Molecular Evolution of the Sex-Ratio Inversion Complex in Drosophila pseudoobscura: Analysis of the Esterase-5 Gene Region

Christina S. Babcock¹ and Wyatt W. Anderson

Department of Genetics, University of Georgia

The Sex-Ratio chromosome in Drosophila pseudoobscura is subject to meiotic drive. It is associated with a series of three nonoverlapping paracentric inversions on the right arm of the X chromosome. The esterase-5 gene region has been localized to section 23 within the subbasal inversion of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex, making esterase-5 a convenient locus for molecular evolutionary analyses of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex and the associated drive system. A 504-bp fragment of noncoding, intergenic DNA from the esterase-5 gene region was amplified and sequenced from 14 Sex-Ratio and 14 Standard X chromosomes of D. pseudoobscura, and from 9 X chromosomes of its two sibling species, Drosophila persimilis and Drosophila miranda. There is extensive sequence differentiation between the Sex-Ratio and Standard chromosomal types. The common Standard chromosome is highly polymorphic, while, as expected from either the neutral mutation theory or the selective sweep hypothesis, the rarer Sex-Ratio chromosome has much less within-chromosome nucleotide polymorphism. We estimate that the Standard and Sex-Ratio chromosomes in D. pseudoobscura diverged between 700,000 and 1.3 Mya, or at least 2 million generations ago. The clustering of D. pseudoobscura Sex-Ratio chromosomes in a neighbor-joining phylogeny indicates a fairly old, monophyletic origin in this species. It appears from these data that Sex-Ratio genes were present prior to the divergence of D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis and that both the Standard and Sex-Ratio chromosomes of D. persimilis were derived from the Standard chromosome of D. pseudoobscura after the inversion events that isolated the D. pseudoobscura Sex-Ratio chromosome.

Introduction

The Sex-Ratio chromosomes of Drosophila are one of the best-studied cases of meiotic drive (Sandler and Novitski 1957). As their name implies, these chromosomes cause a distortion of the sex ratio in the progeny of males carrying the Sex-Ratio X chromosome. These Sex-Ratio chromosomes have a widespread taxonomic distribution and have been observed in natural populations of species in the subgenus Drosophila, including D. melanica and paramelanica (Stalker 1961), D. mediopunctata (De Carvalho, Peixoto, and Klaczko 1989), and D. quinara and testacea (James and Jaenike 1990). They have also been found in many species in the subgenus Sophophora, including the affinis subgroup species D. affinis (Morgan, Bridges, and Sturtevant 1925) and athabasca (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936), as well as the obscura group species D. obscura (Gershenson, 1928), subobscura (Jungen 1967), azteca, persimilis, and pseudoobscura (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936). The Sex-Ratio genes (sr), which are located on

¹ Present address: Dept. of Ecology and Evolution, University of Arizona.

Abbreviations: SR^{per}, SR^{pse}, Sex-Ratio X-chromosome in *Drosophila persimilis* and *Drosophila pseudoobscura*, respectively; ST^{per}, ST^{pse}, Standard X-chromosome in *D. persimilis* and *D. pseudoobscura*, respectively; sr, Sex-Ratio genes; *Est-5*, *esterase-5* locus.

Key words: meiotic drive, Sex-Ratio, *D. pseudoobscura, esterase-*5, phylogenetic analysis, chromosomal inversion.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Wyatt W. Anderson, 310 New College, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602-1732. Email: wyatt@franklin.uga.edu.

Mol. Biol. Evol. 13(2):297-308. 1996

© 1996 by the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. ISSN: 0737-4038

the X chromosome, cause spermiogenic failure and the consequent loss of Y-bearing sperm (Polincasky and Ellison 1970). The spermiogenic failure of Sex-Ratio males in an *obscura* group species was characterized by Jungen and Maurer (1976) in *D. subobscura* by tracing DNA-containing structures such as nuclei and mitechondria during the differentiation of sperm from elongation to maturation. Without direct evidence as which sperm was Y-bearing, they showed that some sperm of Sex-Ratio males were eliminated during both the elongation and individualization phases of spermatogenesis and that the sperm could also degenerate after individualization.

In *D. pseudoobscura*, males carrying the Sex-Ratio X chromosome (SR^{pse}) produce mostly (95%–99%) daughters. Males carrying a Standard X chromosome not subject to meiotic drive (ST^{pse}) produce nearly equal numbers of male and female offspring. The number of sperm that male *Drosophila* can transfer in a mating depends on the frequency of their matings in the previous day or two, which in turn depends on population density and other factors that determine opportunities for matings (Beckenbach 1978). Because SR^{pse} males are capable of accumulating a sperm load equivalent to ST^{pse} males but containing only X-bearing sperm, the frequency of Sex-Ratio is increased among the population's X chromosomes by the conditional drive favoring the SR^{pse} chromosome.

The genetic mechanism for expression of the Sex-Ratio trait in *D. pseudoobscura* has not been fully characterized. However, the expression of Sex-Ratio requires the interaction of at least four loci, which presumably include a drive locus and enhancer regions as in other systems of meiotic drive (Wu and Beckenbach 1983). In many of the species that have the Sex-Ratio system of meiotic drive, SR chromosomes are associated with inversions distinguishing them from the Standard X chromosome (ST). These inversions are not known to be involved directly in the expression of Sex-Ratio but most likely arose secondarily; they act to reduce recombination between the unidentified Sex-Ratio drive locus and its linked drive enhancers (Wu and Beckenbach 1983; Lyttle 1991). In D. pseudoobscura, the inversion complex forming the Sex-Ratio gene arrangement is a series of three nonoverlapping paracentric inversions on the right arm of the X chromosome (Dobzhansky 1939). Because the inversions are closely associated with the Sex-Ratio drive system, it has been of much interest to study the evolutionary history of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex as a marker of this meiotic drive system. Previous electrophoretic studies of allozymes (Prakash and Merritt 1972; Prakash 1974; Keith 1983) and studies of reciprocal introgression between D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis (Wu and Beckenbach 1983) have suggested extensive genetic differentiation between the two types of X chromosomes.

In this study we compare the SR and ST X chromosomes of D. pseudoobscura using a nucleotide sequence analysis of the esterase-5 gene region. The Est-5 gene region has been localized to banding section 23 within the small basal inversion of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex (Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott 1990). Due to the location of this gene region and the reduction of recombination between inverted segments of chromosomes (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936; Dobzhansky and Epling 1948), studies of the Est-5 gene region can be used to trace the evolutionary history of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex and drive system. Our goals are to determine the extent of nucleotide sequence differentiation between the Sex-Ratio and Standard gene arrangements of D. pseudoobscura, to infer relationships among the nucleotide sequences, to estimate of the age of the Sex-Ratio system, and to begin to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex.

