



<http://www.diva-portal.org>

This is the published version of a paper published in *Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation*.

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Ljungberg, J., Johansson, B., Bergdahl, I., Holmgren, A., Näslund, U. et al. (2019)  
Mild impairment of renal function (shrunken pore syndrome) is associated with  
increased risk for future surgery for aortic stenosis  
*Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation*, 79(7): 524-530  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00365513.2019.1664761>

Access to the published version may require subscription.

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

Permanent link to this version:

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:umu:diva-164404>

# Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation

ISSN: 0036-5513 (Print) 1502-7686 (Online) Journal homepage: <https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/iclb20>

## Mild impairment of renal function (shrunken pore syndrome) is associated with increased risk for future surgery for aortic stenosis

Johan Ljungberg, Bengt Johansson, Ingvar A. Bergdahl, Anders Holmgren, Ulf Näslund, Johan Hultdin & Stefan Söderberg

To cite this article: Johan Ljungberg, Bengt Johansson, Ingvar A. Bergdahl, Anders Holmgren, Ulf Näslund, Johan Hultdin & Stefan Söderberg (2019) Mild impairment of renal function (shrunken pore syndrome) is associated with increased risk for future surgery for aortic stenosis, *Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation*, 79:7, 524-530, DOI: [10.1080/00365513.2019.1664761](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365513.2019.1664761)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00365513.2019.1664761>



© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 14 Sep 2019.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 165






View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## Mild impairment of renal function (shrunken pore syndrome) is associated with increased risk for future surgery for aortic stenosis

Johan Ljungberg<sup>a\*</sup>, Bengt Johansson<sup>a\*</sup>, Ingvar A. Bergdahl<sup>b</sup> , Anders Holmgren<sup>a</sup>, Ulf Näslund<sup>a</sup>, Johan Hultdin<sup>c†</sup>  and Stefan Söderberg<sup>a†</sup> 

<sup>a</sup>Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Medicine and Heart Centre, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; <sup>b</sup>Department of Biobank Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; <sup>c</sup>Department of Medical Biosciences, Clinical Chemistry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

### ABSTRACT

Recently, a new approach was proposed to detect mild impairment in renal function: a reduced ratio between estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) calculated by cystatin C and eGFR calculated by creatinine. We aimed to evaluate if this ratio is associated with aortic stenosis (AS) requiring surgery. We identified 336 patients that first participated in population surveys and later underwent surgery for AS (median age [interquartile range] 59.8 [10.3] years at survey and 68.3 [12.7] at surgery, 48% females). For each patient, two matched referents were allocated. Cystatin C and creatinine were determined in stored plasma.  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  and their ratio were estimated. Conditional logistic regression analyses were used to estimate the risk (odds ratio (OR) with [95% confidence interval (CI)]) related to one (ln) standard deviation increase in the ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$ . A high ratio was associated with lower risk for AS requiring surgery (OR [95% CI] (OR 0.84 [0.73–0.97]), especially in women (0.74 [0.60–0.92] vs. 0.93 [0.76–1.13] in men). After further stratification for coronary artery disease (CAD), the association remained in women with CAD but not in women without CAD (0.60 [0.44–0.83] and 0.89 [0.65–1.23], respectively). In conclusion, a high ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  was associated with lower risk for surgery for AS, especially in women. Mild impairment of renal function is thus associated with future risk for AS requiring surgery.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 3 June 2019  
Revised 18 August 2019  
Accepted 3 September 2019

### KEYWORDS

Aortic stenosis; valvular replacement; renal insufficiency; creatinine; cystatin C

### Introduction



End-stage renal disease is a well-known risk factor for developing ischemic cardiovascular and calcific valvular disease. Also, it increases the progression rate of aortic stenosis (AS) [1,2]. Mild deterioration of renal function has also been associated with increased risk for cardiovascular disease, but it is still unknown if mild impairment of renal function is a risk factor for developing AS. To assess renal function, an estimate of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is often used. Accurate estimates of GFR can be obtained from Cr-EDTA or Iohexol clearance [3] – both methods are labour-intensive and expensive. More commonly, GFR is estimated from plasma levels of creatinine ( $eGFR_{creatinine}$ ), and several equations have been presented. Another molecule in the plasma is cystatin C that also can be used for calculating eGFR ( $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$ ). In most cases, eGFR based on creatinine and cystatin C are similar, but  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  has been associated with higher risk estimates for cardiovascular disease compared to  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  [4]. The two molecules differ in size, where cystatin C (13,343 Da) is larger than creatinine (113 Da). This may explain the superiority of

cystatin C since early changes in kidney function are characterised by shrinking of the pores in the glomerular membranes rather than a decrease in the number of pores; i.e. larger molecules such as cystatin C will be affected first. The discrepancy in filtration estimates based on reduced pore size is expressed as a low ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  and has recently been labelled as the shrunken pore syndrome [5]. This syndrome has been linked to cardiovascular disease and mortality [6–8].

The aim of this study is to test the hypothesis that mild impairment of renal function, reflected by a decreased ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$ , is related to increased risk for AS that requires surgery, with and without simultaneous coronary artery disease (CAD).

### Methods

Between March 1988 and December 2014, 6691 patients underwent surgery for valvular heart disease and/or disease of the ascending aorta at the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Umeå University Hospital, Umeå, Sweden. Before

**CONTACT** Stefan Söderberg  [stefan.soderberg@umu.se](mailto:stefan.soderberg@umu.se)  Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University Hospital, SE-901 85 Umeå, Sweden

\*These authors are shared first authors.

