

Molecular Breeding for *Septoria tritici* Blotch Resistance in Wheat

H. RAMAN* and A. MILGATE

EH Graham Centre for Agricultural Innovation (an alliance between NSW Department of Primary Industries and Charles Sturt University), Wagga Wagga Agricultural Institute, Wagga Wagga, NSW 2650, Australia

(Received 22 March 2012; accepted 26 April 2012;
Communicated by B. Tóth)

Septoria tritici blotch (STB) caused by the fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, is one of the most important foliar diseases of wheat (*T. aestivum* spp., *aestivum* L.). Various practices such as crop rotation, application of fungicides, and deployment of genetic resistance have been utilised to control this disease and subsequently reduce yield losses. During the last 20 years, significant progress has been made in understanding host–pathogen interaction, inheritance of STB resistance, localisation of loci controlling STB resistance and identification of molecular markers associated with STB resistance in common wheat. We review the progress made on various aspects of molecular breeding for STB resistance especially on mapping and validation of qualitative and quantitative trait loci in common wheat.

Keywords: *Septoria tritici*, resistance, genetics, molecular markers, marker-assisted selection, wheat

Introduction

Common wheat ($2n = 6x = 42$, AABBDD genomes) is the major source of calories intake all over the world. Its production is threatened by various abiotic and biotic stresses especially by constantly changing populations of pathogen races. In order to stabilise the food production and to meet the food demand for growing population across globe, management of diseases is one of the key R&D priorities of various organisations. *Septoria tritici* blotch (STB), also known as speckled leaf blotch or septoria leaf blotch, caused by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (Fuckel) J. Schröter. in Cohn (anamorph: *Septoria tritici* Roberge ex Desmaz), is one of the most ubiquitous and important necrotic leaf diseases of wheat worldwide, including Europe, North America, South America and Australia. This disease is characterised by irregular blotches that have thin yellow margins on the leaves, generally tan to brown. High humidity and moderate temperatures during vegetative growth promote disease development. Under epiphytotic conditions, STB can cause serious yield losses up to 60% in some wheat crops. Brennan and Murray (1998) estimated the potential loss caused by STB in Australia to be \$152 m per year.

* Corresponding author; E-mail: harsh.raman@industry.nsw.gov.au

Biology of the pathogen and epidemiology of the STB

M. graminicola belongs to phylum Ascomyceta and infects common wheat, durum (*Triticum durum* Desf) and spelt wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. subsp. *spelta* (L.) Thell) (Gharbi et al. 2000; Goodwin 2007; Simon et al. 2010). It reproduces both asexually and sexually on host species and during a single crop growing season, it can complete several disease cycles. The fungus survives on crop residues mainly on leaf and stubble, and therefore survives from one season to the next. Sexual reproduction plays a significant role in genetic diversity of *M. graminicola* populations, resulting in high biological fitness (Chen and McDonald 1996). Molecular analysis of populations of *M. graminicola* has shown high gene flow within and between populations (McDonald and Martinez 1990a, 1990b; Schnieder et al. 2001).

During the last 20 years, tremendous progress has been made in understanding STB resistance due to the understanding of a variety of factors, including successful culture of the pathogen *in vitro*, long latent period of the disease, specific environmental conditions required for infection, variability in symptom expression; which often complicate the scoring of inoculated plants, and the knowledge of STB resistance gene locations on the wheat chromosomes (Goodwin 2007; Orton et al. 2011).

STB Infections occur during all stages of plant development; however, infection on the flag leaves can cause the most severe losses by reducing grain test weight (Paveley 1999). Under high humidity conditions, wind borne sexual spores (ascospores) germinate on leaf and penetrate through stomata (Kema et al. 1996). Fungal growth occurs in the leaf apoplast without invading plant cells or forming haustoria (Cohen and Eyal 1993). Once the fungus colonizes, it causes chlorosis and necrosis resulting in blotches on the leaf margins and alongside of leaf-veins. In addition to the necrotic tissue, this disease results in early leaf senescence and therefore reduces photosynthetic capacity (Palmer and Skinner 2002). Under optimum conditions, asexual pycnidiospores are formed. A combination of wind and rain provides the most favourable conditions for spread of both asexual and sexual spores for the STB disease development within and between paddocks and cause disease epidemics in wheat growing areas (Zhan et al. 1998).

Management of the STB

Various practices such as crop rotation, stubble management, seed dressing and foliar application of fungicide and deployment of genetic resistance have been employed to control this disease and subsequently reduce yield losses. The application of fungicides may be a useful tool for STB management. A range of 14-demethylase inhibitors of sterol biosynthesis and systemic fungicides belonging to the strobilurins (Qo inhibitor) have been employed for the control of *M. graminicola* (Goodwin et al. 1999; Jordan and Hutcheon 1999). However, the profitability of fungicide application needs to be considered, on case to case basis. Often, fungicide application is uneconomical for rain-fed and lower yielding environments. Moreover, pathogens tend to develop resistance to chemicals, particularly when they are constantly challenged. For example, in Europe, *M. graminicola* races have

developed resistance to strobilurin fungicides (Anonymous 2003; Chartrain et al. 2004b). Considering the capability of *M. graminicola* to overcome genetic resistance due to the high frequency of sexual reproduction in the population (Hunter et al. 1999), and increasing risk of developing resistance to fungicides and to the emerging need to develop sustainable wheat productivity, the identification of resistance gene sources representing current and historic commercial cultivars and landraces, and deployment of those sources is essential.

Evaluation of germplasm for STB resistance

Measuring levels of disease resistance for the purpose of breeding varieties, germplasm development and/or molecular genetic studies utilise similar techniques for evaluating reactions to the pathogen. Artificial inoculations are usually carried out to ensure uniform epidemics of disease which improves the estimation of resistance heritability. Various criteria such as percent necrosis bearing pycnidia and pycnidial density are used to assess disease severity, rely principally on scales or estimates of the percent of diseased leaf tissue at either seedling (intact and detached leaf) or at adult plant stages. Resistance to STB can be evaluated under glasshouse, laboratory (detached leaf assay) and field conditions. Glasshouse screening using an inoculum of laboratory – produced pycnidiospores removes some of the uncertainty inherent in field testing with its dependence upon wet seasons or spray irrigation (May and Lagudah 1992) and further reduce genotype \times environmental interactions to a certain extent. The cultural conditions used to produce inoculum for artificial infections are well established. Spore suspensions of *M. graminicola* are generally raised from cultures grown on solid or liquid media (Ballantyne and Thomson 1995). For smaller experiments, the solid media is used and spores washed-off plates then diluted to the desired concentration. Spore inoculation concentrations range in the literature from 4×10^6 – 1×10^8 spores per ml.

For evaluating seedling resistance, most studies report glasshouse inoculations. Growth for plants is generally maintained with conditions typically of 18°C night temperature to 22°C day temperature. Light is important to achieve good disease expression, repeated days of reduced light intensity can reduce symptoms. For uniform infection, seedlings are sprayed with a spore suspension at up to the third leaf stage and kept at 100% humidity for 48–72 h.

Sewell and Caldwell (1960) used detached leaf (excised wheat seedlings leaves) assay for the first time for testing resistance to *Septoria tritici*. Since then, this method has been used to identify sources of resistance to STB and subsequently to identify and validate molecular markers associated with this trait (Arraiano et al. 2001a, 2001b; Chartrain et al. 2004b, 2005b).

