

MDPI

Article

# Monitoring Urban Zoonotic Virus Activity: Are City Rats a Promising Surveillance Tool for Emerging Viruses?

Jeremy V. Camp 1,2,\*,† , Amélie Desvars-Larrive 3,4,5,† , Norbert Nowotny 1,6 and Chris Walzer 7,8

- Institute of Virology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, 1210 Vienna, Austria; norbert.nowotny@vetmeduni.ac.at
- <sup>2</sup> Center for Virology, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- Institute of Food Safety, Food Technology and Veterinary Public Health, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, 1210 Vienna, Austria; amelie.desvars@vetmeduni.ac.at
- <sup>4</sup> Complexity Science Hub Vienna, 1080 Vienna, Austria
- <sup>5</sup> VetFarm, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, 1210 Vienna, Austria
- Department of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai P.O. Box 505055, United Arab Emirates
- Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, 1210 Vienna, Austria; chris.walzer@vetmeduni.ac.at
- Wildlife Conservation Society, Global Conservation Program, Bronx, NY 10460, USA
- \* Correspondence: jeremy.camp@meduniwien.ac.at
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Urban environments represent unique ecosystems where dense human populations may come into contact with wildlife species, some of which are established or potential reservoirs for zoonotic pathogens that cause human diseases. Finding practical ways to monitor the presence and/or abundance of zoonotic pathogens is important to estimate the risk of spillover to humans in cities. As brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) are ubiquitous in urban habitats, and are hosts of several zoonotic viruses, we conducted longitudinal sampling of brown rats in Vienna, Austria, a large population center in Central Europe. We investigated rat tissues for the presence of several zoonotic viruses, including flaviviruses, hantaviruses, coronaviruses, poxviruses, hepatitis E virus, encephalomyocarditis virus, and influenza A virus. Although we found no evidence of active infections (all were negative for viral nucleic acids) among 96 rats captured between 2016 and 2018, our study supports the findings of others, suggesting that monitoring urban rats may be an efficient way to estimate the activity of zoonotic viruses in urban environments.

**Keywords:** rats; urban; emerging infectious diseases; viral zoonoses; environmental monitoring; disease surveillance



Citation: Camp, J.V.; Desvars-Larrive, A.; Nowotny, N.; Walzer, C.
Monitoring Urban Zoonotic Virus
Activity: Are City Rats a Promising
Surveillance Tool for Emerging
Viruses? Viruses 2022, 14, 1516.
https://doi.org/10.3390/v14071516

Academic Editors: Ayato Takada and Eric O. Freed

Received: 12 May 2022 Accepted: 8 July 2022 Published: 11 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

During the last decades, spillover of viruses from wildlife hosts have caused high-impact diseases in humans, e.g., hemorrhagic fevers caused by hantaviruses or arenaviruses, two epidemics of severe acute respiratory syndrome related to zoonotic-origin coronaviruses (SARS-CoV), Ebola virus disease, and influenza A. Anthropogenic changes, including increasing human population density, increasing international travel, land-use change, and urban sprawl, appear to be drivers in the spillover and spread of zoonotic viruses to humans [1,2]. In particular, cities are unique ecosystems where dense human populations and their companion animals live in relatively close proximity with wildlife species.

Given that the majority (60.3%) of emerging infectious diseases are caused by zoonotic pathogens, of which 71.8% originate from wildlife [3], knowledge of zoonotic pathogens carried by wildlife hosts is critical to understanding pathogen prevalence in the environment, geographic distribution, and risk of spillover to humans.

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 2 of 12

Brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) are described as "urban exploiters" [4] in that they proliferate in urban settings where they live in close proximity with humans [5]. As urban brown rats are hosts of several zoonotic pathogens [6,7], they may act as reservoirs of these pathogens to humans and livestock [8,9]. However, information on the viruses carried by urban wild rats that could pose a zoonotic risk to human health is scarce.

The objective of this study was to conduct a cross-sectional survey to assess the prevalence of some viruses of zoonotic importance in wild brown rats within the city center of Vienna, Austria. The studied sites are highly frequented by humans and were suspected to present a high rat density. We focused our investigation on a limited number of viruses, previously identified in rodents at different locations worldwide [9–11] based on three criteria:

- 1. The virus was previously reported in urban brown rats, e.g., Seoul orthohantavirus (SEOV) [12,13], hepatitis E virus (*Orthohepevirus A*, HEV) [14–16], influenza A virus (IAV) [17], and coronaviruses [18–20];
- 2. The virus is known to circulate in Austria, i.e., West Nile virus (WNV) [21,22], Usutu virus (USUV) [21,23,24], HEV, which was recently detected in urban rats in Vienna [25], Puumala and Tula orthohantaviruses [26–29], or neighboring regions, i.e., Dobrava-Belgrade orthohantavirus was reported in urban rats in neighboring Hungary [30] and orthopoxviruses (cowpox virus), diagnosed in free-roaming Austrian cats most likely following rodent exposure [31], including zoonotic transmission to humans through direct contact with the poxvirus lesions of the cat [32];
- 3. Rodents are thought to be the natural reservoir for the virus, i.e., encephalomyocarditis virus (*Cardiovirus A*, EMCV) [33].

These viruses are transmitted from rat to rat mainly via direct or indirect contact with excreta (e.g., hantaviruses, encephalomyocarditis virus) or saliva (e.g., hantaviruses, coronaviruses), perhaps during intraspecific aggression (e.g., hantaviruses) [34]. Some viruses are considered non-seasonal in rats (e.g., hantaviruses [11,35]), while others have demonstrated seasonal variations (e.g., for seasonal IAV [17]) in rat populations. Because urban brown rats are synanthropic and may come into contact with food supplies [5,36,37], rat-to-human transmission is likely to occur via direct or indirect contact with rat excreta (e.g., hantaviruses, HEV, encephalomyocarditis virus) or saliva (e.g., hantaviruses), via the bite of competent vector (e.g., WNV, USUV), or direct inoculation via close contact with infected animals (poxviruses). In general, much is unknown about the role of urban rats in the transmission of zoonotic viruses to humans, and studies such as this one may shed light on poorly understood aspects of viral zoonoses (e.g., precise transmission routes, seasonality, etc) [1,38,39].

Eight zoonotic viruses from seven virus taxa were ultimately included in the study: flaviviruses (specifically WNV and USUV), Old World hantaviruses (specifically Seoul orthohantavirus), HEV, coronaviruses (CoVs), IAV, EMCV, and poxviruses (subfamily *Chordopoxvirinae*). We chose not to investigate the potential presence of other rat-borne viruses with poorly substantiated zoonotic potential (e.g., astroviruses, noroviruses), although these have been documented in wild brown rats, including in neighboring countries [40,41].

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Ethical Statement

This study followed institutional and national standards for the care and use of animals in research. It was approved by the institutional ethics and animal welfare committee and the national authority (GZ 68.205/0196-WF/V/3b/2016).

