

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

Molecular Determinants for the Rate Acceleration in the Claisen Rearrangement Reaction

Journal:	<i>The Journal of Physical Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	jp-2018-110593.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Dec-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Brickel, Sebastian; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry Meuwly, Markus; Universitat Basel, Chemistry

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Molecular Determinants for the Rate Acceleration in the Claisen Rearrangement Reaction

18 Sebastian Brickel and Markus Meuwly*

19
20
21 *Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 80, Basel, Switzerland*

22
23
24 E-mail: m.meuwly@unibas.ch

25
26 Phone: +41 (0)61 267 38 21. Fax: +41 (0)61 207 38 55

27
28
29
30 December 6, 2018

31
32
33 **Abstract**

34
35 The Claisen rearrangement is a carbon-carbon bond-forming, pericyclic reaction
36 of fundamental importance due to its relevance in synthetic and mechanistic investi-
37 gations of organic and biological chemistry. Despite continued efforts, the molecular
38 origins of the rate acceleration in going from the aqueous phase into the protein is
39 still incompletely understood. In the present work the rearrangement reaction for
40 allyl-vinyl-ether (AVE), its dicarboxylated variant (AVE-(CO₂)₂) and the biologically
41 relevant substrate chorismate is investigated in gas phase, water and in chorismate
42 mutase. Only the rearrangement of chorismate in the enzyme shows a negative differ-
43 ential barrier when compared to the reaction in water, which leads to the experimen-
44 tally observed catalytic effect for the enzyme. The molecular origin of this effect is
45 the positioning of AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate in the protein active site compared to
46 AVE. Furthermore, in going from AVE-(CO₂)₂ to chorismate entropic effects due to
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 rigidification and ring formation are operative which lead to changes in the rate. Based
4 on "More O'Ferrall-Jencks" diagrams it is confirmed that C-O bond breaking precedes
5 C-C bond formation in all cases. This effect becomes more pronounced in going from
6 the gas phase to the protein.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 **1 Introduction** 14 15

16 The Claisen rearrangement, discovered at the beginning of the 20th century,¹ is an important
17 [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement for high stereoselective² C-C bond formation.³ Especially
18 aliphatic Claisen rearrangement is of key importance in organic synthesis⁴ and has been
19 studied extensively by both experiment⁵⁻⁹ and theory.¹⁰⁻¹³
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 The text book example of a Claisen rearrangement is the reaction of allyl-vinyl ether (AVE)
27 to pent-4-enal⁴ (see Figure 1A). In polar solvent, such as water, the stabilization of the tran-
28 sition state (TS) relative to the reaction in vacuum is the origin of the catalytic effect.^{10,11,14}
29 It was noted that the solvent effect is partly due to dipole-dipole interactions, which are more
30 pronounced in polar solvents compared to nonpolar solvents.^{15,16} The reaction of chorismate
31 to prephenate (see Figure 1C) in the shikimic acid pathway follows formally a Claisen rear-
32 rangement.¹⁷ The shikimic acid pathway is operative in the biosynthesis of aromatic amino
33 acids, e.g. in bacteria, which establishes the biological relevance of the reaction. Therefore,
34 this pathway is an attractive target for developing new antibiotics.¹⁸ This has motivated
35 numerous publications on enzymatic Claisen rearrangements in particular¹⁸⁻²⁸ and reactions
36 with related substrates.²⁹⁻³² Compared to the reaction in aqueous solution the enzymatic
37 catalysis of the Claisen rearrangement reaction in chorismate mutase (CM) leads to a rate ac-
38 celeration by $\sim 10^6$ due to stabilisation of the TS.³³ As a proxy for studying the interactions
39 of chorismate in CM other, related substrates (e.g. AVE-2,6-dicarboxylate (AVE-(CO₂)₂),
40 see Figure 1B) have also been used in the past.²⁷
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1: The Claisen rearrangement reaction. A: allyl-vinyl ether \rightarrow pent-4-enal, including numbering of the heavy atoms. B: AVE-2,6-dicarboxylate \rightarrow pent-4-enal-dicarboxylate C: Chorismate \rightarrow prephenate. "X" marks the O5-C12 bond that will be broken, dashed arrow shows the two carbon atoms (C6, C9) that form a bond during rearrangement.

Despite the existing studies on Claisen rearrangement, molecular and certain mechanistic aspects of the reaction remain insufficiently characterized. One of them concerns the structural and molecular origins for the rate enhancement in going from the reaction in solution to that in the protein for chorismate mutase. Another one is the actual pathway between reactant and product for which three possibilities are conceivable: 1) Concerted (via an aromatic TS), 2) asynchronous via 1,4-diyll like TS, or 3) asynchronous via a bis-allyl like TS.² The clarification of these aspects - the molecular origins for the rate enhancement in different environments and the pathway between reactant and product - at the molecular level provides the motivation for the present study. Reactive atomistic simulations³⁴⁻³⁶ are a meaningful approach for this as they can provide molecular-level insight into reactive processes on sufficiently long time scales in different chemical environments provided that a

1
2
3 meaningful potential energy surface (PES) is available.
4
5
6
7

8 9 10 **2 Computational Details**

11 12 13 **2.1 MD Simulations**

14
15 All simulations were performed with CHARMM,³⁷ including provision for forming and
16 breaking bonds via MS-ARMD.³⁴ The simulations of AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate used the
17 parametrised reactive FF from AVE, with exception of the parameters directly related to the
18 substitution (e.g. bond parameter from C2 to hydrogen in AVE which is replaced by a C-C
19 bond in AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate), although further optimization as, e.g., done for the
20 dioxygenation reaction would be possible in principle.³⁶ This is possible since the substituted
21 groups (e.g. CO₂) are not involved in the rearrangement in AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate and
22 remain largely unchanged during the reaction. This was confirmed by *ab initio* optimisation
23 of the reactant and product geometries. Molecular dynamics simulations in the gas phase
24 were performed at 300 K for a total of 100 ps ($\Delta t = 0.25$ fs) using leap-frog Verlet inte-
25 gration scheme.³⁸ In gas phase the simulations of the three molecules (AVE, AVE-(CO₂)₂,
26 and chorismate) started with the respective optimised reactant structure. The simulations
27 in aqueous solution and in the enzyme were started after 50 steps of steepest descent and
28 50 steps of Adopted Basis Newton-Raphson, followed by 25 ps of *NVT* dynamics using the
29 velocity Verlet integrator ($\Delta t = 1$ fs) followed by 500 ps ($\Delta t = 2$ fs) of free dynamics using
30 SHAKE.³⁹ to constrain all bonds involving hydrogen. Periodic Boundary Conditions (PBC)
31 together with the Particle Mesh Ewald (PME⁴⁰) method was used for the long range elec-
32 trostatic interaction. The cut-off for non-bonded, switching, and smoothing function was 16
33 Å, 14 Å, and 12 Å, respectively.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 For simulations in aqueous solution the system was solvated in a pre-equilibrated TIP3P⁴¹
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 water box ($25 \times 25 \times 25 \text{ \AA}^3$) obtained from the CHARMM-GUI.⁴² SHAKE⁴³ was used to
4
5 constrain all bonds involving hydrogen atoms.
6
7