Assessment of adult plants under field conditions is important for the selection of resistant germplasm. Single pycnidia isolates or isolate mixtures are used for genetic analysis in most of studies. However, mixtures of isolates or natural infection are frequently used for germplasm evaluation in screening nurseries. Planting times are important to ensure natural conditions can be taken advantage of to promote infection. Inoculum is provided to

trials by either infected stubble or sprayed with fungal spore suspensions as in the glass-house seedling assays (Paveley 1999; Brading et al. 2002; Chartrain et al. 2004a, 2004b).

Genetic variation and inheritance of STB resistance

Identification of resistance sources is the first step towards the development of varieties for target environments. Useful genetic variation for STB resistance exists within common wheat germplasm and has also been successfully exploited from a wide range of donor sources of resistance such as landraces, wild progenitors of wheat, synthetic wheats and durums. However, only a few genotypes have been examined in detail to investigate mode of inheritance and to locate loci controlling STB resistance (May and Lagudah 1992; Simon and Cordo 1997; Brown et al. 2001; Arraiano and Brown 2006; Ogbonnaya et al. 2008; Anderson et al. 2010; Zwart et al. 2010; Schilly et al. 2011).

May and Lagudah (1992) evaluated a large collection (376 accessions) of *T. tauschii* (syn. *Aegilops tauschii*) and synthetic hexaploid wheats, produced by hybridisation *A. tauschii* with tetraploid wheats for resistance to *M. graminicola* and found 90% of *A. tauschii* accessions resistant to STB. Genetic variation for STB resistance has been exploited in breeding programs to develop new varieties resistant to STB. As a result of targeted breeding, several varieties resistant to STB have been released for commercial cultivation in Australia and elsewhere. In Australia, two sources of resistance, WW15 and Frontana have been extensively utilised to develop varieties resistant to STB. Besides resistance to several foliar diseases (Kolmer et al. 2008), Frontana has also been extensively utilised as a donor source for introgression of alleles for aluminium resistance worldwide (Raman et al. 2005, 2008, 2010; Stodart et al. 2007), a 'must' trait for cultivation crops on acid soils. Besides, Farro Lunga, Inia-F66 and Canrock have also been used as donor sources to develop varieties for STB resistance in Australia.

Genetic inheritance studies suggest that resistance to *M. graminicola* in wheat is complex, due to both qualitative and quantitative genes (Rillo and Caldwell 1966; Rosielle 1972; Wilson 1979, 1985; Shaner and Finney 1982; Somasco et al. 1996; Arraiano et al. 2001b, 2007; Brading et al. 2002; Adhikari et al. 2003, 2004a, 2004b, 2004c; McCartney et al. 2003; Chartrain et al. 2005c; Arraiano and Brown 2006). The first gene, named *Stb1*, was identified in the common wheat cultivar Bulgaria 88 by Rillo and Caldwell (1966). Genes *Stb2* and *Stb3* were identified in Australia by Wilson (1985), and were derived originally from cv. Veranopolis and Israel 493, respectively. So far, 15 STB resistance genes have been identified (Goodwin 2007; Orton et al. 2011). In addition, several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with STB resistance has been reported (<http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/symbolClassList.jsp>, Eriksen et al. 2002, 2003).

Genetic studies which have aimed to identify QTL or genes associated with resistance have utilised populations either derived from single seed descent, intercross or from doubled haploidy (Arraiano et al. 2001b; Eriksen et al. 2003; Adhikari et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2004c; Simon et al. 2004; Chartrain et al. 2005a, 2005c; Raman et al. 2009b; Zwart et al. 2010). However, doubled haploid (DH) lines are not suitable to infer modes of inheritance. It is important to combine genetic analysis and inheritance studies because complex

modes of inheritance such as partially or semi-dominance and recessiveness have been described in several studies. For example, varieties such as Aurora, Besostaya 1 and Kavkaz (Danon and Eyal 1990), and Soalmouni and Coulter (McCartney et al. 2002), have partially dominant resistance while Colotana, Trakia (Danon and Eyal 1990), Seabreeze and Gala (Rosielle and Brown 1979; Wilson 1979) have recessive resistance. Three studies which have used a diallel approach to examine the inheritance of partial resistance have had similar findings (Simon and Cordo 1997; Zhang et al. 2001; Ramezanpour et al. 2010), i.e. that general combining ability is more important than specific combining ability and that large dominance effects were observed. The implications from these studies which, were mainly investigating genotypes with high levels of resistance, was phenotypic selection would be best delayed until later generations. Understanding the mode of inheritance of a qualitative and quantitative genes bridges the gap between QTL analysis and practical breeding and is essential for breeding programs to develop selection strategies.

Quantitative resistance vs. qualitative resistance

Two types of STB resistance, qualitative (controlled by race-specific major genes) and quantitative also called as partial or horizontal resistance (controlled by non-race-specific polygenes) to pathogens have been described in the literature. Race-specific resistance to *M. graminicola* seems to follow the classical gene to gene hypothesis (Flor 1942; Brading et al. 2002; McCartney et al. 2002) and is controlled by the interaction between resistance (*R*) gene in the host plant and corresponding avirulence (*Avr*) gene in the pathogen. Quantitative resistance does not follow gene – for gene hypothesis and seems to be more effective against different pathogen populations and is less likely to breakdown quickly.

The STB resistance genes have often been identified using QTL mapping techniques (Goodwin 2007). This has been necessary due to the quantitative nature of phenotypes displayed by this host–pathogen interaction. The name of a ‘QTL’ as a qualitative gene in the literature has occurred mainly when a large percent of genotypic variation is explained by the QTL and/or a specific interaction with one or more isolates is observed. In classical genetics, QTL refers to quantitative genes that have low heritability, small genetic and accumulative effects. Less frequently tests for allelism have been carried out (Somasco et al. 1996; Chartrain et al. 2005a, 2005c, 2009; Arraiano and Brown 2006) in order to test whether major QTL and known *Stb* genes are same. For example, Raman et al. (2009b) mapped STB resistance conditioned by single major gene designated as *XStbWW2449*, *XStbWW1842* and *XStbWW2451*, in the DH populations derived from Chara/WW2449, Whistler/WW1842 and Krichauff/WW2451, respectively, located on the short arm of chromosome 1B. Therefore, it has been difficult to designate such major genes as known qualitative gene (most likely *Stb11*) with high degree of certainty. Nevertheless, such studies have provided insights on locations of STB resistance loci and associated molecular markers for marker-assisted selection.

Previous research has shown that different monogenic sources for STB resistance vary in providing effective resistance over period of time (Dublin and Rajaram 1996; Eyal

1999). However, the durability of qualitative STB resistance genes and therefore their long-term value to a breeding program has often been questioned. There are documented cases of resistance breakdown or gradual erosion of resistance (Krenz et al. 2008). For example, *Stb1* maintained its effectiveness for more than 25 years, whereas cv. 'gene' became highly susceptible within 5 years after its release to changes in virulence of *M. graminicola* (Cowger et al. 2000). It is often suggested that breeders wishing to introduce qualitative genes into breeding populations using molecular marker techniques should combine them with background levels of quantitative resistance. Successful pyramiding of qualitative and quantitative resistance to several diseases against appropriate pathogen populations has been achieved in other crop plants (Brun et al. 2010). Ultimately as greater understanding of how different resistance genes interact with pathogen avirulence genes will lead to the possibility of novel pyramids which have differing modes of action against the pathogen. The sequencing of *M. graminicola* genome provides the foundation to develop new strategies to uncover how resistance genes affect the pathogen (Goodwin et al. 2011).