Materials and Methods

Fly Stocks

Twenty-eight strains of *D. pseudoobscura* were used in this study, 14 carrying Sex-Ratio (SR^{pse}) chromosomes and 14 matching strains carrying Standard (ST^{pse}) chromosomes, collected at the same time as the SR^{pse} strains (table 1). Nine sets of matching strains were collected at San Bernardino (SB), Calif., and one

Table 1						
Drosophila	Chromosomes	Used	in	This	Study	According
to the Site	of Origin					

Starle Origin	Sex-Ratio	Standard					
Strain Origin	Chromosome	Chromosome	2				
D. pseudoobscura							
San Bernardino, Calif	SRSB3	XSB3					
	SRSB4	XSB4					
	SRSB6	XSB6					
	SRSB7	XSB7					
	SRSB9	XSB9					
	SRSB10	XSB10					
	SRSB13	XSB13					
	SRSB16	XSB16	Ŵ				
	SRSB18	XSB18	nlo				
Sierra Mtns., Calif	SRSM	XSM	ad				
Grand Canyon, Ariz	SRGC	XGC	ed				
Tempe, Ariz	SRTE	XTE	fro				
Albuquerque, N.M.	SRAL	XAL	B				
Black Canyon, Colo	SRAL	XAL	http				
	SRBC	XBC	//:S(
D. miranda			aca				
Mather, Calif.		MIRMA83	ade				
		MIRMA23	mi				
Drosophila Center		MIRDC	0.OL				
D. persimilis			Ip.c				
Mather, Calif.		PER1	no				
,		PER2	/ml				
		PER75	be/				
James Reserve, Calif,		PER	arti				
		PERJR105	cle				
British Columbia	SRPER		/13/:				

set of strains at each of the following locations: Siena Mountains (SM), Toiyabe National Forest, Calif.; Grand Canyon (GC), Ariz.; Tempe (TE), Ariz.; Albuquerque (AL), N.M.; all of them collected in the late 1980s. One set of strains was collected in 1993 at Black Canyon of the Gunnison (BC), Colo. The SR^{pse} stocks were crossed with a line of *sepia* (*se*) flies according to figure 1 m order to isolate the SR^{pse} chromosome. The recessive allele *sepia* is on the X chromosome and causes a brownish eye color that serves to mark the Standard chromosome. The wild-type eye color of SR^{pse} males made it easy for us to distinguish them from *sepia* males, and the identity of SR^{pse} males was further verified by making test crosses to virgin females and checking for all-female offspring prior to DNA analysis.

Drosophila miranda and D. persimilis, the two species most closely related to D. pseudoobscura, were included in this study to aid in rooting phylogenetic trees. Three D. miranda strains were used, one from the National Drosophila Species Resource Center at Bowling Green State University (0101.0), its geographic origin unknown, and the other two (MA83 and MA23) from a collection at Mather, Calif., at the edge of Yosemite Na-



FIG. 1.—Maintenance scheme of Sex-Ratio stocks. SR indicates an X chromosome with the Sex-Ratio gene arrangement and *se* indicates an X chromosome with the *sepia* mutation. Three types of crosses are maintained: the female offspring of cross A are collected as virgins and used in cross B, and the male offspring of cross A are used in cross C; the offspring of cross B are all heterozygous females that are used in cross C; and among the offspring of cross C are Sex-Ratio males readily identified by wild-type eye color. These SR males are used to maintain cross B and also in the sequence analysis of the SR gene arrangement.

tional Park, in 1993. Five ST *D. persimilis* strains were used, three collected at Mather, Calif. (MA1, MA2, and MA75) and two at James Reserve, Calif. (PER and JR105), in the San Jacinto Mountains near Palm Springs, in 1977 and 1993, respectively. Unfortunately, we were only able to locate a single SR strain of *D. persimilis*. This strain, kindly provided by Gary Cobbs, was collected in British Columbia in the late 1980s.

In Situ Hybridization

A study by Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott (1990) and work in this laboratory have previously localized *Est-5* to section 23 on the right arm of the X chromosome in *D. pseudoobscura*. To confirm that this section of the chromosome is located within one of the SR inversions of *D. pseudoobscura* and to localize *Est-*5 in *D. persimilis*, the amplified sequence from the SR^{pse} chromosome of *D. pseudoobscura* strain SRBC was labeled with biotin-1-dUTP by nick translation and hybridized to salivary gland chromosomes of Sex-Ratio/ Standard heterozygous larvae. Lim's (1992) protocol for hybridization and detection was followed using the ABC Elite Vector Laboratories Kit.

DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA from the Albuquerque, San Bernardino, and Tempe strains was extracted from freshly ground flies and purified by CsCl density gradient centrifugation according to Bingham, Levis, and Rubin (1981). For the other strains, genomic DNA was extracted from a single male fly following the protocol of Beckenbach, Wei, and Liu (1993).

PCR Amplification and Sequencing

The Est-5 gene region in D. pseudoobscura is comprised of three tandem copies of the Est-5 gene: Est-5C, Est-5B, and Est-5A (Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott 1990). We sequenced a 504-bp fragment of noncoding intergenic DNA, 155 bp upstream of Est5B, from amplified PCR products.

The design of primers for amplification was based upon the published sequence of the *D. pseudoobscura Est-5* gene region (Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott 1990). These primers (DE5C3'S1: 5'CGATA-AGTCGAGCCTCTCTCTATG3' and DE5B5'N1: 5'AA-CCAGTCTCAGGGGGATAGCTCT3') amplify a 587bp region of noncoding DNA located between genes *Est-5C* and *Est5B*. As internal sequencing primers, DE5C3'S2 (5'TTCCATTTATGTGCTAGCGG3') and DE5B5'N2 (5'TTATACAAATATTTGT(A/G)ACG3') were also designed on the basis of the sequence of Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott (1990).

PCR amplification was carried out in 50-µl reactions using either 50 ng of CsCl-purified DNA or 1 al of extracted DNA as template, 50 pM of each end primer, and 2.5 units of Promega Taq polymerase. Thermal cycling took place in either a Perkin Elmer Cetus or M. J. Research programmable thermocycler. Following an initial denaturation of 5 min at 94°C, each of 35 amplification cycles consisted of 94°C denaturation (1 min), 55°C annealing (1 min), and 72°C extension (1 min, 30 s) steps with a final extension of 7 min. Double-stranded product was diluted 150-fold and 5 µl served as template DNA for the asymmetric amplification of each strand. Primer concentrations were at 100:1 ratios and reaction conditions were as described above. Singlestranded product was column-purified using Centricon microconcentrators.

For 31 of the sequences, 7 μ l of purified product were used in sequencing reactions following the protocol provided with the Sequenase 2.0 DNA Sequencing Kit (U.S.B.) with snap-cooling on dry ice/ethanol during primer annealing. Six sequences were determined using an automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems 373A), following the manufacturer's protocol. For all strains both strands were sequenced.

Sequence Analysis

Alignments were made using the Genetics Computer Group (GCG) software package (1990), and differing sites were rechecked on the autoradiographs. The average number of pairwise divergences (K) and average heterozygosity per nucleotide site (H) were calculated as direct counts of the 469 nucleotides that were shared by all sequences. Estimated times of divergence were calculated using two methods of calibration. One was Caccone, Amato, and Powell's (1987) substitution rate of 1.7% nucleotide substitutions per million years. This estimate was determined from DNA-DNA hybridization studies of single-copy nuclear DNA and thus is likely a conservative estimate for noncoding Drosophila DNA. The other calibration was Sharp and Li's (1989) substitution rate of 3.2% sequence divergence per million years along two lineages. This rate was determined from the number of nucleotide substitutions per silent site among 10 Drosophila genes and may be the more appropriate rate for estimating divergence times from noncoding sequence data in Drosophila.

Relationships among nucleotide sequences were inferred from the GCG alignment of 469 shared bp using PHYLIP version 3.5c (Felsenstein, 1993). Kimura's two-parameter model for nucleotide differences was used to generate a corrected pairwise distance matrix with the transition/transversion ratio set at 2.0 in PHY-LIP. Phylogenetic analysis utilized the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987) on the corrected pairwise distance matrix. One hundred replicates for bootstrap analysis were then generated using the SEQBOOT command in PHYLIP. Phylogenetic analysis by the neighbor-joining method was also performed using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) package (Kumar, Tamura, and Nei 1993), with essentially the same results.