8
9 For the simulations in the enzyme the PDB of the 2.2 \AA X-ray structure of *Bacillus subtilis*
10 CM (BsCM, PDB: 2CHT^{44,45}) was used. BsCM is a homotrimer consisting of six chains
11 with the active sites located at the interface of two chains. The PDB of BsCM contains 1388
12 residues, 12 transition state analogue (TSA,⁴⁶ 8-hydroxy-2-oxa-bicyclo[3.3.1]non-6-ene-3,5-
13 dicarboxylic acid) of the inhibitor molecule, and 530 water molecules which corresponds to
14 four trimers. One such trimer consisting of chains A to C, the crystallographic water and
15 the TSA was used as the starting point to set up the system. This subsystem consists of
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

The simulations in the enzyme environment were set up by replacing the TSA of the inhibitor molecule between the two chains B and C in BsCM by either AVE, AVE-(CO₂)₂, or chorismate. The remaining TSA molecules were removed. The enzyme, including the reactant molecule and the crystallographic water molecules, were solvated in a pre-equilibrated water box (including counter ions), of box size 116 $\text{\AA} \times 116 \text{\AA} \times 116 \text{\AA}$. The overall number of atoms for each of these systems was 149218, 149207, and 149231 atoms, respectively.

2.2 Umbrella sampling

Umbrella sampling (US)⁴⁷ was used to follow the reaction path of the Claisen rearrangement, because the reaction barrier is high and not amenable to direct sampling. The reaction coordinate for the present application was defined as the difference between the breaking O-C bond and the forming C-C bond (see Figure 1), i.e.

$$r_c = d_{O5C12} - d_{C6C9} \quad (1)$$

1
2
3 This corresponds to the previously used reaction coordinate in QM/MM simulations on a
4 chorismate TSA.³⁰ Simulations were carried out for equidistant windows from $r_c = -2.6$
5 to 2.2 \AA ($\Delta r_c = 0.2 \text{ \AA}$), with $k_{\text{umb}} = 200 \text{ kcal/mol/rad}^2$ for AVE and AVE-(CO₂)₂. For
6 chorismate the sampling was performed in equidistant steps in the range of $r_c = -3.8$ to
7 2.3 \AA , in order to capture the two minimum conformations calculated at the Møller-Plesset
8 MP2/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory. Additional umbrellas were added where necessary.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 For each window, statistics was accumulated after 5 ps of equilibration simulations. For the
18 calculations in aqueous solution and BsCM US simulations were performed starting each
19 umbrella from a restart file produced in a previous US simulation with the same setting.
20 This procedure prepares the system for the changes in the conformation of the molecules, as
21 well as reduces the difference from initial condition to US conformation along the reaction
22 coordinate. Finally, the analysis of the US simulations was carried out with the Weighted
23 Histogram Analysis Method (WHAM)^{48,49} with a tolerance of 0.01.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 2.3 Parametrisation of the Force Field

36 All reactive trajectories were generated with MS-ARMD³⁴ within the CHARMM³⁷ soft-
37 ware package. MS-ARMD is a powerful, single-valued (by construction), reactive molecular
38 dynamics implementation which combines individual weighted surfaces $w_i(x)*V_i(x)$ (i.e. con-
39 nectivities), describing different states by parametrized FFs. So called, GAPOs (Gaussian
40 \times POlynomials) for describing the adiabatic barrier are added to form the global reactive
41 Potential Energy Surface (PES). GAPOs are calculated from the energy difference $\Delta V_{ij}(x)$
42 ($= V_j(x) - V_i(x)$) between two states.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 Parametrised FFs for AVE and pent-4-enal were obtained by an iterative procedure, start-
54 ing with reference parameters from SwissParam.⁵⁰ The energy at the equilibrium geometry
55
56
57
58
59
60

of pent-4-enal was chosen as the global zero of energy. Using the initial force field, the equilibrium dynamics of AVE and pent-4-enal in the gas phase was followed for 250 ps at 300 K. A total of 3200 representative structures were extracted and reference energies were determined at the MP2/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory using Gaussian09.⁵¹ A downhill simplex algorithm⁵² was used for fitting refined FF parameters. The bonds between O5-C12 in the reactant and C6-C9 in the product was described by a Morse oscillator. In a next step, these two FFs were combined to a reactive PES.

In order to parametrise the adiabatic barrier, the IRC of the reaction was calculated at the MP2/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory. Such calculations yield a barrier height of 29.7 kcal/mol which agrees favourably with the activation energy of 30.6 kcal/mol determined from experiment⁵ and other experiments which find a value of > 28 kcal/mol.^{3,9,53} Alternatively, density functional theory calculations at the BLYP/6-31G*²⁷ and B3LYP/6-31G*¹⁰ level yield somewhat lower barrier heights between 24.1 to 27.7 kcal/mol. Thus, the chosen level of theory was deemed appropriate. For the parametrization 81 structures along the IRC were extracted and their MS-ARMD energy evaluated. A genetic algorithm for parametrising the GAPOs was used to best reproduce the MP2 energies along the IRC path.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Quality of the Force Field

All simulations are based on the parametrized force field for the conversion of AVE to pent-4-enal which captures the energetics of the reactant and product to within 0.8 kcal/mol and the reaction path between the end points to within 0.5 kcal/mol, respectively, see Figure 2. The average RMSD between the reference MP2 and the fitted FF energies is 0.7 kcal/mol, which establishes the quality of the FF. For further validation the reactant and the product geometries were minimised at the MP2 level and compared with the force field optimized

structures (see Figure S1) and the normal mode frequencies were found to agree favourably, too (see Tables S1 and S2). The parametrised force field is provided in Tables S3 to S10.




