Molecular differentiation and antifungal susceptibilities of *Candida parapsilosis* isolated from patients with bloodstream infections

Sun Tee Tay, Shiang Ling Na and Jennifer Chong

Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

The genetic heterogeneity and antifungal susceptibility patterns of Candida parapsilosis isolated from blood cultures of patients were investigated in this study. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis generated 5 unique profiles from 42 isolates. Based on the major DNA fragments of the RAPD profiles, the isolates were identified as RAPD type P1 (29 isolates), P2 (6 isolates), P3 (4 isolates), P4 (2 isolates) and P5 (1 isolate). Sequence analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) gene of the isolates identified RAPD type P1 as C. parapsilosis, P2 and P3 as Candida orthopsilosis, P4 as Candida metapsilosis, and P5 as Lodderomyces elongisporus. Nucleotide variations in ITS gene sequences of C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis were detected. Antifungal susceptibility testing using Etests showed that all isolates tested in this study were susceptible to amphotericin B, fluconazole, ketoconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole. C. parapsilosis isolates exhibited higher MIC<sub>50</sub> values than those of C. orthopsilosis for all of the drugs tested in this study; however, no significant difference in the MICs for these two Candida species was observed. The fact that C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis were responsible for 23.8 and 4.8% of the cases attributed to C. parapsilosis bloodstream infections, respectively, indicates the clinical relevance of these newly described yeasts. Further investigations of the ecological niche, mode of transmission and virulence of these species are thus essential.

Correspondence Sun Tee Tay tayst@um.edu.my

Received 18 June 2008 Accepted 21 October 2008

## INTRODUCTION

The incidence of candidiasis among hospitalized patients has increased generally in recent years, with a larger proportion of bloodstream infections being caused by nonalbicans Candida spp. (Pfaller & Diekema, 2007). Among the Candida spp., Candida parapsilosis has been described as the second or third most common yeast species isolated from patients with bloodstream infections in Europe, Canada, Asia and Latin America (Almirante et al., 2006; Messer et al., 2006; Pfaller et al., 2005). This yeast is believed to be of low virulence, but is well adapted to the human commensal environment, and occurrence of infection among hospitalized patients is particularly associated with carriage by the hands of health-care workers (Almirante et al., 2006; Bonassoli et al., 2005; Lin et al., 1995; Lupetti et al., 2002; Messer et al., 2006). C. parapsilosis bloodstream infections have been reported in association with catheter colonization and intravenous hyperalimentation in neonates (Sarvikivi et al., 2005), due to the capability of the yeast to form a biofilm on plastic intravascular devices (Branchini et al., 1994; Pfaller et al.,

Abbreviation: RAPD, randomly amplified polymorphic DNA.

1995). Catheter removal has been shown to be effective in clearance of fungaemia (Levy *et al.*, 1998; Rex, 1996).

*C. parapsilosis* has been considered a clonal organism in the past. However, this yeast is now differentiated into three species using molecular typing techniques (Lin *et al.*, 1995; Roy & Meyer, 1998; Tavanti *et al.*, 2005). Whilst the species *C. parapsilosis* is retained for genotype I isolates, genotypes II and III have been proposed as the separate species *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilopsis* (Tavanti *et al.*, 2005). These two newly described species are phenotypically identical, but genotypically distinct from *C. parapsilosis* (Kocsubé *et al.*, 2007; Lin *et al.*, 1995; Tavanti *et al.*, 2005).

Both *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* have been reported from bloodstream infections and infections at other anatomical sites (Gomez-Lopez *et al.*, 2008; Kocsubé *et al.*, 2007; Tavanti *et al.*, 2007). *C. orthopsilosis* was found to be responsible for 4.5% of infections/colonization attributed to *C. parapsilosis* (Tavanti *et al.*, 2007), and the organism was isolated from the blood samples of 2 out of 13 patients examined in their study. Kocsubé *et al.* (2007) reported the identification of 1 *C. metapsilosis* isolate from a total of 209 *C. parapsilosis* blood isolates (0.5%). The prevalence of *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* was 1.4 and 1.7%, respectively, in a study conducted in Spain (Gomez-Lopez *et al.*, 2008).

Lodderomyces elongisporus has been recognized as a third yeast species that is found to be closely related to *C. parapsilosis.* Data on small-subunit rRNA gene sequencing show that it is a distinct species that is closely related to *C. parapsilosis* (James *et al.*, 1994). This species has been reported in bloodstream infections of patients from Asia and Mexico (Lockhart *et al.*, 2008).

In this study, *C. parapsilosis* isolates from patients with fungaemia in our hospital were differentiated by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. The identities of isolates with unique RAPD profiles were determined by sequence analysis of the ITS1–5.8S rRNA gene–ITS2 region of the yeasts. As there were no data on the antifungal susceptibility of our *C. parapsilopsis* isolates, Etests were performed to determine the MIC values against amphotericin B, fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole and voriconazole.

