Monoaminergic involvement in the pharmacological actions of buspirone

Phil Skolnick, Ben Avi Weissman' & Moussa B.H. Youdim*

Laboratory of Bio-organic Chemistry, Bldg. 4, Room 212, and Laboratory of Cell Biology and Genetics*, NIADDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205, U.S.A.

¹ Buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 did not produce a '5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) syndrome' in rats at doses up to 20 mg kg^{-1} .

2 These drugs were very weak 5-HT uptake blockers (IC₅₀ $>$ $>$ 10 μ M) compared to drugs such as chlorimipramine.

3 These drugs did not inhibit either monoamine oxidase (MAO)-A or MAO-B.

4 The K_i values for these agents as inhibitors of $[{}^{3}H1-5-HT$ and $[{}^{3}H1-$ ketanserin binding to rat frontal cortex or hippocampal membranes were in the μ M range, well above the brain concentrations achieved after an oral dose of $25 \text{ mg} \text{ kg}^{-1}$.

5 Parenterally administered buspirone blocked apomorphine-induced sterotypy, inhibited the 5-HT syndrome elicited by 5-methoxy-N, N-dimethyltryptamine, and delayed the onset of p -chloroamphetamine induced behaviours.

Introduction

Buspirone, 8-(4-(4-(2-pyrimidinyl)-1-piperazinyl) butyl)-8-azaspiro [4,5]-decane-7, 9-dione hydrochloride (Figure 1), has demonstrable anticonflict actions in several species (monkey, rat, pigeon) (Riblet et al., 1982; Weissman et al., 1984; Barrett et al., 1984) and anxiolytic actions in man (Goldberg & Finnerty, 1979; Rickels et al., 1982). However, buspirone appears to lack many of the pharmacological properties (e.g. sedative, muscle relaxant, anticonvulsant) associated with other commonly used anxiolytics such as benzodiazepines and barbiturates (Riblet et al., 1982).

The mechanisms by which buspirone exerts these selective anticonflict and anxiolytic actions remain controversial. Although buspirone does not affect the components of a γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)-benzodiazepine receptor-chloride ionophore complex in vitro (see Skolnick et al., 1984 for review), several laboratories have reported an increase in $[^3H]$ -benzodiazepine binding in vivo in the rat following orally administered buspirone (Garattini et al., 1982; Oakley & Jones, 1983; Taylor et al., 1984; Weissman et al., 1984). However, the failure of either CGS 8216 or Ro 15-1788 to antagonize the anticonflict actions of buspirone (Weissmann et al., 1984), supports the view that the pharmacological actions of buspirone may not involve a direct perturbation of the benzodiazepine-GABA receptor chloride ionophore complex.

MJ 13653

Figure 1 Structure of buspirone and related compounds.

C The Macmillan Press Ltd ¹⁹⁸⁵

Author for correspondence at: Department of Pharmacology, Israel Institute for Biological Research, P.O.B. 19, Ness Ziona, Israel.

Monoaminergic pathways have also been proposed to modulate the anticonflict actions of drugs such as the benzodiazepines (see Stein et al., 1977; Koe, 1979 for reviews). Buspirone has been shown to influence monoaminergic (5-HTergic, dopaminergic, noradrenergic) pathways in a complex fashion (see Skolnick et al., 1984 for review). For example, buspirone displays pharmacological actions reminiscent of both a dopamine agonist and antagonist (Riblet et al., 1982) and has been reported to produce a variety of responses resembling the 'serotonin behavioural syndrome' (5-HT syndrome) (Hjorth & Carlsson, 1982). Van der Maelen & Wilderman (1984) observed that buspirone inhibits the firing of dorsal raphe neurones, consistent with a 5-HT-like action. Nevertheless, conflicting reports have appeared regarding the potency of buspirone at 5-HT receptors in vitro (Riblet et al., 1982; Glaser & Traber, 1983) and the 5-HTergic qualities of buspirone in vivo (McMillen & Mattiace, 1983). Since blockade or disruption of 5-HTergic pathways has been implicated in the anticonflict actions of a number of compounds (Stein et al., 1977; Koe, 1979), and the behavioural and neurochemical actions of buspirone on 5-HTergic pathways are controversial, we have examined the neurochemical and behavioural actions of buspirone, MJ-13805 (a buspirone analogue with anticonflict action), and MJ-13653 (the major metabolite of buspirone) on 5-HTergic systems in an attempt to determine whether these compounds could exert their pharmacological actions through a 5-HTergic mechanism.