Figure 2: Left panel: Comparison between the MP2/6-311++G(2d,2p) reference energies and the fitted FF. Energies for AVE (blue, RMSD = 0.82 kcal/mol), for pent-4-enal (green, RMSD = 0.8 kcal/mol), and the IRC (red, RMSD = 0.5 kcal/mol). Right panel: *Ab initio* IRC (black points) and GAPO-fitted FF (red line). The right hand side y -axis shows the energy relative to the optimised reactant structure. The activation energy is $\Delta E^\ddagger = 29.7$ kcal/mol.

3.2 Free Energy Simulations for AVE

Using the parametrised, reactive force field, the barrier free energies ΔG^\ddagger for the rearrangement of AVE in the gas phase, in aqueous solution, and the BsCM enzyme were determined using umbrella sampling (US) simulations. The activation free energies are $\Delta G^\ddagger = 23.4$ kcal/mol, 17.7 kcal/mol and 22.3 kcal/mol, respectively (see top panel of Figure 3 and Table 1). Experimentally, barrier free energies have been determined in the gas phase and in apolar solvents to be ~ 28 kcal/mol.^{3,5,9} More recent selective integrate-over temperature (SITS) simulations in water using DFTB and the SPC/E water model yield $\Delta G^\ddagger = 22.6$ kcal/mol,

1
2
3 ~ 5 kcal/mol higher than the present work. This compares with 29.6 kcal/mol obtained here
4 from DFTB3⁵⁴ with the TIP3P water model. The activation enthalpy in the gas phase from
5 DFTB calculations is 19.7 kcal/mol which underestimates the experimentally determined
6 ΔH^\ddagger (30.6 kcal/mol). On the other hand, the gas phase free energy barrier height from US
7 is $\Delta G^\ddagger = 31.8$ kcal/mol which corresponds to a TS stabilization of $\Delta\Delta G_{(\text{gas-solv})}^\ddagger = -2.2$
8 kcal/mol.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 The gas phase $\Delta G^\ddagger = 23.4$ kcal/mol underestimates the barrier heights from experiment
18 by ~ 5 kcal/mol. In order to better understand this difference, the distribution functions
19 of all bonds and valence angles involving non-hydrogen atoms were determined from the
20 US simulations around the transition state. Compared with the MP2 structure of the TS
21 (2.32 Å), the O5–C12 bond distribution peaks at 2.63 Å and for the C1–C12–O5 angle the
22 MP2 value is 102° compared with the maximum of the distribution at 122°. All other bonds
23 and angles agree to within 0.05 Å and 5°. Hence, the structure of the TS in the gas phase
24 from US simulations is too open. Furthermore, it was found that despite the quite accu-
25 rate parametrization of the reactant and product energies, the average harmonic frequencies
26 from the force field differ by 89 and 120 cm^{-1} for the reactant and product, respectively.
27 Therefore, two tests were carried out. First, the US simulations were repeated by increas-
28 ing all product bond and angle force constants by 10% and second, the US were rerun by
29 placing a constraint (10.0 kcal/mol) on the O5–C12 bond to maintain it near the MP2 TS
30 structure. Both modifications lead to an increase of the barrier, namely by 5.3 kcal/mol
31 when the force constants are changed and by 2.4 kcal/mol when running the simulations
32 with the bias. Hence, for the gas phase simulations the force field could be further improved
33 and mild modifications in the force constants of the product already give near-quantitative
34 agreement with experiment. However, the purpose of the present work is to investigate sys-
35 tematic aspects of the reaction for AVE, AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate and to discuss them
36 on a common footing and not to specifically optimize the FFs for individual ligands.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 In solution, experiments were carried out in methanol-water mixtures (ranging from 100 %
6 MeOH to 25/75 % MeOH/water) and the reaction barrier reduces by $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{gas-sol}}^{\ddagger} = -3.5$
7 to -4.7 kcal/mol,⁵⁵ with increasing water content. This compares with a reduction by
8 $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{gas-sol}}^{\ddagger} = -6.2$ kcal/mol from the present simulations in pure water and is expected
9 due to stabilizing effects of the solvent environment. On the other hand US simulations for
10 this reaction in the protein active site yield $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{water-prot}}^{\ddagger} = +4.6$ kcal/mol, i.e. a slowdown
11 of the reaction.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3: Potentials of mean force for the Claisen rearrangement reaction for AVE (top), AVE-2,6-dicarboxylate (AVE-(CO₂)₂, middle), and chorismate (bottom) in gas phase (green), aqueous solution (blue) and in the enzyme BsCM (red). Solid lines for forward simulations and dashed-dotted lines for the reverse reaction (product to reactant). Extended simulations (1 ns per window) are shown as dashed lines. The black dashed line indicates the experimentally measured value.¹⁹ Error bars estimated from a bootstrap error analysis, are overlaid by the line of the respective PMF and are of the size of the line width. DFTB3 forward simulations for AVE in gas phase, water and the enzyme are shown in yellow, violet and orange, respectively.

3.3 Free Energy Simulations for AVE-(CO₂)₂

Next, the rearrangement reaction of AVE-2,6-dicarboxylate to pent-4-enal-dicarboxylate was investigated in the three environments. The gas phase PMF (green line in middle panel of Figure 3) for AVE-(CO₂)₂ shows an increase in barrier height compared to AVE (32.2

1
2
3 kcal/mol vs. 23.4 kcal/mol). This can be explained by the destabilizing effect of the two
4 negatively charged carboxylate groups which are insufficiently screened in gas phase. In
5 aqueous solution the barrier is considerably reduced (by $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{gas-solv}}^\ddagger = 17$ kcal/mol), as ex-
6 pected. The TS stabilisation for AVE-(CO₂)₂ in solution is enhanced compared to AVE due
7 to screening of the repelling charges of the two carboxylate groups during the rearrangement.
8 Extended simulations (1 ns per umbrella, see dashed trace in middle panel of Figure 3) show
9 a change in the free energy of the product, as the surrounding water adapts to the structural
10 changes of the ligand. Such changes on the product side due to slow reorganisation have
11 also been found in ligand-binding simulations for cyano-benzene in Lysozyme.⁵⁶ However,
12 for the present work the forward barrier between the reactant and the TS is of primary con-
13 cern (and can be directly compared with experiment). The forward barrier for the extended
14 sampling increases by 2.5 kcal/mol. This was confirmed by US simulations starting from the
15 solvated product, rather than the solvated reactant, which gives a similar result as the 1 ns
16 forward US simulations (dashed-dotted trace, middle panel Figure 3) and suggests that the
17 simulations are close to converged. No experimental data for the dicarboxylate is available
18 but reactions for the monocarboxylate in Me₂SO/H₂O (ratio 9/1) mixtures have been re-
19 ported⁸ which, however, are not ideal for direct comparison with the computations. For the
20 reaction in the protein the computed $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$ increases to 18.1 kcal/mol which indicates that
21 the reaction actually slows down in the protein.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 3.4 Free Energy Simulations for Chorismate

