THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications

Graduate School of Oceanography

2017

Microplastics are not important for the cycling and bioaccumulation of organic pollutants in the oceans – but should microplastics be considered POPs themselves?

Rainer Lohmann University of Rhode Island, rlohmann@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article. Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Lohmann, Rainer. Microplastics are not important for the cycling and bioaccumulation of organic pollutants in the oceans – but should microplastics be considered POPs themselves? *Integr Env Assess Monit* **2017**, *13*, 460-465.

Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1914

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Oceanography at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

1	Microplastics are not important for the cycling and bioaccumulation of organic pollutants
2	in the oceans – but should microplastics be considered POPs themselves?
3	by Rainer Lohmann
4	
5	Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island
6	02882-1197, United States.
7	Email: rlohmann@uri.edu
8	
9	Background
10	This commentary discusses the current evidence about current prevailing themes on the
11	relationship between marine microplastics and organic pollutants. In this context, microplastics
12	can be defined as particles < 5 mm in size [<i>Thompson et al.</i> , 2004]. This plastic debris, mostly
13	from anthropogenic land-based sources, fragments into smaller pieces over time [Jambeck et al.,
14	2015].
15	
16	Do microplastics accumulate high concentrations of organic pollutants.
17	The current body of evidence suggests that this is true, as microplastics act – as do polymers in
18	general - as passive samplers of organic pollutants. The specific affinity of a given organic
19	pollutant for a polymer dictates its overall enrichment factor (partitioning constant) in the
20	microplastic [Rusina et al., 2007]. The specific affinity for various hydrophobic organic
21	contaminants (HOCs) for polymers has been determined in numerous laboratory and field
22	calibrations in which the partitioning constants between passive samplers and water or air were
23	measured [Adams et al., 2007; Rusina et al., 2007; Smedes et al., 2009; Lohmann, 2012;

Pintado-Herrera et al., 2016; *Ziccardi et al.*, 2016]. The polymers most commonly used as
passive samplers in field experiments include polyethylene (PE), silicone rubber (SR) and
polyoxymethylene (POM) sheets.

There is also plenty of field evidence showing that generic plastic debris accumulates organic
pollutants [*Karapanagioti et al.*, 2011; *Rochman et al.*, 2012; *Endo et al.*, 2013]. A prominent
example is the so-called 'pellet watch' global monitoring program, which relies on plastic pellets
collected by volunteers from across the globe [*Hirai et al.*, 2011]. In these studies, strong
enrichment of HOCs in the polymers, often exceeding 10⁶ times relative to their dissolved
concentrations was found.

33

How significantly will microplastics contribute to the dispersion and global cycling ofPOPs?

It has become common knowledge that microplastics are present around the globe, and have 36 37 been found in all ocean gyres, coastal seas and beaches [Jambeck et al., 2015; Sebille et al., 2015]. It should therefore be no surprise that the concept of microplastics as being important for 38 39 the global dispersion of organic pollutants, in particular persistent organic pollutants (POPs), a 40 subgroup of persistent HOCs, has been suggested. Yet, numerous studies have refuted that idea [Zarfl and Matthies, 2010; Gouin et al., 2011; Koelmans et al., 2016; Ziccardi et al., 2016]. 41 42 There is simply not enough microplastic and plastic debris present in the oceans to outcompete 43 the partitioning of POPs to water and natural organic matter (such as phytoplankton). In Koelman et al. [2016]'s analysis of a strongly HOC (concentrated 10⁷ times from water), ocean 44 water nonetheless contained 99% of the HOC, followed by DOC and colloids (0.4% each); 45 46 microplastics captured ~ 10^{-4} % of the total mass present in the oceans.

