

# Molecular markers delimit cryptic species in *Ceratocystis sensu stricto*

Arista Fourie · Michael J. Wingfield ·  
Brenda D. Wingfield · Irene Barnes

Received: 3 June 2014 / Revised: 24 August 2014 / Accepted: 31 August 2014 / Published online: 21 December 2014  
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** *Ceratocystis sensu stricto* is a genus of closely related fungi that are mostly plant pathogens. Morphological variation between species in this genus is limited, and species delineation is strongly reliant on phylogenetic inference. Primary support for many of the species is based on the ITS region which, on its own, can be used to delineate all species described in the genus. However, the recent discovery of two ITS types in a single isolate of *Ceratocystis* questions the use of this marker in taxonomic studies. The aim of this study was to consider the potential use of alternative gene regions to support the species boundaries in this genus. The phylogenetic value of the  $\beta T 1$  and EF 1- $\alpha$  gene regions, generally used in combination with ITS, were re-evaluated and compared to five single-copy protein coding genes (CAL, RPBII, MS204, FG1093 and MCM7). As an alternative approach, genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified and evaluated as diagnostic markers to distinguish among the species. Fifteen species residing in *Ceratocystis* were used in this study. None of the protein-coding genes could be used to distinguish all species, but a combination of the  $\beta T 1$ , MS204 and RPBII gene regions resolved 11 of the 15 described species. Unique SNP markers were identified for 13 of the species, and these provided significant additional support for most of the established taxon boundaries. Other than ITS, none of the markers tested could distinguish between *C. acaciivora* and *C. manginecans*, and therefore these species are reduced to synonymy, with the name *C. manginecans*

being retained. Results of this study also revealed the likely existence of additional species in *Ceratocystis*.

**Keywords** *Ceratocystis sensu stricto* · Genealogical Concordance Phylogenetic Species Recognition · ITS · Phylogenetics · Single nucleotide polymorphism · Species identification

## Introduction

A species can be defined as a group of individuals that have a unique evolutionary history and that have evolved independently from other lineages (Giraud et al. 2008). In the fungal kingdom, support for distinct species is based primarily on morphological, biological and phylogenetic characters (Cai et al. 2011; Taylor et al. 2000). However, the classical morphological species recognition approach lacks the capacity to distinguish between closely related taxa. Furthermore, biological species recognition is not possible for all fungi and it can be unreliable and misleading in laboratory environments (Harrington 2000; Taylor et al. 2000). During the course of the past 25 years, phylogenetic analysis and Genealogical Concordance Phylogenetic Species Recognition (GCPSR) has gained acceptance in the recognition of many new taxa that would not have been possible based on other criteria (Bridge et al. 2005; Rintoul et al. 2012; Taylor et al. 2000).

*Ceratocystis sensu lato* (*s.l.*), an aggregate genus of insect-associated fungi, provides a useful example where the morphological, biological and phylogenetic species recognition criteria have been applied in taxonomic studies. The type species, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, was described more than 120 years ago as the causal agent of root rot on sweet potatoes (Halsted 1890). Since then, 61 distinct species have been described in *Ceratocystis s.l.* based on a combination of various recognition criteria. The genus previously included

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11557-014-1020-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

A. Fourie · M. J. Wingfield · B. D. Wingfield · I. Barnes (✉)  
Department of Genetics, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology  
Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa 0002  
e-mail: Irene.Barnes@fabi.up.ac.za

various species complexes, generally well-supported by DNA-based phylogenies, morphological and ecological data (Mbenoun et al. 2013; Wingfield et al. 2013). Recently, phylogenetic inference based on multiple gene regions, as well as morphological and ecological data, has been used to define and describe these species complexes in discrete genera, of which *Ceratocystis sensu stricto* (*s.s.*) is one (De Beer et al. 2014). In this study, *Ceratocystis* is defined on this new taxonomic treatment and includes only those species previously treated as the *Ceratocystis fimbriata sensu lato* species complex.

Until the early 2000s, species of *Ceratocystis s.s.* were primarily defined based on unique morphological characteristics (Barnes et al. 2003; Kile et al. 1996; Upadhyay 1981; Wingfield et al. 1996). In some cases, identification has been supplemented using mating studies which, for example, could be used to confirm the species boundaries between *C. fimbriata*, *C. platani* and *C. cacaofunesta* (Engelbrecht and Harrington 2005; Ferreira et al. 2010). However, the recognition of the majority of cryptic species in *Ceratocystis* was based on DNA sequence data and phylogenetic inference (Van Wyk et al. 2011a; Wingfield et al. 1996). Since the incorporation of DNA sequence data for species delineation in 1996 (Wingfield et al. 1996), the majority of currently known species in *Ceratocystis*, as defined by de Beer et al. (2014), have been described based on phylogenetic inference using DNA sequence data.

Initial phylogenetic species delineation in *Ceratocystis* relied heavily on gene genealogies of the 5.8S and surrounding internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 (ITS1 and ITS2) (Barnes et al. 2003; Roux et al. 2004). In an attempt to increase the resolution of species boundaries, sequences of the  $\beta$ -tubulin 1 ( $\beta$ T 1) and translation elongation factor 1- $\alpha$  (EF 1- $\alpha$ ) gene regions have generally been used in combination with the ITS region for species delineation (Van Wyk et al. 2012, 2011b).

The Fungal Barcode of Life (FBoL) consortium has defined the ITS region as the barcode for fungal species identification (Schoch et al. 2012), although it is recognised that the region is not reliable for delineation of all species. Intragenomic ITS variation has been reported in single isolates of some fungi such as species of *Fusarium* (O'Donnell and Cigelnik 1997), *Laetiporus* (Lindner and Banik 2011), and more recently in a species of *Ceratocystis* (Al Adawi et al. 2013; Naidoo et al. 2013). In the case of *Ceratocystis*, the one ITS sequence obtained from an isolate was identical to that of *C. manginecans*, but the second ITS type differed by seven nucleotides and had the same sequence as *C. acaciivora*. Whether similar problems exist for all species in *Ceratocystis* has not been confirmed, although it has recently been suggested for species closely related to *C. acaciivora* and *C. manginecans* (Harrington et al. 2014).

Most of the cryptic species in *Ceratocystis* have been separated on the basis of genealogical concordance, but a

few of these species have received the majority of the phylogenetic signal from the ITS region alone (Harrington et al. 2014; Tarigan et al. 2011; Van Wyk et al. 2011a, b). The two additional gene regions used in GCPSR,  $\beta$ T 1 and EF 1- $\alpha$ , contain limited variation and provide very low or no significant bootstrap support for these species. This situation occurs in species such as *C. curvata*, *C. ecuadoriana*, *C. mangicola*, *C. mangivora*, *C. acaciivora*, *C. manginecans* and *C. eucalypticola* (Tarigan et al. 2011; Van Wyk et al. 2012, 2011a, b).

Alternative gene regions that can be used for phylogenetic analysis of *Ceratocystis* species include regions used in fungal phylogenetic studies such as the Assembling the Fungal Tree Of Life (AFTOL) and FBoL projects (Seifert 2009; Spatafora 2005). These include the ribosomal small subunit (SSU), first and second largest subunits of RNA polymerase II (RPBI and II), ATP synthase 6 (ATP6),  $\beta$ -tubulin 2 ( $\beta$ T2) and Calmodulin (CAL) gene regions (O'Donnell et al. 2000; Spatafora 2005). In addition, various single-copy protein-coding genes, conserved in most fungi, have been identified from genome comparisons (Aguileta et al. 2008; Marthey et al. 2008). Genes among these that might be useful as phylogenetic markers include the pre-rRNA processing protein (Tsr1), minichromosome maintenance protein (Mcm7), 60S ribosomal protein L37 (FG1093) and guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-like protein (MS204) (Raja et al. 2011; Schmitt et al. 2009; Tretter et al. 2013; Walker et al. 2012b). The latter two have shown good potential to delineate closely related species.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that differ among species also provide opportunities as taxonomic markers. SNPs can occur at high frequencies in a genome and are more conserved than other polymorphisms, since they do not change the reading frame in coding regions (Fakhrai-Rad et al. 2002). Consequently, diagnostic SNP markers have been applied in a wide range of studies, for example on plants (Chouvarine et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013), animals (Garvin et al. 2011; Jones et al. 2013) and fungi (Pavlic 2009; Pérez 2010; Pérez et al. 2012) to distinguish among species.

There is a significant need for additional molecular markers to clearly and accurately differentiate among closely related species in *Ceratocystis*, especially due to their importance as plant pathogens. Many species in this complex are the cause of important diseases, including root rot, wilt and cankers, especially on trees but also on various root crops (Al Adawi et al. 2013; Engelbrecht and Harrington 2005; Halsted and Fairchild 1891; Kamgan et al. 2012; Tarigan et al. 2011; Van Wyk et al. 2010). The aim of this study, therefore, was to reassess the phylogenetic value of the  $\beta$ T 1 and EF 1- $\alpha$  gene regions for taxonomic purposes and, in addition, to consider the potential of additional genetic markers to distinguish between the species in this genus.

## Materials and methods

### Fungal isolates and DNA extraction

Isolates of 15 species in the Latin American clade of *Ceratocystis* (Mbenoun et al. 2013) were obtained from the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa. Species included *C. fimbriata*, *C. cacaofunesta*, *C. manginecans*, *C. platani*, *C. acaciivora*, *C. colombiana*, *C. curvata*, *C. diversiconidia*, *C. ecuadoriana*, *C. eucalypticola*, *C. fimbriatomima*, *C. mangicola*, *C. mangivora*, *C. neglecta*, and *C. papillata*. Two *C. pirilliformis* isolates were included as outgroups for the phylogenetic studies (Table 1). Depending on availability, between two and five isolates from different geographic locations and/or hosts were chosen per species, including the ex-type strain of each species. All isolates were grown in culture on 2 % malt extract agar (MEA) supplemented with 50 mg/l streptomycin (Sigma–Aldrich, Germany) and 100 µg/l thymine (Sigma–Aldrich, Germany).

For DNA extraction, mycelium was scraped from the surface of MEA plates and freeze-dried. Samples were crushed to a powder with sterile metal beads in a mixer mill type MM 301 Retsch® tissue grinder (Retsch, Germany). DNA was extracted using a phenol/chloroform method (Goodwin et al. 1992). Extracted DNA was quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 instrument (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE, USA) and the quality assessed by gel electrophoresis on a 1 % agarose gel (AGE). For AGE, 5 µl DNA was combined with 2 µl GelRed™ (Biotium, California, USA) and the DNA visualized under UV illumination. DNA concentrations were standardized to a working dilution of 30 ng/µl for subsequent reactions.

### Single-copy phylogenetic gene regions

**Primer design PCR amplification and sequencing** Regardless of whether ITS sequence data were available on GenBank, amplification and sequencing of all isolates in this study was repeated to avoid discrepancies. The ITS1 and ITS4 primers (White et al. 1990) were used for amplification. Due to a long poly-A repeat in the sequence, primers ITS2 and ITS3 were used for additional sequencing of the internal regions in some isolates (White et al. 1990).