#### 2.2. Study Areas and Sampling Methods

Rattus norvegicus were trapped between 12 September 2016 and 13 November 2018 in three sites highly frequented by humans in the city center of Vienna, Austria: (i) at a promenade along the Danube canal (mean coordinates of the trapped rats in decimal

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 3 of 12

degrees: 16.365 N, 48.226 E); (ii) at Karlsplatz (16.363 N, 48.200 E), one of the tourist attractions in the city; and (iii) at Schwedenplatz (16.375 N, 48.212 E), a cruise ship port on the Danube river. These sites were chosen as rats could be observed during daytime, suggesting that the rats were abundant and that these locations may represent critical interfaces for virus transmission between rats and humans. Rats were captured live in spring and autumn season (we avoided too cold/warm temperatures due to ethical and animal welfare considerations) using Manufrance live-traps ( $280 \times 100 \times 100$  mm). Traps were set between 17.00 and 19.30 and retrieved the following morning between 6.00 and 8.00 (more details on trapping can be found in [42,43]). Live captured animals were transferred to a pathology laboratory where they were anesthetised in an induction chamber using 5% isoflurane before euthanasia via an intra-peritoneal barbiturate overdose. Rats were identified to the species level based on morphological characters. For each animal, morphological data were recorded. We chose to sample and analyze the lung tissue because it is the preferential organ for detection of hantaviruses [44], EMCV [45], and influenza A viruses [17]. It is also the most highly vascularized organs in rats [46], enabling us to potentially monitor blood-circulating viruses even if they do not have a lung tropism. During necropsy, lung tissue was collected aseptically and placed into RNAlater™ (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). A ten mm tail tip was sampled for molecular barcoding purposes. All samples were maintained at -80 °C until RNA or DNA extraction.

# 2.3. Barcoding

To confirm the morphological identification of the *Rattus* species, we followed the DNA barcoding protocol as described in [47], consisting in the amplification and sequencing of a 585-bp fragment of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) D-loop.

## 2.4. Preparation of Tissue

Lung tissue was removed from RNAlater, and an approximately 1 g section was placed into 400  $\mu$ L ice-cold sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) in a tube with 4 copper-coated steel beads. The tissue was homogenized on a TissueLyser (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for 3 min at 30 Hz and then centrifuged at  $8000 \times g$  for 4 min at 4 °C. Nucleic acids were extracted from 200  $\mu$ L of cleared homogenate with a commercial kit (ZymoResearch, Irvine, CA, USA). Nucleic acid extraction was checked by spectrophotometry (NanoVue, Biochrom GmbH, Berlin, Germany) and confirmed that extraction was successful.

#### 2.5. Detection of Viral Nucleic Acids

Virus nucleic acids were detected by following various previously published PCR protocols using 2.5–5 μL of nucleic acid template (Table 1). Highly sensitive real time reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) to detect RNA viruses was preferred when protocols were available using Luna® One-step RT-qPCR mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) on an Applied Biosystems 7500 light cycler with published temperature cycling programs (Table 1). Conventional RT-PCR to detect RNA viruses was performed using a one-step RT-PCR mix (One Taq<sup>®</sup>, New England Biolabs) followed by capillary gel electrophoresis (QIAxcel, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) to visualize amplicons. Similarly, conventional PCR was used to detect poxviruses using GoTaq G2 mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) followed by capillary gel electrophoresis to visualize amplicons. Positive controls were used for flaviruses (a WNV lineage 4c isolate [48] and an USUV cell culture isolate "939/01" [24]), as these are well-characterized in our laboratory and potential false positives could be identified by sequencing. Otherwise, no positive controls were used to reduce the possibility of false-positive results. Instead, we used common diagnostic assays to detect some viruses (coronaviruses, hantaviruses, influenza A virus, and chordopox viruses) or used multiple tests (one RT-qPCR and one RT-PCR for hepatitis E virus; two RT-qPCR and one nested RT-PCR for EMCV) to reduce the possibility of false negatives. The samples were screened for the following viruses: CoVs [49,50], flaviviruses [51] including specific assays to detect Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 4 of 12

WNV [24] or USUV [52], Old World hantaviruses [53], two assays to detect HEV [54,55], IAV [56], three assays to detect EMCV [57–59], and poxviruses [60] (Table 1).

<b>Table 1.</b> PCR-based methods to detect various zoonotic viruses in captured ra	ts.
---	-----

Virus Family	Target Virus/Taxon	Assay	Primers/Probes	Ref.
Coronaviridae	Coronaviruses	RT-PCR	Fwd primer from [49], PanCoV-13-RV [50]	[49,50]
Flaviviridae	Flavivirus (universal)	RT-qPCR	PF1S, PF2Rbis/SYBR Green	[51]
	West Nile virus	RT-PCR	WNV-10090f, WNV-10807r	[22]
	Usutu virus	RT-PCR	Usu9170f, Usu9704r	[52]
Hantaviridae	Hantaviruses	RT-PCR, nested	HAN-L-F1, HAN-L-R1; nested HAN-L-F2, nested HAN-LR2	[53]
Hepeviridae	Hepatitis E virus	RT-qPCR	JVHEVF, JVHEVR/JVHEVP	[54]
	Hepatitis E virus	RT-PCR	rHEV-SW-for, rHEV-SW-rev	[55]
Orthomyxoviridae	Influenza A virus	RT-qPCR	FLUAM-1F, FLUAM-1R/FLUAM-1P	[56]
Picornaviridae	Encephalomyo-carditis virus	RT-qPCR	5NTR-F, 5NTR-R/5NTR-P;	[59]
		RT-qPCR	2B-F, 2B-R/2B-P	[59]
	Encephalomyo-carditis virus	RT-PCR, nested	EMCVff2,EMCVrev1; nested EMCVffint3, EMCVrevint3	[57]
Poxviridae	* Chordopoxivirinae	PCR	"Low GC" primers	[60]

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Trapping

Ninety-six animals were captured, all identified as *R. norvegicus* (Danube canal: 44; Karlsplatz: 45; Schwedenplatz: 7). The generated barcode sequences confirmed the morphological identification. Among the captured rats, 50 (52.1%) were males (Danube canal: 24; Karlsplatz: 23; Schwedenplatz: 3). The median body mass and length (nose tip to anus) were 157.9 g and 188 mm for rats caught at Danube Canal, 134.2 g and 180.1 mm at Karlsplatz, and 58 g and 135 mm at Schwedenplatz, respectively (Table S1), indicating that the sampled animals were relatively young [61].

#### 3.2. Detection of Viruses

No virus nucleic acids were detected in the rat lung tissue samples. For viruses which we presumed were highly likely to be detected, multiple assays were performed and were all negative: hepatitis E virus (one RT-qPCR and one RT-PCR) and EMCV (two RT-qPCR and one nested RT-PCR) (Table 1).

#### 4. Discussion

Among the zoonotic viruses investigated here, two, namely EMCV and HEV, were the most likely candidates for detection in urban brown rats. Rodents are reservoirs and vectors of EMCV, and sporadic outbreaks in domestic animals have been linked to rodent exposure [62]. In Italy, outbreaks within zoos or on farms have occasionally been associated with either EMCV-positive rodents [58] or increased rodent abundance [61]. EMCV is present in Austria and neighboring countries, and exposure has been detected in domestic pigs, where the virus causes little to no clinical pathology [63,64], and in humans [65,66]. Specifically, human exposure was linked to hunters and zoo workers, thus contact to wild game animals and captive wildlife may be risk factors for exposure in Austria.

