

**∂** Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.11.16.385690

#### Microbial function is related to behavior of an invasive anuran — Source link []

Jia Zhou, Tiffanie M. Nelson, Carlos M. Rodríguez López, Shao J. Zhou ...+3 more authors

Institutions: University of Adelaide, Griffith University, University of Kentucky, Macquarie University ...+1 more institutions

Published on: 17 Nov 2020 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

**Topics:** Cane toad and Holobiont

#### Related papers:

- · Influence of external and internal environmental factors on intestinal microbiota of wild and domestic animals
- · Comparative Gut Microbiota of 59 Neotropical Bird Species
- · Composition and Drivers of Gut Microbial Communities in Arctic-Breeding Shorebirds
- Multi-level comparisons of cloacal, skin, feather and nest-associated microbiota suggest considerable influence of horizontal acquisition on the microbiota assembly of sympatric woodlarks and skylarks
- High-Throughput Sequencing Analysis Reveals Correlations between Host Phylogeny, Gut Microbiota, and Habitat of Wild Frogs from a Mountainous Area



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

# The gut bacteria of an invasive amphibian respond to the dual challenges of range-expansion and parasite attack

- 1
- 2 Jia Zhou<sup>1,6\*</sup>, Tiffanie Maree Nelson<sup>2</sup>, Carlos Rodriguez Lopez<sup>3</sup>, Shao Jia Zhou<sup>1,4</sup>, Georgia

3 Ward-Fear<sup>5</sup>, Katarina C. Stuart<sup>6</sup>, Richard Shine<sup>5</sup>, Lee Ann Rollins<sup>6</sup>

- 4
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Waite Campus, PMB 1 Glen
- 6 Osmond, South Australia, Australia, 5064.
- 7 <sup>2</sup>Queensland Facility for Advanced Bioinformatics, School of Medicine, Menzies Health Institute

8 Queensland, Building G40, Level 9, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, Southport, QLD,

9 Australia, 4215.

- <sup>10</sup> <sup>3</sup>Environmental Epigenetics and Genetics Group, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture,
- 11 Food and Environment, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA, 40546.
- 12 <sup>4</sup>Robinson Research Institute, University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia, 5005
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW, Australia, 2109.
- <sup>6</sup>Ecology & Evolution Research Centre, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences,
- 15 University of New South Wales, Biological Sciences South (E26) UNSW, Kensington, Australia,
- 16 2052
- 17
- 18
- 19 \* Correspondence:
- 20 Jia Zhou
- 21 E-mail: jiazhou0116@gmail.com
- 22 Keywords: microbiome, Cane toad, 16S rRNA, host-parasite

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available available of the preprint of the prep

#### 23 Word count: 6334.

24

#### 25 Abstract

26 Gut bacterial communities influence, and are influenced by, the behaviour and ecology of their hosts. 27 Those interactions have been studied primarily in humans and model organisms, but we need field 28 research to understand the relationship between an organism's gut bacteria and its ecological 29 challenges, such as those imposed by rapid range expansion (as in invasive species) and the presence 30 of host-manipulating parasites. Cane toads (*Rhinella marina*) provide an excellent model system in 31 this respect, because the species' ongoing colonization of Australia has enforced major changes in 32 phenotypic traits (including behaviour), and lungworm parasites (*Rhabdias pseudosphaerocephala*) 33 modify host gut function in ways that enhance the viability of lungworm larvae. We collected female 34 toads from across the species' invasive range and studied their morphology, behaviour, parasite 35 infection status and gut bacterial community. Range-core versus range-edge toads differed in 36 morphology, behaviour, gut bacterial composition and predicted gut bacterial function but did not 37 differ in the occurrence of parasite infection nor in the intensity of infection. Toads infected with 38 lungworms differed from uninfected conspecifics in gut bacterial composition and diversity. Our 39 study demonstrates strong associations between gut bacterial community and host ecology and 40 behaviour.

41

#### 42 Introduction

43 The bacterial community within an organism's intestines can be strongly influenced by host 44 behaviour and ecology, such as habitat selection and diet [1-5]. But that interaction runs both ways 45 because gut bacteria can influence behaviour and ecology of the host. For example, transferring gut 46 contents can modify the recipient host's behaviour (exploratory behaviour, *Mus musculus* [6]; 47 emotional reactivity, *Coturnix japonica* [7]). Similarly, altering gut microbial communities by 48 administering antibiotics or altering dietary composition triggered aggressive behaviour in leaf-49 cutting ants (Acromyrmex echinatior [8]). Remarkably, changes in only a single bacterial species 50 within the gut can affect behaviour of the host (e.g., Drosophila melanogaster [9]; Danio rerio [10]; 51 *M. musculus* [11]). Gut microbiota can also affect mating choices [12] and foraging [13–15].

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available available of the preprint of the prep

52 To date, most evidence for effects of intestinal bacteria on host behaviour comes from studies on 53 humans and "model organisms". To elucidate the functional significance of this phenomenon, we 54 need to extend such studies to free-ranging animals, incorporating a wider range of taxa [16]. 55 Invasive species offer good models for such research, because novel challenges in the invaded range 56 create an opportunity to compare closely-related organisms exposed to profoundly different 57 environments [17,18]. Host-parasite relationships also may be disrupted during biological invasions, 58 due to processes such as "enemy release" (loss of co-evolved native pathogens from the native range 59 [19]). Parasites can manipulate host behaviour and physiology in ways that enhance parasite fitness 60 but reduce host fitness [20]. Thus, if the gut bacterial community provides a mechanism for such 61 effects, parasite-infected individuals should exhibit different gut bacteria than uninfected 62 conspecifics.

63 These ideas suggest two predictions: (i) that the gut bacterial community should differ between 64 populations of an invasive species (e.g., between the range-core and the invasion-front); and (ii) that 65 the gut bacterial community should differ between parasitized hosts and non-parasitized conspecifics. 66 The colonization of Australia by cane toads (Rhinella marina) provides a robust opportunity to test 67 these predictions. Since their release in north-eastern Australia in 1935, toads have dispersed into 68 areas that are much hotter and more seasonally arid than in the native range or the initial release sites 69 [21]: and toads have brought with them a native-range nematode lungworm (*Rhabdias*) 70 pseudosphaerocephala) that can have devastating impacts on host viability, and induces behavioural 71 and physiological changes in the host [22]. Notably, infected toads produce copious watery faeces 72 [22]; hence, we expect lungworm-infected toads to exhibit different gut bacterial communities than 73 uninfected individuals.