Molecular mapping of STB resistance loci

Various molecular marker systems based upon restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP®), simple sequence repeats (SSR), sequence related amplified polymorphisms (SRAP), expressed sequence tags (EST) and Diversity Array Technology (DArT™) have been developed in wheat and subsequently employed to locate loci associated with qualitative and quantitative trait loci including for STB resistance (Röder et al. 1998; Gupta et al. 2002; Adhikari et al. 2004c; Akbari et al. 2006). However, identification of tightly linked markers has been challenging due to the low level of polymorphism between parental lines of genetic mapping populations. Recently, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) platform in wheat has also been developed, and it is anticipated that such markers will be routinely used for molecular analysis and marker assisted selection in the wheat breeding programs. For example, Trebbi et al. (2011) applied complexity reduction of polymorphic sequences (CRoPS®) technology and discovered a total of 2,659 of SNP markers in tetraploid durum wheat. Next generation sequencing technologies including assays for genotyping by sequencing (Elshire et al. 2011) and relevant bioinformatics tools are being developed rapidly, and will become an integral part of genetic linkage mapping, germplasm characterisation, comparative genomics, allele mining and developing trait-marker associations with various mapping strategies in the recent future. The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium is currently sequencing the whole genome to enhance knowledge of the structure and function of the wheat genome, and will develop resources and technologies that will enable high density gene mapping. This will allow to develop robust and tightly linked markers for marker assisted selection and to facilitate map based cloning of resistance genes.

The majority of molecular mapping studies have described qualitative resistance with specific interactions to single pycnidium isolates (Table 1). However, only a few resis-

tance genes such as the *Stb6* (Arraiano et al. 2001b; Brading et al. 2002; Eriksen et al. 2003; Chartrain et al. 2005a, 2005c; Zwart et al. 2010), has been studied comprehensively. Many of these mapping studies utilised relatively small populations. The development of high density map utilising larger populations comprising several hundred to thousands lines will allow us to map STB gene more precisely. Both linkage and QTL analysis has been extensively used to map STB resistance loci in common wheat (Table 1). Raman et al. (2009b) utilised bulked segregant analysis technique (Michelmore et al. 1998) to tag loci for STB resistance in the Australian DH population from Krichauff/WW2451. Resistant and susceptible 'bulks' were formed by pooling DNA from each of the resistant and susceptible lines and allele frequencies were compared. This strategy enabled to map a major gene for STB resistance. This approach is particularly useful when only a limited number of traits are to be mapped and resources (money and time) required for extensive genotyping are limited.

Table 1. Chromosomal locations and molecular markers that are linked with resistance to *M. graminicola* in wheat

Gene Symbol	Donor	Location of locus	Marker interval	Reference
<i>Stb1</i>	Bulgaria	5BL	<i>Xbarc74/AGC/MCTA-1</i>	Adhikari et al. (2004c)
<i>Stb2</i>	Veranopolis	3BS	<i>Xgwm533.1/Xgwm493</i>	Adhikari et al. (2004a)
<i>Stb3</i>	Iseael 493	7AS	<i>Xwmc83</i>	Adhikari et al. (2004a)
				Goodwin et al. (2011)
<i>Stb4</i>	Tadorna	7DS	<i>E-ACTG/M-CAA5/Xgwm111</i>	Adhikari et al. (2004a)
<i>Stb5</i>	Synthetic 6X	7DS	<i>Xgwm44</i>	Arraiano et al. (2001b)
<i>Stb6</i>	Flame	3AS	<i>Xgwm369</i>	Brading et al. (2002),
	Hereward			Chartrain et al. (2005a)
	Kavkaz-K4500			
<i>Stb7</i>	Estanzuela Federal (ST6)	4AL	<i>Xwmc313</i>	McCartney et al. (2003),
				Chartrain et al. (2005a)
<i>Stb8</i>	Synthetic W7984	7BL	<i>Xgwm146/Xgwm577</i>	Adhikari et al. (2003)
<i>Stb10</i>	Kavkaz-K4500 L.6.A.4 1	1D	<i>Xgwm848</i>	Chartrain et al. (2005a)
<i>Stb11</i>	TE9111	1BS	<i>Xbarc008</i>	Chartrain et al. (2005c)
	TE9111			
<i>Stb12</i>	Kavkaz-K4500 L.6.A.4	4AL	<i>Xgwm219</i>	Chartrain et al. (2005a)
<i>Stb15</i>	Arina	6AS	<i>Xpsr904/Xpsr563a</i>	Arraiano et al. (2007)

Whole genome analysis has also been utilised to map loci associated with STB resistance at the seedling stage and at the adult stage in the DH populations derived from Chara (STB-susceptible)/WW2449 (STB-resistant), and Whistler (STB-susceptible)/WW1842 (STB-resistant) crosses that were also segregating for other agronomic traits such as wheat quality attributes, aluminium resistance and late maturity alpha amylase activity (Raman et al. 2008, 2009a, 2009b). Four QTLs for resistance to *M. graminicola*; *QStb.riso-2B*, *QStb.riso-3A.2* *QStb.riso-6B* and *QStb.riso-7B* were identified in a DH population from Savannah (susceptible to STB)/Senat (resistant to STB) (Eriksen et al. 2002, 2003).

In the International Triticeae Mapping Initiative (ITMI) population, three QTLs, *QStb.ipk-1DS*, *QStb.ipk-2DS* and *QStb.ipk-6DS* conferring resistance at seedling-stage, and two QTL, *QStb.ipk-3DL* and *QStb.ipk-7BL* for resistance at adult-stage were identified (Simon et al. 2004). Chartrain et al. (2004a) reported a QTL, *QStb.psr-6B.1* for partial resistance to STB in Riband on chromosome 6B. A weak QTL, *QStb.psr-7D.1*, providing partial resistance to Portuguese isolate IPO92006, was detected in the *Xcdo475b-7B – Xswm5-7B* marker interval in chromosome 7DS (Arraiano et al. 2007). In spelt wheat, Simon et al. (2010) mapped the adult resistance locus *QStb.ipk-7D2* was found on the short arm of chromosome 7D in a similar position to the locus *Lr34/Yr18* known to be effective against multiple pathogens. These findings suggest that different loci control STB resistance in different genetic backgrounds under different environments.

Eriksen et al. (2003) detected a QTL *QHt.riso-3A.2* for height at approximately the same position as the QTL *QStb.riso-3A.2* for resistance to STB. Previous studies have shown significant negative correlation between flowering time/maturity and STB infection (Tavella 1978; Arama et al. 1999). However, no association between plant height, heading data and resistance to STB was reported in wheat (Simón et al. 2005). This suggests that STB resistance genotypes with early maturity and short height can be selected in the breeding programs.