EMCV can be detected up to 22 dpi in the lungs of experimentally inoculated laboratory rats with a high rate of transmission between rats ( $R_0 >> 1$ ) [45], nonetheless the infection is ultimately transient. Therefore, we cannot rule out a low level of EMCV infection in the urban rat population; however, a larger sample size may be necessary to detect active virus infections.

We also expected to detect HEV in our survey of urban brown rats, particularly as HEV has recently been detected in urban brown rats captured in Vienna [25]. Recent virological and serological surveys of Austrian blood donors suggested that approximately 14% of the human population had been exposed to HEV, and 0.01% had active infections [67,68]. HEV (specifically the species *Orthohepevirus A*) is an emerging viral zoonosis, and in Europe, wild ungulates (wild boar and deer) and domestic pigs are the principal reservoirs [69]. HEV-positive wild *R. norvegicus* were detected in the cities of Lyon, France (12/81, 15%) [70];

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 5 of 12

Hamburg, Germany (2/30, 6.7%) [15]; and Vienna, Austria (7/43, 16.2%) [25], although in these studies, the virus was detected in liver [25,70] and feces [15,25].

Studies in Germany have revealed that HEV isolates from brown rats were phylogenetically different from epizootic strains [14] and have since been assigned to *Orthohepevirus C* species (genotype C1). The so-called "rat HEV" was first detected with a "broad-spectrum RT-PCR" [15], which was not used in our study. In the previous study that detected HEV RNA-positive rats in Vienna, all were *Orthohepevirus C* (i.e., "rat HEV"), and not the epizootic *Orthohepevirus A*, which has been isolated from a variety of animals and linked to human disease [25]. Importantly, in that study, no orthohepeviruses were detected by two RT-qPCR assays (one of which was specific to epizootic HEV and was used in our study) but were rather detected by a conventional RT-PCR assay (also used in our study) [25]. Thus, although we did not use the "broad-spectrum" HEV assay [15], Ryll et al. clearly demonstrated that rat HEV is circulating in Vienna and could be detected by the conventional RT-PCR used in our study [25]. This may suggest the distribution of HEV in the urban rat population in Vienna is spatially focal and/or seasonal, as has been observed elsewhere [14].

The fact that we sampled lung tissue (and not liver, feces, or other tissue) should not have been a major limitation, as HEV and EMCV are blood-borne pathogens and therefore present in the large blood-volume of the rodent lung. Overall serological testing combined with molecular testing would provide more information regarding the exposure of urban rats to zoonotic viruses and the zoonotic risk to humans and domestic animals. Serological testing is needed as not all the viruses cause lifelong infection in rodents, and infection is not necessarily concomitant with the presence of antibodies (e.g., [14]). From our results, we can only infer that the rate of active viral infection of urban rats at the three investigated sites is low, but we did not determine their exposure to these viruses at these sites.

Among the other viruses that were screened, hantaviruses are blood-borne viruses which are present in Austria but were not detected in our study. In Austria, Puumala orthohantavirus is the most common hantavirus (the reservoir host is the bank vole, Myodes glareolus), causing the mild disease "nephropathia epidemica" in humans [26-28]. The more pathogenic Dobrava-Belgrade orthohantavirus (the reservoir host is the yellownecked mouse, Apodemus flavicollis) is also present and may cause a more severe form of disease termed hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) [71,72]. Evidence of cross-species transmission of rodent-borne hantaviruses exists [73–75]; although we note that Apodemus sp. were occasionally found in the traps, we cannot infer the likelihood of virus spillover. The detection of SEOV may have been more likely, as black rats (Rattus rattus) and brown rats are known reservoirs. SEOV may also cause HFRS in humans and has a wide geographic distribution due to global trade: SEOV RNA was detected in the lungs of wild urban brown rats in France [76,77], Belgium [44], UK [78], and New York City, USA [9]. Hantavirus reservoirs are typically persistently infected, and therefore it is likely that we would have detected infection if present. Therefore, our data support the hypothesis that human exposure to hantaviruses is unlikely in urban habitats of Vienna, and risk of spillover of endemic hantaviruses to other rodents is also limited.

The mosquito-borne flaviviruses WNV and USUV are endemic in Austria, and are known to cause occasional disease in humans, birds, and horses [22,79]. These zoonotic viruses are maintained in an enzootic cycle involving *Culex* mosquitoes and avian hosts. USUV and WNV have never been reported in wild *R. norvegicus*, although antibodies to WNV were detected in *R. rattus* and/or *R. norvegicus* in Pakistan, Israel, Austria, Tunisia, central Africa, and Madagascar, as well as Maryland, Washington, DC, and Louisiana, USA [80]. USUV has been detected in wild *R. rattus* and other rodents in Senegal [81]. As 2018 was notable for an extraordinarily high rate of WNV infection in humans, mosquitoes, and horses [82], transmission to other urban mammals during this time appeared probable; however, we detected no USUV or WNV in our samples. We used robust universal flavivirus primers as well as virus specific USUV and WNV primers, which are well documented to amplify many flavivirus species [51]; however, we cannot exclude the fact

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 6 of 12

that rats, like other mammals, are dead-end hosts for these arboviruses with a brief stage of low viremia.

Influenza A virus is maintained in aquatic avian cycles and enters human epidemic transmission cycles via domestic swine or can spill-over to humans directly [83]. However, IAV was described in the lung of urban brown rats in Boston, USA (2/163, 1.2%) [17]. Rats are unlikely to be important hosts of IAV. Similarly, to our knowledge, rodent-human transmission of coronaviruses has never been recorded, although human coronavirus OC43 is thought to share a common ancestor with some rodent coronaviruses [84]. Murine coronaviruses (genus *Betacoronavirus*), including murine hepatitis virus and sialodacryoadenitis virus, can be common in laboratory rodents, including *R. norvegicus* strains, and many species/strains of related coronaviruses have been characterized from wild rat populations [10,19,20,84,85]. Human infection with zoonotic coronaviruses is well documented (e.g., SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2, MERS-CoV), but rats have never been conclusively implicated in the transmission cycle [20,86]. Therefore, the lack of IAV and CoV in our samples is not surprising. We recommend that serological tests or virological tests of other tissue samples (e.g., intestine or feces) are investigated in a future surveillance project of urban rats.

Finally, poxviruses are known to be transmitted from rats to humans and domestic animals. According to previous surveys, cowpox is present in several rodent species in Central Europe with a high seroprevalence [87,88]. While these broad surveys of wild rodents did not include rats, exposure to rats has been suspected in some confirmed human poxvirus infections: cowpox virus was detected in a patient with an exposure to a pet rat [89]; poxvirus was isolated from skin lesions of a wild *R. norvegicus* in Kuwait [90]; and in the Netherlands, a cowpox virus was isolated from wild *R. norvegicus* [91,92], including a case of direct transmission to a human [92]. Cowpox has occasionally been detected in Austria [31,32], yet cases in individuals from younger generations who did not receive the vaccinia vaccine are increasing. Future surveillance efforts should focus on serosurveillance to determine exposure to cowpox virus in the urban rat population to better ascertain the zoonotic risk of infection.