In addition, diffusion of HOCs in and out of microplastics is slow. The time for various dissolved 47 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) to reach equilibrium with a 50 µm or 500 µm PE sheet ranges 48 from days to decades [Lohmann and Muir, 2010; Endo et al., 2013]. Thus the release of these 49 50 contaminants from the microplastic present in the remote ocean will be strongly retarded [Endo 51 et al., 2013; Bakir et al., 2014b] and only add a small contribution relative to already present 52 POPs at any given place and time. Results by Zarfl and Matthies [2010] also implied that microplastics are not an efficient transport vector of HOCs in comparison to long range transport 53 by ocean or atmosphere, except for very high log K_{ow} chemicals, which have otherwise limited 54 transport potential in air and water. 55 56 Lastly, a comparison of microplastic particle density in the Pacific Ocean as detected by the Sea Education Association (SEA; Lavender-Law, personal communication) and measured 57 concentrations of PCBs in surface seawater in the region [Zhang and Lohmann, 2010] found 58 59 little correlation between both. 60 61 To what extent to microplastics contribute to the bioaccumulation and foodweb transfer of **POPs?** 62 There has been a long-standing assumption in many articles and studies that microplastics are 63 efficient carriers of organic pollutants into biota and the foodweb [Teuten et al., 2009; Rochman 64 et al., 2013; Chua et al., 2014; Batel et al., 2016; Wardrop et al., 2016]. Such arguments have 65

been based on the notion that microplastics enrich various POPs (correct, see above), coupled

67 with the assumption that inside an animal, these pollutants are stripped off or leach out of the

68 microplastic and are taken up by the organism (e.g.,[*Teuten et al.*, 2007; *Bakir et al.*, 2014a]). It

69 is worth recalling that chemicals diffuse to achieve the same chemical activity in the

environment, be that water, microplastic or biota [Schwarzenbach et al., 2003]. Just because 70 microplastics display greater concentrations of POPs than present in water does not mean that 71 there is a greater tendency for these pollutants to diffuse out of the plastic particles. The potential 72 importance of microplastics as carriers of POPs into animals remains a strong theme in 73 discussion on microplastics, though, seemingly corroborated by empirical evidence [Teuten et 74 75 al., 2009], it requires deeper examination. This will be addressed in more detail below using the three scenarios outlined in Figure 1, in which (a) a naturally contaminated fish ingests a naturally 76 contaminated microplastic (e.g., both collected in the wild); (b) a clean fish consumes a 77 contaminated microplastic particle (e.g., in laboratory experiments), and (c) a reverse set-up, 78 where a contaminated fish consumes a pollutant-free microplastic particle. Examples from the 79 literature supporting these different scenarios are listed in Table 1. At a very basic level, 80 equilibrium partitioning thinking can be used to define simple expectations in which way organic 81 pollutants will move in a bioaccumulation thought experiment. 82

83

84 Scenario A

As outlined in scenario a) (Figure 1) a fish and piece of microplastic both contain POPs 85 86 already, simply from occurring in the environment. The presence of POPs in the fish, and a microplastic residing in the same environment, are driven by the contaminants' chemical activity 87 88 and ought to be the same in both fish and microplastic particles. The ingestion of the 89 microplastic by the fish does not change the contaminant burden by the fish or the microplastic, 90 as they are both already in equilibrium [Gouin et al., 2011]. This should be the most prevalent 91 interaction of biota, microplastics, and POPs in the natural environment, as animals are 92 constantly taking up POPs from the environment via their diet and respiration. There are neither

93 clean (i.e., POPs-free) oceans nor animals present, which means that they will bioaccumulate
94 POPs regardless of whether they ingest microplastics or not.

95 In the South Atlantic Ocean, there was generally no correlation between HOCs in microplastics

96 and amphipods [*Rochman et al.*, 2014]. Of the targeted HOCs (bisphenol A (BPA), alkylphenols,

97 alkylphenol ethoxylates, PCBs, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)), only PBDEs

98 displayed increased body burdens in regions where more microplastics were present. The

99 presence of PBDEs in tissues could be due to the presence of small microplastic particles during

100 the extraction (see below). Another good example of scenario of (a) is the recent study in which

birds and the microplastics in their gut were analyzed for PCBs [Herzke et al., 2016]. The

authors concluded that the presence of PCBs in the fulmars due to the ingestion of plastics was

103 negligible relative to the uptake of PCBs via their prey.

