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It is well known that an artin algebra $A$ is of finite representation type if and only if $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)=0$. In this note we deepen this result by showing that $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)\right)^{2}=0$ implies that $A$ is of finite representation type.

## 1 Introduction.

Let $A$ be an artin algebra over a commutative artin ring $R$. By an $A$-module we mean a finitely generated, right $A$-module. We denote by $\bmod A$ the category of all $A$-modules, by ind $A$ the full subcategory of $\bmod A$ whose objects are the indecomposable $A$-modules, and then $\operatorname{rad}(\bmod A)$ is the Jacobson radical of $\bmod A$, that is, the ideal in $\bmod A$ generated by all noninvertible morphisms in ind $A$. The infinite radical $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)$ of $\bmod A$ is the intersection of all powers $\operatorname{rad}^{i}(\bmod A), i \geq 1$, of $\operatorname{rad}(\bmod A)$. The algebra $A$ is said to be of finite representation type if ind $A$ has only finitely many non-isomorphic $A$-modules.
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Recent investigations showed that $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)$ contains important informations on the category $\bmod A$ (see the survey article $[\mathbf{S 3}]$ ). We are interested in describing artin algebras $A$ with $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)$ nilpotent. It is well known that an artin algebra $A$ is of finite representation type if and only if $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)=0($ see $[\mathbf{K S}]$ and $[\mathbf{S 3}])$. On the other hand, for every hereditary algebra of infinite representation type $H$, we have that $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod H)\right)^{2} \neq 0$. The aim of this paper is to show the following:

THEOREM. Let A be an artin algebra such that $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)\right)^{2}=0$. Then A is of finite representation type.

In a forthcoming paper [CMMS] we shall study module categories with infinite radical cube zero. The present article was written when the last named author was visiting the University of São Paulo. He acknowledges support from FAPESP, Brazil and from Polish Scientific Grant KBN No. $1222 / 2 / 91$. The first three authors acknowledge support from CNPq, Brazil.

## 2 Proof of the theorem.

We denote by $D$ the standard duality $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, \bar{I})$ on $\bmod A$, where $\bar{I}$ is the injective envelope of $R / \operatorname{rad} R$ in $\bmod R$. We use the notations $\Gamma_{A}$ for the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $A$, and $\tau_{A}=D \operatorname{Tr}$ and $\tau_{A}^{-1}=\operatorname{Tr} D$ for the Auslander-Reiten translations in $\Gamma_{A}$. Also, as usual, we shall not distinguish vertices of $\Gamma_{A}$ from the corresponding indecomposable modules. A path

$$
X_{o} \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n}
$$

in $\Gamma_{A}$ is said to be sectional if $\tau_{A} X_{i} \neq X_{i-2}$, for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Further, such a path is an oriented cycle if $X_{o}=X_{n}$. A connected quiver is said to be non trivial if it contains at least two vertices (and hence at least one arrow).

Let $X$ be in ind $A$. Then $X$ is called periodic if for some $n>0$ we have that $\tau_{A}^{n} X=X$. Besides, such a module $X$ is called left stable (respectively right stable) if $\tau_{A}^{n} X \neq 0$ for all positive (respectively negative) integers $n$ and it is called stable if it is both left and right stable. The $\tau_{A}$-orbit of $X$ is the set of all possible modules of the form $\tau_{A}^{i} X, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For basic facts about Auslander-Reiten theory we refer to [AR3, AR4] and for tilting theory to $[\mathbf{A s}],[\mathbf{R i} 1]$ and $[\mathbf{R i 2}]$.

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that $A$ is an artin algebra of infinite representation type such that $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)\right)^{2}=0$. Since $A$ is of infinite representation type, by a theorem of Auslander, [A2](3.1), there exists an infinite sequence of proper epimorphisms

$$
\cdots \rightarrow M_{r} \xrightarrow{f_{r}} M_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} M_{o}
$$

with $M_{i} \in \operatorname{ind} A$. Let $\mathcal{M}=\left\{M_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Fix $r \geq 0$ and consider a projective cover $h_{r}: \mathbf{P}\left(M_{r}\right) \rightarrow M_{r}$ of $M_{r}$. Then, for each $t \geq r+1$ there exists a morphism $g_{t}: \mathbf{P}\left(M_{r}\right) \rightarrow M_{t}$ such that $h_{r}=f_{r+1} \cdots f_{t} g_{t}$. Hence, $h_{r} \in$ $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{P}\left(M_{r}\right), M_{r}\right)$. Since $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)\right)^{2}=0$ and $h_{r}$ is an epimorphism, we deduce that $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}\left(M_{r},-\right)=0$.