# METHODS

**Clinical isolates.** A total of 42 isolates of *C. parapsilopsis* obtained from different patients with bloodstream infections, randomly collected from 2004 to 2007, were used in this study. The ages of the patients ranged from 1 month to 83 years, with a mean age of 36.7 years. Twelve individuals (28.6%) were paediatric patients with ages ranging from 1 month to 13 years. All isolates were identified as *C. parapsilosis* using the API 20C AUX system (bioMérieux).

**DNA extraction.** Yeast genomic DNA was extracted as described by Makimura *et al.* (1994) with slight modifications. Briefly, yeast cells were boiled for 15 min in lysis buffer containing 100 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8), 0.5 % SDS and 30 mM EDTA. The lysate was then added to 2.5 M potassium acetate solution and incubated on ice for 1 h before centrifuging at 13 226 g for 5 min. The yeast DNA in the supernatant was precipitated with isopropanol, washed twice with ethanol, air dried and resuspended in 50 µl distilled water prior to use in the PCR.

**RAPD analysis.** Amplification was performed using the single primer M13 (5'-GAGGGTGGCGGTTCT-3') or (GTG)<sub>5</sub> sequence repeats, as described by Meyer *et al.* (1997). The PCR master mix (25  $\mu$ l) contained 10 mM Tris/HCI (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.15 mM primer, 2.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (MBI Fermentas) and 25 ng yeast DNA. PCR conditions were 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 20 s, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min and extension at 72 °C for 20 s, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 6 min. A 10  $\mu$ l aliquot of the amplification products was separated by electrophoresis on a 2.0% agarose gel. The RAPD profiles were visualized under UV light and photographed. Isolates were assigned to their respective RAPD types based on the presence or absence of DNA fragments generated from the PCR.

**ITS sequence analysis.** Amplification of the ITS1–5.8S rRNA gene– ITS2 fragment was performed using primer ITS1 (5'-TCCGTA-GGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATA-TGC-3') (White *et al.*, 1990). The amplicon was purified using a GeneAll PCR SV kit (General Biosystem) and the subsequent sequencing reaction was performed with a BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI-3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using ITS1 and ITS4 as primers. Sequences were imported into the BioEdit sequence alignment program and inspected manually (Hall, 1999). The neighbour-joining method of MEGA software (version 4.0) was employed to determine the phylogenetic status of the isolates (Kumar *et al.*, 2004). The reliability of different phylogenetic groupings was evaluated using bootstrap tests (1000 bootstrap replicates). Type strains included for analysis were: *C. parapsilosis* CBS  $604^{T}$  (GenBank accession no. AJ635316), *C. orthopsilosis* ATCC 96139<sup>T</sup> (AJ698048), *C. metapsilosis* ATCC 96144<sup>T</sup> (AJ698049) and *L. elongisporus* CBS 2606 (AY391845).

**Antifungal susceptibility tests.** Etests were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (AB Biodisk). The antifungal agents used were amphotericin B, fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole and voriconazole (in strips provided in the Etest kit). Comparisons of proportions were performed using the Mann–Whitney test of the SPSs statistical package (version 13.0). A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

### **RAPD** analysis

Based on the major DNA fragments (ranging from 200 bp to approximately 2 kb) generated by primer M13 (Fig. 1a), five RAPD profiles (designated P1–P5) were obtained. RAPD types P1, P4 and P5 could easily be recognized based on their unique profiles. RAPD types P2 and P3 were differentiated based on several faintly stained DNA fragments of <1 kb generated in the profiles. Similar typing results were obtained using (GTG)<sub>5</sub> sequence repeats (Fig. 1b). A total of 29 (69.0 %) of the isolates were identified as RAPD type P1, 6 (14.3 %) as P2, 4 (9.5 %) as P3, 2 (4.8 %) as P4 and 1 (2.4 %) as P5.

### **ITS sequence analysis**

A total of 461–504 nt of the ITS1–5.8S rRNA gene–ITS2 regions of 18 RAPD type P1 isolates and all RAPD type P2–P5 isolates were determined. Fig. 2 shows the multiple alignment of the ITS sequences of *C. parapsilosis* and its closely related species. Fig. 3 is a dendrogram illustrating the genetic relationships of *C. parapsilosis* and its related species based on their ITS sequences. *C. parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* isolates were grouped in the same cluster as their respective type strains. *L. elongisporus* was distinctly differentiated from the *Candida* spp.

Table 1 shows the percentages of sequence similarity of the yeasts when compared with their respective type strains. The ITS gene sequences of RAPD type P1 isolates showed 100% sequence similarity with *C. parapsilosis* type strain CBS  $604^{T}$ . All six RAPD type P2 isolates demonstrated 100% sequence similarity with the *C. orthopsilosis* type strain (ATCC 96139<sup>T</sup>). Three RAPD type P3 isolates exhibited 99.3% sequence similarities (3 nt differences) with the *C. orthopsilosis* type strain, whilst the remaining one exhibited 99.1% sequence similarity (4 nt differences) with the type strain.

