

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

35(4): 1-12, 2019; Article no.CJAST.49289 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

Micronutrient Biofortification in Pulses: An Agricultural Approach

Ananya Ghosh^{1*}, Md. Hasim Reja¹, Arpita Nalia¹, Sahely Kanthal¹, Srijani Maji¹, Visha Kumari Venugopalan² and Rajib Nath¹

¹Department of Agronomy, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia-741252, West Bengal, India. ²ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author AG chosen the topic, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors AN and SK helped in literature searches. Authors MHR, SM and VKV helped in final manuscript preparation. Author RN finally checked and approved the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2019/v35i430194 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Hamid El Bilali, Centre for Development Research, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Vienna, Austria. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Kana Sop Marie Modestine, University of Douala, Cameroon. (2) Florin Sala, Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49289</u>

> Received 12 March 2019 Accepted 23 May 2019 Published 30 May 2019

Review Article

ABSTRACT

Micronutrients are important growth promoting elements not only for crops but also for human being. More than two billion of the global populations are malnourished. For developing countries like India, micronutrient malnutrition among the people of every age is very common. The impact is highly seen in poor and landless rural people who can't afford diverse foods or supplements in their diets with needed nutrients. To alleviate this micronutrient deficiency, biofortification has come to the surface as a potent option. Biofortification of crops can increase the level of micronutrients and can be supplied to the people through daily diet. Pulses are irrefutable contender for Biofortification since it is easily available to the each and every group of people. This paper focuses on the role of micronutrients on human health and various mechanisms to get nutrient rich staple food along with main emphasis on biofortification.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ananya.ghosh0193@gmail.com;

Keywords: Biofortification; pulse; micronutrient; malnutrition; hunger.

1. INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, more than two billion of people or one in every three persons is spotted to be troubled with multiple micronutrient deficiencies [1]. Growing children are grievously affected by nutrient deficiencies compared to adults, as their nutrients requirement changes according to growth and developmental phages [2]. In Kolhapur district, 40% children between the age group of 8-9 years are micronutrients deficient (iron in 38.8% and fluoride in 36.6% respectively) [3] and globally it is 22% [4]. In the whole India, 18% of infants had a birth weight of less than 2.5 kg, 38% children below five years were underweight, 28% mild, 29% moderately and 2% severely anaemic [5]. Malnutrition caused by vitamins and minerals is also known as "Hidden hunger", which don't give any visual symptom usually. As per GHI 2018,[6] India ranked 103rd among 119 countries while world-wide level of hunger declines from 29.2 in 2000 to 20.9 in 2018. Micronutrient deficiencies are the fountainhead of various health issues like poor neurological function, impaired eye sight, diabetes, hypertension, week immunity, diarrhea, food allergies, thinning hair, leaky gut, acne or [7,8,9,10].Those deficiencies rashes are attributable to low intake of quality diet riched with proteins, vitamins and minerals [11,12]. Increased price of non staple commodities is one of the important reasons of decreasing dietary quality, especially to resource poor people [13]. In developing countries agricultural products are the prime source of nutrients [14,15]. Main concern of green revolution was laid on yield increase not on quality food production. And it scale down soil productivity accompanied by less nutritive food grain production [11]. Micronutrient rich vegetables, pulses and animal products have also not been increased in last fifty years [12]. Possible ways to combat those deficiencies encircle dietary diversification (healthy balance diet), food fortification, biofortification and supplementation [16]. Biofortification is the process of increasing nutrient concentration in plant edible parts by fertilization (agronomic intervention), breeding approaches or microbes, [17] whereas fortification is nutrient enrichment during processing (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food fortification).

Biofortification is an effective strategy in long run to overcome the current situation as it is more cost effective, sustainable and practical one to reach poorest of the poor population [18,19]. Besides guality enhancement, micronutrient has some added advantages like yield increase, biomass enhancement and disease control in micronutrient deficient soils [20]. A healthy balance diet must include pulses as they are rich source of energy, protein, dietary fibre and also content considerable amount of vitamins and minerals like thiamin, riboflavin, pyridoxine, folic acid, vitamin E and K, zinc, iron etc [21,22,23] So, pulses can be considered as good option for food biofortification to provide nutritious sustainably [22].

2. ROLE OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON **HUMAN HEALTH**

Iron plays key role in haemoglobin formation and oxygen transport [24]. Iron deficiency exerts influence on learning ability, [25] immune system, [26] ability to work [27] and cognitive development [28]. Its deficiency is also associated with anemia and pregnancy related issues like mortality, low birth weight etc [25].

Zinc requirement get larger during pregnancy and puberty. Zinc deficiency curtails physical growth and development of children [29]. Gastrointestinal, central nervous, epidermal, immune, skeletal, and reproductive systems are known to be affected by zinc deficiency [30]. The daily requirement of Zn and Fe varies with the age of people (Table 1).

Table 1. Daily requirements of Zn and Fe inIndian context [39]
--

	Group	Recommended da allowance (mg day	
		Zinc	Iron
Adult men		12	21
Adult women	Normal	10	17
	Pregnant	12	35
Children	1-3 Years	5	9
	4-6 Years	7	13
	7-9 Years	8	16
Adolescents	Boys	11-12	21-28
	Girls	9-12	26-27

Selenium is a good source of antioxidant which narrow down heart and skin diseases, cancer, alzheimer, [31,32,33,34,35], thyroid [36], asthma [37]. Patients having tuberculosis, influenza and hepatitis C delineated to be benefited by selenium [38].