Results and Discussion

Localization of Esterase-5

In situ hybridization to D. pseudoobscura polytene chromosomes that were heterokaryotypic for the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} gene arrangements localized the Est-5 gene region to banding section 23 within the subbasal inversion of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex (fig. 2A). The location of this gene region inside an inversion, coupled with the lack of recombination between inverted gene arrangements in D. pseudoobscura (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936; Dobzhansky and Epling 1948) gives us confidence that the Est-5 gene region can be used to trace the evolutionary history of the Sex-Ratio inversion complex within D. pseudoobscura. In situ hybridization to D. persimilis showed that Est-5 is located three banding sections outside of the single D. persimilis inversion that is associated with the Sex-Ratio trait in this species (fig. 2B).

The Data Set

Among the 37 sequences compared there were four insertions/deletions. The lengths of the resulting gaps



FIG. 2.—In situ hybridization of the *esterase-5* gene region to heterokaryotypes for the Sex-Ratio inversion complex of (A) D. pseudo doobscura and (B) D. persimilis.

varied from 4 bp to 19 bp (see sequence alignment in fig. 3). One of the gaps was variable in length. Only size of the sequences, all from SR^{pse} chromosomes, had the 4 bp at position 400–404, whereas ST^{pse} from straing XSB9 was missing these 4 bp plus an additional 2 bp? It is interesting that 5 bp (nucleotides number 160–164) were absent from all of the SR^{pse} chromosomes but present in all of the ST^{pse} chromosomes and in the chromosomes of the outgroup species. These data lead us to hypothesize that these bases were present in the ancestral chromosome and that the deletion of these 5 nucleotides was captured by the SR^{pse} inversion.

Ignoring gaps, there were 469 shared base pairs in the 504-bp fragment that was sequenced. Of the 469 shared sites, 27 were polymorphic among the *D. pseudoobscura* chromosomes sequenced. The distribution of these polymorphic sites was not uniform across the fragment studied ($\chi^2 = 38.47$; df = 18; P < 0.005), as 60% of the polymorphic sites occurred within 100 bp in the central region of study (see fig. 4). A sequence analysis of the *Est-5B* coding and associated flanking regions

(POC	1		NON NON O	NANCOCTANC			10100000013	AAACATTACT	TC3 COTOTTO	CATTROCACE	~~~~	*****	130
SRGC	CTIGIGGIAT	AATTIGAGGA	ACAAAAGAAG	AAAGGCTAAC	111CGGAAGA	1GATTTAATA	ACACGCICAA	AAACATTAGT	1CAGC1G11C	GATIGCGAGA			*13444CAAG
SRSM SRBC													
SRAL SRSB3													
SRSB4 SRSB6													
SRSB7 SRSB9							•••••						
SRSB10 SRSB13													•••••
SRSB16 SRSB18	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••						••••
XGC		C		G.	G							G	
XSM		c		G.	G							G	
XAL				G.	T				•••••			G	
XSB4		c		G.	G	•••••						T	
XSB7				G.								G	
XSB10	N.	c										G	
XSB15 XSB16	·····					•••••	•••••					G	
Per	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											G	
Miranda	T	ç	G	G.					ç			G	
MirMA83 MirMA23	····	c		G.		•••••	•••••		тс			G	
	131					-	-						260
SRTE	AGATAACGAT	ACGCTAATGA		10GAATACC		TAAGCIICIA	TATTICCATT	TAIGIGCIAG		AGAAGGATOG			
SRBC				•••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					••••••		
SRSB3				•••••		•••••						•••••	•••••
SRSB4 SRSB6				••••••									
SRSB7 SRSB9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••							
SRSB10 SRSB13			•••••		•••••	•••••							
SRSB16 SRSB18						•••••							
XGC XTE					TGTA		ç		A.T		GC. GC.	G	c
XSM XBC			T.				с с		A.T		GC. GC.	G.G	C
XAL XSB3							c		A.T		GC. GC.	G G.G	·····
XSB4 XSB6			T. T .				C	c	т		GC. GC.	G G.G	
XSB7 XSB9			т.				с с	c	A.T T		GC. GC.	G G.G	c
XSB10 XSB13							c				GC. GC.	GA	c
XSB16 XSB18						T	C		A.T		GC. GC.	GG. G	c
Per SRPer							C				GC. GC.	G	
Miranda MirMA83		G						.c			c.		Т С Т с
MirMA23	•••••	G						.C					Т С
SRGC	261 TAAGTGTGAT	TETTTEGTT	AAAAATATTT	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTGGAATG	TTTACATCGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATTCT
SRGC SRTE SRSM	261 TAAGTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATTCT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRAL	261 TAAGTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATCGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATTCT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRAL SRSB3 SRSB4	261 TAAGTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATCGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRAL SRSB3 SRSB4 SRSB4 SRSB6 SRSB7	261 TAAGTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT -C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRAL SRSB3 SRSB4 SRSB6 SRSB7 SRSB9 SRSB9 SRSB10	261 TAAGTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATCGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSB3 SRSB4 SRSB4 SRSB5 SRSB9 SRSB10 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB16	261 TARGTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSB1 SRSB4 SRSB6 SRSB7 SRSB10 SRSB10 SRSB13 GR3D16 SRSB18 XGC	261 TAASTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT -C -	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATCGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSB1 SRSB3 SRSB4 SRSB6 SRSB10 SRSB10 SRSB13 GRSB13 GRSB13 GRSB18 XGC XTE XSM	261 TAASTGTGAT	TCTTTTCGTT	AAAAATATTT -C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTTGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRAL SRSB3 SRSB4 SRSB1 SRSB1 SRSB1 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 SRSB13 XGC XTE XSM XBC XAL	261 TAASTOTGAT		AAAAATATTT 	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCGT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTTGATAGGC	390 AATTAATICT
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRAL SRSB3 SRSB4 SRSB1 SRSB10 SRSB13 SRSB13 GRDB16 SRSB18 XGC XTE XGC XTE XSM XBL XSB4	261 TAASTUTGAT		AAAAATATTT C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTTGATAGGC	390
SRGC SPTE SREM SREM SREM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 TAASTGTGAT		AAAAATATTT -C	GTRTRAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATOCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GITTIOGAATG	TTTACATOSA	TCTIGATTIGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRBC SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 TANGTOTGAT		AAAAATATTT C C C C C C	GTRTAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTPACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRS	261 TALCTORGAT		AAAAATATTT C C C C C C.	GTRTAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTPACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 IPACITORIUM 		AAAAATATTT C C C C C C.	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTTACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TGCTGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 IDACITORIUM 		AADAATATTT -C C C C C C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTRACTOCA	TCTGATTGAC	TOCTOCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRTE SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD	261 INACIGNUAT		AADAATATTT .C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTPACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TOCTOCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 TRACTORIUM 		AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	TTPACATOGA	TCTGATTGAC	TOCTOCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRTE SREAL SRE	261 TRACTICITUM 	CTTTTOGTT	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGGAT	GCGAAATCTC	TIGCTIGCAAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRTE SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD	261 TRACTICUTAT	CTTTTOGTT	AAAAATATTT -C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	ACCCGAGGAT	GCGAAATCTC	TECTECAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 IPACTICITUAT		AAAAATATTT -C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGCAT	GCGAAATCTC	TIGCTOCAAAT	504 ATTG	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRSD SRSM SRSD SRSM SRSD SRSD SRS	261 TRACTORIGAT	СТАТНОДТ 	AMANATATTT -C	GTATAAGCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGCAT	GCGAAATCTC	TOCTOCAAAT	504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 504 8.00 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM	261 IRACITCHUAT	СТАТНОДТ 	AMANATATTT -C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	ASCCCAGEAT	GCOAAATCTC	TOCTOCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM	261 INACTORIAN 	ТСТИТЮДТ 	AAAAATATTT -C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGCAT	GCGAAATCTC	CTAATCTCAC	504 ATTG	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRS	261 TRACTORIGAT	ТСТТТГОДТ С 	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAOCTC	GTGGGTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	GTAGAAAATA	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	AGCCCAGCAT	GCGAAATCTC	TIGCTIGCAAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRTE SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD	261 TRACTORIAN	ТСТТТОДТ 	AAAAATATTT .C	GTATAAOCTC	GTGGGTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATGAGAAATTA	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGGAT	GCCAAATCTC	TIGCTIGCAAAAT	504 ATAG	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 IPACITOTICAT	ATATAT	AAAAATATTT .C C.	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	ATCAASTCTTC	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGGAT	GCGAAATCTC	TIGCTIGCAAANT	504 ATAG	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD	261 IPACTICITAT	ATATA	AADAATATTT .C C	GTATAAGCTC	GTGGGTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATGASTICTIC	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGCAT	GCCAAATCTC	TECTECAAAT	504 504	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD	261 IRACITCHUAT	СТТТТОДТ 	AADAATATTT -C	GTATAAOCTC	ATAATACCCT	ATGCAATCOT	ATGACITCTIC	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGGAT	GCGAAATCTC	CTAATCTCAC	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRBC SRSM SRSD SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD	261 19ACTICHUAT	СТАТНОСТ 	AMANATATTT .C. .C. <th>GTATAAOCTC</th> <th>GTOGGTAUTC</th> <th>ATGCAATCOT</th> <th>ATGACITET</th> <th>GTTTOGAATG</th> <th>AGCCGAGGAT</th> <th>GCGAAATCTC</th> <th>CTAATCTCAC</th> <th>504 574 </th> <th>390</th>	GTATAAOCTC	GTOGGTAUTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATGACITET	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGGAT	GCGAAATCTC	CTAATCTCAC	504 574 	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 INACTORIGAT		AAAAATATTT -C C	СТАТАЛОСТС 	GTGGGTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATGAGTCTTG	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGCAT	GCGAAATCTC	CTAATCTCAC	504 ATRG 504 ATRG	390
SRGC SRTS SRSM SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRSD SRS	261 INACTORIGAT	ТСТТТЮДТ 	AANAATATTT -C C	СТАТАЛОСТС 	GTOGOTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATCRATACTO	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	AGCCCAGCAT	GCCAAAATCTC	TIGCTIGCAAAT	TTIGATAGGC	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 IPACITOTICAT 		AAAAATATTT .C C	GTATAAOCTC	GTOGOTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATCAGATACTIC	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGGAT	GCCAAATCTC	CTAATCTCAC	504 ATAG	390
SRGC SRTE SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRSM SRS	261 TPACTICITAT 	ТСТТТЮДТ 	AADAATATTT .C	GTATAAOCTC	GTGGGTATTC	ATGCAATCOT	ATGAAAATTA	GTTTOGAATG	AGCCGAGCAT	GCCAAATCTC	TIGCTIGCAAAT	504 ATAG	390

