



OPEN

Molecular model of a sensor of two-component signaling system

Yury L. Ryzhykau^{1,11}, Philipp S. Orekhov^{1,11}, Maksim I. Rulev^{2,3,4,11}, Alexey V. Vlasov^{1,5}, Igor A. Melnikov^{1,2}, Dmytro A. Volkov^{3,4}, Mikhail Yu. Nikolaev^{1,3}, Dmitrii V. Zabelskii^{1,3,4}, Tatiana N. Murugova^{1,6}, Vladimir V. Chupin¹, Andrey V. Rogachev^{1,6}, Andrey Yu. Gruzinov⁷, Dmitri I. Svergun⁷, Martha E. Brennich⁸, Ivan Yu. Gushchin¹, Montserrat Soler-Lopez^{1,2}, Arne Bothe⁹, Georg Büldt¹, Gordon Leonard^{1,2}, Martin Engelhard⁹, Alexander I. Kuklin^{1,6}✉ & Valentin I. Gordeliy^{1,3,4,10}✉

Two-component systems (TCS) are widespread signaling systems present in all domains of life. TCS typically consist of a signal receptor/transducer and a response regulator. The receptors (histidine kinases, chemoreceptors and photoreceptors) are often embedded in the membrane and have a similar modular structure. Chemoreceptors were shown to function in highly ordered arrays, with trimers of dimers being the smallest functional unit. However, much less is known about photoreceptors. Here, we use small-angle scattering (SAS) to show that detergent-solubilized sensory rhodopsin II in complex with its cognate transducer forms dimers at low salt concentration, which associate into trimers of dimers at higher buffer molarities. We then fit an atomistic model of the whole complex into the SAS data. The obtained results suggest that the trimer of dimers is "tripod"-shaped and that the contacts between the dimers occur only through their cytoplasmic regions, whereas the transmembrane regions remain unconnected.

Two-component systems (TCS) are present in all domains of Life. They are the most common signaling systems in prokaryotes but are absent in mammals, making them potential antimicrobial drug targets¹. A recent work indicated that TCS networks expressed in mammalian cells might pave the way for orthogonal signaling². However, this will require more atomic level detail concerning their structure/function relationships. In this regard, detailed information has been obtained for chemotaxis and photoreceptor TCS of motile enteric bacteria and archaea, respectively^{3,4}. This family of TCS consist of a transmembrane receptor, which interacts with a His-kinase (CheA) and adaptor proteins (CheW) attached at their cytoplasmic tips. The response regulators CheY and CheB are phosphorylated by CheA and function either as a switch factor for the flagellar motor (CheY) or as an adaptation component (CheB)⁵ (Fig. 1A). Chemotaxis receptors of motile bacteria form homodimers comprised of extracellular sensor domain and transmembrane regions, and a long rod-shaped cytoplasmic domain. For photoreceptors, the sensor domain constitutes a microbial rhodopsin which forms a 2:2 complex with its cognate transducer (Htr). Transducers have a long cytoplasmic domain, similarly to chemoreceptors, with which they share a high level of homology^{4,6} (Fig. 1B). In literature, the term "sensor" usually means the first component of the two-component system. In the case of chemotaxis, it is a chemoreceptor, which has the so-called sensory

¹Research Center for Molecular Mechanisms of Aging and Age-Related Diseases, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700 Dolgoprudny, Russia. ²Structural Biology Group, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38000 Grenoble, France. ³Institute of Biological Information Processing (IBI-7: Structural Biochemistry), Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany. ⁴JuStruct: Jülich Center for Structural Biology, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52428 Jülich, Germany. ⁵Institute of Crystallography, University of Aachen (RWTH), Jaegerstrasse 17-19, 52056 Aachen, Germany. ⁶Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia. ⁷European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg Outstation, 22607 Hamburg, Germany. ⁸Synchrotron Crystallography Team, EMBL Grenoble Outstation, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38042 Grenoble, France. ⁹Department Structural Biochemistry, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, 44227 Dortmund, Germany. ¹⁰Institut de Biologie Structurale Jean-Pierre Ebel, Université Grenoble Alpes-Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives-CNRS, 38027 Grenoble, France. ¹¹These authors contributed equally: Yury L. Ryzhykau, Philipp S. Orekhov and Maksim I. Rulev. ✉email: kuklin@nf.jinr.ru; g.valentin@fz-juelich.de




Figure 1. Signal transduction pathway in case of the two-component phototaxis system of *Natronomonas pharaonis*⁵ and domain architecture of membrane chemo- and photoreceptors of TCS. (A) Light activated sensory rhodopsin II (*NpSR11*) induces conformational and/or dynamical changes in the transducer (*NpHtrII*), which are converted by two HAMP domains and conveyed along the 200 Å long transducer to the tip region. Activated by the transducer histidine kinase CheA (bound to the adapter protein CheW) undergoes auto-phosphorylation and further transfers the phosphate group to the response regulators CheY or CheB. CheY affects the rotational bias of the flagellar motor, while the methyl-erasure CheB along with the methyltransferase CheR controls the adaptation mechanism. (B) Cartoon representations of the chemoreceptor dimer (Tar and Tsr in complex with kinases) from *E. coli* and of the photosensor dimer of the complex of the sensory rhodopsin II with its cognate transducer *NpHtrII* and kinases from *N. pharaonis*.

domain. In the case of a photoreceptor, the sensory domain is represented by a sensory rhodopsin. Thus, the complex of a sensory rhodopsin with the transducer plays the role of a sensor of TCS. We use the term "sensor" in our work meaning that it is the first part of the phototaxis TCS.

The cytoplasmic domain of Htr has a modular design comprising a transmembrane region, two HAMP domains (connected by a helical inter-HAMP region⁷) and an extended adaptation module bearing reversible methylation sites and containing a Gly-hinge. The adjacent signaling region harbors the binding sites for the adaptor protein CheW and the histidine kinase CheA. Interestingly, chemoreceptors from enteric bacteria possess only one HAMP-domain⁸ in contrast to archaeal transducers⁹.

Despite a wealth of biochemical data, the molecular mechanism of the signal transduction by TCSs is still to be fully revealed. A common understanding concerns the alternating dynamics of the cytoplasmic domains. Both chemoreceptors and Htrs display different dynamics in adjoining modules, which has been correlated with the signal transfer along the cytoplasmic rod^{5,10}. A possible role of hinges between the modules could be the steric accommodation of the dimers into trimers of dimers and oligomers of higher order^{11,12}. These trimers of dimers constitute the functional unit capable of activating CheA¹³. Together with CheA and CheW, trimers form the core unit of the extended signaling arrays¹⁴, compact membrane-attached assemblages of signaling units responsible for amplification of the incoming stimulus^{15–17}.

An important *sine qua non* of a full understanding of the mechanism of signal transfer along the trimers of dimers is a high-resolution structural information for a full-length TCS sensor. However, three-dimensional, near atomic-resolution X-ray structures have only been published for fragments of histidine kinases^{9,18–20} and chemoreceptors^{21–23}, and for a truncated phototaxis-transducer complex from *N. pharaonis*²⁴. For this latter, NMR data are also available²⁵. Also, several atomistic models of *E. coli* chemoreceptors were built based on low-resolution EM data, particularly, the homodimer of the aspartate receptor Tar¹¹, the trimers-of-dimers of the cytoplasmic domains of serine chemoreceptors in different signaling states²⁶, and the chemosensory array core signaling unit formed by a mixture of receptors with different adaptational modifications²⁷. This insufficiency of high-resolution descriptions of the structures of full-length TCS sensors is probably due to the inherent flexibility of their cytoplasmic domains^{5,10,11}. However, small-angle scattering (SAS) is a low-resolution method, which

can be highly efficient when combined with available high-resolution structures of protein fragments using computer modeling^{28,29}. An important advantage of SAS is that structural data can be collected for solubilized protein complexes in conditions close to their native environment.

*NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II mediates negative phototaxis in halobacterial *N. pharaonis* and represents a model TCS sensor and, similarly to chemoreceptors³⁰, the *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II complex forms trimers of dimers in the *N. pharaonis* membrane³¹. *N. pharaonis* grows optimally at 3.5 M NaCl³². It has been shown that structure and oligomerization state of the *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II strongly depend on salt concentration³³. Here, we describe the full-length structure of a sensory rhodopsin II/transducer complex (*NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II) by integrating published high-resolution structural data with SAS measurements. Structural information was gained for *NpSR*II in complex with the transmembrane domain of *NpHtr*II, which show a dimeric organization in different activated states^{24,34,35}. For the rest of the *NpHtr*II, homologues were chosen including the HAMP domains of Aer2 cytoplasmic sensor²³ and for the hypothetical transmembrane receptor AF1503³⁶, sensor histidine kinase NarQ^{18–20,37}, kinase control modules including the methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein I (Tsr) from *E. coli*²² and the chemotaxis protein from *Thermatoga maritima*^{38,39}. Our work both confirms the dependence of oligomeric state on ionic strength and provides molecular models of the dimeric and "trimer of dimers" forms of the full-length complex. In our manuscript, we used the term "molecular model" meaning a model, which is constructed by molecular modeling with the high-resolution structures of the fragments of full-length protein (transmembrane domain, HAMP1 and HAMP2 domains and Kinase control module) under low resolution SAS constraints and verified with available biochemical and biophysical literature data. The results obtained allow us to suggest a "tripod"-shaped model for the full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II trimer of dimers in which the dimers associate solely through contacts between their cytoplasmic domains.