Seven additional gene regions were tested for amplification and for their potential use as phylogenetic markers in *Ceratocystis*. These were Mcm7, Tsr1, CAL, RPBII, βT 2, FG1093 and MS204. Information for all primers used in PCR and sequence reactions in this study are summarised in Table 2. The Mcm7 region was amplified with primers Mcm7-709 and Mcm7-1348 (Schmitt et al. 2009) and CAL with CAL2F and CAL2R2 primers (Duong et al. 2012). Some primers were

modified at a few nucleotide sites to be more specific for species in *Ceratocystis* by aligning them to the *C. fimbriata* genome (GenBank accession number APWK01000000) (Wilken et al. 2014). For amplification of the FG1093 region, the FG1093F.cerato and FG1093R.cerato primers were modified from the original FG1093 E1F1 and FG1093 E3R1 primers (Walker et al. 2012b). For the MS204 region, the MS204F.cerato and MS204R.cerato primers were modified from the MS204 E1F1 and MS204 E5R1 primers. For species where problems were experienced in amplification, a smaller region of MS204 was amplified with the primers MS204F.ceratoB and MS204R.ceratoB. Various primer combinations were tested for the Tsr1 region. This included testing the original primers (Schmitt et al. 2009) and primers modified from the original (Tsr1.cerato), as well as a completely new forward primer designed in this region. Primers RPB2-5Fb and RPB2-7Rb, used to amplify the RPBII region, and primers T1d, Bt1d and Bt2d, used for amplification of the βT 2 region, were also designed from the *C. fimbriata* genome. For isolates where there were no reliable data available for the βT 1 and EF 1-α regions in GenBank, these were amplified using primers βt1a and βt1b (Glass and Donaldson 1995) for βT 1, and EF1-728F and EF1-986R (Jacobs et al. 2004) for EF 1-α.

The PCR reactions were identical to those performed by Duong et al. (2012), but 0.2 µM forward and reverse primer or 0.8 µM in the case of the degenerate primers were used per reaction. MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration differed for different primer sets (Table 2). The PCR program for amplification of the CAL, RPBII, βT 2, and MS204.ceratoB primer sets was identical to the program in previous studies (Duong et al. 2012). An Expand-PCR program was used for the ITS, Mcm7, βt 1, EF 1-α, MS204 (MS204.cerato) and FG1093 primer sets. The program was as follows: 96 °C 10 min, (94 °C 30 s, 55 °C 45 s, 72 °C 1 min) × 10 cycles, (94 °C 30 s, 55 °C 45 s, 72 °C 1 min + 5 s/cycle increase) × 30 cycles, 72 °C 10 min. Annealing temperatures differed for each primer set (Table 2).

PCR and sequencing products were purified with 6 % Sephadex G-50 columns using the manufacturer's protocols (Sigma–Aldrich, Germany). Amplification reactions for sequencing were performed, as described previously (Duong et al. 2012), using the ABI PRISM® BigDYE Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). All sequence data generated in this study were submitted to GenBank (see Table 1). For the FG1093 region, some isolates required cloning to obtain optimal sequencing results, and this was performed with a pGEM®-T Easy Vector System, following the manufacturer's protocols (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses** The quality of the raw sequence reads were evaluated and assembled in CLC

**Table 1** Details of the *Ceratocystis* isolates used in this study, including GenBank accession numbers of the sequences generated in this study

Species <sup>a</sup>	Host	Country	CMW no. <sup>c</sup>	GenBank accession no. <sup>b</sup>	Cal	FG1093	Mcm7	MS204	RPBII	ITS	βT 1	EF 1-α
<i>C. acaciivora</i>	<i>Acaciivora mangium</i>	Indonesia	22564	KJ601669	KJ601633	KJ601669	KJ601525	KJ601561	KJ601597	EU588657.1	EU588637.1	EU588647
<i>C. acaciivora</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>A. mangium</i>	Indonesia	22563	KJ601668	KJ601632	KJ601668	KJ601524	KJ601560	KJ601596	EU588656.1	EU588636.1	EU588646
<i>C. acaciivora</i> *	<i>A. mangium</i>	Indonesia	22562	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. acaciivora</i> *	<i>A. mangium</i>	Indonesia	22595	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. acaciivora</i> *	<i>A. mangium</i>	Indonesia	22621	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i>	<i>Theobroma cacao</i>	Ecuador	14809	KJ601671	KJ601635	KJ601671	KJ601527	KJ601563	KJ601599	DQ520637.1	KJ601509	KJ601516
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i>	<i>T. cacao</i>	Costa Rica	14798	KJ601673	KJ601637	KJ601673	KJ601529	KJ601565	KJ601601	AY157952.1	KJ601511	KJ601518
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i>	<i>T. cacao</i>	Ecuador	14803	KJ601670	KJ601634	KJ601670	KJ601526	KJ601562	KJ601598	AY157950.1	KJ631108	KJ601515
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i>	<i>T. cacao</i>	Costa Rica	15051	KJ601672	KJ601636	KJ601672	KJ601528	KJ601564	KJ601600	AY157951.1	KJ601510	KJ601517
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>T. cacao</i>	Brazil	26375	KJ601674	KJ601638	KJ601674	KJ601530	KJ601566	KJ601602	AY157953.1	KJ601512	KJ601519
<i>C. colombiana</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>Coffea arabica</i>	Colombia	5751	KJ601675/6	KJ601639	KJ601675/6	KJ601531	KJ601567	KJ601603	AY177233.1	AY177225.1	EU241493.1
<i>C. colombiana</i>	<i>C. arabica</i>	Colombia	5761	KJ601677/8	KJ601640	KJ601677/8	KJ601532	KJ601568	KJ601604	AY177234.1	AY177224.1	EU241492
<i>C. colombiana</i> *	Soil in coffee plantation	Colombia	9565	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. colombiana</i> *	<i>Schizolobium parahybum</i>	Colombia	11280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. curvata</i>	<i>Eucalyptus deglupta</i>	Colombia	22435	KJ601679	KJ601641	KJ601679	KJ601533	KJ601569	KJ601605	FJ151437.1	FJ151449.1	FJ151471.1
<i>C. curvata</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22442	KJ601680	KJ601642	KJ601680	KJ601534	KJ601570	KJ601606	FJ151436.1	FJ151448.1	FJ151470.1
<i>C. curvata</i> *	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. curvata</i> *	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>Terminalia ivorensis</i>	Colombia	22445	KJ601683	KJ601643	KJ601683	KJ601535	KJ601571	KJ601607	FJ151440.1	FJ151452.1	FJ151474.1
<i>C. diversiconidia</i>	<i>T. ivorensis</i>	Colombia	22448	KJ601684	KJ601644	KJ601684	KJ601536	KJ601572	KJ601608	FJ151441.1	FJ151453	FJ151475
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> *	<i>T. ivorensis</i>	Colombia	22446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22092	KJ601685/6	KJ601645	KJ601685/6	KJ601537	KJ601573	KJ601609	FJ151432.1	FJ151444.1	FJ151466
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i>	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22097	KJ601687/8	KJ601646	KJ601687/8	KJ601538	KJ601574	KJ601610	FJ151434	FJ151446.1	FJ151468
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> *	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22093	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> *	<i>E. deglupta</i>	Colombia	22405	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. eucalypticola</i>	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	South Africa	10000	KJ601689	KJ601647	KJ601689	KJ601539	KJ601575	KJ601611	FJ236722.1	FJ236782.1	FJ236752
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>E. grandis</i>	South Africa	11536	KJ601690	KJ601648	KJ601690	KJ601540	KJ601576	KJ601612	FJ236723.1	FJ236783.1	FJ236753.1
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> *	<i>E. grandis</i>	South Africa	9998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> *	<i>E. grandis</i>	South Africa	12663	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. fimbriata</i>	<i>Impoepa batatas</i>	Papua New Guinea	1547	KJ601691	KJ601649	KJ601691	KJ601541	KJ601577	KJ601613	AF264904	EF070443.1	EF070395.1
<i>C. fimbriata</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>I. batatas</i>	USA, California	14799	KJ601692	KJ601650	KJ601692	KJ601542	KJ601578	KJ601614	KC493160	KC302689	KJ631109
<i>C. fimbriata</i>	<i>I. batatas</i>	USA	15049	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	Venezuela	24174	KJ601693	KJ601651	KJ601693	KJ601543	KJ601579	KJ601615	EF190963.1	EF190951.1	EF190957
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i>	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	Venezuela	24176	KJ601694	KJ601652	KJ601694	KJ601544	KJ601580	KJ601616	EF190964.1	EF190952.1	EF190958

**Table 1** (continued)

Species <sup>a</sup>	Host	Country	CMW no. <sup>c</sup>	GenBank accession no. <sup>b</sup>	Cal	FG1093	Mcm7	MS204	RPBII	ITS	βT 1	EF 1-α
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i>	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	Venezuela	24377	KJ601653	KJ601653	KJ601695	KJ601545	KJ601581	KJ601617	EF190966.1	EF190954.1	KJ601520
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> *	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	Venezuela	24378	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. mangicola</i>	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Brazil	28907	KJ601655	KJ601697	KJ601547	KJ601583	KJ601619	FJ200257.1	FJ200270	FJ200270	FJ200283
<i>C. mangicola</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	14797	KJ601654	KJ601696	KJ601546	KJ601582	KJ601618	AY953382.1	AY953382.1	EF433307.1	EF433316
<i>C. mangicola</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	28908	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. mangicola</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	28914	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. manginecans</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>M. indica</i>	Oman	13851	KJ601656	KJ601698	KJ601548	KJ601584	KJ601620	AY953383	AY953383	EF433308.1	EF433317
<i>C. manginecans</i>	<i>Hypocryphalus mangiferae</i>	Oman	13852	KJ601657	KJ601699	KJ601549	KJ601585	KJ601621	AY953384	AY953384	EF433309.1	EF433318
<i>C. manginecans</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Oman	15314	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. manginecans</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Pakistan	23634	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. manginecans</i> *	<i>A. crassicaarpa</i>	Indonesia	21123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. manginecans</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Oman	13854	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. mangivora</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	15052	KJ601658	KJ601700	KJ601550	KJ601586	KJ601622	EF433298.1	EF433306	EF433306	EF433315
<i>C. mangivora</i>	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	27305	KJ601659	KJ601701	KJ601551	KJ601587	KJ601623	FJ200262	FJ200275	FJ200275	FJ200288
<i>C. mangivora</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	27304	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. mangivora</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	27307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. mangivora</i> *	<i>M. indica</i>	Brazil	28909	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. neglecta</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>E. grandis</i>	Colombia	17808	KJ601660	KJ601681	KJ601552	KJ601588	KJ601624	EF127990.1	EF127990.1	EU881898.1	EU881904
<i>C. neglecta</i>	<i>E. grandis</i>	Colombia	18194	KJ601661	KJ601682	KJ601553	KJ601589	KJ601625	EF127991.1	EF127991.1	EU881899.1	EU881905
<i>C. papillata</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>Citrus limon</i>	Colombia	8856	KJ601662	KJ601702	KJ601554	KJ601590	KJ601626	AY233867.1	AY233867.1	AY233874	EU241484
<i>C. papillata</i>	<i>C. arabica</i>	Colombia	10844	KJ601663	KJ601703	KJ601555	KJ601591	KJ601627	AY177238.1	AY177238.1	AY177229.1	EU241481
<i>C. papillata</i> *	<i>S. parahybum</i>	Colombia	28662	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. pirilliformis</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>Eucalyptus nitens</i>	Australia	6579	KJ601666	KJ601706	KJ601558	KJ601594	KJ601630	AF427105.1	AF427105.1	DQ371653.1	AY528983
<i>C. pirilliformis</i>	<i>E. nitens</i>	Australia	6583	KJ601667	KJ601707	KJ601559	KJ601595	KJ601631	KJ601523	KJ601523	KJ601514	KJ601522
<i>C. platani</i> <sup>T</sup>	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	USA	14802	KJ601664	KJ601704	KJ601556	KJ601592	KJ601628	DQ520630.1	DQ520630.1	EF070425.1	EF070396
<i>C. platani</i>	<i>Platanus orientalis</i>	Greece	23450	KJ601665	KJ601705	KJ601557	KJ601593	KJ601629	KJ631107	KJ631107	KJ601513	KJ601521
<i>C. platani</i> *	<i>Platanus</i> sp.	Switzerland	1896	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. platani</i> *	<i>P. occidentalis</i>	USA	26380	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. platani</i> *	<i>P. occidentalis</i>	Greece	23918	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. platani</i> *	<i>Platanus</i> sp.	Greece	23451	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> All isolates listed with the exception of *C. pirilliformis* were used in the SNP study, but those labelled with \* were not considered in the single-copy protein-coding gene analysis.

