

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Published in final edited form as: Nat Neurosci. 2008 March; 11(3): 354-359. doi:10.1038/nn2046.

Modulation of GABA_A receptor desensitization uncouples sleep onset and maintenance in Drosophila

Jose Agosto^{1,3}, James C Choi^{2,3,5}, Katherine M Parisky^{2,3}, Geoffrey Stilwell⁴, Michael Rosbash^{1,3}, and Leslie C Griffith^{2,3}

1 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Brandeis University, 415 South St., Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9110, USA

2Department of Biology, Brandeis University, 415 South St., Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9110, USA

3National Center for Behavioral Genomics, Brandeis University, 415 South St., Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9110. USA

4Cambria Biosciences, Woburn, Massachusetts 01801, USA

Abstract

Many lines of evidence indicate that GABA and GABAA receptors make important contributions to human sleep regulation. Pharmacological manipulation of these receptors has differential effects on sleep onset and sleep maintenance insomnia. Here we show that sleep is regulated by GABA in Drosophila and that a mutant GABA_A receptor, Rdl^{A302S} , specifically decreases sleep latency. The drug carbamazepine (CBZ) has the opposite effect on sleep; it increases sleep latency as well as decreasing sleep. Behavioral and physiological experiments indicated that Rdl^{A302S} mutant flies are resistant to the effects of CBZ on sleep latency and that mutant RDLA302S channels are resistant to the effects of CBZ on desensitization, respectively. These results suggest that this biophysical property of the channel, specifically channel desensitization, underlies the regulation of sleep latency in flies. These experiments uncouple the regulation of sleep latency from that of sleep duration and suggest that the kinetics of GABAA receptor signaling dictate sleep latency.

> Insomnia is the most common sleep problem and affects approximately one third of the adult American population¹. Patients with insomnia are generally subdivided into three categories: sleep onset insomnia, sleep maintenance insomnia and terminal insomnia (early-morning awakening coupled with an inability to return to sleep)². The biological basis for these insomnia classifications remains unknown. Nonetheless, a single class of drugs, agonistic modulators of GABA_A receptors, effectively ameliorates these diverse symptoms^{2,3}. GABA_A receptors are a family of pentameric ligand-gated Cl⁻ channels⁴ and are a major source of inhibitory currents throughout the CNS^{5,6}. These receptors are also an important target for pharmacologic treatment of many other neurological disorders in addition to sleep⁷.

> The fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster is an ideal model for dissecting the relationships between molecules and behaviors, as well as between different sleep states^{8,9}. As in mammals, it has been shown that the sleep-like state of Drosophila is associated with reduced sensory responsiveness and reduced brain activity 10,11, and is subject to both circadian and homeostatic regulation^{12,13}. Researchers have also identified a number of genes^{14,15},

Correspondence should be addressed to L.C.G. (griffith@brandeis.edu).. ⁵Present address: Department of Neurology, Neurobiology Program, Children's Hospital, Boston, Harvard Medical School, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA.

circuits^{16,17} and biological processes¹⁸ that affect fly sleep. However, there is no reported role for GABA and no reported manipulation of GABA receptors in fly sleep studies.

The first GABA_A receptor mutant was isolated from pesticide-resistant *Drosophila* and the locus was named *Resistant to dieldrin* (*Rdl*)^{19,20}. Similar to mammalian GABA_A receptors, RDL channels mediate fast inhibitory neurotransmission²¹ and are expressed in the CNS²². Notably, the mutation that causes the insecticide resistance phenotype (A302S)²⁰ specifically decreases the rate of RDL desensitization with little or no effect on other channel properties²³. As a consequence, the mutant receptor has a longer single channel open duration and, therefore, increased channel current, at least under certain conditions (see below). Because of these characteristics, and because this mutation does not have obvious effects on health or viability, we decided to establish the importance of GABAergic transmission to sleep in flies and to examine the effects of the *Rdl* mutation.