The two RAPD type P4 isolates in this study exhibited 99.3 and 98.3 % (3 and 8 nt differences, respectively) sequence

(a) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 M 11 121314151617181920212223 M



(b) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 M 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 M

**Fig. 1.** RAPD profiles generated by primer M13 (a) and  $(GTG)_5$  sequence repeats (b) for blood isolates investigated in this study. Lanes: M, 100 bp DNA ladder; 1–9, RAPD type P1 (*C. parapsilosis*); 10, water (negative control); 11–16, RAPD type P2 (*C. orthopsilosis*); 17–18, RAPD type 4 (*C. metapsilosis*); 19–22, RAPD type P3 (*C. orthopsilosis*); 23, RAPD type P5 (*L. elongisporus*).

similarity with *C. metapsilosis* type strain ATCC 96144<sup>T</sup>. The only RAPD type P5 isolate in this study showed 100 % sequence similarity with the *L. elongisporus* type strain CBS 2606 (Table 1).

C. parapsilosis accounted for 69.0% of the isolates in this study. This was followed by C. orthopsilosis (23.8%) and C. metapsilosis (4.8%). The distribution of C. parapsilosis, C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis among the paediatric patients were seven (58.3%), three (25.0%) and two (16.7%) isolates. The distribution of C. parapsilosis and C. orthopsilosis in the adult patients were 22 (73.3%) and 7 (23.3%) isolates, respectively. No. C. metapsilosis was isolated from adult patients in this study.

#### Antifungal susceptibility tests

The isolates were susceptible to all of the antifungal drugs tested, with MICs  $<1 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$  for amphotericin B, ketoconazole, itraconazole, and voriconazole and  $<6 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$  for fluconazole (Table 2). The lowest MIC values were obtained for ketoconazole. Although *C. parapsilosis* isolates exhibited higher MIC<sub>50</sub> values than those of *C. orthopsilosis* for all of the drugs tested in this study, no significant difference in the MIC<sub>50</sub> values for these two *Candida* species was observed (Table 2). The MIC range of *C. metapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus* isolates fell within the MIC range of *C. parapsilosis* (Table 2). Overall, the MICs of our isolates were well below the plasma levels normally achieved for these drugs.

#### DISCUSSION

Identification of *Candida* spp. from clinical specimens, particularly blood culture, is important to facilitate optimal

antifungal therapy and patient management (Edwards et al., 1997; Rex et al., 2000). Molecular techniques are excellent tools for identification and strain typing of yeasts. Analysis of new species that are closely related to C. parapsilosis has been performed using various molecular techniques including RAPD analysis (Kocsubé et al., 2007; Lehmann et al., 1992; Lin et al., 1995; Tavanti et al., 2007; Zancopé-Oliveira et al., 2000), nucleotide sequence analysis (Iida et al., 2005; Kato et al., 2001; Lin et al., 1995; Lockhart et al., 2008; Nosek et al., 2002; Pryce et al., 2006), DNA-DNA hybridization (Roy & Meyer, 1998), probe hybridization (Enger et al., 2001), analysis of mitochondrial DNA (Rycovska et al., 2004), multilocus sequence typing (Tavanti et al., 2005), microsatellite analysis (Lasker et al., 2006), amplification fragment length polymorphism analysis (Tavanti et al., 2007) and RFLP analysis of genomic DNA (Van Asbeck et al., 2008). In this study, the occurrence and susceptibility profiles of our isolates were investigated by RAPD and sequence analysis of ITS genes.

RAPD analysis using a single primer, M13, was used initially in this study to provide a quick screening of the genetic heterogeneity of the yeasts. The RAPD profiles generated were stable, especially with the major DNA fragments. The typing results were reproducible using another primer,  $(GTG)_5$  sequence repeats, in the RAPD analysis (Fig. 1). The genomic homogeneity among *C. parapsilosis* isolates as observed by RAPD analysis and sequence analysis of ITS genes in this study has been demonstrated previously by various molecular techniques (Kocsubé *et al.*, 2007; Lehmann *et al.*, 1992; Tavanti *et al.*, 2005, 2007). However, a recent investigation of the size polymorphisms in loci harbouring microsatellite repeat sequences of *C. parapsilosis* group I isolates (Lasker *et al.*, 2006) demonstrated 30 different microsatellite genotypes

