

Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality and the Hitchin system

Tamás Hausel

Royal Society URF at University of Oxford
<http://www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~hausel/talks.html>

April 2010
Colloquium, Utrecht

$$f(x) = h^{-1}(y)$$

$$\begin{matrix} & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} & & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 & & \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{matrix}$$

2. STROMINGER-YAU-ZASLOW PICTURE

1996

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X^6 & & Y^6 \\
 \searrow \pi & & \swarrow \tilde{\pi} \\
 & B^3 &
 \end{array}$$

FOR GENERIC $x \in B^3$

$L_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$

$L_x \simeq (S^1)^4$

$\omega|_{L_x} = 0$

$\Omega_2|_{L_x} = 0$

SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN

TORSUS

ω IS KÄHLER FORM

- phenomenon first arose in various forms in string theory
- mathematical predictions (Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes 1991)
- mathematically it relates the symplectic geometry of a Calabi-Yau manifold X^d to the complex geometry of its mirror Calabi-Yau Y^d
- first aspect is the *topological mirror test* $h^{p,q}(X) = h^{d-p,q}(Y)$
- compact hyperkähler manifolds satisfy $h^{p,q}(X) = h^{d-p,q}(X)$
- (Kontsevich 1994) suggests *homological mirror symmetry* $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Fuk}(X, \omega)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(Y, I))$
- (Strominger-Yau-Zaslow 1996) suggests a geometrical construction how to obtain Y from X
- many predictions of mirror symmetry have been confirmed - no general understanding yet

Hodge diamonds of mirror Calabi-Yaus

Fermat quintic X

			1			
		0		0		
	0		1		0	
1		101		101		1
	0		1		0	
		0		0		
			1			

$\hat{X} := X/(\mathbb{Z}_5)^3$

				1		
		0			0	
	0		101			0
1		1		1		1
	0		101			0
		0		0		
				1		

K3 surface X

			1		
		0		0	
1		20			1
	0		0		
			1		

\hat{X} mirror K3

				1		
		0			0	
1		20				1
	0		0			
				1		

- the Langlands program aims to describe $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ via representation theory
- G reductive group, ${}^L G$ its Langlands dual
- e.g. ${}^L \text{GL}_n = \text{GL}_n$; ${}^L \text{SL}_n = \text{PGL}_n$, ${}^L \text{PGL}_n = \text{SL}_n$
- [Langlands 1967] conjectures that $\{\text{homs } \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{C})\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{automorphic reps of } {}^L G(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})\}$
- $G = \text{GL}_1 \rightsquigarrow$ class field theory
 $G = \text{GL}_2 \rightsquigarrow$ Shimura-Taniyama-Weil
- function field version: replace \mathbb{Q} with $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$, where X/\mathbb{F}_q is algebraic curve
- [Ngô, 2008] proves fundamental lemma for $\mathbb{F}_q(X) \rightsquigarrow \text{FL}$ for \mathbb{Q}
- geometric version: replace $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ with $\mathbb{C}(X)$ for X/\mathbb{C}
- [Laumon 1987, Beilinson–Drinfeld 1995]
Geometric Langlands conjecture
 $\{G\text{-local systems on } X\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{Hecke eigensheaves on } \text{Bun}_{{}^L G}(X)\}$
- [Kapustin–Witten 2006] deduces this from reduction of S-duality (electro-magnetic duality) in $N = 4$ SUSY YM in $4d$

- Hamiltonian system: (X^{2d}, ω) symplectic manifold
 $H : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Hamiltonian function X_H Hamiltonian vector field
($dH = \omega(X_H, \cdot)$)
- $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *first integral* if $X_H f = \omega(X_f, X_H) = 0$
- the Hamiltonian system is *completely integrable* if there is
 $f = (H = f_1, \dots, f_d) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ generic such that
 $\omega(X_{f_i}, X_{f_j}) = 0$
- the generic fibre of f has an action of $\mathbb{R}^d = \langle X_{f_1}, \dots, X_{f_d} \rangle \rightsquigarrow$
when f is proper generic fibre is a torus $(S^1)^d$
- examples include: Euler and Kovalevskaya tops and the spherical pendulum
- algebraic version when replacing \mathbb{R} by $\mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow$ many examples can be formulated as a version of the *Hitchin system*
- a Hitchin system is associated to a complex curve C and a complex reductive group G
- it arose in the study [Hitchin 1987] of the 2-dimensional reduction of the Yang-Mills equations

