

(i) $|A_i - A_j| \le |B_i - B_j|$ if $A_i A_j$ is red, (ii) $|A_i - A_j| \ge |B_i - B_j|$ if $A_i A_j$ is blue,

and the strict inequality holds at least for one edge $A_{i}A_{j}$ red or blue.

Clearly, $G \subset E^n \subset E^{n+1}$ and $R \subset E^{n+1}$ because the widest position occupied by n + 2 points of l^2 is only (n+1)-dimensional. We use the same notation as in Lemma 1: $a_{ij} = |A_i - A_j|$, $b_{ij} = |B_i - B_j|$, and so on.

Since $G \subset E^n$ implies A = 0 (by formula (5)), by simple calculation we have

(7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} a_{ij}^2 A_{ij} = 0 ,$$

and applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} b_{ij}^{2} A_{ij} \ge 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} a_{ij}^{2} A_{ij} ;$$

that is

(8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \left(b_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^2 \right) A_{ij} \ge 0$$

First it is easy to verify that every term of the left side of (8) is non-positive:

when
$$A_{ij} = 0$$
, $\binom{b^2_{ij} - a^2_{ij}}{ij}A_{ij} = 0$ and when $A_{ij} > 0$, by Lemma 2
we know that $A_{i}A_{j}$ is blue and by hypothesis $a_{ij} \ge b_{ij}$, so we

have
$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^2 \end{pmatrix} A_{ij} \leq 0$$
;
when $A_{ij} \leq 0$, $A_i A_j$ is red and by hypothesis $a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$ and
we have $\begin{pmatrix} b_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^2 \end{pmatrix} A_{ij} \leq 0$.

Then, according to the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and Definition 2, there exists at least one red or blue edge $A_{i}A_{j}$ such that $a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}$. By Lemma 3 there exists at least one non-vanishing term of the left side of (8). We obtain

(9)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \left[b_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^2 \right] A_{ij} < 0$$

which contradicts (8). This contradiction shows that (M, d) cannot be isometrically embedded in l^2 and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4. A type of problem involving two metric point sets

Now let us answer the quadrangles problem which was posed at the beginning of the paper. Clearly, the mapping $A_1A_2A_3A_4 \rightarrow B_1B_2B_3B_4$ is a skew mapping. According to Theorem 1, it is not possible to realize such a quadrangle in Euclidean space.

Of course, Theorem 1 may be applied to solve more complicated problem problems. For example: let Ω be a convex 6-faced polyhedron with vertices A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , A_4 , A_5 in E^3 , such that Ω can be dissected into two tetrahedrons $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ and $A_1A_2A_3A_5$. Is there a 5-tuple $\Omega^* = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5\}$ in E^4 such that $A_1A_2 < B_1B_2$, $A_2A_3 < B_2B_3$, $A_3A_1 < B_3B_1$, $A_4A_5 < B_4B_5$ but $A_iA_j > B_iB_j$ for other edges?

It can be seen easily that A_1A_2 , A_2A_3 , A_3A_1 , A_4A_5 are red edges of Ω and other edges of Ω are blue. The mapping $A_1A_2A_3A_4A_5 \rightarrow B_1B_2B_3B_4B_5$, therefore, is a skew mapping. By Theorem 1 we can assert that it is impossible to realize such a 5-tuple Ω^* in E^4 .

There are a variety of conditions, each of which is necessary and sufficient to embed isometrically a metric space in Euclidean or Hilbert

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700001866 Published online by Cambridge University Press

166

space; nevertheless, it is usually difficult to decide practically whether some given metric point set is embeddable or not. Inequalities involving two metric point sets are often of great use for our work.

References

- [1] L.M. Blumenthal, Theory and applications of distance geometry (Chelsea, New York, 1970).
- [2] L.M. Blumenthal and B.E. Gillam, "Distribution of points in n-space", Amer. Math. Monthly 50 (1943), 181-185.
- [3] D. Pedoe, "An inequality for two triangles", Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 38 (1942), 397-398.
- [4] Yang Lu and Zhang Jing-zhong, "A generalisation to several dimensions of the Neuberg-Pedoe inequality, with applications", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 27 (1983), 203-214.
- [5] Yang Lu and Zhang Jing-zhong, "A high-dimensional extension of the Neuberg-Pedoe inequality and its application" (Chinese), Acta Math. Sinica 24 (1981), 401-408.
- [6] Yang Lu and Zhang Jing-zhong, "A geometric criterion of metric embedding and the skew mapping", submitted.

Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui,

The People's Republic of China.