Methods

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-250 g) from Taconic Farms, Germantown, NY, were used in all experiments.

Neurochemical procedures

 $[3H]$ -5-HT uptake Rats were killed by decapitation and the brains rapidly removed and placed on ice. Frontal cortices and hippocampi were dissected and homogenized in 20 volumes of ice-cold 0.32 M sucrose with a glass-teflon homogenizer (10 strokes). The homogenate was then centrifuged for 10 min at $1000g$. The supernatant (S_1) was then centrifuged for 20 min at 20,000 g and the resulting pellet (P_2) resuspended in 50 volumes of modified Krebs-Ringer-phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (Hyttel, 1978): 50 μ l of this crude synaptosomal suspension (P_2) was added to assay tubes containing test substances in a volume of $850 \,\mu$ l. [³H]-5-HT (100 μ l, final concentration 10 nM) was added to control tubes and incubated at 4°C for ¹⁰ min. A parallel incubation was performed at 37°C for ⁵ min,

[3HJ-5-HT added and incubated for an additional 10 min at 37°C. The reaction was terminated by rapid filtration under vacuum over GF/C filters using a Brandel M-24R Cell Harvester, with 2×5 ml washes with the same buffer. Filters were transferred to scintillation vials containing 8 ml of Ready-Solv MP; radioactivity was determined in a Beckman LS-5800 liquid scintillation counter. Specific uptake was estimated by substracting the d.p.m. obtained at $4^{\circ}C$ from that obtained at 37° C. The uptake at 4 $^{\circ}$ C usually represented \sim 7% of total uptake (37°C).

 $[3H]$ -5-HT binding Binding assays were performed essentially as described by Mallat & Hamon (1982) for membrane preparations of frontal cortex and hippocampus. In brief, tissue was homogenized in 30 volumes of 50mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, with a Brinkman Polytron (setting 6-7, 15 s) and centrifuged for 20 min at $40,000 g$. The resulting pellet was resuspended in an identical volume of buffer and recentrifuged. Following resuspension in the original volume of buffer, the pellet was incubated for 10 min at 37°C, and recentrifuged. The final pellet was resuspended in ⁶⁰ volumes of ⁵⁰ mM Tris-HCI (pH 7.4) containing 4 mM CaCl₂, 10 μ M pargyline, and 0.1% ascorbic acid. Incubations consisted of: 0.8 ml $(0.3-0.8 \text{ mg protein})$ of tissue, $[^3H]-5-HT$ (2 nM final concentration), and test substances in a total volume of 2 ml. Nonspecific binding was determined with 10μ M unlabelled 5-HT. Incubations were carried out at 37°C for 10 min and terminated by rapid filtration through GF/B filters and 2×5 ml washes with icecold buffer. Radioactivity was estimated as described above.

 $\int^3 H J$ -ketanserin binding Binding assays for $\int^3 H J$ ketanserin were performed according to the procedure of Leysen et al. (1982) with the following modifications: prefrontal cortex was homogenized in 10 volumes of 0.32 M sucrose with glass-teflon homogenizer (10 strokes) and centrifuged for 10 min at $1000 g$. The supernatant was diluted $(1:4)$ with a mixture of 1 volume sucrose (0.32 M):3 volumes 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) buffer and centrifuged for 10 min at $35,000 g$. the pellet was washed once by resuspension with a pipette in the same buffer and centrifuged under the same conditions. The final pellet was resuspended in 60 volumes of 50mM Tris-HCI pH 7.4 buffer and 0.5 ml added to each tube containing 0.1 ml $[^3H]$ ketanserin (final concentration: 2 nM), 0.2 ml drugs and the appropriate amount of buffer (total volume of 2 ml). Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $10 \mu M$ lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD). Incubations were performed at 37°C for 15 min and were terminated by rapid filtration over GF/B filters using a Brandel Cell Harvester. Radioactivity was estimated as described above.