Interestingly, flies with this mutant $GABA_A$ receptor subunit slept more, primarily because of decreased sleep latency. CBZ, which accelerates RDL desensitization, had the opposite effect and increased sleep latency. Behavioral and electrophysiological experiments indicated that the *Rdl* mutant was largely resistant to the effects of CBZ, both its ability to alter desensitization and to decrease sleep latency. These results suggest that these two phenomena are mechanistically linked. To account for these observations, we propose that sleep is initiated by fast-firing GABAergic neurons.

RESULTS

To determine whether GABAergic transmission is important for sleep in *Drosophila*, we first used the GAL4/UAS system²⁴ to decrease GABA release by expressing the hyperpolarizing potassium channel Shaw²⁵ in GABAergic neurons. Total sleep was reduced, due in turn to an increase in mean wake-episode duration and to a decrease in sleep-bout duration. There was also an increase in the night-time sleep latency, consistent with a role for GABAergic tone in regulating both the initiation and maintenance of sleep (Fig. 1).

To investigate the potential role of Rdl, the sleep pattern of an extensively outcrossed homozygous Rdl^{A302S} strain, Rdl^{MDRR} (see Methods), was assayed under standard light-dark (12-h:12-h light-dark cycles) conditions (Fig. 2). As GABA_A currents in Rdl^{A302S} fly neurons have longer single channel open durations²³, we surmised that these flies might have increased sleep, as a result of either decreased sleep latency and/or increased sleep duration. Indeed, Rdl^{MDRR} flies had decreased sleep latency (compare the beginning of dark period in the control and Rdl^{MDRR} genotypes in Fig. 2a; see Fig. 2c, left for quantification). They also had a small, but significant, increase in total daily (24 h) sleep (P < 0.005; Fig. 2b, left).

Despite the extensive outcrossing of the Rdl^{MDRR} A302S mutant chromosome, we made two additional chromosome combinations to validate these results. We assayed an Rdl^{MDRR}/Rdl^1 combination, which creates a hemizygous Rdl^{A302S} allele because of the Rdl^1 null mutation²⁶. We also assayed an independent homozygous Rdl^{A302S} combination, made by crossing our outcrossed Rdl^{MDRR} chromosome with an independent Rdl^{A302S} mutant chromosome, Rdl^{CB1} . The latter was previously identified in another ethyl methanesulfonate screen on a different background chromosome. Both of the new combinations had a very similar sleep latency phenotype (Fig. 2c, middle and right), and the Rdl^{A302S}/Rdl^1 combination had little effect on total sleep duration (Fig. 2b, right). None of these genotypes had substantial increases in locomotor activity during active periods, indicating that these sleep phenotypes are not the result of simple hyperactivity (data not shown). We conclude that the most consistent effect of the Rdl^{A302S} mutation is to decrease sleep latency.

In a search for a complementary approach to better understand the effect of the Rdl^{A302S} mutation, we first assayed the sleep effects of a number of drugs on wild-type flies. Only the human anticonvulsant CBZ had a marked dose-dependent effect, with the highest dose (1.2 mg ml⁻¹) almost completely inhibiting night-time sleep (Fig. 3a,b). This was the result of both an increase in sleep latency (Fig. 3c) and a decrease in sleep-episode duration (Fig. 3d). The number of sleep episodes appeared to show a biphasic response, with an increase at a low dose and a prominent, significant decrease at a high dose (P < 0.05; Fig. 3e). Locomotor activity during active periods was unchanged (Fig. 3f), indicating that the sleep effects were not the result of hyperactivity.

To relate these CBZ effects to the Rdl^{A302S} phenotypes described above, we assayed the sleep of the homozygous Rdl^{A302S} strain after CBZ feeding (Fig. 4). The drug effects were less potent than in wild-type flies (Fig. 4a,b; also compare Fig. 4a with Fig. 3a). This was because the sleep latency of Rdl^{A302S} flies was almost completely resistant to CBZ; wild-type flies showed a large dose-dependent increase in sleep latency, whereas Rdl^{A302S} latency remained unaffected, even at high levels of the drug (Fig. 4c). This suggests that the latency effects of CBZ and the Rdl^{A302S} mutation share a common mechanism. In contrast, the mutant flies were equally sensitive to the sleep-duration effects of the drug (Fig. 4d). These data pharmacologically uncouple sleep latency from sleep duration.

