www.nature.com/mp

FEATURE REVIEW

Mood is indirectly related to serotonin, norepinephrine and dopamine levels in humans: a meta-analysis of monoamine depletion studies

HG Ruhé, NS Mason and AH Schene

Program for Mood Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Dysfunction in the monoamine systems of serotonin (5-HT), norepinephrine (NE) and dopamine (DA) may causally be related to major depressive disorder (MDD). Monoamine depletion studies investigate the direct effects of monoamines on mood. Acute tryptophan depletion (ATD) or para-chlorophenylalanine (PCPA) deplete 5-HT, acute phenylalanine/ tyrosine depletion (APTD) or alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine (AMPT) deplete NE/DA. Available depletion studies found conflicting results in heterogeneous populations: healthy controls, patients with previous MDD in remission and patients suffering from MDD. The decrease in mood after 5-HT and NE/DA depletion in humans is reviewed and quantified. Systematic search of MEDLINE and EMBASE (1966-October 2006) and cross-references was carried out. Randomized studies applying ATD, PCPA, APTD or AMPT vs control depletion were included. Pooling of results by meta-analyses was stratified for studied population and design of the study (within or between subjects). Seventy-three ATD, 2 PCPA, 10 APTD and 8 AMPT studies were identified of which 45 ATD and 8 APTD studies could be meta-analyzed. 5-HT or NE/DA depletion did not decrease mood in healthy controls. 5-HT or NE/DA depletion slightly lowered mood in healthy controls with a family history of MDD. In drug-free patients with MDD in remission, a moderate mood decrease was found for ATD, without an effect of APTD. ATD induced relapse in patients with MDD in remission who used serotonergic antidepressants. In conclusion, monoamine depletion studies demonstrate decreased mood in subjects with a family history of MDD and in drug-free patients with MDD in remission, but do not decrease mood in healthy humans. Although depletion studies usefully investigate the etiological link of 5-HT and NE with MDD, they fail to demonstrate a causal relation. They presumably clarify a vulnerability trait to become depressed. Directions for further investigation of this vulnerability trait are proposed.

Molecular Psychiatry (2007) 12, 331-359. doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4001949; published online 16 January 2007

Keywords: tryptophan depletion; phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion; alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine; para-chlorophenylalanine; major depressive disorder; meta-analysis

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is characterized by a lowered mood. MDD is a disabling disease which affects 20% of the world's population.¹ MDD is often treated with antidepressants (ADs), mostly selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), serotonin norepinephrin reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), norepineprine reuptake inhibitors (NERIs) or tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs).^{2–5}

The working mechanism of AD is believed to be either by (1) increased neurotransmission by increased synaptic levels of serotonin, norepinephrine (NE) and dopamine (DA) (monoamines) or (2) specific agonistic effects on serotonin or NE (sub-)receptors. The increased levels of monoamines were discovered in the late fifties, when the TCAs and Monoamineoxidase A inhibitors (MAO-I) appeared to effectively treat MDD. This discovery led to the monoamine hypothesis: MDD might etiologically be explained by a deficiency in monoamine neurotransmitters: serotonin (5-HT), NE or (to a lesser degree) DA. The monoamine systems in the brain have complex interactions. Therefore, the current, less pertinent view is that the monoamine hypothesis only partially explains MDD and the response to AD.⁶⁻¹⁰

Depletion of the available 5-HT, NE and/or DA is used as a model to test the involvement of monoaminergic systems in MDD. Two reviews recently described and reviewed the techniques of monoamine precursor depletion and enzyme-blocking methods.^{11,12}

Correspondence: Dr HG Ruhé, C/o Mrs M Haages, Outpatient Department of Psychiatry A3-255.1, Academic Medical Center, PO Box 22660, Tafelbergweg 25, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

E-mail: H.G.Ruhe@AMC.UvA.nl

Received 25 July 2006; revised 11 August 2006; accepted 13 November 2006; published online 16 January 2007

Meta-analysis of monoamine depletion HG Ruhé et al

In brief, 5-HT depletion can be achieved by rapidly lowering the levels of the essential amino-acid tryptophan, which cannot be synthesized by the body and must be ingested to enable formation of 5-HT. To achieve depletion, a tryptophan free amino-acid mixture is administered (acute tryptophan depletion (ATD)).¹³ Depletion of NE and DA uses the same concept (acute depletion of the essential amino acids phenylalanine/tyrosine (APTD)).¹⁴ As an alternative to induce a state of depletion, enzyme-blocking agents decrease the production of the monoamines. Parachlorophenylalanine (PCPA) blocks 5-HT synthesis,^{15,16} and alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine (AMPT) NE and DA synthesis.¹⁷ Since 1975 an increasing number of depletion studies have been conducted. Monoamine depletion showed differential effects in different study populations: healthy controls, healthy controls with a family-history of MDD, patients with MDD in remission using AD or after cessation of AD, and patients who had a current episode of MDD.