46
47 Finally, the Claisen rearrangement was investigated using the biologically relevant substrate,
48 chorismate (see bottom panel in Figure 3). In addition to the two -CO₂⁻ groups, the allyl
49 is completed to form a six-membered ring, see Figure 1C. This makes the substrate in the
50 reactant state less flexible and bulkier compared to the AVE-2,6-dicarboxylate. Contrary to
51 the two other systems, the activation free energy monotonically decreases from $\Delta G_{\text{gas}}^\ddagger = 20.5$
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 kcal/mol in the gas phase to $\Delta G_{\text{prot}}^{\ddagger} = 12.4$ kcal/mol in the protein. In aqueous solution the
4 free energy barrier is intermediate (16.8 kcal/mol) to the two and differs from experiment
5 (24.5 kcal/mol, carried out in 0.02 M Tris-HCl buffer) by 7.7 kcal/mol. However the results
6 are in quite good agreement with QM/MM studies (B3LYP/6-31G(d)/CHARMM27) which
7 yielded 17.4 ± 1.9 kcal/mol.³⁰ Using an EVB model parametrized to reproduce the barrier
8 in water the free energy barrier height in solvent was found to be 25.1 kcal/mol.⁵⁷ As for
9 the results of AVE-(CO₂)₂ in solution, extending the sampling in the US simulations to 1
10 ns for every window increases the free energy of the product by around 15 kcal/mol (dashed
11 blue vs. solid blue line in bottom panel of Figure 3), showing the influence of environmental
12 adaptation on the PMF.^{56,58} The barrier height for the forward reaction is not significantly
13 (decrease by 0.8 kcal/mol) influenced.

24
25
26
27 Table 1: Free energy barrier (in kcal/mol) calculated with MS-ARMD and US in aqueous
28 solution and in the enzyme *Bacillus subtilis* chorismate mutase (BsCM, 116 Å³ water box).
29 For AVE in the enzyme BsCM the result for the calculation in a 86 Å³ water box is given in
30 brackets. Experimental (exper.) ΔG^{\ddagger} from: ^a Ref.³³ (measured in Tris-HCl). ^b values range
31 from 15.0 to 16.2 depending on the protein.¹⁹ All experimental ΔG^{\ddagger} values based on TST;
32 analysis with an Arrhenius expression yield estimated values ~ 3 kcal/mol lower.³³

	AVE	AVE-(CO ₂) ₂	Chorismate	Chorismate exper.
Aqueous solution	17.7	15.2	16.8	24.5 ^a
Enzyme BsCM	22.3 (24.9)	18.1	12.4	15.4 ^b

35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 **3.5 Mechanistic Details**

44
45 In order to clarify the mechanistic details involved in the rearrangement "More O'Ferrall-
46 Jencks" (MOFJ) diagrams^{59,60} were generated for all US simulations (50 ps per window).
47 Such diagrams involve two progression coordinates and are useful to illustrate their coupling
48 during the course of a reaction. The corners of the diagram correspond to the reactant,
49 product and the two non-concerted extremes respectively,⁷ see left panel in Figure 4. MOFJ
50 diagrams are usually generated from coordinates that quantify the existence of a bond, such
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

as a distance, bond order or degree of bond making/breaking. In the present case (see Figure 4) the arithmetic average (dots) and the Kernel Density Estimate (KDE, using the R-program)^{61,62} minimum and maximum (lines) distribution of the umbrella trajectories are reported. For computing the bond order n' the Pauling relation

$$n' = \exp\left(\frac{D_1 - D(n')}{C}\right) \quad (2)$$

is used where D_1 is the reference interatomic distance from the *ab initio* optimised structures, $D(n')$ is the instantaneous separation during the simulations and $C = 0.6 \text{ \AA}$ was used^{13,63,64} (for the dependence on the choice of C see Figure S2).




Figure 4: More O'Ferrall-Jencks diagrams for Claisen rearrangement in gas phase (left), aqueous solution (middle) and in the enzyme BsCM (right) for AVE (blue), AVE-2,6-dicarboxylate (green), and chorismate (red). Bond orders are defined using the interatomic distance for the bonds from the respective *ab initio* optimised structure in the Pauling relations (equation 2). From secondary tritium isotope effect in solution the TS of chorismate has been localised at a bond order of ≈ 0.6 for the O5C12 separation with no detectable C6-C9 bond.⁶⁵ For MOFJ diagrams by molecule, see Figure S3.

Figure 4 shows that the rearrangement reaction in all three environments follows a step-

1
2
3 wise mechanism, in which first the O5-C12 bond is partially broken and leads towards a
4 bis-allyl-like structure (top left corner), followed by formation of the C6-C9 bond. Running
5 the reaction in polar solvent and in the protein leads to steeper curves during the first phase
6 of the reaction, see Figure 4 (middle panel). In all cases the transition states (indicated by
7 solid triangles) are early, i.e. they occur during the C-O bond-breaking process. This agrees
8 with kinetic isotope experiments according to which C-O cleavage always precedes C-C bond
9 formation.⁶⁶ For the nonenzymatic thermal rearrangement of chorismate to prephenate the
10 measured kinetic isotope effects^{65,66} indicate that at the TS the C-O bond is about 40 %
11 broken but little or no C-C bond is formed, consistent with the MOFJ diagrams assuming
12 that bond order and C-O distance are linearly related.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Unbiased MD simulations starting at the TS preserve the overall structure of the MOFJ
26 diagrams whereby the TS is shifted towards a more “broken” C-O bond, see Figure 5. These
27 unbiased simulations further establish that C-O bond breaking precedes C-C formation. The
28 average O5-C12 and C6-C9 bond lengths at the transition state from the US simulations are
29 2.0 and 2.6 Å. At the B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level the energy of this configuration is \approx 40
30 % higher than the minimum energy for the constrained C-C bond at the TS-value which
31 also supports the notion that the C-O bond is appreciably weakened whereas the C-C bond
32 is still not formed. In the enzyme the measured⁶⁵ isotope effect of 0.99 suggests an even
33 earlier transition state than in water which is consistent with the free energy simulations
34 (see Figure 3).