The experiments also shed light on the mechanisms underlying sleep homeostasis. A decrease in sleep-episode duration with CBZ should normally increase sleep pressure, resulting in a compensatory increase in sleep-episode number. The CBZ-dependent block of sleep initiation, as well as sleep duration, in wild-type flies apparently affected this compensation by preventing an increase in the number of sleep episodes (Fig. 5a). In contrast, Rdl^{A302S} flies were able to increase the number of sleep episodes and, therefore, compensate for the decreased sleep duration, presumably because their ability to fall asleep was less affected by the drug (Fig. 5a). This was also apparent in the marked difference in short 5-15 min sleep episodes between genotypes; only Rdl^{A302S} flies were able to initiate more short episodes despite increasing drug concentrations (Fig. 5b,c). These data further support the idea that sleep latency and duration are separable processes in flies and suggest that the Rdl GABA_A receptor is the target responsible for the sleep latency effects of CBZ.

To assay whether CBZ might directly affect the RDL channel, we expressed RDL in *Xenopus* oocytes and measured current in the presence of increasing concentrations of CBZ. CBZ specifically increased RDL desensitization without affecting peak amplitude after a single GABA pulse (Fig. 6a,b). However, an increase in desensitization might alter the peak current amplitude of GABA pulse trains if they were sufficiently frequent to prevent channel recovery before the next pulse. Indeed, CBZ had a peak amplitude effect with this kind of GABA pulse-train stimulation protocol (Fig. 6c). We concluded that the GABA application frequency determines the effect of CBZ on current amplitude. Importantly, the CBZ effect on RDL desensitization was completely blocked by the A302S mutation, which has a potent effect on desensitization without drug (ref. 23 and Fig. 6d).

These data imply that the ability of the *Rdl* channel to desensitize is critical for sleep onset and provide a coherent, biophysical explanation for the drug's, as well as the mutant's, effects on sleep latency. Because desensitization is expected to have more significant effects on total current at synapses receiving high frequency input (Fig. 6), we propose a model (Supplementary Fig. 1 online) in which fast-firing GABAergic (sleep initiation) neurons induce sleep onset by inhibiting wake-promoting neurons. The desensitization-induced synaptic current reduction at sleep initiation-wake promoting synapses is enhanced by CBZ, which leads to a faster current decrease and increased sleep latency. The A302S mutant channel shows reduced desensitization, as well as reduced CBZ-sensitivity, consistent with the observed *in*

vivo effects in these flies. The lack of a differential (A302S versus wild type) CBZ effect on sleep duration suggests that other, slower-firing GABAergic inputs maintain sleep (sleep maintenance neurons) and that the CBZ effects on sleep duration may also involve effects on other sleep-relevant molecules.

DISCUSSION

Here we show that GABA and GABA_A receptors are important for sleep in *Drosophila*. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration in an animal model that sleep onset and maintenance are differentially regulated by GABA_A receptors, as well as by a pharmacological agent. The ability of *Rdl* mutant flies to mount a homeostatic response to the sleep-depriving drug CBZ further suggests that the sleep-regulating neurons that express this receptor are part of the core sleep circuit. These findings further support the use of *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model for understanding the mechanisms and function of mammalian sleep.

Our results suggest that GABAergic inputs control both the onset and maintenance of sleep, as both latency and duration of sleep episodes were affected by suppressing GABAergic transmission. Our finding that fast-desensitizing $GABA_{\Delta}$ receptors preferentially controlled sleep initiation suggests that there are fast-spiking GABAergic sleep-initiation neurons that synapse onto RDL-expressing postsynaptic wake-promoting neurons (Supplementary Fig. 1). In this model, RDL channel desensitization should decrease wake-promoting hyperpolarization. The virtue of desensitization in this context is that it helps prevent spurious and/or unwanted sleep episodes. Sleep drive must be sufficient for sleep-initiation firing to occur for a sufficiently long time to achieve wake-promoting silencing. Only under conditions that sustain high-frequency sleep-initiation neuron firing does the animal fall asleep. Once wake-promoting neurons are quiescent, putative sleep-maintenance neurons are released from inhibition and act to maintain wake-promoting neurons below their action potential threshold. Other GABA receptor subunits (and/or other transmitter systems) are presumably more important than RDL for sleep-maintenance neurons. At the end of the night, extrinsic inputs, regulated by circadian as well as by homeostatic factors, presumably inhibit the sleeppromoting regions (sleep maintenance and sleep initiation) and the fly wakes up.