†These authors are shared last authors.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

surgery, 708 of these patients had participated in one of three population-based health studies in the Northern Sweden Health and Disease Study. As part of the health study, each patient had donated blood for further research. Altogether 336 of the 708 patients had surgery for AS and were included in the study. From the Västerbotten Intervention Programme (VIP), 237 samples were retrieved as well as 37 samples from MONItoring of trends and determinants in Cardiovascular diseases (MONICA) and 62 samples from the Mammary Screening Program (MSP).

VIP is an ongoing community intervention programme with the aim of preventing cardiovascular disease and diabetes in the county of Västerbotten [9]. In this programme, all county residents, at the ages of 30 (until 1995), 40, 50 and 60 years, were asked to participate in a health survey and subsequently received health counselling at their primary health care centre. MONICA enrolment involved asking randomly selected individuals in the counties of Västerbotten and Norrbotten to participate in a health survey [10]. Participants were 25–74 years of age. The MSP cohort comprised women that attended routine mammography screenings [11]. Added together, these three surveys included 140,414 participants up to December 2014, with an estimated participation rate of 65–75%.

For each case, we randomly selected two referents (controls) that were matched for sex, age ( $\pm 2$  years), type of survey (MONICA, VIP or MSP), date of health survey ( $\pm 4$  months) and geographic area. We did not exclude referents or cases (patients) with a history of myocardial infarction (MI) or cancer prior to survey. In our cohort, 2.7% of cases and 3.3% of referents had been diagnosed with cancer within 5 years prior to surgery (or the corresponding date for referents). Similarly, 2.4% of cases and 1.3% of referents reported a prior MI at survey.

The study protocol was approved by the Regional Ethics Review Board in Umeå and it complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent for future use of the data.

Medical records were reviewed and relevant data on the valve disease were retrieved. According to established practice, all except one case (99.7%) underwent a coronary angiogram, and any atheromatosis was classed as CAD (found in 61% of all cases with coronary angiogram). At surgery,  $eGFR_{\text{creatinine}}$  was (median [interquartile range]) 67 [17] ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, and 25.6% had an  $eGFR_{\text{creatinine}}$  below 60.

We have recently described clinical examinations and biochemical analysis performed at baseline in this cohort [12,13]. Participants in VIP and MONICA were asked to complete a health questionnaire regarding their living conditions and cardiovascular risk factors. Subjects were categorised by whether they had smoked tobacco (smokers, including current daily smokers and ex-smokers) or had never smoked tobacco (never-smokers).

An oral glucose tolerance test was performed, and glucose tolerance categories were defined according to WHO guidelines [14]. Glucose intolerance was defined as impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and/or impaired glucose tolerance

(IGT) or diabetes mellitus (DM). Anthropometry and blood pressure measurements were obtained as previously described [12], and hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mmHg, diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mmHg and/or the use of anti-hypertensive medication.

Plasma samples were obtained after fasting for a minimum of 4 h (extended to 8 h after 1992). The samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis. In 2017, Apo A1, Apo B, creatinine, cystatin C and CRP were analysed on a Cobas 8000 modular analyzer, c502 module (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The reagents employed were Tina-quant apolipoprotein A1 and B (Apo A1 and ApoB) (catalogue nos. 03032566122 and 03032574122, respectively, both version 2), CREP2 (catalogue no. 03263991190), Tina-quant Cystatin C Gen. 2 (catalogue no. 06600239190) and CRPL3 (catalogue no. 04956842190). These were all purchased from Roche Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland). Apo A1 and Apo B were standardised to reference IFCC SP1-01 and SP3-07, respectively. Creatinine is traceable to isotope dilution mass spectrometry (IDMS) reference measurement procedure. Cystatin C is traceable to the ERMDA471/IFCC standard. CRP is traceable to CRM 470 (CRPL3 2011-01, V3).

The lowest level of detection was 0.03 g/L for both Apo A1 and Apo B, 5  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  for creatinine, 0.4 mg/L for cystatin C and 3 mg/L for CRP. The total coefficients of variation were as follows: Apo A1 3.42% and 2.18% at levels of 0.86 and 1.45 mg/L, respectively; Apo B 1.93% and 2.19% at levels of 1.0 and 1.8 mg/L, respectively; creatinine 3% at both levels of 90 and 500  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ; cystatin C 1.43% and 0.84% at levels of 0.99 and 3.95 mg/L, respectively; and CRP 1.5% and 1.9% at levels of 8 and 47 mg/L, respectively.

The ratio between Apo B and Apo A1 was calculated. The estimated relative glomerular filtration rate ( $eGFR$ ) was calculated by using the Lund-Malmö-Revised formula for  $GFR_{\text{creatinine}}$  and the Caucasian, Asian, Paediatric, Adult cohorts (CAPA) formula for  $GFR_{\text{cystatin C}}$  [15,16]. Only 15 cases (4.8%) and 19 referents (2.9%) had an  $eGFR_{\text{creatinine}}$  below 60 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> at survey.

Continuous data were checked for normal distributions with formal tests and by visual assessment, and data were transformed to the natural log (ln) scale when needed. The (ln) Z-scores were calculated separately for men and women, and as a conservative approach, missing values were replaced with the median value obtained among the referents, calculated separately for men and women. The scores with replaced missing values were used in all models, thus using the entire dataset. Continuous variables were also categorised into quartiles, based on the distribution of the referent values, and they were determined separately for men and women. Missing values were treated as a separate category and were not included in the tables.