104 Similar conclusions were reached earlier by Gouin et al. (2011) based on theoretical

105 considerations based on a bioaccumulation food web model. Lastly, Koelmans et al. (2016) also

106 concluded that there is no experimental or theoretical evidence for an important role of

107 microplastics in the transfer of POPs into animals.

108

109 Scenario B

110 This scenario consists of exposing clean animals from a reference site to microplastics 111 containing a high concentration of POPs, either from laboratory dosing or from microplastics 112 exposed to contaminants at urban/industrialized sites. Most reported bioaccumulation studies 113 with microplastics are based on this scenario, such as the ingestion of PBDE-spiked particles by 114 amphipods in the laboratory [*Chua et al.*, 2014]. Interestingly, the experiment actually resulted in 115 decreased PBDE bioaccumulation uptake relative to control animals. Other experiments used field-contaminated microplastic particles. For example, Teuten et al. (2009) described the
feeding of microplastics naturally contaminated by PCBs from Tokyo Bay to shearwater chicks
hidden in a fish diet. Initially, some uptake of lower chlorinated PCBs was observed, but the
PCBs ingested from the bird's prey fish outweighed the birds' body burden over time [*Teuten et al.*, 2009].

121 In general, an efficient transfer of POPs from the microplastic to the animals is observed. This is due to the experimental design, and shows that microplastic can be used as a vector for POPs 122 into animals. It does not demonstrate, however, that this pathway is relevant in the field. As 123 noted above, animals in the wild are typically as 'contaminated' with respect to POPs as the 124 microplastic particles they might consume. The conclusion that microplastics is not an important 125 transfer process was also reached in a study exposing lugworms to sediments enriched with field-126 contaminated polystyrene particles [Besseling et al., 2013]. The observed increase in PCB 127 bioaccumulation (1.1 - 1.5 times relative to controls) was only observed at low concentrations of 128 129 polystyrene particles.

130

131 Scenario C

This scenario is the reverse of scenario b) in which a POP-contaminated fish is fed clean microplastic to determine if this will lower its body burden with respect to the POP. The idea is based on research that olestra, a non-digestible fat, can be used to remove POPs from contaminated animals [*Moser and McLachlan*, 1999]. Gouin et al. (2011) picked up this idea in their bioaccumulation model, suggesting that the ingestion of clean microplastic could indeed cause a decreased body burden in animals. Recently, Rummel et al. (2016), did not observe a significant decrease in bioaccumulation of PCBs in rainbow trout allowed to ingest cleanmicroplastics.

140

141 Do microplastics transfer other organic contaminants into biota?

142 As discussed above, there is little evidence that microplastics play a major role in the

bioaccumulation of POPs, when compared to the role of diet in nature. As already discussed by

144 [*Teuten et al.*, 2009] and [*Gouin et al.*, 2011], microplastics could become an important pathway

145 for polymer additives that otherwise would not be easily transferred into the marine environment.

146 In particular, [*Teuten et al.*, 2009] suggested research should focus on the release of phenolic

147 additive-derived chemicals (i.e., alkylphenols and BPA) from microplastics in the food web. Yet

neither a modeling study by [*Koelmans et al.*, 2014] nor the field study by [*Rochman et al.*,

149 2014] found evidence that the ingestion of microplastics is relevant for the uptake of these

150 compounds by biota.

151 Several recent studies highlighted that certain chemicals, likely originating from plastic particles, can indeed be transferred into animals. The presence of highly brominated BDEs 183 and 209 in 152 seabirds was linked to their ingestion of marine plastics [Tanaka et al., 2013]. The birds' prev 153 154 items had no detectable BDE 183 and 109 concentrations but these contaminants were observed in both the birds and ingested plastic debris particles. Similarly, the presence of 155 hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) in Styrofoam and blue mussels from coastal South Korea 156 were linked [Jang et al., 2016]. Elevated concentrations, and a α/γ HBCD ratio closer to that of 157 Styrofoam were detected in mussels colonizing Styrofoam buoys, when compared to mussels 158

159 collected from other substrates and regions along the coast. Overall, strong evidence was

7

presented for a direct pathway of HBCD from the Styrofoam buoy into the mussel, including thedetection of Styrofoam particles in the mussels themselves.

