

Aberystwyth University

Microbial oxidation as a methane sink beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet

Michaud, Alexander B.; Dore, John E.; Achberger, Amanda M.; Christner, Brent C.; Mitchell, Andrew; Skidmore, Mark L.; Vick-Majors, Trista; Priscu, John C.

Published in: Nature Geoscience

10.1038/ngeo2992

Publication date:

2017

Citation for published version (APA):

Michaud, A. B., Dore, J. E., Achberger, A. M., Christner, B. C., Mitchell, A., Skidmore, M. L., Vick-Majors, T., & Priscu, J. C. (2017). Microbial oxidation as a methane sink beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Nature* Geoscience, 10, 582-586. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2992

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

Download date: 26. Aug. 2022

1 Microbial oxidation as a methane sink beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet 2 Alexander B. Michaud^{1,†,*}, John E. Dore¹, Amanda M. Achberger^{2,‡}, Brent C. Christner^{2,3}, 3 Andrew C. Mitchell⁴, Mark L. Skidmore⁵, Trista J. Vick-Majors^{1,††}, and John C. Priscu^{1,*} 4 5 ¹ Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Montana State University, 6 7 Bozeman, MT, 59717, USA 8 9 ² Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, 70803, USA 10 ³ Department of Microbiology and Cell Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32611, 11 12 USA 13 ⁴ Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, SY23 14 15 3DB, UK 16 ⁵ Department of Earth Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, 59717, USA 17 18 19 *Correspondence to: John C. Priscu, jpriscu@montana.edu or Alexander B. Michaud, 20 abmichaud@gmail.com † Present Address: Center for Geomicrobiology, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, 21 22 8000 Aarhus C, DK [‡] Present Address: Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 23 24 77840, USA †† Present Address: Départment des Sciences Biologiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, 25 26 Case Postale 8888, Succursale Centre-Ville, Montréal, Quebec, Canada H3C 3P8

Summary Paragraph

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Aquatic habitats beneath ice masses contain active microbial ecosystems capable of cycling important greenhouse gases, such as methane (CH₄). Models suggest that a large methane reservoir exists beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, but the quantity, source and fate of such methane remain poorly understood. The availability of O₂ from basal melting of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet provides conditions favorable for aerobic methane oxidation. Here, we present measurements of methane concentration and stable isotopic composition from Subglacial Lake Whillans, which lies beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. We show that sub-ice sheet methane is produced through the biological reduction of carbon dioxide using H₂ This methane pool is subsequently consumed by aerobic, bacterial methane oxidation at the lake sedimentwater interface, a metabolic process supported by the presence of genes involved in methane oxidation. Bacterial oxidation consumes >99% of the methane and represents a significant methane sink, and source of biomass carbon and metabolic energy to the surficial SLW sediments. Our data reveal that aerobic methanotrophy may mitigate the release of methane to the atmosphere during subglacial water drainage to ice sheet margins and during periods of deglaciation.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Main Text

Methane (CH₄) is an important greenhouse gas that affects atmospheric chemistry and radiative balance of Earth. Consequently, understanding its global sources, sinks, and feedbacks within the climate system is of considerable importance¹. The primary pathway for biological CH₄ production in carbon-rich habitats (e.g., bogs, wetlands) is the anaerobic fermentation of simple organic compounds by certain archaea (acetoclastic or methylotrophic methanogenesis²).

An alternative microbial pathway to CH₄ production is the reduction of CO₂ coupled to the oxidation of H₂ (hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis), which is common in anoxic, low sulfate environments such as the methanogenic zone within marine sediments². Conversely, bacterial and archaeal oxidation of CH₄ (aerobic and anaerobic, respectively) to CO₂ is a major pathway that reduces net CH₄ release to the atmosphere³. Anoxic habitats in sediments beneath the Antarctic ice sheet may be globally important sites of biological CH₄ production that could potentially add significant CH₄ to the atmosphere upon subglacial water drainage to the ice sheet margins or deglaciation^{4–6}. However, due to release of oxygen into the subglacial environment from the overlying ice sheet through geothermal heatinduced melting^{7–9}, aerobic methanotrophic activity can ultimately mitigate CH₄ release to the atmosphere. We present the first data on CH₄ concentration and stable isotopic composition, along with genomic data collected from Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW), which lies ~800 m beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS). Collectively, these data reveal the presence of an ecosystem supported, in part, by active microbial transformations of CH₄. Quantity and source of sub ice-sheet CH₄. CH₄ concentration in SLW ranged from 0.024 µM in the lake water to 300 µM in the deepest (39 cm) sediment porewater sample (Fig. 1). Fick's first law was used to compute a flux of 6.8 ± 1.8 (mean \pm SE) mmol CH₄ m⁻² v⁻¹ into the surficial sediment (0-2 cm) of SLW using the concentration gradient in the top 15 cm of sediment and the associated error of the concentration gradient, which includes any potential sampling artifacts. CH₄ in the SLW sediment had an average δ¹³C-CH₄ value of -74.7‰ (range: -77.1 to -70.1%) (Fig. 1) and, together with δ D-CH₄ values (range: -247.6 to -239.3%), reveals that SLW CH₄ is likely produced by hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis¹⁰ (Fig. 2). This

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

conclusion contrasts with previous models suggesting that potential CH₄ reservoirs beneath the

WAIS would be largely formed through acetoclastic methanogenesis⁴. Hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis is common in marine sediments and other environments with low concentrations of old organic carbon, supporting our results from SLW, which also has low organic carbon and acetate (2-14 µM) relative to environments with active acetoclastic methanogenesis 10-13 (Supplementary Fig. 1). CO₂ for hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis can be supplied from microbial respiration or bicarbonate in sediment porewater (2-6 mM)¹⁴, and hydrogen can be generated abiotically from glacially-crushed siliceous bedrock, radiolysis of water, hydrothermal input, or biologically via fermentation^{2,8,15,16}. Attempts to amplify a marker gene for methanogenic archaea (mcrA)^{17,18} from the 0-2, 4-6, 18-20 and 34-36 cm depth intervals within the SLW sediment core were unsuccessful, implying that the abundance of methanogenic archaea was low or below detection. A community analysis of 16S rRNA molecules, which indicates the potentially active fraction of the microbial community 19,20, showed relatives of methanogenic species (i.e., Methanohalophilus levihalophilus) were rare members (0.1%) of the active sediment community at 35 cm depth (Fig. 1B)²¹. The most parsimonious explanation for our concentration profile and molecular microbiological results is the presence of a contemporary or relict CH₄ source that originates from depths below our deepest sample and diffuses towards an aerobic methanotrophic sink at the sediment-water interface. Active aerobic methanotrophy. The low water column CH₄ concentration, relative to the sediment porewater, and the decrease in CH₄ concentration in the upper ~16 cm of sediment