Although, QTL mapping studies provide comprehensive information on the nature of inheritance, and location, magnitude and allelic effects of QTLs, much of the information tends to be ‘population’ specific. In biparental populations, generally two alleles at each locus are sampled and therefore trait-marker association may not be highly relevant to diverse genetic backgrounds. Association mapping can be utilised for investigating linkage disequilibrium close to loci of interest in a diverse germplasm (Flint-Garcia et al. 2003; Hirschhorn and Daly 2005; Breseghello and Sorrells 2006a; Buckler et al. 2009; Raman and Gustafson 2010) and therefore offers an alternative to linkage and QTL mapping and validating loci. Currently, this approach is increasingly being followed to identify loci of agronomic important traits including for disease resistance genes. Although, genetic structure and close pedigrees in a given breeding program tends to have important consequences in determining trait-marker associations, several algorithms to control such factors have been developed and implemented in such studies. This mapping approach has been employed to identify ‘significant’ trait-marker associations in wheat (Breseghello and Sorrells 2006a, 2006b; Tommasini et al. 2007; Raman et al. 2010; Ghavami et al. 2011). However, this and other approaches such as mapping as you go (Podlich et al. 2004) have not been investigated in determining marker-STB resistance associations.

Validation and marker assisted selection

During last two decades, several major and minor loci for STB resistance and molecular markers tracking such loci have been identified. Often some QTL have minor effects and may be environment specific. Little or no effort has been made to evaluate the stability of these QTL and or of qualitative genes over diverse wheat growing environments to test their usefulness in achieving long-term durable control of the disease. While some wheat

breeding and germplasm enhancement programs are making progress in selecting desirable alleles of major STB resistance genes, many breeding programs are unwilling to invest to introgress multiple QTL having small effects especially those which have not been validated in a wide range of germplasm. Only a few studies reported validation and verification of STB resistance-molecular marker linkages in the literature. For example, Raman et al. (2009b) validated the linkage between STB resistance and SSR markers; WMC230, BARC119b and KSUM45 that were mapped within 5cM from *XStbWW* and predicted the STB resistance with over 94% accuracy in the 79 advanced breeding lines. Such results provide some confidence to the wheat breeding programs for their usefulness in monitoring STB resistance in different background. Recently, Goodwin et al. (2008) validated the linkage between markers and *Stb3* gene that was mapped on the short arm of chromosome 6D previously (Adhikari et al. 2004a). Genetic and physical mapping showed that *Stb3* in fact maps on the short arm of chromosome 7A. More comprehensive analysis should be done to predict their usefulness to characterise different resistance sources and identify allelic variants of STB resistance genes. Cloning of genes controlling resistance will allow gaining such understanding. To increase marker adoption for improvement of STB resistance in wheat breeding programs, more sources of resistance need to be examined in detail (Orton et al. 2011). However, the disperse nature of identified resistance genes and QTL conditioning STB resistance throughout the wheat genome presents a challenge to breeders in choosing optimal crosses.

Cloned disease resistance genes

So far, 20 resistance (R) genes have been cloned in plants (Michelmore and Meyers 1998; Feuillet et al. 2003; Huang et al. 2003; Yahiaoui et al. 2004; Srichumpa et al. 2005; Cloutier et al. 2007; Krattinger et al. 2009). Several allele variants and the haplotypes of cloned genes including of disease resistance genes in various crops including wheat, barley and rice have been reported (Latha et al. 2004; Malysheva et al. 2004; Srichumpa et al. 2005; Yahiaoui et al. 2006). Classical genetic and molecular analysis data suggest that most of these R genes are clustered and may contain sequences related to gene function and may not be related structurally (Ellis and Jones 1998; Leister et al. 1999). For example, Raman et al. (2009b) located *XstbWW* between the breakpoints 0.52 to 0.84 in the deletion lines 1BS-4 (0.52FL)/1BS-9 (0.84FL) where several other disease resistance genes to stripe rust, leaf rust and fusarium head blight: *YrH52* (Peng et al. 1999, 2000), *Yr15* (Sun et al. 1997; Peng et al. 2000), *Yr24* (Zakari et al. 2003), *QLr-sfr.1* (William et al. 1997; Schnurbusch et al. 2004), and FHB (Shen et al. 2003), *Yr9*, *Yr10*, *Yr26*, *Yr3a-c*, *Yr51**, *Pm2*, *Pm3*, *Pm8*, *Pm10*, *Pm22*, *Pm28*, *Pm32*, *QPm.vt-1BL*, *Lr10*, *Lr24*, *Lr26*, *Lr33*, *Lr44*, *Lr46+Yr29**, *Sr14*, *Sr18*, *Sr31*, and *snn1* for *Stagonospora nodorum* leaf blotch have also been localized on short arm of chromosome 1 (Tosa et al. 1987; Peusha et al. 1996, 2000; Liu et al. 2004; Dilbirligi et al. 2004; Erayman et al. 2004; McIntosh et al. 2004; Tyrka and Chelkowski 2004). Other STB resistance genes such as *Stb2* also map in the same genomic region where *Qfhs.ndsu-3BS* that confers resistance to *F. graminearum* (Buerstmayr et al. 2003), *Lr27* for leaf rust resistance (Faris et al. 1999), *Phaeosphaeria*

(an amorph *Stagonospora nodorum*) causing *Septoria nodorum* (Schnurbusch et al. 2003) and yellow or stripe rust resistance loci are located (Manilal et al. 2003). More recently, physical map of 3B chromosome has been constructed (Paux et al. 2008). At least 40 genes and QTLs have been identified on chromosome 3B (<http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/GG2/maps.shtml>). Physical maps of different chromosomes would allow fine mapping of the target genes. To date, no gene controlling STB resistance has been cloned. However, the wheat genome is currently being sequenced under international wheat sequencing consortium and will provide insights into gene organisation and their functions, diversity, evolution and the origin of different genes controlling STB resistance. Next generation sequencing approaches will also reveal new candidate genes, identify targets for expression analysis and comprehensively investigate the extent of linkage disequilibrium especially in the vicinity of STB resistance genes. Diagnostic or perfect markers for resistance genes which can be used on multiplexing platforms such as SNPs or DArT will assist enrichment strategies in breeding programs.

Conclusions

It is now clear that major resistance genes will be overcome by time, as has been seen in many crop plants. Therefore, there is constant need to identify new sources of both qualitative and quantitative resistance genes. It is probable that pyramiding of both qualitative and quantitative genes will provide more durable resistance to STB disease that remains effective for a long period of time in cultivars which are widely grown in an environment favourable for disease (Johnson 1984). In addition to genetic resistance, deployment of agronomic practices such as use of rotation and stubble management will further reduce pathogen inoculum for subsequent crops. Development and validation of tightly linked molecular markers that are amenable for high throughput marker screening and cost effective systems will enable to increase their adoption in the wheat breeding programs. Marker assisted selection in an early generation will allow to enrich the desired traits (alleles) in the population. In order to understand host–pathogen interaction, there is a need to develop a set of isolates for key resistance genes. While a resource like this may help to characterise varieties and parents within breeding programs, it is likely to be expensive and slow for rapid selection in segregating populations.

References

- Adhikari, T.B., Anderson, J.M., Goodwin, S.B. 2003. Identification and molecular mapping of a gene in wheat conferring resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathol.* **93**:1158–1164.
- Adhikari, T.B., Wallwork, H., Goodwin, S.B. 2004a. Microsatellite markers linked to the *Stb2* and *Stb3* genes for resistance to Septoria tritici blotch in wheat. *Crop Sci.* **44**:1403–1411.
- Adhikari, T.B., Cavaletto, J.R., Dubcovsky, J., Gieco, J., Schlatter, A.R., Goodwin, S.B. 2004b. Molecular mapping of the *Stb4* gene for resistance to Septoria tritici blotch in wheat. *Phytopathol.* **94**:1198–1206.
- Adhikari, T.B., Yang, X., Cavaletto, J.R., Hu, X., Buechley, G., Ohm, H.W., Shaner, G., Goodwin, S.B. 2004c. Molecular mapping of *Stb1*, a potentially durable gene for resistance to Septoria tritici blotch in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **109**:944–953.