Ionic strength dependence of the oligomerization state of the *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II complex

In order to investigate conditions, under which trimers of dimers are formed, we performed SAXS (small angle X-ray scattering), SANS (small angle neutron scattering) and CD (circular dichroism) experiments (Fig. S1) at different salt concentrations on solutions of detergent solubilized [n-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (DDM)] full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II as well as with truncated *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II₁₃₇. *NpHtr*II₁₃₇ comprises the transmembrane region (1–83 a. a.) and the HAMP1 domain (84–136 a. a.) of *NpHtr*II.

At low salt conditions SAXS scattering curves for the truncated complex were fitted well ($\chi^2 = 1.5$) using a model of a dimer of *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II₁₃₇ surrounded by a detergent belt (see "Methods" for the details) (Fig. 2A, middle, Table S1), strongly suggesting that, under the conditions employed, interactions between the membrane and/or HAMP1 domains of *NpHtr*II₁₃₇ are sufficient to induce dimerization.

A fit based on an optimized dimer model (see "Methods" for the modeling details) containing a "straight" adaptational domain (Fig. 2A, bottom) showed reasonable ($\chi^2 = 0.7$) agreement with experimental low salt condition SANS data (this also applies to the matching of the distance distribution functions, see Fig. 2D). However, complementary SAXS experiments, performed as for *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II₁₃₇ at a synchrotron source, suggested that the optimized model featuring the "straight" adaptation domain does not fully describe the overall structure of a full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II dimer. Here, a fit between the experimental scattering curve and that calculated from the "straight transducer" model yields $\chi^2 = 5.1$. This can be improved ($\chi^2 = 3.4$) using a calculated scattering curve obtained by combining a series of models in which bends of between -90° and 90° (with the step of 30°) were induced in the HAMP1-, HAMP2- and Gly-regions (see "Methods", Fig. 2, Fig. S2 and Supplementary Text document S1 for details). This procedure produced a noticeably improved fit of the distance distribution functions calculated from the experimental and theoretical scattering curves, particularly in the 160–370 Å region (Fig. 2C). This range of distances corresponds in reciprocal space to the range of $q < 0.04 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, in which there is a significant improvement in agreement with experimental SAXS data when the flexible hinges model is used (see Fig. 2B). This observation suggests presence of multiple conformational states of full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II dimers that can be described as structural polydispersity of the model that is in line with EM studies¹¹ showing that bending of Tar chemoreceptor dimers occurs at flexible HAMP and/or Gly hinges. Moreover, it has also been suggested that this bending may play a crucial role in the formation of the hexagonal arrays of membrane receptors in complex with kinases CheA and CheW¹². Nevertheless, we cannot rule out that such conformational flexibility may be a result of low ionic strength in which the transducer domain is partially disordered. Indeed, in line with the results of other studies^{33,40}, CD-spectra of the full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II complex under different salt conditions (Fig. S1) suggest partial disordering of *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II dimers.

While both SAXS and SANS experiments clearly suggest that *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II mainly forms dimers at low ionic strength, this is not the case at increasing salt concentrations. Figure 3A shows the SANS scattering curve for the full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II measured in a buffer containing 4.0 M NaCl. This cannot be satisfactorily matched by a theoretical curve calculated from the polydisperse dimers described above and to obtain a reasonable fit it was necessary to calculate a theoretical scattering curve based upon a mixture *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II dimers and trimers of dimers. Two different forms of trimers of dimers were constructed for use in our calculations. Firstly, similar to a previously proposed model of the trimer of dimers⁵, the direction of bending angles at the HAMP and Gly hinges was such that inter-dimer contacts are induced both between the transmembrane regions of dimers and their cytoplasmic tips (see Fig. 4A, Fig. S3). For the second model, the direction of bending angles at the HAMP and Gly hinges was introduced such that the transmembrane regions at the base of the trimer of dimers adopt a tripod-type disposition and inter-dimer contacts are formed only between the tips of the cytoplasmic domains of each dimer (Fig. 4B). In both cases, the detergent belt was applied as described for the SANS analysis at the low salt (see above, Fig. 2A, bottom). Fitting the experimental SANS curve obtained for *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II at 4.0 M NaCl to a theoretical curve based on a mixture of *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II dimers and trimers of




Figure 2. Dimerization of *NpSR II/NpHtr II*₁₃₇ and of full-length *NpSR II/NpHtr II* at low salt concentration. (A) Bottom—experimental SANS curve for *NpSR II/NpHtr II* (purple hollow rhombus) and CRYSON fit ($\chi^2=0.7$, corresponding blue line) based on a model (shown on the left of the curves) of an *NpSR II/NpHtr II* dimer with a detergent corona. Middle—experimental SAXS curve for the *NpSR II/NpHtr II*₁₃₇ dimer (red circles) and MEMPROT fit ($\chi^2=1.5$, corresponding blue line) based on a model (shown near the corresponding curve) of the *NpSR II/NpHtr II*₁₃₇ dimer with the detergent corona. Top—experimental SAXS curve for full-length *NpSR II/NpHtr II* (dark yellow triangles) and two theoretical approximations. The first (blue solid line) is a CRYSON fit ($\chi^2=5.1$) based on a "straight" model of the *NpSR II/NpHtr II* dimer. The second (brown pointed line) is a fit ($\chi^2=3.4$) based on a combination of modified models of the *NpSR II/NpHtr II* dimer (see "Methods", Fig. S2 and Text document S1 for details). While the difference between the two fits is not immediately apparent, the improved fit of the second approximation is evident when considering of the relative residuals of the fit in the region in reciprocal space $q < 0.04 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (see B), that corresponds to the distances $> 160 \text{ \AA}$ in a real space. For this range of distances, discrepancy between the experimental distance distribution function and theoretical one obtained for the "straight" model of the *NpSR II/NpHtr II* dimer is evident (see C). In the representations of the atomic models, the detergent belt is shown in red. (B) Relative residuals of theoretical approximations and experimental SAXS data obtained for full-length *NpSR II/NpHtr II* (A, top). Data related to the "straight" model is shown as blue solid line; data related to the combination of modified models is shown as brown pointed line. (C) Distance distribution functions calculated from the SAXS curves shown in (A) (designations are the same as in A). (D) Distance distribution functions calculated from SANS data shown in (A) (designations are the same as in A).

dimers, inter-dimer contacts in which are induced both between the transmembrane regions of dimers and their cytoplasmic tips (Fig. 4A), resulted in $\chi^2=5.4$ (Fig. 3A). In contrast, fitting the same SANS curve to a theoretical curve based on a mixture of *NpSR II/NpHtr II* dimers and "tripod"-shaped trimers of dimers (Fig. 4B) resulted in $\chi^2=1.3$ (Fig. 3B). Pair distance distribution function calculated from experimental SANS data obtained at 4.0 M NaCl has two peaks (see Fig. 3C). The position of the first peak ($r \sim 30 \text{ \AA}$) allows one to interpret it as a peak from dimers, while the position of the second peak ($r \sim 100 \text{ \AA}$) is in a good agreement with the position of the peak corresponding to the "tripod"-shaped model of the trimer of dimers (see Fig. 3C). The conformation of trimer of dimers of the *NpSR II/NpHtr II* SANS experiments at NaCl concentrations 1.4 M and 2.8 M (Fig. S4) produced similar results but indicated an increasing fraction of tripod-style trimers of dimers with increasing salt concentration. Resulting weight fractions of "tripod"-shaped trimers of dimers are $18 \pm 3\%$, $28 \pm 2\%$ and $36 \pm 2\%$ for 1.4 M, 2.8 M and 4.0 M, respectively (Fig. S5, Table S2). These results support that the formation of *NpSR II/NpHtr II* trimers of dimers at high salt concentration is likely to occur through the contacts of the cytoplasmic tips of dimers with their transmembrane parts remaining unconnected (Fig. 4B).




Figure 3. SANS curve for *NpSRII/NpHtrII* at 4.0 M NaCl and corresponding distance distribution functions. (A) Experimental scattering curve for *NpSRII/NpHtrII* at 4.0 M NaCl (orange squares) fitted with $\chi^2 = 5.5$ to a theoretical curve (blue line) calculated for a mixture of *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimers and trimers of dimers which inter-dimer contacts are induced both between the transmembrane regions of dimers and their cytoplasmic tips (Fig. 4A). (B) Experimental scattering curve for *NpSRII/NpHtrII* at 4.0 M NaCl (orange squares) fitted with $\chi^2 = 1.3$ to a theoretical curve (blue line) calculated for a mixture of *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimers and "tripod"-shaped trimers of dimers (Fig. 4B). (C) Distance distribution function calculated from the experimental curve shown in (A,B) (orange squares), and theoretical distance distribution functions of the dimers (grey line), the "tripod"-shaped (Fig. 4B) trimers of dimers (brown line) and the "transmembrane-bound" conformation of trimer of dimers of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* (Fig. 4A) (black line). For greater clarity, distance distribution functions were normalized to obtain maximum values of 1.0 for the experimental and 0.6 for the theoretical curves.