FIG. 3.—Alignment of sequenced region corresponding to positions -659 to -155 upstream of *Est-5B*. Dots (.) indicate sequence identity in other sequences compared with SRGC. This region was amplified by PCR from the genomic DNA of 37 *Drosophila* strains and then sequenced. Names of sequences are defined in table 1.



FIG. 4.—Nonrandom distribution of variable sites in different segments of the sequenced *esterase-5* region ($\chi^2 = 38.47$; df = 18; P < 0.005). *Drosophila pseudoobscura* sequences only are considered, and all gaps have been ignored.

from SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} lines of D. pseudoobscura (our laboratory, unpublished data) showed an increase in the proportion of substitutions in the flanking regions when compared to the coding region, indicating that there is less functional constraint acting on the flanking regions of Est-5B. The nonuniform pattern of substitution in the intergenic segment we studied suggests that this region of DNA may nonetheless be under some selective constraints, a possibility that is not surprising because this region is located upstream of the functional copy of Est-5 and may contain regulatory information (Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott 1990). Interestingly, there is a palindromic region (ATATG-CATAT) of 10 bp located at positions 415-424 that was conserved among the D. pseudoobscura chromosomes but not the D. miranda chromosomes. The perfect palindrome discussed by Brady, Richmond, and Oakeshott (1990), our sites 407-418, contained one site substitution in three of the D. pseudoobscura lines surveyed here. Mutations in the homologous region of D. melanogaster appear to have decreased levels of enzyme activity, illustrating the potential regulatory function of this region (Oakeshott, Healey, and Game 1990).

Of the polymorphic sites, 21 were shared between the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} gene arrangements of *D. pseudoobscura*, while there were six fixed differences for one or more nucleotide type. The outgroup species *D. miranda* shared three of the fixed-site differences with the ST^{pse} chromosomes and an equal number of fixed-site differences with the SR^{pse} chromosomes. Thus, our data do not allow us to infer whether SR^{pse} or ST^{pse} is the ancestral chromosomal type.

Nucleotide Diversity

The average number of pairwise differences (K) among the 28 *D. pseudoobscura* chromosomes we studied was 7.02. The mean heterozygosity was 1.5% with a standard error of 0.5%; some chromosomes were identical in sequence while others diverged by as much as 3.2%. This level of sequence divergence is comparable

Table 2

Average	Number of Pairwise Differences (K) and Hetero-
zygosity	per Nucleotide Site (H) ± Its Standard Error
(SE)	

Comparison	n	K	H ± SE				
D. pseudoobscura							
Sex-Ratio vs. Sex-Ratio	91	0.63	0.0013 ± 0.0012				
Standard vs. Standard	91	5.75	0.0123 ± 0.0049				
Sex-Ratio vs. Standard	196	10.56	0.0230 ± 0.0068				
All X chromosomes	378	7.02	0.0150 ± 0.0050				
D. persimilis							
Sex-Ratio vs. Standard	5	3.0	0.0063 ± 0.0037				
D. miranda			Dow				
Standard vs. Standard	3	2.0	$0.0043 \pm 0.002 \frac{1}{2}$				
D. miranda vs. Sex-Ratio	42	14.76	0.0324 ± 0.008				
D. miranda vs. Standard	42	17.05	0.0363 ± 0.008				

NOTE.—Total number of sites compared: n = 469; heterozygosity per nucleotide site: H = K/N; n = number of comparisons.

to that found in other gene regions of *D. pseudoobscura*. Heterozygosity estimates from restriction site data for the *Amy* and *Adh* regions are 1.81% and 2.6%, respectively, while the mean heterozygosity estimated from sequence analysis of the Xdh region is 1.2% (Schaeffer, Aquadro, and Anderson 1987; Aquadro et al. 1991; Riley, Kaplan, and Veuille 1992).