The latency assay, the time it takes flies to fall asleep once the lights have turned off at Zeitgeber Time 12, presumably reflects sleep pressure, which influences sleep-initiation neuronal firing. The importance of desensitization to sleep latency (or sleep initiation) is emphasized by the Rdl^{A302S} phenotype, the kinetics of RDL^{A302S} currents, the effect of CBZ on fly sleep and the effect of CBZ on wild-type and mutant receptor physiology. The slow decay kinetics of RDL^{A302S} currents suggest that hyperpolarization of wake-promoting neurons occurs more easily in mutant flies. The stronger and more consistent mutant effect on sleep latency, as compared to sleep duration, indicates that the output of the sleep-initiation neurons is affected more strongly by the mutant receptor than that of sleep-maintenance neurons. Although this could reflect the presence of other GABA receptor subunits in sleep-maintenance neurons, it could also just reflect a slower firing rate. CBZ acts specifically on RDL desensitization and this effect is blocked by the RDL^{A302S} mutation, which explains why the drug effect on sleep latency is substantially reduced in the Rdl^{A302S} genotype.

There was an additional, prominent CBZ effect on sleep-episode duration, which was not ameliorated by the Rdl^{A302S} mutation. This suggests that there are additional CBZ targets, which affect wake-promoting activity either directly or indirectly (Supplementary Fig. 1). The multiple effects of CBZ on the *Drosophila* sleep circuit are not surprising, as its pharmacology in humans²⁷ indicates that it interacts with many targets, including sodium channels, GABA_A receptors²⁸ and adenosine receptors.

Nonetheless, the decrease in fly sleep is the result, in part, of the unexpected CBZ effects on fly GABA_A receptor kinetics. CBZ is widely used in the treatment of multiple neurological disorders, including epilepsy, bipolar disorder and trigeminal neuralgia²⁹, and therapeutic drugs for these disorders might be expected to increase sleep. Indeed, several studies have reported that patients and healthy volunteers receiving CBZ therapy experience sleep problems, which normally disappear with long-term treatment³⁰. It is intriguing that the CBZ effects on fly sleep also decrease with chronic drug treatment (data not shown). Our observations, along with others, further illustrate the potential utility of using *Drosophila* models combined with pharmacological approaches to better understand complex behaviors and disease states.

The similarities between fly and mammalian sleep suggest that altering subtle aspects of GABA_A receptor function in mammals will also lead to discrete effects on sleep structure. Indeed, a human mutation altering desensitization and deactivation of a GABA_A receptor subunit causes a familial type of insomnia³¹. Taken together with our data on the *Drosophila* RDL channel, this suggests that targeting specific aspects of GABA_A receptor function such as desensitization will give rise to more specific and effective sleep therapeutics.

METHODS

Animals

Fly cultures were kept at 25 °C with a 12-h light/dark cycle on cornmeal, yeast, sucrose and agar food. The original *Rdl* A302S allele, *Rdl*^{MDRR}, which was isolated from the wild²⁰, and *Rdl*¹/*TM3*²⁶ flies were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (Bloomington). *Rdl*^{CB1} was isolated in a modified dominant F1 screen for insecticide resistance in which *Canton-S* flies were ethyl methanesulfonate mutagenized using previously described methods³². For all strains, the third chromosome was crossed into *Canton-S* background; for *Rdl*^{MDRR} the strain was subsequently outcrossed over six generations and isolated using PCR-ren³³.