Monoamine oxidase activity Monoamine oxidase (MAO) activity was measured in a rat brain mitochondrial preparation as described by Tipton & Youdim (1983), using $[{}^{14}C]$ -5-HT binoxalate and $[{}^{14}C]$ - β -phenylethylamine hydrochloride as substrates. In brief, enzyme $(100 \,\mu\text{I})$ was incubated in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (300 μ l), with either 200 or $20 \mu M$ 5-HT or B-phenylethylamine (100 μ l) in the presence or absence of buspirone, MJ-13653 or MJ-13805 for 20 min. The reaction was stopped with 100μ or ¹ mM pargyline and the deaminated metabolites separated on Amberlite CG-200 and counted.

Behavioural procedures

A 5-HT behavioural syndrome was induced by either the 5-HT releasing agent p -chloroamphetamine (PCA) (Trulson & Jacobs, 1976; Kuhn et al., 1985) or the 5- HT agonist 5-methoxy-N.N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeODMT) (Grahame-Smith, 1971) and measured in groups of 3 animals housed in clear Plexiglas cages. After receiving the test injection, animals were observed for 5 min at 15 min intervals for up to 2 h for the appearance of a 5-HT behavioural syndrome (Kuhn et al., 1985). Symptoms of this syndrome included head weaving, reciprocal forepaw treading, hindlimb abduction, salivation, wet dog shakes, and hyperactivity. An 'all-or-none' method of scoring the presence or absence of the 5-HT behavioural syndrome was used (Sloviter et al., 1978; Kuhn et al., 1985). Individual rats were rated by observers 'blind' to the treatment. The concurrent appearance of three or more of the responses characteristic of the 5-HT syndrome, i.e. head weaving, forepaw treading, hindlimb abduction, or salivation were scored as a positive expression of the syndrome (Sloviter et al., 1978; Jacobs, 1976; Green & Grahame-Smith, 1976; Kuhn et al., 1985). A 'dopamine dependent behavioural syndrome' was produced by apomorphine (2 mg kg^{-1}) , i.p.). This syndrome included sniffing, head weaving and circling. An 'all or none' method of scoring was used to define the presence or absence of this syndrome.

Materials

DL-p-Chloroamphetamine, apomorphine and 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine were purchased from Sigma, St. Louis, MO. Pargyline was a gift of Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL. ['H]-ketanserin hydro-
chloride (64.6 Cimmol⁻¹), [³H]-5-hydroxytryptamine binoxalate $(24.1 \text{ Ci mmol}^{-1})$, $1-[$ ¹⁴C] β -phenethylamine hydrochloride $(50 \text{ mCi mmol}^{-1})$ and $1-[$ ¹⁴C $]5$ hydroxytryptamine creatinine bisulphate (50 mCi $mmol⁻¹$) were purchased from New England Nuclear, Boston, MA. Buspirone, MJ-13805 (4 - 4 - dimethyl - ¹ - [4 - [4- (2 - pyrimidinyl) - ^I - piperazinylibutyl] - 2,6 - piper-

[3H]-5-HT uptake assays were performed as described in Methods. Values represent $\bar{x} \pm$ s.e.mean from 3 independent experiments.

^aThe mean inhibition at this concentration is not different from 0.

idinedione hydrochloride, and MJ-1 3653 (l-pyrimidinylpiperazine hydrochloride) were supplied by Dr K. Wheeler, Mead-Johnson Co., Evansville, IN.

Results

Effects of buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 on monoamine oxidase activity

The effects of buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 on monoamine oxidase (MAO) activity were measured with 5-HT and β -phenylethylamine as substrates to measure MAO type A and B, respectively. Buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-1 ³⁶⁵³ did not affect either MAO-A or MAO-B at concentrations from 10^{-8} to 10^{-4} M (data not shown).