3. CRITERIA OF BIOFORTIFIED CROP

Bouis and Welch [40] suggested the following criteria to be a potential biofortified crop.

High Yielding: Crop productivity must be maintained.

Effective: The increased level of micronutrient must have significant positive impact on human.

Stable: Increased level of micronutrients in crop must be stable year after year.

Quality: Good Taste and Cooking Quality

4. POTENTIAL WAYS OF BIOFORTI-FICATION

Biofortification of crop can be done through agronomic, breeding and microbial interventions.

4.1 Agronomic Interventions

Agronomic biofortification is the application of micronutrients via chemical fertilizer with the aid of foliar application, soil application, seed priming and seed coating of fertilizers to increase the bioavailability of nutrients in edible plant parts [41]. Several factors like source of fertilizer, quantity of fertilizer and time and methods of application regulate the nutrient intake to the edible plant parts and it's bioavailability to the consumer [42,43]. Micronutrient amendment in soil is a useful strategy to increase micronutrient quantity in crop [44,45,46]. Among the different methods of application, foliar application is more efficient [47] as it can manage soil immobilization [11] and quick availability of nutrients to the crop. Hidoto et al. [48] reported 85 g ha⁻¹ grain zinc yield with foliar application in chickpea which was significantly higher than soil application (71 g ha⁻¹) and priming (68 g ha⁻¹). Combined application in both soil and foliar often showed better results [49]. Other biofortification methods like seed priming and seed coating are spotted to give very infrequent result [50,23] found that seed priming with both B and Zn increased the seed Zn and B content of chickpea and lentil respectively (Table 2). Zinc and selenium biofortification is most fruitful with agronomic interventions [51].

4.1.1 Zinc fortification

Application of zinc to the pulse crops greatly helps in enhancing the level of zinc in harvested (economic) plant parts. Zinc fertilization increases bioavailability of Zn in human by increasing phytate content [52]. Molina et al. [53] concluded that application of zinc chelate (7 and 14 mM L⁻¹ of Zn-EDTA) increase grain zinc and iron concentration in cowpea. Shivay et al. [54] reported that foliar spray of zinc at three different stages of chickpea had significant influence on zinc uptake both in grain and straw during 2011-12 and 2012-13 (Table 3). Foliar spray of Zn-EDTA at active vegetative, flowering and grain filling stages had greatest crop recovery of applied Zn (17.33%) during 2011-12 (Table 2). Zinc fertilization improves zinc bioavailability in bean and pea [55,56]. Zinc content in seed helps significant liner increase of protein in biosynthesis [57]. Maximum Fe content was recorded with application of 50µM Zn-DTPA (183.7±2.16 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO₄ (197.9±3.45 ppm) whereas highest Zn with 100µM Zn-DTPA (46.3±3.87 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO₄ (49.6±2.54 ppm) of bean in hydroponic situation (Table 4). Hidoto et al. [58] stated that maximum grain Zn content and Zn yield in chickpea were noted in soil application of 25 kg ha⁻¹ Zn which had an advantage of 7% over control (Table 5).

4.1.2 Iron fortification

Iron is another most important micronutrient which improves human health. Supply of iron through fortification of pulses is helpful and economic for major portion of Indian population. Iron content of cowpea bean seed increased 29.4% with application of 100µM L⁻¹ ferrous sulphate and 32% with 50µM L⁻¹ferrous chelate over control [59]. Ali et al. [60] observed that application of 1.5% FeSO₄ at branching and flowering resulted 55%, 66% and 81% increase in iron content in leaf, stem and grain in mungbean over control respectively (Table 6). Khalid et al. [61] reported that application of PGPR along with iron (5.6 kg ha⁻¹) resulted grain, root and shoot iron content 4.6 mg, 3.16 mg and 1.7 mg in 100 g chickpea seed respectively (Table 7). According to Salih [63] foliar fertilization of 2 ppm Fe and 2 ppm Zn reported maximum increase in Fe (154 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (42 mg kg⁻¹) content of cowpea seed respectively (Table 8). Nandan et al. [64] pointed out that foliar spray of 0.05% Fe along with recommended dose of fertilizer resulted significantly higher iron content in seed (66.46 mg kg⁻¹) and stover (66.83 mg kg⁻¹) whereas, maximum zinc content in seed (44.98 mg kg⁻¹) and straw (44.08 mg kg⁻¹) was noted with Zn (0.5%) and Fe (0.05%).