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 For AVE-(CO₂)₂ and especially chorismate the effect of TS stabilization is further enhanced
48 in the enzyme BsCM due to spatial constraints. This can be seen by the pronounced lag in
49 C6-C9 bond formation of these two substrates in BsCM. AVE in BsCM shows a distribu-
50 tion intermediate to that in gas phase and aqueous solution. This difference between AVE
51 and the other two substrates is indicative of the importance of the carboxylate groups in
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5: More O'Ferrall-Jencks diagrams for Claisen rearrangement of chorismate in gas phase. The red points show the arithmetic average value of the distribution from the 50 ps US trajectories, lines the maximum and minimum from KDE. Bond orders are defined using the interatomic distance for the bonds from the respective *ab initio* optimised structure in the Pauling relation (equation 2). Black points show the distribution of 32 free dynamics started from TS structures extracted from the US. The black "X" marks the center of gravity of the distribution around the TS.

AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate for the catalytic step in BsCM in locking the substrate into place. The importance of the carboxylate groups was also shown by EVB simulations⁵⁷ and by measurements of rate constants of different chorismate-like substrates.⁶⁷ However, the barrier height for AVE and AVE-(CO₂)₂ in the enzyme compared to simulations in aqueous solution increases. This anti-catalytic effect decreases from AVE to AVE-(CO₂)₂ due to the presence of the -CO₂ groups and may reduce to close to zero when sampling is extended in the US (see above: increase of the free energy barrier height in water by 2.5 kcal/mol). Additional entropic effects lead to the catalytic effect found for Chorismate.

Starting from 32 chorismate structures extracted from the US simulations at the TS in gas phase, free MD simulations were performed. The resulting MOFJ diagrams from the un-

biased simulations are consistent with those from the US simulation (see Figure 5) with the center of gravity from the unbiased simulations for the TS structure somewhat more advanced along the O5–C12 bond cleavage (see black "X" in Figure 5), compared to that from US.





Figure 6: Normalised probability distribution of the x -, y -, and z -coordinate of the center of mass of the heavy atom framework of AVE (left), AVE-(CO₂)₂ (middle), and chorismate (right) at the TS in the enzyme BsCM. The center of gravity of the reactant (blue x), TS (red plus), and product (green dot) are displayed in each panel. The origin of the coordinate system was set to the position of the transition state analogue in the X-ray structure (see Figure 7). The enzyme backbone of the US trajectories were aligned to the enzyme backbone of the X-ray structure. For the distribution for the reactant and the product state, see Figures S4 and S5)

The differences in the enzymatic activity for the three substrates can also be related to spa-

1
2
3 tial determinants within the active site. For this, the position of the center of mass (CoM) of
4 the molecules within the active site is analyzed. Figure 6 shows the normalised probability
5 distribution of x -, y - and z -coordinates of the CoM of the heavy atom framework common
6 to all 3 ligands from the umbrella sampling simulations at the TS of the PMF. A broad or
7 narrow distribution is indicative of a “loose” or “tight” TS, respectively.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49



50 Figure 7: Overview of the simulation system for chorismate mutase and the center of mass
51 of the heavy atom framework of chorismate (red) from the umbrella at the TS in Figure 3.
52 Blue spheres delineate the active site from pocket mapping.^{68,69} The origin of the coordinate
53 system for the analysis of the localization for each ligand with the three Cartesian axes is
54 shown in black.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 With respect to the localization within the active site, AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate occupy
4 comparable regions whereas AVE does not. The TS for AVE is displaced by 2 Å and 3 Å
5 along the *x*- and *y*-axis, respectively. Furthermore, the widths of the distributions de-
6 crease in going from AVE, over AVE-(CO₂)₂ to chorismate, i.e. the distributions change
7 from “loose” to “tight”, as does the TS itself, see Figure 4. Specifically, the widths along
8 the *x*- and *z*-directions are different for AVE on one side and AVE-(CO₂)₂ and chorismate
9 on the other. Due to the presence of the CO₂⁻ groups the positioning of the CoM of AVE-
10 2,6-dicarboxylate and chorismate is similar to one another. However, AVE-(CO₂)₂ shows
11 a broader distribution in the *x*-direction and a repositioning along *y* indicating increased
12 flexibility of the CoM compared to chorismate.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Figure 6 also shows the center of gravity of the reactant (blue cross), the TS (red plus),
26 and the product (green dot) of the three ligands in the active site. For AVE the center
27 of gravity of the TS overlays with that of the product which is not the case for the other
28 two molecules. The distribution of the center of gravities of AVE-(CO₂)₂ show movement
29 of the ligand during the reaction, except for the *y*-axis position. For chorismate the center
30 of gravity is further displaced between the reactant and the TS, compared to AVE-(CO₂)₂,
31 while the movement between TS and product is reduced. This suggests that stabilization of
32 the transition state is coupled to displacement in configurational space and is indicative of
33 TS stabilisation rather than substrate binding.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 4 Conclusions