Inhibition of $\int_0^3 H$]-5-hydroxytryptamine uptake

The effects of buspirone, MJ-13805, MJ-13653, and chlorimipramine $(CIMP)$ on $[{}^{3}H1-5-HT]$ uptake in crude synaptosomal preparations of frontal cortex and hippocampus are shown in Table 1. In agreement with previous observations (Hyttel, 1978), CIMP at ^a concentration of 10^{-6} M completely inhibited [3H]-5-HT uptake in both preparations. In contrast, none of the other three drugs examined was a potent inhibitor of 5-HT uptake; buspirone (10^{-5} M) (the most potent of the three compounds examined) inhibited $[3H]-5$ -HT uptake by 27.6 ± 2.6 and $29.5 \pm 3.0\%$ in synaptosomes from frontal cortex and hippocampus, respectively.

Table 2 $[^{3}H]$ -5-hydroxytryptamine ($[^{3}H]$ 5-HT) binding to rat frontal cortex and hippocampus: effects of pharmacological agents

Membranes were incubated for 10 min at 37°C with six concentrations ($10^{-10} - 10^{-4}$ M) of inhibitors; IC₅₀ values were calculated from a Hill plot.

Table 3 The effects of buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 on 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeODMT) induced behaviour

Drug	Dose $(mg kg^{-1})$	Route of administration	n	Behavioural score $(5-HT)$ syndrome)	Time of appearance of 5-HT syndrome (min)
Saline		i.p.	18	18/18	
Buspirone	1.0	i.p.	o	6/6	
	2.5	i.p.		6/6	
	5.0	1.p.		3/6	
	10.0	i.p.	o	$1/6*$	—**
	20.0	i.p.	12	$0/12*$	-**
	20.0	p.o.	6	6/6	2
MJ-13805	20.0	1.p.		$0/6*$	—**
	20.0	p.o.		6/6	
MJ-13653	20.0	1.p.		6/6	
	20.0	p.o.	n	6/6	
Metergoline	5.0	1.p.	o	$0/6*$	— **

Drugs were administered either orally or parenterally 30 min before the injection of 5 mg kg⁻¹, i.p. of 5-MeODMT. Control animals received saline. The rats were observed for 30 min following the injection of 5-MeODMT. The behavioural score represents the number of animals displaying the syndrome/number of treated animals. * significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from controls according to a χ^2 -analysis.

** 5-MeODMT induced behaviours absent.

Figure 2 Concentration-response inhibition of $[^3H]$ ketanserin by pharmacological agents. The ability of various compounds to displace [3H]-ketanserin (2.01 nM) from rat prefrontal cortex membranes was examined as described in Methods. Values represent data from a single experiment repeated 3–5 times with similar r 13805 and MJ-13653 were found to have
 $>1 \times 10^{-5}$ and $> 5 \times 10^{-5}$ M, respectively (res shown). Symbols: spiroperidol, (Δ) ; LSD, (\bullet) ; chlorimipramine, (\blacksquare) ; buspirone, (\square) .

Inhibition of $\int_0^3 H$]-5-hydroxytryptamine binding

Buspirone was found to inhibit $[{}^3H]$ -5-HT binding to cortical and hippocampal membranes with IC_{50} values of 2.7×10^{-6} and 4.1×10^{-7} M, respectively (Table 2). Both MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 were slightly less
potent inhibitors of $[^3H]$ -5-HT binding with IC₅₀ $\frac{1}{6}$
binding the S-HT binding from 2.9 to 11.7 \times 10⁻⁶ M. 5-HT and the 5-HT agonist 5-MeODMT were included in this onse inhibition of [3 H]- study for purposes of comparison. The IC_{50} values al agents. The ability of obtained for these compounds (\sim 2.5 x 10⁻⁹ for 5-HT and 5.9×10^{-8} and 3.2×10^{-8} M for 5-MeODMT, in frontal cortex and hippocampus, respectively), are epresent data from a single
swith similar results. MJ-
 $\&$ Hamon (1982) and Nelson *et al.* (1983). The Hill & Hamon (1982) and Nelson et al. (1983). The Hill coefficients of MJ-13805 and buspirone were significantly less than 1.0, while the coefficients of $5-HT$, MJ-13653 and 5-MeODMT were \sim 1 (Table 2).