C paransilosis CBS 604 <sup>†</sup>	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 8 <sup>a</sup>	A
C naransilosis CBS 604		
	GATCATTACAGAATGAAAAAGTGCTTAACTGCATTTTTTCTTACACATGTGTTTTTCTTTTTT.GAAA	4
C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139		
RAPD type 2 (n=6)	······································	•
RAPD type 3 (n=3)	······································	•
RAPD type 3 (n=1)		•
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144		•
RAPD type 4 (n=1)	AAT.G. G. AGG.C	•
RAPD type 4 (n=1)		•
L. elongisportas CBS 2000		•
KAPD type 5 (n=1)	······································	•
	90 100 11D 120 130 140 150 11	60
		ί.
C orthograficatio ATCC 06120		'
BARD type 2 (n=6)	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
RAPD type 2 (n=0)		
RAPD type 3 (n=3)		
C motopeionic ATCC 06144		
C. metapsilosis ATCC 90144		
RAPD type 4 (n=1)		
APD type 4 (7-1)		
PARD type 5 (n=1)		2
KAPD type 5 (n=1)		
	170 180 190 200 211 220 230 2	40
C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>T</sup>	AACC	r i
C arthonsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>T</sup>		
RAPD type 2 (n=6)	T AC	
RAPD type 3 (n=3)	T AC	
RAPD type 3 (n=1)	T AC	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144	TT AC	
RAPD type 4 (n=1)	TT AC	
RAPD type 4 $(n=1)$	Τ ΔC	
L. elongisporus CBS 2606	IGATTAT TA	
RAPD type 5 (n=1)	ΙGΑΤΤΑΤ. ΤΑ	
	200 260 270 220 290 300 313 5	20
C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>*</sup>	GCGATAAGTAATATGAATTGCAGATATTCGTGAATCATCGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCTTTGGTATTCCAAAGG	3
C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>T</sup>		
RAPD type 2 (n=6)		
RAPD type 3 (n=3)		
RAPD type 3 (n=1)		
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
RAPD type 4 (n=1)		
RAPD type 4 (n=1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
L. elongisporus CBS 2606		
RAPD type 5 (n=1)		
	330 340 350 360 370 380 3 <del>90</del> 4f	0-0
C. parapsilosis CBS 604		•
C. Onnopsilosis ATCC 90139	······································	•
RAPD type 2 (n=6)		•
RAPD type 3 (n=3)		
NAP D type 5 (n=1)	T	
C motopellocie ATCC 06144	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup>	T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1)	$\overline{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{T}}$	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606		
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T. T. T. T. T. C. A. A. CA. TT. C. A. A. CA. TT. C. A. A. CA. TT.	•
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	$\begin{array}{c} T\\ T\\ T\\ T\\ T\\ T\\ T\\ C\\ C\\ A\\ A\\ A\\ C\\ C\\ T\\ T\\$	•
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>+</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T. T. T. T. T. C. A. A. CA. TT. C. A. A. CA. TT.	•
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T T T T CA.A.CA CA.A.CA T CA.A.CA T CA.A.CA T CA.A.CA T CA.A.CA T CA.A.CA T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>r</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. paraosilosis CBS 604 <sup>r</sup>	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A     A   A     C   A     A   A     GGGGGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT     AGGCAGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT     AGGCAGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT     AGGCAGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT	30
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup>	Т	30
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>4</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6)	TTTTTTTT	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=3)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   CA     GGCGGGAGTAT - AAACTAATGGAT - AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA - TTGGTACAAACTCCAAAACTCCTAAAATTCTACAAACTCCAAAACTTCCAAAACTTCCAAAACTTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCAAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAACTCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAACTCCAACTCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAACTCCAACTCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAACTCCAACTCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAACTCCAACTCCAACTCCAACTCCAACTCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAAACTCCCAACTC	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   CA     GGCGGAGTAT   40   40   40   40     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   TTGGTACAAACTCCAAAACTTCTTCCAAAA     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T     AGC GGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   A   T     T   T   A   T   A   T     T   T   A   T   A   T     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   T   A   T	80
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>1</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup>	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A     GGCGGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT     GGCGGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT     T   T   T     A   T   T     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T   A     A   T	80
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   CA     GGCGGGAGTAT   CA   TT     T   T   T   TT     GGCGGGAGTAT   CA   TT   TT     T   T   T   TT     GGCGGGAGTAT   AACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CA   TT     T   T   T   T   A   T     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   T     T   T   T   A   T   T     T   T   T   T   A   T   T     T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T     T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T   T	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=1) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1)	T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A     C   A   A     GGCGGAGTAT   AACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT     A10   420   440   440   440     GGCGGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CAACTCCAAAACTTCCTACCAAACTTCCTACCAAACTTC     GGCGGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   AAACTAATGGAT     A   T   T   AAACTAATGGAT     AACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CAACTACTAATGGAT   AAGGATTTCTTCCAAAATTC     AACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   AAAACTAATGGAAT   AAAATTCCAAAATTC     AACTAATGGAAT   AGGTTTTTT   AAAACTAATGGAATAATGGAAT   AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	80
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A     A   A     C   A     A   A     C   A     A   A     C   A     A   C     A   A     C   A     A   A     C   A     A   A     C   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A   A     A	80
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>1</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T   T     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT     AAACTATAATGGAT   AGAT     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   CA     GGCGGAGTAT   AACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   AGG   AGG     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   TIGGTACAAAACTCCAAAACTICTTCCCAAAA   TTT     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   TIGGTACAAAACTCCAAAACTICTTCCCAAAA   TTT     AA   T   T   AA   AAACTAAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   AA     T   T   T   AA   AAAATAAATGGAAT   AAGTTTTT   AAAATAAATGGAAT   AAATTTTT     AAAATTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTTT   T   CAAAAATTTTT   AAAATTTTTT   AAAATTTTTT   AAAATTTTTTT   AAAATTTTTTT   AAAATTTTTTT   AAAAATTTTTT   AAAATTTTTT   AAAAATTTTTT   AAAATTTTTTT   AAAAATTTTTTT   AAAAATTTTTTTT   AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A     A   A     C   A     GGCGGAGGTAT   AAACTAAAGGAT     A   A     T   T     A   A	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup>	T   T     T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>1</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>3</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>1</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>1</sup>	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A     A   A     C   A     GGCGGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT     AGG   40     40   40 <th></th>	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96149 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6)	T   T     T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   CA     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGG TTTTTT   CCACTCA   AGG TACAAAACTCCAAAACTCTCTTCCAAAA     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGG TTTTTT   CCACTCA   AGG TACAAAACTCCAAAACTCTCTTCCAAAA     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGG TTTTTT   AAACTAAATGGAT   AGG TACAAAACTCCCAAAACTCCCAAAAACTCCCAAAAACTCCCAAAAACTCCCAAAAACTCCCAAAAACTCCAAAAACTCCAAAAACTCCAAAAACTCCCAAAAATCAAAATCAAAATCAAAATCAAGTCAAAATCAAAATCAAGTACTCCAAAAATCAAGTCAAAATCAAAATCAAGTAAATTC   AAACTAATTTTT   AAACTAATCAAGTAAGGATAATACCACT   AAACTAATCAAGTAAGGATACCCCCT     400   100   100   100   100   100     400   100   100   100   100   100   100     100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100     100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100     100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100   100	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=6) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 2 (n=3) RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3)	T   T     T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>1</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>1</sup> C. orthopsilosis KTCC 96139 <sup>1</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis KTCC 96139 <sup>1</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup> C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>1</sup>	T   T     T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   CA     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   TTGGTACAAAACTCCAAAACTTCTTCCAAAA     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   TTGGTACAAAACTCCAAAACTTCTTCCAAAA     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGGTTTTTT   CCACTCA   TTGGTACAAAACTCCAAAACTTCTTCCCAAAA     GGCGGAGTAT   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A   T     T   T   T   A   T   A   T     T   T   T   C   AACCACT   T   GGC     A   T   T   C   AACCACT   T   GGC	80
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>°</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>°</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1)	T   T     T	
C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>5</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=3) RAPD type 3 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 4 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. parapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96141 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) C. metapsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>7</sup> RAPD type 5 (n=1) RAPD type 5 (n=1) RAPD type 5 (n=1) RAPD type 5 (n=1) L. elongisporus CBS 2606 RAPD type 5 (n=1)	T   T     T   T     T   T     T   T     C   A   A   C     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGG TTTTTT   CC   A     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGG TTTTTT   CC   A     T   T   T   T   T     GGCGGAGTAT   AAACTAATGGAT   AGG TTTTTT   CC   ACA     T   T   T   A   T     T   T   T   A   T     T   T   A   T   A     T   T   A   T   A     T   T   A   T   A     T   T   A   T   A     T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   A   T   A     T   T   T   T   A   T     A	