<sup>b</sup> GenBank data generated during previous studies are in italics.

<sup>c</sup> CMW culture collection numbers refer to cultures obtained from the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa. The ex-type strains are indicated by <sup>T</sup>

**Table 2** Primers used for amplification of single-copy protein coding gene regions investigated in this study

Gene region	Primer name <sup>a</sup>	Direction	Sequence (5'–3') <sup>b</sup>	MgCl <sub>2</sub> (mM)	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	Successful amplification	Reference
βt 2	T1d	Forward	<u>ACC</u> ATG <u>CGY</u> <u>GAR</u> <u>ATY</u> GTA AGT	1	59	Yes	
	Bt1d	Internal	AGG GTA ACC ARA TYG GTG C				
	Bt2d	Reverse	GTA YTG YCC CTT GGC CCA GTT G				
CAL	CAL2F	Forward	GAC AAG GAY GGY GAT GGT	0.5	64	Yes	Duong et al., 2012
	CAL2R2	Reverse	CTT CTC GCC RAT SGA SGT CAT				
FG1093	<b>FG1093F.cerato</b>	Forward	GCG CCA <u>CAA</u> <u>CAA</u> <u>GTC</u> <u>GCA</u> <u>CGT</u>	1	70	Yes	
	<b>FG1093R.cerato</b>	Reverse	TTC <u>TCC</u> GCT <u>TGC</u> CCT <u>TGT</u> CRS				
Mcm7	<i>Mcm7</i> -709	Forward	ACI MGI GTI TCV GAY GTH AAR CC	2.5	55	Yes	Schmitt et al., 2009
	<i>Mcm7</i> -1348	Reverse	GAY TTD GCI ACI CCI GGR TCW CCC AT				
MS204	<b>MS204F.cerato</b>	Forward	AAG GGC ACC <u>CTC</u> GAG GGC CAC	1	56	Half of isolates	
	<b>MS204R.cerato</b>	Reverse	GAT GGT <u>RAC</u> <u>GGT</u> GTT GAT GTA				
	<b>MS204F.ceratoB</b>	Forward	GGC TGA GCA GCT GAT CCT T	1	56	Yes	
	<b>MS204R.ceratoB</b>	Reverse	ATG TCC GGG TAG TGT TAC CG				
RPBII	<b>RPB2-5Fb</b>	Forward	GAY GAY <u>CGT</u> GAT CAC TTY GG	0.5	61		
	<b>RPB2-7Rb</b>	Reverse	CCC <u>ATR</u> <u>GCY</u> <u>TGY</u> <u>TTR</u> CCC AT				
Tsr1	<i>Tsr1</i> -1453for	Forward	GAR TTC CCI GAY GAR ATY GAR CT	1–2.5	54	Yes	
	<i>Tsr1</i> -2308rev	Reverse	CTT RAA RTA ICC RTG IGT ICC				
	<b>Tsr1F.cerato</b>	Forward	GAR TTY <u>CCN</u> GAY GAR ATY GAR CT	1–2.5	54	Very few isolates	Schmitt et al., 2009
	<b>Tsr1R.cerato</b>	Reverse	<u>YTT</u> RAA RTA <u>NCC</u> RTG <u>NGT</u> <u>NCC</u>				
<b>Tsr1F.new</b>	Forward	GAY GAY CAY CAY TAC TTC TC			No		

<sup>a</sup> Primers in bold were designed in this study

<sup>b</sup> Bold underlined nucleotides in the primer sequences indicate where primers were modified from the original primers

Main Workbench v.6 (CLC bio, [www.clcbio.com](http://www.clcbio.com)). Consensus sequences for each gene region of all isolates were aligned in MAFFT version 6, with the alignment strategy set to E-INS-i for the ITS data set and L-INS-i for all other data sets (Katoh et al. 2005). Alignment of the data sets was also manually inspected and edited in MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). Two of the gene regions contained a long poly-A repeat region, and this was excluded from the analyses. Maximum parsimony analysis (MP), maximum likelihood (ML), and Bayesian inference (BI) were applied to each data set individually for tree construction. Parsimony analysis was performed in PAUP\* version 4.0 (Swofford 2002) and trees were obtained using the heuristic search option with 1,000 replicates, with random addition of sequences and a tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping strategy. Both introns and exons were considered for each gene region. Indels were treated as a fifth character. For application in ML and BI analyses, the best model of evolution for each gene region was identified using the jModelTest version 0.1 and applying the Akaike information criterion (Posada 2008). ML tree construction was performed in PhyML 3.0 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003), with the following criteria: proportion of invariable sites was 0, gamma shape was estimated by the program, and the number of substitution sites was set to six (except for βT 1, where nst=2). The starting tree was obtained using the BIONJ approach, and the branch swapping strategy was set to select the best of

either NNI or SPR algorithms. Statistical support for the branches of both MP and ML trees was obtained using 1,000 replicates of non-parametric bootstrap analysis of the sequence data. As *Ceratocystis pirilliformis* resides in *Ceratocystis* but is distantly related to the species in the Latin American clade, it was selected as the outgroup to root the trees.

Additional branch support was obtained using Bayesian analysis, applying a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm in the MrBayes version 3.1.2 program (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). Tree searching was performed using four independent chains and run for 6 000 000 generations, sampling every 100th tree. Analyses were performed twice, and concordance between the two sets was investigated by comparing the log likelihoods in Tracer version 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond 2009). The burn-in for each data set was performed in MrBayes and set to 10 000 generations. The posterior probabilities for the tree topology were obtained by constructing a consensus tree from the data using MrBayes and viewing it in TreeView X (Page 1996).

*Phylogenetic value of single genes and gene combinations* A combination of criteria was used to select the gene regions with the most potential for use as phylogenetic markers. A three- and four-gene region combination was evaluated. This was based on i) the number of species that could be

distinguished with significant bootstrap and Bayesian support values (>70 BS and >95 BI) by the gene region, ii) the number of species shown to be monophyletic based on the genealogical sorting index (gsi) value (Cummings et al. 2008), and iii) the congruence in tree topology as compared to a combined reference tree (Nye topological score) (Nye et al. 2006).

The  $gsi_T$  is a statistical support value, in addition to BS and BI values, that indicates the exclusive ancestry of a group of organisms in a genealogy, and has proven informative in recent fungal phylogenetic studies (Sakalidis et al. 2011; Taole et al. 2012; Walker et al. 2012a). The analysis produces a value on a scale from 0 to 1 for each identified group, with 0 indicating no exclusive ancestry from other groups in the genealogy and 1 representing monophyly. For each gene region, the gsi value was calculated for 100 ML bootstrap trees randomly selected and 10 000 permutation tests were performed for statistical support of each gsi value. From these values, the  $gsi_T$  was calculated as a weighted average of all 100 gsi values for each gene region, with a P value of <0.05 considered statistically significant (Sakalidis et al. 2011). All calculations were performed online at <http://www.genealogicalsorting.org/index.php>.

To determine the accuracy of each individual gene region in representing the relationships among all taxa, a Bayesian consensus tree for each gene region was compared to a combined reference tree of all of the gene regions (Aguileta et al. 2008). The combined reference tree was constructed from all eight gene regions using a Bayesian approach, as described in Aguileta et al. (2008), incorporating the corresponding nucleotide substitution model for each gene region. The topological difference in tree topologies were compared in the online program 'Compare2Trees' (<http://www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~ntmwn/compare2trees/index.html>), based on an algorithm that compares the branches and partition of nodes between two trees and gives an overall topological congruence score (Nye et al. 2006). An overall score of 85 % was selected as the cutoff point for a marker to be compatible with the other regions considered.

The five most informative gene regions were selected on the basis of the three criteria as previously stated. A partition homogeneity test (PHT) with 1,000 repeats was performed on different arrangements of three- and four-gene region combinations in PAUP 4.0 to determine whether the sequences could be combined (Swofford 2002). The combined tree, based on three or four gene regions, was constructed using MP, ML and BI analyses. Identical conditions to those applied to the individual gene regions were used in the different tree construction methods.

#### Development of SNP markers

*Sequence data generation for SNP calling* SNP markers were developed from 454 sequence data for six species in

*Ceratocystis*. These markers could subsequently be used to consider variation in the rest of the species in this genus. This method was shown to be effective in a previous study on fungal species complexes (Pérez 2010; Pérez et al. 2012). To generate sequence data, reduced representations of genome sequences were generated with a protocol similar to the initial steps of an AFLP protocol up to the pre-amplification step (Myburg and Remington 2000) and sequenced with 454 pyrosequencing. Isolates included for sequencing were *C. fimbriata* (CMW 1547, 15049, 14799) (Table 1), *C. cacaofunesta* (CMW 14809, 15051, 14798), *C. platani* (CMW 14802, 23918, 23450), and a combined group comprising *C. manginecans*, *C. acaciivora*, *C. mangicola*, and *C. mangivora* (CMW 13851, 13852, 21123, 22563, 17568, 17570, 23623, 14797, 15052), which are referred to as the *C. manginecans* group in this part of the study.

All genomic DNA was digested with a frequent- and a rare-cutting restriction enzyme, after which restriction enzyme-specific adapters were ligated to the DNA fragments. A master mix digestion reaction consisting of 1× R/L buffer, 2 units *EcoRI*, 2 units *MseI*, and ddH<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 10 µl per sample was used to digest 150 ng genomic DNA. The DNA solution was made up to a 20 µl reaction volume with ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and this was mixed with 10 µl of master mix. The reaction was incubated for 3 hrs at 37 °C, and then 15 min at 65 °C. The ligation reaction was performed directly afterwards by combining 30 µl digested DNA with 10 µl ligation master mix (1× R/L buffer, 1 mM ATP pH7, 1 pmol *EcoRI*- and 10 pmol *MseI* adaptors, 1 unit T4 DNA ligase up to 40 µl final volume with ddH<sub>2</sub>O) and was incubated for 3 h at 22 °C.

Pre-amplification reactions were performed with 5 µl of the ligated products. Total reaction volumes of 30 µl consisted of 1× PCR buffer (+1.5 mM Mg), 0.2 mM dNTP, 0.3 µM *EcoRI*+A primer, 0.3 µM *MseI*+C primer, and 0.6 units *Taq* polymerase (Expand *Taq*). The PCR program was as follows: 94 °C for 4 min, (94 °C for 30 s; 56 °C for 30 s; 72 °C for 1 min +1 s/cycle extra)×25 cycles, 72 °C for 2 min. Amplification smears were analysed by AGE on a 2 % gel.

Amplicons of all the isolates of the same species were pooled in four sample sets representing *C. fimbriata*, *C. cacaofunesta*, *C. platani*, and *C. manginecans*. Amplicons were pooled by combining 25 µl PCR product of each isolate and then precipitated with 0.1 vol of 10 M NaOAc and 2.5 vol absolute ethanol and incubated on ice for 10 min. Samples were then centrifuged and washed with 70 % ethanol and the dried product resuspended in 30 µl H<sub>2</sub>O. Sample sets were size-separated on a 1.2 % agarose gel at 60 V for 1 hr. Bands in the size range of 150–450 bp were excised and purified using the NucleoSpin<sup>®</sup> Extract II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany).