Treatments			Seed cont	ent (mg kg⁻¹)		
		Chickpe	ea		Lentil	
	Zn	В	Мо	Zn	В	Мо
(purchased)	40	9	3	50	6	2
water	60	10	4	50	6	2
В	60	100	3	50	100	2
Zn	700	7	3	630	5	2
1/2(B + Zn)**	400	50	2	400	50	2
B + Zn	800	80	3	660	100	2
B, 12 h	40	100	3			
Zn, 12 h	500	8	2			
Мо	60	4	300			

Table 2. Effect of seed priming on Zn, B and Mo content of chickpea and lentil

(Source: Johnson et al. 2005)[23] **Priming times were 8 h and 12 h for chickpea and lentil respectively. Solutions used were 0.004M ZnSO₄·7H₂O (for Zn), 0.008 M H₃BO₃ (for B), 0.0026M Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (for Mo)

Table 3. Zinc content by grain and straw of chickpea

Treatment	Zn uptake in grain (g ha ⁻¹)		Zn uptake in grain (g ha ⁻¹) Zn uptake in straw (g		traw (g ha ⁻¹)
	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	
Check (no Zn)	78.5	71.3	78.0	68.5	
ZnSHH soil at 5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	102.3	93.9	104.2	93.9	
ZnSHH one spray (V)	96.3	87.9	103.3	92.8	
ZnSHH two sprays (V + F)	112.3	103.2	128.6	116.2	
ZnSHH, three sprays (V + F + G)	124.9	114.8	166.8	152.0	
Zn-EDTA soil at 2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	102.7	93.9	114.5	103.5	
Zn-EDTA one spray (V)	98.8	90.9	117.0	106.0	
Zn-EDTA two sprays (V + F)	125.4	115.8	139.2	126.6	
Zn-EDTA three sprays (V + F + G)	162.8	135.4	181.0	148.9	
LSD (P = 0.05)	14.93	15.52	10.45	20.25	

ZnSHH= Zn sulfate hepta hydrate V= active vegetative stage, F= flowering stage, G= grain filling stage (Source: Shivay et al. 2015 [54]

Dose	Micronutrient concentration		
Zn-DTPA (µM)	Fe	Zn	
0	146.5±0.41	28.4±1.12	
25	174.4±1.45	45.7±2.35	
50	183.7±2.16	42.8±3.55	
100	153.0±1.63	46.3±3.87	
ZnSO₄ (μM)	Fe	Zn	
0	146.5±0.41	28.4±1.12	
25	189.2±2.89	42.3±3.11	
50	162.1±2.03	42.6±2.87	
100	197.9±3.45	49.6±2.54	

Table 4. Iron and zinc concentration of bean in hydroponic situation

Source: (Sida-Arreola et al. 2017) [62]

4.1.3 Selenium fortification

Selenium fertilization by means of inorganic fertilizer results increased selenium concentration in diet [65,66]. Unlike selenite ($_{SeO_3^{2-}}$), selenite ($_{SeO_4^{2-}}$) provides immediate availability to plants when addedtosoil [67,68,69]. Selenium foliar application increases concentration in pea and common bean from 21

 $\mu g \ kg^{\text{-1}}$ to 743 $\mu g \ kg^{\text{-1}}$ [70] and 30 to 2379 $\mu g \ kg^{\text{-1}}$ [71] respectively.

Further credibility of agronomic biofortification requires much more research on micronutrient bioavailability, including metabolic pathways that affect absorption and health benefits of different chemical forms of micronutrients.

Zn rate	Straw Zn	Grain Zn	Zn yield
ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	(mgkg ⁻¹)	(mgkg⁻¹)	(gha⁻¹)
0	20.63	37.05	91.0
5	20.48	37.54	98.3
10	23.24	34.20	87.7
15	22.15	33.11	86.2
20	21.82	35.52	86.3
25	21.57	39.55	99.7
30	22.31	39.18	98.0

Table 5. Effect of zinc sulphate soil application on chickpea

Source: Hidoto et al. 2016 [58]

Table 6. Iron content in leaves, stems and grains in mungbean

Treatment	Iron content (mg kg ⁻¹)						
	Leaves	Stems	Grains				
Control	511.37	380.07	78.50				
0.5% FeSO₄ at branching	601.73	470.42	90.43				
0.5% FeSO₄ at flowering	623.70	488.17	96.10				
0.5% FeSO ₄ at branching + 0.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	675.43	520.24	101.50				
1.0% FeSO₄ at branching	654.07	515.22	96.83				
1.0% FeSO₄ at flowering	668.37	505.16	99.60				
1.0% FeSO ₄ at branching + 1.0% FeSO ₄ at flowering	717.17	585.54	127.80				
1.5% FeSO₄ at branching	672.60	550.33	115.73				
1.5% FeSO₄ at flowering	698.70	559.51	121.43				
1.5% FeSO ₄ at branching + 1.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	794.90	634.27	146.43				
Source: Ali et al. 2014/601							

Source: Ali et al., 2014[60]

Treatment	Fe Concentration (mg 100 g ⁻¹)			
	Grains	Shoot	Root	
Absolute control	1.20	0.66	0.14	
Fe (5.6 kg ha ⁻¹)	2.40	1.80	0.86	
S1	3.26	2.23	1.40	
S2	3.30	2.50	1.30	
S3	3.36	2.26	1.33	
S4	3.20	2.36	1.36	
S5	3.40	2.40	1.30	
S1+Fe (5.6 kg ha ⁻¹)	3.60	2.73	1.70	
S2+Fe (5.6 kg ha ⁻¹)	4.36	3.16	1.56	
S3+Fe (5.6 kg ha ⁻¹)	3.50	2.80	1.50	
S4+Fe (5.6 kg ha ⁻¹)	3.53	2.70	1.50	
S5+Fe (5.6 kg ha ⁻¹)	3.63	2.63	1.46	