74

#### 75 Methodology

#### 76 Study species, sample collection and behavioural assays

77 Cane toads are native to South America, and were introduced into Australia in 1935 as a biocontrol

for pests of sugar cane crops [23]. As the toads spread through tropical Australia, they fatally

79 poisoned many native predators [23]. Toads from range-core populations (eastern Australia) differ

80 from invasion-front conspecifics (in north-western Australia) in phenotypic traits that confer

81 increased dispersal ability, such as endurance [24], limb morphology [25], boldness and exploratory

82 behaviour [17,26]. Toads from the invasion-front also have lower rates of infection of the co-

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available within the available of the preprint of the available of the preprint of the preprint

introduced parasitic lungworm [27]. Drivers of variation in invasion-related behaviours in this
species include genetics, morphology, habitat, diet, prior experience and parasites [17,28–31].
However, the possible role of gut bacteria as a potential driver of behavioural shifts across the
invasive range has not been studied.

87 We hand-captured 60 adult females from three sites on the invasion-front and three sites in the range-88 core (Table S1). We conducted brief behavioural assays upon collection including: (i) struggle score 89 (number of kicks after being captured until toad remains still for 5 seconds) and struggle likelihood; 90 and (ii) righting effort (time to right itself, number of kicks within two minutes after toad is placed on 91 its dorsal side, and righting effort likelihood [17]. These measures are predictive of traits including 92 speed and stamina (K. Stuart, pers. comm.), suggesting that these simple assays may reveal a toad's 93 dispersal potential. We then placed animals into individual, moist, calico bags and weighed, 94 measured (snout urostyle length; SUL) and euthanised them by injecting tricaine methanesulfonate

95 (MS222) buffered with bicarbonate of soda.

96 We dissected the toads and scored the presence of two types of toad parasite: the gut-encysted

97 physalopterine larvae [32] and adult lungworms [22,33]. Lungworm larvae pass through the gut, but

98 are less easily detected and reliably counted than are adult lungworms. From each toad, we removed

99 0.3cm of colon near the cloaca (including gut contents) and preserved it in 95% ethanol (see

100 Supplementary Material for justification of sampling protocols).

101

### 102 Analyses

We compared host morphology and behaviour between regions (range-core *versus* invasion-front), and as a function of infection status (lungworm infected *versus* non-infected). Because body length (SUL) and mass were correlated, we only included SUL as our measure of host morphology in further analyses. We used a t-test to compare mean SUL between regions and infected/non-infected toads. For associations between region or infection status with host characteristics or behavioural traits, we used SUL as a covariate in generalized linear models (GLM). See Supplementary Material for details of statistical analyses.

111 Laboratory methods and data pre-processing for characterizing gut bacterial community composition 112 and predicted functions are described in Supplementary Material. Briefly, we calculated within-113 individual (alpha) bacterial diversity and between-site (beta) bacterial diversity. For the latter 114 variable, we subset our data to include the Core50 gut community (Amplified Sequence Variants 115 (ASVs) present in a minimum of 50% of toads from each site [2]). We predicted bacterial functions 116 and generated pathway abundance based on Core50 ASVs. We compared bacterial composition and 117 predicted function between regions, and between lungworm-infected and non-infected toads. We 118 identified differences in individual ASVs and predicted bacterial functions between range-core and 119 invasion-front toads and identified associations between host characteristics (including infection with 120 parasites) with bacterial communities and predicted bacterial functions. Analyses were conducted in 121 OIIME2 [34], PICRUST2 [35], and R packages in R version 4.0.2 [36].

## 122 **Results**

#### 123 Ecological traits

- 124 Wild-caught invasion-front toads were larger than range-core toads (Tables S2, S3; mean SUL t =
- 125 2.54, df = 53.90, p = 0.014). Neither counts nor presence of parasites (lungworm and gut) differed
- 126 significantly across the range (Table S2). Range-core toads were more likely to struggle (Tables S2,
- 127 S3; p = 0.008, 95% CI: core [-0.174, 0.005], edge [-0.023, 0.199]) and, in those that did struggle, the
- number of struggle movements was higher for range-core toads (Tables S2, S3; p = 0.002, 95% CI:
- 129 core [-0.057, 0.026], edge [0.046, 0.2]). Range-core toads also were more likely to attempt to right
- 130 themselves (p = 0.036, 95% CI: core [-0.092, 0.04], edge [-0.006, 0.19]), but righting effort and
- righting time did not differ significantly between geographic regions (Tables S2, S3).
- 132

Because there were no significant differences in prevalence or intensity of lungworm between the
range-core and invasion-front toads (Table S2), we combined samples to analyse correlates of
lungworm infection. Infected toads were similar in SUL to non-infected toads (Tables S3, 4; t = 0.86,
df = 57.19, p = 0.393), with no significant behavioural differences between the two groups (Table S3,
4).

138

#### 139 Gut bacterial community composition and predicted bacterial function

- 140 Alpha diversity did not differ significantly between regions (Supplementary Material), but beta
- 141 diversity of bacterial taxonomic communities differed between regions (Figures 1A, S1;  $R^2 = 0.050$ ,

142 F = 3.050, p < 0.001) and sampling sites (Table S5; all p-values < 0.001). Among 230 ASVs that 143 were assigned to family level, the abundance of 124 ASVs differed between the colons of range-core 144 *versus* invasion-front toads (Table S6). The number of significantly different ASVs in each phylum 145 were: Bacteroidetes (60 ASVs), Firmicutes (55 ASVs), Proteobacteria (7 ASVs), Actinobacteria (1 146 ASVs), Verrucomicrobia (1 ASV) (Table S6, Figure 2A). 147 148 Among the identified 474 predicted bacterial functions, we found significant differences between invasion-front and range-core toads (Figure 1B;  $R^2 = 0.064$ , F = 4.110, p-value= 0.002). Pairwise 149 150 tests between sampling sites indicated that Kununurra toads had different bacterial functions to 151 Rossville (p-value= 0.009) and Lucinda toads (p-value = 0.046), but no other sites differed 152 functionally from each other (Table S7; all other p-values > 0.05). In total, 84 predicted bacterial 153 functions differed between invasion-front and range-core toads (Table S8, Figure 2B). Range-core 154 toads had more abundant bacterial function in the superpathway of pyrimidine ribonucleosides 155 degradation (log2FoldChange = 5.98) and less abundant bacterial function in phosphopantothenate 156 biosynthesis III (log2FoldChange = -4.98), superpathway of sialic acids and CMP-sialic acids 157 biosynthesis (log2FoldChange = -4.89) and factor 420 biosynthesis (log2FoldChange = -4.72) than 158 did invasion-front toads (Table S8, Figure 2B). Among the 30 most abundant functions, range-core 159 toads had lower bacterial function in urate biosynthesis/inosine 5'-phosphate degradation 160  $(\log 2FoldChange = -0.10)$  than did invasion-front toads (Figure 3, Table S8). 161 162 Associations between ecological traits and intestinal bacteria 163 To assess correlates of gut bacterial composition and function, we compared characteristics of

- 164 individual hosts to bacterial variation. Only the occurrence of lungworms was significantly
- associated with the bacterial composition ( $R^2 = 0.128$ , p = 0.02) (Table 1; Figure S2A, B).
- 166

In a redundancy analysis combining ecological traits measured here, the model that explained the most variation in the bacterial community assemblage included only the occurrence of lungworms (AIC = 178.58). The best model to explain variation in predicted bacterial functions included the likelihood of righting (AIC = 53.613), the occurrence of lungworms (AIC = 54.297) and righting time (AIC = 56.912). The combination of these three factors explained 17.8% of total variation in predicted bacterial functions (Figure 4).