Data are presented as (geometric) means with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Student's *t*-tests were used to analyse differences in the means between cases and referents. Within strata, the cases and referents had the same follow-up times in this nested, matched case-referent study. Therefore, we estimated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CI with logistic regression analyses (rather than Cox regression) and

the conditional maximum likelihood routine designed for matched analysis. The influence of studied variables on future surgery for AS was tested in univariable and multivariable models. The first model included the ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  (or  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$ ,  $eGFR_{creatinine}$ , cystatin C or creatinine) with the addition of the Apo B/A1 ratio, hypertension (yes/no), glucose intolerance (yes/no) and smoking (present or past/never). In subsequent models, BMI or CRP was added. The analyses were stratified for sex, age at surgery (less than 60 years or 60 years and more), the time between the survey and surgery (less than 5 years or 5 years and more) and the presence of any CAD on the preoperative angiogram. Finally, as a sensitivity analysis, we excluded the MSP cohort since several cardiovascular risk factors were not registered in MSP. All calculations were performed with the statistical program, SPSS version 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

## Results

Basal characteristics are shown in Table 1. Median age [IQR] was 59.8 [10.3] years at survey and 68.3 [12.7] at surgery; 48% were women. All patients underwent aortic valve replacement (AVR) due to AS as a primary indication in 84%, and in combination with other procedures in 16% (surgery for ascending aorta in 5% and coronary by-pass surgery in 10%). At survey, individuals with future surgery for AS were more obese, had higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and had higher total cholesterol levels and

higher Apo B/A1 ratio. They also more often had a diagnosis of hypertension and were more often glucose intolerant. At survey, circulating levels of creatinine and cystatin C did not differ between cases and referents, and similarly  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  and  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  did not differ. In contrast, cases had a lower ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  at survey. This difference remained in women.

The associations between circulating levels of creatinine, cystatin C and corresponding  $eGFR$  rates and future AVR were explored, and results of the univariate analysis based on both categorical and continuous analyses are presented in Table 2. Expressed as continuous variables (1 [ln] SD increase of Z-scores), no associations were seen between circulating levels of creatinine, cystatin C and corresponding  $eGFR$  rates and future AVR.

In contrast, a high ratio between  $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$  and  $eGFR_{creatinine}$  was consistently associated with lower risk for surgery (Table 3). The association between 1 (ln) SD increase of the ratio remained significant after adjustment for common cardiovascular risk factors (OR [95% CI]) (0.84 [0.73–0.97]), and also after additional adjustments for BMI and CRP. Exclusion of the MSP cohort did not alter the association. After stratification for sex, the protective effect associated with a high ratio was seen in women but not in men (0.74 [0.60–0.92] and 0.93 [0.76–1.13], respectively). After further stratification for CAD, the association remained significant in women with CAD but not in men with CAD (0.60 [0.44–0.83] and 0.96 [0.75–1.23], respectively). Further adjustments for CRP and BMI or exclusion

**Table 1.** Characteristics at health survey.

|   | Referents/cases | Referents        | Cases            | <i>p</i>    |
|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Age at survey (years)   | 671/336         | 56.7 (56.0–57.3) | 56.7 (55.8–57.6) | .94         |
| Age at surgery (years)  | –/336           |                  | 67.2 (66.3–68.2) |             |
| Female sex (%)  | 671/336         | 48 (44–52)       | 48 (43–53)       | .98         |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )  | 655/322         | 26.1 (25.8–26.4) | 26.9 (26.4–27.4) | <b>.01</b>  |
| Apolipoprotein B (g/L) <sup>a</sup>                             | 647/310         | 1.09 (1.07–1.11) | 1.13 (1.10–1.16) | <b>.05</b>  |
| Apolipoprotein A1 (g/L) <sup>a</sup>                            | 647/309         | 1.41 (1.40–1.43) | 1.40 (1.37–1.42) | .25         |
| Apolipoprotein B/A1 (ratio) <sup>a</sup>                        | 647/309         | 0.77 (0.76–0.79) | 0.81 (0.78–0.84) | <b>.01</b>  |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)                                  | 545/270         | 135 (134–137)    | 138 (136–141)    | <b>.04</b>  |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)                                 | 545/269         | 84 (84–85)       | 86 (85–87)       | <b>.05</b>  |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)                                      | 535/265         | 6.2 (6.1–6.3)    | 6.4 (6.2–6.5)    | <b>.05</b>  |
| Hypertension (%)  | 545/269         | 49.2 (45.0–53.4) | 61.0 (55.1–66.8) | <b>.001</b> |
| Glucose intolerance (%)   | 490/242         | 19.8 (16.3–23.3) | 26.4 (20.8–32.0) | <b>.05</b>  |
| Smoker (%)  | 531/258         | 53.7 (49.4–57.9) | 59.7 (53.7–65.7) | .11         |
| Creatinine (μmol/L) <sup>a</sup>                                | 647/310         | 73.2 (72.1–74.2) | 72.3 (70.7–73.8) | .33         |
| Cystatin C (mg/L) <sup>a</sup>                                  | 647/309         | 0.84 (0.83–0.85) | 0.85 (0.83–0.87) | .15         |
| $eGFR_{creatinine}$ (ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>  | 647/310         | 79.7 (78.8–80.6) | 80.0 (78.6–81.5) | .66         |
| Men   |                 | 81.4 (80.2–82.6) | 81.8 (79.9–83.7) | .76         |
| Women   |                 | 77.8 (76.5–79.2) | 78.3 (76.2–80.5) | .68         |
| No CAD  |                 | 81.0 (79.6–82.5) | 80.9 (78.5–83.2) | .89         |
| CAD   |                 | 78.8 (77.6–80.0) | 79.4 (77.6–81.2) | .60         |
| $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}$ (ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>a</sup> | 647/309         | 91.0 (89.6–92.4) | 88.9 (86.6–91.4) | .15         |
| Men   |                 | 91.6 (89.7–93.5) | 90.9 (87.6–94.3) | .74         |
| Women   |                 | 90.4 (88.3–92.5) | 87.0 (83.6–90.5) | .09         |
| No CAD  |                 | 94.1 (91.8–96.5) | 92.0 (88.2–96.0) | .34         |
| CAD   |                 | 89.0 (87.3–90.8) | 86.8 (83.8–89.9) | .23         |
| $eGFR_{cystatin\ C}/eGFR_{creatinine}$ (ratio) <sup>a</sup>     | 647/309         | 1.14 (1.13–1.16) | 1.11 (1.09–1.13) | <b>.02</b>  |
| Men   |                 | 1.12 (1.11–1.14) | 1.11 (1.08–1.14) | .48         |
| Women   |                 | 1.16 (1.14–1.18) | 1.11 (1.08–1.14) | <b>.008</b> |
| No CAD  |                 | 1.16 (1.14–1.18) | 1.14 (1.10–1.17) | .24         |
| CAD   |                 | 1.13 (1.11–1.15) | 1.09 (1.06–1.12) | <b>.04</b>  |