There is a substantial amount of nucleotide diversity, 2.30%, between the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} chromosomal types (see table 2). However, within 91 pairwise comparisons of the SR^{pse} chromosomal sequences the average heterozygosity was 0.13%, and many of the SR^{8se} sequences were identical. For the 14 SR^{pse} chromosomes studied there were only three different sequence patterns, which we have designated as separate alleles. There does not appear to be any geographical pattern associated with the sequence identity among SRpse chromosomes. For example, one allele, represented nine times in our sample, was found at the San Bernardino and Albuquerque sites. A second allele, represented four times in our sample, was found at the San Bernadipo, Grand Canyon, and Black Canyon sites. In contrast, only two of the 14 ST^{pse} alleles were identical in sequence.

The average heterozygosity in 91 comparisons of the ST^{pse} sequences was 1.23%, which is 10-fold greater than that among SR^{pse} sequences. This increased level of heterozygosity is not unexpected for either (or both) of two reasons. First, when all mutations are neutral, the expected value of average heterozygosity is directly proportional to population size (Kimura 1968; Nei 1987, *pp.* 256–257). Because there is little recombination between chromosomes (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936), each inverted gene arrangement can be considered to be a "population." In natural populations of *D. pseudoobscura* the SR^{pse} chromosome is present in frequencies of 0-25%; thus, on average there are approximately six to seven times more ST^{pse} chromosomes than SR^{pse} chromosomes (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936). Assuming all mutations are neutral and invoking the neutral theory, an increased amount of variation among ST^{pse} chromosomes is expected due to the greater number of ST^{pse} chromosomes.

A second explanation for the differences in heterogencity of the Est-5 region within each chromosomal type is that selective sweeps may have decreased the level of variation among the SR^{pse} chromosomes. If there is a strongly selected allele at one locus, the neutral alleles at linked loci may be affected due to the hitchhiking effect (Maynard Smith and Haigh 1974; Kaplan, Hudson, and Langley 1989; Begun and Aquadro 1991; Stephan, Wiene, and Lenz 1992). The hitchhiking model predicts that in gene regions with low recombination rates, a strongly selected allele can sweep throughout populations and effectively reduce heterozygosity at linked neutral sites. The size of the region affected depends upon the strength of selection and the level of recombination (Stephan, Wiene, and Lenz 1992). For example, highly reduced variation has been found at the base and the tip of the X chromosome in several Drosophila species where crossing-over is heavily restricted at the centromeric and telomeric regions (Aguadé, Mivashita, and Langley 1989; Stephan and Langley 1989; Begun and Aquadro 1991, 1995). It is conceivable that the Sex-Ratio loci are under strong selection and that they are associated with very low levels of recombination due to the presence of the inversion complex and the low frequencies of SRpse chromosomes found in natural populations. Perhaps then, a selective sweep occurred whenever new a Sex-Ratio form with a higher level of meiotic drive replaced a less efficient Sex-Ratio form. Selective sweeps may have happened several times in the evolution of the Sex-Ratio chromosome as each inversion was added to the complex, and they could be the source of the decreased variation among the Est-5 alleles of SR^{pse} chromosomes. Although both the neutral theory and the selective sweep hypothesis fully explain the data, they are not mutually exclusive and both could have operated.

Our nucleotide sequence data are consistent with previous electrophoretic data from the X chromosome (Prakash and Merritt 1972; Prakash 1974; Keith 1983). Electrophoretically, the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} gene arrangements differ significantly from each other at all four X chromosome loci that were studied; *esterase-5, adult acid phosphatase-6, phosphoglucomutase-1,* and *octanol dehydrogenase-3* (Prakash 1974). Keith's (1983) se-

ries of five sequential electrophoretic conditions characterizing the *Est-5* locus revealed 41 alleles in the ST^{pse} gene arrangement. Only three alleles were present in the SR^{pse} gene arrangement. In addition to the lack of genetic diversity, none of the alleles were shared between SR^{pse} and ST^{pse}, indicating extensive genetic differentiation between the two gene arrangements.

Further evidence supporting the extensive genetic differentiation between the two chromosomal arrangements comes from studies of reciprocal introgression between the sister species D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis (Wu and Beckenbach 1983). The ST^{pse} chromosome in D. pseudoobscura is homosequential to the SR^{per} chromosome in the sibling species D. persimilis \overline{a} Because hybrid females between these two species are fertile, Wu and Beckenbach were able to introgress the SR^{per} X of D. persimilis into D. pseudoobscura and reciprocally, the ST^{pse} X of D. pseudoobscura into $D_{\overline{a}}$ persimilis. Mutant alleles are available for marking sev eral regions along the ST^{pse} chromosome in D. pseu doobscura. Recombination between the homosequentia ST^{pse} and SR^{per} led to chromosomes with one or severa marked segments of the ST chromosomes recombined with the SR chromosomes. When the male recombinant offspring were tested for the presence of the SR trait, a least four regions covering all three of the SR^{pse} inver sions were determined to be necessary for expression or the Sex-Ratio phenotype. This finding indicates the pres $\frac{1}{6}$ ence of at least four sr loci and provides supporting evidence for extensive genetic differentiation between the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} gene arrangements in D. pseudoob scura.

It is interesting to note that, by comparison to D_{ex}^{SG} pseudoobscura, the sibling species *D. miranda* and *Depersimilis* seem to have lower levels of within-species polymorphism. In the three chromosomes of *D. miranda* studied, two were identical in sequence and the third differed by only 3 of the 469 sites. Surprisingly, all five of the ST^{per} chromosomes of *D. persimilis* were identical in sequence, and the SR^{per} chromosome of *D. persimilis* differed from them by only 2 nucleotides.

Estimated Divergence Time

Prakash and Merritt (1972) suggested that the SR^{pse} gene arrangement is probably quite old. Their idea is motivated by several factors. First, the Sex-Ratio chromosome has a wide geographic distribution and has been found in natural populations throughout much of the species range (Dobzhansky and Epling 1944, *pp.* 96–101; Anderson, Dobzhansky, and Kastritsis 1967). Sccond, although there are seasonal fluctuations of SR^{pse} in some localities (Dobzhansky 1943; Epling, Mitchell, and Mattoni 1957), studies of natural populations have suggested fairly stable frequencies of the Sex-Ratio gene

arrangement in other localities over a 30-year period (Dobzhansky 1958). Third, if each inversion arose as a single event in evolutionary history, the accumulation of three nonoverlapping inversion differences between the ST^{pse} and SR^{pse} chromosomes suggests a substantial time since common ancestry.

Assuming a constant rate of substitution, we estimated the time at which the two chromosomal types diverged, based upon the sequence divergence information presented here for the subbasal inversion of the Sex-Ratio complex. Using Caccone, Amato, and Powcll's (1987) substitution rate of 1.7% sequence divergence per million years along two lineages, the estimated time of divergence between the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} chromosomes is 1.3 million years. Using this calibration rate, the estimated time of common ancestry for D. pseudoobscura and D. miranda is 2.0 Mya or about 6 million generations, assuming three generations per year, whereas the estimated time of common ancestry for D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis is only 0.7 Mya or about 2.1 million generations. These estimates are congruent with the estimates of Aquadro et al. (1991) that place the D. miranda/D. pseudoobscura divergence at 2.1 Mya and the D. persimilis/D. pseudoobscura divergence at as little as 0.5 Mya. However, Caccone, Amato, and Powell's (1987) calibration is based upon a substitution rate estimated from DNA-DNA hybridization studies of single-copy nuclear DNA and consequently may be slower than expected for the noncoding region studied here. The substitution rate estimated by Sharp and Li (1989) for synonomous base pair differences only is likely to be more appropriate for noncoding sequence data. Using Sharp and Li's (1989) calibration of 3.2% nucleotide substitutions per million years, we estimate that the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} gene arrangements shared a common ancestry 700,000 years ago.