Source: Khalid et al., 2015 [61]

4.2 Breeding Interventions

When utilizable genetic variability is present in a species then genetic biofortification is conductible, but when there is no variability, transgenic approaches are well qualified [72]. Initially reduction of Phytic acid and polyphenols are used to be the fundamental approach of biofortification as these compounds are known to narrow down iron bioavailability. But recent studies implies that priority should be given to increase iron concentration rather than Phytic acid and Plyphenol reduction because those also have some beneficial properties and resist cancer cell [73,74]. Zein protein over expression on soybean increases methionine and cysteine content [75] and methionine content by cystathionine y-synthase, [76,77]. Increase in beta carotene and oleic acid in soybean has been attended by introducing bacterial PSY gene [78] and siRNA-mediated gene silencing had been used to reduce α -linolenic acids [79]. Similarly, linoleic acid and palmitic acid content of soybean was reduced by antisense RNA technology [80]. Storage albumin of Brazil nut which is rich source of methionine has been used to increase common bean methionine content [81] whereas, lupines methionine has been intensified by albumin of Sunflower [82]. A sensitive approach to understand the escalated zinc uptake is DNA strand breakage [83].

Field trials regarding genetic effect on selenium concentration reported significant difference among genotypes [84,85,86]. 94 pea genotypes

were grown in Saskatchewan field (University of Saskatchewan) and not a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) marker was noted to affect seed Se concentration [87]. In contrast, lentil and chickpea revealed genotypic variation associated with selenium concentration in Saskatchewan [88,89,86,90]. Field experiments conducted in Morocco, Nepal, Syria, Australia and Turkey were also ensured significant genetic variance in lentil Se concentration [22]. Mungbean [91] and soybean [92] also shown genetic variation. Bean has a potential to increase zinc content by 50% and iron by 60-80% as it evidence high heritability in zinc and iron content [93,94,95].

Table 8. Effect of foliar fertilization	n Fe, B and Zn content of cowpea
---	----------------------------------

	Treatment	Fe	В	Zn
			Mg kg ⁻¹	
	Control, 0 ppm	40.00	16.00	8.00
	Fe, 1 ppm	90.00	31.00	25.00
	Fe, 2 ppm	154.00	47.00	42.00
	B, 1 ppm	51.00	31.00	18.00
	B, 2 ppm	58.00	40.00	24.00
	Zn, 1 ppm	47.00	26.00	13.00
	Zn, 2 ppm	50.00	37.00	17.00
Tukey's	Treatment and concentration	1.28	1.35	1.35
HSD	Interaction	2.61	2.94	2.94

Source: Salih, 2013[63]

Table 9. Several lentil released varieties that possess high iron and zinc levels (The 2nd Global Conference on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, AshutoshSarker (ICARDA))

Country	Variety	Content (ppm)		
-	-	Fe	Zn	
Bangladesh	Barimusur-4	86.2		
-	Barimusur-5	86	59	
	Barimusur-6	86	63	
	Barimusur-7	81		
Nepal	Sisir	98	64	
•	Khajurah-2	100.7	59	
	Khajurah-1		58	
	Shekhar	83.4		
India	PusaVaibhav	102		
	L4704	125	74	
	IPL 220	73-114	51-64	
	PusaAgetiMasoor	65.0		
Syria	Idlib-2	73		
-	Idlib-3	72		
Ethiopia	Alemaya	82	66	

 Table 10. Iron biofortified bean variety released by harvest plus Garg et al. [72]

Rwanda	Democratic Republic of Congo
RWR 2245, RWR 2154, MAC 42, MAC 44, CAB	COD MLB 001, COD MLB 032, HM 21-7, RWR
2, RWV 1129, RWV 3006, RWV 3316, RWV	2245, PVA 1438, COD MLV 059, VCB 81013,
3317, and RWV 2887	Nain de Kyondo, Cuarentino, Namulenga.

4.3 Microbial Interventions

Phytoavailability of micronutrients can be increased by soil microorganisms like Rhizobium, Bacillus, Pseudomonasetc [96,97]. PGPR can be an alternate approach to biofortify pulses as it increases disease resistance [98,99], solubility of phosphorus [100,101] and root growth, [102,56]. But the implication of PGPR and other microorganisms in bioforti-[103]. fication of pulses are sparse Rhizobacteria produce siderophores which promote iron fortification in crop as well as revamps soil fertility directly by enhancing iron availability at rhizosphere or indirectly by reducing pathogen effect [104,105].

Grain protein concentration of chickpea ranged from 180 to 309 mg g⁻¹ with inoculation of *Bacillus* PSB1 and *M. ciceri* RC3 + *A. chroococcum* A4 + *Bacillus* PSB10 respectively with 25% yield advantage [101].

Fungi and bacteria improves bioavailability of zinc at rhizosphere zone [106,107] due to decline in soil pH [108,109], chelation [110] and increased root sphere [111].

Some biofortified pulse crop varieties were released across the world helping to combat the present situation of malnutrition and hidden hunger of mineral nutrients among the people (Table 9 and 10).