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

the sediment porewater, and the decrease in CH_4 concentration in the upper ~16 cm of sediment indicate that CH_4 oxidation consumes almost all (>99%) of the upwardly diffusing sedimentary CH_4 (Fig. 1A). The four order of magnitude decrease in CH_4 concentration from the surficial sediments to the water column corresponds with a large, positive shift (30.7‰) in the δ^{13} C- CH_4 (Fig. 1A). We used the Rayleigh distillation model to calculate a kinetic isotope fractionation

factor (KIFF) of α = 1.004 associated with the CH₄ oxidation process²². This model assumes a closed system (i.e., no other inputs of CH₄ and measured isotope values are not affected by mixing) and that the only sink for sediment CH₄ is bacterial oxidation. The KIFF calculated for CH₄ oxidation in SLW is within the lower range of those derived from laboratory cultures, but is similar to estimates from field measurements made in cold, marine habitats (α = 1.003 – 1.035)^{22,23}. The observed fractionation in SLW is consistent with near-complete removal of upwardly diffusing sedimentary CH₄ by aerobic CH₄ oxidizing bacteria²³.

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

We amplified the β -subunit of the particulate methane monooxygenase gene (pmoA) found in aerobic CH₄ oxidizing bacteria to further evaluate the functional potential for CH₄ oxidation. Results revealed that pmoA was detectable in the water column and the upper 16 cm of sediment, but not in deeper layers of the core. The presence of pmoA genes is consistent with measured O₂ concentration of 71.9 μM, in SLW lake waters¹, and redox-sensitive trace metal abundance in the sediment core that implies the presence of O₂ to a depth of ~16 cm¹⁴. Thus, the functional potential for aerobic methanotrophy (pmoA gene presence) occurs where both CH₄ and O₂ are available. SLW pmoA sequences were similar (>87% DNA similarity) to Methylobacter tundripaludum, an aerobic CH₄ oxidizing bacterium (Fig. 3). M. tundripaludum was also the closest described and cultured phylogenetic relative (99% rDNA gene sequence similarity) to the putative CH₄ oxidizing taxa recovered from 16S rDNA gene sequence analysis of the SLW microbial community (Fig. 3; OTU 000112)^{7,21}. The pmoA sequences present in SLW were related to pmoA sequences collected from an active CH₄ oxidizing environment at the margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet (Fig. 3)⁵. Although the *pmoA* primer set we used was designed to detect a wide diversity of methanotrophs²⁴, additional putative methanotrophic

genera were detected in the 16S rDNA and rRNA community analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1), but these genera were at least one order of magnitude less abundant than *M. tundripaludum*.

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Aerobic CH₄ oxidizing bacteria are typically members of the Gammaproteobacteria and Alphaproteobacteria²⁵ and further classified into different types based on the substrate affinity of their methane monooxygenase enzyme²⁵. Type Ia Gammaproteobacteria methanotrophs have methane monooxygenase enzymes with low affinity for CH₄ while type II Alphaproteobacteria have enzymes with a high affinity for CH₄²⁶. These type Ia Gammaproteobacteria methanotrophs, particularly *Methylobacter* sp., dominate the active fraction of methanotroph populations in freshwater environments that have high CH_4 ($\mu M - mM$) concentrations and strong CH₄ sources^{25,26}. *M. tundripaludum* possesses a low affinity (type Ia) methane monooxygenase enzyme, is known to be cold-adapted^{24,26}, has been shown to be active at the Greenland Ice Sheet margin⁵ and is responsible for significant CH₄ consumption in a variety of other Arctic habitats^{27–29}. Both the low CH₄ affinity and temperature adaptation of the type Ia Gammaproteobacteria particulate methane monoxygenase enzyme reflect the conditions measured in SLW (-0.5°C and 0.1 to 0.3 mM CH₄: Fig. 1)⁹. Indeed, a community analysis of 16S rRNA molecules showed M. tundripaludum and other methanotrophic taxa were abundant $(\geq 1.0\%)$ in the water column and upper sediments (0-6 cm), with their greatest relative abundance in the surficial sediments (16%; Fig. 1B; Supplementary Fig. 1)²¹. These molecular data, based on pmoA gene sequences and 16S rRNA molecules, indicate that methanotrophs related to M. tundripaludum are abundant and potentially metabolically active near the SLW sediment-water interface, where geochemical data indicate peak methane oxidation.

The role of CH_4 in the subglacial ecosystem. We computed chemical affinity (A_r) for the surficial (0-2 cm) sediment layer to estimate the available biochemical energy from CH_4

oxidation compared to other potential metabolic reactions 30,31 (Fig. 4). O_2 concentration data in the surficial sediment layer are not available, so biochemical reactions were modeled at half (36.5 μ M) and one-tenth (7.3 μ M) of the average SLW water column O_2 concentration. These modeled O_2 concentrations are reasonable given the evidence for O_2 penetration to \sim 16 cm¹⁴. While pyrite and ammonium oxidation are predicted to yield the greatest metabolic energy in the water column³², aerobic CH₄ oxidation is the most exergonic biochemical pathway in the surficial sediment despite the modeled 10-fold reduction in O_2 concentration relative to lake water (A_r^{e-} : 99.9 kJ mol e^{r-1} ; A_r^{kg} : 2.84 J kg H₂O⁻¹) (Fig. 4). The microbial community composition reflects the chemical affinity calculations such that iron, sulfide and ammonium oxidizing taxa are abundant in the water column^{21,32} and aerobic methane oxidizing taxa are abundant and active in the surficial sediment (Fig. 1). These chemical affinity calculations corroborate the molecular and geochemical data by showing sufficient biochemical energy is present in the SLW surficial sediment to support the abundant methanotroph population (Fig. 4).