Due to the nonuniform distribution pattern of nucleotide substitutions (fig. 4), we suggested earlier that this region of study may be under some selective constraint. Consequently, neither Caccone, Amato, and Powell's nor Sharp and Li's substitution rates is ideal for the calculation of divergence times. However, we can take these estimates as upper and lower bounds and suggest a fairly ancient window of time between 0.7 and 1.3 Mya for the divergence of the two gene arrangements. With confidence we can then state that these two inversion types have been separated for at least 2 million generations.

Our estimate for divergence time of the Sex-Ratio inversion polymorphism is similar to the estimate for the divergence of the third chromosome inversion polymorphism in *D. pseudoobscura*. Using restriction site data for the *amylase* gene region, Aquadro et al. (1991) estimated that the Standard and Tree Line inversion fam-



FIG. 5.—Phylogenetic tree of the amplified and sequenced *ester-ase-5* region from 37 *Drosophila* strains constructed by neighbor-joining using Phylip 3.5. Taxa are named as in table 1. Numbers indicate the percentage of time each branch was joined together under bootstrap analysis. *D. persimilis* strains are in italics and arrows indicate the Sex-Ratio and Standard chromosomal clusters of *D. pseudoobscura*.

ilies diverged 1.7 Mya and that the Standard and Saria Cruz inversions diverged 1.0 Mya. Both the X and third chromosome inversion systems are fairly old, and *B*. *pseudoobscura* has been polymorphic for both of these inversion systems for several million generations.

Sequence Relatedness

A neighbor-joining tree produced by PHYLIP 35 is presented in figure 5. Similar trees were produced by neighbor-joining using the MEGA package. There is extensive branching and long branch lengths in the ST^{prese} clade of this phylogeny, while within the SR^{pse} cluster there is little evidence for differentiation between chromosomes. As discussed above (in Nucleotide Diversite), these findings are not unexpected and can be explained by either the neutral theory or the selective sweep hypothesis.

Bootstrap analysis strongly supports the deep branches dividing the SR^{pse} and ST^{pse} clades, as the node connecting these two clades is present in all 100 replicates. From the sequence phylogeny, we infer that the basal inversion of the Sex-Ratio gene arrangement arose only once in evolutionary history, and we infer that the entire SR^{pse} gene arrangement, and the associated meiotic drive system, have a monophyletic origin in *D. pseudoobscura*. It is also evident from the phylogeny that the Sex-Ratio system in *D. pseudoobscura* is fairly old and that the basal inversion in *D. pseudoobscura*, containing the *Est-5* region, arose prior to the split of *D. pseudoobscura* and *D. persimilis*. This situation is similar to the emergence of t-haplotypes in *Mus*, another example of meiotically driven chromosomes associated with inversions. DNA analysis of t-haplotype and wild-type chromosomes suggests that they diverged from a common ancestor at least before the *musculus-domesticus* split 1–2 million years ago (reviewed in Lyttle [1991]).

Drosophila persimilis is a closely related sibling species of D. pseudoobscura. There is a single inversion difference between the SR^{per} and ST^{per} chromosomes of D. persimilis and, interestingly, the SR^{per} chromosome in D. persimilis is homosequential to the ST^{pse} chromosome in D. pseudoobscura. In situ hybridization to heterokaryotypic polytene chromosomes demonstrates that the Est-5 gene region used in this study is not contained within the inversion associated with SR^{per} in D. persimilis (fig. 2b). While it is unlikely that this region is in complete linkage disequilibrium, suppression of recombination often extends well beyond the ends of inversions due to the physical disruption of synapsis (Roberts 1976, pp. 118-119). Beckenbach (1981) studied the linkage relationship between Est-5 and several visible mutants and demonstrated that Est-5 lies between forked and short. The recombination frequency between these two markers in D. persimilis heterokaryotypes (SR^{per/} ST^{per}) is very low, with only 4.4% recombinants observed in a total of 1,170 chromosomes and in fact is not significantly different (and even numerically smaller) than the map distance measured in D. pseudoobscura heterokaryotypes (SR^{pse}/ST^{pse}) (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky 1936). Although we cannot be certain that recombination did not occur between alleles of STper and SR^{per}, Est-5 is potentially informative regarding the relationship of the ST^{per} and SR^{per} chromosomes within D. persimilis, and we believe it remains an informative locus for studying the relationship of X chromosomes between D. persimilis and D. pseudoobscura.

Unfortunately we were only able to include a single SR^{per} chromosome in our analysis. However, when we look at the relationship of *D. persimilis* chromosomes in the neighbor-joining tree presented in figure 5, we find that both ST^{per} and SR^{per} cluster with the ST^{pse} chromosomes, the SR^{pse} chromosomes of *D. pseudoobscura* having diverged much earlier, as discussed above. The clustering of *D. persimilis* chromosomes with ST^{pse} is supported by the presence of bp 160–164 in the *D. persimilis* and ST^{pse} chromosomes, and by the absence of these base pairs in all SR^{pse} chromosomes. Also, an examination of the character state of the six differences that are fixed for one or more nucleotide between the ST^{pse} and SR^{pse} chromosomes (sites 49, 117, 191, 231,

239, 241), reveals that both SR^{per} and ST^{per} of *D. persimilis* share the character state of the ST^{pse} chromosomes.

Of the SR^{per}, ST^{per}, and ST^{pse} chromosomes that form a clade, the SR^{per} chromosome evidently branches off first (with a supporting bootstrap value of 77), while the branch lengths leading to the ST^{per} chromosomes are relatively short and the nodes not well supported by bootstrap analysis. To analyze this clade more closely, we have listed nucleotide sites that are polymorphic on the ST^{pse} chromosomes and compared them with the ST^{per} and SR^{per} chromosomes of *D. persimilis* in table 3. At nucleotide site 117 the ST^{per} and SR^{per} chrome somes have the same character state, G, as do most of the ST^{pse} chromosomes, while these chromosomes a differ from SR^{pse}, which has character state A. Guanine appears to be the ancestral state at this site because it is shared with the outgroup species D. miranda, and there was most likely a G to A base pair change along the lineage leading to the SR^{pse} chromosomes and a G to T base pair change in the XSB4 lineage. More important, however, is the fact that at all 22 of the other sites that are polymorphic on the ST^{pse} chromosomes, both ST^{per} and SR^{per} always have the character state of the more distantly related SR^{pse} chromosome of D. pseudoobscura. This character state analysis along with the phylorgenetic analysis suggests that SR^{per} is the outgroup the all ST chromosomes of both D. persimilis and D. pseuf doobscura.

The relationship of ST^{per} chromosomes is not as clearly defined. Although the bootstrap support is weak the ST^{per} chromosomes of *D. persimilis* appear to be more closely related to the ST^{pse} chromosomes of *D pseudoobscura* than to SR^{per}, and, in fact, they are identical to one *D. pseudoobscura* strain (XTE). The indication that the ST^{per} gene arrangement is more closely related to the ST^{pse} gene arrangement than to other *D. persimilis* chromosomes is puzzling, and it raises the possibility that the species divergence of *D. persimilis* occurred very early in the differentiation of the ST^{per} chromosomes. Additional data on SR^{per} chromosomes are needed to test this hypothesis.