Table 4 The effect of buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 on the behavioural syndrome induced by p-chloroamphetamine (PCA)

Control rats received saline; all other animals were treated with the drugs indicated 30 min before PCA $(10 \,\text{mg}\,\text{kg}^{-1}, i.p.)$. The behaviour was recorded for the next 2 h and scored as described in Table 3. The time of appearance of the 5-HT syndrome is indicated as either a mean or a range. $*P < 0.01$ compared with controls.

Inhibition of $\int_1^3 H$]-ketanserin binding

The effects of buspirone, MJ 13805, MJ 13653, and three reference substances (LSD, spiroperidol, and chlorimipramine) were examined on $[{}^{3}H]$ -ketanserin binding to rat prefrontal cortex (Figure 2). Buspirone inhibited [3H]-ketanserin binding with an IC_{50} value of 4.28×10^{-6} M, while MJ 13805 and MJ 13653 had IC_s values > than 10^{-5} and > 5 x 10^{-5} M, respectively.

Table 5 The effect of buspirone and MJ-13805 on apomorphine-induced behaviour

	Dose		Apomorphine-in- duced
Drug	$(mgkg^{-1})$	n	behaviour
Saline		9	9/9
Buspirone	5.0	6	4/6
	10.0	6	$1/6*$
	20.0	6	$0/6*$
MJ-13805	10.0	6	6/6
	20.0	12	11/12

Buspirone and MJ-13805 were injected i.p. at the doses indicated and 30 min later apomorphine $(2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, i.p.)$ was administered. Control rats received saline followed by apomorphine. The behavioural score was derived as described in Table 3.

* Significantly different $(P < 0.05)$ from control animals according to χ^2 analysis.

LSD, spiroperidol and chlorimipramine, which have been previously shown to be potent inhibitors of $[3H]$ ketanserin binding in a similar preparation (Leysen et al., 1982) inhibited [H]-ketanserin binding with IC_{50} values of 1.31×10^{-8} , 3.31×10^{-9} and 1.37×10^{-7} M, respectively (Figure 2).

The effects of buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 on 5-hydroxytryptamine and dopamine behavioural syndromes

Buspirone (5-20 mg kg⁻¹, i.p.) reduced motor activity in rats within 5 min of administration. Animals assumed a flat posture and were tame and unresponsive to handling. These effects were absent following administration of either MJ-13653 or MJ-13805.

Intraperitoneal, but not oral administration of busipirone blocked the behavioural syndrome induced by the 5-HT agonist 5-MeODMT (Table 3). A similar blockade of 5-MeODMT-induced effects was observed with MJ-13805 but not MJ-13653. PCAinduced behavioural changes in control rats are generally observed 8-10min after injection. The behavioural syndrome caused by PCA was delayed in ^a dose-dependent fashion after i.p. administration of buspirone, while MJ-13805 was less potent than buspirone in delaying this syndrome (Table 4). In contrast, orally administered buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 did not prevent or delay the effects of PCA. The 5-HT antagonist, metergoline $(5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, i.p.)$ potently inhibited the behaviour induced by either 5-MeODMT or PCA (Tables ³ and 4)

Intraperitoneally administered buspirone but not MJ-13805 antagonized the apomorphine induced behaviours (Table 5). This effect of buspirone was dosedependent and reached its peak at about 10 mg kg^{-1} .

Discussion

Neurochemical, electrophysiological and pharmacological studies have shown that buspirone can affect neurotransmitter systems which have been linked to the anticonflict actions of compounds such as the benzodiazepines. Nonetheless, a causal relationship between perturbation of one or more of these systems and the anticonflict actions of buspirone has not been established. Since disruption or blockade of 5-HTergic pathways has been associated with the anticonflict actions of a number of compounds (Stein et al., 1977; Koe, 1979) and the influence of buspirone on 5-HTergic systems is controversial (Hjorth & Carlsson, 1982; McMillen & Mattiace, 1983; Eison et al., 1983b; Glaser & Traber, ¹⁹⁸³ Van der Maelen & Wilderman, 1984), we examined the effects of buspirone, MJ-13805 (a buspirone analogue), and MJ-13653 (the major metabolite of buspirone) on 5-HTergic pathways to determine whether an action on these systems is consistent with the pharmacological actions of these compounds.

Buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 appear to have a marginal influence on the uptake and metabolism of 5-HT. In comparison to chlorimipramine, these compounds are virtually inactive as inhibitors of $[3H]-5$ -HT uptake into cortical and hippocampal synaptosomes (Table 1). The concentrations of buspirone needed to inhibit $[{}^{3}H]$ -5-HT uptake in vitro would not be achieved in brain following the minimally effective anticonflict doses ofeither buspirone and MJ-13805 in rat (1 mg kg⁻¹, orally) (Garattini et al., 1982; Riblet et al., 1982; Eison et al., 1983a; Weissman et al., 1984).

Since MAO inhibitors have been reported to be effective in treating some forms of anxiety (Youdim & Finberg, 1982), we examined the effects of buspirone, MJ-13805 and MJ-13653 on brain MAO activity. These studies revealed that none of the compounds inhibited either MAO-A or MAO-B at concentrations up to 10^{-4} M (see Results).

Buspirone, MJ- 13805 and MJ- 13653 were examined for their abilities to displace the $5-HT₂$ receptor ligand $[{}^{3}H]$ -ketanserin (a 5-HT antagonist) from binding sites in rat prefrontal cortex. The potencies of these compounds in displacing $[3H]$ -ketanserin are in the μ M range, at least three orders of magnitude higher than reference compounds (spiroperidol, LSD and CIMP) included in this study for comparison. Further, the potencies of these compounds at 5-HT,-receptors are

too low to be of consequence to the anticonflict actions of these compounds since doses of buspirone approximately 100 fold higher than those needed for an anticonflict action would be needed to achieve brain concentrations in the μ M range.

In contrast to findings suggesting a 5-HT like action of buspirone (Hjorth & Carlsson, 1983), ^a 5-HT behavioural syndrome was not observed with either buspirone or its derivatives at doses up to 20 mg kg^{-1} (Tables 3, 4). In agreement with the results of McMillen & Mattiace (1983), we observed that doses of 10 and $20 \text{ mg} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ of buspirone (i.p.) produce a 'taming' effect in the animals such that they are unresponsive to handling, but are not cataleptic. A reduction in motor activity was also observed. Parenterally, but not orally, administered buspirone $(5-20 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ or MJ-13805 (20 mg kg⁻¹) blocked the 5-HT syndrome produced by 5-MeODMT and delayed the appearance of the 5-HT syndrome produced by PCA. These data suggest that some of the pharmacological effects of buspirone could be attributed to 5-HT receptor antagonism or inhibition of 5-HT release.

It could be argued that parenteral administration of relatively high doses of buspirone or MJ- 13805 lead to sufficient brain levels to block 5-HT receptors and antagonize the actions of 5-MeODMT and delay the actions ofPCA. Nevertheless, 5-HT receptor blockade does not appear to be the sole mechanism for the anticonflict actions of these drugs since the doses needed to block the 5-MeODMT syndrome and delay the PCA syndrome are much higher than minimally effective anticonflict doses. An alternative explanation for the inhibition of the 5-HT behavioural syndrome by buspirone could be related to the dopamine blocking properties of these compounds (Riblet et al., 1982). Green & Grahame-Smith (1976) have reported that the 5-HT behavioural syndrome may have a dopaminergic component, since both chlorpromazine and haloperidol can block this syndrome. The observation that buspirone $(10-20 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, i.p.)$ can inhibit apomorphine-induced sterotypy would support this view (Riblet et al., 1982; Table 5). Nonetheless, MJ-13805 appears to be devoid of dopamine blocking properties (Eison et al., 1983a) but can also antagonize the 5-MeODMT syndrome. Thus, these findings appear to be inconsistent with buspirone and MJ-13805 acting solely via dopaminergic pathways.