We modeled the rate of biological CH₄ consumption in SLW as;

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = (F_{diff} \times A) - (R \times V) \tag{1}$$

where, $\frac{dc}{dt}$ is the change in CH₄ concentration over time, F_{diff} is the diffusional flux into the 0-2 cm surficial sediment, A is the area of SLW, R is the rate of CH₄ consumption, and V is volume of SLW plus the porewater surficial sediment. Assuming steady-state conditions (i.e., $\frac{dc}{dt} = 0$), equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$R = \frac{F_{diff}}{H_L + (H_{SS} \times \varphi)} \tag{2}$$

where, H_L and H_{SS} are the height of the lake and surficial (0-2 cm) sediments, respectively, and φ is the sediment porosity. R equates to 3.0 ± 0.8 mmol CH₄ m⁻³ y⁻¹. The rate of CH₄ removal (R)

is the sum of both CH₄ oxidation (R_{ox}) and incorporation of CH₄ as a carbon source (R_{incorp}) for microbial biomass synthesis. Using the total CH₄ removal rate (R), together with the average fraction of CH₄ (~ 0.5) partitioned to biomass formation for type I methanotrophs³³, reveals that methanotrophs may oxidize 1.5 mmol CH₄ m⁻³ y⁻¹ to CO₂ (R_{ox}) and assimilate 1.5 mmol CH₄ m⁻³ y⁻¹ (R_{incorp}) as a biosynthetic carbon source (Supplementary Table 1). Given 0.5 as a biomass partitioning factor, the rate of aerobic CH₄ oxidation would be 10 to 100-fold lower than aerobic CH₄ oxidation measured in cold (~4°C), surficial marine sediments and deep sea, CH₄ seeps^{34,35}. The biomass partitioning factor can vary from 0.06 to 0.7 in lakes with active methanotrophy³⁶. When we account for this potential variability in the biomass partitioning factor and the uncertainty in the CH₄ flux, R_{ox} and R_{incorp} vary by an order of magnitude; the range of R_{incorp} is $0.14 - 3.0 \text{ mmol CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ y}^{-1}$ and the R_{ox} is $0.52 - 3.6 \text{ mmol CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ y}^{-1}$ (Supplementary Table 1). It is important to note that R_{ox} and R_{incorp} are inversely related (Supplementary Table 1). While the overall rate of oxidation may be low compared to marine sediment methanotrophy, if the formation of biomass due to CH₄ oxidation occurred solely in the surficial SLW sediment porewaters, where molecular data indicate peak active methanotroph abundance (Fig. 1B), the biosynthetic rate would be 26.2 ng C (L porewater)⁻¹ d⁻¹ (range: 2.3 – 51 ng C (L porewater)⁻¹ d⁻¹ ¹; Supplementary Table 1). This modeled biomass C production rate via sedimentary methanotrophy is nearly equivalent (80%; range: 7 - 155%) to measured rates of chemoautotrophic biomass C production (32.9 ng C L⁻¹ d⁻¹) within the SLW water column⁷. These results indicate that CH₄, as modeled, is an important carbon and energy source for the SLW sediment microbial community. The O₂ demand derived from the modeled CH₄ removal rate (R) is 6.1 x 10⁵ mol O₂ y⁻¹,

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

using 0.5 as the biomass partitioning factor. Methanotrophy in SLW is responsible for

consuming \sim 16% (range: 10 – 24%; Supplementary Table 1) of the O_2 supply to the SLW ecosystem ecosystem³². Thus, the impact of oxygen demand due to CH_4 oxidation in the SLW ecosystem depends on the balance between methanotroph growth and energy requirements. Despite a potentially large range in the biomass partitioning factor, these calculations show that O_2 released from basal melting of the overlying ice sheet fuels an abundant and active population of methanotrophs in the lake. Saturated sediments at SLW are similar in nature to those found beneath other ice streams of the Siple coast region (e.g., ref. 8) and basal ice melt is extensive beneath the WAIS^{37,38}, which may produce extensive oxic subglacial aquatic habitats, conducive to cosmopolitan populations of methanotrophs that convert CH_4 to CO_2 and biomass.

Our data reveal that hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis is the main pathway of CH₄ formation beneath SLW and that CH₄ is utilized by aerobic methanotrophic bacteria. Contrary to previous predictions which suggested the potential significance of subglacial CH₄ fluxes to the atmosphere (e.g., ref. 4), our CH₄ measurements and flux calculations show that aerobic methanotrophic bacteria in SLW convert most (>99%) of the sedimentary CH₄ efflux to CO₂ and biomass. The bacterial conversion of CH₄ to CO₂ beneath the WAIS reduces the warming potential of subglacial gases³⁹ that may be released to downstream ice sheet margin environments and to the atmosphere during episodes of ice sheet retreat. Given the potential for widespread hydrogenotrophic CH₄ production in sediments beneath ice sheets, such as the WAIS, and the release of O₂ due to melting at the ice sheet base^{9,37,38}, biological transformations of CH₄ may be significant for the functioning and persistence of deep microbial life and biogeochemical processes in Antarctic sub-ice environments.

207 References

- 208 1. Kirschke, S. et al. Three decades of global methane sources and sinks. Nat. Geosci. 6,
- 209 813–823 (2013).
- 210 2. Thauer, R. K., Kaster, A.-K., Seedorf, H., Buckel, W. & Hedderich, R. Methanogenic
- archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**,
- 212 579–91 (2008).
- 213 3. Conrad, R. The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial
- processes involved. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **1**, 285–92 (2009).
- Wadham, J. L. et al. Potential methane reservoirs beneath Antarctica. Nature 488, 633–
- 216 637 (2012).
- 5. Dieser, M. et al. Molecular and biogeochemical evidence for methane cycling beneath the
- western margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *ISME J.* **8,** 2305–2316 (2014).
- Wadham, J. L. et al. The potential role of the Antarctic Ice Sheet in global biogeochemical
- 220 cycles. *Earth Environ. Sci. Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **104,** 55–67 (2013).
- 7. Christner, B. C. et al. A microbial ecosystem beneath the West Antarctic ice sheet. *Nature*
- **512,** 310–313 (2014).
- 223 8. Skidmore, M. in Antarctic Subglacial Environments, Geophysical Monograph Series (eds.
- Siegert, M. J., Kennicutt II, M. C. & Bindschandler, R. A.) 61–81 (American Geophysical
- 225 Union, 2011).
- 226 9. Fisher, A. T., Mankoff, K. D., Tulaczyk, S. M. & Tyler, S. W. High geothermal heat flux
- measured below the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. Sci. Reports 1, 1–9 (2015).
- 228 10. Whiticar, M. J. Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and
- oxidation of methane. *Chem. Geol.* **161,** 291–314 (1999).

