

## METRIZATION OF SPACES WITH COUNTABLE LARGE BASIS DIMENSION

GARY GRUENHAGE AND PHILLIP ZENOR

**With the following results, we generalize known metrization theorems for spaces with large basis dimension 0 i.e., non-archimedean spaces) to the higher dimensions: *Theorem.* If  $X$  is a normal  $\Sigma$ -space with countable large basis dimension, then  $X$  is metrizable. *Theorem.* If  $X$  is a normal  $w\Delta$ -space with countable large basis dimension, then  $X$  is metrizable.**

**I. Introduction.** A collection  $\Gamma$  of subsets of a set  $X$  is said to have *rank 1* if whenever  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are in  $\Gamma$  with  $g_1 \cap g_2 \neq \emptyset$  then  $g_1 \subset g_2$  or  $g_2 \subset g_1$ . According to P. J. Nyikos [13], a topological space  $X$  has *large basis dimension*  $\leq n$  (denoted  $\text{Bad } X \leq n$ ) if  $X$  has a basis which is the union of  $n + 1$  rank 1 collections of open sets.  $X$  has *countable large basis dimension* ( $\text{Bad } X \leq \aleph_0$ ) if  $X$  has a basis which is the union of a countable number of rank 1 collections such that each point of  $X$  has a basis belonging to one of the collections (a property which is automatically true in the finite case).  $\text{Bad } X$  coincides with  $\text{Ind } X$  and  $\dim X$  for metric spaces.

Spaces having large basis dimension 0 are usually called *non-archimedean* spaces. Theorems of Nyikos [11] and A. V. Arhangel'skii [3] show that a non-archimedean space is metrizable if and only if it is a  $\Sigma$ -space or a  $w\Delta$ -space. In this paper we show that these results are valid, under mild assumptions, for the higher dimensions. Our results also improve a result of G. Gruenhage [6], who showed that compact spaces having finite large basis dimension are metrizable.

**II. Main results.** According to Nyikos [11], a *tree of open sets* is a collection  $\Gamma$  of open sets such that if  $g \in \Gamma$ , then the set  $\{g' \in \Gamma \mid g' \supset g\}$  is well-ordered by reverse inclusion; that is,  $g \leq g'$  if and only if  $g \supset g'$ . Nyikos shows that the rank 1 collections for spaces with  $\text{Bad } X \leq \aleph_0$  can be considered as rank 1 trees of open sets. The following fact will be used in our proofs:

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $T$  be a rank 1 tree of open subsets of a regular space  $X$  which contains a basis at each point of a subset  $X'$  of  $X$ . Then if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of  $X'$  by open subsets of  $X$ , there exists a subset  $T'$  of  $T$  such that*

- (i)  $T'$  is a cover of  $X'$ ;
- (ii) the elements of  $T'$  are pairwise disjoint;

(iii)  $t \in T'$  implies that either  $t$  is degenerate or  $\bar{t}$  is a proper subset of some member of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $t$  in  $T'$  if and only if (a) either  $t$  is degenerate or there is a member  $U$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\bar{t}$  is a proper subset of  $U$  and (b) there is no predecessor of  $t$  in  $T$  whose closure is a proper subset of some element of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $T$  contains a basis at each point of  $X'$  and since the predecessors of a given  $t \in T$  are well-ordered, it is easy to see that  $T'$  covers  $X'$ . Further, since  $T$  is a tree, the members of  $T'$  are mutually exclusive.

Nyikos calls a space *basically screenable* if it has a basis which is the union of countably many rank 1 trees of open sets. Every space  $X$  with  $\text{Bad } X \leq \aleph_0$  is basically screenable. Basically screenable spaces are, of course, screenable; that is, every open cover has a  $\sigma$ -pairwise disjoint open refinement. While the following result is known, for the sake of completeness, we include its easy proof:

LEMMA 2. *A screenable countably compact space  $X$  is compact [2].*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{V} = \cup \{ \mathcal{V}_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \}$  be an open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  covering  $X$  such that, for each  $i$ , the members of  $\mathcal{V}_i$  are mutually exclusive. The set  $\{ V_n = \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \}$  is a countable open cover of  $X$ ; hence, there exists a finite subcover  $\{ V_{n_1}, V_{n_2}, \dots, V_{n_k} \}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_{n_1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_{n_k}$  is a point-finite refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus,  $X$  is metacompact and it is well-known that a metacompact countably compact space is compact.

According to C. R. Borges [4], a space  $X$  is a  $w\Delta$ -space if there is a sequence  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots$  of open covers of  $X$  such that whenever  $x \in X$  and  $x_n \in \text{St}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)$  for each  $n$ , then  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  has a cluster point.

THEOREM 1. *If  $X$  is a regular  $w\Delta$ -space with countable large basis dimension, then  $X$  has a point countable basis.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots$  be a sequence of open covers of  $X$  satisfying the properties given in the definition of a  $w\Delta$ -space. Let  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be sequences such that  $X = \bigcup \{ X_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots \}$  and, for each  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a rank 1 tree of open sets containing a basis at each point of  $X_i$ .