BMI: body mass index; glucose intolerance: impaired fasting glucose and/or impaired glucose intolerance or diabetes mellitus; hypertension: systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  and/or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  and/or antihypertensive treatment; smoker: present or previous smoker.

Values shown are numbers, means (<sup>a</sup>geometric), and proportions with 95% confidence intervals; *p* values were based on the Student *t*-test.

Bold values are *p*-value  $\leq 0.05$

Table 2. Creatinine and cystatin C and risk for future AVR.

| Ref/case                          | All              |                         |                         | No CAD           |                  |                  | CAD                     |                  |                         |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                                   | All              | Men                     | Women                   | All              | Men              | Women            | All                     | Men              | Women                   |
| <b>Creatinine</b>                 |                  |                         |                         |                  |                  |                  |                         |                  |                         |
| 169/95                            | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    |
| 174/81                            | 0.81 (0.56–1.18) | 1.17 (0.71–1.94)        | <b>0.53 (0.30–0.93)</b> | 0.77 (0.43–1.37) | 1.21 (0.51–2.89) | 0.53 (0.23–1.23) | 0.86 (0.53–1.39)        | 1.16 (0.63–2.15) | 0.52 (0.23–1.16)        |
| 165/65                            | 0.68 (0.45–1.01) | 0.86 (0.49–1.52)        | <b>0.53 (0.30–0.94)</b> | 0.84 (0.46–1.53) | 0.98 (0.38–2.54) | 0.83 (0.37–1.84) | <b>0.58 (0.34–0.99)</b> | 0.80 (0.39–1.62) | <b>0.35 (0.15–0.82)</b> |
| 139/69                            | 0.85 (0.57–1.27) | 0.83 (0.47–1.47)        | 0.83 (0.46–1.48)        | 1.09 (0.57–2.06) | 0.77 (0.26–2.32) | 1.29 (0.57–2.94) | 0.75 (0.45–1.27)        | 0.90 (0.46–1.76) | 0.53 (0.22–1.27)        |
| <b>Cystatin C</b>                 |                  |                         |                         |                  |                  |                  |                         |                  |                         |
| 165/78                            | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    |
| 161/66                            | 0.88 (0.59–1.32) | 0.92 (0.52–1.62)        | 0.85 (0.47–1.51)        | 0.82 (0.45–1.51) | 0.77 (0.30–1.98) | 0.87 (0.40–1.91) | 0.92 (0.53–1.60)        | 1.04 (0.51–2.12) | 0.79 (0.33–1.87)        |
| 160/72                            | 1.02 (0.69–1.53) | 1.00 (0.56–1.80)        | 1.05 (0.60–1.82)        | 1.14 (0.61–2.13) | 1.16 (0.42–3.19) | 1.10 (0.49–2.46) | 0.94 (0.56–1.60)        | 0.92 (0.45–1.90) | 0.99 (0.46–2.12)        |
| 161/93                            | 1.32 (0.86–2.01) | 1.43 (0.80–2.57)        | 1.21 (0.66–2.24)        | 1.19 (0.60–2.39) | 1.76 (0.59–5.24) | 0.92 (0.37–2.28) | 1.42 (0.83–2.43)        | 1.38 (0.69–2.78) | 1.53 (0.66–3.55)        |
| <b>eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub></b>  |                  |                         |                         |                  |                  |                  |                         |                  |                         |
| 162/79                            | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    |
| 162/73                            | 0.93 (0.62–1.40) | 1.02 (0.55–1.87)        | 0.84 (0.48–1.47)        | 0.89 (0.45–1.76) | 0.63 (0.19–2.06) | 1.08 (0.46–2.54) | 0.96 (0.57–1.59)        | 1.22 (0.60–2.50) | 0.72 (0.34–1.53)        |
| 162/72                            | 0.94 (0.62–1.42) | 0.82 (0.45–1.49)        | 1.05 (0.58–1.91)        | 0.99 (0.51–1.94) | 0.77 (0.25–2.38) | 1.08 (0.46–2.50) | 0.90 (0.52–1.55)        | 0.82 (0.40–1.67) | 1.07 (0.46–2.50)        |
| 161/86                            | 1.13 (0.73–1.73) | 1.12 (0.62–2.05)        | 1.13 (0.60–2.12)        | 0.97 (0.49–1.90) | 0.97 (0.33–2.87) | 0.83 (0.34–2.02) | 1.24 (0.70–2.19)        | 1.12 (0.53–2.36) | 1.58 (0.63–3.98)        |
| <b>eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub></b>  |                  |                         |                         |                  |                  |                  |                         |                  |                         |
| 161/92                            | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    |
| 162/66                            | 0.72 (0.48–1.06) | <b>0.56 (0.32–0.98)</b> | 0.91 (0.52–1.57)        | 0.96 (0.51–1.81) | 0.49 (0.17–1.43) | 1.42 (0.63–3.18) | 0.58 (0.35–0.96)        | 0.54 (0.27–1.07) | 0.61 (0.28–1.32)        |
| 163/77                            | 0.78 (0.52–1.18) | 0.85 (0.48–1.51)        | 0.71 (0.39–1.31)        | 0.84 (0.44–1.62) | 0.67 (0.25–1.76) | 1.02 (0.42–2.51) | 0.75 (0.43–1.28)        | 0.90 (0.44–1.82) | 0.54 (0.23–1.27)        |
| 161/74                            | 0.74 (0.48–1.14) | 0.65 (0.36–1.19)        | 0.85 (0.45–1.61)        | 0.85 (0.41–1.74) | 0.46 (0.15–1.42) | 1.31 (0.50–3.40) | 0.67 (0.38–1.16)        | 0.72 (0.35–1.48) | 0.59 (0.24–1.42)        |
| Z (ln) creatinine                 | 0.95 (0.83–1.08) | 0.96 (0.80–1.16)        | 0.93 (0.77–1.13)        | 1.01 (0.81–1.26) | 0.94 (0.66–1.35) | 1.05 (0.80–1.39) | 0.92 (0.78–1.10)        | 1.00 (0.80–1.24) | 0.82 (0.62–1.10)        |
| Z (ln) cystatin C                 | 1.12 (0.97–1.29) | 1.03 (0.85–1.25)        | 1.23 (1.00–1.51)        | 1.14 (0.91–1.43) | 1.12 (0.77–1.65) | 1.15 (0.87–1.55) | 1.11 (0.93–1.35)        | 1.01 (0.81–1.26) | 1.32 (0.98–1.78)        |
| Z (ln) eGFR <sub>creatinine</sub> | 1.05 (0.90–1.23) | 1.05 (0.84–1.30)        | 1.06 (0.85–1.32)        | 0.99 (0.77–1.27) | 1.10 (0.71–1.70) | 0.93 (0.68–1.27) | 1.08 (0.89–1.31)        | 1.01 (0.79–1.29) | 1.20 (0.86–1.68)        |
| Z (ln) eGFR <sub>cystatin C</sub> | 0.89 (0.77–1.02) | 0.96 (0.79–1.17)        | 0.81 (0.65–1.00)        | 0.87 (0.69–1.11) | 0.88 (0.59–1.31) | 0.87 (0.65–1.16) | 0.89 (0.74–1.07)        | 0.99 (0.78–1.24) | 0.75 (0.55–1.02)        |