While we are confident in the diagnostic techniques used here, it is clear that detecting active infections require a larger sample size, particularly when there is a bias towards sampling a young population as expected with live traps (in contrast to kill traps, as were probably used in [25]). Calculating a sample size based on prevalence found in the literature encounters two major issues: (i) prevalence of rat-borne diseases varies spatially at the global and local scale [6,14,93]; (ii) researchers acknowledge certain challenges in studying urban rats, especially as trapping success is generally quite low [94,95], which often precludes reaching the targeted sample size. We acknowledge other potential study limitations, including the variability in sensitivity of the assays to detect a given virus and the investigation of a single tissue (versus virus-specific target tissues).

As the likelihood of infection with viruses increases with age for many viruses, and many viral infections follow an acute course, there was a low probability of detecting active virus infections [9,10]. However, zoonotic infections were detected in the examined sample in previous studies [42,43,96], while viral infections have been detected in comparable [9,35,70,76] or lower [15,25,77] sample sizes, demonstrating that a modest sample size of the rat population enables to reveal current viral infections. Therefore, targeted surveillance of the rat population for zoonotic viral pathogens should focus on older rats, or at least attempt to include all age classes. Thus, the principal limitations of our study were that few sites were investigated, relatively low sample size (n = 96), and the overall young age of the captured rats. A higher diversity of microenvironments could have revealed variations in the prevalence, therefore highlighting favorable environments for virus transmission. A bigger sample size would have provided a more accurate picture of the epizootiologic situation.

Negative results are rarely published [97]. However, the (sole) publication of positive results greatly limits a realistic perspective of the entire epidemiological situation [98]. In particular, publishing negative results helps to interpret positive results that may be ob-

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 7 of 12

tained in the future at this location or in similar studies at different locations. Furthermore, when searching for potential animal reservoirs or vectors of (emerging) infectious diseases, having positive results only (presence data) induces a bias and restricts the perception and the understanding of the global epidemiology of these infectious diseases [99]. Publication bias (toward positive results) makes scientific literature unrepresentative of the research field. It may lead to misconception of the reality, e.g., that prevalence of zoonotic pathogen infections in urban rats is generally high. Absence data are as critical as presence data to the understanding of the eco-epidemiology of zoonotic viruses, mapping of their true geographic coverage, and assessment of contributing factors of virus emergence. Publication of absence data is of high interest for addressing the epidemiological situation at a given instance and enables dating of pathogen emergence or shift in the epidemiological situation.

In addition to eradication efforts, monitoring of wild rats for potential zoonotic viruses is potentially a valuable resource to predict future human outbreaks. For example, the detection of *Leptospira* spp. in rats has proven to be a good spatial predictor of human leptospirosis cases in leptospirosis-endemic urban habitats [100]. Moreover, urban brown rats could theoretically be used as sentinels for fine-scale spatial monitoring of environmental contamination with antimicrobial resistant bacteria [42], lead [101], and other heavy metals [102]. Therefore, within a One Health approach and operationalization, (sero)surveillance of rat populations may prove valuable to assessing the zoonotic risk from viruses, particularly of EMCV or HEV, in the human population but also in domestic animals, in urban and periurban habitats. The use of urban brown rats as sentinels for the active surveillance of some targeted viral pathogens should be further evaluated as a promising surveillance tool in settings where the viruses are known as well as not yet recorded.

# 5. Conclusions

Our transverse study provided absence data about eight zoonotic viruses in wild urban brown rats sampled across three sites within the city center of Vienna, Austria, that are highly frequented by humans. Our findings showed that in these specific sites and at the time of sampling, rats did not constitute a hazard for the zoonotic transmission of the investigated viruses to humans. We recommend the publication of absence data (in any format, short communication, dataset, dedicated website) about rat-borne pathogens for better, unbiased assessments of emergence risk factors.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/v14071516/s1. Table S1. Dataset: characteristics of the rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) captured for this study, Vienna, Austria, 12 September 2016–13 November 2018.

**Author Contributions:** All authors contributed to the conceptualization and methodology of the project; J.V.C. and A.D.-L. performed investigation and formal analysis, N.N. and C.W. provided resources and project supervision; writing—original draft preparation, J.V.C. and A.D.-L.; writing—review and editing, N.N. and C.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** A.D.-L., J.V.C., and this research were financially supported by a postdoctoral fellowship provided by the University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria (A.D.-L.: FU-282-PDC; J.V.C.: FU-230-PDC).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are presented in the text of the manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Diana S. Gliga (University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria), Theresa Waigner (University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria), and Margaret Odom (Cornell College of Veterinary Medicine, New York, United States) in assisting with sample collection.

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 8 of 12

In addition, the authors thank Steve Smith and Gopi Munimanda from the Konrad Lorenz Institute for Ethology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria, for the barcoding of the samples.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