- 230 11. Coleman, D. D., Liu, C.-L. & Riley, K. M. Microbial methane in the shallow Paleozoic
- sediments and glacial deposits of Illinois, U.S.A. *Chem. Geol.* **71**, 23–40 (1988).
- 232 12. Conrad, R. in Advances in Agronomy (ed. Sparks, S.) 1–63 (Elsevier, 2007).
- 233 13. Schoell, M. Multiple origins of methane in the Earth. *Chem. Geol.* **71,** 1–10 (1988).
- 234 14. Michaud, A. B. et al. Solute sources and geochemical processes in Subglacial Lake
- Whillans, West Antarctica. *Geology* **44,** 347–350 (2016).
- 236 15. Telling, J. et al. Rock comminution as a source of hydrogen for subglacial ecosystems.
- 237 Nat. Geosci. 8, 851–855 (2015).
- 238 16. Lin, L.-H., Slater, G. F., Sherwood Lollar, B., Lacrampe-Couloume, G. & Onstott, T. C.
- 239 The yield and isotopic composition of radiolytic H₂, a potential energy source for the deep
- subsurface biosphere. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 893–903 (2005).
- 241 17. Matheus Carnevali, P. B. et al. Methane sources in arctic thermokarst lake sediments on
- 242 the North Slope of Alaska. *Geobiology* **13,** 181–197 (2015).
- 243 18. Lever, M. A. et al. Evidence for microbial carbon and sulfur cycling in deeply buried
- ridge flank basalt. *Science*. **339**, 1305–1308 (2013).
- 245 19. Blazewicz, S. J., Barnard, R. L., Daly, R. A. & Firestone, M. K. Evaluating rRNA as an
- indicator of microbial activity in environmental communities: limitations and uses. *ISME*
- *J.* **7,** 2061–2068 (2013).
- 248 20. Jones, S. E. & Lennon, J. T. Dormancy contributes to the maintenance of microbial
- 249 diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad.* **107,** 5881–5886 (2010).
- 250 21. Achberger, A. M. et al. Microbial community structure of Subglacial Lake Whillans, West
- 251 Antarctica. *Front. Microbiol.* **7,** 1–13 (2016).
- 252 22. Grant, N. J. & Whiticar, M. J. Stable carbon isotopic evidence for methane oxidation in

- plumes above Hydrate Ridge, Cascadia Oregon Margin. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 16,
- 254 1–13 (2002).
- 255 23. Coleman, D. D., Risatti, J. B. & Schoell, M. Fractionation of carbon and hydrogen
- isotopes by methane-oxidizing bacteria. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **45**, 1033–1037
- 257 (1981).
- 258 24. McDonald, I. R., Bodrossy, L., Chen, Y. & Murrell, J. C. Molecular ecology techniques
- for the study of aerobic methanotrophs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**, 1305–15 (2008).
- 260 25. Knief, C. Diversity and habitat preferences of cultivated and uncultivated aerobic
- methanotrophic bacteria evaluated based on pmoA as molecular marker. Front. Microbiol.
- **6,** 1346 (2015).
- 263 26. Ho, A. et al. Conceptualizing functional traits and ecological characteristics of methane-
- oxidizing bacteria as life strategies. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **5,** 335–345 (2013).
- 265 27. Martineau, C., Whyte, L. G. & Greer, C. W. Stable isotope probing analysis of the
- 266 diversity and activity of methanotrophic bacteria in soils from the Canadian high Arctic.
- 267 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **76**, 5773–84 (2010).
- 268 28. Graef, C., Hestnes, A. G., Svenning, M. M. & Frenzel, P. The active methanotrophic
- 269 community in a wetland from the High Arctic. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **3,** 466–472
- 270 (2011).
- 27. He, R. et al. Shifts in identity and activity of methanotrophs in Arctic lake sediments in
- response to temperature changes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**, 4715–4723 (2012).
- 273 30. Shock, E. L. *et al.* Quantifying inorganic sources of geochemical energy in hydrothermal
- ecosystems, Yellowstone National Park, USA. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **74,** 4005–4043
- 275 (2010).

- 276 31. Amend, J. P. & Shock, E. L. Energetics of overall metabolic reactions of thermophilic and
- 277 hyperthermophilic Archaea and Bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **25,** 175–243 (2001).
- 278 32. Vick-Majors, T. J. et al. Physiological ecology of microorganisms in Subglacial Lake
- 279 Whillans. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1-16 (2016).
- 280 33. Trimmer, M. et al. Riverbed methanotrophy sustained by high carbon conversion
- 281 efficiency. *ISME J.* **9,** 2304–2314 (2015).
- 282 34. Iversen, N. & Blackburn, T. H. Seasonal rates of methane oxidation in anoxic marine
- 283 sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **41,** 1295–1300 (1981).
- 35. Marlow, J. J. et al. Carbonate-hosted methanotrophy represents an unrecognized methane
- sink in the deep sea. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5094 (2014).
- 286 36. Bastviken, D., Ejlertsson, J., Sundh, I. & Tranvik, L. Methane as a source of carbon and
- energy for lake pelagic food webs. *Ecology* **84,** 969–981 (2003).
- 288 37. Lough, A. C. et al. Seismic detection of an active subglacial magmatic complex in Marie
- 289 Byrd Land, Antarctica. *Nat. Geosci.* **6,** 1031–1035 (2013).
- 38. Beem, L. H., Jezek, K. C. & Van Der Veen, C. J. Basal melt rates beneath Whillans Ice
- 291 Stream, West Antarctica. *J. Glaciol.* **56,** 647–654 (2010).
- 292 39. Yvon-Durocher, G. et al. Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across
- 293 microbial to ecosystem scales. *Nature* **507**, 488–91 (2014).
- 295 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.B.M or J.P.
- 297 Acknowledgments

294

296

298 This study was funded by National Science Foundation – Division of Polar Programs

grants (0838933, 1346250, 1439774 to J.C.P; 0838941 to B.C.C) awarded as part of the Whillans
Ice Stream Subglacial Access Research Drilling (WISSARD) project. We thank the WISSARD
Science Team (see wissard.org for full list of team members) for their assistance in expedition
planning and with collecting and processing samples. Partial support was provided by graduate
fellowships from the NSF-IGERT Program (0654336), Montana Space Grant Consortium and
NSF-Center for Dark Energy Biosphere Investigations (A.B.M); a dissertation grant from the
American Association of University Women (T.J.V-M); a NSF-Graduate Research Fellowship
(A.M.A); and a Sêr Cymru National Research Network for Low Carbon, Energy and the
Environment Grant from the Welsh Government and Higher Education Funding Council for
Wales (A.C.M). We thank R. Scherer and R. Powell for sediment cores. B.B Jørgensen, M.A.
Lever and S. Nielsen provided support and assistance with DNA extraction and pmoA/mcrA
amplification. Logistics were conducted by the 139th Expeditionary Airlift Squadron of the New
York Air National Guard, Kenn Borek Air, and Antarctic Support Contractor, managed by
Lockheed-Martin. Hot-water drill support was provided by University of Nebraska-Lincoln and
directed by F. Rack and D. Duling (chief driller). D. Blythe, J. Burnett, C. Carpenter, D. Gibson,
J. Lemery, A. Melby, and G. Roberts provided drill support at SLW. This is C-DEBI
contribution #371.