5. CONCLUSION

Largest number of hungry people especially children and women live in India which is quite alarming. In a developing country like India, where maximum people does not have sufficient access to afford commercially fortified food, diversified diet and food supplements, biofortification is an acceptable cost effective way to eliminate malnutrition. And evidences revealed that a nutritious food like pulse is one of the good options to fortify.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. FAO, IFAD, WFP. The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. FAO, Rome; 2015.
- 2. Prieto MB, Cid JLH. Malnutrition in the critically ill child: the importance of enteral

nutrition. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2011;8(11): 4353-4366.

- Bharati HP, Kavthekar SO, Kavthekar SS, Kurane AB. Prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies clinically in rural school going children. International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics. 2018;5(1):234-238.
- 4. Global Nutrition report; 2018.
- 5. National Family Health Survey Report-4, M/o Health & Family Welfare (2015-16).
- 6. Global Hunger Index; 2018.
- Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning. The Journal of nutrition. 2001;131(2):568S-580S.
- Shankar AH, Prasad AS. Zinc and immune function: The biological basis of altered resistance to infection. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1998;68(2): 447S-463S.
- Gilbert C, Foster A. Childhood blindness in the context of VISION 2020: The right to sight. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2001;79:227-232.
- Stein AJ, Meenakshi JV, Qaim M, Nestel P, Sachdev HPS, Bhutta ZA. Technical monograph 4.analysing the health benefits of biofortified staple crops by means of the disability-adjusted life years approach: A handbook focusing on iron, zinc and vitamin A. Washington, WA: Harvest Plus; 2005.
- Bhatnagar M, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Reddy SD, Anjaiah V, Sharma KK. Crop biofortification through genetic engineering: Present status and future directions. Institute of Biotechnology, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad 500 030 India; 2011. Available:///H:/Papers/Bio/2011Bhatnagare tal.BiofortRev.392-407.pdf.
- Bouis HE, Saltzman A. Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from Harvest Plus, 2003 through 2016. Global Food Security. 2017;12:49– 58.
- Bouis HE, Eozenou P, Rahman A. Food prices, household income, and resource allocation: Socioeconomic perspectives on their effects on dietary quality and nutritional status. Food and Nutrition Bulletin. 2011;32 (1):S14–S23.
- 14. Graham RD, Welch RM, Bouis H. Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the nutritional quality of

staple foods: Principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps. Advances in Agronomy. 2001;70:77–142.

- 15. Schneeman BO. Linking agricultural production and human nutrition. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2001; 81(1):3-9.
- Allen L, Benoist B, Dary O, Hurrell R. Guidelines on food fortification with micronutrients. World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2006.
- 17. White PJ, Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in Plant Science. 2005;10(12):586-593.
- Meenakshi JV, Johnson NL, Manyong VM, DeGroote H, Javelosa J, Yanggen DR, et al. How cost-effective is biofortification in combating micronutrient malnutrition? An ex ante assessment. World Development. 2010;38(1):64-75.
- Garcia-Banuelos ML, Sida-Arreola JP, Sanches E. Biofortification – promising approach to increasing the content of iron and zinc in staple food crops. Journal of Elementology. 2014;19(3):865–888.
- Hussain S, Maqsood MA, Rahmatullah M. Increasing grain zinc and yield of wheat for the developing world: A review. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2010;326-339.
- 21. Ofuya ZM, Akhidue V. The role of pulses in human nutrition: A review. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management. 2005;9(3):99-104.
- 22. Thavarajah P, Sarker A, Materne M, Vandemark G, Shrestha R, Idrissi O, et al. A global survey of effects of genotype and environment on selenium concentration in lentils (*Lens culinaris* L.): Implications for nutritional fortification strategies. Food Chemistry. 2011;125(1):72-76.
- 23. Johnson SE, Lauren JG, Welch RM, Duxbury JM. A comparison of the effects of micronutrient seed priming and soil fertilization on the mineral nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), lentil (*Lens culinaris*), rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Nepal. Experimental Agriculture. 2005;41(4):427-448.
- 24. Underwood EJ, Suttle NF. 3rd ed. Wallingford: CABI International Publishin. The mineral nutrition of livestock. 1999;614.
- 25. CDC. Breastfeeding Report Card, United states: Outcome Indicators (Publication, from Centers for Disease Control and

Prevention, National Immunization Survey; 2010.