#### References

- 1. Neiderud, C.-J. How urbanization affects the epidemiology of emerging infectious diseases. *Infect. Ecol. Epidemiol.* **2015**, *5*, 27060. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parrish, C.R.; Holmes, E.C.; Morens, D.M.; Park, E.-C.; Burke, D.S.; Calisher, C.H.; Laughlin, C.A.; Saif, L.J.; Daszak, P. Cross-Species Virus Transmission and the Emergence of New Epidemic Diseases. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2008, 72, 457–470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Jones, K.E.; Patel, N.G.; Levy, M.A.; Storeygard, A.; Balk, D.; Gittleman, J.L.; Daszak, P. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 2008, 451, 990–993. [CrossRef]
- 4. Tibbetts, J. Coastal Cities: Living on the Edge. Environ. Health Perspect. **2002**, *110*, A674–A681. Urbanization as a Major Cause of Biotic Homogenization. *Biol. Conserv.* **2006**, *127*, 247–260. [CrossRef]
- 5. Feng, A.Y.T.; Himsworth, C.G. The secret life of the city rat: A review of the ecology of urban Norway and black rats (*Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rattus*). *Urban Ecosyst.* **2013**, 17, 149–162. [CrossRef]
- 6. Himsworth, C.G.; Parsons, K.L.; Jardine, C.; Patrick, D.M. Rats, Cities, People, and Pathogens: A Systematic Review and Narrative Synthesis of Literature Regarding the Ecology of Rat-Associated Zoonoses in Urban Centers. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2013**, *13*, 349–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Strand, T.M.; Lundkvist, Å. Rat-borne diseases at the horizon. A systematic review on infectious agents carried by rats in Europe 1995–2016. *Infect. Ecol. Epidemiol.* **2019**, *9*, 1553461. [CrossRef]
- 8. Caron, A.; Cappelle, J.; Cumming, G.S.; De Garine-Wichatitsky, M.; Gaidet, N. Bridge hosts, a missing link for disease ecology in multi-host systems. *Veter. Res.* **2015**, *46*, 83. [CrossRef]
- 9. Firth, C.; Bhat, M.; Firth, M.A.; Williams, S.; Frye, M.; Simmonds, P.; Conte, J.M.; Ng, J.; Garcia, J.; Bhuva, N.P.; et al. Detection of Zoonotic Pathogens and Characterization of Novel Viruses Carried by Commensal *Rattus norvegicus* in New York City. *mBio* **2014**, 5, e01933-14. [CrossRef]
- 10. Easterbrook, J.D.; Kaplan, J.B.; Glass, G.E.; Watson, J.; Klein, S.L. A survey of rodent-borne pathogens carried by wild-caught Norway rats: A potential threat to laboratory rodent colonies. *Lab. Anim.* **2008**, *42*, 92–98. [CrossRef]
- 11. Easterbrook, J.D.; Kaplan, J.B.; Vanasco, N.B.; Reeves, W.K.; Purcell, R.H.; Kosoy, M.Y.; Glass, G.E.; Watson, J.; Klein, S.L. A survey of zoonotic pathogens carried by Norway rats in Baltimore, Maryland, USA. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **2007**, *135*, 1192–1199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Kariwa, H.; Kimura, M.; Yoshizumi, S.; Arikawa, J.; Yoshimatsu, K.; Takashima, I.; Hashimoto, N. Modes of Seoul virus infections: Persistency in newborn rats and transiency in adult rats. *Arch. Virol.* **1996**, *141*, 2327–2338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Plyusnina, A.; Heyman, P.; Baert, K.; Stuyck, J.; Cochez, C.; Plyusnin, A. Genetic characterization of seoul hantavirus originated from norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) captured in Belgium. *J. Med. Virol.* **2012**, *84*, 1298–1303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Johne, R.; Dremsek, P.; Kindler, E.; Schielke, A.; Plenge-Bönig, A.; Gregersen, H.; Wessels, U.; Schmidt, K.; Rietschel, W.; Groschup, M.H.; et al. Rat hepatitis E virus: Geographical clustering within Germany and serological detection in wild Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2012**, *12*, 947–956. [CrossRef]
- 15. Johne, R.; Plenge-Bönig, A.; Hess, M.; Ulrich, R.G.; Reetz, J.; Schielke, A. Detection of a novel hepatitis E-like virus in faeces of wild rats using a nested broad-spectrum RT-PCR. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2009**, *91*, 750–758. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kabrane-Lazizi, Y.; Glass, G.E.; Higa, H.; Diwan, A.; Gibbs, C.J.; Purcell, R.H.; Fine, J.B.; Meng, X.J.; Elm, J.; Emerson, S.U. Evidence for widespread infection of wild rats with hepatitis E virus in the United States. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1999**, *61*, 331–335. [CrossRef]
- 17. Cummings, C.O.; Hill, N.J.; Puryear, W.B.; Rogers, B.; Mukherjee, J.; Leibler, J.H.; Rosenbaum, M.H.; Runstadler, J.A. Evidence of Influenza A in Wild Norway Rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) in Boston, Massachusetts. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, 7, 36. [CrossRef]
- 18. Bartak, M.; Słońska, A.; Bańbura, M.W.; Cymerys, J. SDAV, the Rat Coronavirus—How Much Do We Know about It in the Light of Potential Zoonoses. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 1995. [CrossRef]
- 19. Li, X.; Wang, L.; Liu, P.; Li, H.; Huo, S.; Zong, K.; Zhu, S.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, L.; Hu, B.; et al. A Novel Potentially Recombinant Rodent Coronavirus with a Polybasic Cleavage Site in the Spike Protein. *J. Virol.* **2021**, *95*, e0117321. [CrossRef]
- 20. Monastiri, A.; Martín-Carrillo, N.; Foronda, P.; Izquierdo-Rodríguez, E.; Feliu, C.; López-Roig, M.; Miquel, J.; Gouilh, M.A.; Serra-Cobo, J. First Coronavirus Active Survey in Rodents from the Canary Islands. *Front. Veter. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 708079. [CrossRef]
- 21. Aberle, S.W.; Kolodziejek, J.; Jungbauer, C.; Stiasny, K.; Aberle, J.H.; Zoufaly, A.; Hourfar, M.K.; Weidner, L.; Nowotny, N. Increase in human West Nile and Usutu virus infections, Austria, 2018. *Eurosurveillance* 2018, 23, 1800545. [CrossRef]
- 22. Kolodziejek, J.; Jungbauer, C.; Stephan, W.; Allerberger, F.; Bagó, Z.; Camp, J.; de Heus, P.; Kolodziejek, M.; Schiefer, P.; Seidel, B.; et al. Integrated analysis of human-animal-vector surveillance: West Nile virus infections in Austria, 2015–2016. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2018**, 7, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 9 of 12

23. Camp, J.V.; Kolodziejek, J.; Nowotny, N. Targeted surveillance reveals native and invasive mosquito species infected with Usutu virus. *Parasites Vectors* **2019**, 12, 46. [CrossRef]