Behavioral assays and drug administration

Behavioral assays and analysis were carried out as previously described 12 . For all genotypes, the locomotor activity of 5-7-day-old flies loaded with 5% sucrose in 2% agar was monitored using the Trikinetics system (Waltham) in 24-h light-dark cycles. Data were collected in 1-min bins and a sliding window was applied. Sleep was defined as 5 consecutive min of inactivity 12,34 ; sleep latency was measured from the time of lights off to the onset of the first sleep episode. For episodes flanking a given period, quantifications for sleep duration were done with episodes truncated to start and end in the respective periods. Only data from female flies are shown 34 . In all cases where we assayed male flies, we observed qualitatively similar results on sleep latency.

For experiments involving CBZ, a stock solution (20 mg ml⁻¹) was solubilized in 45% (2-hydroxypropyl)- β -cyclodextrin (Sigma) and mixed into the standard agar medium. Experiments were carried out by recording 5-6 baseline d on standard medium, and then switching to CBZ/sucrose/agar 6 h before the onset of the dark period.

Electrophysiological recording

The wild-type *Drosophila Rdl* clone (GH019619) was obtained from the *Drosophila* Genome Collection 1 (DGC1). This clone lacks TM4 and has more stable desensitization kinetics (J.C.C. and J.A., unpublished results). Oocyte collection, injection and electrophysiological recordings were carried out as described^{35,36}. Two electrode voltage-clamp experiments were performed 1-5 d post-injection. An oocyte chamber of ~200 μ l was perfused with a flow rate of ~10-15 ml min⁻¹ and recording were made at a holding potential of -60 mV in Barth's solution (2 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 100 mM NaCl). CBZ was dissolved

in 45% (2-hydroxypropyl)- β -cyclodextrin (Sigma). Because cyclodextrin forms a complex with these drugs^{37,38}, the effective concentration of these agents is expected to be much lower that what is indicated in the experiments. We used GABA concentrations that were close to the saturated region of the dose-response curve because we observed that CBZ effects were essentially independent of GABA and that desensitization is more prominent in this range.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed as described in the figure legends using JMP software version 5.0.1.2 for the PC and Macintosh (SAS Institute).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to E. Marder, R. Allada and R. Greenspan for comments on the manuscript. This work was funded by a grant from the US Army (W81XWH-04-1-0158) to M.R. and L.C.G., and MH 067284 to L.C.G.

References

- Roth T. Prevalence, associated risks, and treatment patterns of insomnia. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2005;66 (Suppl 9):10–13. [PubMed: 16336036]quiz 42-43
- Rosenberg RP. Sleep maintenance insomnia: strengths and weaknesses of current pharmacologic therapies. Ann. Clin. Psychiatry 2006;18:49–56. [PubMed: 16517453]
- Holbrook AM, Crowther R, Lotter A, Cheng C, King D. Meta-analysis of benzodiazepine use in the treatment of insomnia. CMAJ 2000;162:225–233. [PubMed: 10674059]
- Smith GB, Olsen RW. Functional domains of GABA_A receptors. Trends Pharmacol. Sci 1995;16:162– 168. [PubMed: 7624971]
- Hevers W, Luddens H. The diversity of GABA_A receptors. Pharmacological and electrophysiological properties of GABA_A channel subtypes. Mol. Neurobiol 1998;18:35–86. [PubMed: 9824848]
- 6. Gottesmann C. GABA mechanisms and sleep. Neuroscience 2002;111:231-239. [PubMed: 11983310]
- Lancel M. Role of GABA_A receptors in the regulation of sleep: initial sleep responses to peripherally administered modulators and agonists. Sleep 1999;22:33–42. [PubMed: 9989364]
- Greenspan RJ, Dierick HA. 'Am not I a fly like thee?' From genes in fruit flies to behavior in humans. Hum. Mol. Genet 2004;13(Spec No 2):R267–273. [PubMed: 15358734]
- Hendricks JC, Sehgal A, Pack AI. The need for a simple animal model to understand sleep. Prog. Neurobiol 2000;61:339–351. [PubMed: 10727779]
- Nitz DA, van Swinderen B, Tononi G, Greenspan RJ. Electrophysiological correlates of rest and activity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Curr. Biol 2002;12:1934–1940. [PubMed: 12445387]
- van Swinderen B, Nitz DA, Greenspan RJ. Uncoupling of brain activity from movement defines arousal states in Drosophila. Curr. Biol 2004;14:81–87. [PubMed: 14738728]
- Shaw PJ, Cirelli C, Greenspan RJ, Tononi G. Correlates of sleep and waking in *Drosophila* melanogaster. Science 2000;287:1834–1837. [PubMed: 10710313]
- Hendricks JC, et al. Rest in Drosophila is a sleep-like state. Neuron 2000;25:129–138. [PubMed: 10707978]
- Bushey D, Huber R, Tononi G, Cirelli C. *Drosophila* Hyperkinetic mutants have reduced sleep and impaired memory. J. Neurosci 2007;27:5384–5393. [PubMed: 17507560]
- Cirelli C, et al. Reduced sleep in *Drosophila* Shaker mutants. Nature 2005;434:1087–1092. [PubMed: 15858564]
- Joiner WJ, Crocker A, White BH, Sehgal A. Sleep in *Drosophila* is regulated by adult mushroom bodies. Nature 2006;441:757–760. [PubMed: 16760980]