As there is good evidence that brominated compounds can be metabolized in animals [*Stapleton et al.*, 2004], the presence of several low solubility brominated compounds (highly brominated BDEs, HBCD) in biota, linked to the ingestion of microplastics, seems surprising at first. Yet it might actually indicate that these compounds are not properly dissolved in the animals, but rather part of nanoplastic particles dispersed within the animals' tissue and organs.

167

168 Should microplastics be considered POPs?

While the preceding discussion highlighted that microplastics in the oceans do little to affect the presence and transfer of most organic pollutants at this point, there is still plenty of evidence that microplastics are harmful and their impact should be minimized, as far as possible. This be could be seen as a contribution towards a sustainable use of resources.

173 One approach would be to consider classifying microplastics as potential pollutants under the

174 Stockholm Convention on POPs [UNEP, 2001]. Four criteria, namely persistence,

bioaccumulation, long-range transport and adverse effects (Table 2), must be met for a

176 compound to be listed as a POP. There is strong evidence that microplastics are persistent, as a

177 result of their industrial polymer properties and additives [*Gewert et al.*, 2015], and that they

undergo long-range transport, as documented by their widespread presence in remote oceans

179 [Lavender Law et al., 2010; Sebille et al., 2015]. Several ecotoxicologial studies highlight

- adverse effects, though these experiments are often performed at unrealistically high doses of
- 181 microplastic exposure. The classical concept of bioaccumulation and biomagnification on a

molecular level is not met, but there is evidence that microplastics are present in top predatorsand are transferred up the food chain.

184

- 185 In summary, there is little evidence that marine microplastics affect the global transport or
- 186 bioaccumulation of POPs in the oceans. In terms of bioaccumulation, experimental designs can
- 187 be manipulated to show that microplastics are a vector of POPs into organisms in the laboratory.
- 188 Yet, there is scant evidence from field studies that the ingestion of microplastics affects the
- 189 bioaccumulation of POPs. While there are some studies that show several low-solubility
- 190 compounds increase in animals that have ingested more microplastics, this might in fact be from

191 the presence of micro- and nanoplastic particles in those animals. Just because microplastics are

- 192 not relevant for the transport of POPs does not take away from their potential for detrimental
- 193 impacts on the environment. A possibility to address these concerns could be to consider marine
- 194 (micro)plastics as POPs, and rely on the Stockholm Convention to reduce their sources.
- 195

196 **References**

- Adams, R. G., R. Lohmann, L. A. Fernandez, J. K. MacFarlane, and P. M. Gschwend (2007),
 Polyethylene devices: Passive samplers for measuring dissolved hydrophobic organic
 compounds in aquatic environments, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, *41*(4), 1317–1323,
 doi:10.1021/es0621593.
- Bakir, A., S. J. Rowland, and R. C. Thompson (2014a), Enhanced desorption of persistent
 organic pollutants from microplastics under simulated physiological conditions, *Environ. Pollut.*, 185, 16–23, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.007.
- Bakir, A., S. J. Rowland, and R. C. Thompson (2014b), Estuarine , Coastal and Shelf Science
 Transport of persistent organic pollutants by microplastics in estuarine conditions, *Estuar*.
 Coast. Shelf Sci., 140, 14–21, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2014.01.004.
- Batel, A., F. Linti, M. Scherer, L. Erdinger, and T. Braunbeck (2016), Transfer of
 benzo[a]pyrene from microplastics to Artemia Nauplii and further to zebrafish via a trophic
 food web experiment: CYP1A induction and visual tracking of persistent organic pollutants, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 35(7), 1656–1666, doi:10.1002/etc.3361.
- 211 Besseling, E., A. Wegner, E. M. Foekema, M. J. Van Den Heuvel-Greve, and A. A. Koelmans
- 212 (2013), Effects of Microplastic on Fitness and PCB Bioaccumulation by the Lugworm