- 24. Weissenböck, H.; Kolodziejek, J.; Url, A.; Lussy, H.; Rebel-Bauder, B.; Nowotny, N. Emergence of Usutu virus, an African mosquito-borne flavivirus of the Japanese encephalitis virus group, central Europe. *Emerg. Infect. Dis* **2002**, *8*, 652–656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Ryll, R.; Bernstein, S.; Heuser, E.; Schlegel, M.; Dremsek, P.; Zumpe, M.; Wolf, S.; Pépin, M.; Bajomi, D.; Müller, G.; et al. Detection of rat hepatitis E virus in wild Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) and Black rats (*Rattus rattus*) from 11 European countries. *Veter. Microbiol.* 2017, 208, 58–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Aberle, S.W.; Lehner, P.; Ecker, M.; Aberle, J.H.; Arneitz, K.; Khanakah, G.; Radda, A.; Radda, I.; Popow-Kraupp, T.; Kunz, C.; et al. Nephropathia epidemica and Puumala virus in Austria. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 1999, 18, 467–472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Bowen, M.D.; Gelbmann, W.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Nichol, S.T.; Nowotny, N. Puumala virus and two genetic variants of tula virus are present in Austrian rodents. *J. Med. Virol.* **1997**, *53*, 174–181. [CrossRef]
- 28. Camp, J.V.; Schmon, E.; Krause, R.; Sixl, W.; Schmid, D.; Aberle, S.W. Genetic diversity of Puumala orthohantavirus in rodents and human patients in Austria, 2012–2019. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 640. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Plyusnina, A.; Aberle, S.W.; Aberle, J.H.; Plyusnin, A. Genetic analysis of Puumala hantavirus strains from Austria. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, *38*, 512–519. [CrossRef]
- 30. Kurucz, K.; Madai, M.; Bali, D.; Hederics, D.; Horváth, G.; Kemenesi, G.; Jakab, F. Parallel survey of two widespread renal syndrome-causing zoonoses: Leptospira spp. and hantavirus in urban environment, Hungary. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2018**, *18*, 200–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Carroll, D.S.; Emerson, G.L.; Li, Y.; Sammons, S.; Olson, V.; Frace, M.; Nakazawa, Y.; Czerny, C.P.; Tryland, M.; Kolodziejek, J.; et al. Chasing Jenner's vaccine: Revisiting cowpox virus classification. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e23086. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Hawranek, T.; Tritscher, M.; Muss, W.H.; Jecel, J.; Nowotny, N.; Kolodziejek, J.; Emberger, M.; Schaeppi, H.; Hintner, H. Feline orthopoxvirus infection transmitted from cat to human. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2003**, *49*, 513–518. [CrossRef]
- 33. Carocci, M.; Bakkali-Kassimi, L. The encephalomyocarditis virus. Virulence 2012, 3, 351–367. [CrossRef]
- 34. Easterbrook, J.D.; Kaplan, J.B.; Glass, G.E.; Pletnikov, M.V.; Klein, S.L. Elevated testosterone and reduced 5-HIAA concentrations are associated with wounding and hantavirus infection in male Norway rats. *Horm. Behav.* **2007**, *52*, 474–481. [CrossRef]
- 35. Klein, S.L.; Bird, B.H.; Nelson, R.J.; Glass, G.E. Environmental and physiological factors associated with Seoul virus infection among urban populations of Norway rats. *J. Mammal.* **2002**, *83*, 478–488. [CrossRef]
- 36. Cavia, R.; Cueto, G.R.; Suárez, O.V. Changes in rodent communities according to the landscape structure in an urban ecosystem. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2009**, *90*, 11–19. [CrossRef]
- 37. Gardner-Santana, L.C.; Norris, D.E.; Fornadel, C.M.; Hinson, E.R.; Klein, S.L.; Glass, G.E. Commensal ecology, urban landscapes, and their influence on the genetic characteristics of city-dwelling Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Mol. Ecol.* **2009**, *18*, 2766–2778. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Luis, A.D.; Hayman, D.T.; O'Shea, T.J.; Cryan, P.M.; Gilbert, A.T.; Pulliam, J.R.; Mills, J.N.; Timonin, M.E.; Willis, C.K.; Cunningham, A.A.; et al. A comparison of bats and rodents as reservoirs of zoonotic viruses: Are bats special? *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 2013, 280, 2012–2753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Williams, E.; Spruill-Harrell, B.; Taylor, M.; Lee, J.; Nywening, A.; Yang, Z.; Nichols, J.; Camp, J.; Owen, R.; Jonsson, C. Common Themes in Zoonotic Spillover and Disease Emergence: Lessons Learned from Bat- and Rodent-Borne RNA Viruses. *Viruses* **2021**, 13, 1509. [CrossRef]
- 40. Chu, D.K.W.; Chin, A.W.; Smith, G.J.; Chan, K.-H.; Guan, Y.; Peiris, J.S.M.; Poon, L.L.M. Detection of novel astroviruses in urban brown rats and previously known astroviruses in humans. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2010**, *91*, 2457–2462. [CrossRef]
- 41. Pankovics, P.; Boros, Á.; László, Z.; Szekeres, S.; Földvári, G.; Altan, E.; Delwart, E.; Reuter, G. Genome characterization, prevalence and tissue distribution of astrovirus, hepevirus and norovirus among wild and laboratory rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) and mice (*Mus musculus*) in Hungary. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2021**, *93*, 104942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Desvars-Larrive, A.; Ruppitsch, W.; Lepuschitz, S.; Szostak, M.P.; Spergser, J.; Feßler, A.T.; Schwarz, S.; Monecke, S.; Ehricht, R. Urban brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) as possible source of multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp., Vienna, Austria, 2016 and 2017 separator commenting unavailable. *Eurosurveillance* 2019, 24, 1900149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Desvars-Larrive, A.; Smith, S.; Munimanda, G.; Bourhy, P.; Waigner, T.; Odom, M.; Gliga, D.S.; Walzer, C. Prevalence and risk factors of Leptospira infection in urban brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*), Vienna, Austria. *Urban Ecosyst.* **2020**, *23*, 775–784. [CrossRef]
- 44. Heyman, P.; Baert, K.; Plyusnina, A.; Cochez, C.; Lundkvist, Å.; Van Esbroeck, M.; Goossens, E.; Vandenvelde, C.; Stuyck, J. Serological and genetic evidence for the presence of Seoul hantavirus in *Rattus norvegicus* in Flanders, Belgium. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 2009, 41, 51–56. [CrossRef]
- 45. Spyrou, V.; Maurice, H.; Billinis, C.; Papanastassopoulou, M.; Psalla, D.; Nielen, M.; Koenen, F.; Papadopoulos, O. Transmission and pathogenicity of encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) among rats. *Veter. Res.* **2004**, *35*, 113–122. [CrossRef]
- 46. Boswell, C.A.; Mundo, E.E.; Ulufatu, S.; Bumbaca, D.; Cahaya, H.S.; Majidy, N.; Van Hoy, M.; Schweiger, M.G.; Fielder, P.J.; Prabhu, S.; et al. Comparative Physiology of Mice and Rats: Radiometric Measurement of Vascular Parameters in Rodent Tissues. *Mol. Pharm.* 2014, 11, 1591–1598. [CrossRef]

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 10 of 12

47. Robins, J.H.; Hingston, M.; Matisoo-Smith, E.; Ross, H.A. Identifying Rattus species using mitochondrial DNA. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **2007**, *7*, 717–729. [CrossRef]