- Pitman JL, McGill JJ, Keegan KP, Allada R. A dynamic role for the mushroom bodies in promoting sleep in *Drosophila*. Nature 2006;441:753–756. [PubMed: 16760979]
- Ganguly-Fitzgerald I, Donlea J, Shaw PJ. Waking experience affects sleep need in *Drosophila*. Science 2006;313:1775–1781. [PubMed: 16990546]
- Stilwell GE, Rocheleau T, Ffrench-Constant RH. GABA receptor minigene rescues insecticide resistance phenotypes in *Drosophila*. J. Mol. Biol 1995;253:223–227. [PubMed: 7563084]
- Ffrench-Constant RH, Rocheleau TA, Steichen JC, Chalmers AE. A point mutation in a Drosophila GABA receptor confers insecticide resistance. Nature 1993;363:449–451. [PubMed: 8389005]
- Lee D, Su H, O'Dowd DK. GABA receptors containing Rdl subunits mediate fast inhibitory synaptic transmission in *Drosophila* neurons. J. Neurosci 2003;23:4625–4634. [PubMed: 12805302]
- 22. Aronstein K, Auld V, Ffrench-Constant R. Distribution of two GABA receptor-like subunits in the *Drosophila* CNS. Invert. Neurosci 1996;2:115–120. [PubMed: 9372158]
- Zhang HG, Ffrench-Constant RH, Jackson MB. A unique amino acid of the *Drosophila* GABA receptor with influence on drug sensitivity by two mechanisms. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 1994;479:65–75. [PubMed: 7527461]
- Brand AH, Dormand EL. The GAL4 system as a tool for unraveling the mysteries of the Drosophila nervous system. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 1995;5:572–578. [PubMed: 8580708]
- Hodge JJ, Choi JC, O'Kane CJ, Griffith LC. Shaw potassium channel genes in *Drosophila*. J. Neurobiol 2005;63:235–254. [PubMed: 15751025]
- Ffrench-Constant RH, Mortlock DP, Shaffer CD, MacIntyre RJ, Roush RT. Molecular cloning and transformation of cyclodiene resistance in *Drosophila*: an invertebrate gamma-aminobutyric acid subtype A receptor locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1991;88:7209–7213. [PubMed: 1651498]
- Ambrosio AF, Soares-Da-Silva P, Carvalho CM, Carvalho AP. Mechanisms of action of carbamazepine and its derivatives, oxcarbazepine, BIA 2-093, and BIA 2-024. Neurochem. Res 2002;27:121–130. [PubMed: 11926264]
- Granger P, et al. Modulation of the gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor by the antiepileptic drugs carbamazepine and phenytoin. Mol. Pharmacol 1995;47:1189–1196. [PubMed: 7603459]
- Spina E, Perugi G. Antiepileptic drugs: indications other than epilepsy. Epileptic Disord 2004;6:57– 75. [PubMed: 15246950]
- Bazil CW. Effects of antiepileptic drugs on sleep structure: are all drugs equal? CNS Drugs 2003;17:719–728. [PubMed: 12873155]
- Buhr A, et al. Functional characterization of the new human GABA(A) receptor mutation beta3 (R192H). Hum. Genet 2002;111:154–160. [PubMed: 12189488]
- 32. Greenleaf AL, Borsett LM, Jiamachello PF, Coulter DE. α-amanitin-resistant D. melanogaster with an altered RNA polymerase II. Cell 1979;18:613–622. [PubMed: 117900]
- Aronstein K, Ode P, Ffrench-Constant R. Direct comparison of PCR-based monitoring for cyclodiene resistance in *Drosophila* populations with insecticide bioassay. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol 1994;48:229–233.
- Andretic R, Shaw PJ. Essentials of sleep recordings in *Drosophila*: moving beyond sleep time. Methods Enzymol 2005;393:759–772. [PubMed: 15817323]
- Boileau AJ, Baur R, Sharkey LM, Sigel E, Czajkowski C. The relative amount of cRNA coding for gamma2 subunits affects stimulation by benzodiazepines in GABA(A) receptors expressed in *Xenopus oocytes*. Neuropharmacology 2002;43:695–700. [PubMed: 12367615]
- 36. Priestley CM, Williamson EM, Wafford KA, Sattelle DB. Thymol, a constituent of thyme essential oil, is a positive allosteric modulator of human GABA(A) receptors and a homo-oligomeric GABA receptor from *Drosophila melanogaster*. Br. J. Pharmacol 2003;140:1363–1372. [PubMed: 14623762]
- Brewster ME, Anderson WR, Estes KS, Bodor N. Development of aqueous parenteral formulations for carbamazepine through the use of modified cyclodextrins. J. Pharm. Sci 1991;80:380–383. [PubMed: 1865340]
- Masson M, Sigurdardottir BV, Matthiasson K, Loftsson T. Investigation of drug-cyclodextrin complexes by a phase-distribution method: some theoretical and practical considerations. Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo) 2005;53:958–964. [PubMed: 16079528]