AVR: aortic valve replacement; CAD: coronary artery disease. Values are the odds ratios with (95% confidence intervals) related to creatinine and cystatin C levels and corresponding estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR). The risk related to quartiles (Q1–Q4) and 1 (ln) SD increase (Z-score) is shown. Z-scores with missing values replaced were used for all calculations. Fifty missing values for creatinine (and eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub>) and 51 missing values for cystatin C (and eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>) were replaced with the median values obtained for the referents (sex-specific). Cut-offs for the quartiles (Q1–Q4) were: creatinine (μmol/L) (men and women): 75.6, 82.3, 88.5 and 71.5, 78.9, 86.7, respectively; cystatin C (mg/L) (men and women): 0.76, 0.83, 0.92 and 0.75, 0.83, 0.94, respectively. eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> (ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) (men and women): 75.6, 82.3, 88.5 and 71.5, 78.9, 86.7, respectively; and eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub> (ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) (men and women): 82.5, 91.8, 103.5 and 78.6, 91.3, 104.4, respectively. P values indicating a trend: .33 for creatinine, .24 for cystatin C, .66 for eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> and .37 for eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>.



Table 3. eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>/eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> ratio and risk for future AVR.

| Quantiles     | All      |                         |                  |                         | No CAD           |                  |                         |                         | CAD              |                         |                         |                  |                         |
|---------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|               | Case/Ref | All                     | Men              | Women                   | All              | Men              | Women                   | All                     | Men              | Women                   | All                     | Men              | Women                   |
| Q1            | 91/160   | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             | 1.00                    |
| Q2            | 85/163   | 0.91 (0.61–1.34)        | 0.98 (0.56–1.70) | 0.84 (0.49–1.46)        | 1.26 (0.66–2.40) | 1.91 (0.65–5.55) | 1.00 (0.44–2.25)        | 0.72 (0.44–1.19)        | 0.72 (0.37–1.41) | 0.72 (0.34–1.53)        | 0.72 (0.44–1.19)        | 0.72 (0.37–1.41) | 0.72 (0.34–1.53)        |
| Q3            | 71/162   | 0.75 (0.51–1.10)        | 0.79 (0.45–1.38) | 0.70 (0.41–1.21)        | 0.76 (0.40–1.45) | 0.81 (0.30–2.19) | 0.75 (0.32–1.74)        | 0.77 (0.47–1.27)        | 0.86 (0.43–1.72) | 0.70 (0.34–1.45)        | 0.77 (0.47–1.27)        | 0.86 (0.43–1.72) | 0.70 (0.34–1.45)        |
| Q4            | 62/162   | <b>0.62 (0.40–0.95)</b> | 0.73 (0.40–1.32) | <b>0.52 (0.28–0.97)</b> | 0.79 (0.40–1.57) | 0.64 (0.20–2.00) | 0.90 (0.38–2.13)        | <b>0.53 (0.31–0.94)</b> | 0.82 (0.40–1.68) | <b>0.26 (0.10–0.69)</b> | <b>0.53 (0.31–0.94)</b> | 0.82 (0.40–1.68) | <b>0.26 (0.10–0.69)</b> |
| Z (ln) ratio  |          |                         |                  |                         |                  |                  |                         |                         |                  |                         |                         |                  |                         |
| Case/Ref      |          | 336/671                 | 175/349          | 161/322                 | 132/264          | 54/108           | 78/156                  | 203/405                 | 120/239          | 83/166                  | 203/405                 | 120/239          | 83/166                  |
| Univariable   |          | <b>0.84 (0.73–0.97)</b> | 0.93 (0.77–1.13) | <b>0.74 (0.60–0.92)</b> | 0.86 (0.69–1.08) | 0.85 (0.60–1.19) | 0.87 (0.64–1.18)        | <b>0.83 (0.69–0.99)</b> | 0.99 (0.78–1.24) | <b>0.65 (0.49–0.87)</b> | <b>0.83 (0.69–0.99)</b> | 0.99 (0.78–1.24) | <b>0.65 (0.49–0.87)</b> |
| Multivariable |          |                         |                  |                         |                  |                  |                         |                         |                  |                         |                         |                  |                         |