We claim that, for each $r \geq 0$, there exists a natural number $s_{r}$ such that $\operatorname{rad}^{s_{r}}\left(M_{r},-\right)=0$. Indeed, since $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}\left(M_{r},-\right)=0$, we infer that $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}\left(M_{r}, D A\right)$ $=0$. Observe that there exists an $s_{r}$ such that $\operatorname{rad}^{s_{r}}\left(M_{r}, D A\right)=\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}\left(M_{r}, D A\right)$ because $\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{r}, D A\right)$ is an artinian $R$-module. Since for each $A$-module $X$ there is a monomorphism of the form $X \rightarrow(D A)^{m}$, for some $m \geq 1$, we get our claim.

We have then that none of the morphisms $f_{r}$ can belong to $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)$, and so, that all modules in $\mathcal{M}$ are in the same connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Furthermore, for each $r \geq 0$, any sectional path starting at $M_{r}$ has length bounded by $s_{r}$. Indeed, if there is such a path

$$
M_{r}=Z_{o} \rightarrow Z_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_{l}
$$

with $l>s_{r}$, choosing irreducible morphisms $f_{i}$ for each arrow $Z_{i-1} \rightarrow Z_{i}$, it follows from $[\mathbf{B}]$ and $[\mathbf{I T}]$ that the composition $f_{l} \cdots f_{1}$ is not zero and clearly belongs to $\operatorname{rad}^{l}\left(M_{r}, Z_{l}\right)$, a contradiction.

Let now $\mathcal{C}$ be the connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$ which contains all modules of $\mathcal{M}$ and let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ (respectively, by $\mathcal{C}_{r}$ ) the left (respectively, the right) stable part of $\mathcal{C}$. It is obtained by deleting from $\mathcal{C}$ the $\tau_{A}$-orbits of the indecomposable projective (respectively, injective) modules.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is infinite, either $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{r}$ has a connected component which is non-trivial. In fact, if there is, say, an infinite family of left stable trivial components $\left\{\tau_{A}^{i} X\right\}, i \geq 0$, with $X$ non-periodic, then, for $N$ big enough and $i \geq N$, we will have that $\tau_{A}^{i} X$ is not a neighbor of a projective module, which leads to a contradiction. Further, if that is not the case, there are
infinitely many periodic orbits of trivial components that would be neighbors of orbits of projective or injective indecomposable modules, which is again a contradiction.