In summary, the present findings do not permit a firm conclusion regarding the mechanism of anticonflict action of buspirone, MJ-13805, and MJ-13653. However, these findings argue against a 5-HT antagonist action, since the doses of buspirone, MJ-13805 or MJ-13653 needed to block 5-HT receptors are many fold higher than would be found after a minimally effective anticonflict dose (Garrattini et al., 1982: Gammans et al., 1983). Furthermore, electro-

5-HTergic pathways. The observations that under certain circumstances dopamine antagonists have been reported to possess anticonflict activity in experimental animals and antianxiety activity in man (Lippa et al., 1979 and references cited therein) would support this contention. Certainly, the question of the mechanism by which these compounds achieve their therapeutic effects merits further investigation.

References

BARRETT, J.E., WITKIN, J.M., & MANSBACH, R.S. (1984). Behavioural and pharmacological analysis of the effects of buspirone. Fedn. Proc., 43, 931.

related to a complex action on both dopaminergic and

- EISON, A.S., EISON, M.S., RIBLET, L.A., & TEMPLE, JR., D.L. (1983a). Indications of serotonergic involvement in the actions of a potential non-benzodizepine anxiolytic: MJ-13805. Neurosci. Abst., 9, 436.
- EISON, M.S., VAN DER MAELEN, C.P., MATHESON, G.K., EISON, A.S., & TAYLOR, D.P. (1983b). Interactions of the anxioselective agent buspirone with central serotonin systems. Neurosci. Abst., 9, 435.
- EISON, M.S., & EISON, A.S. (1984). Buspirone as ^a midbrain modulator: Anxiolysis unrelated to traditional benzodiazepine mechanisms. Drug Dev. Res., 4, 109-119.
- GAMMANS, R.E., MAGOL, R.F., & EISON, M.E. (1983). Concentration of buspirone and 1-pyrimidinylpiperazine, a metabolite, in rat brain. Fedn. Proc., 42, 377.
- GARATTINI, S., CACCIA, S., & MENNINI, T. (1982). Notes on buspirone's mechanism of action. J. clin. Psychiatry, 43, 19-22.
- GLASER, T., & TRABER, J. (1983). Buspirone: action on serotonin receptors in calf hippocampus. Eur. J. Pharmac., 88, 137-138.
- GOLDBERG, H.L., & FINNERTY, R.J. (1978). Comparative efficacy of buspirone and diazepam in the treatment of anxiety. Am. J. Psychiatry, 136, 1184-1187.
- GRAHAME-SMITH, D.G. (1971). Studies in vivo on the relationship between brain tryptophan, brain serotonin synthesis and hyperactivity in rats treated with a monoamine oxidase inhibitor and L-tryptophan. J. Neurochem., 18, 1053-1066.
- GREEN, A.R., & GRAHAME-SMITH, D.G. (1976). The effect of drugs on the process regulating the functional activity of brain 5-hydroxytryptamine. Nature, 260, 487-491.
- HJORTH, S., & CARLSSON, A. (1982). Buspirone: effects on central monoaminergic transmission - possible relevance to animal experimental and clinical findings. Eur. J. Pharmac., 83, 299-303.
- HYTTEL, J. (1978). Effect of a specific 5-HT uptake inhibitor, citalopram (Lu 10-171), on ${}^{3}H$ -5-HT uptake in rat brain synaptosomes in vitro. Psychopharmac., 60, 13-18.
- JACOBS, B.T. (1976). Minireviews: An animal behaviour model for studying central serotonergic synapses. Life Sci., 19, 777-786.
- KOE, B.K. (1979). Biochemical effects of antianxiety drugs on brain monoamines. In Anxiolytics. ed. Fielding, S. & Lal, H. pp. 173-195. New York: Futura Publishing Co.