317 **Author Contributions** 318 A.B.M, J.E.D, T.J.V-M, J.C.P and M.L.S wrote the manuscript. A.B.M., J.E.D., M.L.S, and 319 T.J.V-M. conducted and analyzed methane concentration and isotopic data. A.M.A., A.B.M., and 320 B.C.C. processed, analyzed and interpreted the molecular data. A.C.M conducted 321 thermodynamic calculations. All authors contributed to the study design, collection of samples and approved the final draft of the manuscript. 322 323 324 **Competing Financial Interests** 325 The authors declare no competing financial interests. 326

Figure Captions

Figure 1 SLW water column and sediment profile of CH₄ concentration and stable isotope composition and abundance of active methane oxidizing and methanogenic taxa. (a) CH₄ concentration and δ^{13} C-CH₄ values, (b) percent relative abundance of known CH₄ oxidizing and methanogenic bacterial and archaeal taxa, respectively, from the community analysis of 16S rRNA molecules (note log scale; panel b modified from ref. 21). Dashed lines indicate running averages using a Loess smoothing function (a). SLW water column values for CH₄ concentration and stable isotope values are displayed next to points (a). Asterisks indicate that methanogenic (red) and methanotrophic (black) genera were not detected (b).

Figure 2 CH₄ stable isotope biplot for nine depths of the SLW sediment porewater (black triangles). The shaded areas delineate microbial and thermogenic endmembers as well as regions of mixed sources (endmember fields modified from ref. 10). δ^{13} C-CH₄ values in this plot are the same as Fig. 1A.

Figure 3 Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of SLW *pmoA* DNA sequences. *pmoA* sequences from SLW water column and sediment are highlighted in grey and brackets indicate the number of sequenced clones within each operational taxonomic unit (OTU) with sequence accession numbers are shown in parentheses. All solid line branches are *pmoA* sequences of the *Gammaproteobacteria* type Ia group, including *Methylobacter tundripaludum* (bold), an active and abundant member of the SLW community^{1,17}. Bootstrap support is displayed at branch points (%, 1000 replications), with values >50% shown. Branch lengths are measured in number of substitutions per site. The scale bar represents 0.05 substitutions per site.

Figure 4 Chemical affinity calculations for the SLW surficial (0-2 cm) sediment. Results are presented in energy density of joules per kg of water (J kg H₂O⁻¹; top axis in log scale) and kilojoules per mole of electron transferred (kJ mol e⁻⁻¹; bottom axis) at 50% (0.5) and 10% (0.1) of the SLW lake water O₂ concentration for eight environmentally relevant biochemical reactions.

Methods

Sample Collection. We used a microbiologically-clean hot water drill to directly sample the water column and the upper 40 cm of sediment of Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW; 84.240° S, 153.694° W) to assess the CH₄ dynamics^{40,41}. SLW water column and sediment were sampled through a 800 m deep, ~0.6 m diameter borehole on 30 January 2013. The clean access hot water drill system has been shown to reduce cell concentrations within the drilling water to <100 cell mL⁻¹, which is acceptable based on the predicted cell concentration in the lake water and the National Research Council 2007 report on subglacial lake access^{40,45}. The 2.2 m deep SLW water column was sampled with a 10 L Niskin bottle, suspended microbial cells were concentrated using an *in situ* water filtration system and surficial sediments were collected with a gravity multicorer (60 cm long x 6 cm diameter). For complete drilling and sampling details see ref. 40, 41.

Geochemical Analysis. Sediment from a gravity core (MC-2A) was sampled every 2 cm by extrusion and subsampling of each newly exposed layer. Sediment subsamples for methane (CH₄) were collected using a sterile cut-off 5 ml syringe and immediately placed into 20 ml sterile serum vials and stoppered with a sterile butyl rubber stopper, then crimped with an

aluminum cap. Three empty vials were sealed in the field to capture atmospheric air as procedural blanks. Ten ml of 2.5% NaOH was added by sterile syringe to each sample vial and the three blanks, stopping biological activity and creating a pressurized headspace within each vial⁴². A CH₄ sample from the SLW water column was collected from cast 1 from a Niskin bottle by placing the tube to the bottom of the serum vial and filling from top to bottom. The water sample was fixed with Lugol's solution to prevent biological activity. All vials were stored inverted at 4°C for transport back to Montana State University (MSU) for CH₄ quantification. Headspace CH₄ was quantified on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) with a detection limit of 3 nM for water column samples and 190 nM for the sediment samples. Headspace gas was introduced to the GC using a 10-port injection valve configured for back flushing of a precolumn (25 cm x 0.32 cm OD, packed with Porapak-T 80/100 mesh) to prevent water vapor from reaching the analytical columns. The vial overpressure was used to flush and fill a 1 cm³ sample loop using a syringe needle inlet; measured laboratory air temperature and pressure were used to calculate the total moles of gas contained within the loop, assuming gas ideality. Gases were separated on two analytical columns in series (both 183 cm x 0.32 cm OD, packed with Chromosorb 102 80/100 mesh and Porapak-Q 80/100 mesh, respectively). The columns were maintained at 55°C and the FID at 240°C. The carrier gas was an ultra-high purity N₂, which was further purified through Molecular Sieve 5A, activated charcoal and an O₂ scrubber. The carrier flow was 30 mL min⁻¹; under these conditions, CH₄ eluted to the FID at 1.97 min. Instrument calibration was performed using certified 500 and 51 ppmv CH₄ in air standards (Air Liquide; ±1% accuracy), and volumetric dilutions thereof into carrier N₂. Dissolved CH₄ concentrations were calculated using Henry's Law based on measured headspace mole fractions and Bunsen solubility coefficients

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

estimated from temperature and sample salinity (including added NaOH) as parameterized by ref. 46. Porewater volumes were determined from mass loss after drying the sediment at 95°C until the mass stopped decreasing (~24h), and dry sediment volume was similarly determined assuming a density of 2.60 g cm⁻³ for the sedimentary particles⁴⁷. The total volume of the vials was determined weighing the vials with sediment and NaOH fixative, then completely filling the headspace with deionized water and weighing again. The headspace volume was determined by difference. The extent of pressurization of the headspace was determined from total headspace volume and the volume of NaOH solution added. The total CH₄ within each vial, after correction for the small amount of CH₄ present in the headspace air when originally sealed (characterized by the blank vials), was then used to determine the initial CH₄ concentration of the porewater.