Fig. 2. Multiple alignment of ITS gene sequences of C. parapsilosis and related species.

from 42 isolates. Due to the high discriminatory power of microsatellite analysis, this technique has been proposed for *C. parapsilosis* outbreak and epidemiological investigations (Lasker *et al.*, 2006).

In a recent study to analyse the distribution of the subtypes of *C. parapsilosis* from various geographical localities, Van

Asbeck *et al.* (2008) divided their isolates into two groups: VII-1 (dominant subtype showing RAPD profiles consistent with *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*) and non-VII-1 (all remaining subtypes). Approximately 82 % VII-1 isolates were reported for the USA, Europe, Brazil and Israel strains; however, there was diversity in the genotypic groups of *C. parapsilosis* isolated from different geograph-



Fig. 3. Dendrogram based on ITS gene sequences of C. parapsilosis and related species.

ical areas, with isolates from Mexico showing a significantly lower proportion of VII-1 isolates. The findings in this study also showed that there was a low frequency (69.0%) of *C. parapsilosis* in the Malaysian isolates. Whether the difference observed in yeast distribution has any association with treatment and management of the infections will require further investigation.

The genetic heterogeneity of *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis*, as reflected by RAPD analysis and detection of nucleotide variations in the ITS gene in this study, has been demonstrated by other investigators (Iida *et al.*, 2005; Lasker *et al.*, 2006; Rycovska *et al.*, 2004; Tavanti *et al.*, 2005, 2007; Van Asbeck *et al.*, 2008). The greater genetic variability of these newly described yeasts compared with *C. parapsilosis* has caused difficulties in the development of molecular techniques for the subtyping of these yeasts (Lasker *et al.*, 2006; Tavanti *et al.*, 2005).