- 213 Arenicola marina (L.), *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 593–600.
- Chua, E. M., J. Shimeta, D. Nugegoda, P. D. Morrison, and B. O. Clarke (2014), Assimilation of
 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers from Microplastics by the Marine Amphipod, Allorchestes
 Compressa, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48, 8127–8134.
- Endo, S., M. Yuyama, and H. Takada (2013), Desorption kinetics of hydrophobic organic
 contaminants from marine plastic pellets, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 74(1), 125–131,
- 219 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.018.
- Gewert, B., M. M. Plassmann, and M. Macleod (2015), Pathways for degradation of plastic
 polymers floating in the marine environment, *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts*, *17*, 1513–
 1521, doi:10.1039/C5EM00207A.
- Gouin, T., N. Roche, R. Lohmann, and G. Hodges (2011), A thermodynamic approach for
 assessing the environmental exposure of chemicals absorbed to microplastic., *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 1466–72, doi:10.1021/es1032025.
- Herzke, D., T. Anker-nilssen, T. H. Nøst, A. Go, S. Christensen-dalsgaard, M. Langset, K.
- Fangel, and A. A. Koelmans (2016), Negligible Impact of Ingested Microplastics on Tissue
 Concentrations of Persistent Organic Pollutants in Northern Fulmars o ff Coastal Norway, ,
 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b04663.
- Hirai, H. et al. (2011), Organic micropollutants in marine plastics debris from the open ocean
 and remote and urban beaches, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 62(8), 1683–1692,
 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.06.004.
- Jambeck, J. R., R. Geyer, C. Wilcox, T. R. Siegler, M. Perryman, A. Andrady, R. Narayan, and
 K. L. Law (2015), Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean., *Science (80-.).*,
- doi:10.1126/science.1260352.
- Jang, M., W. J. Shim, G. M. Han, M. Rani, Y. K. Song, and S. H. HONG (2016), Styrofoam
 debris as a source of hazardous additives for marine organisms, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
 acs.est.5b05485, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b05485.
- Karapanagioti, H. K., S. Endo, Y. Ogata, and H. Takada (2011), Diffuse pollution by persistent
 organic pollutants as measured in plastic pellets sampled from various beaches in Greece.,
 Mar. Pollut. Bull., 62, 312–317.
- Koelmans, A. A., E. Besseling, and E. M. Foekema (2014), Leaching of plastic additives to
 marine organisms, *Environ. Pollut.*, *187*, 49–54, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.12.013.
- Koelmans, A. A., A. Bakir, G. A. Burton, and C. R. Janssen (2016), Microplastic as a Vector for
 Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment: Critical Review and Model-Supported
- Reinterpretation of Empirical Studies, *Env. Sci Technol*, *50*, 3315–3326,
 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b06069.
- Lavender Law, K., S. Morét-Ferguson, N. A. Maximenko, G. Proskurowski, E. E. Peacock, J.
 Hafner, and C. M. Reddy (2010), Plastic Accumulation in the North Atlantic Subtropical
 Gyre, *Science* (80-.)., 329, 1185–1188, doi:10.1126/science.1192321.
- Lohmann, R. (2012), Critical Review of Low-Density Polyethylene's Partitioning and Diffusion
 Coefficients for Trace Organic Contaminants and Implications for Its Use As a Passive
 Sampler, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 606–618.
- Lohmann, R., and D. Muir (2010), Global aquatic passive sampling (AQUA-GAPS): Using
 passive samplers to monitor POPs in the waters of the world, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 44(3),
 860–864, doi:10.1021/es902379g.
- 257 Moser, G. A., and M. S. McLachlan (1999), A non-absorbable dietary fat substitute enhances
- elimination of persistent lipophilic contaminants in humans., *Chemosphere*, 39(9), 1513–