Gravity core MC-3A was collected from SLW, capped and immediately frozen (-20°C). The frozen core was returned to MSU and thawed at 4°C overnight in a class 1000 clean, cold room in the MSU SubZero Science and Engineering Facility. The core was extruded and cut every 2 cm and sediment for CH₄ stable isotope analysis was subsampled and fixed using the same method as for CH₄ concentration analysis from MC-2A described above. One ml of room temperature headspace gas from the fixed sediment vials was transferred to a gas-tight laminated foil bag using a gas-tight, glass syringe and diluted 1:100 with CH₄-free (zero grade) air. The bag was connected to the inlet of a Picarro G2201-*i* Cavity Ring-Down Spectrometer (CRDS) specific for high-precision concentration and δ^{13} C analyses of CH₄. Sample was introduced to the instrument at a flow rate of 100 ml min⁻¹; δ^{13} C-CH₄ values were determined using factory calibrations and were averaged over \geq 30 s of 1 Hz measurements. Between samples, atmospheric air was measured for at least 5 min to ensure lack of instrument drift. The δ D-CH₄ values were measured at the University of California Davis Stable Isotope Facility (UCD-SIF) using a

PreCon concentration system (ThermoScientific) in line with a Delta V plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific)⁴³. Two δ^{13} C-CH₄ samples (MC-3A samples from 18-20 cm and 34-36 cm depths) were also run at UCD-SIF to compare their independent results with our values obtained on the Picarro CRDS. There was a <4% difference in the δ^{13} C-CH₄ values reported from the two methods. The carbon and hydrogen stable isotope ratios are reported in δ -notation (δ^{13} C, δ D) with respect to VPDB and VSMOW standards, respectively. The running average (with depth) of the CH₄ concentration and isotope values was calculated in SigmaPlot version 11 using a locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (loess) function with smoothing parameters set to first degree polynomial and a sampling frequency of 0.45, which determines the number of local data points used in the weighted regression carried out by the loess smoothing function.

Sediments used for dissolved NH₄⁺ concentration measurements were collected from MC-3A⁴⁸. The sediment was transferred to acid washed (10% HCl), ultra-pure water-rinsed (6X), combusted (4h at 450 °C) glass vials with polytetrafluoroethylene lined caps, frozen at -20 °C and thawed prior to analysis. Sediments were transferred from the glass vials to acid washed and ultra-pure water rinsed 50 mL conical centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 3500 x g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to acid washed and ultra-pure water rinsed 15 ml conical centrifuge tubes and spun for an additional 20 min at 4500 x g to pellet fine particulates. The clean supernatant from the 15 mL centrifuge tube was transferred to an acid washed and ultra-pure water rinsed glass vial. The supernatant was diluted (1:10) to a final volume of 5 mL with ultra-pure water for colorimetric analysis⁴⁹.

Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen values were determined with an elemental analyzer as described in ref 1. Acetate, formate and oxalate concentrations were determined using ion chromatography following methods in ref. 14.

Molecular Analyses. DNA was extracted using a modular method to allow for optimization of the DNA extraction procedure, specific to the SLW sediments⁴⁴. DNA extraction yield from SLW sediments was greatest when sediments were pre-treated with 450 umol g⁻¹ deoxynucleotide triphosphate to prevent adsorption of lysed DNA to the abundant clay particles in SLW⁴⁴. The particulate methane monooxygenase (pmoA) gene clone libraries were constructed by PCR amplification using A189F (5' GGNGACTGGGACTTCTGG 3') and m680R (5' CCGGMGCAACGTCYTTACC 3')²⁴. The PCR was set up using 0.13 µL of ExTag at 5 units μL^{-1} (Takara), 2.5 μL of 10x ExTag buffer (Takara), 2 μL dNTP mixture at 2.5mM per nucleotide (Takara), 2.5 μL of A189F and Mb661R primers (10 pmol μL⁻¹), 2 μL molecular biology-grade bovine serum albumen (BSA; 1.6 mg ml⁻¹ final concentration) (New England BioLabs Inc.), 4 μL of template DNA (0.01-0.09 ng DNA μL⁻¹), and 11.37 μL of PCR-grade water for a final reaction volume of 25 µL. The PCR thermocycling conditions were 1 cycle of 98°C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 98°C for 15 s, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; followed by a final 72°C for 7 min. PCR was conducted with DNA extraction blanks and no template blanks (PCR-grade water) as negative controls. Negative controls were not carried forward for cloning, as no PCR bands were detected. PCR products were run on a 1.5% agarose gel and the 491 basepair pmoA fragment was excised from the gel with sterile razor blade and DNA was purified using a Wizard SV gel clean-up system (Promega). Cleaned *pmoA* fragments were immediately ligated and cloned with a TA Cloning kit (Invitrogen). Positive clones were transferred to LB+ampicillin broth and grown overnight at 37°C, then sequenced (288 total sequenced clones) (Functional Bioscience). The pmoA DNA sequences were processed by removing the forward and reverse primer sequences and removing poor quality sequences (<20 phred score)⁵⁰. Quality controlled *pmoA* sequences (176 total) were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

the 97% similarity level and one representative sequence from each OTU⁵¹, along with representative *pmoA* sequences from type Ia and II methanotrophs²⁴, were aligned using ClustalW using the default alignment parameters within the program MEGA6⁵². A phylogenetic tree was built using the neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstrap replications⁵². The *pmoA* sequences have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KX589304-KX589461 and KX784213-KX84230.