- Failla ML. Trace elements and host defense: Recent advances and continuing challenges. The Journal of Nutrition. 2003; 133(5):1443S-1447S.
- 27. Viteri FE. Anemia and physical work capacity. Clinics in Haematolgy. 1974;3: 609-626.
- 28. Beard JL, Connor JR. Iron status and neural functioning. Annual Review of Nutrition. 2003;23(1):41-58.
- Brown KH, Peerson JM, Rivera J, Allen LH. Effect of supplemental zinc on the growth and serum zinc concentrations of prepubertal children: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2002;75(6): 1062-1071.
- 30. Hambidge KM, Walravens PA. Disorders of mineral metabolism. Clinics in Gastroenterology. 1982;11(1):87-117.
- Elahi MM, Kong YX, Matata BM. Oxidative stress as a mediator of cardiovascular disease. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2009;2(5):259-269.
- Markesbery WR, Lovell MA. DNA oxidation in Alzheimer's disease. Antioxidants and Redox Signaling. 2006;8(11-12):2039-2045.
- Klauni EJ, Kamendulis ML. The role of oxidative stress in carsinogenesis. Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2004;44:239-267.
- Cui H, Kong Y, Zhang H. Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and aging. Journal of Signal Transduction. 2012;13.
- Shirley R, Ord E, Work L. Oxidative stress and the use of antioxidants in stroke. Antioxidants. 2014;3(3):472-501.
- Ventura M, Melo M, Carrilho F. Selenium and thyroid disease: From pathophysiology to treatment. International Journal of Endocrinology. 2017;9.
- Norton LR, Hoffmann RP. Selenium and asthma. Molecular Aspects of Medicine. 2012;33(1): 98–106.
- Steinbrenner H, Al-Quraishy S, Dkhil MA, Wunderlich F, Sies H. Dietary selenium in adjuvant therapy of viral and bacterial infections. Advances in Nutrition. 2015; 6(1):73-82.
- ICMR; 2010. Available:http://icmr.nic.in/final/rda-2010.pdf
- 40. Bouis HE, Welch RM. Biofortification—A sustainable agricultural strategy for

reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south. Crop Science. 2010;50: 20.

- De Valença AW, Bake A, Brouwer ID, Giller KE. Agronomic biofortification of crops to fight hidden hunger in sub-Saharan Africa. Global Food Security. 2017;12:8-14.
- Singh MK, Prasad SK. Agronomic aspects of zinc biofortification in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India section B: Biological Sciences. 2014;84(3):613-623.
- 43. Rietra RPJJ, Heinen M, Dimpka C, Bindraban PS. Effects of nutrient antagonism and synergism on fertilizer use effciency. VFRC Report 2015/5.Virtual Fertilizer Research Centre, Washington, DC. 2015;47.
- Manzeke GM, Mapfumo P, Mtambanengwe F, Chikowo R, Tendayi T, Cakmak I. Soil fertility management effects on maize productivity and grain zinc content in smallholder farming systems of Zimbabwe. Plant and Soil. 2012;361(1-2):57–69.
- 45. Vanlauwe B, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE, Huising J, Merckx R, Nziguheba G, et al. Integrated soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa: Unravelling local adaptation. Soil. 2015;1(1): 491–508.
- Voortman R, Bindraban PS. Beyond N and P: Toward a land resource ecology perspective and impactful fertilizer interventions in sub-Saharan Africa. VFRC Report 2015/1.Virtual Fertilizer Research Center, Washington, DC; 2015.
- Lawson PG, Daum D, Czaudema R, Meuser H, Harling JW. Soil versus foliar iodine fertilization as a biofortification strategy for field-grown vegetables. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2015;6:450.
- Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H, Taran B. Effects of zinc application strategy on zinc content and productivity of chickpea grown under zinc deficient soils. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2017; 17(1):112-126.
- Phattarakul N, Rerkasem B, Li LJ, Wu LH, Zou CQ, Ram H, et al. Biofortification of rice grain with zinc through zinc fertilization in different countries. Plant and Soil. 2012;361(1-2):131–141.
- Duffner A, Hoffand E, Stomph TJ, Melse-Boonstra A, Bindraban PS. Eliminating zinc deficiency in rice-based systems. VFRC Report 2014/2. Virtual Fertilizer

Research Center, Washington, D.C; 2014.

- Cakmak I. Agronomic biofortification. Conference brief #8, In: Proceedings of the 2nd Global Conference on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Rwanda; 2014.
- Hussain S, Maqsood MA, Rengel Z, Aziz T, Abid M. Estimated zinc bioavailability in milling fractions of biofortified wheat grains and in flours of different extraction rates. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2013;15(5):921–926.
- 53. Molina MG, Quiroz CM, de la Cruz Lazaro E, Martinez JRV, Parra JMS, Carrillo MG, et al. Biofortification of cowpea beans (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) with iron and zinc. Mexican Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2016;17:3427-3438.
- Shivay YS, Prasad R, Pal M. Effects of source and method of zinc application on yield, zinc biofortification of grain, and Zn uptake and use efficiency in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2015; 46(17):2191-2200.
- 55. Cakmak I, Pfeiffer WH, McClafferty B. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. Cereal Chemistry. 2010;87(1):10-20.
- Zhang Y, Shi R, Rezaul KM, Zhang F, Zou C. Iron and zinc concentrations in grain and flour of winter wheat as affected by foliar application. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2010;58(23):12268-12274.
- Martre P, Porter JR, Jamieson PD, Triboï E. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. Plant Physiology. 2003;133(4):1959-1967.
- Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H, Taran B. Agronomic approach to increase seed zinc content and productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties on zinc deficient soils of southern Ethiopia. Advances in Life Science and Technology. 2016;42.
- Márquez-Quiroz C, De-la-Cruz-Lázaro E, OsorioOsorio R, Sánchez-Chávez E. Biofortification of cowpea beans with iron: iron's influence on mineral content and yield. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2015;15(4):839-847.
- 60. Ali B, Ali A, Tahir M, Ali S. Growth, Seed yield and quality of mungbean as

influenced by foliar application of iron sulfate. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences. 2014;12(1):20-25.