174 In explicit tests of whether bacterial community assemblages differed in infected versus non-infected

- toads, we found a significant association with lungworm occurrence (Table 2, p=0.005). Intensity of
- 176 lungworm infection was not significantly associated with gut bacterial community but did have a
- 177 significant interaction with region in this analysis (Table 2, p=0.04).

#### 178 **Discussion**

Bacteria influence animal behaviour in diverse ways [16,37], but the ecological drivers of variation in
gut bacterial composition remain largely unstudied. Our analyses of cane toads from two regions
within their invasive range documents substantial variation in community assemblage and function of
gut bacteria. Importantly, that variation was associated with two traits that we predicted to influence

183 gut bacterial assemblages: invasion history and parasite infection. Interestingly, toad behaviour

184 differed across the invasive range, and toad righting behaviour was associated with bacterial function

185 but not with parasite infection.

186

#### 187 Geographic divergence in gut bacteria

188 First, we consider the differences in gut bacteria between toads from the invasion-front and the 189 range-core. Although these populations have been separated by less than a century, the toads have 190 diverged remarkably in morphology, physiology and behaviour and much of that divergence is 191 heritable [38,39]. Some of those shifts likely reflect evolutionary pressures for increased rates of 192 dispersal, due to adaptive (natural selection) and non-adaptive (spatial sorting) mechanisms [40,41]. 193 Other geographically variable aspects of toad phenotypes likely are responses to different climatic 194 conditions in the newly-invaded regions (hot, seasonally arid) compared to the range-core (cooler, 195 more equable climate) [42]. Similar geographic divergence has been reported for the microbiome on 196 the toad's skin [43]. Our data illustrate that the invasion of Australia by cane toads has been 197 accompanied by substantial divergence in gut bacterial communities. Alpha diversity in gut bacteria 198 was similar in invasion-front and range-core individuals, but there were differences in both the gut 199 bacteria composition and predicted bacterial function between toad populations across the species' 200 Australian invasive range. Predicted bacterial functions better explained cane toad righting behaviour 201 than did gut bacterial community composition. Intriguingly, similarity between gut bacterial 202 communities between individuals within regions in Australia is related to the similarity of their host's 203 epigenome, and this relationship is strengthened in populations where genetic diversity is lowest, 204 such as on the invasion front [44]. Relationships between gut bacterial communities and their hosts

are complex, and that a clear understanding of these relationships requires careful consideration ofnumerous environmental, host and gut bacterial factors.

207

208 The diversity and composition of bacterial communities differed between range-core and invasion-209 front toads, despite an overall similarity in their dominant phyla and alpha diversity. ASVs in the 210 family Veillonellaceae were higher at the invasion-front (Figure 2A). The abundance of this bacterial 211 family may influence host metabolic regulation. For example, in Brandt's voles (Lasiopodomys 212 brandtii) exposed to colder temperatures, voles which huddled had more Veillonellaceae and more 213 short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) in their intestines than did non-huddling voles [45]. This family 214 produces SCFAs such as propionic acid [46,47], which can increase locomotor activity [48]. The link 215 to host metabolic regulation suggests that invasion-front toads might fuel their invasion in this way 216 [24]. ASVs from another family of SCFA-producing bacteria, Clostridiaceae [49], were also higher 217 in invasion-front toads than those from the range-core. Furthermore, the family Veillonellaceae may 218 be associated with host sociality. A reduction of Veillonellaceae has been observed in children with 219 Autism Spectrum Disorder, often known for desiring social isolation [50]. Higher abundance of 220 Veillonellaceae in invasion-front toads could foster their "bolder" personality, retaining a higher

221 propensity for exploration and risk-taking [26,51].

222 Several other ASVs that differed across the toad's range also may affect behaviour. ASVs from the 223 family Peptococcaceae, more common in invasion-front toads (Figure 2A), are related to host 224 neurotransmitter levels (noradrenaline linking visual awareness to external world events [52]). For 225 example, Peptococcaceae levels in the caecum are positively correlated with noradrenaline levels in 226 mice [53]. ASVs from family Bacillaceae, lower in invasion-front toads (Figure 2A), might be 227 related to host anxiety (e.g., abundant in methamphetamine-treated rats [54], and in exercised versus 228 sedentary mice [55]). Abundant Bacillaceae might induce anxiety-like behaviours, thus intensifying 229 the stress response [54] and decreasing exploratory behaviour in new environments [56]. In 230 summary, invasion-front toads possessed gut bacterial communities that in other studies have been 231 associated with SCFAs production and neurotransmitters. That pattern supports the idea that gut 232 microbes in invasion-front toads may increase locomotor ability, alertness and propensity for 233 exploration and risk-taking. In comparison, range-core toads possessed bacterial taxa that have been 234 associated with anxiety, and a decreased propensity to explore.

235 Geographic variation was less obvious in the predicted bacterial functional groups than in community 236 composition (Figure 1), consistent with the hypothesis that bacterial function is more conservative 237 than taxonomic composition (e.g. in fire salamanders [2]). Different gut microbiota can have similar 238 bacterial functions, increasing resilience and functional stability [2,3,57]. Despite this broad 239 similarity, bacterial functions differed between range-core and invasion-front toads. These 240 differences included those involved in functional pathways related to food sources and metabolism. 241 Invasion-front toads had less bacterial function in the superpathway of pyrimidine ribonucleosides 242 degradation, which provides a nitrogen source for microbes [58] and plays an important role in 243 perturbations in the uridine monophosphate (UMP) biosynthetic pathways. This pathway allows the 244 bacterial cell to sense signals such as starvation, nucleic acid degradation, and availability of 245 exogenous pyrimidines, and to adapt the production of the extracellular matrix to changing 246 environmental conditions [59]. This function might help to explain the disappearance of 247 Verrucomicrobia as a dominant taxon. As for microbe metabolism, invasion-front toads have higher 248 abundance of bacterial functions in factor 420 biosynthesis, critical to bacterial metabolism and 249 mediating important redox transformations involved in bacterial persistence, antibiotic biosynthesis,

250 pro-drug activation, and methanogenesis [60].