| Model 1       |          | <b>0.84 (0.73–0.97)</b> | 0.93 (0.76–1.13) | <b>0.74 (0.60–0.92)</b> | 0.89 (0.70–1.13) | 0.89 (0.62–1.28) | 0.89 (0.65–1.23)        | <b>0.81 (0.67–0.97)</b> | 0.96 (0.75–1.23) | <b>0.60 (0.44–0.83)</b> | <b>0.81 (0.67–0.97)</b> | 0.96 (0.75–1.23) | <b>0.60 (0.44–0.83)</b> |
| Model 2       |          | <b>0.85 (0.73–0.98)</b> | 0.94 (0.77–1.15) | <b>0.75 (0.60–0.94)</b> | 0.92 (0.72–1.17) | 0.96 (0.66–1.42) | 0.89 (0.64–1.24)        | <b>0.80 (0.66–0.97)</b> | 0.96 (0.75–1.24) | <b>0.56 (0.40–0.80)</b> | <b>0.80 (0.66–0.97)</b> | 0.96 (0.75–1.24) | <b>0.56 (0.40–0.80)</b> |
| Model 3       |          | <b>0.84 (0.73–0.98)</b> | 0.94 (0.77–1.15) | <b>0.74 (0.60–0.93)</b> | 0.87 (0.68–1.11) | 0.81 (0.56–1.19) | 0.89 (0.64–1.24)        | <b>0.82 (0.68–0.99)</b> | 1.00 (0.77–1.29) | <b>0.60 (0.43–0.84)</b> | <b>0.82 (0.68–0.99)</b> | 1.00 (0.77–1.29) | <b>0.60 (0.43–0.84)</b> |
| Model 4       |          | <b>0.80 (0.68–0.95)</b> | 0.93 (0.77–1.13) | <b>0.53 (0.37–0.75)</b> | 0.77 (0.57–1.03) | 0.89 (0.62–1.28) | <b>0.52 (0.28–0.94)</b> | <b>0.81 (0.66–1.01)</b> | 0.96 (0.75–1.23) | <b>0.47 (0.29–0.77)</b> | <b>0.81 (0.66–1.01)</b> | 0.96 (0.75–1.23) | <b>0.47 (0.29–0.77)</b> |

AVR: aortic valve replacement; CAD: coronary artery disease. Values are numbers and the odds ratios with (95% confidence intervals) for 1 (ln) SD increase (Z-score) in the eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>/eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> ratio. Z-scores with missing values replaced were used for all calculations; 51 missing values were replaced with the median values obtained for the referents (sex-specific).

Cut-offs for the quartiles (Q1–Q4, eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>/eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> ratio) were (men and women): 1.02, 1.13, 1.25 and 1.03, 1.17, 1.30, respectively. P value indicating a trend was .03 for the ratio. Model 1 includes, in addition to the eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>/eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> ratio, apolipoprotein B/A1 ratio (Z-score), glucose intolerance (yes/no), hypertension (present or past/never), Model 2 includes model 1 plus BMI, and model 3 includes model 1 plus hsCRP. Model 4 is identical to model 1 but all individuals from the MSP survey were excluded.

of the MSP cohort did not change this association in those with CAD. In women with CAD, the association remained in those aged 60 years or more at surgery and if surgery was performed more than 5 years after survey (0.62 [0.45–0.85] and 0.59 [0.41–0.84], respectively).

Similarly, the highest quartile of the ratio (cut-offs 1.25 and 1.30 in men and women, respectively) was associated with reduced risk for AVR in all women and in women with CAD, and the linear trend indicated a significant association ( $p=.03$ ).

## Discussion

In the present study with a nested-case referent design, we show that a high ratio between eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub> and eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub>, indicating a normal renal function is associated with reduced risk for future surgery for AS in women but less or not at all in men, and in particular in women with concomitant CAD. It is known that end-stage renal disease is associated with the development of AS and a rapid progression of the disease [2]; however, whether mild impairment of renal function associates with future risk for AS has not been studied, to our knowledge, in a prospective manner. Several of the traditional cardiovascular risk factors have been attributed to the development of AS but most of the early studies were small, cross-sectional and biased regarding inclusion criteria as stated by Stewart et al. [17]. Recently, we reported an association between traditional cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia and smoking) and the risk for future surgery for AS in the present cohort, and this risk was mainly seen in those with concomitant CAD [13]. This was recently confirmed in a large Canadian study [18] of elderly individuals, not stratified for CAD.

Several biomarkers have also been discussed as markers of AS severity, and that potentially might identify subjects that benefit from early AVR [19]. However, most of these biomarkers have not gained acceptance and usage. Only B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) has been incorporated in current guidelines [20].