The 454 adapters with identity tags for each of the four species were added to the DNA fragments by means of a PCR reaction. The primer sequences for the forward reaction

consisted of the 454 adaptor A sequence plus the species-specific sequence tag plus the EcoRI adaptor-specific sequence (sequence: 5'GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG-NNNN-GACTGCGTACCAATTC3'). The reverse primer sequence consisted of the 454 adaptor B sequence, the species-specific sequence tag, and the MseI adaptor-specific sequence (sequence: 5'GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG-NNNN-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAA3') (Pérez 2010). The species-specific identification tag was ATCG for the *C. cacaofunesta* sample set, CTAG for the *C. fimbriata* sample set, AGCT for the *C. platani* sample set, and CAGT for the *C. manginecans* samples. The program for the PCR amplification was 94° 2 min, (94 °C 30 s, 60 °C 30 s, 72 °C 60 s)×25 cycles, and 72 °C for 2 min.

PCR amplicons from all four sample sets were precipitated using 10 µl NaOAc (10 M) and 200 µl absolute ethanol (100 %). DNA concentrations of all amplicons were adjusted to 30 ng/µl, and 20 µl of each of the four samples were pooled to a final volume of 80 µl. The product was sequenced using the Genome Sequencer 454 FLX (Roche, Inqaba Biotec, Pretoria, South Africa).

*SNP identification, marker development and application to Ceratocystis species* Raw reads from the 454 sequencing were assembled using the CLC Genomics Workbench 5.0 (CLC bio), and contigs were generated for orthologous regions containing sequences from all four species. Contigs were constructed with the following parameters: similarity=0.8, length fraction=0.5, insertion and deletion costs=3, mismatch cost=2 and minimum contig length=200. Each contig was investigated individually to determine the presence of SNPs that were conserved within a species but able to differentiate between species. Informative contigs were identified based on the number of SNPs present in a contig (minimum of four SNPs) and the number of species between which the SNPs could differentiate. For the purposes of this study, a nucleotide difference was considered as a species-specific SNP only where it occurred in the majority of reads of at least one of the species. Regions that were present in the *C. fimbriata* genome more than once, based on BLAST results, and regions too variable for primer design were excluded.

For the selected contigs, primers were designed in the non-variable regions flanking the informative SNP region, using CLC Main Workbench 5.0 (CLC bio). Where SNPs were located inordinately close to the 3' or 5' end of the contig, the *C. fimbriata* genome (isolate CMW 14799, with GenBank accession number APWK01000000) was used to design primers located upstream or downstream from the SNP regions. Parameters were set for a maximum primer length of 22 bp, minimum primer length of 18 bp, maximum G/C content of 0.6, minimum G/C content of 0.4, maximum melting temperature of 58 °C and minimum melting temperature

of 48 °C. All primer pairs were designed with T<sub>m</sub> temperatures as close together as possible in order to simplify multiplex PCR reactions. The designed primers (Table S1) were synthesized by Inqaba Biotech (Pretoria, South Africa).

Each of the primer sets designed were first tested on the four species groups used for 454 sequencing. This was to ensure PCR success and to confirm the presence of the SNPs as predicted using the 454 data. The regions that were most informative and amplified well in the majority of species were selected and were amplified in three to five additional isolates of all other species included in this study (Table 1). PCR conditions were identical to those used for the single gene region amplification. The PCR program was as follow: 95° 5 min, (94 °C 30 s, 55 °C 30 s, 72 °C 90 s)×38 cycles and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min.

PCR products were amplified in 96-well PCR plates and purified using the ExoSAP method (Glenn and Schable 2005). Purified PCR products were used for amplification of sequencing products performed in 96-well MicroAmp<sup>®</sup> reaction plates. Sequencing products were purified using ethanol precipitation (Glenn and Schable 2005). The dried product was sequenced on an ABI PRISM<sup>®</sup> 3500xL auto-sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

*Evaluation of SNP markers for species delimitation* Sequences from amplified SNP regions were assembled, analysed, and edited in the CLC Main Workbench 5.0 (CLC bio). Two different approaches were applied to investigate the SNP variation among the isolates. First, the entire sequenced region for each SNP primer set was considered and a combined data set of all SNP regions was generated. A cladogram was constructed from the data set based on MP and BI analyses, using settings similar to those used to analyse the single gene regions. Due to the presence of large indels, gaps were coded as a fifth character using FastGap version 1.0.7 (Borchsenius 2007). The best model of evolution for each SNP region was determined using the jModelTest 0.1 (Posada 2008) and was implemented in the BI analysis.

In the second approach, only the SNP sites (SNPs and indels) from each region were considered in constructing a haplotype network. All of the SNPs from the selected SNP regions were combined into a single concatenated SNP haplotype for each of the isolates. This was constructed by aligning the sequences of all isolates for each SNP region separately in MEGA 5 using MUSCLE alignment (Edgar 2004) and removing the constant sites. The variable sites from all SNP regions were then concatenated. Haplotypes were determined from the aligned SNP data in DnaSP v. 5 (Librado and Rozas 2009). Gaps were included for haplotype construction. The identified haplotypes were used as input data to construct a haplotype network, based on a median-



joining algorithm on NETWORK v. 4.6.1.1 (Bandelt et al. 1999).

## Results

### Fungal isolates and DNA extraction

DNA extraction was successful for all of the 64 isolates considered and the concentrations ranged from 100 ng/μl to 1, 500 ng/μl. Analysis of the DNA quality, using AGE, indicated that the DNA was of acceptable quality with low levels of RNA contamination and the DNA for all the samples was diluted to a concentration of 30 ng/μl for PCR amplification reactions.

### Single-copy phylogenetic gene regions

**Primer design, PCR amplification and sequencing** PCR amplifications were successful for the majority of isolates for all gene regions other than Tsr1 and βT 2. Tsr1 had a very low PCR success level, and even though the βT 2 region amplified successfully, sequencing of this region was problematic due to a 12–16 nucleotide poly-A repeat in the intron region between exons 1 and 2. These gene regions were thus excluded from further analyses.

Amplification of the MS204 region with the MS204.cerato primer set (Table 2) resulted in poor sequence results for 13 of the isolates, but amplification with the redesigned primer set (MS204.ceratoB) produced high-quality sequences. The FG1093 region contained a 12 bp long poly-A repeat in some isolates, making downstream sequencing reactions challenging. Additionally, in isolates of *C. colombiana* and *C. ecuadoriana*, conflicting base calling was found at 10 nucleotide sites in the sequence chromatogram. For this reason, PCR products were cloned and both FG1093 haplotypes obtained for each species were included in the phylogenetic analyses. Sequence data for the ITS region of the majority of isolates was of good quality and did not contain any ambiguous sites. However, for 15 of the isolates, the data was unusable due to a long poly-A repeat in the gene region and GenBank data were used for these isolates in downstream analyses (Table 1). Reliable sequence data for the βT 1 and EF 1-α regions could also be obtained from GenBank for the majority of isolates (Table 1).

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses** Sequence alignment of the gene regions showed that, apart from ITS, the FG1093, MS204 and RPBII gene regions contained the greatest number of variable sites, while CAL and Mcm7 contained the least (Table S2). From the aligned sequence data of each gene region, the total number of characters that could be used for phylogenetic analysis and the number of

parsimony informative characters were determined (Table 3). Even though RPBII had the greatest number of characters (1129 bp), ITS and EF 1-α had the most parsimony informative characters (197 and 42 bp, respectively).

The number of parsimonious trees produced from MP analysis, as well as the consistency index (CI) and retention index (RI) values obtained for each gene region, are summarised in Table 3. jModelTest suggested different evolutionary models for each gene region (Table 3), which were incorporated accordingly in ML and BI analyses. The log likelihood value for the most likely phylogenetic tree produced from ML analysis is summarised in Table 3. The tree topology for each region was congruent in all three methods of tree construction (MP, ML, and BI) and one of the most parsimonious trees was selected for representation for each gene region (Fig. S1). Maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood BS values and Bayesian PP values are indicated on the branches.

The ITS region was the only region that provided statistically significant support for all 15 *Ceratocystis* species investigated (Fig. S1a). This was supported by either >70 % bootstrap (BS) values from MP or ML analysis, or >95 % posterior probabilities (PP) produced by Bayesian analysis, or both. Phylogenetic trees produced from the regions Mcm7 (Fig. S1b) and EF 1-α (Fig. S1c) resulted in the lowest resolution, distinguishing only one (*C. diversiconidia*) and three (*C. colombiana*, *C. cacaofunesta*, and *C. diversiconidia*) species, respectively. The CAL gene region (Fig. S1d) provided support for four distinct species. FG1093, RPBII, βT 1 and MS204 phylogenetic trees could distinguish the greatest number of species. The FG1093 region enabled the recognition of five distinct species (Fig. S1e) and the RPBII region distinguished from four to seven species (Fig. S1f). High Bayesian PP values were obtained for seven monophyletic groups in RPBII, but some BS values were only between 60 % and 70 %. The βT 1 region (Fig. S1g) provided support for between five and six distinct species and MS204 delineated eight species (Fig. S1h).

Six of the species, *C. acaciivora*, *C. manginecans*, *C. mangicola*, *C. mangivora*, *C. curvata*, and *C. eucalypticola*, were significantly distinguished only by the ITS gene region (Fig. S1a). Even though *C. mangicola* and *C. mangivora* could not be distinguished using the other gene regions, the two species were supported as a single clade, separate from all other *Ceratocystis* species with βT 1 (87/63 % BS and 95 % PP) and RPBII (70 % MP BS) (Fig. S1f and S1g). Similarly, although not supported by other gene regions, *C. curvata* and *C. eucalypticola* were supported with values above 60 % in the EF 1-α, FG1093 and MS204 gene genealogies (Fig. S1c, 1e, and 1h).

The *C. cacaofunesta* isolates included in this study grouped in two distinct clades in the phylogenetic trees of six of the gene regions. The βT 1, CAL, MS204, EF 1-α, RPBII and

**Table 3** Information on the aligned sequence data of each gene region investigated, including data from maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses

	ITS	Mcm7	EF 1- $\alpha$	CAL	FG1093	$\beta$ T 1	RPBII	MS204	Four gene combination <sup>a</sup>
Total no. of characters (bp)	560	549	739	570	534	550	1129	880	3093
Parsimony informative characters	197	27	42	37	41	38	38	78	195
No. of constant characters	334	522	693	532	481	503	1089	783	2855
Characters excluded from analysis	14	-	-	-	12	-	-	14	26
Variable characters, uninformative	15	-	4	1	-	9	3	5	17
Number of MP trees obtained	12	2	9	6	67	16	6	3	16
Consistency index (CI)	0.766	0.9	0.904	1	0.957	0.961	0.976	0.892	0.831
Retention index (RI)	0.86	0.959	0.96	1	0.987	0.974	0.987	0.947	0.907
Ni substitution model (jModelTest)	TVM+G	TPM2+G	TPM2uf+G	TVMef+G	TPM3uf+H+G	K80	TIM2ef	TPM2uf+G	TPM2uf+G
-log likelihood for ML tree	1611.561	938.738	1256.811	997.603	1009.848	1083.923	1858.697	1736.852	5954.243

<sup>a</sup> The four gene regions used in combination include  $\beta$ T 1, RPBII, MS204 and FG1093

ITS gene genealogies consistently grouped the isolates CMW 14803, 14809 and 15051 in one clade (Clade A) and CMW 14798 and 26375 in another clade (Clade B). The  $\beta$ T 1, CAL, MS204 and EF 1- $\alpha$  regions only provided significant branch support for Clade A to be distinct, RPBII only supported Clade B, and ITS significantly supported both clades. These isolates appear to represent distinct taxa.

*Phylogenetic value of single genes and gene combinations* The  $gsi_T$  values generally showed monophyly for the same species that had high phylogenetic branch support values, but could additionally suggest the degree of monophyly for the species that had low branch support values. The  $gsi_T$  values for all species and all eight gene regions are summarised in Table 4. The  $gsi$  analysis provided significantly higher support values for at least two species in every gene region compared to the branch support values obtained by traditional phylogenetic analysis (highlighted in Table 4).