Mirror symmetry for Langlands dual Hitchin systems

- The mirror symmetry proposal of [Hausel–Thaddeus 2003]:
"Hitchin systems for Langlands dual groups satisfy Strominger-Yau-Zaslow, so could be considered mirror symmetric; in particular they should satisfy the *topological mirror tests*:"
- the Hitchin systems for SL_n and PGL_n become dual special Lagrangian fibrations \Leftrightarrow SYZ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n) & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^e(\mathrm{PGL}_n) \\ & \searrow \check{\chi} & \swarrow \hat{\chi} \\ & \mathcal{A}^0 & \end{array}$$

Theorem (Hausel–Thaddeus 2003, "Topological mirror test")

$n = 2, 3; d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$, s.t. $(d, n) = (e, n) = 1$, we have agreement of certain Hodge numbers of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^e(\mathrm{PGL}_n)$

$$E\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n); x, y\right) = E_{\mathrm{st}}^{\hat{B}^d}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^e(\mathrm{PGL}_n); x, y\right).$$

Diffeomorphic spaces in non-Abelian Hodge theory

- C genus g curve; $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^d(G) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{moduli space of stable rank } n \\ \text{degree } d \text{ } G\text{-Higgs bundles } (E, \phi) \\ \text{i.e. } E \text{ rank } n \text{ degree } d \text{ bundle on } C \\ \phi \in H^0(C, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K) \text{ Higgs field} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(G) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{moduli space of flat } G\text{-connections} \\ \text{on } C \setminus \{p\}, \text{ with holonomy } e^{\frac{2\pi id}{n}} \mathrm{Id} \text{ around } p \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{B}}^d(G) := \{A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g \in G \mid \prod_{i=1}^g A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} A_i B_i = e^{\frac{2\pi id}{n}} \mathrm{Id}\} // G$$

- when $(d, n) = 1$ these are smooth non-compact varieties
- $\Gamma = \mathrm{Jac}_C[n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^{2g}$ acts on $\mathcal{M}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ by tensoring \Rightarrow
 $\mathcal{M}^d(\mathrm{PGL}_n) := \mathcal{M}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n)/\Gamma$ is an orbifold

Theorem (Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^d(G) \stackrel{\mathrm{diff}}{\cong} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(G) \stackrel{\mathrm{diff}}{\cong} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{B}}^d(G)$$

- the characteristic polynomial of $\phi \in H^0(C, \text{End}(E) \otimes K)$
 $\chi(\phi) \in H^0(C, K) \oplus H^0(C, K^2) \oplus \dots \oplus H^0(C, K^n)$
defines *Hitchin map*

$$\chi_{\text{GL}_n} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}^d(\text{GL}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{GL}_n} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, K^i)$$

$$\chi_{\text{SL}_n} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}^d(\text{SL}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}_n} = \bigoplus_{i=2}^n H^0(C, K^i)$$

$$\chi_{\text{PGL}_n} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}^d(\text{PGL}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{PGL}_n} = \bigoplus_{i=2}^n H^0(C, K^i)$$

Theorem (Hitchin 1987, Nitsure 1991, Faltings 1993)

χ is proper and a completely integrable Hamiltonian system.

$$(\omega(X_{\chi_i}, X_{\chi_j}) = 0)$$

Over a generic point $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the fibre $\chi^{-1}(a)$ is a torsor for an Abelian variety.

Theorem (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003)

For a generic $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SL}_n} \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}$ the fibres $\chi_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{-1}(a)$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{-1}(a)$ are torsors for dual Abelian varieties.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^d(\mathrm{PGL}_n) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n) \\ \downarrow \chi_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} & & \downarrow \chi_{\mathrm{SL}_n} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} & \cong & \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}. \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{PGL}_n)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ satisfy the SYZ construction for a pair of mirror symmetric Calabi-Yau manifolds.