Figure 1.

GABAergic neurons control sleep in *Drosophila*. Sleep parameters are shown for flies expressing a hyperpolarizing potassium channel (*UAS-Shaw*) under the control of *GAD-GAL4*. Single transgene sibling controls (UAS only and GAL4 only) are also shown. (a) Conventional sleep plot showing sleep in a 12-h light:dark cycle. (b) Total sleep duration (24 h) and total sleep during the light and dark periods. (c) Maximum sleep-episode duration. (d) Sleep latency after lights off. (e) Mean wake duration for light and dark periods. Values and error bars for **b-e** indicate mean \pm s.e.m. (* indicates P < 0.05, ** indicates P < 0.005 and *** indicates P < 0.0005 for the comparison of wild type and mutant by one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* test; n = 32 for GAL4 alone, n = 31 for UAS alone and n = 62 for *GAD-GAL4;UAS-Shaw*).

Agosto et al.



Figure 2.

 Rdl^{A302S} flies show decreased sleep latency and increased sleep-episode duration. Rdl^{MDRR} and Rdl^{CB1} are independently isolated A302S point mutations in the Rdl gene. Wild-type sibling controls for each experimental genotype are shown. (a) Raster plots showing the sleep/ wake pattern of 16 individual wild-type (+/+, left) and Rdl^{MDRR} (right) flies during a 24-h (12 h:12 h dark:light) period. White areas represent periods of wakefulness (periods of movement, or inactivity <5 min), whereas the black areas show sleep periods (inactive periods >5 min). (b) Quantification and comparison of total 24-h sleep between wild-type (n = 135) and Rdl^{MDRR} (n = 155, left) flies, wild-type (n = 51) and Rdl^{MDRR}/Rdl^{CB1} (n = 49, middle) flies, and wild-type (n = 277) and Rdl^{MDRR}/Rdl^1 (n = 50, right) flies. (c) Sleep latency after lights off for

same genotypes. Values and error bars for **b** and **c** indicate mean \pm s.e.m. (* indicates *P* < 0.005, ** *P* < 0.0005 for the comparison of wild type and mutant by two-tailed Student's *t*-test).