251 We also detected geographic variation in bacterial functional pathways that contribute to host health. 252 Invasion-front toads exhibited bacterial functions beneficial to host health and immunity: (i) 253 phosphopantothenate biosynthesis (involved in bacterial production of coenzyme A [61]); and (ii) 254 superpathway of sialic acids biosynthesis (involved in immunity including acting as host receptors 255 and pathogen decoys for viruses and bacteria [62] and especially critical for preventing neural tissue 256 damage [63]). Despite this abundance of health-promoting bacterial functions, these toads may also 257 face health challenges. Invasion-front toad bacteria had a higher abundance of urate biosynthesis 258 function (urate biosynthesis/inosine 5'-phosphate degradation, the only significantly different one out 259 of the top 30 abundant functions), which affects serum urate levels [64]. High levels of urate can result in the formation of needle-like crystals of urate in the joints (gout), perhaps related to severe 260 261 spinal arthritis in invasion-front cane toads [65].

262

### 263 Associations between lungworms and host gut bacteria

Pathogens and parasites impact the composition of the host microbiota and can modify host
behaviour in a manner that improves parasite transmission and survival [66–68]. Lungworms can
affect cane toad locomotor performance and reduce host endurance, curtailing oxygen supply from

267 infected lungs [69]. Lungworms also can alter a cane toad's thermal preference and manipulate the 268 timing and location of defecation, thereby enhancing lungworm egg production and larval survival 269 [22]. Lungworms are reported to lag behind their host on the invasion-front by 2-3 years [27] and to 270 affect righting behaviour (prolongs righting time [70]). In the current study, although we collected 271 invasion-front toads in recently invaded areas, we found no difference in lungworm presence or 272 intensity between the invasion-front versus range-core toads, nor did we find behavioural differences 273 in lungworm-infected versus uninfected toads.

274

275 Infection by parasitic lungworms was associated with differences in gut bacteria. Here, the direction 276 of causation is less ambiguous than is the case for geographic variation in the gut bacteria. It seems 277 unlikely that a toad's bacteria affect its probability of carrying adult lungworms, although bacterial-278 driven differences in habitat selection might create such a link. Instead, we suggest that the presence 279 of lungworms induces a shift in gut bacteria. Consistent with that hypothesis, experimental trials have 280 shown that lungworms modify gastric function in their hosts, changing the volume and consistency 281 of faeces produced in ways that enhance survival of larval lungworms [22]. Shifts in the microbiome 282 inside the gut might be either causes or consequences of that shift in gastric function. Moreover, C. 283 elegans are known to prefer specific bacterial foods [71], suggesting that lungworm larvae may also 284 feed selectively on bacteria in the gut, generating differences in bacterial communities between 285 lungworm-infected toads versus non-infected conspecifics. Additionally, gut bacteria may affect 286 lungworms via microbiome-induced shifts in host immunity [72].

287

#### 288 Associations between host behaviours and gut bacteria

289 Interestingly, behaviours including righting effort likelihood and righting time were associated more 290 closely with predicted gut bacterial functions than with bacterial taxonomic composition. Multiple 291 identified taxa may share the same bacterial function, or one taxon may contribute to multiple 292 bacterial functions, obscuring the relationship between host behaviour and bacterial taxonomic 293 composition. Nonetheless, these relationships we found between gut bacterial function and righting 294 behaviours may be related to toad health and/or rearing conditions. A dampened stress response 295 (lower corticosterone levels) in invasion-front toads [73] could result from higher abundance of 296 bacterial functions beneficial to host health and immunity, especially the superpathway of sialic acids 297 biosynthesis [63]. Further, invasion-front toads are more reluctant to flee in simulated predation trials 298 [74]. Dampened stress responses can be related to more exploratory behaviour [56], and to greater 299 dispersal ability [26]. Rearing conditions also affect righting behaviour [17]. Although manipulative

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available within the available of the preprint of the available of the preprint of the preprint

300 studies are needed to clarify causal relationships between stress responses, proactive behaviours, and 301 gut bacterial functions, our results indicate that host behaviour and gut bacterial functions are related, 302 suggesting that gut bacteria may be an important driver of invasion.

303

304 Our study has identified patterns rather than testing alternative hypotheses about underlying causal 305 processes. To clarify causal mechanisms underlying the geographic divergence in gut bacteria across 306 the toads' Australian range, future studies could use reciprocal transplantation to examine if (and 307 how) their gut bacteria respond to novel environmental conditions. Breeding these animals, and 308 raising their offspring under common-garden conditions, could reveal the degree to which a toad's 309 gut bacteria is driven by host genetics *versus* their rearing conditions [75,76]. To clarify the 310 hypothesis that changes in gut bacteria mediate the ability of lungworm parasites to modify host gut 311 function, we could implant colon contents from infected into uninfected toads. In short, our discovery 312 of strong associations between gut bacteria and important facets of toad ecology provides the opportunity to move to hypothesis-testing experimental studies. 313 314 315 Our research illustrates that during invasion, as a species expands across a novel and variable 316 landscape, a complex relationship between host behaviour, its parasite community, and its microbiome may unfold. A clearer understanding of these relationships and how they influence the 317 318 rate of expansion are key to understanding the role of the holobiont during invasion [77]. Such 319 studies also will advance our understanding of co-evolution and may facilitate innovative approaches 320 to invasive species management. 321 322 **Ethics** 

323 Approved by University of Adelaide Animal Ethics Committee (S-2018-056).

324

# 325 Author Contributions

- 326 Designed research: JZ, TMN, CRL, SJZ, LAR; performed research: JZ, CRL, GWF, KS, LAR;
- analyzed data: JZ, TMN, CRL, SJZ, KS, LAR; drafted manuscript: JZ, RS, TMN, CRL, SJZ, GWF,

328 KS, LAR.

329 Funding

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available review of the comparison of the compari

- 330 This project was supported by the Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment and University of
- 331 Adelaide Graduate Research Scholarship to JZ, and a UNSW Scientia Fellowship to LAR. CMRL is
- 332 partially supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (AFRI Competitive Grant
- 333 1018617 and Hatch Program 1020852).