Evolution of the Sex-Ratio System

Wu and Hammer (1991) have provided an extensive review of the molecular evolution of meiotic drive systems, including Sex-Ratio in *D. pseudoobscura*. Because there is some evidence that *D. persimilis* and *D. pseudoobscura* can hybridize in nature, Wu and Hammer (1991) suggested that perhaps it is "... possible that the X_r (our SR^{pse}) of *D. pseudoobscura* came from *D. persimilis* by introgression, and subsequently acquired its inversions." Although our analysis of Sex-Ratio in *D. persimilis* is based on only one SR^{per} chro-

Table 3

Individual Sites of the *D. persimilis* SR (SRPER) and ST (PER) Chromosomes Compared with the Polymorphic Sites of the *D. pseudoobscura* ST Chromosomes (X...) and One Representative of the *D. pseudoobscura* SR Chromosomes (SRGC)

					1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4
Nucleotide	-	1	4	4	1	5	9	0	1	1	1	3	4	4	4	5	6	8	3	9	1	2	2
no.	6	6	4	7	7	9	0	5	1	6	8	0	3	6	9	6	9	0	6	1	1	5	6
SRGC	G	G	С	A	Α	Α	А	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	A	А	A	Т	G	Т	G	Α	С
XGC	G	С	С	G	G	Α	Α	Т	С	Α	Т	G	С	G	Α	С	С	С	G	G	G	Α	С
XTE	G	Ν	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	Α	Α	Α	Т	G	Т	G	Α	С
XSM	G	С	С	G	G	Т	Α	Т	С	G	G	С	G	G	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	G	Α	С
XBC	G	G	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	Α	Т	G	С	G	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	Α	Т	G
XAL	G	G	Т	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	Α	С	Α	Т	Α	Т	G	Α	F
XSB3	G	G	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	Α	Т	G	G	G	Α	Α	С	С	G	Т	Α	Α	Ã
XSB4	G	С	С	G	Т	Т	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	Α	Α	_	Т	G	Т	G	Α	VP)
XSB6	G	G	С	Α	G	Т	Α	С	Т	G	G	G	G	G	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	G	Α	8
XSB7	G	G	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	Α	Т	G	С	G	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	Α	Α	Ē
XSB9	G	С	С	Α	G	Т	Α	С	Т	G	G	G	G	G	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	G	Α	Ð
XSB10	G	С	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	Α	С	Α	С	G	Т	G	Α	Э.
XSB13	Α	G	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	Α	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	G	Α	Γŧ)
XSB16	G	G	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	G	Α	Α	Т	G	Т	G	Α	6
XSB18	G	С	С	Α	G	Α	Т	Т	С	Α	Т	G	С	G	Α	С	С	С	G	Т	G	Α	Re l
Per	G	G	С	Α	G	Α	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	Α	Α	Α	Т	G	Т	G	Α	ŝ
SRPER	G	G	С	A	G	A	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	С	G	A	A	A	Т	G	Т	G	Α	lenn
																							с.
																							dnc

mosome, our evidence indicates that the Sex-Ratio inversion complex in *D. pseudoobscura* was acquired prior to the split of these two sibling species. If indeed the origin of SR chromosome in the species ancestral to *D. pseudoobscura* and *D. persimilis* predates the species divergence, then the SR^{pse} chromosome did not introgress from *D. persimilis*.

One can hypothesize a simple scenario for the evolution of Sex-Ratio chromosomes within D. pseudoobscura. As suggested by the model of modifier genes proposed by Wu and Beckenbach (1983), the sr alleles, although not the SR^{pse} gene arrangement, may have been present in the ancestral population that later split to give D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis. Only rarely in this ancestral population would the sr alleles have been expressed together because they were not yet linked by inversions. The three inversions of the SR^{pse} gene arrangement probably arose in three independent events. When heterozygous, each inversion reduced recombination between the sr genes within it, and each successive inversion reduced recombination over a larger part of the X chromosome. Once the inversions were present, the combined effect of the several sr alleles caused meiotic drive to occur, and the SR^{pse} chromosome increased to noticeable frequencies in the ancestral population.

However, the evolution of the Sex-Ratio system in D. *persimilis* is much more difficult to understand. Our evidence indicates that both gene arrangements in D. *persimilis* are derived from the ST^{pse} chromosome in the ancestral species, the X chromosome now most frequent

in D. pseudoobscura. The ST^{pse} chromosome is homosequential to the SR^{per} chromosome, and ST^{per} differs from SR^{per} by a single inversion. To hypothesize the evolution of sr alleles in D. persimilis, we must propese a different mechanism than the one proposed for \mathcal{D} . *pseudoobscura* because the inversion separating the two X chromosomes in D. persimilis is on the ST^{per} gene arrangement. Wu and Beckenbach (1983) suggested that the occurrence of an inversion on either chromosome reduces recombination between the inverted chromosomes and is sufficient for the evolution of SR cheomosomes possessing all of the sr genes at the several loci necessary for the SR trait to be expressed. We are not sure that this reduction of recombination between ST^{per} and SR^{per} is sufficient to explain how the sr alleges became fixed on the uninverted SRper chromosome as there would still be recombination within the population of uninverted chromosomes that would separate the sr alleles. If SR^{pse} were acquired prior to the species divergence, the scenario proposed by Wu and Beckenbach (1983) does not fully explain how the sr alleles remaining in the ST^{pse} gene arrangement of the pseudoobscura-persimilis ancestral species were tied together to form the homosequential SR^{per} gene arrangement in D. persimilis after the two species diverged. Our molecular data have provided new information on the origins of the Sex-Ratio system of meiotic drive and the associated inversions, but intriguing questions still remain concerning the evolution of this complex system in D. persimilis.

Acknowledgments

We thank Steve Schaeffer, Mohammed Noor, Steve Bryant, and Gary Cobbs for providing *Drosophila* strains, and we are grateful to Hossain Alavi and Danijela Popadić for providing technical assistance. Marjorie Asmussen, John Avise, Dick Hudson, John Mc-Donald, Lois Miller, Jeff Powell, and especially, Chung-I Wu and Andy Beckenbach provided helpful comments during the preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported in part by NIH training grant GM 07103 to C.S.B.

LITERATURE CITED

- AGUADÉ, M., N. MIYASHITA, and C. H. LANGLEY. 1989. Reduced variation in the *yellow-achaete-scute* region in natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 122: 607–615.
- ANDERSON, W. W., T. DOBZHANSKY, and C. D. KASTRITSIS. 1967. On the relationship of structural heterozygosity in the X and third chromosomes of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. Am. Nat. **101**:89–92.
- AQUADRO, C. F., A. L. WEAVER, S. W. SCHAEFFER, and W. W. ANDERSON. 1991. Molecular evolution of inversions in Drosophila pseudoobscura: the amylase gene region. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:305-309.
- BECKENBACH, A. T. 1978. The "sex-ratio" trait in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*: fertility relations of males and meiotic drive. Am. Nat. **112**:97–117.

——. 1981. Research note. Drosoph. Inf. Serv. 56:23.