- 259 1521.
- Pintado-Herrera, M. G., P. A. Lara-Martín, E. González-Mazo, and I. J. Allan (2016),
 Determination of silicone rubber and low-density polyethylene diffusion and polymer/water
 partition coefficients for emerging contaminants, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, *35*(9), 2162–
 263 2172, doi:10.1002/etc.3390.
- Rochman, C. M., E. Hoh, B. T. Hentschel, and S. Kaye (2012), Long-Term Field Measurement
 of Sorption of Organic Contaminants to Five Types of Plastic Pellets: Implications for
 Plastic Marine Debris,
- Rochman, C. M., E. Hoh, T. Kurobe, and S. J. Teh (2013), Ingested plastic transfers hazardous
 chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress, *Sci. Rep.*, *3*, 3263–3269,
 doi:10.1038/srep03263.
- Rochman, C. M., R. L. Lewison, M. Eriksen, H. Allen, A. Cook, and S. J. Teh (2014),
 Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in fish tissue may be an indicator of plastic contamination in marine habitats, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 476–477, 622–633,
- doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.01.058.
- Rusina, T. P., F. Smedes, J. Klanova, K. Booi, and I. Holoubek (2007), Polymer selection for
 passive sampling : A comparison of critical properties, *Chemosphere*, 68, 1344–1351,
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.01.025.
- Schwarzenbach, R. P., P. M. Gschwend, and D. M. Imboden (2003), *Environmental Organic Chemistry*, 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Sebille, E. Van, C. Wilcox, L. Lebreton, N. Maximenko, B. D. Hardesty, J. Franeker, M.
 Eriksen, D. Siegel, F. Galgani, and K. L. Law (2015), A global inventory of small floating
 plastic debris, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, *10*(12), 124006, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/124006.
- Smedes, F., R. W. Geertsma, T. Von der Zande, and K. Booij (2009), Polymer Water Partition
 Coefficients of Hydrophobic Compounds for Passive Sampling : Application of Cosolvent
 Models for Validation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43(18), 7047–7054.
- Stapleton, H. M., R. J. Letcher, and Bak (2004), Debromination of Polybrominated Diphenyl
 Ether Congeners BDE 99 and BDE 183 in the Intestinal Tract of the Common Carp (
 yprinus carpio), *Env. Sci Technol*, 38(4), 1054–1061.
- Tanaka, K., H. Takada, R. Yamashita, K. Mizukawa, and M. Fukuwaka (2013), Accumulation of plastic-derived chemicals in tissues of seabirds ingesting marine plastics, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 69(1–2), 219–222, doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.12.010.
- Teuten, E. L., S. J. Rowland, T. S. Galloway, and R. C. Thompson (2007), Potential for Plastics
 to Transport Hydrophobic Contaminants, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, *41*, 7759–7764.
- Teuten, E. L. et al. (2009), Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment
 and to wildlife, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, *364*, 2027–2045,
 doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0284.
- Thompson, R. C., Y. Olsen, R. P. Mitchell, A. Davis, S. J. Rowland, A. W. G. John, D.
 McGonigle, and A. E. Russell (2004), Lost at Sea: Where Is All the Plastic?, *Science (80-.).*, *304*(5672), 838.
- UNEP (2001), *Final act of the plenipotentiaries on the Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants.*, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Wardrop, P., J. Shimeta, D. Nugegoda, P. D. Morrison, A. Miranda, M. Tang, and B. O. Clarke
 (2016), Chemical Pollutants Sorbed to Ingested Microbeads from Personal Care Products
- 303 Accumulate in Fish, *Env. Sci Technol*, *50*, 4037–4044, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b06280.
- 304 Zarfl, C., and M. Matthies (2010), Are marine plastic particles transport vectors for organic

305	pollutants to the Arctic ?, Mar. Pollut. Bull., doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.05.026.
306	Zhang, L., and R. Lohmann (2010), Cycling of PCBs and HCB in the surface ocean-lower
307	atmosphere of the open pacific, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44(10), 3832-3838,
308	doi:10.1021/es9039852.
309	Ziccardi, L. M., A. Edgington, K. Hentz, K. J. Kulacki, and S. Kane Driscoll (2016),
310	Microplastics as vectors for bioaccumulation of hydrophobic organic contaminants in the
311	marine environment: A state-of-the-science review, Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 35(7), 1667-
312	1676, doi:10.1002/etc.3461.
313	
314	List of Tables and Figures
315	- Figure 1 with bioaccumulation set-up
316	- Table 1: Bioaccumulation studies involving microplastics and POPs
317	
318	- Table 2: Criteria for inclusion of compounds to the Stockholm Convention on POPs
319	
320	