Figure 3.

CBZ markedly decreases fly sleep by increasing sleep latency and decreasing episode duration (a) Conventional sleep plot showing the effect of different concentrations of CBZ on the sleep pattern of wild-type (*Canton-S*) flies during the first day of drug treatment. Values indicate the average sleep per 30 min of groups of flies fed with either solvent (blue, 0 mg ml⁻¹, n = 27) or various doses of CBZ (orange, 0.2 mg ml⁻¹, n = 26; red, 0.4 mg ml⁻¹, n = 24; turquoise, 0.8 mg ml⁻¹, n = 28, 1.2 purple, mg ml⁻¹, n = 27). White and gray areas are the dark and light periods, respectively. Black arrow on the top part of the graph indicates CBZ application. (b-f) Effect of CBZ on total sleep (b), sleep latency after lights off (c), sleep-episode duration (d), number of sleep episodes (e) and locomotion during wake periods (f) on the first night of drug application. Values represent mean ± s.e.m. for all panels. For panels b-f, * indicates *P* < 0.05 compared with vehicle control using one-way ANOVA factor 'CBZ concentration' and Tukey-Kramer *post hoc* test.



Figure 4.

 Rdl^{A302S} flies are resistant to CBZ effects on sleep latency, but not to its effects on sleep-episode duration. (a) Conventional sleep plot showing the effect of different concentrations of CBZ on the sleep pattern of Rdl^{A302S} mutants during the first day of drug treatment. (b-d) CBZ dose-response curves comparing the different sleep parameters between wild-type (+/+) and Rdl^{A302S} flies during the fist night of drug treatment. The behavioral response to each CBZ concentration was divided by the average response of the respective control group (0 mg ml⁻¹) to normalize for genotype differences on the various sleep parameters. Significant differences in CBZ sensitivity were observed between wild type and Rdl^{A302S} in total sleep (0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 mg ml⁻¹; b) and sleep latency (0.8 and 1.2 mg ml⁻¹; c), but not mean sleep-episode duration (d).* indicates P < 0.05 by two-way ANOVA with 'genotype' and 'CBZ concentration' as factors. In order of increasing dose, n = 27, 26, 24, 28 and 27 for the A302S mutant Rdl^{MDRR} .

Agosto et al.



Figure 5.

The Rdl^{A302S} mutation rescues sleep homeostasis. (a) Significant differences in CBZ sensitivity were observed between wild type and Rdl^{A302S} in the number of sleep episodes at 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 mg ml⁻¹, showing that the Rdl^{A302S} mutant can respond homeostatically to sleep deprivation. * indicates P < 0.05 by two-way ANOVA with 'genotype' and 'CBZ concentration' as factors. (**b**,**c**) Sleep-episode bout length distribution in wild-type (**b**) and Rdl^{A302S} (**c**) flies with increasing amounts of CBZ.

Page 14



Figure 6.

CBZ specifically increases RDL desensitization and the A302S mutation prevents CBZ effects (a) Response to 90-s application of 100 μ M GABA with variable doses of CBZ, recorded from oocytes expressing RDL held at -60 mV under voltage clamp. (b) Comparison of current amplitudes with (1 mM CBZ) and without (control) drug perfusion. Change in peak amplitude was not statistically significant (left, P > 0.9, paired *t*-test), whereas steady state amplitude was significantly decreased (P < 0.005, paired *t*-test). Steady state amplitude was calculated by normalizing the peak current amplitude to 1 and fitting to a single exponential equation. (c) Current evoked by successive pulses of 50 μ M GABA with and without CBZ. (d) Response of oocytes expressing A302S mutant channel to 100 μ M GABA under the same conditions as in **a**. Traces in **c** and **d** were normalized to the first peak amplitude.