A low ratio between eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub> and eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> has recently been suggested as representing a new syndrome, named shrunken pore syndrome [5], and has been shown to be a risk marker for cardiovascular disease and mortality [6,7,21,22], including subjectively healthy elderly [8]. An increased retention of 5–40 kDa molecules compared to small molecules, like creatinine and water, has been described in this condition. These larger molecules include atherosclerosis-promoting proteins [5,21]. A recent study showed that the lower the ratio between the eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub> and eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> used to identify the syndrome, the higher was the mortality associated with it [22].

Our findings indicate that the development of AS is a part of a general vascular disorder, and that the low ratio between eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub> and eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> indicates early vascular damage, i.e. endothelial dysfunction. Endothelial dysfunction is an early indicator of cardiovascular disease and renal dysfunction [23], and our findings of the predictive

value of a decreased ratio 10 years before AVR suggest that the process leading to AVR starts early, that is supported by recent observations on the accumulation of atherosclerosis-promoting proteins in shrunken pore syndrome [21].

Microalbuminuria has been found to be associated with cardiovascular disease and endothelial dysfunction, e.g. in CAD [24] and cystatin C is correlated with microalbuminuria [25]. Cystatin C in serum has also been found to be associated with the metabolic syndrome [26]. In a recent study,  $eGFR_{\text{cystatin C}}$ , or a combination of creatine and cystatin C was associated with HOMA-IR (homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance) among men, whereas  $eGFR_{\text{creatinine}}$  only was not [27]. The causality has not been proven, as genes causing elevated cystatin C does not increase risk for metabolic syndrome [28].

The suggestion of a sex-related difference in the association between a low ratio and AVR is intriguing and has not been described previously as related to other cardiovascular outcomes and mortality. We found no sex-related differences related to traditional and biochemical risk-markers (lipoprotein(a), Apo B and Apo A1) in our previous reports based on the same cohort [12,13]. There may be sex-related differences in the vulnerability for traditional risk-markers [29], and we and others have shown differences related to circulating levels and prognostic ability of the adipokine leptin, which also affects endothelial function and relates to AS [30,31].

One limitation of this study is that only patients who underwent surgery for AS are included, thereby leaving those who are recommended a non-surgical strategy due to comorbidities such as renal dysfunction, older age and pulmonary diseases. Therefore, the data are not automatically transferable to more severe renal disease. Notably, only one person had a ratio below 0.6, 10 years prior to surgery. Furthermore, those with mild and moderate states of AS are not included (except those with CAD as a primary indication for intervention). Second, the inclusion criteria for participating in VIP and MSP studies are related to age and sex. In VIP, only those who are 30, 40, 50 and 60 years of age are asked to participate, and MSP includes women in the age span of 40–74. These limitations of inclusion might have caused an underrepresentation of younger individuals. Furthermore, health surveys tend to attract the healthier part of the population. Last, there were no urine samples donated in the cohort, thus we could not measure albuminuria, which is an early marker for renal impairment and a predictor of cardiovascular disease.

Discrepancies in filtration estimates derived from cystatin C and creatinine can also arise from conditions affecting circulating creatinine levels such as total muscle mass and protein catabolism, or conditions affecting circulating cystatin C levels such as thyroid disease or steroid administration. We do not believe such conditions play any significant role in the present study. Notably, circulating levels of cystatin C are unrelated to inflammation [32].

Even if there are limitations, the study has several strengths. First, the cohort is large and is thoroughly evaluated. Furthermore, the vast majority of all surgeries for AS

in Northern Sweden are performed at the Umeå University Hospital, and all AS cases that require AVR in the three cohorts are probably identified, thus minimising selection bias. Other strengths are the standardised procedure of blood sample collection and that all blood samples were analysed at the same laboratory at a defined period of time, and that the cases and their matched referents were analysed in fixed triplets at the same run. These procedures reduce both pre-analytical and analytical biases as are often encountered in large prospective studies.

In conclusion, in this prospective study approach, we found that a high ratio between  $eGFR_{\text{cystatin C}}$  and  $eGFR_{\text{creatinine}}$ , indicating normal kidney function and the absence of the shrunken pore syndrome, is associated with a reduced risk for AS requiring surgery, and in particular in women. These intriguing and new findings encourage studies focusing on sex-related differences in risk factors for AS.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the Västerbotten Intervention Project (VIP), the Northern Sweden MONICA project, the Northern Sweden Health and Disease Study, the County Council of Västerbotten and Biobank Sweden. We also appreciate the assistance provided by Elin Albersson, Kerstin Enquist, Göran Hallmans, Veronica Hellström, Jan Henschel, Paul Holmer, Catrin Johansson, Camilla Ring, Mattias Söderberg and Åsa Ågren – all of whom have been instrumental in the completion of this study. We also appreciate the assistance provided by Eva Samuelsson and the staff at Clinical Chemistry, Laboratory Medicine, Umeå University Hospital Umeå.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation [grant numbers 20140799, 20120631 and 20100635], The County Council of Västerbotten (ALF VLL-548791), Umeå University and The Heart Foundation of Northern Sweden. This work was also supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR 2017-00650).

## ORCID

Ingvar A. Bergdahl  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1227-6859>  
 Johan Hultdin  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9599-0961>  
 Stefan Söderberg  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9225-1306>

## Data availability

The datasets generated during the current study are not publicly available as the dataset contains identifiable patient data but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## References

- [1] Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, et al. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351(13):1296–1305.