#### 334 Acknowledgments

- 335 We thank Dr. Alice Russo and Ms. Rita Kurpiewski for field assistance, and Dr. Eve Slavich (UNSW
- 336 Stats Central) for statistical advice.

#### 337 Data Availability Statement

- 338 Supplementary methods and results available online. Code available at:
- 339 <u>https://github.com/jiazhou0116/gut-microbiome-analyses-2.</u> Sequence data are available in NCBI
- 340 Sequence Read Archive (PRJNA670039). Raw ecological data available on Dryad
- 341 (doi:10.5061/dryad.v15dv41tw).

#### 343 **Bibliography**

- Hopkins WA. Amphibians as models for studying environmental change. ILAR J
  2007;48:270–7. doi:10.1093/ilar.48.3.270.
- Bletz MC, Goedbloed DJ, Sanchez E, Reinhardt T, Tebbe CC, Bhuju S, et al. Amphibian gut
   microbiota shifts differentially in community structure but converges on habitat-specific
   predicted functions. Nat Commun 2016;7:13699. doi:10.1038/ncomms13699.
- Huang B-H, Chang C-W, Huang C-W, Gao J, Liao P-C. Composition and functional
   specialists of the gut microbiota of frogs reflect habitat differences and agricultural activity.
   Front Microbiol 2017;8:2670. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02670.
- Scott KP, Gratz SW, Sheridan PO, Flint HJ, Duncan SH. The influence of diet on the gut
   microbiota. Pharmacol Res 2013;69:52–60. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2012.10.020.
- Zmora N, Suez J, Elinav E. You are what you eat: diet, health and the gut microbiota. Nat Rev
   Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;16:35–56. doi:10.1038/s41575-018-0061-2.
- Bercik P, Denou E, Collins J, Jackson W, Lu J, Jury J, et al. The intestinal microbiota affect
   central levels of brain-derived neurotropic factor and behavior in mice. Gastroenterology
   2011;141:599–609, 609.e1. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.04.052.
- Kraimi N, Calandreau L, Zemb O, Germain K, Dupont C, Velge P, et al. Effects of gut
   microbiota transfer on emotional reactivity in Japanese quails (Coturnix japonica). J Exp Biol
   2019;222. doi:10.1242/jeb.202879.
- Teseo S, van Zweden JS, Pontieri L, Kooij PW, Sørensen SJ, Wenseleers T, et al. The scent of
   symbiosis: gut bacteria may affect social interactions in leaf-cutting ants. Anim Behav
   2019;150:239–54. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.12.017.
- Schretter CE, Vielmetter J, Bartos I, Marka Z, Marka S, Argade S, et al. A gut microbial factor
   modulates locomotor behaviour in Drosophila. Nature 2018;563:402–6. doi:10.1038/s41586 018-0634-9.
- Borrelli L, Aceto S, Agnisola C, De Paolo S, Dipineto L, Stilling RM, et al. Probiotic
   modulation of the microbiota-gut-brain axis and behaviour in zebrafish. Sci Rep 2016;6:30046.
   doi:10.1038/srep30046.
- [11] Savignac HM, Kiely B, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Bifidobacteria exert strain-specific effects on stress-related behavior and physiology in BALB/c mice. Neurogastroenterol Motil
   2014;26:1615–27. doi:10.1111/nmo.12427.
- Sharon G, Segal D, Ringo JM, Hefetz A, Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E. Commensal
   bacteria play a role in mating preference of Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
   2010;107:20051–6. doi:10.1073/pnas.1009906107.
- 378 [13] Vogel H, Shukla SP, Engl T, Weiss B, Fischer R, Steiger S, et al. The digestive and defensive

379 basis of carcass utilization by the burying beetle and its microbiota. Nat Commun 2017;8:15186. doi:10.1038/ncomms15186. 380 381 [14] Wong AC-N, Wang Q-P, Morimoto J, Senior AM, Lihoreau M, Neely GG, et al. Gut 382 Microbiota Modifies Olfactory-Guided Microbial Preferences and Foraging Decisions in 383 Drosophila. Curr Biol 2017;27:2397–2404.e4. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.07.022. 384 [15] Jones JC, Fruciano C, Marchant J, Hildebrand F, Forslund S, Bork P, et al. The gut 385 microbiome is associated with behavioural task in honey bees. Insectes Soc 2018;65:419–29. 386 doi:10.1007/s00040-018-0624-9. 387 [16] Davidson GL, Raulo A, Knowles SCL. Identifying Microbiome-Mediated Behaviour in Wild 388 Vertebrates. Trends Ecol Evol (Amst) 2020. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2020.06.014. 389 [17] Stuart KC, Shine R, Brown GP. Proximate mechanisms underlying the rapid modification of 390 phenotypic traits in cane toads ( Rhinella marina ) across their invasive range within Australia. 391 Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 2019;126:68–79. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/bly150. 392 [18] Wagener C, Mohanty NP, Measey J. The gut microbiome facilitates ecological adaptation in 393 an invasive vertebrate. BioRxiv 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.12.10.418954. 394 [19] Colautti RI, Ricciardi A, Grigorovich IA, MacIsaac HJ. Is invasion success explained by the 395 enemy release hypothesis? Ecol Lett 2004;7:721-33. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00616.x. 396 [20] Poulin R. Parasite manipulation of host behavior: an update and frequently asked questions. 397 Advances In The Study Of Behavior, vol. 41, Elsevier; 2010, p. 151-86. doi:10.1016/S0065-398 3454(10)41005-0. 399 [21] Tingley R, Ward-Fear G, Schwarzkopf L, Greenlees MJ, Phillips BL, Brown G, et al. New 400 weapons in the toad toolkit: A review of methods to control and mitigate the biodiversity 401 impacts of invasive cane toads (rhinella marina). Q Rev Biol 2017;92:123-49. 402 doi:10.1086/692167. 403 [22] Finnerty PB, Shine R, Brown GP. Survival of the feces: Does a nematode lungworm adaptively manipulate the behavior of its cane toad host? Ecol Evol 2018;8:4606–18. 404 doi:10.1002/ece3.3870. 405 406 [23] Shine R. The ecological impact of invasive cane toads (Bufo marinus) in Australia. Q Rev Biol 407 2010;85:253-91. doi:10.1086/655116. 408 [24] Llewelyn J, Phillips BL, Alford RA, Schwarzkopf L, Shine R. Locomotor performance in an 409 invasive species: cane toads from the invasion front have greater endurance, but not speed, 410 compared to conspecifics from a long-colonised area. Oecologia 2010;162:343-8. 411 doi:10.1007/s00442-009-1471-1. 412 [25] Hudson CM, Vidal-García M, Murray TG, Shine R. The accelerating anuran: evolution of 413 locomotor performance in cane toads (Rhinella marina, Bufonidae) at an invasion front. Proc R 414 Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci 2020;287:20201964. doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.1964. 415 [26] Gruber J, Brown G, Whiting MJ, Shine R. Geographic divergence in dispersal-related