- (Kontsevich 1994)'s homological mirror symmetry proposal $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n))) \sim \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Fuk}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}^d(\mathrm{PGL}_n)))$
- \rightsquigarrow Geometric Langlands program of (Beilinson-Drinfeld 1995)
- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) \Rightarrow above from reduction of S-duality (electro-magnetic duality) in $N = 4$ SUSY YM in $4d$
- $\overset{\text{semi-classical}}{\rightsquigarrow} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n))) \sim \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^d(\mathrm{PGL}_n)))$
 \rightsquigarrow fibrewise Fourier-Mukai transform?

Topological mirror tests

- (Deligne 1971) \rightsquigarrow weight filtration for any complex algebraic variety X : $W_0 \subset \cdots \subset W_k \subset \cdots \subset W_{2d} = H_c^d(X; \mathbb{Q})$, plus a pure Hodge structure on W_k/W_{k-1} of weight k
- define $E(X; x, y) = \sum (-1)^d x^i y^j h^{i,j} (W_k/W_{k-1}(H_c^d(X, \mathbb{C})))$
- $E_{st}^B(M/\Gamma) = \sum_{[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]} E(M^\gamma; L_\gamma^B)^{C(\gamma)} (uv)^{F(\gamma)}$
- if $Y \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ is crepant then (Kontsevich 1996) \rightsquigarrow
 $E_{st}(X/\Gamma; x, y) = E(Y; x, y)$

Conjecture (Hausel–Thaddeus 2003, "DR-TMS", "Dol-TMS")

For all $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying $(d, n) = (e, n) = 1$, we have

$$E_{st}^{B^e} \left(\mathcal{M}_{DR}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})); x, y \right) = E_{st}^{\hat{B}^d} \left(\mathcal{M}_{DR}^e(\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})); x, y \right)$$

$$E_{st}^{B^e} \left(\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})); x, y \right) = E_{st}^{\hat{B}^d} \left(\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^e(\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})); x, y \right)$$

Conjecture (Hausel–Villegas 2004, "B-TMS")

$$E_{st}^{B^e} \left(\mathcal{M}_B^d(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})); x, y \right) = E_{st}^{\hat{B}^d} \left(\mathcal{M}_B^e(\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})); x, y \right)$$

- (Hausel–Thaddeus 2003) Dol-TMS (\Leftrightarrow DR-TMS) for $n = 2, 3$ and $(d, n) = 1$ using description of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}^1(\text{SL}_n))$ of (Hitchin 1987) for $n = 2$ and of (Gothen 1994) for $n = 3$
- (Hausel–Villegas \geq 2004, Mereb \geq 2009) B-TMS for n is prime and $n = 4$ using arithmetic techniques and character tables of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- Three main problems with this picture
 - 1 Why two different topological mirror symmetry conjectures (Dol-TMS & DR-TMS vs. B-TMS)?
 - 2 Why the same Hodge numbers, why not mirrored ones?
 - 3 Why geometric Langlands and not classical Langlands?

Hard Lefschetz for Weight and Perverse Filtrations

- Weight filtration: $W_0 \subset \dots \subset W_i \subset \dots \subset W_{2k} = H^k(X)$
- Alvis-Curtis duality in $R(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$
 \leadsto Curious Hard Lefschetz Conjecture (theorem for PGL_2):

$$L^l : \underset{X}{\mathrm{Gr}_{d-2l}^W(H^{i-l}(\mathcal{M}_B))} \xrightarrow{\cong} \underset{X \cup \alpha^l}{\mathrm{Gr}_{d+2l}^W H^{i+l}(\mathcal{M}_B)},$$

where $\alpha \in W_4 H^2(\mathcal{M}_B)$

- Perverse filtration: $P_0 \subset \dots \subset P_i \subset \dots \subset P_k(X) \cong H^k(X)$
for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper X smooth Y affine
(de Cataldo-Migliorini, 2008):
take $Y_0 \subset \dots \subset Y_i \subset \dots \subset Y_d = Y$
s.t. Y_i generic with $\dim(Y_i) = i$ then