The species *C. acaciivora* had low but statistically significant  $gsi_T$  values from the  $\beta$ T 1 ( $gsi_T=0.27$ ) and ITS ( $gsi_T=0.47$ ) gene regions. This indicated incomplete lineage sorting. *Ceratocystis curvata* and *C. ecuadoriana* had low branch support values in traditional phylogenetic analyses. The  $gsi_T$  values were also low for *C. curvata* in the FG1093 and MS204 gene regions, with values of 0.37 and 0.4, but higher in EF 1- $\alpha$ , with  $gsi_T=0.7$  (Table 4). For *C. eucalypticola*, the  $gsi_T$  value in EF 1- $\alpha$  was not statistically significant, but FG1093 and MS204 indicated significantly higher values of 0.71 and 0.87, respectively. The separation of the *C. cacaofunesta* isolates into two clades was supported by five gene regions (ITS, CAL,  $\beta$ T 1, MS204 and Mcm7) for Clade A, with  $gsi_T$  values ranging from 0.753 to 0.976; and RPBII supported Clade B, with a value of 0.923.

Comparison of tree topologies based on Nye topological score showed a minor level of conflict among all regions, as compared to the eight gene region combined tree (Fig. 1). Conflict was considered significant only where the specific branch was supported by >70 % MP or ML bootstrap support or >95 % Bayesian PP. The EF 1- $\alpha$  region showed the most conflict (80.4 %), while CAL had the highest level of congruence (96.8 %), followed by RPBII (94.4 %) and  $\beta$ T 1 (91 %) (Fig. 1).

The most significant conflict in the EF 1- $\alpha$  genealogy was the grouping of *C. ecuadoriana* and *C. cacaofunesta* as closest relatives (Fig. S1c). FG1093 showed conflict in the tree topology for two specific clades, indicating *C. ecuadoriana* and *C. colombiana* rather than *C. ecuadoriana* and *C. neglecta* to be closely related, and also grouped *C. curvata* in this clade (Fig. S1e). The positions of the second haplotypes of *C. ecuadoriana* and *C. colombiana* obtained from the cloned sequences were located more accurately when compared to the tree topologies of other gene regions. The second haplotype in *C. ecuadoriana* grouped with

**Table 4** Values obtained from the genealogical sorting index, providing alternative analysis and support values for the monophyly of *Ceratocystis* species

Species	ITS	Mcm7	EF 1- $\alpha$	Cal	FG1093	$\beta$ T 1	RPBII	MS204	Support for monophyly <sup>e</sup>
	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	gsi <sub>IT</sub> <sup>c</sup>	
<i>C. acaciivora</i>	<b>0.473</b>	0.18	0.202	0.172	<b>0.285</b>	<b>0.268</b>	<b>0.431</b>	<b>0.241</b>	none
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.976</b>	<b>0.793</b>	<b>0.583</b>	<b>0.927</b>	<b>0.495</b>	<b>0.835</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.753</b>	5 genes
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.567</b>	<b>0.362</b>	0.191	0.11	<b>0.342</b>	<b>0.638</b>	<b>0.923</b>	<b>0.579</b>	1 gene
<i>C. colombiana</i>	<b>0.964</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>0.846</b>	<b>0.972</b>	<b>0.907<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>0.687</b>	<b>0.985</b>	<b>1</b>	7 genes
<i>C. curvata</i>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.722</b>	<b>0.699</b>	0.109	<b>0.371</b>	0.243	<b>0.436</b>	<b>0.413</b>	2 genes
<i>C. diversiconidia</i>	<b>0.835</b>	<b>0.974</b>	<b>0.955</b>	<b>0.943</b>	<b>0.964</b>	<b>0.959</b>	<b>0.992</b>	<b>0.99</b>	8 genes
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i>	<b>0.81</b>	0.23	<b>0.71</b>	0.178	0.172	<b>0.851</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.614</b>	2 genes
<i>C. eucalypticola</i>	<b>0.334</b>	<b>0.499</b>	0.15	0.173	<b>0.709</b>	0.25	<b>0.266</b>	<b>0.869</b>	1 gene
<i>C. fimbriata</i>	<b>0.656</b>	0.215	<b>0.203</b>	<b>0.672</b>	<b>0.865</b>	<b>0.978</b>	<b>0.781</b>	<b>0.866</b>	4 genes
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.192</b>	0.14	<b>0.331</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.762</b>	<b>0.934</b>	3 genes
<i>C. mangicola</i>	<b>0.774</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.642</b>	0.137	<b>0.375</b>	<b>0.456</b>	<b>0.411</b>	<b>0.232</b>	1 gene
<i>C. manginecans</i>	<b>0.431</b>	<b>0.242</b>	<b>0.711</b>	0.144	<b>0.272</b>	<b>0.249</b>	<b>0.413</b>	0.198	none
<i>C. mangivora</i>	<b>0.931</b>	<b>0.262</b>	0.182	0.171	<b>0.375</b>	<b>0.401</b>	<b>0.482</b>	<b>0.292</b>	1 gene
<i>C. neglecta</i>	<b>0.491</b>	0.211	<b>0.711</b>	0.142	<b>0.306</b>	<b>0.929</b>	<b>0.382</b>	<b>0.249</b>	1 gene
<i>C. papillata</i>	<b>0.805</b>	0.201	0	<b>0.868</b>	<b>0.905</b>	0.186	<b>0.684</b>	<b>1</b>	4 genes
<i>C. pirilliformis</i>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.485</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.485</b>	<b>0.523</b>	<b>0.485</b>	<b>0.501</b>	<b>0.485</b>	outgroup
<i>C. platani</i>	<b>0.947</b>	<b>0.807</b>	<b>0.382</b>	<b>0.729</b>	<b>0.975</b>	0.206	<b>0.744</b>	<b>0.995</b>	5 genes

<sup>a</sup> Isolates representing the Ecuadorian lineage of *C. cacaofunesta* isolates (CMW 14803, 14809 and 15051)

<sup>b</sup> Isolates representing the Brazil/Costa Rica lineage of *C. cacaofunesta* isolates (CMW 14798 and 26375)


<sup>c</sup> Gsi values that are statistically significant (P<0.05) are indicated in bold and values that provide higher support for a species than obtained from the phylogenetic analyses are highlighted in grey. Gsi values above 0.75, with a P<0.05 were considered as significant support for the monophyly of a species

<sup>d</sup> Value is for the second haplotype of the *C. colombiana* isolates obtained from the cloned sequences

<sup>e</sup> The numbers indicate the amount of gene regions that support a species to be monophyletic based on gsi values

*C. neglecta*. The second *C. colombiana* haplotype was also supported as a distinct species. For this reason, the cloned sequences were used for the combined gene region analyses. Mcm7 analyses showed that both *C. cacaofunesta* clades were most closely related to *C. colombiana* and *C. platani* (Fig. S1b). MS204 grouped *C. platani* together in a clade with *C. ecuadoriana* and *C. neglecta* (Fig. S1h). RPBII grouped Clade B of *C. cacaofunesta* and *C. colombiana* together, but the internal node was not significantly supported (Fig. S1f).

The five gene regions that had the greatest potential to be applied as additional phylogenetic markers for delimitation of *Ceratocystis* species were identified based on the three criteria previously mentioned (Fig. 1). The RPBII,  $\beta$ T 1 and MS204 gene regions were identified as the three most informative gene regions for use in combination, with CAL and FG1093 as additional gene regions. Various combinations of the five gene regions were considered in order to identify the least number of genes required to distinguish all described species in *Ceratocystis*. The partition homogeneity test (PHT) performed on the gene combinations produced values as follow: 0.063 for the RPBII,  $\beta$ T 1 and MS204 gene combination; a value of 0.099 for the four-gene combination where CAL was included; and 0.01 for the four-gene combination where



**Fig. 1** Summary of the phylogenetic utility of all phylogenetic gene regions investigated in this study. The x-axis represents the different gene regions and the y-axis represents the number of species distinguished from a total of 15 distinct species defined in literature. The four columns for every gene region represent four alternative methods applied to the sequence data generated for species delineation. MP refers to maximum parsimony analysis with bootstrap support >70 %, ML to maximum likelihood, BI to Bayesian inference with posterior probabilities >95 and gsi refers to the genealogical sorting index, with high values supporting the monophyly of a species. Underlined values above the bars of each gene region indicate how well the tree topology correlates with that of the combined tree constructed from all eight gene regions (Nei topological score).

FG1093 was included. Even though the last value is low, it is still acceptable, and the gene combination would not decrease the phylogenetic accuracy (Cunningham 1997).

The three-gene combination including RPBII,  $\beta$ T 1, and MS204 provided effective delineation among most species in *Ceratocystis*. However, they could not be used to distinguish *C. eucalypticola*, *C. curvata*, *C. acaciivora*, *C. manginecans*, *C. mangicola* or *C. mangivora* from each other. The four-gene combination of RPBII,  $\beta$ T 1, and MS204, and including CAL, did not add any significance to the phylogenetic tree, and CAL was excluded from further analyses. Including the FG1093 region in the four-gene combination increased the statistical values to support *C. eucalypticola* and *C. curvata* as distinct species. In order to illustrate the resolution obtained from the four most informative gene regions, this combination was selected to construct the tree for presentation (Fig. 2). The results obtained for the phylogenetic analyses using this gene combination are summarised in Table 3.

#### Development of SNP markers

**Sequence data generation for SNP calling** The 454 pyrosequencing produced 33 418 reads, with an average read length of 200 bases. The number of reads per species group ranged from 3000 (*C. manginecans*) to 13 000 (*C. platani*) and could be assembled into 867 contigs. About 65 contigs were discarded either because they consisted of a single read or because the contigs showed no variation among species. The contigs that were retained for further use had a coverage ranging from 3 to 270 reads per contig.


**SNP identification, marker development and application to *Ceratocystis* species** A total of 29 primer pairs were designed (Table S1), with an annealing temperature of 55 °C. The majority of the primer sets resulted in successful amplification in all 62 isolates, producing a single band with expected fragment sizes for the different primer sets (Table S1). SNP region 8 could not be amplified in *C. diversiconidia*, and SNP region 12 could not be amplified in *C. mangivora*, despite several attempts at optimisation. These two regions were represented by "N" for subsequent analyses. Sequencing was successful for the majority of samples after the first attempt, but some required optimisation by increasing the annealing temperature to 57–58 °C in the amplification reaction for sequencing. Amplification and sequencing for SNP regions 1, 2, 14, and 29 could not be optimized and were excluded from subsequent analyses.

**Evaluation of SNP markers for species delimitation** The nine most informative SNP regions identified were SNP 8, 10, 12,

15, 16, 18, 24, 26 and 32, and the sequence data for these gene regions were submitted to GenBank (Table S3). The full-length sequence data of all nine combined SNP regions resulted in 2,518 characters after alignment, which were used for cladogram construction. A total of 23 indels were present in the alignment, and these were coded and included in analyses, resulting in a total of 354 parsimony informative characters. The SNPs thus provided a greater number of informative characters than the 305 sites obtained from the seven single-copy genes (Table S2 and S3). Since the nine most informative SNP regions were amplified and sequenced in all *Ceratocystis* species investigated, additional SNPs not initially detected in the 454 sequence data were identified.