A molecular model of the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex

The tripod-like model of the structure of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* trimer of dimers (Fig. 4B) which provides the best fit to the experimental SANS scattering curve obtained at 4.0 M NaCl (Fig. 3B) was constructed based on the high-resolution structures of individual fragments of the TCSs sensors from *E. coli*, *N. pharaonis*, and *T. maritima*^{18,22,24,34,35,37–39}. The initial model obtained was further optimized using molecular dynamics simulations (see "Methods"). In the resulting model, the transmembrane domains of individual dimers are separated but their cytoplasmic tips form a tight interface. As expected, given that modelling of the cytoplasmic domain was based on the X-ray structure of the highly conserved interface of *E. coli* Tsr²², dimer-dimer interactions (Fig. 4D) are mediated by a number of inter-residue contacts involving amino acids conserved across homologs of *NpHtrII* (see logo plot in Fig. 4G). For example, E355 of one dimer forms a salt bridge with R358' of a neighboring dimer (Fig. 4E); a compact hydrophobic patch is formed by L348, I354' and L350'; hydrogen bonds are formed between Q344 and K374' (Fig. 4F). In addition, stacking between the aromatic rings of F366-F366' stabilizes the intra-dimer interface similar to bacterial chemoreceptors⁴¹ (Fig. 4E).

Discussion

In present study, the combination of SAS and molecular modeling has allowed us to propose a molecular model of the full-length phototaxis complex from the halophilic archaeon *N. pharaonis* and to reveal the effect of salt concentration on its oligomeric state and dynamics.

We verified our molecular model with available biochemical and biophysical literature data. The monomer–monomer interactions between transducers are in accordance with the following high-resolution structural data. The dimer of the transmembrane domain is based on its available structure (PDB code 1h2s²⁴). Dimers of HAMP1 and HAMP2 domains are constructed according to homology with HAMP domain of NarQ from *E. coli* (PDB code 5jeq¹⁸). The cytoplasmic domain (kinase control module) is presented by the coiled-coil of two antiparallel helices connected by a "U-turn", as it was shown for cytoplasmic domains of TsrQ from *E. coli* (PDB code 1qu7²²), MCP from *Termostoga maritima* (PDB code 2ch7³⁸), etc. In these cases, coiled-coil structure stabilized by interactions between the extended interfaces consisting of hydrophobic amino acids, mainly leucines. Dimer–dimer interactions (Fig. 4D) are mediated by a number of inter-residue contacts involving amino acids conserved across homologs of *NpHtrII* (see logo plot, Fig. 4G).

Positions of highly conservative residues 345–355 in the cytoplasmic tip domain of *NpHtrII* in our molecular model of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* trimer of dimers are in a good agreement with the EPR studies by Orban-Glaß et al.⁴³. In that work, the dynamic and structural properties of the cytoplasmic tip domain of *NpHtrII* were investigated using site-directed spin labeling electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. Distance distributions obtained by double electron–electron resonance typically have three peaks, which can be interpreted as three characteristic inter-spin distances that correspond to three groups of inter-residue distances (see Fig. S6A,B). This finding supports the fact that archaeal photoreceptor/transducer complexes form trimers of dimers analogous to methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins at high salt concentrations. Comparison of the distance between the residues labeled with a spin label showed that the distance between the nearest isoleucines I347 decreases with an increase in the salt concentration from 500 mM to 2 M NaCl (Fig. S6C). This rearrangement indicates that




Figure 4. Optimized molecular models of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* trimer of dimers. (A,B) Molecular models of the "transmembrane-bound" (A) and the "tripod"-shaped (B) trimer of dimers. Individual polypeptide chains are colored differently. Putative methylation sites are represented as spheres. (C) Putative methylation sites in the methyl-accepting region of a single dimer of the complex. (D) Inter-dimer contacts within the highly conservative tip region of the cytoplasmic domain of the "tripod"-shaped trimer of dimers. Key amino acid residues are shown with those belonging to the partnering dimer labeled by apostrophe. (E) Cross-section view highlighting the formation of ionic locks between E355-R358' (homologous to E385 and R388' from Tsr⁴² and stacking between F366 (equivalent to F396 of Tsr) and F366'. (F) Cross section depicting contacts between conservative K374' and Q344 residues, and hydrophobic contacts between I347. (G) Logo plot showing conservation of residues in the tip region involved in the formation of the trimer contacts.

a trimer of dimers is formed with I347 facing inside the resulting structure (see Fig. 4E, Fig. S6A), playing an important role in the formation of inter-dimer contact due to hydrophobic interaction.

At low ionic strength (150 mM NaCl), our SAS data are most compatible with a dimeric conformation for both the truncated *NpSRII/NpHtrII*₁₃₇ and for full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII*. In the latter, the very long cytoplasmic domain of the dimeric *NpHtrII* transducer appear to be highly flexible, corroborating the intrinsically dynamic nature of chemo- and photoreceptors described in some recent publications (e.g.^{10,40,44}). Coupled with the conclusions of a previous study that the cytoplasmic domain of *NpHtrII*'s (*pHtrII*-cyt) does not form dimers at low ionic strength³³, our results imply that only the transmembrane region is required for dimerization of *NpSRII/NpHtrII*. However, this observation is in contrast to that obtained for a similar construct (*NpSRII/NpHtrII*₁₅₇ containing HAMP1 and inter-HAMP (137–156 a. a.) domains). This might be due to a lower detergent concentration used in the current experiments.

While the minimum construct required for *NpSRII/NpHtrII* to form dimers may still be a matter for debate, our SAS data obtained at low ionic strength clearly suggest that full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* forms dimers under such conditions (see Fig. 2A). In order to improve the agreement between theoretical and experimental scattering curves data, we generated models of different possible conformations of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimers which, while maintaining an elongated shape for the *NpHtrII* dimers, considered both bending at its flexible hinges¹¹ and partial unfolding^{33,40} of this domain at low salt conditions. Our results confirm a highly dynamic nature of the transducer dimer at low salt: the root mean square deviations of bending angles from the zero value are in the range from 50° to 60° for all the three HAMP1-, HAMP2-, and Gly- hinges (see Fig. S2).

For the cytoplasmic domain of the *NpHtrII* (234–504 a. a.), Budyak et al.³³ also observed a partial random coil configuration at low salt concentrations. Due to the difficulty to unequivocally determine the amount of random coil structures, these authors argued in light of their SANS in favor of a highly dynamic helical rod at low salt conditions. This finding has been confirmed by subsequent results published in the work⁴⁰, according to which *pHtrII*-cyt has a strong propensity for helix. Firstly, *pHtrII*-cyt has a high helix propensity inferred from the sequence; *pHtrII*-cyt is predicted to be a helical coiled-coil based on a homology to the Tst-cyt²². Secondly, despite that at low ionic strength deconvolution of CD-spectra the secondary structure values are 77% unstructured, 20% turns and β -sheets, and only 3% α -helices, it was also shown by control experiments with helix-inducing solvent 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE) that a gradual rise in negative ellipticity at 222 nm occurs with increasing amounts of TFE. Thus, despite "random-coil" spectroscopic features, *pHtrII*-cyt can exist as a highly flexible, loosely packed but folded helical coiled-coil. The results of the comparison of CD spectra from the work⁴⁰ with and without TFE suggest that, despite the presence of β -sheets in the deconvolution of CD spectra (see Fig. S1B), β -sheets do not necessarily have to be present in the final molecular model. Moreover, numerous algorithms for the estimation of the secondary structure composition from the CD spectra often fail to provide acceptable results on α/β -mixed or β -structure-rich proteins due to spectral variety and lower spectral amplitudes of the β -structures^{45,46}. For these reasons, the secondary structure of the molecular model proposed in our work is predominantly α -helical.

Despite the fact that isolated *pHtrII*-cyt is in monomeric form under conditions of low salt concentration³³, this domain forms dimers under these conditions when the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex is full length. The coiled-coil does not disappear, which is confirmed by the results of work⁴³, where the distances between residues for the cytoplasmic tip of the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* studied by the EPR method at low salt concentration are the same as they should be in a dimer (see Fig. S6C). These are the reasons why we used the model where the protein fragments between the flexible hinges present elongated (not globular) structures. Additionally far-UV CD data (Fig. S1) obtained in our work under low salt conditions showed conformational disordering of *NpSRII/NpHtrII*. The Kratky plots (Fig. S7) also confirm this: the obtained curves have maximum values at $q R_G \sim 4$ to 7, which are higher than expected for globular particles and are typical for elongated and/or flexible proteins^{47,48}. After the maximum, the curves show a tendency to decrease to zero, which excludes complete unfolding of proteins⁴⁹.