- Akbari, M., Wenzl, P., Caig, V., Carling, J., Xia, L., Yang, S., Uszynski, G., Mohler, V., Lehmensiek, A., Kuchel, H., Hayden, M., Howes, N., Sharp, P., Vaughan, P., Rathmell, B., Huttner, E., Kilian, A. 2006. Diversity arrays technology (DART) for high-throughput profiling of the hexaploid wheat genome. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **113**:1409–1420.
- Anderson, J., Bucholtz, D., Sardesai, N., Santini, J., Gyulai, G., Williams, C., Goodwin, S. 2010. Potential new genes for resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* identified in *Triticum aestivum* × *Lophopyrum elongatum* disomic substitution lines. *Euphytica* **172**:251–262.
- Anonymous 2003. Strobilurin Resistance in *Septoria tritici* in the UK. HGCA Topic Sheet No. 69, Home Grown Cereals Authority. London, UK.
- Arama, P.F., Parlevliet, J.E., Van Silfhout, C.H. 1999. Heading date and resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in wheat not genetically associated. *Euphytica* **106**:63–68.
- Arraiano, L.S., Brown, J.K.M. 2006. Identification of isolate-specific and partial resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in 238 European wheat cultivars and breeding lines. *Plant Pathol.* **55**:726–738.
- Arraiano, L.S., Brading, P.A., Brown, J.K.M. 2001a. A detached seedling leaf technique to study resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (anamorph *Septoria tritici*) in wheat. *Plant Pathol.* **50**:339–346.
- Arraiano, L.S., Worland, A.J., Ellerbrook, C., Brown, J.K.M. 2001b. Chromosomal location of a gene for resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*) in the hexaploid wheat Synthetic 6x'. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **103**:758–764.
- Arraiano, L.S., Chartrain, L., Bossolini, E., Slatter, H., Keller, B., Brown, J.K.M. 2007. A gene in European wheat cultivars for resistance to an African isolate of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Pathol.* **56**:73–78.
- Ballantyne, B.J., Thomson, F. 1995. Pathogenic variation in Australian isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **46**:921–934.
- Brading, P.A., Verstappen, E.C.P., Kema, G.H.J., Brown, J.K.M. 2002. A gene for gene relationship between wheat and *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, the *Septoria tritici* blotch pathogen. *Phytopathol.* **92**:439–445.
- Brennan, J.P., Murray, G.M. 1998. Economic Importance of Wheat Diseases in Australia. NSW Agriculture, Wagga Wagga, Australia.
- Breseghello, F., Sorrells, M.E. 2006a. Association analysis as a strategy for improvement of quantitative traits in plants. *Crop Sci.* **46**:1323–1330.
- Breseghello, F., Sorrells, M.E. 2006b. Association mapping of kernel size and milling quality in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *Genetics* **172**:1165–1177.
- Brown, J.K.M., Kema, G.H.J., Forrer, H.R., Verstappen, E.C.P., Arraiano, L.S., Brading, P.A., Foster, E.M., Fried, P.M., Jenny, E. 2001. Resistance of wheat cultivars and breeding lines to *Septoria tritici* blotch caused by isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in field trials. *Plant Pathol.* **50**:325–338.
- Brun, H., Chevre, A.M., Fitt, B.D.L., Powers, S., Besnard, A.L., Ermel, M., Huteau, V., Marquer, B., Eber, F., Renard, M., Andrivon, D. 2010. Quantitative resistance increases the durability of qualitative resistance to *Leptosphaeria maculans* in *Brassica napus*. *New Phytologist* **185**:285–299.
- Buckler, E.S., Holland, J.B., Bradbury, P.J., Acharya, C.B., Brown, P.J., Browne, C., Ersoz, E., Flint-Garcia, S., Garcia, A., Glaubitz, J.C., Goodman, M.M., Harjes, C., Guill, K., Kroon, D.E., Larsson, S., Lepak, N.K., Li, H., Mitchell, S.E., Pressoir, G., Peiffer, J.A., Rosas, M.O., Rocheford, T.R., Roday, M.C., Romero, S., Salvo, S., Villeda, H.S., Sofia da Silva, H., Sun, Q., Tian, F., Upadaya, N., Ware, D., Yates, H., Yu, J., Zhang, Z., Kresovich, S., McMullen, M.D. 2009. The genetic architecture of maize flowering time. *Science* **325**:714–718.
- Buerstmayr, H., Steiner, B., Hartl, L., Griesser, M., Angerer, N., Lengauer, D., Miedaner, T., Schneider, B., Lemmens, M. 2003. Molecular mapping of QTLs for Fusarium head blight resistance in spring wheat. II. Resistance to fungal penetration and spread. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**:503–508.
- Chartrain, L., Brading, P.A., Widdowson, J.P., Brown, J.K.M. 2004a. Partial resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch (*Mycosphaeria graminicola*) in wheat cultivars Arina and Riband. *Phytopathol.* **94**:497–504.
- Chartrain, L., Brading, P.A., Makepeace, J.C., Brown, J.K.M. 2004b. Sources of resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch and implications for wheat breeding. *Plant Pathol.* **53**:454–460.
- Chartrain, L., Berry, S.T., Brown, J.K.M. 2005a. Resistance of wheat line Kavkaz-K4500 L.6.A.4 to *Septoria tritici* blotch controlled by isolate-specific resistance genes. *Phytopathol.* **95**:664–671.
- Chartrain, L., Brading, P.A., Brown, J.K.M. 2005b. Presence of the *Stb6* gene for resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*) in cultivars used in wheat-breeding programmes worldwide. *Plant Pathol.* **54**:134–143.