- BECKENBACH, A. T., Y. W. WEI, and H. LIU. 1993. Relationships in the *Drosophila-obscura* species group, inferred from mitochondria *cytochrome oxidase-II* sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. **10**:619–634.
- BEGUN, D. J., and C. F. AQUADRO. 1991. Molecular population genetics of the distal portion of the X chromosome in *Drosophila*: evidence for genetic hitchhiking of the *yellowachaete* region. Genetics **129**:1147-1158.
 - —. 1995. Evolution at the tip and base of the X chromosome in an African population of *Drosophila melano*gaster. Mol. Biol. Evol. **12**:382–390.
- BINGHAM, P. M., R. W. LEVIS, and G. M. RUBIN. 1981. Cloning of DNA sequences from the white locus of Drosophila melanogaster by a novel and general method. Cell 25:693–704.
- BRADY, J. P., R. C. RICHMOND, and J. G. OAKESHOTT. 1990. Cloning of the esterase-5 locus from Drosophila pseudoobscura and comparison with its homologue in D. melanogaster. Mol. Biol. Evol. 7:525–546.
- CACCONE, A., G. D. AMATO, and J. R. POWELL. 1987. Rates and patterns of scnDNA and mtDNA divergence within the *Drosophila melanogaster* subgroup. Genetics **118**:671–683.
- DECARVALHO, A. B., A. A. PEIXOTO, and L. B. KLACZKO. 1989. Sex ratio in *Drosophila mediopunctata*. Heredity **62**: 425–428.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. 1939. Facts and problems of the "sex-ratio" condition in *Drosophila*. Scientia Genetica 1:67–75.

- —. 1943. Genetics of natural populations. IX. Temporal changes in the composition of populations of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. Genetics **28**:162–186.
- ——. 1958. Genetics of natural populations XXVII. The genetic changes in populations of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* in the American southwest. Evolution **12**:385–401.
- DOBZHANSKY, T., and C. EPLING. 1944. Contributions to the genetics, taxonomy and ecology of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and its relatives. Vol. **554**. Carnegie Inst. Wash., Washington, D.C.
- ------. 1948. The suppression of crossing-over in inversion heterozygotes of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **34**:137–141.
- EPLING, C., D. F. MITCHELL, and R. H. T. MATTONI. 1957. The relation of an inversion system to recombination in wild populations. Evolution 11:225–247.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1993. PHYLIP: phylogeny inference package, version 3.5. University of Washington, Seattle.
- GCG SEQUENCE ANALYSIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE. 1990. Madison, Wis. 53711.
- GERSHENSON, S. 1928. A new sex-ratio abnormality in Disosophila obscura. Genetics 13:488–507.
- JAMES, A. C., and J. JAENIKE. 1990. 'Sex-Ratio' meiotic dieve in *Drosophila testacea*. Genetics **126**:651–656.
- JUNGEN, H. 1967. Abnormal sex ratio linked with inverted gene sequence in populations of *D. subobscura* from Tunisia. Drosoph. Inf. Serv. 42:109.
- JUNGEN, E. H., and B. MAURER. 1976. Sperm dysfunction in sex ratio males of *Drosophila subobscura*. Genetica 459–477.
- KAPLAN, N. L., R. R. HUDSON, and C. H. LANGLEY. 1989. The "hitchhiking effect" revisited. Genetics 123:887–899.
- KEITH, T. P. 1983. Frequency distribution of *esterase-5* allers in two populations of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. Genetics **105**:135–155.
- KIMURA, M. 1968. Genetic variability maintained in a finite population due to mutational production of neutral and nearly neutral isoalleles. Genet. Res. 11:247–269.
- KUMAR, S., K. TAMURA, and M. NEI. 1993. MEGA: molecular evolutionary genetic analysis. University Park, Pern. 16802.
- LIM, J. K. 1993. In situ hybridization with biotinylated DNA. Drosoph. Inf. Serv. 72:73.
- LYTTLE, T. W. 1991. Segregation distorters. Pp. 511–557 in A. Campbell, ed. Annual review of genetics. Vol. 25. Annual Reviews, Inc., Palo Alto, Calif.
- MAYNARD SMITH, J., and J. HAIGH. 1974. The hitchhiking effect of a favourable gene. Genet. Res. 23:23–35.
- MORGAN, T. H., C. B. BRIDGES, and A. H. STURTEVANT. 1925. The genetics of *Drosophila*. Genetica 2:1–262.
- NEI, M. 1987. Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia University Press, New York.
- OAKESHOTT, J. G., M. G. HEALEY, and A. Y. GAME. 1990.
 Regulatory evolution of *B-carboxylesterases* in *Drosophila*.
 Pp. 359–387 in J. S. F. BARKER, W. J. STARMER, and R. J.
 MCINTYRE, eds. Ecological and evolutionary genetics of *Drosophila*. Plenum, New York.

- POLICANSKY, D., and J. ELLISON. 1970. "Sex ratio" in Drosophila pseudoobscura: spermiogenic failure. Science 169: 888-889.
- PRAKASH, S. 1974. Gene differences between the sex-ratio and standard gene arrangements of the X chromosome and linkage disequilibrium between loci in the standard gene arrangement of the X chromosome of Drosophila pseudoobscura. Genetics 77:795-804.
- PRAKASH, S., and R. B. MERRITT. 1972. Direct evidence of genic differentiation between sex ratio and standard gene arrangements of X chromosome in Drosophila pseudoobscura. Genetics 72:169-175.
- RILEY, M. A., S. R. KAPLAN, and M. VEUILLE. 1992. Nucleotide polymorphism at the xanthine dehydrogenase locus in Drosophila pseudoobscura. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9:56-69.
- ROBERTS, P. 1976. The genetics of chromosome aberration. Pp. 68-184 in M. ASHBURNER and E. NOVITSKI, eds. The genetics and biology of Drosophila. Academic Press, New York.
- SAITOU, N., and M. NEI. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:406-425.
- SANDLER, L., and E. NOVITSKI. 1957. Meiotic drive as an evolutionary force. Am. Nat. 91:105-110.
- SCHAEFFER, S. W., C. F. AQUADRO, and W. W. ANDERSON. 1987. Restriction-map variation in the alcohol dehydrogenase region of Drosophila pseudoobscura. Mol. Biol. Evol. **4**:254–265.

- SHARP, P. M., and W. H. LI. 1989. On the rate of DNA sequence evolution in Drosophila. J. Mol. Evol. 28:398-402.
- STALKER, H. D. 1961. The genetic systems modifying meiotic drive in Drosophila paramelanica. Genetics 46:177-202.
- STEPHAN, W., and C. H. LANGLEY. 1989. Molecular genetic variation in the centromeric region of the X chromosome in three Drosophila ananassae populations. I. Contrasts between the vermilion and forked loci. Genetics 121:89-99.
- STEPHAN, W., T. H. E. WIENE, and M. W. LENZ. 1992. The effect of strongly selected substitutions on neutral polymorphism: analytical results based on diffusion theory. Theor. Popul. Biol. 41:237-254.
- STURTEVANT, A. H., and T. DOBZHANSKY. 1936. Geographical distribution and cytology of "sex ratio" in Drosophila pseudoobscura and related species. Genetics 21:473-490.
- WU, C. I., and A. T. BECKENBACH. 1983. Evidence for extensive genetic differentiation between the sex ratio and the \hat{f}_{n} standard arrangement of Drosophila pseudoobscura and Drosophila persimilis, and identification of hybrid sterility factors. Genetics 105:71-86.
- WU, C. I., and M. F. HAMMER. 1991. Molecular evolution of ultraselfish genes of meiotic drive systems. Pp. 177-203 in R. K. SELANDER, A. G. CLARK, and T. S. WHITTAM, eds Evolution at the molecular level. Sinauer, Sunderland Mass. ANIEL L. HARTL, reviewing editor ccepted October 2, 1995 R. K. SELANDER, A. G. CLARK, and T. S. WHITTAM, eds

DANIEL L. HARTL, reviewing editor

Accepted October 2, 1995