- Khalid S, Asghar HN, Akhtar MJ, Aslam A, Zahir ZA. Biofortification of iron in chickpea by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2015;47(3):1191-1194.
- Sida-Árreola JP, Sánchez E, Ojeda-Barrios DL, Ávila-uezada GD, Flores-Córdova MA, Márquez-Quiroz C, et al. Can biofortification of zinc improve the antioxidant capacity and nutritional quality of beans? Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2017;29(3):237.
- 63. Salih HO. Effect of foliar fertilization of Fe, B and Zn on nutrient concentration and seed protein of Cowpea "*Vigna unguiculata*". Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2013;6(3):42-46.
- Nandan B, Sharma BC, Chand G, Bazgalia K, Kumar R, Banotra M. Agronomic fortification of Zn and Fe in chickpea an emerging tool for nutritional security A global perspective. Acta Scientific Nutritional Health. 2018;2(4):12-19.
- 65. White PJ, Broadley MR. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human diets–iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytologist. 2009;182(1):49-84.
- 66. Alfthan G, Eurola M, Ekholm P. Effects of nationwide addition of selenium to fertilizers on foods, and animal and human health in Finland: From deficiency to optimal selenium status of the population. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology. 2015;31:142–147.
- Broadley MR, White PJ, Bryson RJ, Meacham MC, Bowen HC, Johnson SE, et al. Biofortification of UK food crops with selenium Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 2006;65(2):169-181.
- Fordyce FM. Selenium deficiency and toxicity in the environment. In Essentials of medical geology. Springer, Dordrecht. 2013;375-416
- Pilbeam DJ, Greathead HMR, Drihem K. Selenium. In: AV Barker, DJ Pilbeam, eds. A handbook of plant nutrition, 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. 2015;165– 198.
- 70. Smrkolj P, Germ M, Kreft I, Stibilj V. Respiratory potential and Se compounds in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) plants grown from Se-enriched seeds. Journal of

Experimental Botany. 2006;57(14):3595-3600.

- Smrkolj P, Osvald M, Osvald J, Stibilj V. Selenium uptake and species distribution in selenium-enriched bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seeds obtained by two different cultivations. European Food Research and Technology. 2007;225(2): 233-237.
- 72. Garg M, Sharma N, Sharma S, Kapoor P, Kumar A, Chunduri V, et al. Biofortified crops generated by breeding, agronomy and transgenic approaches are improving lives of millions of people around the world. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2018;5:12. DOI: doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00012
- Pixley KV, Palacios-Rojas N, Glahn RP. The usefulness of iron bioavailability as a target trait for breeding maize (*Zea mays* L.) with enhanced nutritional value. Field Crops Research. 2011;123(2):153-160.
- Murgia I, Arosio P, Tarantino D, Soave C. Biofortification for combating 'hidden hunger' for iron. Trends in Plant Science. 2012;17(1):47-55.
- 75. Dinkins RD, Reddy MS, Meurer CA, Yan B, Trick H, Thibaud-Nissen F, et al. Increased sulfur amino acids in soybean plants over expressing the maize 15 k Dazein protein. *In vitro* Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant. 2001;37(6);742-747.
- 76. Song S, Hou W, Godo I, Wu C, Yu Y, Matityahu I, et al. Soybean seeds expressing feedback-insensitive cystathionine γ-synthase exhibit a higher content of methionine. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2013;64(7):1917-1926.
- 77. Hanafy MS, Rahman SM, Nakamoto Y, Fujiwara T, Naito S, Wakasa K, et al. Differential response of methionine metabolism in two grain legumes, soybean and azuki bean, expressing a mutated form of Arabidopsis cystathionine γsynthase. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2013;170(3):338-345.
- 78. Schmidt MA, Parrott WA, Hildebrand DF, Berg RH, Cooksey A, Pendarvis K, et al. Transgenic soya bean seeds accumulating β-carotene exhibit the collateral enhancements of oleate and protein content traits. Plant Biotechnology Journal. 2015;13(4):590-600.
- Flores T, Karpova O, Su X, Zeng P, Bilyeu K, Sleper DA, et al. Silencing of GmFAD3 gene by siRNA leads to low α-linolenic acids (18: 3) of fad3-mutant phenotype in

soybean [*Glycine max* (Merr.)]. Transgenic Research. 2008;17(5):839-850.