It has been shown that the chimeric proteins *NpSRII-NpHtrII-Tar* and *NpSRII-NpHtrII-Tsr*, which contain the transmembrane region and a truncated HAMP1 domain (a. a. 1–125) of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex and the cytoplasmic domain of either *StTar* or *EcTsr*, can mediate phototaxis in *E. coli*⁵⁰. This suggests that both chimeric complexes are able to transduce signal at low salt conditions. This, taken together with our results, implies that destabilization of the remaining fragments of the cytoplasmic domains of which are not included in these chimera is the main reason for blocking the formation of the trimers of dimers of native *NpSRII/NpHtrII* at low ionic strength. It also suggests that at high salt concentration corresponding to the physiological range of halophilic archaea, a reordering of the cytoplasmic domains takes place and this allows the dimers to assemble into trimers of dimers, implying that the transmembrane region of *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex either is not sufficient to mediate formation of trimers-of-dimers or, consistent with our "tripod"-shaped model, is not involved into the trimerization of dimers.

The observed salt concentration-induced structural changes of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* system may have two possible biological 'roles'. As pointed above, haloarchaeon *N. pharaonis* lives in the highly saline environment and the complex must be optimized to these conditions. If the phototaxis system would remain functional under the low salt conditions, it could drive the microorganisms to regions with optimal insolation regardless of the salt concentration that would ultimately lead to their death. On the other hand, we cannot also completely exclude that disordering (i.e. decreasing of secondary structure elements and increasing of conformational flexibility) of the complex may generate signal allowing the archaea to move towards higher salt concentration and avoid environments with low salinity. The salt-driven equilibrium between dynamic and compact conformations, which was observed for the *NpHtrII* HAMP1 domain by EPR⁴⁴, supports this idea.

SANS scattering curves at higher salt concentrations (Fig. 3) clearly indicate the formation of a trimer of dimers, the fraction of which increases as does the ionic strength. Moreover, the best fit to the SANS data at higher ionic strength (Fig. 3B) is obtained by modelling a tripod-like shape, in which only the cytoplasmic tips of *NpHtrII* dimers are involved in inter-dimer contacts (Fig. 4B). This is in agreement with previous EPR studies suggesting that *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimers may form oligomers of higher order (e.g., trimers of dimers) due to interactions between the transducer tips alone⁴³. In our model, inter-dimer separations in the regions containing putative sites (Fig. 4C) of methylation/demethylation (determined by homology with *HsHtrII* of *Halobacterium salinarum*⁷, see alignment in Text document S2) vary between 30 and 40 Å (Fig. S8). Thus, they are all potentially accessible to modifications by the chemotaxis methyltransferase CheR and methyltransferase CheB (the radii of gyration calculated for CheR and CheB from *Salmonella typhimurium* (PDB IDs 1AF7 and 1A2O) being approximately 21 Å and 20 Å, respectively).

To the date, there is very little structural information available for full-length *E. coli* Tar/Tsr chemoreceptors or other chemoreceptors in either their demethylated (OFF) or methylated (ON) states. Our experiments provide a complete description of the demethylated complex (OFF) while a recent study by Burt et al.²⁷ describes a model




Figure 5. Inter-dimer distances between transmembrane parts of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimers. (A) Characteristic distances between dimers in 2D-array proposed in³¹. (B) The "tripod"-shaped model of the trimer of dimers (Fig. 4B) demonstrating the inter-dimer distance of 9.0 nm.

based on a mixture of receptors with a wide range of adaptational modifications. In the later study, the authors were able to obtain the 3D cryo-ET map of the full-length *E. coli* chemoreceptor array together with the CheW/CheA baseplate in micelles with the local resolution varying between ~ 15 and 30 Å. They further constructed all-atom models of the *E. coli* CheA.P3.P4.P5 dimer, CheW monomer, and membrane-bound, full-length Tsr homodimer using coordinates from existing high-resolution crystallographic structures where available. The two models, share remarkable similarity in the tip region, where a number of key interactions appear concurrent despite the fact that they apparently correspond to different signaling states. This is very likely due to inherent bias of the both models towards the 1QU7 structure²², which was obtained for the QQQQ Tsr analogue (and thus it likely corresponds to the ON-state) which was used to model the trimeric contacts of the cytoplasmic tips of dimers in both studies. On the other hand, it stems from the high structural conservation of cytoplasmic receptor arrays between Bacteria and Archaea⁵¹. However, the lower local resolution of the periplasmic and transmembrane regions of the model report in²⁷ (apparently due to the large separation between the well-resolved cytoplasmic regions of the Tsr/Tar array and its periplasmic ligand-binding domains, combined with the relative flexibility of the cytoplasmic methylation helix bundle of the receptors) and the lack of homology between these fragments of bacterial chemoreceptors and the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* restrains further comparison of our results with the model of Burt et al.

Trimers of dimers are essential for the formation of large membrane signaling arrays of both photo- and chemoreceptors¹⁴. The absence of CheA/CheW in our experiments does not allow us to identify oligomers larger than trimers of dimers; however, our structure provides potential routes for the formation of the membrane arrays from the "tripod"-shaped trimers of dimers. One of the possibilities is that the transmembrane sensory domains of dimers within each trimer of dimers are able to form a trimeric contact in a native environment and are further packed with the neighboring trimers of dimers³¹. On the other hand, the "tripod"-shaped model for the trimer of dimers is also compatible with lattice models suggesting that trimeric contacts at the cytoplasmic part do not match trimeric contacts between the transmembrane domains (Fig. 5). Both scenarios seem feasible, taking into account the plasticity of dimers at the three flexible hinges discussed above, though the *summae* of evidences existing to the date supports the latter one. Further investigations should shed light on structural organization of photoreceptor arrays.

Here a combination of SAXS and SANS allowed us to study conditions of the trimer-of-dimers formation from the individual *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimers. SANS experiments allowed us to propose a "tripod"-shaped structural model for trimers of dimers of full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* in which contacts between dimers occur only at the tips of the cytoplasmic regions, leaving the membrane domains unhinged. In the case of SAXS the change of the salt concentration corresponds to a wide range of the scattering length density (SLD) of the buffer, which would result in a contrast variation simultaneously with the changes of the oligomeric state. Such data would be difficult to treat, also because of a detergent belt for which the contrast variation is a big challenge itself. An electron density of a buffer varies in a range from 0.334 e/Å³ (pure H₂O or D₂O) to ~0.37 e/Å³ (4 M NaCl in H₂O), that means the changes of about ~ 11%; electron densities in a protein/detergent system are ~ 0.42, 0.275 and 0.515 e/Å³ for a protein, hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts of a detergent belt, respectively. It means that ΔSLD values for different components of detergent/protein complexes have different sign and their ratio critically depends on SLD of the buffer, i.e. on salt concentration. This leads to changes in SAXS I(q) profiles caused by this salt concentration changes. In contrast to SAXS data, in the case of SANS the SLD of the solvent is slightly

changed with the increase of the salt concentration [see Table S2a). SLD of a D₂O buffer changes from $6.404 \times 10^{-6} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ to $6.187 \times 10^{-6} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ at the increase of salinity from zero to 4 M (see the section (a) of the Table S2)], that means about 3%. The SLDs of a protein and the hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts of a detergent belt are about $2.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$, $-0.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ and $3.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$, respectively, i.e. $\Delta \text{SLD} < 0$ and have the same sign. It allows treating SANS data with focusing on a detailed distribution of oligomeric state, which has a more significant influence on a SANS 1D scattering profile than the changes in SLD contrasts at different salt concentrations have.

Our small angle scattering experiments open up prospects for further successful use of this technique for studies of the TCS sensors. Some studies report huge conformational changes of the tertiary structure of the transducer after activation of the photosensor. They are manifested by a change of the diffusion coefficient (and, consequently, of the Stokes radius) of the protein complex and can be explained by unfolding of HAMP domains during signal transfer^{52,53}. The changes in the Stokes radius, as well as in the radius of gyration, could be easily detected and characterized using SAS (direct measurements by SAXS). Furthermore, small-angle scattering experiments could provide detailed information about the shape of the molecule, including those arising from changes in tertiary and quaternary structure and/or conformational rearrangements. These changes could, for example, be monitored/characterized via standard SAS experiments with a continuously light-irradiated sample⁵⁴, or using time-resolved SAXS technique^{55,56} applied for the protein solution after the short flash of light. For the particular case studied here there are known mutations of the *NpSR*II (D75N) and in the *NpHtr*II (G83F), which can be used for this purpose⁵⁷ and such experiments may help to obtain detailed structural information concerning the mechanism of activation of *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II trimer of dimers.