51 The barrier height for the reaction of chorismate in BsCM is 12.4 kcal/mol, which yields a
52 speedup by 3 orders of magnitude as estimated from transition state theory. Hence, in going
53 from AVE and AVE-(CO₂)₂ to chorismate and using the same reactive PES the simulations
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 find that for smaller substrates the rate slows down when going from water to the protein as
4 the environment whereas for the largest substrate (chorismate) they correctly find that the
5 protein accelerates the reaction. Considering the changes of +4.6 (AVE), +2.9 (AVE-(CO₂)₂)
6 and -4.4 (chorismate) kcal/mol in the activation free energies and correlating them with
7 the actual chemical modifications suggest that both, electrostatic stabilization (AVE→AVE-
8 (CO₂)₂) and entropic contributions (AVE-(CO₂)₂ → chorismate, through the rigidification
9 and larger size of chorismate) lead to the rate enhancement observed for chorismate in CM.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 The experimentally measured acceleration is 6 orders of magnitude¹⁹ which is underesti-
20 mated by the present simulations. However, as already mentioned, quantitative agreement
21 for individual systems in specific environments could be further improved by a dedicated
22 parametrization of the force fields compared to experiment.⁵⁷ However, the present approach
23 focuses on a systematic assessment of the reaction for the three different systems without
24 specific optimization of the energy function in a particular environment.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 In summary, the Claisen rearrangement reaction of AVE, AVE-(CO₂)₂, and chorismate in gas
34 phase, aqueous solution and BsCM follows a stepwise mechanism in which the O5-C12 bond
35 breaks prior to C6-C9 bond formation, especially in aqueous solution and BsCM. The anal-
36 ysis of the TS position in the active site of BsCM reveals that the lack of catalytic effect on
37 AVE is due to its loose positioning, insufficient interaction with and TS stabilization by the
38 active site of the enzyme. Major contributions to localizing the substrate in the active site
39 of BsCM originate from the CO₂⁻ groups. This together with the probability distributions
40 in the reactant, TS and product states suggest that entropic factors must also be considered
41 when interpreting differences between the systems, specifically (but not only) in the protein
42 environment. This requires extended sampling of the configurational space which is currently
43 only possible with reactive force fields and outside the scope of mixed QM/MM MD simula-
44 tions. The present work provides molecular-level insight into the Claisen rearrangement of
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 chorismate in BsCM that will serve as a proxy for using computational methods for future
4 development in protein engineering.
5
6
7
8
9
10

11 Supporting Information Available

12
13
14 Further computational details and validation of the force fields together with all force field
15 parameters for the ligands, additional More O’Ferral-Jencks analysis by system, and center
16 of mass distributions for reactants and products in the active site of BsCM are available in
17 the supporting information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at
18 <http://pubs.acs.org/>.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Acknowledgment

27
28
29 The authors thank Prof. Adrian J. Mulholland, Donald Hilvert and Dan Singleton for
30 insightful comments. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Swiss
31 National Science Foundation through the NCCR MUST and grant 200021-117810.
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 References