The identification of C. orthopsilosis and/or C. metapsilosis from clinical isolates has been reported in Europe and the USA (Enger et al., 2001; Gomez-Lopez et al., 2008; Kocsubé et al., 2007; Tavanti et al., 2005, 2007). It is thought that the low frequencies of these newly described species in clinical specimens have prevented further analysis of these yeasts. There is also little information on the frequency of C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis isolated from cases of C. parapsilosis bloodstream infections. The occurrences of C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis (23.8 and 4.8% of the cases, respectively) attributed to C. parapsilosis bloodstream infections in this study were found to be higher than those reported by others (Gomez-Lopez et al., 2008; Kocsubé et al., 2007; Tavanti et al., 2007). Isolation of both C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis from the blood cultures of paediatric patients was also documented in this study for what is believed to be the first time. In fact, a higher frequency of C. orthopsilosis was noted in the blood cultures of paediatric patients compared with those of

**Table 1.** Percentage sequence similarity and nucleotide differences of blood isolates compared with the type strains of *C. parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilopsis* and *L. elongisporus* 

Strain	Percentage nucleotide similarity /no. of nucleotide differences				
	C. parapsilosis CBS 604 <sup>T</sup>	C. orthopsilosis ATCC 96139 <sup>T</sup>	C. metapsilosis ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup>		
C. parapsilosis					
CBS 604 <sup>T</sup>	100/0	94.5/26	91.8/40		
RAPD type P1 $(n=18)$	100/0	94.5/26	91.8/40		
C. orthopsilosis					
ATCC 96139 <sup>T</sup>	94.5/26	100/0	94.1/28		
RAPD type P2 $(n=6)$	94.5/26	100/0	94.1/28		
RAPD type P3 $(n=3)$	94.3/27	99.3/3	94.8/25		
RAPD type P3 $(n=1)$	94.1/28	99.1/4	94.6/26		
C. metapsilosis					
ATCC 96144 <sup>T</sup>	91.8/40	94.1/28	100/0		
RAPD type P4 $(n=1)$	92/39	94.1/28	99.3/3		
RAPD type P4 $(n=1)$	91/44	93.1/33	98.3/8		
L. elongisporus					
CBS $2606^{\mathrm{T}}$	81.1/95	79.6/103	81.0/96		
RAPD type P5 $(n=1)$	81.1/95	79.6/103	81.0/96		

Clinical isolate			Antifungal drug		
	Amphotericin B	Fluconazole	Ketoconazole	Itraconazole	Voriconazole
C. parapsilosis (n=21)					
MIC range ( $\mu g m l^{-1}$ )	< 0.002-0.75	0.094-6	< 0.002-0.25	< 0.002-0.38	0.006-0.125
$MIC_{50} \ (\mu g \ ml^{-1})$	0.047	0.75	0.23	0.047	0.032
$MIC_{90} \ (\mu g \ ml^{-1})$	0.75	4	0.094	0.19	0.047
C. orthopsilosis $(n=8)$					
MIC range ( $\mu g m l^{-1}$ )	0.006-0.125	0.25-1.5	0.008-0.125	0.004-0.047	0.006-0.125
$MIC_{50} \ (\mu g \ ml^{-1})$	0.023	0.38	0.012	0.016	0.012
$MIC_{90} \ (\mu g \ ml^{-1})$	0.125	1.5	0.125	0.047	0.125
C. metapsilosis (n=2)					
3516	0.023	1.5	0.016	0.023	0.016
3506	0.016	1.5	0.016	0.064	0.032
L. elongisporus (n=1)					
3179	0.012	0.125	0.003	0.047	0.004

Table 2. In vitro antifungal susceptibilities of C. parapsilopsis and related species in this study

adult patients in this study. However, as only a small number of isolates (12) from paediatric patients was available for analysis in this study, further investigation is necessary to validate these findings.

The results of antifungal susceptibility testing showed that the C. parapsilosis, C. orthopsilosis and C. metapsilosis isolates tested in this study were susceptible to amphotericin B, fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole and voriconazole. According to earlier studies, the MICs of C. parapsilosis isolates against amphotericin B, fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole ranged from 0.03 to 2, 0.06 to 16, 0.03 to 2 and 0.02 to 1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Lin et al., 1995; Pfaller et al., 2001; Tortorano et al., 2006). All of the isolates tested in this study fell within the MIC range reported by others. Although C. parapsilosis isolates exhibited higher MIC<sub>50</sub> values than those of C. orthopsilosis for all of the drugs tested, no significant difference in the MIC<sub>50</sub> values for these two Candida species was observed (Table 2). The two C. metapsilosis and the single L. elongisporus isolates exhibited lower MICs for all of the antifungal drugs tested in this study. Lower MIC values were observed for amphotericin B in C. metapsilosis compared with C. parapsilosis in an earlier study (Lin et al., 1995).

In conclusion, this study presented the identification of newly described yeast species that are closely related to *C. parapsilosis* from the blood cultures of our patients. Although the blood isolates in this study were identified initially by conventional biochemical tests as *C. parapsilosis*, these organisms could be differentiated further into *C. parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus* using RAPD and sequence analysis of ITS genes. The fact that *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* were responsible for 23.8 and 4.8 % of these cases attributed to *C. parapsilosis* bloodstream infections, respectively, indicates the clinical relevance of these yeast species. Further investigations of the ecological niche, mode of transmission and virulence of these newly described species are thus essential.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a research grant (SF062-2007A) provided by the University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. We thank Professor K. P. Ng for his support in this study.