Methods

Cloning. *NpSR*II (UniProt ID P42196) and *NpHtr*II (UniProt ID P42259) genes were optimized for expression in *Escherichia coli* using GeneArt services⁵⁸. The Strep-tag II (WSHPQFEK with ENS-linker) and 6xHis-tag (with ENS-linker as well) were added to C-termini of the *NpSR*II and *NpHtr*II respectively. The modified *NpSR*II and *NpHtr*II genes were cloned into pSCodon1.2 vector using *Nhe*I-AccIII and *Nde*I-*Nhe*I restriction enzymes (FastDigest series, ThermoFisher, Massachusetts, USA), respectively. The gene of the truncated transducer *NpHtr*II₁₃₇ was obtained from *NpHtr*II by PCR. Positive clones were identified by restriction analysis and confirmed by sequencing. *NpSR*II and *NpHtr*II (or *NpHtr*II₁₃₇) genes were then cloned in tandem into the co-expression vector pET27bmod⁵⁹ via *Bgl*II-*Not*I and *Not*I-*Blp*I restriction sites. *E. coli* strain Top10 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used throughout.

Co-expression of *NpSR*II and *NpHtr*II₁₃₇. The non-fused protein complex was co-expressed in *E. coli* cells, strain BL21(DE3) (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Briefly, the culture was grown at 37 °C in Terrific Broth (TB) media with 100 mM of Na/K-Pi (pH 6.7), 25 mM of ammonium sulfate and 100 mg/L of kanamycin. Expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG), at OD₆₀₀ = 1.6–2.0. Simultaneously, a concentrated solution of all-*trans* retinal in ethanol was added to a final concentration 10 μM retinal. Cells were further cultivated for 4 h at 37 °C.

Co-expression of *NpSR*II and full-length *NpHtr*II. For SAXS measurements, the non-fused protein complex was co-expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21-AI (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The culture was grown at 37 °C in TB media with 70 mM of Na/K-Pi (pH 6.7) and 50 mg/L of kanamycin. Expression was induced with the mixture of 0.1% arabinose and 2 mM IPTG, at OD₆₀₀ = 1.6–2.0. Simultaneously, all-*trans* retinal solution in ethanol was added to a final concentration 10 μM, and cells were further cultivated 4 h at 37 °C. For SANS measurements, the non-fused protein complex was co-expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3). The culture was grown in TB-5052 media⁶⁰ with 100 mM of Na/K-Pi (pH 6.7), 25 mM of ammonium sulfate and 100 mg/L of kanamycin. The cells were incubated at 37 °C until OD₆₀₀ reached 1.0–1.2, when all-*trans* retinal solution in ethanol was added to final concentration 10 μM, and cells were further cultivated overnight at 20 °C as was described in⁶¹ for expression of the *NpSR*II.

Co-purification of *NpSR*II and full-length *NpHtr*II (or *NpHtr*II₁₃₇). After expression, cells were pelleted in 25 mM Na/Na-Pi buffer (pH 8.0) containing 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF and cOMplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Switzerland) and lysed using Microfluidizer M-110P (Microfluidics, Massachusetts, USA). After centrifugation, the pellet was solubilized in 1% of n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside (DDM). The solubilized protein mixture was then purified via Ni-NTA (HisTrap HP 5 ml column, GE Healthcare, Illinois, USA) and size-exclusion chromatography (Superose 6 10/300 GL column 24 ml, GE Healthcare, USA). A typical gel-filtration profile is shown in Fig. S9A. Laemmli 12% SDS-PAGE of purified *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II is shown in Fig. S10 (PageRuler Plus Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Scientific, Catalog #26619) was used as a molecular weight standard). The protocols for co-expression and co-purification were based on procedures used in^{62,63}. The procedure yielded about 5 mg and 1.5 mg per 1 L of cell culture for *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II₁₃₇ and *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II, respectively.

Small-angle X-ray scattering measurements. The majority of SAXS measurements were carried on BM29 beamline (ESRF, Grenoble, France)⁶⁴. All measurements were performed with 100% of beam intensity at a wavelength of 0.9918 Å (12.5 keV). Initial data processing was performed automatically using the EDNA pipeline^{65,66}. Additional SAXS experiments were done on the BioSAXS beamline P12 (PETRA III, DESY, Hamburg, Germany)⁶⁷.

For the full-length *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II and for the truncated complex *NpSR*II/*NpHtr*II₁₃₇, SAXS profiles were obtained for the samples with protein concentrations of 0.57 and 0.78 mg/ml, correspondingly; exposure time was

7 and 10 s, respectively. For all SAXS measurements, peak fractions after gel-filtration were used⁶⁸. See Table S1 for other details of SAXS measurements.

Small-angle neutron scattering measurements. SANS measurements were performed on the YuMO spectrometer (IBR-2, Dubna, Russia) with two-detector system^{69,70}. Raw data were processed with program SAS⁷¹.

For SANS measurements, *NpSRII/NpHtrII* sample was divided after gel filtration into two parts (sample A and B). For each part, gel-filtration was used to replace H₂O with D₂O (Fig. S9A). The buffer for sample A contained 150 mM NaCl, 25 mM Na/Na-Pi (pD⁺ = 8.0)⁷², 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% DDM. Sample B was dissolved in 4.0 M NaCl, 100 mM Na/Na-Pi (pD⁺ = 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% DDM.

67.5% (v/v) of the stock, containing protein in 150 mM NaCl and 32.5% (v/v) of the stock, containing protein in 4.0 M NaCl were taken to prepare the sample with the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* in 1400 mM NaCl; 31.2% (v/v) of the stock, containing protein in 150 mM NaCl and 68.8% (v/v) of the stock, containing protein in 4.0 M NaCl were taken to prepare the sample with the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* in 2800 mM NaCl.

The concentrations of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* for the SANS measurements were 0.51, 0.33, 0.31, and 0.40 mg/ml in the buffers containing 150, 1400, 2800, and 4000 mM NaCl, correspondingly. Total exposure time was 2 h for heavy water solutions of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex at 150 and 4000 mM NaCl and 3.5 h for solutions at 1400 and 2800 mM NaCl. See Table S2 for other details of SANS measurements.

Molecular modeling. Initial model of the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer was generated from the existing high resolution crystal structures of *T. maritima* MCP, PDB code 2ch7³⁸, *E. coli* NarQ receptor, PDB code 5jeq¹⁸ and truncated *N. pharaonis* *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex, PDB code 1h2s²⁴ for the cytoplasmic domain, HAMP domains and transmembrane region, respectively, using template-based homology modeling in SWISS-MODEL⁷³. The missing fragments (primarily, the inter-HAMP region, which was predicted to be an α -helix⁷⁴) were modeled ab initio as ideal helices.

The obtained all-atom model of the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer was a subject for further optimization by MD simulation during 100 ns with a number of constraints applied. The regions homologous to those resolved by X-ray crystallography were guided towards the initial coordinates by means of harmonic steering forces ($k_{\text{constr}} = 150 \text{ kcal/mol/\AA}^2$). At each timestep, the RMS distance between the current coordinates and the target structure were computed after aligning the target structure to the current coordinates. The alignment and evaluation of the steering forces was independently done for the transmembrane region, HAMP domains and the cytoplasmic domain. In addition, the inter-HAMP region and the short fragments connecting the TM2 of *NpHtrII* and HAMP1 and AS2 of HAMP2 and the cytoplasmic domain were restrained in α -helical conformation by means of harmonic dihedral restraints.

The initial model for trimer-of-dimers was built by aligning the optimized dimer model to the model of trimeric oligomer of the transmembrane region of *NpSRII/NpHtrII* predicted by the SymDock protein-protein docking web-service with the C3 symmetry constraints⁷⁵. This model was further optimized using a 100 ns long MD simulation with the transmembrane region restrained at its initial coordinates and the cytoplasmic domain steered ($k_{\text{steer}} = 200 \text{ kcal/mol/\AA}^2$) to the homology model of the highly conserved trimeric interface of *E. coli* Tsr receptor resolved by X-ray crystallography, PDB code 1qu7²².

Finally, in order to obtain the "tripod"-shaped conformation of the trimer-of-dimer we run another round of steered MD, in which the cytoplasmic tip of the complex was constrained to the crystallographic contacts similar to the previous simulation while the transmembrane regions of individual dimers were gradually repulsed from their joint center-of-masses using the *colvar* feature of NAMD ($k_{\text{spring}} = 200 \text{ kcal/mol/\AA}^2$). The simulation time of this run was also 100 ns.

All all-atom MD simulations were carried out using NAMD 2.9⁷⁶ and CHARMM27 force field⁷⁷. The simulations were run in NVT ensemble (maintained by the Langevin thermostat, T = 303.15 K) using Generalized Born implicit solvent model (GBIS). The ionic strength in the simulations were set to 4.0 M. The timestep of 2 fs was used. The production simulations were prefaced by energy minimization using the steepest descent (5000 steps). In all simulations of the trimer-of-dimers, the three-fold symmetry was maintained by the symmetry constraint as realized in NAMD 2.9.