- 416 behaviour in cane toads from range-front versus range-core populations in Australia.
  417 Behavioral Ecology And 2017.
- 418 [27] Phillips BL, Kelehear C, Pizzatto L, Brown GP, Barton D, Shine R. Parasites and pathogens
  419 lag behind their host during periods of host range advance. Ecology 2010;91:872–81.
  420 doi:10.1890/09-0530.1.
- [28] Child T, Phillips BL, Shine R. Abiotic and biotic influences on the dispersal behavior of
   metamorph cane toads (Bufo marinus) in tropical Australia. J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol
   2008;309:215–24. doi:10.1002/jez.450.
- 424 [29] Selechnik D, Richardson MF, Shine R, DeVore JL, Ducatez S, Rollins LA. Increased adaptive
  425 variation despite reduced overall genetic diversity in a rapidly adapting invader. Front Genet
  426 2019;10:1221. doi:10.3389/fgene.2019.01221.
- 427 [30] Brown GP, Kelehear C, Pizzatto L, Shine R. The impact of lungworm parasites on rates of
  428 dispersal of their anuran host, the invasive cane toad. Biol Invasions 2016;18:103–14.
  429 doi:10.1007/s10530-015-0993-1.
- 430 [31] Hudson CM, Brown GP, Shine R. Evolutionary shifts in anti-predator responses of invasive
  431 cane toads (Rhinella marina). Behav Ecol Sociobiol (Print) 2017;71:134. doi:10.1007/s00265432 017-2367-4.
- 433 [32] Kelehear C, Jones HI. Nematode larvae (order Spirurida) in gastric tissues of Australian
  434 anurans: a comparison between the introduced cane toad and sympatric native frogs. J Wildl
  435 Dis 2010;46:1126–40. doi:10.7589/0090-3558-46.4.1126.
- 436 [33] Baker MR. The free-living and parasitic development of *Rhabdias* spp. (Nematoda:
  437 Rhabdiasidae) in amphibians. Can J Zool 1979;57:161–78. doi:10.1139/z79-014.
- 438 [34] Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet C, Al-Ghalith GA, et al. QIIME 2:
  439 Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible microbiome data science 2018.
  440 doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.27295v1.
- 441 [35] Douglas GM, Maffei VJ, Zaneveld J, Yurgel SN, Brown JR, Taylor CM, et al. PICRUSt2: An
  442 improved and extensible approach for metagenome inference. BioRxiv 2019.
  443 doi:10.1101/672295.
- 444 [36] R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
   445 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2020.
- [37] Davidson GL, Cooke AC, Johnson CN, Quinn JL. The gut microbiome as a driver of
  individual variation in cognition and functional behaviour. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B, Biol Sci
  2018;373. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0286.
- [38] Rollins LA, Richardson MF, Shine R. A genetic perspective on rapid evolution in cane toads
   (Rhinella marina). Mol Ecol 2015;24:2264–76. doi:10.1111/mec.13184.
- [39] Shine R. The impact of an invasive amphibian: the cane toad Rhinella marina. In: Heatwole H,
   Rowley J, editors. Status of Conservation and Decline of Amphibians: Australia, New Zealand,

- 453 and Pacific Islands, Victoria, Australia: CSIRO Publishing; 2018, p. 107–24.
- 454 [40] Shine R, Brown GP, Phillips BL. An evolutionary process that assembles phenotypes through
  455 space rather than through time. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011;108:5708–11.
  456 doi:10.1073/pnas.1018989108.
- 457 [41] Brown GP, Kelehear C, Shine R. The early toad gets the worm: cane toads at an invasion front
  458 benefit from higher prey availability. J Anim Ecol 2013;82:854–62. doi:10.1111/1365459 2656.12048.
- [42] Kosmala GK, Brown GP, Shine R. Thin-skinned invaders: geographic variation in the
  structure of the skin among populations of cane toads (Rhinella marina). Biological Journal of
  the Linnean Society 2020;131:611–21. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/blaa128.
- 463 [43] Weitzman CL, Kaestli M, Gibb K, Brown GP, Shine R, Christian K. Disease exposure and
  464 antifungal bacteria on skin of invasive cane toads, australia. Emerging Infect Dis
  465 2019;25:1770–1. doi:10.3201/eid2509.190386.
- 466 [44] Zhou J, Tesfamicael K, Zhou SJ, Rollins LA, Rodriguez Lopez CM. Genetic similarity
  467 enhances the strength of the relationship between gut bacteria and host DNA methylation.
  468 BioRxiv 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.07.10.451923.
- [45] Zhang X-Y, Sukhchuluun G, Bo T-B, Chi Q-S, Yang J-J, Chen B, et al. Huddling remodels gut
  microbiota to reduce energy requirements in a small mammal species during cold exposure.
  Microbiome 2018;6:103. doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0473-9.
- 472 [46] Louis P, Flint HJ. Formation of propionate and butyrate by the human colonic microbiota.
  473 Environ Microbiol 2017;19:29–41. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13589.
- 474 [47] Gamage HKAH, Tetu SG, Chong RWW, Ashton J, Packer NH, Paulsen IT. Cereal products
  475 derived from wheat, sorghum, rice and oats alter the infant gut microbiota in vitro. Sci Rep
  476 2017;7:14312. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-14707-z.
- 477 [48] Thomas RH, Meeking MM, Mepham JR, Tichenoff L, Possmayer F, Liu S, et al. The enteric
  478 bacterial metabolite propionic acid alters brain and plasma phospholipid molecular species:
  479 further development of a rodent model of autism spectrum disorders. J Neuroinflammation
  480 2012;9:153. doi:10.1186/1742-2094-9-153.
- [49] Hugenholtz F, Davids M, Schwarz J, Müller M, Tomé D, Schaap P, et al. Metatranscriptome
  analysis of the microbial fermentation of dietary milk proteins in the murine gut. PLoS One
  2018;13:e0194066. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0194066.
- 484 [50] Kang D-W, Park JG, Ilhan ZE, Wallstrom G, Labaer J, Adams JB, et al. Reduced incidence of
  485 Prevotella and other fermenters in intestinal microflora of autistic children. PLoS One
  486 2013;8:e68322. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068322.
- 487 [51] González-Bernal E, Brown GP, Shine R. Invasive cane toads: social facilitation depends upon 488 an individual's personality. PLoS One 2014;9:e102880. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102880.
- 489 [52] Gelbard-Sagiv H, Magidov E, Sharon H, Hendler T, Nir Y. Noradrenaline modulates visual