### REFERENCES

Almirante, B., Rodríguez, D., Cuenca-Estrella, M., Almela, M., Sanchez, F., Ayats, J., Alonso-Tarres, C., Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., Pahissa, A. & the Barcelona Candidemia Project Study Group (2006). Epidemiology, risk factors, and prognosis of *Candida parapsilosis* bloodstream infections: case-control population-based surveillance study of patients in Barcelona, Spain, from 2002 to 2003. *J Clin Microbiol* 44, 1681–1685.

Bonassoli, L. A., Bertoli, M. & Svidzinski, T. I. E. (2005). High frequency of *Candida parapsilosis* on the hands of healthy hosts. *J Hosp Infect* **59**, 159–162.

Branchini, M. L., Pfaller, M. A., Rhine-Chalberg, J., Frempong, T. & Isenberg, H. D. (1994). Genotypic variation and slime production among blood isolates and catheter isolates of *Candida parapsilosis*. *J Clin Microbiol* 32, 452–456.

Edwards, J. E., Jr, Bodey, G. P., Bowden, R. A., Buchner, T., De Pauw, B. E., Filler, S. G., Ghannoum, M. A., Glauser, M., Herbrecht, R. & other authors (1997). International conference for the development of a consensus on the management and prevention of severe candidal infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 25, 43–59.

Enger, L., Joly, S., Pujol, C., Simonson, P., Pfaller, M. & Soll, D. R. (2001). Cloning and characterization of a complex DNA fingerprinting probe for *Candida parapsilosis*. *J Clin Microbiol* **39**, 658–669.

Gomez-Lopez, A., Alastruey-Izquierdo, A., Rodriguez, D., Almirante, B., Pahissa, A., Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., Cuenca-Estrella, M. & the Barcelona Candidemia Project Study Group (2008). Prevalence and susceptibility profile of *Candida metapsilosis* and *Candida orthopsilosis*: results from population-based surveillance of candidemia in Spain. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **52**, 1506–1509.

Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser* 41, 95–98.

lida, S., Imai, T., Oguri, T., Okuzumi, K., Yamanka, A., Moretti-Branchini, M. L., Nishimura, K. & Mikami, Y. (2005). Genetic diversity of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and 5.8S rRNA genes among clinical isolates of *Candida parapsilosis* in Brazil and Japan. *Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi* **46**, 133–137.

James, S. A., Collins, M. D. & Roberts, I. N. (1994). The genetic relationship of *Lodderomyces elongisporus* to other ascomycete yeast species as revealed by small subunit rRNA gene sequences. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 19, 308–311.

Kato, M., Ozeki, M., Kikuchi, A. & Kanbe, T. (2001). Phylogenetic relationship and mode of evolution of yeast topoisomerase II gene in the pathogenic *Candida* species. *Gene* **272**, 275–281.

Kocsubé, S., Tóth, M., Vágvölgyi, C., Dóczi, I., Pesti, M., Pócsi, L., Szabó, J. & Varga, J. (2007). Occurrence and genetic variability of *Candida parapsilosis sensu lato* in Hungary. *J Med Microbiol* 56, 190–195.

Kumar, S., Tamura, K. & Nei, M. (2004). MEGA3: integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. *Brief Bioinform* 5, 150–163.

Lasker, B. A., Butler, G. & Lott, T. J. (2006). Molecular genotyping of *Candida parapsilosis* group I clinical isolates by analysis of polymorphic microsatellite markers. *J Clin Microbiol* **44**, 750–759.

Lehmann, P. F., Lin, D. & Lasker, B. A. (1992). Genotypic identification and characterization of species and strains within the genus *Candida* by using random amplified polymorphic DNA. *J Clin Microbiol* **30**, 3249–3254.

Levy, I., Rubin, L. G., Vasishtha, S., Tucci, V. & Sood, S. K. (1998). Emergence of *Candida parapsilosis* as the predominant species causing candidemia in children. *Clin Infect Dis* 26, 1086–1088.

Lin, D., Wu, L.-C., Rinaldi, M. G. & Lehman, P. F. (1995). Three distinct genotypes within *Candida parapsilosis* from clinical sources. *J Clin Microbiol* 33, 1815–1821.

Lockhart, S. R., Messer, S. A., Pfaller, M. A. & Diekema, D. J. (2008). Lodderomyces elongisporus masquerading as Candida parapsilosis as a cause of bloodstream infections. J Clin Microbiol 46, 374–376.

Lupetti, A., Tavanti, A., Davini, P., Ghelardi, E., Corsini, V., Merusi, I., Boldrini, A., Campa, M. & Senesi, S. (2002). Horizontal transmission of *Candida parapsilosis* candidemia in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Microbiol* 40, 2363–2369.

Makimura, K., Murayama, S. Y. & Yamaguchi, H. (1994). Detection of a wide range of medically important fungi by the polymerase chain reaction. *J Med Microbiol* **40**, 358–364.