SAS data processing. SAXS and SANS profiles $I(q)$ were processed using ATSAS⁷⁸ and BioXTAS RAW⁷⁹ software suites. The protein concentrations were small, consequently the structural factor influence to scattering curves was negligible^{80,81}. For calculation of values of ϵ , molecular mass, \bar{v} and SLD from sequence, programs ProtParam⁸², Peptide Property Calculator⁸³ and SLD calculator web (<https://sld-calculator.appspot.com/>) were used (see Tables S1, S2). Distance distribution functions $P(r)$ and regularized $I(q)$ were obtained using GNOM program, which realizes the method of Indirect-Fourier Transform (IFT)⁸⁴. Values of R_G and $I(0)$ (Tables S1, S2) were calculated from $P(r)$ and using Guinier approximations (see Fig. S11). CRY SOL and CRYSON programs were used for evaluating the solution scattering from macromolecules and fitting it to experimental small-angle scattering curves^{85,86}. OLIGOMER program⁸⁷ from ATSAS software suite was used for a set of curves calculated for dimers and trimers of dimers using CRYSON to fit an experimental scattering curve from a two-component mixture of dimers and trimers of dimers of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* to validate different variants of their molecular models and to find the volume fractions of each component in the mixture. MEMPROT software⁸⁸ was used to generate pseudo-atomic model of the detergent belt of the transmembrane part of the protein and to fit experimental SAXS curves using a model combined from the detergent belt pseudo-atomic model and protein atomic model. Before MEMPROT running, the center of the transmembrane part of the protein was placed at the origin (zero) and direction of the normal vector to the membrane plane was set along the z-axis using PPM

web server⁸⁹. The MEMPROT settings included CRY SOL3 option and protein surface algorithm 2. See Supplementary Information (Tables S1, S2) for other details of SAS data treatment⁹⁰.

Pseudo-atomic model of the detergent belt. Pseudo-atoms CH₃ и NH₃ generated by MEMPROT software simulate 9/97 of the X-ray scattering length of hydrophobic tail (C₁₂H₂₅) and 10/181 of the X-ray scattering length of hydrophilic head (C₁₂H₂₁O₁₁) of DDM molecule correspondingly, proportionally to their numbers of electrons. To calculate theoretical SANS curves of the protein with the DDM belt, pseudo-atoms NH₃ generated by MEMPROT were renamed to CH₃, and perdeuteration parameter in CRYSON settings were set to 0.1058 and 0.3903 for chains corresponding to hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts of the DDM belt respectively. Described procedure provides neutron scattering lengths – 1.27 fm (9/97 of C₁₂H₂₅) and 7.62 fm (10/181 of C₁₂H₁₄D₇O₁₁) for pseudo-atoms related to hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts of the detergent belt respectively, which corresponds to the same scattering length fractions as they are in case of X-ray scattering length. Here, it is taken into account that the DDM head has seven hydrogens exchanging to deuterium in D₂O. These procedure provides neutron SLD values of – 0.388 × 10⁻⁶ Å⁻² and 3.92 × 10⁻⁶ Å⁻² for the hydrophobic core and hydrophilic face of the detergent belt, respectively.

Fitting of the SAXS profile of the full-length complex using the model of the flexible hinges. Firstly, SAXS data for the full-length complex *NpSRII/NpHtrII* (Fig. 2A, top) were approximated with the solution scattering evaluated from the atomistic model of the "straight" *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer with pseudo-atomic model of the detergent belt generated by MEMPROT software⁸⁸, and χ^2 of the fit was 5.1. Secondly, we generated modified atomic models of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer with the bends at the HAMP1-, HAMP2- and Gly- hinges from – 90° to 90° each (with the step of 30°), and add pseudo-atoms imitating detergent belt obtained on previous step to them. Thirdly, we evaluated theoretical SAXS profiles from the modified *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer models with the detergent belt using CRY SOL3 software⁷⁸. Fourthly, we approximated experimental SAXS profile for the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* as a combination of the scattering profiles from the modified *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer models with the detergent belt using Tikhonov regularization method (see Text document S1 for the details). For fitting of this data, Wolfram Mathematica software⁹¹ was used. Analogous analysis of the protein polydispersity based on SAS data was realized in works^{92,93}. SAXS could be used in studying of highly polydisperse macromolecules, making it possible to obtain data that are in good agreement with other structural methods such as electron microscopy⁹⁴.

UV–VIS spectroscopy. Absorption spectra for the protein solutions were acquired by using a UV-2450 UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Protein solutions were placed in a 1 mm quartz cell (Weiju, Lianyungang, China). To estimate concentration of the photoactive complex, the value $\epsilon^{498\text{nm}} = 45,500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ of the *NpSRII* extinction coefficient was used, and the sensory rhodopsin and its transducer were assumed to be equimolar. Absorption spectrum of the sample of the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex in D₂O buffer with 150 mM NaCl is shown in Fig. S9B.

CD spectroscopy. CD spectra were acquired by using a J-1100 CD Spectrometer (Jasco, Easton, MD, USA). For CD measurements, the same samples were used as for SANS measurements. Protein solutions were placed in a 1 mm quartz cell (Weiju, Lianyungang, China). All spectra were recorded with a bandwidth of 1.0 nm, scan speed of 50 nm/min, and digital integration time of 1.0 s. For the protein at 150 and 4000 mM NaCl, five accumulations were averaged for each spectrum, for 1400 and 2800 mM of NaCl, averaging of eight accumulations was done. The quantification of secondary structures was analyzed by Dichroweb^{95,96} using K2D program⁹⁷.

Data availability

The SAS data were deposited with SASBDB (<http://sasbdb.org>). SAXS data deposited with accession codes SASDKZ6 and SASDK27 for the *NpSRII/NpHtrII*₁₃₇ and the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* at 150 mM NaCl, correspondingly. SANS data deposited with accession codes SASDK37, SASDK47, SASDK57, and SASDK67 for the full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* at 0.15 M, 1.4 M, 2.8 M and 4.0 M, respectively. Detailed data validation metrics related to SASBDB depositions are placed in the Table S3. PBD files for molecular models of *NpSRII/NpHtrII*₁₃₇ dimer and full-length *NpSRII/NpHtrII* dimer and trimer of dimers are available in the SASBDB depositions SASDKZ6, SASDK27, and SASDK67, respectively. Other data supporting the findings of this manuscript are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 12 September 2020; Accepted: 21 April 2021

Published online: 24 May 2021

References

1. Bem, A. E. *et al.* Bacterial histidine kinases as novel antibacterial drug targets. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **10**, 213–224 (2015).
2. Mazé, A. & Benenson, Y. Artificial signaling in mammalian cells enabled by prokaryotic two-component system. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **16**, 179–187 (2020).
3. Hazelbauer, G. L., Falke, J. J. & Parkinson, J. S. Bacterial chemoreceptors: High-performance signaling in networked arrays. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **33**, 9–19 (2008).
4. Klare, J. P., Chizhov, I. & Engelhard, M. Microbial rhodopsins: Scaffolds for ion pumps, channels, and sensors. *Results Probl. Cell Differ.* **45**, 73–122 (2008).
5. Orekhov, P. S. *et al.* Signaling and adaptation modulate the dynamics of the photosensory complex of *Natronomonas pharaonis*. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004561 (2015).

6. Hoff, W. D., Jung, K. H. & Spudich, J. L. Molecular mechanism of photosignaling by archaeal sensory rhodopsins. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **26**, 223–258 (1997).
7. Koch, M. K., Staudinger, W. F., Siedler, F. & Oesterhelt, D. Physiological sites of deamidation and methyl esterification in sensory transducers of *Halobacterium salinarum*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **380**, 285–302 (2008).
8. Aravind, L. & Ponting, C. P. The cytoplasmic helical linker domain of receptor histidine kinase and methyl-accepting proteins is common to many prokaryotic signalling proteins. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **176**, 111–116 (1999).
9. Gushchin, I. & Gordeliy, V. Transmembrane signal transduction in two-component systems: Piston, scissoring, or helical rotation?. *BioEssays* **40**, 1700197 (2018).
10. Bartelli, N. L. & Hazelbauer, G. L. Differential backbone dynamics of companion helices in the extended helical coiled-coil domain of a bacterial chemoreceptor. *Protein Sci* **24**, 1764–1776 (2015).
11. Akkaladevi, N., Bunyak, F., Stalla, D., White, T. A. & Hazelbauer, G. L. Flexible hinges in bacterial chemoreceptors. *J. Bacteriol.* **200**(200), e00593–e617 (2018).
12. Stalla, D., Akkaladevi, N., White, T. A. & Hazelbauer, G. L. Spatial restrictions in chemotaxis signaling arrays: A role for chemoreceptor flexible hinges across bacterial diversity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **20**, 2989 (2019).
13. Li, M., Khursigara, C. M., Subramaniam, S. & Hazelbauer, G. L. Chemotaxis kinase CheA is activated by three neighbouring chemoreceptor dimers as effectively as by receptor clusters. *Mol. Microbiol.* **79**, 677–685 (2011).
14. Li, M. & Hazelbauer, G. L. Core unit of chemotaxis signaling complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 9390–9395 (2011).
15. Parkinson, J. S., Hazelbauer, G. L. & Falke, J. J. Signaling and sensory adaptation in *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptors: 2015 update. *Trends Microbiol.* **23**, 257–266 (2015).
16. Sourjik, V. & Armitage, J. P. Spatial organization in bacterial chemotaxis. *EMBO J.* **29**, 2724–2733 (2010).
17. Bray, D., Levin, M. D. & Morton-Firth, C. J. Receptor clustering as a cellular mechanism to control sensitivity. *Nature* **393**, 85–88 (1998).
18. Gushchin, I. *et al.* Mechanism of transmembrane signaling by sensor histidine kinases. *Science (80–)* **356**, 20 (2017).
19. Gushchin, I., Melnikov, I., Polovinkin, V., Ishchenko, A. & Gordeliy, V. Crystal structure of a proteolytic fragment of the sensor histidine kinase NarQ. *Curr. Comput. Aided Drug Des.* **10**, 149 (2020).
20. Gushchin, I. *et al.* Sensor histidine kinase NarQ activates via helical rotation, diagonal scissoring, and eventually piston-like shifts. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **21**, 3110 (2020).
21. Milburn, M. V. *et al.* Three-dimensional structures of the ligand-binding domain of the bacterial aspartate receptor with and without a ligand. *Science (80–)* **254**, 1342–1347 (1991).
22. Kim, K. K., Yokota, H. & Kim, S. H. Four-helical-bundle structure of the cytoplasmic domain of a serine chemotaxis receptor. *Nature* **400**, 787–792 (1999).
23. Airola, M. V., Watts, K. J., Bilwes, A. M. & Crane, B. R. Structure of concatenated HAMP domains provides a mechanism for signal transduction. *Structure* **18**, 436–448 (2010).
24. Gordeliy, V. I. *et al.* Molecular basis of transmembrane signalling by sensory rhodopsin II-transducer complex. *Nature* **419**, 484–487 (2002).
25. Etzkorn, M. *et al.* Complex formation and light activation in membrane-embedded sensory Rhodopsin II as seen by solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Structure* **18**, 293–300 (2010).
26. Yang, W. *et al.* In situ conformational changes of the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor in different signaling states. *MBio* **10**, e00973–e1019 (2019).
27. Burt, A. *et al.* Complete structure of the chemosensory array core signalling unit in an *E. coli* minicell strain. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–9 (2020).
28. Putnam, C. D., Hammel, M., Hura, G. L. & Tainer, J. A. X-ray solution scattering (SAXS) combined with crystallography and computation: Defining accurate macromolecular structures, conformations and assemblies in solution. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **40**, 191–285 (2007).
29. Tria, G., Mertens, H. D. T., Kachala, M. & Svergun, D. I. Advanced ensemble modelling of flexible macromolecules using X-ray solution scattering. *IUCr* **2**, 207–217 (2015).
30. Zhang, P., Khursigara, C. M., Hartnell, L. M. & Subramaniam, S. Direct visualization of *Escherichia coli* chemotaxis receptor arrays using cryo-electron microscopy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 3777–3781 (2007).
31. Orekhov, P. *et al.* Sensory Rhodopsin I and sensory Rhodopsin II form trimers of dimers in complex with their cognate transducers. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **93**, 796–804 (2017).
32. Falb, M. *et al.* Living with two extremes: Conclusions from the genome sequence of *Natronomonas pharaonis*. *Genome Res.* **15**, 1336–1343 (2005).
33. Budyak, I. L. *et al.* Shape and oligomerization state of the cytoplasmic domain of the phototaxis transducer II from *Natronobacterium pharaonis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 15428–15433 (2006).
34. Moukhametzianov, R. *et al.* Development of the signal in sensory rhodopsin and its transfer to the cognate transducer. *Nature* **440**, 115–119 (2006).
35. Ishchenko, A. *et al.* New insights on signal propagation by sensory Rhodopsin II/transducer complex. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 41811 (2017).
36. Ferris, H. U. *et al.* The mechanisms of HAMP-mediated signaling in transmembrane receptors. *Structure* **19**, 378–385 (2011).
37. Melnikov, I. *et al.* Fast iodide-SAD phasing for high-throughput membrane protein structure determination. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1602952 (2017).
38. Li, X. *et al.* The 3.2 Å resolution structure of a receptor: CheA:CheW signaling complex defines overlapping binding sites and key residue interactions within bacterial chemosensory arrays. *Biochemistry* **52**, 3852–3865 (2013).
39. Cassidy, C. K. *et al.* CryoEM and computer simulations reveal a novel kinase conformational switch in bacterial chemotaxis signaling. *Elife* **4**, e08419 (2015).
40. Budyak, I. L. *et al.* Flexibility of the cytoplasmic domain of the phototaxis transducer II from *Natronomonas pharaonis*. *J. Biophys.* **2008**, 1–11 (2008).
41. Ortega, D. R. *et al.* A phenylalanine rotameric switch for signal-state control in bacterial chemoreceptors. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1–8 (2013).
42. Gao, Q., Cheng, A. & Parkinson, J. S. Conformational shifts in a chemoreceptor helical hairpin control kinase signaling in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 15651–15660 (2019).
43. Orban-Glaß, I. *et al.* Clustering and dynamics of phototransducer signaling domains revealed by site-directed spin labeling electron paramagnetic resonance on SRII/HtrII in membranes and nanodiscs. *Biochemistry* **54**, 349–362 (2015).
44. Doeberl, M. *et al.* Salt-driven equilibrium between two conformations in the HAMP domain from *Natronomonas pharaonis*: The language of signal transfer?. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 28691–28701 (2008).
45. Greenfield, N. J. Using circular dichroism spectra to estimate protein secondary structure. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 2876–2890 (2007).
46. Micsonai, A. *et al.* Accurate secondary structure prediction and fold recognition for circular dichroism spectroscopy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E3095–E3103 (2015).
47. Rambo, R. P. & Tainer, J. A. Characterizing flexible and intrinsically unstructured biological macromolecules by SAS using the Porod–Debye law. *Biopolymers* **95**, 559–571 (2011).
48. Hammel, M. Validation of macromolecular flexibility in solution by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). *Eur. Biophys. J.* **41**, 789–799 (2012).

49. Brennich, M., Pernot, P. & Round, A. How to analyze and present SAS data for publication. In *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, Vol 1009 47–64 (Springer, 2017).
50. Jung, K. H., Spudich, E. N., Trivedi, V. D. & Spudich, J. L. An archaeal photosignal-transducing module mediates phototaxis in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**, 6365–6371 (2001).
51. Briegel, A. *et al.* Structural conservation of chemotaxis machinery across Archaea and Bacteria. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **7**, 414–419 (2015).
52. Inoue, K., Sasaki, J., Spudich, J. L. & Terazima, M. Laser-induced transient grating analysis of dynamics of interaction between sensory rhodopsin II D75N and the HtrII transducer. *Biophys. J.* **92**, 2028–2040 (2007).
53. Klose, D. *et al.* Light-induced switching of HAMP domain conformation and dynamics revealed by time-resolved EPR spectroscopy. *FEBS Lett.* **588**, 3970–3976 (2014).
54. Gapchenko, A. A. *et al.* Light-induced structure changes in Bacteriorhodopsin D96N by SAXS. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* **50**, 540–540 (2018).
55. Cammarata, M. *et al.* Tracking the structural dynamics of proteins in solution using time-resolved wide-angle X-ray scattering. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 881–886 (2008).
56. Gustavsen, R. L. *et al.* Time resolved small angle X-ray scattering experiments performed on detonating explosives at the advanced photon source: Calculation of the time and distance between the detonation front and the X-ray beam. *J. Appl. Phys.* **121**, 105902 (2017).
57. Yang, C. S. & Spudich, J. L. Light-induced structural changes occur in the transmembrane helices of the *Natronobacterium pharaonis* HtrII transducer. *Biochemistry* **40**, 14207–14214 (2001).
58. Raab, D., Graf, M., Notka, F., Schödl, T. & Wagner, R. The GeneOptimizer algorithm: Using a sliding window approach to cope with the vast sequence space in multiparameter DNA sequence optimization. *Syst. Synth. Biol.* **4**, 215–225 (2010).
59. Klostermeier, D., Seidel, R. & Reinstein, J. Functional properties of the molecular chaperone DnaK from *Thermus thermophilus*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **279**, 841–853 (1998).
60. Studier, F. W. Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **41**, 207–234 (2005).
61. Bratanov, D. *et al.* An approach to heterologous expression of membrane proteins. The case of bacteriorhodopsin. *PLoS One* **10**, e0128390 (2015).
62. Ryzhykau, Y. L. *et al.* Trimers of dimers of SRII/HtrII full complex. Small angle scattering structural investigation. *FEBS J.* **284**, 154 (2017).
63. Ryzhykau, Y. L. *et al.* Small angle scattering structural study of the NpSRII/HtrII complex. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* **50**, 577–578 (2018).
64. Pernot, P. *et al.* Upgraded ESRF BM29 beamline for SAXS on macromolecules in solution. *J. Synchrotron Rad.* **20**, 660–664 (2013).
65. Brennich, M. E. *et al.* Online data analysis at the ESRF bioSAXS beamline, BM29. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **49**, 203–212 (2016).
66. Incardona, M. F. *et al.* EDNA: A framework for plugin-based applications applied to X-ray experiment online data analysis. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **16**, 872–879 (2009).
67. Blanchet, C. E. *et al.* Versatile sample environments and automation for biological solution X-ray scattering experiments at the P12 beamline (PETRA III, DESY). *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **48**, 431–443 (2015).
68. Zabelskii, D. V. *et al.* Ambiguities and completeness of SAS data analysis: Investigations of apoferritin by SAXS/SANS EID and SEC-SAXS methods. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **994**, 20 (2018).
69. Kuklin, A. I., Islamov, A. K. & Gordeliy, V. I. Scientific reviews: Two-detector system for small-angle neutron scattering instrument. *Neutron News* **16**, 16–18 (2005).
70. Kuklin, A. I. *et al.* New opportunities provided by modernized small-angle neutron scattering two-detector system instrument (YuMO). *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **291**, 012013 (2011).
71. Soloviev, A. G. *et al.* SAS program for two-detector system: Seamless curve from both detectors. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **848**, 012020 (2017).
72. Covington, A. K., Paabo, M., Robinson, R. A. & Bates, R. G. Use of the glass electrode in deuterium oxide and the relation between the standardized pD (paD) scale and the operational pH in heavy water. *Anal. Chem.* **40**, 700–706 (1968).
73. Waterhouse, A. *et al.* SWISS-MODEL: Homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W296–W303 (2018).
74. Hayashi, K. *et al.* Structural analysis of the phototactic transducer protein HtrII linker region from *Natronomonas pharaonis*^{Δt}. *Biochemistry* **46**, 14380–14390 (2007).
75. Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Inbar, Y., Nussinov, R. & Wolfson, H. J. PatchDock and SymmDock: Servers for rigid and symmetric docking. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, W363–W367 (2005).
76. Phillips, J. C. *et al.* Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.* **26**, 1781–1802 (2005).
77. MacKerell, A. D. *et al.* All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **102**, 3586–3616 (1998).
78. Franke, D. *et al.* ATSAS 2.8: A comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **50**, 1212–1225 (2017).
79. Hopkins, J. B., Gillilan, R. E. & Skou, S. BioXTAS RAW: Improvements to a free open-source program for small-angle X-ray scattering data reduction and analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **50**, 1545–1553 (2017).
80. Murugova, T. N. *et al.* Low resolution structural studies of apoferritin via SANS and SAXS: The effect of concentration. *J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater.* **17**, 1397–1402 (2015).
81. Vlasov, A. *et al.* Protein structure and structural ordering versus concentration dependence. *FEBS J.* **281**, 593–593 (2014).
82. Gasteiger, E. *et al.* Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExpASY server. In *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook* 571–607 (Humana Press, 2005).
83. Kibbe, W. A. OligoCalc: An online oligonucleotide properties calculator. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, 20 (2007).
84. Svergun, D. I. Mathematical methods in small-angle scattering data analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **24**, 485–492 (1991).
85. Svergun, D., Barberato, C. & Koch, M. H. CRYSOLE—a program to evaluate X-ray solution scattering of biological macromolecules from atomic coordinates. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **28**, 768–773 (1995).
86. Svergun, D. I. *et al.* Protein hydration in solution: Experimental observation by X-ray and neutron scattering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 2267–2272 (1998).
87. Konarev, P. V., Volkov, V. V., Sokolova, A. V., Koch, M. H. J. & Svergun, D. I. PRIMUS: A windows PC-based system for small-angle scattering data analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **36**, 1277–1282 (2003).
88. Pérez, J. & Koutsoubas, A. Memprot: A program to model the detergent corona around a membrane protein based on SEC-SAXS data. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **71**, 86–93 (2015).
89. Lomize, M. A., Pogozheva, I. D., Joo, H., Mosberg, H. I. & Lomize, A. L. OPM database and PPM web server: Resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D370–D376 (2012).
90. Trehwella, J. *et al.* 2017 publication guidelines for structural modelling of small-angle scattering data from biomolecules in solution: An update. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.* **73**, 710–728 (2017).
91. Wolfram Research, I. Mathematica, Version 12.0.
92. Mulato, M. & Chambouleyron, I. Small-angle X-ray and neutron scattering of polydisperse systems: Determination of the scattering-particle-size distribution. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **29**, 29–36 (1996).

93. Antonov, L. D., Olsson, S., Boomsma, W. & Hamelryck, T. Bayesian inference of protein ensembles from SAXS data. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 5832–5838 (2016).
94. Selivanova, O. M. *et al.* To be fibrils or to be nanofilms? Oligomers are building blocks for fibril and nanofilm formation of fragments of A β peptide. *Langmuir* **34**, 2332–2343 (2018).
95. Whitmore, L. & Wallace, B. A. DICHROWEB, an online server for protein secondary structure analyses from circular dichroism spectroscopic data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, W668–W673 (2004).
96. Whitmore, L. & Wallace, B. A. Protein secondary structure analyses from circular dichroism spectroscopy: Methods and reference databases. *Biopolymers* **89**, 392–400 (2008).
97. Andrade, M. A., Chacón, P., Merelo, J. J. & Morán, F. Evaluation of secondary structure of proteins from UV circular dichroism spectra using an unsupervised learning neural network. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* **6**, 383–390 (1993).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge European Synchrotron Radiation Facility at Grenoble (France) for granting access to the synchrotron BM29 Bio-SAXS beamline. We acknowledge Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics (FLNP), JINR at Dubna (Russia) for granting access to the small-angle neutron scattering spectrometer YuMO (IBR-2). We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities. Parts of this research were carried out at the EMBL PETRA III P12 BioSAXS beamline. We thank Anatoly Mikhailov and Pavel Kuzmichev for their help in measuring CD spectroscopy. We acknowledge Ivan Okhrimenko, Vitaliy Shevchenko, Taras Balandin, Andrii Ishchenko and Dmitriy Bratanov for fruitful discussions. We thank Alexander Kazantsev for the help with fitting of the SAXS experimental data and Dmitry Verteletskiy for the help with preparing the figures.

Author contributions

V.I.G. designed and supervised the project, wrote the manuscript with strong contribution of Y.L.R., P.S.O., M.E., A.I.K., G.L. and also with the help of the others, analyzed the results. A.I.K. designed and supervised the project, made a considerable contribution to the writing of the manuscript, supervised SAXS and SANS experiments, analyzed the results and proposed "tripod"-shaped model of the trimer of dimers of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII*. Y.L.R. made a significant contribution to the writing of the manuscript, prepared the samples and participated in SAXS and SANS experiments, treated and analyzed the data. P.S.O. made a considerable contribution to the writing of the manuscript, produced MD simulations and computed atomic models of the dimer and trimer of dimers of the *NpSRII/NpHtrII* complex. M.I.R. optimized the protocol of protein co-expression, participated in SAXS experiments, and performed negative stained EM experiments to check the quality of the samples. A.V.R. wrote proposals and participated in SAXS experiments. I.A.M. performed experiments of checking the quality of the protein with negative stained electron microscopy. D.A.V. cloned the genes of *NpSRII* and *NpHtrII* optimized for expression in *E. coli* to a co-expression plasmid. M.Y.N. contributed to providing purified protein, participated in SAXS experiments and data treatment. A.V.V. contributed to writing the manuscript and participated in SAXS experiments. D.V.Z. contributed to providing purified protein and revised the manuscript. T.N.M. participated in SANS experiments and data treatment. V.V.C. contributed to analysis of CD spectra. A.Y.G. performed SAXS experiments at the EMBL PETRA III P12 BioSAXS beamline. D.I.S. supervised SAXS experiments at the EMBL PETRA III P12 BioSAXS beamline. M.E.B. performed SAXS experiments at the ESRF BM29 Bio-SAXS beamline. I.Yu.G. contributed to writing the manuscript. M.S.-L. supervised experiments on protein expression and purification and revised the manuscript. A.B. developed a plasmid for co-expression of *NpSRII* and *NpHtrII* in *E. coli*. G.B. contributed to data analysis. G.L. made a considerable contribution to the writing of the manuscript. M.E. made a significant contribution to the writing of the manuscript.

Funding

The reported study was funded by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 20-54-12027) and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project no. 430170559). A.V.R. acknowledges the support from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement # 075-00337-20-03, project FSMG-2020-0003). Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89613-6>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.I.K. or V.I.G.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2021