- KUHN, D., WOLF, W., & YOUDIM, M.B.H. (1985). Serotonin release in vivo from a cytoplasmic pool. Studies on the serotonin behavioural syndrome in reserpinized rats. Br. J. Pharmac., 84, 121-129.
- LEYSEN, J.E., NIEMEGEERS, C.J.E., VAN NEUTEN, J.M., & LADURON, P.M. (1982). [³H]Ketanserin (R 41 468), a selective ³H-ligand for serotonin, receptor binding sites. Mol. Pharmac., 21, 301-314.
- LIPPA, A.S., NASH, P.A. & GREENBLATT, E.N. (1979). Preclinical neuro-psychopharmacological testing procedures for anxiolytic drugs. In Anxiolytics. ed. Fielding, S. & Lal, H. pp. 41-81. New York: Futura Publishing Co.
- MALLAT, M. & HAMON, M. (1982). Ca^{2+} -guanine nucleotide interactions in brain membranes. I. Modulation of central 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors in the rat. J. Neurochem., 38, 151-161.
- McMILLEN, B.A. & MATTIACE, L.A. (1983). The neuropharmacology of buspirone, a novel anti-anxiety drug. J. Neural. Trans., 58, 255-265.
- NELSON, D., SCHNELLMANN, R. & SMITH, M. (1983). $1³$ H]Serotonin binding sites: Pharmacological and species differences. In Molecular Pharmacology of Neurotransmitter Receptors. ed. Segawa, T., Yamamura, H. & Kurigama, K. pp. 103-113. New York: Raven Press.
- OAKLEY, N.R., & JONES, B.J. (1983). Buspirone enhances $[{}^3H]$ flunitrazepam binding in vivo. Eur. J. Pharmac., 87, 499-500.
- RIBLET, L.A., TAYLOR, D.P., EISON, M.S., & STANTON, H.C. (1982). Pharmacology and neurochemistry of buspirone. J. clin. Psychiatry, 43, 11-16.
- RICKELS, K., WEISSMAN, K., NORSTOD, N., SINGER, M., STALTZ, D., BROWN, A. & DANTON, J. (1982). Buspirone and diazepam in anxiety: a controlled study. J. clin. Psychiatry, 43, 81-86.
- SKOLNICK, P., PAUL, S., & WEISSMAN, B.A. (1984). Preclinical actions of buspirone. Pharmacology, 4, 308-314.
- SLOVITER, R.F., DRUSTE, E.G., & CONNOR, J.D. (1978). Specificity of a rat behavioural model for serotonin receptor activation. J. Pharmac. exp. Ther., 206, 339-343.
- STEIN, L., BELLUZZI, J.D., & WISE, C.D. (1977). Benzodiazepines: behavioural and neurochemical mechanisms. Am. J. Psychiatry, 134, 665-669.
- TAYLOR, D.P., ALLEN, L.E., BECKER, J.A., CRANE, M., HYSLOP, D., & RIBLET, L.A. (1984). Changing concepts of the biochemical action of the anxioselective drug, buspirone. Drug Dev. Res., 4, 95-108.
- TIPTON, K.F., & YOUDIM, M.B.H. (1983). Assay of monoamine oxidase. In Research Methods in Cathecolamines. ed. Parves, H., Parrez, S. & Nagatsu, T. pp. 336-351. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- TRULSON, M.E., & JACOBS, B. (1976). Behavioural evidence for the rapid release of CNS serotonin by PCA and fenfluramine. Eur. J. Pharmac., 36, 149-154.
- VAN DER MAELEN, C.P., & WILDERMAN, R.C. (1984). lontophoretic and systemic administration of the non-benzodiazepine anxiolytic buspirone causes inhibition of serotonergic dorsal raphé neurons in rats. Fedn. Proc., 43, 947.
- WEISSMAN, B.A., BARRETT, J.E., BRADY, L.S., WITKIN, J.M., MENDELSON, W.B., PAUL, S.M. & SKOLNICK, P. (1984). Behavioural and neurochemical studies on the anticonflict actions of buspirone. Drug Dev. Res., 4, 83-93.
- YOUDIM, M.B.H. & FINBERG, J.P.M. (1982). Monoamine oxidase inhibitor antidepressants. In Psychopharmacology. ed. Grahame-Smith, D.G., Hippius, H. & Winokur, G. pp. 38-71. Amsterdam: Excerpta Medica.

(Received March 7, 1985. Revised July 1, 1985. Accepted July 3, 1985.)