Maximum parsimony analysis showed a CI of 0.770 and an RI of 0.960, and four most parsimonious trees were produced from the analysis. jModelTest indicated the HKY evolutionary model for SNP8 and SNP18, TIM1+G for SNP10, TrN+G for SNP12, TPM2uf+I for SNP15, TIM1+I for SNP16, TIM1+I+G for SNP24, TPM3uf+G for SNP32, and HKY+I for SNP26. The MP and BI approaches resulted in the same tree topology, and both had a high level of branch support for each clade. One of the cladograms based on MP analysis was selected for presentation (Fig. 3a) and support values are shown above the branches. Strongly supported distinct groupings could be seen in the cladogram for *C. colombiana*, *C. curvata*, *C. diversiconidia*, *C. ecuadoriana*, *C. eucalypticola*, *C. fimbriata*, *C. fimbriatomima*, *C. mangicola*, *C. mangivora*, *C. manginecans*, *C. neglecta*, *C. papillata*, and *C. platani*. As was true for the protein-coding genes, *C. cacaofunesta* isolates resided in two different clades. Most *C. acaciivora* isolates could not be distinguished from *C. manginecans*, but isolates CMW 22563 and 22562 were located farther away from the others, since they had nucleotide differences in two SNP regions at three SNP sites (Table S3).

Analyses based only on the SNP sites resulted in a total of 360 characters comprising 181 SNPs and 23 indels (Table S3). The SNP data provided fixed SNP differences among all of the species with the exception of some of the *C. acaciivora* isolates that were identical to *C. manginecans*. A total of 29 haplotypes were revealed in the SNP data. However, the network analysis combined some of the haplotypes at a single node, resulting in 25 external nodes (Fig. 3b). Most isolates of a single species showed minor levels of variation, whereas a higher level of variation was clear within the *C. platani* isolates. The isolates from the U.S. represented two separate haplotypes, and the isolates from Europe (Greece and Switzerland) represented a third haplotype. Despite the slight variation observed within a species, 13 of the species defined using ITS sequence



**Fig. 2** One of the most parsimonious trees selected from maximum parsimony analysis for representation of the *Ceratocystis* species phylogeny. This was constructed from the combined data from the gene regions  $\beta$ T 1, FG1093, MS204, and RPBII. The ex-type strain of each

species is indicated by <sup>T</sup>. Branch support values are indicated above the branches, and include bootstrap values above 60 from MP/ML analysis. Bayesian posterior probability above 95 % is indicated by thick branches. An \* indicates support values from any of the analyses below the threshold.

data were clearly separated in the network, and the relatedness among species correlated with what was seen in the cladogram.

## Taxonomy

*Ceratocystis acaciivora*, identified from Acacia trees in Indonesia (Tarigan et al. 2011), was shown to be indistinguishable from *C. manginecans* based on phylogenetic sequence data and SNP marker data generated in this study. Isolates of both species had identical DNA sequences in the  $\beta$ T 1, MS204, RPBII, Mcm7, CAL and FG1093 gene regions. The two species also could not be distinguished based on the SNP markers designed in this study. *Ceratocystis acaciivora* was primarily distinguished from *C. manginecans* based on ITS sequence data (Tarigan et al. 2011), but the occurrence of both ITS types in a single isolate (Al Adawi et al. 2013; Naidoo et al. 2013) makes this region an unreliable phylogenetic marker for the two species. Based on this result, *C. acaciivora* is reduced to synonymy with *C. manginecans*, as follows:

*Ceratocystis manginecans* M. van Wyk, A.O. Al Adawi & M.J. Wingf., Fungal Diversity 27(1): 224 (2007)  
= *Ceratocystis acaciivora* M. Tarigan & M. van Wyk, S. Afr. J. Bot. 77(2):301 (2011)

## Discussion

The delimitation of many species in *Ceratocystis* has been strongly reliant on sequence data from the ITS region. In this study, seven gene regions and nine SNP markers were used to reconsider the boundaries of 15 of these taxa described in the Latin American clade of the genus. The resolution of these markers was compared with that provided by the ITS,  $\beta$ T 1 and EF 1- $\alpha$  gene regions (Mbenoun et al. 2013; Tarigan et al. 2011; Van Wyk et al. 2011b). While none of these alternative regions were able to support all of the species defined by ITS phylogenies, a combination of four gene regions ( $\beta$ T 1, RPBII, MS204 and FG1093) provided significant support for the delineation of most species. The SNP markers developed were more informative than the gene regions, making it possible to clearly distinguish among all but two species, and also revealed the presence of at least one new cryptic species.

Of the seven gene regions evaluated in this study, RPBII and MS204 distinguished the greatest number of species in *Ceratocystis*. These two gene regions were as informative as the  $\beta$ T 1 region and were significantly more useful than EF 1- $\alpha$  (Fig. 1). A combination of RPBII, MS204 and  $\beta$ T 1


**Fig. 3** Species delineation of *Ceratocystis* species based on SNP variation from the nine amplified SNP regions. **a)** Cladogram of *Ceratocystis* isolates based on all amplified SNP regions considering the sequence of the entire SNP region. The ex-type strain of each species is indicated by <sup>T</sup>. Maximum parsimony analysis and Bayesian inference were performed on all represented isolates. Bootstrap support values are indicated at each branch and Bayesian posterior probability values >95 are indicated by thick branches. **b)** Haplotype network constructed based on a median-joining algorithm. Only the SNP sites obtained from nine SNP regions were considered. Nodes (circles) represent haplotypes and the size of the node corresponds to the number of isolates included in the haplotype. Coloured nodes represent existing individuals, coloured according to their species status as suggested by ITS sequence data. Black internal nodes represent missing haplotypes.

provided the best resolution, and supported the delineation of 11 of the 15 species in *Ceratocystis*. Even though the FG1093 region was not as informative as the aforementioned regions, it provided support for differentiation of one additional species, *C. curvata*, not distinguished by the combined three-gene phylogeny (Fig. S1). The apparent existence of two copies of the FG1093 region in some species requires careful consideration of the sequence quality obtained from amplicons before it is used in analyses.

Four of the gene regions, CAL,  $\beta$ T 2, Mcm7, and Tsr1, considered in this study were not useful for species delineation. Even though the tree topology for the CAL gene region was highly congruent with that of other gene regions (Fig. 1), it did not increase the phylogenetic resolution in the combined species tree. The Tsr1 gene region had a low PCR success rate and the Mcm7 region provided negligible phylogenetic resolution. Such technical difficulties in the use of these gene regions have been previously reported (Raja et al. 2011; Schmitt et al. 2009; Tretter et al. 2013). The  $\beta$ T 2 region should also be avoided in phylogenetic studies in *Ceratocystis*, as it is difficult to obtain sequences for this gene region.

The SNP markers developed in this study provide a powerful diagnostic tool to distinguish between species in *Ceratocystis*. The markers provided support for 13 distinct species and are thus more informative than the protein-coding genes investigated. The SNPs provided support for *C. mangicola* and *C. mangivora* as distinct species and provided a higher level of support for the monophyly of *C. curvata* and *C. eucalypticola* than the protein-coding gene regions. The fact that all isolates for a specific species contained fixed SNPs, and consistently grouped together in the network and cladogram constructed, raises confidence in the SNP regions.

The collective data derived from the evaluated gene regions and SNP markers provided new insights into the boundaries of three species in *Ceratocystis*. The *C. cacaofunesta* isolates were differentiated into two separate groups, with significant branch support values (Fig. S1) obtained from six of the gene



regions (Table S2) as well as the combined SNP markers (Fig. 3). ITS sequence data and mating studies initially used to identify this species (Engelbrecht and Harrington 2005; Ferreira et al. 2010) suggested that there were two distinct lineages (Ecuador and Brazil/Costa Rica) for South American isolates of *Ceratocystis* from cacao. Results from this study and all other currently available data strongly suggest that the isolates CMW 14803, 14809 and 15051 of *C. cacaofunesta* represent an undescribed species in *Ceratocystis*. *Ceratocystis acaciivora* and *C. manginecans* could not be distinguished using any of the seven markers considered in this study. These species can only be distinguished using the ITS region, which has clearly emerged as unusable since two ITS types can occur in a single isolate (Harrington et al. 2014; Naidoo et al. 2013), such as in *C. manginecans* (Al Adawi et al. 2013). These data provided justification to reduce *C. acaciivora* to synonymy with *C. manginecans* in this study.

Results of this study have shown that most species described in *Ceratocystis* can be distinguished based on the combined sequence data of the  $\beta$ T 1, RPBII, MS204 and FG1093 gene regions. This is supported by the suite of SNP markers, which provided data congruent with the gene region phylogenies. If alternative approaches to the GCPSR are used to define species in *Ceratocystis*, fewer species might be resolved. This has been observed, for example, when only a single gene region, the MAT gene, and intersterility tests between individual isolates in *Ceratocystis* were investigated (Harrington et al. 2014). There is a strong case to support the species boundaries presented in this study and accepting these will provide opportunities to better understand the biology and ecology of an important group of fungi.

**Acknowledgments** We would like to acknowledge the Tree Protection Co-operative Programme (TPCP), the National Research Foundation (NRF) as well as the Genomics Research Institute (GRI) at the University of Pretoria for the financial support they provided for this research. We also acknowledge the Thuthuka Foundation (grant no 80670) for the provision of a student bursary grant to Arista Fourie. Lastly, we want to thank Dr. Wilhelm de Beer and Dr. Tuan Duong, from the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), for their assistance with some of the primers designed for this study.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

## References

- Aguileta G, Marthey S, Chiapello H, Lebrun MH, Rodolphe F, Fournier E, Gendrault-Jacquemard A, Giraud T (2008) Assessing the performance of single-copy genes for recovering robust phylogenies. *Syst Biol* 57:613–627. doi:10.1080/10635150802306527
- Al Adawi AO, Barnes I, Khan IA, Al Subhi AM, Al Jahwari AA, Deadman ML, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2013) *Ceratocystis manginecans* associated with a serious wilt disease of two native legume trees in Oman and Pakistan. *Australas Plant Pathol* 42:179–193
- Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 16:37–48
- Barnes I, Roux J, Wingfield BD, Dudzinski MJ, Old KM, Wingfield MJ (2003) *Ceratocystis pirilliformis*, a new species from *Eucalyptus nitens* in Australia. *Mycologia* 95:865–871
- Borchsenius F (2007) FastGap 1.0.7. Software distributed by the author ([http://192.38.46.42/aubot/fb/FastGap\\_home.htm](http://192.38.46.42/aubot/fb/FastGap_home.htm))
- Bridge PD, Spooner BM, Roberts PJ (2005) The impact of molecular data in fungal systematics. In: Callow JA (ed) *Advances in botanical research*, vol 42. Academic Press, pp 33–67
- Cai L, Giraud T, Zhang N, Begerow D, Cai G, Shivas R (2011) The evolution of species concepts and species recognition criteria in plant pathogenic fungi. *Fungal Divers* 50:121–133. doi:10.1007/s13225-011-0127-8
- Chouvarine P, Cooksey AM, McCarthy FM, Ray DA, Baldwin BS, Burgess SC, Peterson DG (2012) Transcriptome-based differentiation of closely-related *Miscanthus* lines. *PLoS One* 7: e29850. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029850
- Cummings MP, Neel MC, Shaw KL (2008) A genealogical approach to quantifying lineage divergence. *Evolution* 62:2411–2422. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00442.x
- Cunningham CW (1997) Can three incongruence tests predict when data should be combined? *Mol Biol Evol* 14:733–740
- De Beer ZW, Duong TA, Barnes I, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2014) Redefining *Ceratocystis* and allied genera. *Stud Mycol* 79:187–219. doi:10.1016/j.simyco.2014.10.001
- Duong TA, De Beer ZW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2012) Phylogeny and taxonomy of species in the *Grosmannia serpens* complex. *Mycologia* 104:715–732. doi:10.3852/11-109
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32:1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Engelbrecht CJB, Harrington TC (2005) Intersterility, morphology and taxonomy of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* on sweet potato, cacao and sycamore. *Mycologia* 97:57–69. doi:10.3852/mycologia.97.1.57
- Fakhrai-Rad H, Pourmand N, Ronaghi M (2002) Pyrosequencing<sup>TM</sup>: An accurate detection platform for single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Hum Mutat* 19:479–485. doi:10.1002/humu.10078
- Ferreira EM, Harrington TC, Thorpe DJ, Alfenas AC (2010) Genetic diversity and interfertility among highly differentiated populations of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* in Brazil. *Plant Pathol* 59:721–735
- Garvin MR, Marcotte RW, Palof KJ, Riley RJ, Kamin LM, Gharrett AJ (2011) Diagnostic single-nucleotide polymorphisms identify Pacific ocean perch and delineate blackspotted and rougheye rockfish. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 140:984–988. doi:10.1080/00028487.2011.603984
- Giraud T, Refrégier G, Le Gac M, De Vienne DM, Hood ME (2008) Speciation in fungi. *Fungal Genet Biol* 45:791–802. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2008.02.001
- Glass NL, Donaldson GC (1995) Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61:1323–1330
- Glenn TC, Schable NA (2005) Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. In: Zimmer EA, Roalson EH (eds) *Methods in Enzymology*, vol 395. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 202–222
- Goodwin SB, Drenth A, Fry WE (1992) Cloning and genetic analysis of two highly polymorphic, moderately repetitive nuclear DNAs from *Phytophthora infestans*. *Curr Genet* 22:107–115
- Guindon S, Gascuel O (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol* 52: 696–704