- 39
40
41 (1) Claisen, L. Über Umlagerung von Phenol-allyläthern in C-Allyl-phenole. *Chem. Ber.*
42 **1912**, *45*, 3157–3166.
43
44
45
46 (2) Iwakura, I. The Experimental Visualisation of Molecular Structural Changes During
47 Both Photochemical and Thermal Reactions by Real-Time Vibrational Spectroscopy.
48 *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 5546–5555.
49
50
51
52
53 (3) Coates, R. M.; Rogers, B. D.; Hobbs, S. J.; Curran, D. P.; Peck, D. R. Synthesis and
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Claisen Rearrangement of Alkoxyallyl Enol Ethers. Evidence for a Dipolar Transition
4 State. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *35*, 2601–2605.
5
6
7
8 (4) Ziegler, F. E. The Thermal, Aliphatic Claisen Rearrangement. *Chem Rev* **1988**, *88*,
9 1423–1452.
10
11
12 (5) Schuler, F. W.; Murphy, G. W. The Kinetics of the Rearrangement of Vinyl Allyl Ether.
13 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, *72*, 3155–3159.
14
15
16 (6) Gajewski, J.; Brichford, N. Secondary Deuterium Kinetic Isotope Effects in the Aqueous
17 Claisen Rearrangement: Evidence Against an Ionic Transition State. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
18 **1994**, *117*, 3165–3166.
19
20
21
22 (7) Gajewski, J.; Conrad, N. Variable Transition State Structure in 3, 3-Sigmatropic Shifts
23 from α -Secondary Deuterium Isotope Effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 6693–6704.
24
25
26 (8) Gajewski, J. J.; Jurayj, J.; Kimbrough, D. R.; Gande, M. E.; Ganem, B.; Carpen-
27 ter, B. K. The Mechanism of Rearrangement of Chorismic Acid and Related Com-
28 pounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 1170–1186.
29
30
31 (9) Burrows, C.; Carpenter, B. Substituent Effects on the Aliphatic Claisen Rearrangement.
32 1. Synthesis and Rearrangement of Cyano-Substituted Allyl Vinyl Ethers. *J. Am. Chem.*
33 *Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 6983–6984.
34
35
36 (10) Guest, J. M.; Craw, J. S.; Vincent, M. A.; Hillier, I. H. The Effect of Water on the
37 Claisen Rearrangement of Allyl Vinyl Ether: Theoretical Methods Including Explicit
38 Solvent and Electron Correlation. *Perkin Trans. 2* **1997**, 71–74.
39
40
41 (11) Severance, D. L.; Jorgensen, W. L. Effects of Hydration on the Claisen Rearrangement
42 of Allyl Vinyl Ether from Computer Simulations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 10966–
43 10968.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (12) Davidson, M. M.; Hillier, I. H.; Vincent, M. A. The Claisen Rearrangement of Allyl
4 Vinyl Ether in the Gas Phase and Aqueous Solution. Structures and Energies Predicted
5 by High-Level Ab Initio Calculations. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *246*, 536–540.
6
7
8
9
10 (13) Meyer, M. P.; DelMonte, A. J.; Singleton, D. A. Reinvestigation of the Isotope Effects
11 for the Claisen and Aromatic Claisen Rearrangements: The Nature of the Claisen
12 Transition States. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 10865–10874.
13
14
15
16
17 (14) Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. ChemInform Abstract: What Causes Aqueous Acceler-
18 ation of the Claisen Rearrangement? *J Am Chem Soc* **1992**, *114*, 8794–8799.
19
20
21
22 (15) White, W. N.; Wolfarth, E. F. The o-Claisen rearrangement. VIII. Solvent effects. *The*
23 *Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1970**, *35*, 2196–2199.
24
25
26
27 (16) Acevedo, O.; Armacost, K. Claisen Rearrangements: Insight into Solvent Effects and
28 “on Water” Reactivity from QM/MM Simulations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*,
29 1966–1975.
30
31
32
33 (17) Ganem, B. From Clucose to Aromatics: Recent Developments in Natural Products of
34 the Shikimic Acid Pathway. *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 3353–3383.
35
36
37
38 (18) Davidson, M. M.; Guest, J. M.; Craw, J. S.; Hillier, I. H.; Vincent, M. A. Conformational
39 and Solvation Aspects of the Chorismate - Prephenate Rearrangement Studied by Ab
40 Initio Electronic Structure and Simulation Methods. *Perkin Trans. 2* **1997**, 1395–1400.
41
42
43
44
45 (19) Kast, P.; Asif-Ullah, M.; Hilvert, D. Is Chorismate Mutase a Prototypic Entropy Trap?
46 - Activation Parameters for the Bacillus Subtilis Enzyme. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*,
47 2691–2694.
48
49
50
51
52 (20) Ranaghan, K. E.; Ridder, L.; Szeftczyk, B.; Sokalski, W. A.; Hermann, J. C.; Mulhol-
53 land, A. J. Insights Into Enzyme Catalysis from QM/MM Modelling: Transition State
54 Stabilization in Chorismate Mutase. *Mol. Phys.* **2003**, *101*, 2695–2714.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (21) Lever, G.; Cole, D. J.; Lonsdale, R.; Ranaghan, K. E.; Wales, D. J.; Mulholland, A. J.;
5 Skylaris, C. K.; Payne, M. C. Large-Scale Density Functional Theory Transition State
6 Searching in Enzymes. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 3614–3619.
7
8
9
10 (22) Martí, S.; Andrés, J.; Moliner, V.; Silla, E.; Tuñón, I.; Bertrán, J. Theoretical QM/MM
11 Studies of Enzymatic Pericyclic Reactions. *Interdiscip. Sci. Comput. Life Sci.* **2010**, *2*,
12 115–131.
13
14
15
16 (23) Ferrer, S.; Martí, S.; Andrés, J.; Moliner, V.; Tuñón, I.; Bertrán, J. Molecular Mech-
17 anism of Chorismate Mutase Activity of Promiscuous MbtI. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2011**,
18 *128*, 601–607.
19
20
21
22
23 (24) Martí, S.; Andrés, J.; Moliner, V.; Silla, E.; Tuñón, I.; Bertrán, J.; Field, M. J. A Hybrid
24 Potential Reaction Path and Free Energy Study of the Chorismate Mutase Reaction.
25 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1709–1712.
26
27
28
29
30 (25) Roca, M.; Vardi-Kilshtain, A.; Warshel, A. Toward Accurate Screening in Computer-
31 Aided Enzyme Design. *Biochemistry* **2009**, *48*, 3046–3056.
32
33
34
35 (26) Madurga, S.; Vilaseca, E. SCRF Study of the Conformational Equilibrium of Choris-
36 mate in Water. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *3*, 3548–3554.
37
38
39
40 (27) Wiest, O.; Houk, K. N. Stabilization of the Transition State of the Chorismate-
41 Prephenate Rearrangement: An ab Initio Study of Enzyme and Antibody Catalysis. *J.*
42 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 11628–11639.
43
44
45
46 (28) Hur, S.; Bruice, T. C. The Mechanism of Catalysis of the Chorismate to Prephenate
47 Reaction by the Escherichia Coli Mutase Enzyme. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2002**,
48 *99*, 1176–1181.
49
50
51
52
53 (29) Vance, R.; Rondan, N. Transition Structures for the Claisen Rearrangement. *J. Am.*
54 *Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 2314–2315.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (30) Claeysens, F.; Ranaghan, K. E.; Lawan, N.; Macrae, S. J.; Manby, F. R.; Harvey, J. N.;
4 Mulholland, A. J. Analysis of Chorismate Mutase Catalysis by QM/MM Modelling of
5 Enzyme-Catalysed and Uncatalysed Reactions. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 1578–
6 1590.
7
8
9
10
11
12 (31) Ranaghan, K. E.; Ridder, L.; Szeftczyk, B.; Sokalski, W. A.; Hermann, J. C.; Mulhol-
13 land, A. J. Transition State Stabilization and Substrate Strain in Enzyme Catalysis:
14 Ab Initio QM/MM Modelling of the Chorismate Mutase Reaction. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*
15 **2004**, *2*, 968–980.
16
17
18
19
20
21 (32) Carlson, H. A.; Jorgensen, W. L. Monte Carlo Investigations of Solvent Effects on the
22 Chorismate to Prephenate Rearrangement. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 8475–8484.
23
24
25
26 (33) Andrews, P. R.; Smith, G. D.; Young, I. G. Transition-State Stabilization and Enzymic
27 Catalysis. Kinetic and Molecular Orbital Studies of the Rearrangement of Chorismate
28 to Prephenate. *Biochemistry* **1973**, *12*, 3492–3498.