$$P_{k-i-1} H^k(X) = \ker(H^k(X) \rightarrow H^k(f^{-1}(Y_i)))$$

- the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem holds:

$$L^l : \underset{X}{\mathrm{Gr}_{d-l}^P(H^*(X))} \xrightarrow{\cong} \underset{X \cup \alpha^l}{\mathrm{Gr}_{d+l}^P H^{*+2l}(X)}$$

where $\alpha \in H^2(X)$ is a relative ample class

$P = W$ conjecture

- recall Hitchin map $\chi : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is proper,
 $(E, \phi) \mapsto \text{charpol}(\phi)$
thus induces perverse filtration on $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}})$

Conjecture ("P=W", de Cataldo-Hausel-Migliorini 2008)

$P_k(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}) \cong W_{2k}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{B}})$ under the isomorphism

$H^*(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}_{\text{B}})$ from non-Abelian Hodge theory.

Theorem (de Cataldo-Hausel-Migliorini 2009)

$P = W$ when $G = \text{GL}_2, \text{PGL}_2$ or SL_2 .

- Define $PE(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}; x, y, q) := \sum q^k E(\text{Gr}_k^P(H^*(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}})); x, y)$
- $PE(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}; x, y, 1) = E(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}; x, y) = E(\mathcal{M}_{\text{DR}}; x, y)$
- Conjecture $P = W \Rightarrow PE(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}; 1, 1, q) = E(\mathcal{M}_{\text{B}}; q)$
- RHL $\rightsquigarrow PE(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}; x, y, q) = (xyq)^d PE(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}; x, y; \frac{1}{qxy}) \rightsquigarrow$

Conjecture (Topological Mirror test, TMS)

$$PE_{\text{st}}^{\text{Be}} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}^d(\text{SL}_n); x, y, q \right) = (xyq)^d PE_{\text{st}}^{\hat{\text{B}}^d} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}^e(\text{PGL}_n); x, y, \frac{1}{qxy} \right)$$

- The TMS above unifies the previous Dol,DR,B-TMS conjectures (Theorem when $n = 2$)
- Fibrewise Fourier-Mukai transform aka S-duality should identify

$$S : H_p^{r,s}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\text{SL}_n)) \cong H_{st,d-p}^{r+d/2-p,s+d/2-p}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\text{PGL}_n))$$

this solves the mirror problem

(Theorem over regular locus of χ)

- (Ngô 2008) proves the fundamental lemma in the Langlands program by proving "geometric stabilisation of the trace formula" which for SL_n and PGL_n can be reformulated to prove TMS over integral spectral curves, which when n is a prime, can be extended to a proof of TMS everywhere.

- let $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ be moduli space of SL_2 parabolic Higgs bundles on elliptic curve E with one parabolic point
- \mathbb{Z}_2 acts on E and \mathbb{C} as additive inverse $x \mapsto -x$
- $\check{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ blowing up; $\chi : \check{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ is elliptic fibration with \hat{D}_4 singular fiber over 0
- $\Gamma = E[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ acts on $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ by multiplying on E
- $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ the PGL_2 moduli space is $\check{\mathcal{M}}/\Gamma$ an orbifold, elliptic fibration over \mathbb{C} with A_1 singular fiber with three $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifold points on one of the components
- blowing up the three orbifold singularities is crepant gives $\check{\check{\mathcal{M}}}$
- the topological mirror test: $E_{st}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}; x, y) \stackrel{Kontsevich}{=} E(\check{\mathcal{M}}; x, y)$
- $P_1(H^2(\check{\mathcal{M}})) = \ker(H^2(\check{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow H^2(\chi^{-1}(pt))) \cong \text{im}(H_{cpt}^2(\check{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow H^2(\check{\mathcal{M}}))$ has dimension 4
- $E(\check{\mathcal{M}}; x, y) = 1 + 5xy$ non-symmetric but
 $PE(\check{\mathcal{M}}; q, x, y) = 1 + 4xyq + xyq^2$ symmetric by RHL
 $PE(\check{\mathcal{M}}; x, y, q) = (xyq)^2 PE(\check{\mathcal{M}}; x, y; \frac{1}{qxy})$