- Halsted BD (1890) Some fungous disease of the sweet potato. Agric Coll Exp Station Bull 76:1–32
- Halsted BD, Fairchild DG (1891) Sweet-potato black rot. J Mycol 7:1–11
- Harrington TC (2000) Host specialization and speciation in the American wilt pathogen *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. Fitopatol Bras 25S:262–263
- Harrington TC, Kazmi MR, Al-Sadi AM, Ismail SI (2014) Intraspecific and intragenomic variability of ITS rDNA sequences reveals taxonomic problems in *Ceratocystis fimbriata sensu stricto*. Mycologia 106:224–242. doi:10.3852/13-189
- Jacobs K, Bergdahl DR, Wingfield MJ, Halik S, Seifert KA, Bright DE, Wingfield BD (2004) *Leptographium wingfieldii* introduced into North America and found associated with exotic *Tomicus piniperda* and native bark beetles. Mycol Res 108:411–418. doi:10.1017/S0953756204009748
- Jones JC, Fan S, Franchini P, Schartl M, Meyer A (2013) The evolutionary history of *Xiphophorus* fish and their sexually selected sword: a genome-wide approach using restriction site-associated DNA sequencing. Mol Ecol 22:2986–3001. doi:10.1111/mec.12269
- Kamgan NG, Wingfield MJ, Mohammed C, Carnegie AJ, Pegg GS, Roux J (2012) *Ceratocystis* species, including two new species associated with nitidulid beetles, on eucalypts in Australia. Anton Leeuw 101:217–241
- Katoh K, Kuma K, Toh H, Miyata T (2005) MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Res 33:511–518
- Kile GA, Harrington TC, Yuan ZQ, Dudzinski MJ, Old KM (1996) *Ceratocystis eucalypti* sp. nov., a vascular stain fungus from eucalypts in Australia. Mycol Res 100:571–579. doi:10.1016/s0953-7562(96)80010-4
- Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25:1451–1452
- Lindner DL, Banik MT (2011) Intragenomic variation in the ITS rDNA region obscures phylogenetic relationships and inflates estimates of operational taxonomic units in genus *Laetiporus*. Mycologia 103:731–740. doi:10.3852/10-331
- Marthey S, Aguilera G, Rodolphe F, Gendral A, Giraud T, Fournier E, Lopez-Villavicencio M, Gautier A, Lebrun M-H, Chiapello H (2008) FUNYBASE: a FUNgal phylogenomic dataBASE. BMC Bioinformatics 9:456. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-456
- Mbenoun M, Wingfield MJ, Begoude Boyogueno A, Wingfield BD, Roux J (2013) Molecular phylogenetic analyses reveal three new *Ceratocystis* species and provide evidence for geographic differentiation of the genus in Africa. Mycol Prog 13:219–240. doi:10.1007/s11557-013-0907-5
- Myburg AA, Remington DL (2000) Protocol for high-throughput AFLP analysis using LI-COR IR2 automated sequencer. North Carolina State Forest Biotechnology AFLP protocol N C State Univ For Dep, Raleigh
- Naidoo K, Steenkamp ET, Coetzee MPA, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD (2013) Concerted evolution in the ribosomal RNA cistron. PLoS One 8:e59355. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059355
- Nye TMW, Liò P, Gilks WR (2006) A novel algorithm and web-based tool for comparing two alternative phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 22:117–119. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti720
- O'Donnell K, Cigelnik E (1997) Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a monophyletic lineage of the fungus *Fusarium* are nonorthologous. Mol Phylogenet Evol 7:103–116. doi:10.1006/mpev.1996.0376
- O'Donnell K, Nirenberg H, Aoki T, Cigelnik E (2000) A multigene phylogeny of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex: Detection of additional phylogenetically distinct species. Mycoscience 41:61–78. doi:10.1007/bf02464387
- Page RDM (1996) TREEVIEW: An application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. Comput Appl Biosci 12:357–358
- Pavlic D (2009) Taxonomy and population diversity of Botryosphaeriaceae associated with woody hosts in South Africa and Western Australia. University of Pretoria, Pretoria
- Pérez G (2010) Chapter 6: inter-specific SNPs for cryptic species delimitation and phylogenetic reconstructions in closely related species. In: Global genetic diversity of the *Eucalyptus* leaf pathogen *Teratosphaeria nubilosa* species complex in native forests and commercial plantations. Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria pp 185–205
- Pérez G, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Burgess TI (2012) Cryptic species, native populations and biological invasions by a eucalypt forest pathogen. Mol Ecol 21:4452–4471. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05714.x
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Mol Biol Evol 25:1253–1256
- Raja AH, Schoch CL, Hustad VP, Shearer CA, Miller AN (2011) Testing the phylogenetic utility of *Mcm7* in the Ascomycota. MycoKeys 1:63–94
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2009) Tracer v1.5. Institute of evolutionary biology
- Rintoul TL, Eggertson QA, Lévesque CA (2012) Multigene phylogenetic analyses to delimit new species in fungal plant pathogens. In: Bolton MD, Thomma BPHJ (eds) Plant fungal pathogens: methods and protocols, vol 835. Methods in molecular biology. Humana Press, pp 549–569
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574
- Roux J, Van Wyk M, Hatting H, Wingfield MJ (2004) *Ceratocystis* species infecting stem wounds on *Eucalyptus grandis* in South Africa. Plant Pathol 53:414–421
- Sakalidis ML, Hardy GESJ, Burgess TI (2011) Use of the Genealogical Sorting Index (GSI) to delineate species boundaries in the *Neofusicoccum parvum*–*Neofusicoccum ribis* species complex. Mol Phylogenet Evol 60:333–344. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2011.04.026
- Schmitt I, Crespo A, Divakar PK, Fankhauser JD, Herman-Sackett E, Kalb K, Nelsen MP, Nelson NA, Rivas-Plata E, Shimp AD, Widhalm T, Lumbsch HT (2009) New primers for promising single-copy genes in fungal phylogenetics and systematics. Persoonia 23:35–40
- Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, Chen W (2012) Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:6241–6246
- Seifert KA (2009) Progress towards DNA barcoding of fungi. Mol Ecol Resour 9:83–89. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02635.x
- Spatafora JW (2005) Assembling the fungal tree of life (AFTOL). Mycol Res 109:755–756
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP\*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and other methods). 4 edn. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28:2731–2739. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Taole M, Burgess T, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2012) DNA sequence incongruence and inconsistent morphology obscure species boundaries in the *Teratosphaeria suttonii* species complex. Mycoscience 53:270–283. doi:10.1007/s10267-011-0164-x
- Tarigan M, Roux J, Van Wyk M, Tjahjono B, Wingfield MJ (2011) A new wilt and die-back disease of *Acacia mangium* associated with *Ceratocystis manginecans* and *C. acaciivora* sp. nov. in Indonesia. S Afr J Bot 77:292–304. doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2010.08.006

- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC (2000) Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. *Fungal Genet Biol* 31:21–32. doi:10.1006/fgbi.2000.1228
- Tretter ED, Johnson EM, Wang Y, Kandel P, White MM (2013) Examining new phylogenetic markers to uncover the evolutionary history of early-diverging fungi: comparing MCM7, TSR1 and rRNA genes for single- and multi-gene analyses of the *Kickxellomycotina*. *Persoonia* 30:106–125
- Upadhyay HP (1981) A monograph of *Ceratocystis* and *Ceratocystiopsis*. University of Georgia Press, Athens
- Van Wyk M, Wingfield BD, Marin M, Wingfield MJ (2010) New *Ceratocystis* species infecting coffee, cacao, citrus and native trees in Colombia. *Fungal Divers* 40:103–117. doi:10.1007/s13225-009-0005-9
- Van Wyk M, Wingfield BD, Al-Adawi AO, Rossetto CJ, Ito MF, Wingfield MJ (2011a) Two new *Ceratocystis* species associated with mango disease in Brazil. *Mycotaxon* 117:381–404
- Van Wyk M, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2011b) Four new *Ceratocystis* spp. associated with wounds on *Eucalyptus*, *Schizolobium* and *Terminalia* trees in Ecuador. *Fungal Divers* 46:111–131
- Van Wyk M, Roux J, Kamgan NG, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2012) *Ceratocystis eucalypticola* sp. nov. from *Eucalyptus* in South Africa and comparison to global isolates from this tree. *IMA Fungus* 3:45–58
- Walker DM, Castlebury L, Rossman A, Mejía L, White J (2012a) Phylogeny and taxonomy of *Ophiognomonina* (*Gnomoniaceae*; *Diaporthales*), including twenty-five new species in this highly diverse genus. *Fungal Divers* 57:85–147. doi:10.1007/s13225-012-0200-y
- Walker DM, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, White JF (2012b) New molecular markers for fungal phylogenetics: Two genes for species-level systematics in the Sordariomycetes (Ascomycota). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 64:500–512
- Wang XQ, Zhao L, Eaton DAR, Li DZ, Guo ZH (2013) Identification of SNP markers for inferring phylogeny in temperate bamboos (*Poaceae: Bambusoideae*) using RAD sequencing. *Mol Ecol Resour* 13:938–945. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12136
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) *PCR Protocols: A sequencing guide to methods and applications*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 315–322
- Wilken PM, Steenkamp ET, Wingfield MJ, De Beer ZW, Wingfield BD (2014) Draft nuclear genome sequence for the plant pathogen, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. *IMA Fungus* 4:357–358. doi:10.5598/ima fungus.2013.04.02.14
- Wingfield MJ, De Beer C, Visser C, Wingfield BD (1996) A new *Ceratocystis* species defined using morphological and ribosomal DNA sequence comparisons. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 19:191–202
- Wingfield BD, Van Wyk M, Roos H, Wingfield MJ (2013) *Ceratocystis*: Emerging evidence for discrete generic boundaries. In: Seifert KA, De Beer W, Wingfield MJ (eds) *Ophiostomatoid Fungi: Expanding Frontiers*. CBS Biodiversity series, vol 12. CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre, AD Utrecht, The Netherlands, pp 57–64