We claim now that every non-trivial connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ (respectively, of $\mathcal{C}_{r}$ ) contains an oriented cycle. Indeed, let $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be a non-trivial connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ that does not contain an oriented cycle. Then, by $[\mathbf{L}](3.6)$, there exists a valued quiver $\Delta$, containing no oriented cycle, such that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a full translation subquiver of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ which is closed under predecessors. Let us fix a copy of $\Delta$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ such that no module in $\Delta$ is a successor of a projective module in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the full translation subquiver of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ whose vertices are all predecessors of $\Delta$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is also closed under predecessors in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $I$ be the annihilator of $\mathcal{D}$ in $A, B=A / I$ and $M$ the direct sum of the modules in $\tau_{A} \Delta$. We claim that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(M, \tau_{A} M\right)=0$. Indeed, if this were not so, there would exist direct summands $Y$ and $Z$ of $M$ and a non-zero morphism $f: Y \rightarrow \tau_{A} Z$. Observe that such a morphism would belong to $\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)$, because $\mathcal{D}$ is closed under predecessors and has no oriented cycles. Now, if $\pi: \mathbf{P}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ is a projective cover, our choice of $\Delta$ implies that $\pi \in \operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)$, and hence $f \pi$ is a non-zero morphism in $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)\right)^{2}$, a contradiction. Consequently, $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(M, \tau_{A} M\right)=0$ and, by $[\mathbf{S 1}]$, Lemma $2, \Delta$ is finite. Then, $I=\operatorname{ann} M$ (see [S2], Lemma 3) and hence $M$ is a faithful $B$-module. Observe also that $\mathcal{D}$ consists of $B$-modules, so that $\tau_{B} X=\tau_{A} X$ for any $X$ in $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(M, \tau_{B} M\right)=0$ and, similarly, $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(\tau_{B}^{-1} M, M\right)=0$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}(M, X) \neq 0$ for an $X \in \operatorname{ind} B$ which is not a direct summand of $M$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(\tau_{B}^{-1} M, X\right) \neq 0$. Therefore, by $[\mathbf{R S S}]$ (1.5) and (1.6) (see also $[\mathbf{S 3}](3.2)), M$ is a tilting $B$-module. Further, by $[\mathbf{S 3}](3.4), H=\operatorname{End}_{B}(M)$ is a hereditary algebra. This means that $B$ is a tilted algebra and that $\mathcal{D}$ is a full translation subquiver of the connecting component $\Sigma$ of $\Gamma_{B}$ which is closed under predecessors and $\Delta$ is a slice in $\Sigma$. Since $\Sigma$ has no projective modules, we infer that $B$ is given by a tilting module without preinjective direct summands (see [Ri2], p. 42). Then, by a result of Strauss [St] (7.5), there exists a factor algebra $C$ of $B$ which is concealed. Observe then that, since $\Gamma_{C}$ has regular components, $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod C)\right)^{2} \neq 0$. Indeed, let $Z$ be a vertex of a regular connected component of $\Gamma_{C}$ and let us consider a projective cover $\mathbf{P}(Z) \rightarrow Z$ and an injective envelope $Z \rightarrow \mathbf{I}(Z)$ of $Z$. Then their composite is clearly a non-zero morphism in $\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod C)\right)^{2}$ and hence in
$\left(\operatorname{rad}^{\infty}(\bmod A)\right)^{2}$, which contradicts our assumption. We show, in a similar way, that also every non-trivial connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{r}$ contains oriented cycles.

We shall show now that there are at most finitely many $\tau$-orbits in $\mathcal{C}$ which are not $\tau_{A}$-periodic. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a non-trivial connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{l}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ contains a periodic module, then either $\mathcal{E}$ is a stable tube or it is of the form $\mathbb{Z} Q / G$, where $Q$ is a Dynkin quiver and $G$ is a group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z} Q[\mathbf{H P R}]$. In this case, all $\tau_{A}$-orbits of $\mathcal{E}$ are periodic. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{E}$ has no periodic module, then, by $[\mathbf{L}](2.3), \mathcal{E}$ has only finitely many $\tau_{A}$-orbits. Therefore, we infer that there is at most a finite number of non-periodic $\tau_{A}$-orbits in $\mathcal{C}$ (see $[\mathbf{B C}](4.2)$ ), and our claim is proved.

Let us observe now that a stable tube $\mathcal{T}$ in $\Gamma_{A}$ has no module from $\mathcal{M}$, because all modules in $\mathcal{T}$ are starting vertices of infinite sectional paths. Hence, there exists a $\tau$-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ containing infinitely many modules from $\mathcal{M}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that, for some $Y \in \mathcal{O}$, $\Omega=\left\{\tau_{A}^{i} Y, i \geq 0\right\}$ contains infinitely many modules of $\mathcal{M}$. Obviously then there is a connected component $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ that contains all but finitely many modules of $\Omega$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has no periodic modules but contains oriented cycles, it follows from $[\mathbf{L}](2.3)$ that there exists an infinite sectional path

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \tau_{A}^{2 t} X_{1} \rightarrow \tau_{A}^{t} X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau_{A}^{t} X_{1} \rightarrow X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1}
$$

in $\mathcal{F}$, where $t>s$, at least one of the modules $X_{j}$ is not stable, and $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right\}$ is a complete set of representatives of $\tau_{A}$-orbits in $\mathcal{F}$.

It follows that $\mathcal{M}$ contains a module of the form $\tau_{A}^{i} X_{j}$, for some $i \geq t$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Observe that there exists an infinite sectional path starting in $\tau_{A}^{i} X_{j}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that this module belongs to $\mathcal{M}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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