490 perception and late visually evoked activity. Curr Biol 2018;28:2239–2249.e6. 491 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.051. 492 [53] Houlden A, Goldrick M, Brough D, Vizi ES, Lénárt N, Martinecz B, et al. Brain injury induces 493 specific changes in the caecal microbiota of mice via altered autonomic activity and 494 mucoprotein production. Brain Behav Immun 2016;57:10-20. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2016.04.003. 495 [54] Ning T, Gong X, Xie L, Ma B. Gut Microbiota Analysis in Rats with Methamphetamine-496 Induced Conditioned Place Preference. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1620. 497 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01620. 498 [55] Choi JJ, Eum SY, Rampersaud E, Daunert S, Abreu MT, Toborek M. Exercise attenuates 499 PCB-induced changes in the mouse gut microbiome. Environ Health Perspect 2013;121:725-500 30. doi:10.1289/ehp.1306534. 501 [56] Golla A, Østby H, Kermen F. Chronic unpredictable stress induces anxiety-like behaviors in 502 young zebrafish. Sci Rep 2020;10:10339. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-67182-4. 503 [57] Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK, Knight R. Diversity, stability and 504 resilience of the human gut microbiota. Nature 2012;489:220-30. doi:10.1038/nature11550. 505 [58] West TP. Degradation of pyrimidine ribonucleosides by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antonie 506 Van Leeuwenhoek 1996;69:331-5. doi:10.1007/bf00399622. 507 [59] Garavaglia M, Rossi E, Landini P. The pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthetic pathway modulates production of biofilm determinants in Escherichia coli. PLoS One 2012;7:e31252. 508 509 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031252. 510 [60] Bashiri G, Antoney J, Jirgis ENM, Shah MV, Ney B, Copp J, et al. A revised biosynthetic 511 pathway for the cofactor F420 in prokaryotes. Nat Commun 2019;10:1558. 512 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09534-x. [61] Sibon OCM, Strauss E. Coenzyme A: to make it or uptake it? Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 513 514 2016;17:605-6. doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.110. 515 [62] Varki A, Gagneux P. Multifarious roles of sialic acids in immunity. Ann N Y Acad Sci 516 2012;1253:16-36. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06517.x. 517 [63] Liao H, Klaus C, Neumann H. Control of innate immunity by sialic acids in the nervous tissue. 518 Int J Mol Sci 2020;21. doi:10.3390/ijms21155494. 519 [64] Sinnott-Armstrong N, Naqvi S, Rivas MA, Pritchard JK. GWAS of three molecular traits 520 highlights core genes and pathways alongside a highly polygenic background. BioRxiv 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.04.20.051631. 521 522 [65] Brown GP, Shilton C, Phillips BL, Shine R. Invasion, stress, and spinal arthritis in cane toads. 523 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007;104:17698-700. doi:10.1073/pnas.0705057104. 524 [66] House PK, Vyas A, Sapolsky R. Predator cat odors activate sexual arousal pathways in brains 525 of Toxoplasma gondii infected rats. PLoS One 2011;6:e23277.

- 526 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023277.
- 527 [67] Poulin R. Parasite manipulation of host behavior: an updateand frequently asked questions.
  528 Advances In The Study Of Behavior, vol. 41, Elsevier; 2010, p. 151–86. doi:10.1016/S0065529 3454(10)41005-0.
- [68] Gegear RJ, Otterstatter MC, Thomson JD. Bumble-bee foragers infected by a gut parasite have
  an impaired ability to utilize floral information. Proc Biol Sci 2006;273:1073–8.
  doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3423.
- Fizzatto L, Shine R. Lungworm infection modifies cardiac response to exercise in cane toads. J
   Zool 2012;287:150–5. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2012.00898.x.
- Finnerty PB. The physiological and ecological impacts of lungworm infection on invasive cane
   toads. Undergraduate thesis. University of Sydney, 2017.
- 537 [71] Abada EA, Sung H, Dwivedi M, Park B-J, Lee S-K, Ahnn J. C. elegans behavior of preference
  538 choice on bacterial food. Mol Cells 2009;28:209–13. doi:10.1007/s10059-009-0124-x.
- 539 [72] Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and
  540 disease. Cell Res 2020;30:492–506. doi:10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7.
- [73] Brown GP, Kelehear C, Shilton CM, Phillips BL, Shine R. Stress and immunity at the invasion
   front: a comparison across cane toad (*Rhinella marina*) populations. Biological Journal of the
   Linnean Society 2015;116:748–60. doi:10.1111/bij.12623.
- 544 [74] Hudson CM, Brown GP, Shine R. Evolutionary shifts in anti-predator responses of invasive
  545 cane toads (Rhinella marina). Behav Ecol Sociobiol (Print) 2017;71:134. doi:10.1007/s00265546 017-2367-4.
- 547 [75] Zhang C, Zhang M, Wang S, Han R, Cao Y, Hua W, et al. Interactions between gut
  548 microbiota, host genetics and diet relevant to development of metabolic syndromes in mice.
  549 ISME J 2010;4:232–41. doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.112.
- Fan P, Bian B, Teng L, Nelson CD, Driver J, Elzo MA, et al. Host genetic effects upon the
   early gut microbiota in a bovine model with graduated spectrum of genetic variation. ISME J
   2020;14:302–17. doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0529-2.
- [77] Arnaud-Haond S, Aires T, Candeias R, Teixeira SJL, Duarte CM, Valero M, et al. Entangled
   fates of holobiont genomes during invasion: nested bacterial and host diversities in Caulerpa
   taxifolia. Mol Ecol 2017;26:2379–91. doi:10.1111/mec.14030.
- [Preprint] Zhou J, Nelson TM, Rodriguez Lopez C, Zhou SJ, Ward-Fear G, Stuart KC, et al. The gut
   bacteria of an invasive amphibian respond to the dual challenges of range-expansion and
   parasite attack. BioRxiv 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.11.16.385690.
- 559
- 560
- 561

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available review of the comparison of the compari

#### 562 **Tables and Figures**

Table 1a-d. The association between a) single host factors and microbial community, b) single host 563 564 factors and predicted microbial function, c) single behavioural trait and microbial community and d) single behavioural trait and predicted microbial function. Significant p-values denoted by an asterisk.