- 48. Camp, J.V.; Bakonyi, T.; Soltész, Z.; Zechmeister, T.; Nowotny, N. Uranotaenia unguiculata Edwards, 1913 are attracted to sound, feed on amphibians, and are infected with multiple viruses. *Parasites Vectors* **2018**, *11*, 456. [CrossRef]
- 49. Escutenaire, S.; Mohamed, N.; Isaksson, M.; Thorén, P.; Klingeborn, B.; Belák, S.; Berg, M.; Blomberg, J. SYBR Green real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction assay for the generic detection of coronaviruses. *Arch. Virol.* **2006**, *152*, 41–58. [CrossRef]
- 50. Muradrasoli, S.; Mohamed, N.; Hornyák, Á.; Fohlman, J.; Olsen, B.; Belák, S.; Blomberg, J. Broadly targeted multiprobe QPCR for detection of coronaviruses: Coronavirus is common among mallard ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*). *J. Virol. Methods* **2009**, 159, 277–287. [CrossRef]
- 51. Moureau, G.; Temmam, S.; Gonzalez, J.-P.; Charrel, R.; Grard, G.; De Lamballerie, X. A Real-Time RT-PCR Method for the Universal Detection and Identification of Flaviviruses. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2007**, *7*, 467–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Weissenböck, H.; Bakonyi, T.; Chvala, S.; Nowotny, N. Experimental Usutu virus infection of suckling mice causes neuronal and glial cell apoptosis and demyelination. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2004**, *108*, 453–460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Klempa, B.; Fichet-Calvet, E.; Lecompte, E.; Auste, B.; Aniskin, V.; Meisel, H.; Denys, C.; Koivogui, L.; Ter Meulen, J.; Krüger, D.H. Hantavirus in African Wood Mouse, Guinea. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, 12, 838–840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Jothikumar, N.; Cromeans, T.L.; Robertson, B.H.; Meng, X.J.; Hill, V.R. A broadly reactive one-step real-time RT-PCR assay for rapid and sensitive detection of hepatitis E virus. *J. Virol. Methods* **2006**, *131*, 65–71. [CrossRef]
- 55. Wolf, S.; Reetz, J.; Johne, R.; Heiberg, A.-C.; Petri, S.; Kanig, H.; Ulrich, R.G. The simultaneous occurrence of human norovirus and hepatitis E virus in a Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Arch. Virol.* **2013**, *158*, 1575–1578. [CrossRef]
- 56. World Health Organization. WHO Information for Molecular Detection of Influenza Virus. Available online: https://www.who.int/influenza/gisrs\_laboratory/Protocols\_influenza\_virus\_detection\_Nov\_2018.pdf (accessed on 22 November 2019).
- 57. Cardeti, G.; Mariano, V.; Eleni, C.; Aloisi, M.; Grifoni, G.; Sittinieri, S.; Dante, G.; Antognetti, V.; Foglia, E.A.; Cersini, A.; et al. Encephalomyocarditis virus infection in Macaca sylvanus and Hystrix cristata from an Italian rescue centre for wild and exotic animals. *Virol. J.* 2016, *13*, 193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Knowles, N.; Dickinson, N.; Wilsden, G.; Carra, E.; Brocchi, E.; De Simone, F. Molecular analysis of encephalomyocarditis viruses isolated from pigs and rodents in Italy. *Virus Res.* **1998**, *57*, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- 59. Qin, S.; Underwood, D.; Driver, L.; Kistler, C.; Diallo, I.; Kirkland, P.D. Evaluation of a duplex reverse-transcription real-time PCR assay for the detection of encephalomyocarditis virus. *J. Veter. Diagn. Investig.* **2018**, *30*, 554–559. [CrossRef]
- 60. Li, Y.; Meyer, H.; Zhao, H.; Damon, I.K. GC Content-Based Pan-Pox Universal PCR Assays for Poxvirus Detection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2010**, *48*, 268–276. [CrossRef]
- 61. Canelli, E.; Luppi, A.; Lavazza, A.; Lelli, D.; Sozzi, E.; Martin, A.M.M.; Gelmetti, D.; Pascotto, E.; Sandri, C.; Magnone, W.; et al. Encephalomyocarditis virus infection in an Italian zoo. *Virol. J.* **2010**, *7*, 64. [CrossRef]
- 62. Tesh, R.B.; Wallace, G.D. Observations on the Natural History of Encephalomyocarditis Virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1978**, 27, 133–143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Machnowska, P.; Ellerbroek, L.; Johne, R. Detection and characterization of potentially zoonotic viruses in faeces of pigs at slaughter in Germany. *Veter. Microbiol.* **2014**, *168*, 60–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Maurice, H.; Nielen, M.; Brocchi, E.; Nowotny, N.; Kassimi, L.B.; Billinis, C.; Loukaides, P.; O'Hara, R.S.; Koenen, F. The occurrence of encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) in European pigs from 1990 to 2001. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2005, 133, 547–557. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Deutz, A.; Fuchs, K.; Schuller, W.; Nowotny, N.; Auer, H.; Aspöck, H.; Stünzner, D.; Kerbl, U.; Klement, C.; Köfer, J. Seroepidemiological studies of zoonotic infections in hunters in southeastern Austria—Prevalences, risk factors, and preventive methods. *Berl. Munch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr.* **2003**, *116*, 306–311.
- 66. Juncker-Voss, M.; Prosl, H.; Lussy, H.; Enzenberg, U.; Auer, H.; Lassnig, H.; Müller, M.; Nowotny, N. Screening for antibodies against zoonotic agents among employees of the Zoological Garden of Vienna, Schönbrunn, Austria. Berl. Munch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr. 2004, 117, 404–409.
- 67. Fischer, C.; Hofmann, M.; Danzer, M.; Hofer, K.; Kaar, J.; Gabriel, C. Seroprevalence and Incidence of hepatitis E in Blood Donors in Upper Austria. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0119576. [CrossRef]
- 68. Lagler, H.; Poeppl, W.; Winkler, H.; Herkner, H.; Faas, A.; Mooseder, G.; Burgmann, H. Hepatitis E Virus Seroprevalence in Austrian Adults: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Study among Civilians and Military Professionals. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e87669. [CrossRef]
- 69. Forgách, P.; Nowotny, N.; Erdélyi, K.; Boncz, A.; Zentai, J.; Szűcs, G.; Reuter, G.; Bakonyi, T. Detection of Hepatitis E virus in samples of animal origin collected in Hungary. *Veter. Microbiol.* **2010**, *143*, 106–116. [CrossRef]
- 70. Widén, F.; Ayral, F.; Artois, M.; Olofson, A.-S.; Lin, J. PCR detection and analyzis of potentially zoonotic Hepatitis E virus in French rats. *Virol. J.* **2014**, *11*, 90. [CrossRef]
- 71. Klempa, B.; Avsic-Zupanc, T.; Clement, J.; Dzagurova, T.K.; Henttonen, H.; Heyman, P.; Jakab, F.; Kruger, D.H.; Maes, P.; Papa, A.; et al. Complex evolution and epidemiology of Dobrava-Belgrade hantavirus: Definition of genotypes and their characteristics. *Arch. Virol.* 2012, 158, 521–529. [CrossRef]
- 72. Papa, A. Dobrava-Belgrade virus: Phylogeny, epidemiology, disease. Antivir. Res. 2012, 95, 104–117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Viruses 2022, 14, 1516 11 of 12