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

We attempted to amplify mcrA gene sequences from SLW sediment DNA extracts using a primer set designed to amplify the diversity of mcrA-containing methanogens¹⁸ with a nested PCR amplification scheme. The primer pair used to detect the mcrA gene sequence were mcrIRD ¹⁸. The primer pair is capable of detecting a wide diversity of known and several novel *mcrA* gene clusters¹⁸. The first reaction was set up using 0.13 µL of Takara ExTag at 5 units µL⁻¹, 2.5 μL 10x ExTag buffer, 2 μL dNTP mixture at 2.5mM per nucleotide (Takara), 2.5 μL of forward and reverse primer (10 pmol µL⁻¹), 2 µL of BSA (1.6 mg ml⁻¹ final concentration), 9.38 µL PCRgrade water and 4 µL DNA extract (0.01-0.09 ng DNA µL⁻¹) for a final reaction volume of 25 μL. This first reaction was run with an initial denaturation step at 98°C for 2 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 98°C for 15 s, 53°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, and a final elongation at 72°C for 7 min. The second reaction was set up using 0.25 µL Takara ExTaq, 5 µL 10x ExTaq buffer, 4 μL dNTP mixture at 2.5mM per nucleotide (Takara), 5 μL of forward and reverse primers (10 pmol µL⁻¹), 4 µL of BSA (1.6 mg ml⁻¹ final concentration), 21.75 µL of PCR-grade water and 4 μL of product from the first reaction as template DNA. The second reaction was run with the same thermocycler program as the first reaction. PCR was conducted with DNA extraction blanks and no template blanks (PCR-grade water) as negative controls. Details of the 16S rRNA molecule sample collection and preservation, extraction, reverse transcription, sequencing and

processing are described in ref. 21. Extraction blanks were conducted, processed and analyzed in parallel with the SLW sediment samples as described in ref. 21.

Chemical Affinity Calculations. An assessment of CH₄ as a potential chemical energy source for the surficial (0-2 cm) sediment layer was undertaken. The chemical affinity of coupled oxidation-reduction reactions involving CH₄ and other potential metabolic reactions was determined. The chemical affinity (A_r) is the maximum amount of energy that can be obtained for a reaction based on *in situ* conditions. A_r is defined as the change in the overall Gibbs energy under non-equilibrium conditions (ΔG_r^o) with a change in the progress of the reaction, which quantifies the reactions proximity to equilibrium^{30,31} and is given by;

$$A_r = RT \ln(K_r/Q_r) \tag{S1}$$

where, K_r is the calculated equilibrium constant for the reaction, which is derived from ΔG_r^o of the reaction according to $\Delta G_r^o = G_f^o$ products - G_f^o reactants, where G_f^o is the standard Gibbs energy of formation for the products and reactants⁵³. K_r is given by;

$$K_r = e^{-\Delta G_r^o/RT}$$
 (S2)

where R is the gas constant $0.008314 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, and T is SLW temperature in Kelvin [-0.5°C = 272.65 K]⁵³. Thermodynamic values were derived from ref. 31 using values for 2°C, the closest available values for the temperature of SLW (-0.5°C); the impact of the temperature difference on ΔG_r^o and resulting K_r values will be small^{30,31}.

 Q_r is the activity product for the reaction, determined as;

$$Q_r = \prod_i (a_i)^{Vi,r} \tag{S3}$$

where a_i represents the activity of the ith compound in the reaction raised to its stoichiometric coefficient in the rth reaction, $v_{i,r}$, which is positive for products and negative for reactants.

Activities are calculated from molal concentrations (m_i) using activity coefficients (γ) and the

relationship $a_i = m_i \gamma_i^{30}$. These activities were calculated using the geochemical model PHREEQCi⁵⁴ using the empirical SLW geochemistry^{7,14}. The O₂ concentration in the 0-2 cm layer was not measured, but for the chemical affinity calculations we consider two scenarios of O₂ concentration set at 50% (36.5 μM) and 10% (7.3 μM) of average SLW lake water to account for the decrease in sedimentary O₂ concentration due to consumption and diffusion⁵⁵. Given that oxygen is inferred to penetrate to ~16cm based on redox sensitive trace metal concentrations¹⁴, it is reasonable to model chemical affinity using these two concentrations of O2 in the surficial sediment. Temperature, pH, redox (pE) and concentrations of acetate, formate (Supplementary Fig. 1), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), O₂(aq), CH₄(aq), SO₄²⁻, NO₃-, NH₄⁺, total dissolved Fe, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, P, Li⁺, Br⁻, Cl⁻ and F⁻ were defined^{7,14,32,48}. Redox sensitive elements that were measured as total dissolved elemental concentration (i.e. C, Fe) were assumed to be speciated to the redox states and species activities as determined by PHREEQC. Conversely, ions measured in specific redox states (i.e. SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+) were maintained in their respective redox states by the model, and the species activities including these ions were calculated.

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

530

531

532

533

The chemical affinities are expressed in per electron yields (A_r^{e-}) and also shown in terms of energy density, the energy per kg H_2O (A_r^{kg}) , which scales the energy availability to the limiting reactant, calculated as;

$$A_r^{kg} = \left| \frac{A_r}{v_i} \right| [i] \tag{S4}$$

where, [i] refers to the concentration of the limiting electron donor or acceptor⁵⁶. This scaling [equation (S4)] of chemical affinity has been shown to better correlate with actual microbial communities and metabolisms than the chemical affinity normalized to moles of electrons transferred^{56,57}.

Methane Oxidation Rate Modeling. CH₄ oxidation rates were modeled by calculating the flux of CH₄ into the 0-2 cm sediment layer. The CH₄ concentration gradient was determined using CH₄ values from 15 cm to 3 cm. The flux was calculated using Fick's first law and the error of the flux determined from the error associated with the diffusional gradient. Water content was measured and calculated by weighing a known volume of wet weight sediment, then measuring the sediment again after drying at 95°C for three days^{42,47}. Porosity was calculated from the water content and density of the sediment^{42,47}. The diffusion coefficient for CH₄ at 0°C was corrected for porosity (Supplementary Fig. 3) and tortuousity of SLW sediments calculated according to equation 3.11 from ref. 58 with C=2.02^{58,59}. We modeled the rate of biological CH₄ consumption according to equation (1) (See main text).