- Chartrain, L., Joaquim, P., Berry, S.T., Arraiano, L.S., Azanza, F., Brown, J.K.M. 2005c. Genetics of resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in the Portuguese wheat breeding line TE 9111. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **110**:1138–1144.
- Chen, R.S., McDonald, B.A. 1996. Sexual reproduction plays a major role in the genetic structure of populations of the fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Genetics* **142**:1119–1127.
- Cloutier, S., McCallum, B.D., Loutre, C., Banks, T.W., Wicker, T., Feuillet, C., Keller, B., Jordan, M.C. 2007. Leaf rust resistance gene *Lr1*, isolated from bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a member of the large psr567 gene family. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **65**:93–106.
- Cohen, L., Eyal, Z. 1993. The histology of processes associated with the infection of resistant and susceptible wheat cultivars. *Plant Pathol.* **42**:737–743.
- Cowger, C., Hoffer, M.E., Mundt, C.C. 2000. Specific adaptation by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to a vertically resistant wheat cultivar. *Plant Pathol.* **49**:445–451.
- Danon, T., Eyal, Z. 1990. Inheritance of resistance to two *Septoria tritici* isolates in spring and winter wheat cultivars. *Euphytica* **47**:203–214.
- Dilbirligi, M., Erayman, M., Sandhu, D., Sidhu, D., Gill, K.S. 2004. Identification of wheat chromosomal regions containing expressed resistance genes. *Genetics* **166**:461–481.
- Dublin, H.J., Rajaram, S. 1996. Breeding disease resistant wheats for tropical highlands and lowlands. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **49**:445–451.
- Ellis, J., Jones, D. 1998. Structure and function of proteins controlling strain-specific pathogen resistance in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biol.* **1**:288–293.
- Elshire, R.J., Glaubitz, J.C., Sun, Q., Poland, J.A., Kawamoto, K., Buckler, E.S., Mitchell, S.E. 2011. A robust, simple Genotyping-by-Sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. *PLoS ONE* **6**:e19379.
- Erayman, M., Sandhu, D., Sidhu, D., Dilbirligi, M., Baenziger, P.S., Gill, K.S. 2004. Demarcating the gene-rich regions of the wheat genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**:3546–3565.
- Eriksen, L., Borum, F., Jahoor, A. 2002. Septoria tritici blotch resistance in wheat: identification and localisation with DNA markers. *Arbeitstagung 2001 der Vereinigung der Pflanzenzüchter und Saatgutkaufleute Österreichs gehalten vom 20 November 2001 in Gumpenstein, Irnding, Austria. Bundesanstalt für alpenländische Landwirtschaft Gumpenstein, Irnding, Austria*, pp. 95–100.
- Eriksen, L., Borum, F., Jahoor, A. 2003. Inheritance and localisation of resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* causing Septoria tritici blotch and plant height in the wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genome with DNA markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**:515–527.
- Eyal, Z. (ed.) 1999. Breeding for resistance to Septoria and Stagnospora diseases of wheat. CABI Publishing, Cambridge, UK, pp. 332–344.
- Faris, J.D., Li, W.L., Liu, D.J., Chen, P.D., Gill, B.S. 1999. Candidate gene analysis of quantitative disease resistance in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **98**:219–225.
- Feuillet, C., Travella, S., Stein, N., Albar, L., Nublat, A., Keller, B. 2003. Map-based isolation of the leaf rust disease resistance gene *Lr10* from the hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100**:15253–15258.
- Flint-Garcia, S.A., Thornsberry, J.M., Buckler, E.S. 2003. Structure of linkage disequilibrium in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **54**:357–374.
- Flor, H.H. 1942. Inheritance of pathogenicity in *Melampso rugini*. *Phytopathol.* **32**:653–669.
- Gharbi, M.S., Deghais, M., Ben, A.F. 2000. Breeding for resistance to Septoria tritici in durum wheat. *Proc. of Durum Wheat Conference, Zaragoza, Spain*, pp. 397–401.
- Ghavami, F., Elias, E.M., Mamidi, S., Ansari, O., Sargolzaei, M., Adhikari, T., Mergoum, M., Kianian, S.F. 2011. Mixed model association mapping for fusarium head blight resistance in Tunisian-derived durum wheat populations. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **1**:209–218.
- Godwin, J.R., Bartlett, D.W., Heaney, S.P. 1999. Azoxystrobin: Implications of biological mode of action, pharmacokinetics and resistance management for spray programmes against Septoria diseases of wheat. In: Lucas, J.A., Bowyer, P., Anderson, H.M. (eds), *Septoria on Cereals: a Study of Pathosystems* CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp. 299–315.
- Goodwin, S.B. 2007. Back to basics and beyond: increasing the level of resistance to Septoria tritici blotch in wheat. *Australasian Plant Pathol.* **36**:532–538.
- Goodwin, S.B., Cavaletto, J.R., Lowe, L., Thompson, I., Xu, S.X., Adhikari, T.B., Dubcovsky, J. 2008. Validation of a new map location for the *Stb3* gene for resistance to Septoria tritici blotch in wheat. 7th Int. Symp. on

- Mycosphaerella and Stagnospora Diseases of Cereals. Available at: http://www.path.ethz.ch/news/conferences/Mycosphaerella_Ascona_2007/00022_posterabstract.pds
- Goodwin, S.B., M'Barek, S., Dhillon, B., Wittenberg, A.J., Crane, C.F., Van Der Lee, T.J., Grimwood, J., Aerts, A., Antoniw, J., Bailey, A., Bluhm, B., Bowler, J., Bristow, J., Canto-Canche, B., Churchill, A., Conde-Ferraz, L., Cools, H., Coutinho, P.M., Csukai, M., Dehal, P., De Wit, P., Donzelli, B., Foster, A.J., Hammond-Kosack, K., Hane, J., Henrissat, B., Killian, A., Koopmann, E., Kourmpetis, Y., Kuzniar, A., Lindquist, E., Lombard, V., Maliepaard, C., Martins, N., Mahrabi, R., Oliver, R., Ponomarenko, A., Rudd, J., Salamov, A., Schmutz, J., Schouten, H.J., Shapiro, H., Stergiopoulos, I., Torriani, S.F., Tu, H., De Vries, R.P., Wiebenga, A., Zwiers, L., Grigoriev, I.V., Kema, G.J. 2011. Finished genome of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* reveals dispensome structure, chromosome plasticity and stealth pathogenesis. PLoS Genetics. Available at: <http://www.plosgenetics.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pgen.1002070>.
- Gupta, P., Balyan, H., Edwards, K., Isaac, P., Korzun, V., Röder, M., Gautier, M.F., Joudrier, P., Schlatter, A., Dubcovsky, J., De La Pena, C., Khairallah, M., Penner, G., Hayden, M., Sharp, P., Keller, B., Wang, C., Hardouin, P., Jack, P., Leroy, P. 2002. Genetic mapping of 66 new microsatellite (SSR) loci in bread wheat. Theor. Appl. Genet. **105**:413–422.
- Hirschhorn, J.N., Daly, M.J. 2005. Genome-wide association studies for common diseases and complex traits. Nat. Rev. Genet. **6**:95–108.
- Huang, L., Brooks, S.A., Li, W.L., Fellers, J.P., Trick, H.N., Gill, B.S. 2003. Map-based cloning of leaf rust resistance gene *Lr21* from the large and polyploid genome of bread wheat. Genetics **164**:655–664.
- Hunter, T., Coker, R.R., Royle, D.J. 1999. The telomorph stage, *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, in epidemics of Septoria tritici blotch on winter wheat in the UK. Plant Pathol. **48**:51–57.
- Johnson, R. 1984. A critical analysis of durable resistance. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. **22**:309–330.
- Jordan, V.W.L., Hutcheon, J.A. 1999. Disease management in less-intensive, integrative wheat systems. In: Lucas, J.A., Bowyer, P., Anderson, H.M. (eds), Septoria on Cereals: A Study of Pathosystems. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp. 263–272.
- Kema, G.H.J., Yu, D.Z., Rijkenberg, F.H.J., Shaw, M.W., Baayen, R.P. 1996. Histology of the pathogenesis of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat. Phytopathol. **86**:777–786.
- Kolmer, J.A., Singh, R.P., Garvin, D.F., Viccars, L., William, H.M., Huerta-Espino, J., Ogbonnaya, F.C., Raman, H., Orford, S., Bariana, H.S., Lagudah, E.S. 2008. Analysis of the *Lr34/Yr18* rust resistance region in wheat germplasm. Crop Sci. **48**:1841–1852.
- Krattinger, S.G., Lagudah, E.S., Spielmeier, W., Singh, R.P., Huerta-Espino, J., McFadden, H., Bossolini, E.S., Selter, L.L., Keller, B. 2009. A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. Science **323**:1360–1363.
- Krenz, J.E., Sackett, K.E., Mundt, C.C. 2008. Specificity of incomplete resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat. Phytopathol. **98**:555–561.
- Latha, R., Rubia, L., Bennett, J., Swaminathan, M.S. 2004. Allele mining for stress tolerance genes in Oryza species and related germplasm. Mol. Biotechnol. **27**:101–108.
- Leister, D., Kurth, J., Laurie, D.A., Yano, M., Sasaki, T., Graner, A., Schulze-Lefert, P. 1999. RFLP- and physical mapping of resistance gene homologues in rice (*O. sativa*) and barley (*H. vulgare*). Theor. Appl. Genet. **98**:509–520.
- Liu, Z.H., Faris, J.D., Meinhardt, S.W., Ali, S., Rasmussen, J.B., Friesen, T.L. 2004. Genetic and physical mapping of a gene conditioning sensitivity in wheat to a partially purified host-selective toxin produced by *Stagonospora nodorum*. Phytopathol. **94**:1056–1060.
- Malysheva, L., Ganal, M.W., Röder, M.S. 2004. Evaluation of cultivated barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) germplasm for the presence of thermostable alleles of β -amylase. Plant Breeding **123**:128–131.
- Manilal, H.W., Singh, R.P., Huerta-Espino, J., Palacios, G., Rajaram, S., David, H.H. 2003. Characterization of genes for durable resistance to leaf rust and yellow rust. In: CIMMYT spring wheats Plant and Animal Genomes, XI Conference, January 11–15, Town & Country Convention Center, San Diego, CA, USA, p. 393.
- May, C.E., Lagudah, E.S. 1992. Inheritance in hexaploid wheat of Septoria tritici blotch resistance and other characteristics derived from *Triticum tauschii*. Australian J. of Agri. Res. **43**:433–442.
- McCartney, C.A., Brûlé-Babel, A.L., Lamari, L. 2002. Inheritance of race-specific resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat. Phytopathol. **92**:138–144.