- [2] Kim D, Shim CY, Hong GR, et al. Effect of end-stage renal disease on rate of progression of aortic stenosis. *Am J Cardiol*. 2016;117(12):1972–1977.
- [3] Soveri I, Berg UB, Björk J, et al. Measuring GFR: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2014;64(3):411–424.
- [4] Shlipak MG, Sarnak MJ, Katz R, et al. Cystatin C and the risk of death and cardiovascular events among elderly persons. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(20):2049–2060.
- [5] Grubb A, Lindstrom V, Jonsson M, et al. Reduction in glomerular pore size is not restricted to pregnant women. Evidence for a new syndrome: 'Shrunken pore syndrome'. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2015;75(4):333–340.
- [6] Christensson A, Grubb A, Molvin J, et al. The shrunken pore syndrome is associated with declined right ventricular systolic function in a heart failure population – the HARVEST study. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2016;76(7):568–574.
- [7] Dardashti A, Nozohoor S, Grubb A, et al. Shrunken Pore Syndrome is associated with a sharp rise in mortality in patients undergoing elective coronary artery bypass grafting. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2016;76(1):74–81.
- [8] Purde MT, Nock S, Risch L, et al. The cystatin C/creatinine ratio, a marker of glomerular filtration quality: associated factors, reference intervals, and prediction of morbidity and mortality in healthy seniors. *Transl Res*. 2016;169:80–90.e1–2.
- [9] Norberg M, Wall S, Boman K, et al. The Västerbotten Intervention Programme: background, design and implications. *Glob Health Action*. 2010;3:1–15.
- [10] Eriksson M, Holmgren L, Janlert U, et al. Large improvements in major cardiovascular risk factors in the population of northern Sweden: the MONICA study 1986–2009. *J Intern Med*. 2011;269(2):219–231.
- [11] Hallmans G, Ågren A, Johansson G, et al. Cardiovascular disease and diabetes in the Northern Sweden Health and Disease Study Cohort – evaluation of risk factors and their interactions. *Scand J Public Health Suppl*. 2003;61:18–24.
- [12] Ljungberg J, Holmgren A, Bergdahl IA, et al. Lipoprotein(a) and the apolipoprotein B/A1 ratio independently associate with surgery for aortic stenosis only in patients with concomitant coronary artery disease. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6(12):1–11.
- [13] Ljungberg J, Johansson B, Engstrom KG, et al. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors and their relation to future surgery for valvular heart disease or ascending aortic disease: a case-referent study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6(5):1–12.
- [14] Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia. Report of a WHO/IDF consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006. p. 1–3.
- [15] Grubb A, Horio M, Hansson LO, et al. Generation of a new cystatin C-based estimating equation for glomerular filtration rate by use of 7 assays standardized to the international calibrator. *Clin Chem*. 2014;60(7):974–986.
- [16] Nyman U, Grubb A, Larsson A, et al. The revised Lund-Malmö GFR estimating equation outperforms MDRD and CKD-EPI across GFR, age and BMI intervals in a large Swedish population. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2014;52(6):815–824.
- [17] Stewart BF, Siscovick D, Lind BK, et al. Clinical factors associated with calcific aortic valve disease. *Cardiovascular Health Study*. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;29(3):630–634.
- [18] Yan AT, Koh M, Chan KK, et al. Association between cardiovascular risk factors and aortic stenosis: the CANHEART Aortic Stenosis Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69(12):1523–1532.
- [19] Redfors B, Furer A, Lindman BR, et al. Biomarkers in aortic stenosis: a systematic review. *Struct Heart*. 2017;1(1–2):18–30.
- [20] Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ, et al. 2017 ESC/EACTS guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38(36):2739–2791.
- [21] Almen MS, Björk J, Nyman U, et al. Shrunken pore syndrome is associated with increased levels of atherosclerosis-promoting proteins. *Kidney Int Rep*. 2019;4:67–79.
- [22] Herou E, Dardashti A, Nozohoor S, et al. The mortality increase in cardiac surgery patients associated with shrunken pore syndrome correlates with the eGFR<sub>cystatin C</sub>/eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub> ratio. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2019;79(3):167–173.
- [23] Moody WE, Edwards NC, Madhani M, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and cardiovascular disease in early-stage chronic kidney disease: cause or association? *Atherosclerosis*. 2012;223(1):86–94.
- [24] Kumar Jha P, Ete T, Malviya A, et al. Microalbuminuria: correlation with prevalence and severity of coronary artery disease in non-diabetics. *J Clin Med Res*. 2017;9(10):838–843.
- [25] Huddam B, Azak A, Kocak G, et al. The relationship between serum fetuin-A, cystatin-C levels, and microalbuminuria in patients with metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2013;27(4):317–322.
- [26] Ying X, Jiang Y, Qin G, et al. Association of body mass index, waist circumference, and metabolic syndrome with serum cystatin C in a Chinese population. *Medicine*. 2017;96(10):e6289.
- [27] Medeiros T, do Rosario NF, Gama NA, et al. Metabolic syndrome components and estimated glomerular filtration rate based on creatinine and/or cystatin C in young adults: a gender issue? *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2017;11(1):S351–S357.
- [28] Magnusson M, Molvin J, Engstrom G, et al. Cystatin C and risk of diabetes and the metabolic syndrome – biomarker and genotype association analyses. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(5):e0155735.
- [29] Skaug EA, Madssen E, Aspenes ST, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors have larger impact on endothelial function in self-reported healthy women than men in the HUNT3 Fitness study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(7):e101371.
- [30] Glader CA, Birgander LS, Söderberg S, et al. Lipoprotein(a), *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, leptin and tissue plasminogen activator as risk markers for valvular aortic stenosis. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24(2):198–208.
- [31] Söderberg S, Stegmayr B, Stenlund H, et al. Leptin, but not adiponectin, predicts stroke in males. *J Intern Med*. 2004;256(2):128–136.
- [32] Grubb A, Björk J, Nyman U, et al. Cystatin C, a marker for successful aging and glomerular filtration rate, is not influenced by inflammation. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2011;71(2):145–149.