- 565
- 566

	MDS1	MDS2	r2	Pr(>r)			
a. Host factor/microbial community							
SUL	0.170	0.985	0.071	0.119			
BodyWeight	-0.009	1.000	0.051	0.231			
Lungworms	0.254	-0.967	0.020	0.585			
Occurrence of lungworms	0.453	-0.892	0.128	0.023*			
b. Host factor/microbial function							
SUL	0.680	0.733	0.021	0.556			
BodyWeight	0.610	0.793	0.041	0.311			
Lungworms	-0.791	0.612	0.007	0.839			
Occurrence of lungworms	-0.827	-0.562	0.059	0.187			
c. Behavioural trait/microbial community							
Struggle score	-0.814	0.580	0.044	0.268			
Struggle likelihood	-0.880	-0.474	0.021	0.555			
Righting effort	-0.124	0.992	0.075	0.121			
Righting effort likelihood	0.499	0.867	0.0255	0.474			
Righting time	0.933	0.360	0.0615	0.174			

d. Behavioural trait/microbial function

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available review of the comparison of the compari Struggle score 0.376 0.927 0.051 0.221 Struggle likelihood 0.183 0.983 0.017 0.606 Dialatia 0 571 0 0 1 0 070 0 1 4 1 ffant

Righting time	-0.956	-0.294	0.004	0.894
Righting effort likelihood	0.399	0.917	0.035	0.362
Righting effort	0.571	0.821	0.070	0.141

567

568

Table 2. Association between gut microbiota variation and lungworm occurrence and intensity, based
 on Bray Curtis dissimilarity values for microbial community assemblages.

	Df	SumOfSqs	R2	F	Pr(>F)
Location	1	1.314	0.091	6.026	<0.001***
Lungworm_occurrence	1	0.482	0.033	2.213	0.005**
Lungworms_intensity	1	0.150	0.010	0.688	0.861
Location:Lungworm_occurrence	1	0.331	0.023	1.520	0.075
Location:Lungworm_intensity	1	0.357	0.025	1.638	0.043*
Residual	54	11.774	0.817		
Total	59	14.409	1.000		

Significance codes: 0 '\*\*\*' ≤0.001 '\*\*' ≤0.01 '\*' ≤0.05.

572



![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available available of the second sec

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

585

в

superpathway of pyrimidine ribonucleosides degradationmethyl ketone biosynthesisphosphopantothenate biosynthesis III-L-tryptophan degradation XII (Geobacillus)superpathway of mycolyl-arabinogalactan-peptidoglycan complex biosynthesissyringate degradationmevalonate pathway II (archaea)flavin biosynthesis II (archaea)superpathway of sialic acids and CMP-sialic acids biosynthesischlorosalicylate degradation-2-amino-3-carboxymuconate semialdehyde degradation to 2-oxopentenoate-L-tryptophan degradation to 2-amino-3-carboxymuconate semialdehyde-2-nitrobenzoate degradation Icatechol degradation to 2-oxopent-4-enoate IIfactor 420 biosynthesis-NAD biosynthesis II (from tryptophan)methanogenesis from H2 and CO2creatinine degradation I--10 0 5 10 -5 Log<sub>2</sub> fold change, p.value=0.05

Predicted Functions: range-core vs invasion-front

586

587

Figure 2. Significantly different bacterial taxa and predicted functions between range-core 588 (OLD) and invasion-front (WA) toads' colon. Significant differences were identified between 589 590 locations via differential abundance testing based on a negative binomial distribution. The dots 591 represent the average log-2 fold change (x axis) abundance and positive log<sub>2</sub> fold changes signify 592 increased abundance in range-core, and negative log<sub>2</sub> fold changes display increased abundance in 593 invasion-front. Bacterial taxa (A) were classified to the taxonomic level of family (y axis) and 594 coloured by taxonomic level of phylum. Family name in bracket is proposed taxonomy by 595 Greengenes. Only ASVs that could be matched to a known bacterial family and with a 596 log2FoldChange value higher than 20 or lower than -20 are presented. Predicted functions (B) with a 597 log2FoldChange value higher than 3 or lower than -3 are presented.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.385690; this version posted August 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available available of the preprint of the prep

598

#### 599

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

## 601 Figure 3. Heatmap for top 30 functional group abundance.

602 Heatmap indicates the top 30 functional groups in the intestinal samples from range-core and

603 invasion-front toads. Abundance indicates the raw count of functional groups inferred from

taxonomic 16S sequences using PICRUSt where light blue is high abundance and dark blue is lower

abundance. Functional pathways that differ significantly between range-core and invasion-front toads

are highlighted in bold. Range-core includes Rossville, Croyden, and Lucinda; invasion-front

- 607 includes Kununurra, Old Theda, and Mary Pool.
- 608

- 610
- 611
- 612
- 613

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

614

615

### 616 Figure 4. Main variables that affect predicted function differentiation among individuals. CAP

617 (*capscale*) plot displays the combination of variables that explained the greatest variation in the

618 predicted functions through model selection, using 60 cane toad individuals from the invasion-front

619 (Kununurra, Old Theda, and Mary Pool) and the range-core (Rossville, Croydon, and Lucinda). The

620 final model explained 17.8% of variation in the microbial predicted functions, which includes

fighting effort likelihood (AIC = 53.613), occurrence of lung worms (AIC = 54.297) and righting

622 time (AIC = 56.912) explained the greatest variation.

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

A Microbial community – Bray Curtis

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Microbial predicted functions – Bray Curtis В

Axis.1 [36.5%]

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

Log<sub>2</sub> fold change, p.value=0.05

Family

# А

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Log<sub>2</sub> fold change, p.value=0.05

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

L-valine biosynthesis tRNA charging -CDP-diacylglycerol biosynthesis II gondoate biosynthesis (anaerobic) superpathway of adenosine nucleotides de novo biosynthesis 1 guanosine deoxyribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis II -guanosine ribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis adenosine deoxyribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis II -adenosine ribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis pyruvate fermentation to isobutanol (engineered) adenine and adenosine salvage III superpathway of 5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis superpathway of adenosine nucleotides de novo biosynthesis I-5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis II -5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biósynthesis cis-vaccenate biosynthesis urate biosynthesis/inosine 5'-phosphate degradation -UMP biosynthesis -CDP-diacylglycerol biosynthesis I-L-isoleucine biosynthesis IV -L-isoleucine biosynthesis II pyruvate fermentation to acetate and lactate II -L-lysine biosynthesis VI -L-lysine biosynthesis III superpathway of phospholipid biosynthesis I (bacteria)pentose phosphate pathway (non-oxidative branch)-L-isoleucine biosynthesis I (from threonine)-Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle superpathway of L-aspartate and L-asparagine biosynthesis glycolysis III (from glucose)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

0.00781250

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

CAP1 [14.9%]

CAP2 [2.9%]