Supplementary figures

Fig. S1a




Fig. S1b






Fig. S1c




2

Fig. S1d




2

**Fig. S1e**



2

Fig. S1f



2



Fig. S1g





Fig. S1h



**Table S1** The twenty five primers designed to amplify SNP regions in the *Ceratocystis* isolates

Primer number*	Forward sequence (5'-3')	Reverse sequence (5'-3')	Expected size (bp)	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	GenBank acc. no.
5	CATCCGCACAAAGTTTAACA	TACGGCGCTTCTTTTTCA	291	56	n/a
7	ATAGATATGCTCCACAAGAC	CAACACATAGCAACCAACAA	293	56	n/a
8	CATCACGGGAGAATCAAA	TATAGCACACACAGCCA	309	55	KJ601500
9	GTGTTGCCGAAAAAATGTCT	GCGATGGTGAGTGAGATT	484	56	n/a
10	CAATGTTGCTCATCCAGT	CCGCCACACAAGATTTCA	266	55	KJ601501
11	GAGATGGGCTGTTGCTGA	AAGGACAAAACGGGACGA	289	58	n/a
12	GTGAAGTAATGCCAAGGT	GAATTCATGGGTATCAGGG	331	56	KJ601502
13	CCACTGTATGCTACTCTATT	TGATACAGCGTCGAGAAA	228	56	n/a
15	CTCCCTCGCTCTAGAATAA	GGTGGTAGGCTCAAGTGT	270	58	KJ601503
16	TTGCACAGGCTTAAGTGG	AGAGTTTTCGGTTGGTGG	283	57	KJ601504
17	TGTTCTACCGTTTTCCCT	GGCTTGTGCTTGTATCT	188	55	n/a
18	GATCCCATAACAGTACTT	TTTTTCGCTCTATCTGCC	344	55	KJ601505
19	GGTTGCCATTATGTTAGTG	GATGGAAATGGTGTAGTG	287	55	n/a
20	ACAATGGCGTTACGGATA	CACTTTCACCATGTCTTCT	431	55	n/a
21	TTCCCTGTGTTTTTTGCC	GCTTTATTAGCGATTTTCGTG	311	56	n/a
22	GCTTCTTCTTGGTTTCCT	GCCTATGCCATTCTATCT	367	55	n/a
23	GGAGCAGGGCAATAACAA	CCTCCCCTTTTTCATCAC	279	57	n/a
24	CAGCAGCGAGTTCAGACA	CAGGGAGTTTGGGCTAGT	351	59	KJ601506
25	AGATGAGATGAATAAGGTGG	GGAATTGTTGTCTAGGC	398	56	n/a
26	GCCAGCTCTGCAAATCAA	CACACCCAGTAGACCCTT	334	58	KJ601507
27	CGGCTATTCACGACTAATTT	CCTTCCCCTTTTCTTCTTT	262	56	n/a
28	CCCACCAAACCTTTACCC	AAAAGAATTCTCAAAGCCGC	299	57	n/a
30	CAATGCACCGAATTCTCC	GCACCATGCTAATACGCT	244	57	n/a
31	TAGCAGCAGACATGGAAG	ACAGAAGGAAGAGTAGCA	316	57	n/a
32	GTTTCTTGAATTCCTCAGT	GCTTGTGGACAGTGATT	276	56	KJ601508

Primer sets that did not amplify successfully were excluded from the table



Table S2 (continued)

B

Species	CAL																		FG1093																													
	Nt position	41	65	89	163	195	266	283	302	397	420	455	474	554	558	564	14	15	23	27	84	86	97	98	108	116	132	168	174	177	178	196	202	216	217	218	219	221	253	409	442	450	491	528				
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22563*		G	G	A	T	G	T	G	G	G	T	C	C	C	G	G	A	T	A	G	T	C	T	T	A	G	A	G	G	A	T	A	G	G	G	T	G	C	A	C	A	C	T	G				
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22564		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14803		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	A	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14809		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	A	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 15051		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	A	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14798		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 26375*		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	N	N	N	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5751*		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	A	T	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A				
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5761		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	A	T	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A				
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5751 clone																	G	C	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	G	.	.	.	.	.				
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5761 clone																	G	C	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	G	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. curvata</i> 22435		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A				
<i>C. curvata</i> 22442*		.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A				
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22445*	A	A	G	C	A	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	G	.	T	A	A	G	C	.	.	.	C	A	T	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22448	A	A	G	C	A	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	G	.	T	A	A	G	C	.	.	.	C	A	T	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22092*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	N	.	N	N	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	N	N	.	.	N	.	.	.	.	N	.	.	N	.				
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22097	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A					
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22092 clone	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.				
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22097 clone	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.				
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 10000	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 11536*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 1547	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.				
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 14799*	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.				
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24174*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24176	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24377	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. mangicola</i> 14797*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. mangicola</i> 28907	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13851*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13852	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. mangivora</i> 15052*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. mangivora</i> 27305	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. neglecta</i> 17808*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. neglecta</i> 18194	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. papillata</i> 8856*	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	G	N	N	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	G	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. papillata</i> 10844	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	G	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. platani</i> 14802*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	T	.	.	.	G	G	.	.	.	T	C	.	G	T	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	G	.	.	C	.			
<i>C. platani</i> 23450	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	T	.	.	.	G	G	.	.	.	T	C	.	G	T	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	.	G	.	.	C	.			

Table S2 (continued)

C

Species	βT 1																				RPBII																											
	Nt position	10	51	117	126	155	156	172	178	180	196	197	198-199	203	206	221	248	259	260	273	324	345	390	454	4	19	22	29	48	60	98	149	185	224	230	251	302	308	365	425	452	467	497	509	614	890	1022	1040
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22563*	C	T	C	G	C	C	C	T	T	G	C	CT	T	G	A	C	C	C	C	C	C	T	T	G	C	G	C	G	T	A	G	A	G	G	G	A	G	C	A	G	G	G	C	A	A	G	G	
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22564	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14803	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	C	.	N	N	N	N	.	.	.	.	.	N	.	N	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14809	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 15051	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14798	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	T	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	A	T	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 26375*	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	A	T	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5751*	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	A	.	G	A	.	G	.	.	A	T	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5761	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	G	.	.	.	.	A	.	G	A	.	G	.	.	A	T	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. curvata</i> 22435	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. curvata</i> 22442*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22445*	T	C	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	T	C	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	A	.	.	.	G	A	.	.	G	A	A	
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22448	T	C	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	T	C	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	A	.	.	.	G	A	.	.	G	A	A	
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22092*	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	T	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22097	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	T	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 10000	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 11536*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 1547	.	C	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 14799*	.	C	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24174*	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24176	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24377	.	.	.	A	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	
<i>C. mangicola</i> 14797*	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangicola</i> 28907	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13851*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13852	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangivora</i> 15052*	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. mangivora</i> 27305	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	C	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. neglecta</i> 17808*	.	C	T	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. neglecta</i> 18194	.	C	T	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. papillata</i> 8856*	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. papillata</i> 10844	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. platani</i> 14802*	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. platani</i> 23450	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	



**Table S2 (continued)**

**E**

Species	ITS																																											
	Nt position	6	10	13	16	19-22	24	26	27	30	33	36	58	67	107	109	110	111-116	117	118	12-122	123	129	132	133	135	139	140	141	154	156	157	158	161	163	165-167	173	177	178	179	180	182		
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22563*	T	A	G	C	-	A	C	-	G	G	-	T	-	C	G	A	AGAG	A	G	C	A	G	A	G	A	T	T	A	C	T	C	G	A	T	GT-	C	T	T	A	T	A			
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22564	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGAG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14803	.	.	.	.	TATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	.	A	.	.	.	AT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14809	.	.	.	.	TATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	.	A	.	.	.	AT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 15051	.	.	.	.	TATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	.	A	.	.	.	AT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14798	.	.	.	C	C-TA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 26375*	.	.	.	C	C-TA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5751*	.	.	A	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	T	.	.	.	.	AAGGGG	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	A	.		
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5761	.	.	A	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	T	.	.	.	.	AAGGGG	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	A	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	A	.		
<i>C. curvata</i> 22435	G	.	.	.	.	.	T	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	AGGG	.	.	C	.	.	A	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	T	A	C	C	GT-	T	.	A	T	A	T			
<i>C. curvata</i> 22442*	G	.	.	.	.	.	T	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	AGGG	.	.	C	.	.	A	.	.	T	.	.	T	.	T	A	C	C	GT-	T	.	A	T	A	T			
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22445*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	C	T	.	.	A	T	.	.	A	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	A	.	.	---	.	A	A	T	.	T	.		
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22448	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	C	T	.	.	A	T	.	.	A	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	A	.	.	---	.	A	A	T	.	T	.		
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22092*	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	C	.	A	.	.	---	.	.	.	.	T	C	.		
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22097	.	.	.	.	G	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	A	.	.	---	.	.	.	.	T	C	.		
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 10000	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGAG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 11536*	.	A	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGAG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	AT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 1547	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGGG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	AT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 14799*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGGG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	AT-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24174*	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24176	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24377	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangicola</i> 14797*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	ATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangicola</i> 28907	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	ATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13851*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGAG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	ATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13852	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AGAG	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	ATA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangivora</i> 15052*	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	C	.	.	
<i>C. mangivora</i> 27305	.	A	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	C	.	.	
<i>C. neglecta</i> 17808*	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	A	.	.	---	.	.	.	T	C	.	.		
<i>C. neglecta</i> 18194	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	A	.	.	---	.	.	.	T	C	.	.		
<i>C. papillata</i> 8856*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	TA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	C	.	.		
<i>C. papillata</i> 10844	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	TA	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	.	.	T	C	.	.		
<i>C. platani</i> 14802*	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. platani</i> 23450	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GT-	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	



**Table S2 (continued)**

**E**

Species	ITS																																														
	Nt position	184	187	189	190	191	192-200	202	203	204	206	208	213	228	276	280	286	292	314	328	336	342	361	365	376	384	395	398	403	410	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	427	437	473	479	486					
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22563*	T	C	A	G	A	-	-	T	T	T	T	A	C	C	C	A	G	G	T	G	T	A	A	C	A	A	T	G	T	A	G	A	C	T	-	C	T	T	C	G	T	C					
<i>C. acaciivora</i> 22564	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14803	A	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.				
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14809	A	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.				
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 15051	A	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.				
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 14798	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.			
<i>C. cacaofunesta</i> 26375*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.				
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5751*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. colombiana</i> 5761	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. curvata</i> 22435	.	T	C	A	G	.	-	A	.	A	.	.	G	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.			
<i>C. curvata</i> 22442*	.	T	C	A	G	.	-	A	.	A	.	.	G	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22445*	.	T	T	T	G	TTAGAAATT	G	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	G	A	A	A	A	G	T	T	G	T	G	C	A	C	C	A	.	.	.	.	C	.	G	A	C	T	.	.	.			
<i>C. diversiconidia</i> 22448	.	T	T	T	G	TTAAAAATT	G	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	G	A	A	A	A	G	T	T	G	T	G	C	A	C	C	A	.	.	.	.	C	.	G	A	C	T	.	.	.			
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22092*	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. ecuadoriana</i> 22097	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 10000	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. eucalypticola</i> 11536*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 1547	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.		
<i>C. fimbriata</i> 14799*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	.		
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24174*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24176	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. fimbriatomima</i> 24377	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangicola</i> 14797*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. mangicola</i> 28907	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13851*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. manginecans</i> 13852	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>C. mangivora</i> 15052*	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.	.		
<i>C. mangivora</i> 27305	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	-	A	.	C	.	.	.	C	.	.	.		
<i>C. neglecta</i> 17808*	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. neglecta</i> 18194	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. papillata</i> 8856*	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. papillata</i> 10844	.	.	T	A	.	-	.	.	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
<i>C. platani</i> 14802*	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	A	C	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
<i>C. platani</i> 23450	.	.	.	G	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	A	C	T	.	C	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		

Identical nucleotides are indicated as dots, missing data as ‘N’ and indels as a dash. If a nucleotide difference was only present in one of the 34 isolates it was excluded from the table. The type strain of each species is indicated by \*. Variable sites that can, in combination, distinguish between species are highlighted in grey