- Zhang L, Yang XD, Zhang YY, Yang J, Qi GX, Guo DQ, Xing GJ, et al. Changes in oleic acid content of transgenic soybeans by antisense RNA mediated posttranscriptional gene silencing. International Journal of Genomics. 2014;8.
- 81. Aragão FJL, Barros LMG, De Sousa MV, Grossi de Sá MF, Almeida ERP, Gander, et al. Expression of a methionine-rich storage albumin from the Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa* HBK, Lecythidaceae) in transgenic bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Fabaceae). Genetics and Molecular Biology. 1999;22(3):445-449.
- 82. Molvig L, Tabe LM, Eggum BO, Moore AE, Craig S, Spencer D, et al. Enhanced methionine levels and increased nutritive value of seeds of transgenic lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) expressing a sunflower seed albumin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1997;94(16):8393-8398.
- King JC, Brown KH, Gibson RS, Krebs NF, Lowe NM, Siekmann JH, et al. Biomarkers of Nutrition for Development (BOND)— Zinc review. The Journal of Nutrition. 2015; 146(4):858S-885S.
- Thavarajah D, Warkentin T, Vandenberg A. Natural enrichment of selenium in Saskachewan field peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 2010;90:383–389.
- Barrett RG, Gawalko E, Wang N, Richter A, Warkentin TD. Macro-relationships between regional-scale field pea (*Pisum sativum*) selenium chemistry and environmental factors in western Canada. Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 2013; 93(6):1059-1071.
- Ray H, Bett K, Tar'an B, Vandenberg A, Thavarajah D, Warkentin T. Mineral micronutrient content of cultivars of field pea, chickpea, common bean, and lentil grown in Saskatchewan, Canada. Crop Science. 2014;54(4):1698-1708.
- Diapari M, Sindhu A, Warkentin TD, Bett K, Tar'an B. Population structure and marker-trait association studies of iron, zinc and selenium concentrations in seed of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Molecular Breeding. 2015;35(1):30.
- Thavarajah D, Ruszkowski J, Vandenberg
 A. High potential for selenium biofortification of lentils (*Lens culinaris* L.).

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2008;57:10747–10753.

- Thavarajah P. Evaluation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) micronutrient composition: Biofortification opportunities to combat global micronutrient malnutrition. Food Research International. 2012;49(1): 99-104.
- Rahman MM, Erskine W, Materne MA, McMurray LM, Thavarajah P, Thavarajah D, et al. Enhancing selenium concentration in lentil (*Lens culinaris* subsp. culinaris) through foliar application. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 2015; 153(4):656-665.
- 91. Nair RM, Thavarajah P, Giri RR, Ledesma D, Yang RY, Hanson P, et al. Mineral and phenolic concentrations of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek var. radiata] grown in semi-arid tropical India. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2015;39: 23-32.
- Yang F, Chen L, Hu Q, Pan G. Effect of the application of selenium on selenium content of soybean and its products. Biological Trace Element Research. 2003; 93(1-3):249-256.
- Blair MW, Astudillo C, Grusak MA, Graham R, Beebe SE. Inheritance of seed iron and zinc concentrations in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Molecular Breeding. 2009;23(2):197-207.
- 94. Beebe S, Gonzalez AV, Rengifo J. Research on trace minerals in the common bean. Food and Nutrition Bulletin. 2000; 21(4):387-391.
- 95. Petry N, Boy E, Wirth JP, Hurrell RF. Review: The potential of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) as a vehicle for iron biofortification. Nutrients. 2015;7:1144– 1173.
- Rengel Z, Batten GD, Crowley DD. Agronomic approaches for improving the micronutrient density in edible portions of field crops. Field Crops Research. 1999; 60(1-2):27-40.
- 97. Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. 3rd ed. London, UK: Elsevier; 2007.
- 98. Phi QT, Park YM, Seul KJ, Ryu CM, Park SH, Kim JG, et al. Assessment of rootassociated *Paenibacillus polymyxa* groups on growth promotion and induced systemic resistance in pepper. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2010; 20(12):1605-1613.

- 99. Dary M, Chamber-Peerez MA, Palomares AJ, Pajuelo E. "In situ" phytostabilisation of heavy metal polluted soils using *Lupinusluteus* inoculated with metal resistant plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2010;177(1):323-330.
- Richardson AE. Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. Functional Plant Biology. 2001;28(9):897-906.
- 101. Wani P, Khan M, Zaidi A. Co-inoculation of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria to promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake in chickpea. Acta Agronomica Hungarica. 2007;55(3):315-323.
- 102. Glick BR. The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 1995;41(2):109-117.
- 103. De Santiago A, Quintero JM, Aviles M, Delgado A. Effect of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34 on iron, copper, manganese, and zinc uptake by wheat grown on a calcareous medium. Plant and Soil. 2011;342(1-2):97-104.
- 104. Rana A, Joshi M, Prasanna R, Shivay YS, Nain L. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. European Journal of Soil Biology. 2012;50:118-126.
- 105. Srivastava MP, Tewari R, Sharma N. Effect of different cultural variables on siderophores produced by *Trichoderma*

spp. International Journal of Advance Research. 2013;1:1-6.

- 106. Fasim F, Ahmed N, Parsons R, Gadd GM. Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from the air environment of a tannery. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 2002;213(1):1-6.
- 107. Biari A, Gholami A, Rahmani HA. Growth promotion and enhanced nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in arid region of Iran. Journal of Biological Science. 2008;8:1015–1020.
- 108. Koide RT, Kabir Z. Extra radical hyphae of the mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* can hydrolyse organic phosphate. New Phytologist. 2000;148: 511–517.
- 109. Subramanian KS, Tenshia V, Jayalakshmi K, Ramach V. Role of arbuscularmycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus intraradices*) (fungus aided) in zinc nutrition of maize. Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development. 2009;1(1):029-038.
- 110. Whiting SN, de Souza MP, Terry N. Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulation by *Thlaspi caerulescens*. Environmental Science and Technology. 2001;35:3144–3150.
- 111. Bürkert B, Robson A. 65Zn uptake in subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum* L.) by three vesiculararbuscularmycorrhizal fungi in a root-free sandy soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 1994;26(9):1117-1124.

© 2019 Ghosh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49289