The control volume of our model can be defined by the relationship;

$$V = A \times H_L + (H_{SS} \times \varphi)$$
 (S5)

where, H_L and H_{SS} are the height of the lake and surficial sediments, respectively, and φ is the sediment porosity. Assuming steady-state conditions (i.e., $\frac{dc}{dt} = 0$) and substitution of equation (S5) into equation (1), R can be calculated as shown in equation (2). R represents the sum of both microbial CH_4 oxidation to CO_2 and incorporation of CH_4 into biomass. We estimated the amount of CH_4 removal due to oxidation and incorporation of biomass by assuming that the biomass partitioning factor, of CH_4 going to biomass is 0.5 [x; equation (S6)]. The value of 0.5 has been shown to be a good approximation for the fraction of biomass incorporated by type I methanotrophs during CH_4 oxidation and is a median value across many habitats 33,60,61 . We calculated the impact of varying x from 0.06 to 0.77^{36} on biomass C production and methanotrophy oxygen demand (Supplementary Table 1). From the CH_4 removal rate and the

fraction of CH₄ incorporated into biomass, we can then calculate the O₂ consumption by CH₄ oxidation, which follows the stoichiometric relationship:

558
$$CH_4 + (2-x)O_2 \rightarrow (1-x)CO_2 + xCH_2O + (2-x)H_2O$$
 (S6)

where *x* is the fraction of CH₄ partitioned into biomass formation^{33,60,62}. The inputs of O₂ to the lake are from atmospheric gases released by melting of the overlying meteoric ice and advection of water into the lake during the filling phase of the hydrologic cycle^{9,32,63}. Based on the concentration of gas in the overlying ice and the basal ice melt rate, which has been estimated at 1.8 cm y⁻¹ (ref. 9), the overlying ice sheet supplies 1.0 x 10⁶ mol O₂ yr⁻¹ (67% of O₂ supply to SLW)³². Advection into the lake provides 5 x 10⁵ mol O₂ yr⁻¹ (33% of O₂ supply to SLW)³², assuming the incoming water has the same concentration measured in the SLW water column^{32,63}. When the fraction of carbon from CH₄ going to biomass is varied (Supplementary Table 1), the oxygen demand on the system changes as well. We used the SLW oxygen budget from ref. 32 to determine the impact of the biomass partitioning factor (*x*) could have on the oxygen demand for biological processes in SLW (Supplementary Table 1).

Data availability. Data generated for this study are available through the microbial Antarctic resource system database (http://mars.biodiversity.aq/resources/97). Molecular data were accessed from NCBI sequence read archive (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) project PRJNA244335.

References

- 576 40. Priscu, J. C. *et al.* A microbiologically clean strategy for access to the Whillans Ice Stream subglacial environment. *Antarct. Sci.* **11,** 1–11 (2013).
- 578 41. Tulaczyk, S. et al. WISSARD at Subglacial Lake Whillans, West Antarctica: scientific

- operations and initial observations. *Ann. Glaciol.* **55**, 51–58 (2014).
- 580 42. Riedinger, N. et al. Methane at the sediment–water transition in Black Sea sediments.
- 581 *Chem. Geol.* **274,** 29–37 (2010).
- 582 43. Yarnes, C. ¹³C and ²H measurement of methane from ecological and geological sources by
- gas chromatography/combustion/pyrolysis isotope-ratio mass spectrometry. *Rapid*
- 584 *Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **27,** 1036–1044 (2013).
- Lever, M. A. et al. A modular method for the extraction of DNA and RNA, and the
- separation of DNA pools from diverse environmental sample types. Front. Microbiol. 6,
- 587 1–25 (2015).
- 588 45. National Resource Council. Exploration of Antarctic Subglacial Aquatic Environments.
- 589 (The National Academies Press, 2007).
- 590 46. Wiesenburg, D. A. & Guinasso Jr., N. L. Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon
- monoxide, and hydrogen in water and sea water. J. Chem. Eng. Data 24, 356–360 (1979).
- 592 47. Avnimelech, Y., Ritvo, G., Meijer, L. E. & Kochba, M. Water content, organic carbon and
- dry bulk density in flooded sediments. *Aquac. Eng.* **25**, 25–33 (2001).
- 594 48. Vick-Majors, T. J. Biogeochemical processes in Antarctic aquatic environments: Linkages
- and limitations. (Montana State University, 2016).
- 596 49. Solorzano, L. Determination of ammonia in natural waters by the phenolhypochlorite
- 597 method. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **14,** 799–801 (1969).
- 598 50. Paegel, B. M., Emrich, C. A., Wedemayer, G. J., Scherer, J. R. & Mathies, R. A. High
- throughput DNA sequencing with a microfabricated 96-lane capillary array
- electrophoresis bioprocessor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**, 574–579 (2002).
- 51. Schloss, P. D. et al. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-

- supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ.*
- 603 *Microbiol.* **75,** 7537–7541 (2009).
- 52. Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A. & Kumar, S. MEGA6: Molecular
- evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30,** 2725–2729 (2013).
- 53. Stumm, W. & Morgan, J. J. Aquatic Chemistry: Chemical Equilibria and Rates in Natural
- 607 Waters. (Wiley-Interscience, 1996).
- 608 54. Parkhurst, D. L. & Appelo, C. A. J. in U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods
- 609 497 (US Geological Survey, 2013).
- 610 55. Boetius, A. & Wenzhöfer, F. Seafloor oxygen consumption fuelled by methane from cold
- 611 seeps. *Nat. Geosci.* **6,** 725–734 (2013).
- 612 56. LaRowe, D. E. & Amend, J. P. in *Microbial Life of the Deep Biosphere* (eds. Kallmeyer,
- J. & Wagner, D.) 279–302 (Walter de Gruyter, 2014).
- 614 57. Osburn, M. R. et al. Chemolithotrophy in the continental deep subsurface: Sanford
- Underground Research Facility (SURF), USA. Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–14 (2014).
- 58. Shen, L. & Chen, Z. Critical review of the impact of tortuosity on diffusion. *Chem. Eng.*
- 617 *Sci.* **62,** 3748–3755 (2007).
- 618 59. Broecker, W. S. & Peng, T.-H. Gas exchange rates between air and seal. *Tellus* **26,** 21–35
- 619 (1974).
- 620 60. Shelley, F., Abdullahi, F., Grey, J. & Trimmer, M. Microbial methane cycling in the bed
- of a chalk river; oxidation has the potential to match methanogenesis enhanced by
- 622 warming. Freshw. Biol. **60**, 150–160 (2015).
- 623 61. Whalen, S. C., Reeburgh, W. S. & Sandbeck, K. A. Rapid Methane Oxidation in a
- Landfill Cover Soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **56,** 3405–3411 (1990).

- 625 62. Urmann, K., Lazzaro, A., Gandolfi, I., Schroth, M. H. & Zeyer, J. Response of
 626 methanotrophic activity and community structure to temperature changes in a diffusive
 627 CH/O counter gradient in an unsaturated porous medium. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 69,
 628 202–12 (2009).
- 629 63. Siegfried, M. R., Fricker, H. A., Carter, S. P. & Tulaczyk, S. Episodic ice velocity
 630 fluctuations triggered by a subglacial flood in West Antarctica. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43,
 631 2640–2648 (2016).