29
30
31
32 (34) Nagy, T.; Reyes, J. Y.; Meuwly, M. Multisurface Adiabatic Reactive Molecular Dynam-
33 ics. *J. Chem. Theory. Comput.* **2014**, *10*, 1366–1375.
34
35
36
37 (35) Soloviov, M.; Das, A. K.; Meuwly, M. Structural Interpretation of Metastable States in
38 Myoglobin-NO. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 10126–10130.
39
40
41
42 (36) Das, A. K.; Meuwly, M. Kinetics and Structural Interpretation of Competitive Ligand
43 Binding for NO Dioxygenation in Truncated Hemoglobin N. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*
44 **2018**, 3509–3513.
45
46
47
48
49 (37) Brooks, B. R.; Brooks, C. L., III; Mackerell, A. D., Jr.; Nilsson, L.; Petrella, R. J.;
50 Roux, B.; Won, Y.; Archontis, G.; Bartels, C.; Boresch, S. et al. CHARMM: The
51 Biomolecular Simulation Program. *J. Chem. Comp.* **2009**, *30*, 1545–1614.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (38) Verlet, L. Computer Experiments on Classical Fluids. I. Thermodynamical Properties
4 of Lennard-Jones Molecules. *Phys. Rev.* **1967**, *159*, 98–103.
5
6
7
8 (39) VanGunsteren, W.; Berendsen, H. Algorithms for Macromolecular Dynamics and Con-
9 straint Dynamics. *Mol. Phys.* **1977**, *34*, 1311–1327.
10
11
12 (40) Essmann, U.; Perera, L.; Darden, M. L. B.; Lee, H.; Pedersen, L. G.; Essmann, U.;
13 Perera, L.; Berkowitz, M. L.; Darden, T.; Lee, H. et al. A Smooth Particle Mesh Ewald
14 Method A Smooth Particle Mesh Ewald Method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *103*, 8577–8593.
15
16
17
18 (41) Jorgensen, W. L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L.
19 Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. *J. Chem. Phys.*
20 **1983**, *79*, 926–935.
21
22
23
24
25 (42) Jo, S.; Kim, T.; Iyer, V. G.; Im, W. CHARMM-GUI: A Web-Based Graphical User
26 Interface for CHARMM. *J. Chem. Comp.* **2008**, *29*, 1859–1865.
27
28
29
30 (43) Ryckaert, J.-P.; Ciccotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. C. Numerical integration of the Cartesian
31 Equations of Motion of a System with Constraints: Molecular Dynamics of n-Alkanes.
32 *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, *23*, 327–341.
33
34
35
36 (44) Chook, Y.; Ke, H.; Lipscomb, W. Crystal Structures of the Monofunctional Chorismate
37 Mutase from *Bacillus Subtilis* and its Complex with a Transition State Analog. *Proc.*
38 *Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **1993**, *90*, 8600–8603.
39
40
41
42 (45) Berman, H.; Westbrook, J.; Feng, Z.; Gilliland, G.; Bhat, T.; Weissig, H.; Shindyalov, I.;
43 Bourne, P. The Protein Data Bank. www.rcsb.org, 2000.
44
45
46
47 (46) Bartlett, P. A.; Johnson, C. R. An Inhibitor of Chorismate Mutase Resembling the
48 Transition-State Conformation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 7792–7793.
49
50
51
52 (47) Kottalam, J.; Case, D. A. Dynamics of Ligand Escape from the Heme Pocket of Myo-
53 globin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 7690–7697.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (48) Kumar, S.; Rosenberg, J. M.; Bouzida, D.; Swendsen, R. H.; Kollman, P. A. The
4 Weighted Histogram Analysis Method for Free-energy Calculations on Biomolecules. I.
5 The Method. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1992**, *13*, 1011–1021.
6
7
8
9
10 (49) Souaille, M.; Roux, B. Extension to the Weighted Histogram Analysis Method: Com-
11 bining Umbrella Sampling with Free Energy Calculations. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*
12 **2001**, *135*, 40–57.
13
14
15
16
17 (50) Zoete, V.; Cuendet, M. A.; Grosdidier, A.; Michielin, O. SwissParam: A Fast Force
18 Field Generation Tool for Small Organic Molecules. *J. Chem. Comp.* **2011**, *32*, 2959–
19 2368.
20
21
22
23
24 (51) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheese-
25 man, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A. et al. Gaussian
26 09 Revision D.01. Gaussian Inc. Wallingford CT 2009.
27
28
29
30 (52) Nelder, J.; Mead, R. A Simplex Method for Function Minimization. *Chem Phys* **1965**,
31 *7*, 308–313.
32
33
34
35 (53) Zhang, J.; Yang, Y. I.; Yang, L.; Gao, Y. Q. Dynamics and Kinetics Study of In-Water
36 Chemical Reactions by Enhanced Sampling of Reactive Trajectories. *J. Phys. Chem.*
37 *B* **2015**, *119*, 14505–14514.
38
39
40
41
42 (54) Cui, Q.; Elstner, M.; Kaxiras, E.; Frauenheim, T.; Karplus, M. A QM/MM Implemen-
43 tation of the Self-Consistent Charge Density Functional Tight Binding (SCC-DFTB)
44 Method. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 569–585.
45
46
47
48
49 (55) Brandes, E.; Grieco, P.; Gajewski, J. Effect of Polar-Solvents on the Rates of Claisen
50 Rearrangements - Assessment of Ionic Character. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 515–516.
51
52
53
54 (56) Mondal, P.; Meuwly, M. Vibrational Stark Spectroscopy for Assessing Ligand-Binding
55 Strengths in a Protein. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 16131–16143.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (57) Štrajbl, M.; Shurki, A.; Kato, M.; Warshel, A. Apparent NAC Effect in Chorismate
4 Mutase Reflects Electrostatic Transition State Stabilization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**,
5 *125*, 10228–10237.
6
7
8
9
10 (58) El Hage, K.; Mondal, P.; Meuwly, M. Free Energy Simulations for Protein Ligand
11 Binding and Stability. *Mol. Simul.* **2018**, *7022*, 1–18.
12
13
14
15 (59) O’Ferrall, R. A. M. Relationships between E2 and E1cB Mechanisms of β -Elimination.
16 *J Chem Soc* **1970**, #, 274–277.
17
18
19
20 (60) Jencks, W. P. General Acid-Base Catalysis of Complex Reactions in Water. *Chem. Rev.*
21 **1972**, *72*, 705–718.
22
23
24
25 (61) Sheather, S. J.; Jones, M. C. A Reliable Data-Based Bandwidth Selection Method for
26 Kernel Density Estimation. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Statistical Methodol.)* **1991**, *53*,
27 683–690.
28
29
30
31 (62) Scott, D. W. Multivariate Density Estimation and Visualization. *Pap. / Humboldt-*
32 *Universität Berlin, Cent. Appl. Stat. Econ. (CASE)* **2004**, *16*, 1–23.
33
34
35
36 (63) Burton, G. W.; Sims, L. B.; Wilson, J. C.; Fry, A. Calculation of Carbon-14, Chlorine-
37 37, and Deuterium Kinetic Isotope Effects in the Solvolysis of tert-Butyl Chloride. *J.*
38 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 3371–3379.
39
40
41
42
43 (64) Pauling, L. Atomic Radii and Interatomic Distances in Metals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
44 **1947**, *69*, 542–553.
45
46
47
48 (65) Addadi, L.; Jaffe, E. K.; Knowles, J. R. Secondary Tritium Isotope Effects as Probes of
49 the Enzymic and Nonenzymic Conversion of Chorismate to Prephenate. *Biochemistry*
50 **1983**, *22*, 4494–4501.
51
52
53
54 (66) Wright, S.; DeClue, M.; Mandal, A.; Lee, L.; Wiest, O.; Cleland, W.; Hilvert, D. Isotope
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Effects on the Enzymatic and Nonenzymatic Reactions of Chorismate. *J. Am. Chem.*
4 *Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 12957–12964.
5
6

7
8 (67) Pawlak, J. L.; Padykula, R. E.; Kronis, J. D.; Aleksejczyk, R. A.; Berchtold, G. A.
9 Structural Requirements for Catalysis by Chorismate Mutase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**,
10 *111*, 3374–3381.
11
12

13
14 (68) Kawabata, T. Detection of multiscale pockets on protein surfaces using mathematical
15 morphology. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinforma.* **2010**, *78*, 1195–1211.
16
17

18
19 (69) Rezácová, P.; Borek, D.; Moy, S. F.; Joachimiak, A.; Otwinowski, Z. Crystal struc-
20 ture and putative function of small Toprim domain-containing protein from *Bacillus*
21 *stearothermophilus*. *Proteins* **2008**, *70*, 311–319.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