For each  $i < \omega_0$  and  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , we construct a collection  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  as follows: let  $\mathcal{B}(i, 1)$  be a collection of mutually exclusive members of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  that refines  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and covers  $X_i$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B}(i, \beta)$  has been defined for  $\beta < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal, applying Lemma 1, let  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  be a collection of mutually

exclusive members of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  such that

- (i) if  $j < \omega_0$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(i, j)$  refines  $\mathcal{C}_j$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  covers  $(\cup \mathcal{B}(i, \alpha - 1)) \cap X_i$ ;

and (iii)  $g \in \mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  implies  $\bar{g}$  is a proper subset of some member of  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha - 1)$ , or  $g$  is degenerate. If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, for each  $x \in X_i$ , let  $B(\alpha, x) = \text{Int}(\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \{g \in \mathcal{B}(i, \beta) \mid x \in g\})$ . Note that if  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $X_i$ , then either  $B(\alpha, x) = B(\alpha, y)$  or  $B(\alpha, x) \cap B(\alpha, y) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha) = \{B(\alpha, x) \mid x \in X_i\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_i^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  is a point countable collection forming a basis for  $X_i$  in  $X$ .

We will say that  $g$  is a *chain in  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$*  if  $g$  is a function from an initial segment of  $\omega_1$  into  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  so that (1)  $g(\alpha) \in \mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  and (2) if  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $g(\beta) \supset g(\alpha)$ . Note that by our construction, if  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $g(\beta) \supset \overline{g(\alpha)}$ . Furthermore, if  $x \in X_i$ , then there is exactly one maximal chain, say  $g$ , such that  $g(\alpha)$  contains  $x$  for every  $\alpha$  in the domain of  $g$ .

*Claim 1.* The domain of each maximal chain in  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  is countable (and so,  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  is point countable in  $X$ ).

*Proof of Claim 1.* Suppose the contrary; i.e., there is a chain, say  $g$ , of length  $\omega_1$ .

Note that  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$  is compact. To prove this, we will only show that  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$  is countably compact; that  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$  is compact will then follow from Lemma 2. To this end, let  $N$  denote a countable subset of  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$ . There is an  $\alpha$  so that  $g(\alpha)$  does not meet  $N$ . In particular then, no point of  $\overline{g(\alpha + 1)}$  is a limit point of  $N$ . Because of property (i), it must be the case that  $N$  has a limit point in  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$ ; and so,  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$  is compact. But,  $\{g(\omega_0 + 1) - \overline{g(\alpha)} \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is an open cover of  $\overline{g(\omega_0 + 1)} - \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$  with no finite subcover, which is a contradiction from which Claim 1 follows.

*Claim 2:*  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  is a basis for  $X_i$  in  $X$ ; in particular, if  $x \in X_i$  and  $g$  is the maximal chain in  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  centered at  $x$ , then  $\{g(\alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ is in the domain of } g\}$  is a local basis for  $x$  in  $X$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* Suppose otherwise. Then there is a point  $x$  of  $X_i$  so that the maximal chain,  $g$ , centered at  $x$  does not yield a basis at  $x$  in  $X$ ; i.e.,  $\{g(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \text{domain of } g\}$  is not a local basis for  $x$  in  $X$ . Since the domain of  $g$  is countable, there is a first  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  not in the domain  $g$ . There is a member  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  so that if  $\alpha < \alpha_0$ , then  $g(\alpha)$  is not a subset of  $B$  but this means that  $B$  is a subset of each  $g(\alpha)$ . Then  $x$  is in the interior of  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \alpha_0} g(\alpha)$ . Thus, by our

construction of  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$ , there is a member of  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  that contains  $x$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $g$  and it follows that  $\{g(\alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ is in the domain of } g\}$  is a local basis for  $x$  in  $X$ .

We now have that  $\bigcup_{i < \omega_0} \mathcal{B}_i^*$  is a point countable basis for  $X$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a cover of the space  $X$  and if  $x \in X$ , then  $C(x, H)$  will denote the set  $\bigcap \{H \in \mathcal{H} \mid x \in H\}$ . According to K. Nagami [9], the space  $X$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space if there is a sequence  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  of locally finite closed covers of such that if  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$  is a sequence with  $x_i \in C(x_0, \mathcal{F}_i)$  for each  $0 < i < \omega_0$ , then  $\{x_i\}$  has a cluster point. The sequence  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  is called a spectral  $\Sigma$ -sequence for  $X$ .