- McCartney, C.A., Brûlé-Babel, A.L., Lamari, L., Somers, D.J. 2003. Chromosomal location of a race-specific resistance gene to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in the spring wheat ST6. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**:1181–1186.
- McDonald, B.A., Martinez, J.P. 1990a. DNA restriction fragment length polymorphisms among *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (an anamorph *Septoria tritici*) isolates collected from a single wheat field. *Phytopathol.* **80**:1368–1373.
- McDonald, B.A., Martinez, J.P. 1990b. Restriction fragment length polymorphisms in *Septoria tritici* occur at a high frequency. *Current Genetics* **17**:133–138.
- McIntosh, R.A., Hart, G.E., Devos, K.M., Rogers, W.J. 2004. Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat: 2004 supplement. *Annu. Wheat Newsl.* **50**:286–313.
- Michelmore, R.W., Meyers, B.C. 1998. Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by divergent selection and a birth-and-death process. *Genome Res.* **8**:1113–1130.
- Ogbonnaya, F.C., Imtiaz, M., Bariana, H.S., McLean, M., Shankar, M.M., Hollaway, G.J., Trethowan, R., Lagudah, E.S., van Ginkel, M. 2008. Mining synthetic hexaploids for multiple disease resistance to improve bread wheat. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **59**:421–431.
- Orton, E.S., Deller, S., Brown, J.K.M. 2011. *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: from genomics to disease control. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **12**:413–424.
- Palmer, C.L., Skinner, W. 2002. *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: latent infection, crop devastation and genomics. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **3**:63–70.
- Paux, E., Sourdille, P., Salse, J., Saintenac, C., Choulet, F., Leroy, P., Korol, A., Michalak, M., Kianian, S., Spielmeier, W., Lagudah, E., Somers, D., Kilian, A., Alaux, M., Vautrin, S., Bergès, H., Eversole, K., Appels, R., Safar, J., Simkova, H., Dolezel, J., Bernard, M., Feuillet, C. 2008. A physical map of the 1-gigabase bread wheat chromosome 3B. *Science* **322**:101–104.
- Paveley, N.D. 1999. Integrating Septoria risk variables. In: Lucas, J.A., Bowyer, P., Anderson, H.M. (eds), *Septoria on Cereals: A Study of Pathosystems*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp. 230–250.
- Peng, J.H., Fahima, T., Roder, M.S., Li, Y.C., Dahan, A., Grama, A., Ronin, Y.I., Korol, A.B., Nevo, E. 1999. Microsatellite tagging of the stripe-rust resistance gene YrH52 derived from wild emmer wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*, and suggestive negative crossover interference on chromosome 1B. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **98**:862–872.
- Peng, J.H., Fahima, T., Roder, M.S., Li, Y.C., Huang, Q.Y., Dahan, A., Grama, A., Nevo, E. 2000. High-density molecular map of chromosome region harbouring stripe rust resistance genes *YrH52* and *Yr15* derived from wild emmer wheat *Triticum dicoccoides*. *Genetica* **109**:199–210.
- Peusha H., Hsam, S.L.K., Zeller, F.J. 1996. Chromosomal location of powdery mildew resistance genes in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell.) 3: Gene *Pm22* in cultivar ‘Virest’. *Euphytica* **91**:149–152.
- Peusha, H., Enno, T., Prillinn, O. 2000. Chromosomal location of powdery mildew resistance genes and cytogenetic analysis of meiosis in common wheat cultivar. *Meri. Hereditas* **132**:29–34.
- Podlich, D., Winkler, C., Cooper, M. 2004. Mapping as you go: An effective approach for marker-assisted selection of complex traits. *Crop Sci.* **44**:1560–1571.
- Raman, H., Zhang, K., Cakir, M., Appels, R., Garvin, D.F., Maron, L.G., Kochian, L.V., Moroni, J.S., Raman, R., Imtiaz, M., Drake-Brockman, F., Waters, I., Martin, P., Sasaki, T., Yamamoto, Y., Matsumoto, H., Hebb, D.M., Delhaize, E., Ryan, P.R. 2005. Molecular characterization and mapping of *ALMT1*, the aluminium-tolerance gene of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Genome* **48**:781–791.
- Raman, H., Ryan, P.R., Raman, R., Stodart, B.J., Zhang, K., Martin, P., Wood, R., Sasaki, T., Yamamoto, Y., Mackay, M., Hebb, D.M., Delhaize, E. 2008. Analysis of *TaALMT1* traces the transmission of aluminum resistance in cultivated common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **116**:343–354.
- Raman, H., Gustafson, P. 2010. Molecular breeding for aluminium tolerance in cereals. In: Costa de Oliveira, A., Varshney, R.K. (eds.), *Root Genomics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 251–288.
- Raman, H., Stodart, B., Ryan, P., Delhaize, E., Emberi, L., Raman, R., Coombes, N., Milgate, A. 2010. Genome wide association analyses of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm identifies multiple loci for aluminium resistance. *Genome* **53**:957–966.
- Raman, R., Allen, H., Diffey, S., Raman, H., Martin, P., McKelvie, K. 2009a. Localisation of quantitative trait loci for quality attributes in a doubled haploid population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Genome* **52**:701–715.

- Raman, R., Milgate, A., Imtiaz, M., Tan, M.K., Raman, H., Lisle, C., Coombes, N., Martin, P. 2009b. Molecular mapping and physical location of major gene conferring seedling resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in wheat. *Mol. Breeding* **24**:153–164.
- Ramezanpour, S., Bastam, S., Soltanloo, H., Kia, S., Arabi, M. 2010. Estimation of combining abilities and heterosis of *Septoria tritici* blotch resistance in wheat genotypes. *Australian J. of Crop Sci.* **4**:480–484.
- Rillo, A.O., Caldwell, R.M. 1966. Inheritance of resistance to *Septoria tritici* in *Triticum aestivum* subsp. *vulgare* 'Bulgaria 88'. *Phytopathol.* **56**:897.
- Röder, M.S., Korzun, V., Wendehake, K., Plaschke, J., Tixier, M., Leroy, P., Ganal, M.W. 1998. A microsatellite map of wheat. *Genetics* **149**:2007–2023.
- Rosielle, A.A. 1972. Sources of resistance in wheat to speckled leaf blotch caused by *Septoria tritici*. *Euphytica* **21**:152–161.
- Rosielle, A.A., Brown, A.G.P. 1979. Inheritance, heritability and breeding behaviour of three sources of resistance to *Septoria tritici* in wheat. *Euphytica* **28**:385–392.
- Schilly, A.P., Risser, E.E., Hartl, L., Reif, J.C., Würschum, T., Miedaner, T. 2011. Stability of adult-plant resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in 24 European winter wheat varieties across nine field environments. *J. Phytopathol.* **159**:411–416.
- Schnieder, F., Koch, G., Jung, C., Verreet, J.A. 2001. Genotypic diversity of the wheat leaf blotch pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (an amorph) *Septoria tritici* in Germany. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **107**:285–290.
- Schnurbusch, T., Paillard, S., Fossati, D., Messmer, M., Schachermayr, G., Winzeler, M., Keller, B. 2003. Detection of QTLs for *Stagonospora glume* blotch resistance in Swiss winter wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**:1226–1234.
- Schnurbusch, T., Paillard, S., Schori, A., Messmer, M., Schachermayr, G., Winzeler, M., Keller, B. 2004. Dissection of quantitative and durable leaf rust resistance in Swiss winter wheat reveals a major resistance QTL in the *Lr34* chromosomal region. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **108**:477–484.
- Sewell, W., Caldwell, R. 1960. Use of benzimidazole and excised wheat seedlings leaves in testing resistance to *Septoria tritici*. *Phytopathol.* **50**:654.
- Shaner, G., Finney, R.E. 1982. Resistance in soft red winter wheat to *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathol.* **72**:154–158.
- Shen, X., Ittu, M., Ohm, H.W. 2003. Quantitative trait loci conditioning resistance to *Fusarium* head blight in wheat line F201R. *Crop Sci.* **43**:850–857.
- Simon, M.R., Cordo, C.A. 1997. Inheritance of partial resistance to *Septoria tritici* in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*): limitation of pycnidia and spore production. *Agronomie* **17**:343–347.
- Simon, M.R., Ayala, F.M., Corda, C.A., Roder, M.S., Boerner, A. 2004. Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci determining resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch caused by *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Euphytica* (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-0006-5>) **138**:41–48.
- Simon, M.R., Khlestkina, E.K., Castillo, N.S., Börner, A. 2010. Mapping quantitative resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in spelt wheat. *Eur. J. Plant. Pathol.* **128**:317–324.
- Simón, M.R., Perelló, A.E., Cordo, C.A., Larrán, S., van der Putten, P., Struik, P.C. 2005. Association between *Septoria tritici* blotch, plant height, and heading date in wheat. *Agronomy J.* **97**:1037–1278.
- Somasco, O.A., Qualset, C.O., Gilchrist, D.G. 1996. Single gene resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in the spring wheat cultivar 'Tadinia'. *Plant Breed.* **115**:261–267.
- Srichumpa, P., Brunner, S., Keller, B., Yahiaoui, N. 2005. Allelic series of four powdery mildew resistance genes at the *Pm3* locus in hexaploid bread wheat. *Plant Physiol.* **139**:885–895.
- Stodart, B.J., Raman, H., Coombes, N., Mackay, M. 2007. Evaluating landraces of bread wheat *Triticum aestivum* L. for tolerance to aluminium under low pH conditions. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **54**:759–766.
- Sun, G.L., Fahima, T., Korol, A.B., Turpeinen, T., Grama, A., Ronin, Y.I., Nevo, E. 1997. Identification of molecular markers linked to the *Yr15* stripe rust resistance gene of wheat originated in wild emmer wheat *Triticum dicoccoides*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **95**:622–628.
- Tavella, C.M. 1978. Date of heading and plant height of wheat cultivars as related to *Septoria* leaf blotch damage. *Euphytica* **27**:577–580.
- Tommasini, L., Schnurbusch, T., Fossati, D., Mascher, F., Keller, B. 2007. Association mapping of *Stagonospora nodorum* blotch resistance in modern European winter wheat varieties. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **115**:697–708.

- Tosa, Y., Tsujimoto, H., Ogura, H. 1987. A gene involved in the resistance of wheat to wheatgrass powdery mildew fungus. *Genome* **29**:850–852.
- Trebbi, D., Maccaferri, M., de Heer, P., Sørensen, A., Giuliani, S., Salvi, S., Sanguineti, M.C., Massi, A., van der Vossen, E.A., Tuberosa, R. 2011. High-throughput SNP discovery and genotyping in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **123**:555–569.
- Tyrka, M., Chelkowski, J. 2004. Enhancing the resistance of triticale by using genes from wheat and rye. *J. Appl. Genet.* **45**:283–295.
- William, H.M., Hoisington, D., Singh, R.P., Gonzalez de Leon, D. 1997. Detection of quantitative trait loci associated with leaf rust resistance in bread wheat. *Genome* **40**:253–260.
- Wilson, R.E. 1979. Resistance to *Septoria tritici* in two wheat cultivars, determined by independent single dominant genes. *Australasian Plant Pathol.* **8**:16–18.
- Wilson, R.E. 1985. Inheritance of resistance to *Septoria tritici* in wheat. In: Scharen, A.L. (ed.), *Septoria of Cereals*. Proc. of the second international *Septoria* workshop, Bozeman, Montana, USA, pp. 33–35.
- Yahiaoui, N., Brunner, S., Keller, B. 2006. Rapid generation of new powdery mildew resistance genes after wheat domestication. *The Plant J.* **47**:85–98.
- Yahiaoui, N., Srichumpa, P., Dudler, R., Keller, B. 2004. Genome analysis at different ploidy levels allows cloning of the powdery mildew resistance gene *Pm3b* from hexaploid wheat. *The Plant J.* **37**:528–538.
- Zakari, A., McIntosh, R.A., Hovmoller, M.S., Wellings, C.R., Shariflou, M.R., Hayden, M.R., Bariana, H. 2003. Recombination of *Yr15* and *Yr24* in chromosome 1BS. In: Pogna, N.E., Romano, N., Pogna, E.A., Galterio, G. (eds), *Proc. 10th Int. Wheat Genetics Symp.*, Rome, Italy. Vol. 1, pp. 417–420.
- Zhan, J., Mundt, C.C., McDonald, B.A. 1998. Measuring immigration and sexual reproduction in field populations of *M. graminicola*. *Phytopathol.* **88**:1330–1337.
- Zhang, X., Haley, S.D., Jin, Y. 2001. Inheritance of *Septoria tritici* blotch resistance in winter wheat. *Crop Sci.* **41**:323–326.
- Zwart, R., Thompson, J., Milgate, A., Bansal, U., Williamson, P., Raman, H., Bariana, H.S. 2010. QTL mapping of multiple foliar disease and root-lesion nematode resistances in wheat. *Mol. Breed.* **26**:107–124.