Messer, S. A., Jones, R. N. & Fritsche, T. R. (2006). International surveillance of *Candida* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp.: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2003). *J Clin Microbiol* 44, 1782–1787.

Meyer, W., Latouche, G. N., Daniel, H.-M., Thanos, M., Mitchell, T. G., Yarrow, D., Schönian, G. & Sorrell, T. C. (1997). Identification of pathogenic yeasts of the imperfect genus *Candida* by polymerase chain reaction fingerprinting. *Electrophoresis* 18, 1548–1559.

Nosek, J., Tomaska, L., Rycovska, A. & Fukuhara, H. (2002). Mitochondrial telomeres as molecular makers for identification of the opportunistic yeast pathogen *Candida parapsilosis*. J Clin Microbiol **40**, 1283–1289.

**Pfaller, M. A. & Diekema, D. J. (2007).** Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **20**, 133–163.

**Pfaller, M. A., Messer, S. A. & Hollis, R. J. (1995).** Variations in DNA subtype, antifungal susceptibility, and slime production among clinical isolates of *Candida parapsilosis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **21**, 9–14.

Pfaller, M. A., Diekema, D. J., Jones, R. N., Sader, H. S., Fluit, A. C., Hollis, R. J., Messer, S. A. & the SENTRY Participant Group (2001). International surveillance of bloodstream infections due to *Candida* species: frequency of occurrence and *in vitro* susceptibilities to fluconazole, ravuconazole, and voriconazole of isolates collected from 1997 through 1999 in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. *J Clin Microbiol* **39**, 3254–3259.

Pfaller, M. A., Diekema, D. J., Rinaldi, M. G., Barnes, R., Hu, B., Veselov, A. C., Tiraboschi, N., Nagy, E. & Gibbs, D. L. (2005). Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study: a 6.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of *Candida* and other yeast species to fluconazole and voriconazole by standardized disk diffusion testing. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**, 5848–5859.

Pryce, T. M., Palladino, S., Price, D. M., Gardam, D. J., Campbell, P. B., Christiansen, K. J. & Murray, R. J. (2006). Rapid identification of fungal pathogens in BacT/ALERT, BACTEC, and BBL MGIT media using polymerase chain reaction and DNA sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer regions. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 54, 289–297.

Rex, J. H. (1996). Editorial response: catheters and candidemia. *Clin Infect Dis* 22, 467–470.

Rex, J. H., Walsh, T. J., Sobel, J. D., Filler, S. G., Pappas, P. G., Dismukes, W. E. & Edwards, J. E. (2000). Practice guidelines for the treatment of candidiasis. *Clin Infect Dis* **30**, 662–678.

**Roy, B. & Meyer, S. A. (1998).** Confirmation of the distinct genotype groups within the form species *Candida parapsilosis. J Clin Microbiol* **36**, 216–218.

Rycovska, A., Valach, M., Tomaska, L., Bolotin-Fukuhara, M. & Nosek, J. (2004). Linear versus circular mitochondrial genomes: intraspecies variability of mitochondrial genome architecture in *Candida parapsilosis. Microbiology* **150**, 1571–1580.

Sarvikivi, E., Lyytikäinen, O., Soll, D. R., Pujol, C., Pfaller, M. A., Richardson, M., Koukila-Kähkölä, P., Luukkainen, P. & Saxén, H. (2005). Emergence of fluconazole resistance in a *Candida parapsilosis* strain that caused infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**, 2729–2735.

Tavanti, A., Davidson, A. D., Gow, N. A., Maiden, M. C. & Odds, F. C. (2005). *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilosis* spp. nov. to replace *Candida parapsilosis* groups II and III. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**, 284–292.

Tavanti, A., Hensgens, L. A., Ghelardi, E., Campa, M. & Senesi, S. (2007). Genotyping of *Candida orthopsilosis* clinical isolates by amplification fragment length polymorphism reveals genetic diversity among independent isolates and strain maintenance within patients. *J Clin Microbiol* **45**, 1455–1462.

Tortorano, A. M., Kibbler, C., Peman, J., Bernhardt, H., Klingspor, L. & Grillot, R. (2006). Candidaemia in Europe: epidemiology and resistance. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 27, 359–366.

Van Asbeck, E. C., Clemons, K. V., Markham, A. N. & Stevens, D. A. (2008). Molecular epidemiology of the global and temporal diversity of *Candida parapsilosis. Scand J Infect Dis* 40, 827–834.

White, T. J., Bruns, T. D., Lee, S. B. & Taylor, J. W. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal DNA for phylogenetics. In *PCR Protocols: a Guide to the Methods and Applications*, pp. 315–322. Edited by M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky, & T. J. White. New York: Academic Press.

Zancopé-Oliveira, R. M., James, M. J., Derossi, A. P., Sampaio, J. L., Muniz, M. M., Li, R. K., Nascimento, A. S., Peralta, J. M. & Reiss, E. (2000). Strain characterization of *Candida parapsilosis* fungemia by molecular typing methods. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 19, 514– 520.