We will, without loss of generality, assume that each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is closed under intersections and, for each  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $X$  is a space with countable large basis dimension such that each uncountable subset of  $X$  has a limit point, then  $X$  is Lindelof.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  has countable large basis dimension,  $X$  is screenable. G. Aquaro [1] has proved that every meta-Lindelof (and thus every screenable) space in which every uncountable set has a limit point is Lindelof.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  is a regular  $\Sigma$ -space with countable large basis dimension then  $X$  has a point countable basis.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  be a sequence of locally finite closed coverings of  $X$  given in the definition of a  $\Sigma$ -space. For each  $n$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be an open cover of  $X$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  intersects only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be sequences such that  $X = \bigcup_{i < \omega_0} X_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a rank 1 tree of open sets which contains a basis for each point of  $X_i$ .

Define  $\mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$ ,  $i < \omega_0$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $\mathcal{B}_i^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{B}(i, \alpha)$  and define chain in  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  as in the proof to Theorem 1.

*Claim 1.* Every chain in  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  is countable.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Suppose otherwise; i.e., suppose that  $g$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  with length  $\omega_1$ . Let  $K = \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{g(\alpha)}$ . Every uncountable of  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$  has a limit point in  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$  for suppose otherwise; that is, suppose that  $H$  is an uncountable subset of  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$  with no limit point in  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$ .

Suppose that there is a point,  $h$ , of  $H$  such that, for each  $n$ ,

$C(h, \mathcal{F}_n)$  intersects infinitely many points of  $H$ . Then there is a countable subset  $N$  of  $H$  with a limit point. Since  $N$  is countable, there is an  $\alpha < \omega_1$  so that  $g(\alpha)$  does not intersect  $N$ . It follows that no point of  $K$  is a limit point of  $N$ . Hence, no point of  $K$  is a limit point of  $N$ ; and so,  $H$  has a limit point in  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$ . This is a contradiction from which it follows that, for each  $h$  in  $H$ , there is an integer  $n(h)$  such that  $C(h, n(h))$  intersects only finitely many members of  $H$ . Thus, there is an  $N$  and an uncountable subset  $H^*$  of  $H$  so that if  $h \in H^*$ , then  $n(h) = N$  and  $\{C(h, F_N) | h \in H^*\}$  is an infinite subcollection of  $\mathcal{F}_N$ , each member of which intersects  $g(N)$ . But,  $g(N)$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(i, N)$  which contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{B}(i, N)$  refines  $\mathcal{E}_N$ . It follows that each uncountable subset of  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$  has a limit point in  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$ ; and so, by Lemma 3,  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$  is Lindelof. But  $\{\overline{g(\omega_0)} - \overline{g(\alpha)} | \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is an open cover of  $\overline{g(\omega_0)} - K$  with no countable subcover which is a contradiction from which Claim 1 follows.

That  $\mathcal{B}_i^*$  contains a basis at each point of  $X_i$  follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $X$  is a normal  $\Sigma$ -space with countable large basis dimension, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* R. E. Hodel has proved that every  $\Sigma$ -space is a  $\beta$ -space [8], and that every  $\beta$ -space is countably metacompact [7]. A screenable countably metacompact space is metacompact. Nagami [10] has shown that a normal screenable metacompact space is paracompact. But a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space with a point-countable base is metrizable [9].

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $X$  is a normal  $w\Delta$ -space with countable large basis dimension, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* As above,  $X$  is normal, screenable, and metacompact (since every  $w\Delta$ -space is a  $\beta$ -space), hence paracompact. But a papacompact  $w\Delta$ -space is an  $M$ -space, hence a  $\Sigma$ -space. Thus  $X$  is metrizable.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Aquaro, *Point-countable open coverings in countably compact spaces*, General, Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra II, Academia, Prague (1966), 39-41.
2. R. Arens and J. Dugundji, *Remark on the concept of compactness*, Portugaliae Math., **9** (1950), 141-143.
3. A. V. Arhangel'skii and V. V. Filippov, *Spaces with bases of finite rank*, Math. USSR Sb., **16** (1972), 147-158.

4. C. J. R. Borges, *On metrizable topological spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **20** (1968), 795-804.
5. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, 1966.
6. G. Gruenhagen, *Large basis dimension and metrizable spaces*, to appear in *Proc. AMS*.
7. R. E. Hodel, *Spaces defined by sequences of open covers which guarantee that certain sequences have cluster points*, *Duke Math. J.*, **39** (1972), 253-263.
8. ———, *Spaces characterized by sequences of covers which guarantee that certain sequences have cluster points*, *Proceedings of the U. of Houston Point Set Topology Conference* (1971), 105-114.
9. K. Nagami,  *$\Sigma$ -spaces*, *Fund. Math.*, **65** (1969), 169-192.
10. ———, *Paracompactness and strong screenability*, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **8** (1955), 83-88.
11. P. J. Nyikos, *Some surprising base properties in topology*, *Studies in topology*, New York, Academic Press, 1975.
12. T. Shiraki,  *$M$ -spaces, their generalization, and metrization theorems*, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Ser. A*, **11** (1971), 57-67.

Received January 22, 1975 and in revised form June 3, 1975.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY