Molecular Sensing with Hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe using Switchable Chemical Exchange Relaxation Transfer.

Francesco Zamberlan,^{+[a]} Clémentine Lesbats,^{+[b]} Nicola J. Rogers,^[b] James L. Krupa,^[a] Galina E. Pavlovskaya,^[b] Neil R. Thomas,^{*[a]} Henryk Faas,^{*[b]} and Thomas Meersmann^{*[b]}

Abstract: A new approach for hyperpolarized ^{1/29}Xe molecular sensors is explored using paramagnetic relaxation agents that can be deactivated upon chemical or enzymatic reaction with an analyte. Cryptophane encapsulated ^{1/29}Xe within the vicinity of the paramagnetic center experiences fast relaxation that, through chemical exchange of xenon atoms between cage and solvent pool, causes accelerated hyperpolarized ^{1/29}Xe signal decay in the dissolved phase. In this work, the relaxivity of **Gadolinium**^{III}-DOTA on ^{1/29}Xe in the solvent was increased eightfold through tethering of the paramagnetic molecule to a cryptophane cage. This potent relaxation agent can be 'turned off' specifically for ^{1/29}Xe through chemical reactions that spatially separate the **Gd**^{III} centre from the attached cryptophane cage. Unlike ^{1/29}Xe chemical shift based sensors, the new concept does not require high spectral resolution and may lead to a new generation of responsive contrast agents for molecular MRI.

Molecular imaging enables the *in vivo* detection of the spatial distribution of specific target molecules that serve as 'biomarkers' for organ physiology. Imaging of biomarkers allows for the early detection of disease, for better monitoring of treatment, and for drug development. Among the strategies to enable molecular MRI⁽¹¹⁾ the hyperpolarized (hp) ¹²⁹Xe biosensor concept pioneered by Pines, Wemmer, and co-workers^[21] is a promising-candidate due to xenon's non-toxicity, the simplicity of the corresponding NMR spectra, and its solubility in blood plasma and tissue.^[3] Hp ¹²⁹Xe biosensors utilize an encapsulating agent, such as cryptophane cages, that can reversibly bind Xe atoms with fast rates of exchange. The large chemical shift range of ¹²⁹Xe leads to a distinguishable signal separation between encapsulated xenon atoms in the hydrophobic cavity and xenon in the solvent (not visible in Fig. 1b due to line broadening, see Fig. S2 in Supporting Information). Furthermore, cryptophanes can be functionalized with suitable bioactive ligands to serve as

- [a] Dr. F. Zamberlan^{*}, Mr.J. L. Krupe, Prof. N.R. Thomas Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Notificham, United Kingdom, E-mail: <u>Neil Thomas@Nottlh.gham.ac.uk</u>
- [b] Ms. C. Lesbats^{*}, Dr. N.J. Rogers^{*}, Dr. G.E. Pavlovskaya. Dr. H. Faas, Prof. T. Meersmann Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, Division of Respiratory Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG (2PD, United Kingdom) E-mail: <u>Henryk Faas@Nottingham.ac.uk</u> Thomas.Meersmann@Nottingham.ac.uk

[&] Current address: Department of Chemistry, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LB, United Kingdom

[+] These authors contributed equally

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

biosensor molecules that interact with a particular biomarker, typically a protein. The biosensor – biomarker interaction further alters the environment of the encapsulated ¹²⁹Xe, giving rise to a different chemical shift that can be observed by NMR spectroscopy. This was originally shown with biotin-functionalized cryptophane as a sensor for the protein avidin^[2a] for which biotin has a very high affinity.



Figure 1. A) Hp ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectrum of a 1:1 v/v water/acetonitrile (H₂O/ACN) solution containing 0.33 mM **Gd**DOTA. The hp ¹²⁹Xe signal intensity of the dissolved phase (189.6 ppm) - and through exchange, the intensity of the gas phase (0 ppm) - is only moderately affected by the relaxation agent because the exposure time of the xenon atoms to the paramagnetic center is very limited. B) Hp ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectrum of 0.035 mM cryptophane-A tethered to **Gd**DOTA in H₂O/ACN solution. Encapsulated ¹²⁹Xe is not detected because of severe line broadening. This molecule serves as a strong relaxation agent, specifically for ¹²⁹Xe, due to prolonged duration of ¹²⁹Xe encapsulation in the close vicinity to the paramagnetic relaxation center. The effect of fast relaxation (or depolarization) of encapsulated hp ¹²⁹Xe is transferred via chemical exchange to the dissolved phase (189.3 ppm) where an accelerated decay of the ¹²⁹Xe (specifically signal is observed.

WILEY-VCH

WILEY-VCH

Hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (HyperCEST)^[2c] improves the hp ¹²⁹Xe biosensor detection limit by orders of magnitude.^[4] HyperCEST is achieved by selective irradiation (i.e. saturation) at the NMR frequency of the encapsulated ¹²⁹Xe signal that depolarizes its hp spin state. Chemical exchange continuously transfers depolarized ¹²⁹Xe from the cage to the dissolved phase and accelerates the decay of the dissolved phase signal.

Molecular sensing with HyperCEST usually relies on the small ¹²⁹Xe chemical shift differences created by biosensor – biomarker interactions that are typically in the 2-3 ppm range, with exceptional cases up to 8 ppm.¹⁶ Although hp ¹²⁹Xe biosensors enable a host of biomolecular NMR applications,^[3a, 3b, 6-7] including *in vitro* MRI for cell tracking ^[8] and *in vivo* organ uptake of functionalized nanoparticles,^[9] *in vivo* MRI usage in complex organisms such as vertebrates is generally limited by the achievable low spectral resolution. This is a limitation wherever chemical shift is required to distinguish between a binding (or cleaving) event and unspecific interaction (i.e. typically non-reacted sensors that are still present to a significant extent). A very promising advancement has been reported very recently that does not require high spectral resolution because the unspecific background was very small. HyperCEST enabled imaging of cell-surface glycans at nanomolar concentrations in live-cell bioreactors^[5]. However, wherever the biosensor molecules interacting with biomarker molecules need to be distinguished from a significant amount of biosensors that have not been bound, cleaved, or otherwise reacted biomarker specifically, imaging will likely have to cope with small chemical shift differences between the two biosensor molecules.

ar nac centers are therefore usually avoided for hp MRI probes, ned T₁, or T₂* times.^[10] Note that upon submission of this manuscript, hp¹²⁹Xe biosensor concept has also been reported by We Pines, and co-workers^[11]. The new hp¹²⁹Xe biosensor co Chemical sensing will be based on chemical about by target hemical c about by target molecules that 'deactivate' the after a any NMR rela model sensor CrA-GdDO were used to gain change and hence **rity**

Results and Discussion A 1:1 v/v mixture of water with acetonitrile (H₂O(ACN) was found to be an acceptable solvent for the model sensor DOTA itself, and Gd^{III} but also for the xenon atoms. As shown in Fig. 2, the ¹²⁹Xe relaxation rates, R₁ = $1/T_1$, we're measured as function of the concentration of the various relaxation agents, [Rr], to determine the relaxivity of the respective agents. The relaxivity of GdDOTA for ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) was determined as R₁/[**Rx**] = 0.0515 s⁻¹mM⁻¹ (see Fig. 3). The approximately 150 fold reduced relaxivity of gadolinium for

¹²⁹Xe_(sol) compared to that for H₂O protons (7.66 s⁻¹mM⁻¹ – see Fig. 3) is caused in part by xenon's lower gyromagnetic ratio γ that contributes to an approximately 13 fold reduced relaxivity due to the γ^2 dependence of paramagnetic relaxation.^{[12],[13]} In addition, H₂O protons experience further accelerated relaxation because of direct coordination of water with the **Gc**^{III} center in DOTA complexes.^[13]

A 1 mM GdDOTA solution causes only slow hp $^{129}Xe_{(sol)}$ relaxation, with T₁ = 19 s, that will have little effect on the overall ^{129}Xe relaxation behavior *in vivo*. For example, typical relaxation times for hp $^{129}Xe_{(rd)}$ in blood range from approximately 2.7 to 7.9 s, depending on blood oxygenation.^[14] In lung tissue and blood, rapid exchange with the gas phase prolongs the $^{129}Xe_{(aq)}$ relaxation times up to 20 s.^[13]

For CrA-GODOTA, where GdDOTA is tethered to the cryptophane cage, the Gd^[II] relaxivity for ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) increased more than 8 times to R₁/[**R**x] = 0.416 s⁻¹mM⁻¹. The large relaxivity enhancement is likely caused by fast relaxation of encapsulated ¹²⁹Xe in close proximity to the paramagnetic GdDOTA. Paramagnetic relaxation follows an r⁻⁶ dependence,^[12] where r is the distance between the nuclear spin (here, of encapsulated ¹²⁹Xe) and the paramagnetic center. Chemical exchange between Xe in cages and solution transfers the relaxation effect and leads to accelerated R₁ rates for the dissolved phase ¹²⁹Xe_(sol). The relatively small ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) chemical shift dependence on [**R**x] is listed in Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B for companson.



Figure 2. Relaxation rates, R₁, of dissolved phase ¹²⁹Xe_(sot) in 1:1 v/v H₂O/ACN solution as a function of the concentration of various agents, [**R**x], at 293 K and 9.4 T field strength. Note that CrA-DOTA + **Gd**³⁺ (red data points and line) shows the relaxation data obtained more than 24 h after adding HCI to the solution with CrA-**Gd**DOTA, causing **Gd**³⁺ to be expelled from the molecule. Data point (i) shows effect of CrA-**Gd**DOTA approximately 1 h after addition of HCI. (ii) After 24 h the reaction to CrA-DOTA + **Gd**^{III}_(sot) was 86 % complete as determined by HPLC (see supporting material). (iii) Reaction is 96% complete after 96 h. Data points (i)-(iii) (i.e. crosses) are averages from three measurements – all other data are from single measurements. Relaxivity values are reported in Fig. 3.

The relaxivity of CrA-**Gd**DOTA for ¹H was very similar to that of **Gd**DOTA. This was expected from Solomon-Bloembergen-Morgan theory^[12b] since the addition of the CrA group should have little effect on the number (q) of water molecules

WILEY-VCH

coordinated to **Gd**^{IIII} or their residence time. Furthermore, the rotational correlation time associated with the relatively small CrA-**Gd**DOTA molecule is too short to significantly alter the relaxation behavior.



phase ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) and ¹H (of H₂O) at 293 K and 9.4 T in H₂O/ACN (1.4 v/v) solution. Relaxivity was determined from linear fitting of data in Fig. 2 with 0.0053 s⁻¹mM⁻¹ offset (i.e. experimental relaxivity of solvent). The dissolved phase ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) chemical shift δ_{Xe} dependence on [**R**x] is obtained from linear fitting of ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) peak position using a 189.3 ppm (solvent) offset. A) Relaxivity of **G**dDOTA. B) Relaxivity model sensor molecule, i.e. a cryptophane-A linked to **G**dDOTA (**R**x = **S**rA-**G**dDOTA). C) DOTA protonation at pH = 0 causing dissociation of **G**d^{IIII} from the molecule. (**R**x = **C**rA-DOTA + **G**d^{IIII}) **D** (**R**x = **G**d^{IIII} in H₂O/ACN solution at pH = 7 and E) **R**x = **G**d^{III} free precursor of the sensor molecule.

A 1 mM CrA-GdDOTA solution corresponds to a ¹²⁹Xe_(sol) relaxation time of $T_1 = 2.4$ s that is sufficiently short to affect the overall relaxation *in vivo*. Unlike HyperCEST, this effect does not require radiofrequency irradiation for saturation to accomplish depolarization. Rather, depolarization occurs as the consequence of the combined effect of relaxation in the bound phase (i.e. ¹²⁹Xe in the cage) followed by chemical exchange leads to the fast decay of the dissolved phase signal. To deactivate the depolarization, the relaxation agent will need to be 'turned off through selective chemical or biochemical

cleavage of the paramagnetic center from the encapsulating cage.

Fo demonstrate the deactivation co on was tal to the oto DOTA and leach to form CrA-DOTA the Gd^{III} ion out of the chelate iet 4.3 fol wn in n that fo DOT in ntac (sl àα ВC xp 0 Fi to be R₁/[**Rx]** = 0 7 s 11 lose

Fig. 2 also shows the time behavior (i – iii) of the DOTA protonation and the associated change in relaxivity that took several days for completion. This time behavior was verified through HPLC and mass spectrometry (see Supporting Information). It demonstrates that the change in relaxivity was indeed caused by the separation of the Gd^{III} center from the cryptophane cage and not by a pH dependence of the xenon inout exchange rate with the cryptophane cage, in agreement with previous literature studying pH effects.^[15]

Conclusions. The relaxation data generated in this study demonstrates a dramatic increase in relaxivity of a **Gd**DOTA for ¹²⁹Xe when the complex is tethered to a cryptophane cage. This increase is the consequence of the prolonged duration of cage bound ¹²⁹Xe in close vicinity to the paramagnetic metal center. The strong relaxation experienced by cage bound xenon is transferred through chemical exchange to the solvent phase ¹²⁹Xe. The dissolved phase ¹²⁹Xe signal decays at a rate that is the average of the relaxation rate in the cage and the relaxation rate in the solvent, scaled by the duration that the xenon atoms remain in the two respective phases.

As this hp ¹²⁹Xe chemical exchange relaxation transfer mechanism can be disrupted by the separation of CrA cage from the paramagnetic metal, a specifically designed responsive contrast agent can give rise to a new switchable ¹²⁹Xe depolarization based biosensor concept. Although this method may lack some of the intrinsic versatility of the HyperCEST concept, the presented responsive MRI contrast agent concept would not require high spectral resolution. In addition, switchable relaxation does not entail high power radiofrequency saturation that can be problematic for *in vivo* studies due to heat adsorption in tissue. Furthermore, the relaxation agent deactivation is ¹²⁹Xe specific and does not affect proton T₁ relaxation (compare Fig. 3, A and B) and standard ¹H T₁ relaxation maps should allow to probe for the presence of biosensors independent of the activation state. This should allow for differentiation of regions with higher concentration of still active biosensor. Although both regions may result in similar ¹²⁹Xe relaxation rates, the very different ¹H relaxation behavior would enable correct interpretation.

The design of future responsive hp 129 Xe depolarization agents as potential biosensors deserves some consideration: In analogy to the r⁻⁶ dependence utilized in intramolecular Förster

Experimental Section

ensor CrA-**Gd**DOTA was synthesized from a DOTA chelator, modifie short linker, and cryptophanol. Cryptophanol was synthes previously reported by Bertault and co-workers,^[7d] while the DO cryptophanol was then reacted with the *alpha*-bromoacetyl group to an ether. After deprotection of the DOTA carboxylic groups, the cation was successfully chelated, yielding the desired compound

All NMR relaxation measurements were obtained at a temperature K at 9.4 T field strength. Hp ¹²⁹Xe was produced through spin exc optical pumping (SEOP) using a custom built instrument des elsewhere.^[21] Prior to hp ¹²⁹Xe delivery in each experiment the s was purged with N₂ for 2 min to ensure the removal continuc conditions for 45 s at 40 ml/min into the relax solution. After flow stoppage, the dissolved phase was measured through a sequence of 16 cor experiments.^[22] A standard inversion, recovery s agent co e T₁ obtain the ¹H T₁ relaxation of

Acknowledgements

supported in parts by the Medical Research Council under Gra nt lo. G0900785, by the Royal Society nst Research È **BBSRC Sparking Impact Awa**

WILEY-VCH

Keywords: Hyperpolarized xenon biosensor • MRI contrast agent • cryptophane • HyperCEST • molecular imaging

S. An

E.D îm ultz Win m So DE

rogress in Nuclear), 55, 35-60; b.) O. ion in Chemical Biology E. Pavlovskaya, T.

(-320) M. Rose, S. Reinke, S. Klippel, L. Schroder, , Angew Chem Int Edit **2015**, 54, 2806-2810. Hill, J. A. Aaron, Z. H. Han, D. W. zma, I. J. Dmochowski, *J Am Chem Soc* s, H. M. Rose,

a.) V. Roy, H. Bush

60.563.
Britin, J. P. Dutasta, M. H. Charles, T. Delair, F. Jber, H. Desvaux, Y. Boulard, P. Berthault, *icin* 2007. *18*, 2082-2085; b.) G. K. Seward, Q. Wei, I. J. J. Boconjugate Chemistry 2008, *19*, 2129-2135; c.) A. Kilian, M. Beyermann, J. Sticht, S. Gunther, S. alk, O. Roetzschke, L. Mitschang, C. Freund, Angew *it* 2009, *48*, 4142-4145; d.) C. Boutin, A. Stopin, F. Otin, J. P. Dutasta, N. Jamin, A. Sanson, Y. Boulard, F. Huber, A. Bogaert-Buchmann, N. Tassali, H. Carriere, P. Berthault, *Bioorgan Med Chem* 2011, *19*, e.) K. K. Palaniappan, R. M. Ramirez, V. S. Bajaj, D. E. Pines, M. B. Francis, Angew Chem Int Edit 2013, *52*

Wemmer, A. Pines, M. B. Francis, Angew Chem Int Edit 2013, 52, 4340-4853.
H. M. Rose, C. Witte, F. Rossellaa, S. Klippel, C. Freund, L. Schröder, P. Natl Acad Sci USA 2014, 111, 11697-11702.
F. Lerouge, O. Melnyk, J. O. Durand, L. Raehm, P. Berthault, G. Huber, H. Desvaux, A. Constantinesco, P. Choquet, J. Detour, M. Smaihi, Journal of Materials Chemistry 2009, 19, 379-386.
a.) E. Terreno, M. Botta, P. Boniforte, C. Bracco, L. Milone, B. Mondino, F. Uggeri, S. Aime, Chem-Eur J 2005, 11, 5531-5537;
b.) R. B. van Heeswijk, S. Laus, F. D. Morgenthaler, R. Gruetter, Magnetic Resonance Imaging 2007, 25, 821-825; c.) C. Gabellieri, M. O. Leach, T. R. Eykyn, Contrast Media Mol I 2009, 4, 143-147;
d.) R. B. van Heeswijk, K. Uffmann, A. Comment, F. Kurdzesau, C. Perazzolo, C. Cudalbu, S. Jannin, J. A. Konter, P. Hautle, B. van den Brandt, G. Navon, J. J. van der Klink, R. Gruetter, Magn Reson Med 2009, 61, 1489-1493; e.) R. T. Branca, Z. I. Cleveland, B. Fubara, C. S. S. R. Kumar, R. R. Maronpot, C. Leuschner, W. S Warren, B. Driehuys, P Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107, 3693-3697.
M. Gomes, P. Dao, C. Slack, C. Vassiliou, A. Truxal, K. Jeong, D. E. Wemmer, M. B. Francis, A. Pines, in 56th Experimental NMR Conference, April 19 - 24, Asiomar, 2015.
a.) A. Abragam, The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1961; b.) N. Bloembergen, L. O. Morgan, J Chem Phys 1961, 34, 842-8.
J. P. Mugler, T. A. Altes, J Magn Reson Imaging 2013, 37, 313-331.

12946. J. Zhang, R. E. Campbell, A. Y. Ting, R. Y. Tsien, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 2002, *3*, 906-918. a.) A. Y. Louie, M. M. Huber, E. T. Ahrens, U. Rothbacher, R. Moats, R. E. Jacobs, S. E. Fraser, T. J. Meade, *Nat Biotech* 2000, *18*, 321-325; b.) S. Mizukami, R. Takikawa, F. Sugihara, Y. Hori, H. Tochio, M. Walchli, M. Shirakawa, K. Kikuchi, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2008, *130*, 794-+; c.) U. Himmelreich, S. Aime, T. Hieronymus, C. Justicia, F. Uggeri, M. Zenke, M. Hoehn, *Neuroimage* 2006, *32*, 1142-1149; d.) W. Cui, P. Otten, Y. Li, K. S. Koeneman, J. Yu, R. P. Mason, *Magn Reson Med* 2004,

WILEY-VCH

51, 616-620; e.) Y. Takaoka, T. Sakamoto, S. Tsukiji, M. Narazaki, T. Matsuda, H. Tochio, M. Shirakawa, I. Hamachi, *Nat Chem* 2009, 1, 557-561; f.) X. Y. Yue, Z. Wang, L. Zhu, Y. Wang, C. Q. Qian, Y. Ma, D. O. Kiesewetter, G. Niu, X. Y. Chen, *Mol Pharmaceut* 2014, 11, 4208-4217.
Q. Wei, G. K. Seward, P. A. Hill, B. Patton, I. E. Dimitrov, N. N. Kuzma, I. J. Dmochowski, *J Am Chem Soc* 2006, *128*, 13274-13283.
a.) T. Meldrum, K. L. Seim, V. S. Bajaj, K. K. Palaniappan, W. Wu, M. B. Francis, D. E. Wemmer, A. Pines, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2010, *132*, 5936-5937; b.) T. Stevens, K.

- Palaniappan, R. Ramirez, M. Francis, D. Wemmer, A. Pines, Magnetic Resonance in Medicine **2013**, 69, 1245–1252 J. M. Shin, R. M. Anisur, M. K. Ko, G. H. Im, J. H. Lee, I. S. Lee, Angew Chem Int Edit **2009**, 48, 321 324. a.) J. S. Six, T. Hughes-Riley, K. F. Stupic, G. E. Pavlovskaya, T. Meersmann, *Plos One* **2012**, 7; b.) K. F. Stupic, J. S. Six, M. D. Olsen, G. E. Pavlovskaya, T. Meersmann, *Phys Chem Chem Ph* E. Stupuo Physicille D. M. Ka Reson 2010, -G. E. Pav
 - e and

WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 1:

COMMUNICATION Author(s), hyperpolarized Xe ge No. ge N Xe Xe COMMUNICATION ((Insert TOC Graphic here)) Page No. – Page No. Title