73. Schlegel, M.; Klempa, B.; Auste, B.; Bemmann, M.; Schmidt-Chanasit, J.; Büchner, T.; Groschup, M.H.; Meier, M.; Balkema-Buschmann, A.; Zoller, H.; et al. Dobrava-Belgrade Virus Spillover Infections, Germany. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 2017–2020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 74. Schmidt-Chanasit, J.; Essbauer, S.; Petraityte, R.; Yoshimatsu, K.; Tackmann, K.; Conraths, F.J.; Sasnauskas, K.; Arikawa, J.; Thomas, A.; Pfeffer, M.; et al. Extensive Host Sharing of Central European Tula Virus. *J. Virol.* **2010**, *84*, 459–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Weidmann, M.; Schmidt, P.; Vackova, M.; Krivanec, K.; Munclinger, P.; Hufert, F.T. Identification of Genetic Evidence for Dobrava Virus Spillover in Rodents by Nested Reverse Transcription (RT)-PCR and TaqMan RT-PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2005**, 43, 808–812. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Dupinay, T.; Pounder, K.C.; Ayral, F.; Laaberki, M.-H.; Marston, D.A.; Lacôte, S.; Rey, C.; Barbet, F.; Voller, K.; Nazaret, N.; et al. Detection and genetic characterization of Seoul Virus from commensal brown rats in France. *Virol. J.* **2014**, *11*, 32. [CrossRef]
- 77. Heyman, P.; Plyusnina, A.; Berny, P.; Cochez, C.; Artois, M.; Zizi, M.; Pirnay, J.-P.; Plyusnin, A. Seoul hantavirus in Europe: First demonstration of the virus genome in wild *Rattus norvegicus* captured in France. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2004**, 23, 711–717. [CrossRef]
- 78. Jameson, L.J.; Logue, C.H.; Atkinson, B.; Baker, N.; Galbraith, S.E.; Carroll, M.W.; Brooks, T.; Hewson, R. The continued emergence of hantaviruses: Isolation of a Seoul virus implicated in human disease, United Kingdom, October 2012. *Euro Surveill.* 2013, 18, 4–7. [CrossRef]
- 79. de Heus, P.; Kolodziejek, J.; Camp, J.V.; Dimmel, K.; Bagó, Z.; Hubálek, Z.; van den Hoven, R.; Cavalleri, J.-M.V.; Nowotny, N. Emergence of West Nile virus lineage 2 in Europe: Characteristics of the first seven cases of West Nile neuroinvasive disease in horses in Austria. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2020**, *67*, 1189–1197. [CrossRef]
- 80. Root, J.J. West Nile virus associations in wild mammals: A synthesis. Arch. Virol. 2012, 158, 735–752. [CrossRef]
- 81. Diagne, M.M.; Ndione, M.H.D.; Di Paola, N.; Fall, G.; Bedekelabou, A.P.; Sembène, P.M.; Faye, O.; Zanotto, P.M.D.A.; Sall, A.A. Usutu Virus Isolated from Rodents in Senegal. *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, 181. [CrossRef]
- 82. Camp, J.V.; Nowotny, N. The knowns and unknowns of West Nile virus in Europe: What did we learn from the 2018 outbreak? *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* **2020**, *18*, 145–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Thomas, J.K.; Noppenberger, J. Avian influenza: A review. Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm. 2007, 64, 149–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Corman, V.M.; Muth, D.; Niemeyer, D.; Drosten, C. Hosts and Sources of Endemic Human Coronaviruses. *Adv. Virus Res.* **2018**, 100, 163–188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Lau, S.K.P.; Woo, P.C.Y.; Li, K.S.M.; Tsang, A.K.L.; Fan, R.Y.Y.; Luk, H.K.H.; Cai, J.-P.; Chan, K.-H.; Zheng, B.-J.; Wang, M.; et al. Discovery of a Novel Coronavirus, China Rattus Coronavirus HKU24, from Norway Rats Supports the Murine Origin of Betacoronavirus 1 and Has Implications for the Ancestor of Betacoronavirus Lineage A. J. Virol. 2015, 89, 3076–3092. [CrossRef]
- 86. Colombo, V.C.; Sluydts, V.; Marien, J.; Vanden Broecke, B.; Van Houtte, N.; Leirs, W.; Jacobs, L.; Iserbyt, A.; Hubert, M.; Heyndrickx, L.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) from Antwerp sewer system, Belgium. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2021**. [CrossRef]
- 87. Chantrey, J.; Meyer, H.; Baxby, D.; Begon, M.; Bown, K.J.; Hazel, S.M.; Jones, T.; Montgomery, W.I.; Bennett, M. Cowpox: Reservoir hosts and geographic range. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **1999**, 122, 455–460. [CrossRef]
- 88. Essbauer, S.; Pfeffer, M.; Meyer, H. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet. Microbiol. 2010, 140, 229–236. [CrossRef]
- 89. Campe, H.; Zimmermann, P.; Glos, K.; Bayer, M.; Bergemann, H.; Dreweck, C.; Graf, P.; Weber, B.K.; Meyer, H.; Büttner, M.; et al. Cowpox Virus Transmission from Pet Rats to Humans, Germany. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 777–780. [CrossRef]
- 90. Tantawi, H.H.; Zaghloul, T.M.; Zakaria, M. Poxvirus infection in a rat (Rattus norvegicus) in Kuwait. Int. J. Zoonoses 1983, 10, 28–32.
- 91. Martina, B.E.; Van Doornum, G.; Dorrestein, G.M.; Niesters, H.; Stittelaar, K.J.; Wolters, M.A.; Van Bolhuis, H.G.; Osterhaus, A.D. Cowpox Virus Transmission from Rats to Monkeys, the Netherlands. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, *12*, 1005–1007. [CrossRef]
- 92. Wolfs, T.F.; Wagenaar, J.A.; Niesters, H.; Osterhaus, A. Rat-to-Human Transmission of Cowpox Infection. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2002**, 8, 1495–1496. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Angley, L.P.; Combs, M.; Firth, C.; Frye, M.J.; Lipkin, W.I.; Richardson, J.L.; Munshi-South, J. Spatial variation in the parasite communities and genomic structure of urban rats in New York City. *Zoonoses Public Health* **2017**, *65*, e113–e123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Desvars-Larrive, A.; Baldi, M.; Walter, T.; Zink, R.; Walzer, C. Brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) in urban ecosystems: Are the constraints related to fieldwork a limit to their study? *Urban Ecosyst.* **2018**, *21*, 951–964. [CrossRef]
- 95. Parsons, M.H.; Banks, P.B.; Deutsch, M.A.; Corrigan, R.F.; Munshi-South, J. Trends in urban rat ecology: A framework to define the prevailing knowledge gaps and incentives for academia, pest management professionals (PMPs) and public health agencies to participate. *J. Urban Ecol.* **2017**, *3*, jux005. [CrossRef]
- 96. Cervero-Aragó, S.; Desvars-Larrive, A.; Lindner, G.; Sommer, R.; Häfeli, I.; Walochnik, J. Surface Waters and Urban Brown Rats as Potential Sources of Human-Infective *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in Vienna, Austria. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 1596. [CrossRef]
- 97. Fanelli, D. Negative results are disappearing from most disciplines and countries. *Scientometrics* **2011**, 90, 891–904. [CrossRef]
- 98. Weintraub, P.G. The Importance of Publishing Negative Results. J. Insect Sci. 2016, 16, 109. [CrossRef]
- 99. Albery, G.F.; Carlson, C.J.; Cohen, L.E.; Eskew, E.A.; Gibb, R.; Ryan, S.J.; Sweeny, A.R.; Becker, D.J. Urban-adapted mammal species have more known pathogens. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2022**, *6*, 794–801. [CrossRef]

Viruses **2022**, 14, 1516

100. Pellizzaro, M.; Martins, C.M.; Yamakawa, A.C.; Ferraz, D.D.C.; Morikawa, V.M.; Ferreira, F.; Dos Santos, A.P.; Biondo, A.W.; Langoni, H. Molecular detection of Leptospira spp. in rats as early spatial predictor for human disease in an endemic urban area. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0216830. [CrossRef]

- 101. Sures, B.; Scheible, T.; Bashtar, A.R.; Taraschewski, H. Lead concentrations in *Hymenolepis diminuta* adults and *Taenia taeniaeformis* larvae compared to their rat hosts (*Rattus norvegicus*) sampled from the city of Cairo, Egypt. *Parasitology* **2003**, 127, 483–487. [CrossRef]
- 102. Wünschmann, S.; Oehlmann, J.; Delakowitz, B.; Markert, B. Possible use of wild-living rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) as bioindicators for heavy metal pollution: Part II: Body burden calculations for identification of depot compartments. *Umweltwiss. Schadst.-Forsch.* **2002**, *14*, 96–103. [CrossRef]