Previous reviews summarized the methodology of depletion tests.¹⁸ Others reviewed the findings of specific depletion tests across different psychiatric illnesses,^{11,18-20} or the prediction of response to depletion.^{21,22} Booij *et al.*²¹ presented a study that pooled results across studies individual subject data of six ATD studies ('mega-analysis') in order to investigate the mediating role of clinical, demographic and biochemical characteristics in the mood response to ATD in remitted subjects who previously had MDD. However, a clear summary of the mood effects of monoamine depletion across different populations is lacking. Except from the study of Booij *et al.*, we are unaware of any attempt of pooling studies. Pooling is important because the small-sized depletion studies might not have detected small differences by a lack of power. Finally – as in drug research - pooling will quantify the weight of positive vs negative studies.

Therefore, we aimed to review the evidence for mood lowering properties of monoamine depletion studies as a model for MDD. Our main question was: does the depletion of monoamine (5-HT and NE/DA) systems lower mood in humans? A secondary question was: is the lowering of mood different across different populations? This paper reports our systematic review with a stratified meta-analysis of the mood effects of monoamine depletion studies.

Methods and materials

Design of the study

We included all available randomized prospective monoamine depletion studies (tryptophan and phenylalanine/tyrosine) and enzyme blocking studies (PCPA or AMPT) in humans. Included studies measured a change in mood after depletion. Two study designs were included. First, randomized within-subjects studies, where each subject was exposed to a true depletion vs a sham intervention at a second occasion. This way, each subject served as his/her own control. Second, randomized betweensubjects studies, where two groups of subjects were compared, with the intervention applied to one group and a control intervention to the other group. We excluded animal studies and studies which selected patients with apparent co-morbidity (e.g. substance abuse or dependence, studies with only smokers, psychotic disorders, anxiety disorders). Additionally, we excluded studies in depression subtypes with a supposed different etiology (e.g. seasonal affective disorder, bipolar disorder). We also excluded studies that combined depletion with other interventions (e.g. sleep deprivation) or studies that did not report mood effects. Finally, we excluded studies recruiting a patient group with both unipolar and bipolar depression when >25% of subjects had bipolar depression and when no separate data for the unipolar group were provided.

Literature searches and selection

We searched PubMed from 1966 to 1 October 2006 and EMBASE from 1980 to 1 October 2006 using a comprehensive search strategy (Table 1). We retrieved additional references identified in previous reviews^{11,12,18–20,23–25} and cross-references from

Table	1	Search term	S
			,

No. Search terms used	d		

- 1 Depressive disorder[MeSH] OR depression[MeSH] OR (depressive[TW] AND symptoms[TW]) OR mood[TW]
- 2 Indoleamine\$ OR serotonin[MeSH] OR 5-hydroxytryptamine[TW] OR 5-HT[TW]
- 3 ((Tryptophan[MeSH] OR Tryptophan[TW]) AND depletion[All Fields]) OR (fenclonine[MeSH] OR PCPA[TW] OR parachlorophenylalanine[TW])
- 4 #1 AND #2 AND #3
- 5 Catecholamines[MeSH] OR norepinephrine[MeSH] OR epinephrine[MeSH] OR Dopamine[MeSH]
- 6 ((Tyrosine[MeSH] OR Tyrosine[TW]) AND depletion[All Fields]) OR (alpha-methyltyrosine[MeSH] OR AMPT[TW] OR alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine[TW])
- 7 #1 AND #5 AND #6
- 8 (#4 OR #7) NOT (Animal[MeSH] NOT Human[MeSH])

Abbreviations: MeSH = Medical Subject Heading, TW = textword.

Note: PubMed search terms are provided; these terms were slightly modified for EMBASE-searches.

identified studies. Two reviewers (HGR and NSM) independently selected articles based on the *a priori* inclusion and exclusion criteria as stated above. In case of doubt an article was retrieved and the full content was considered. In 85.4% the reviewers agreed on selection instantly. The initial kappa for agreement was 0.61 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.52–0.71). Agreement was mainly influenced by a differential inclusion of research letters, studies in depression subtypes with a supposed different etiology and studies without apparent mood-scores in the abstract. Discrepancies in included studies were discussed between both reviewers until full consensus was reached.

Data extraction and assessment of the studies

Two authors (HGR and NSM) abstracted the retrieved studies as follows: population studied, study design (within-subjects or between subjects), applied mood scale(s), number of subjects (and - if applicable number of subjects for each sequence of intervention and control depletion), male/female ratio, the intervention and its control condition (including dosage and duration). Furthermore, we extracted plasma levels of tryptophan, tyrosine or relevant levels of monoamine-metabolites before and after intervention and control condition and other relevant data that could influence the outcome of the study (e.g. different consistency of control drink, unclearly reported data, combined interventions). Primary outcome variables were changes in mood-scale scores before and after intervention and control, and the number of relapses in the intervention and control group. If more than one mood-scale was used, we primarily used the Profile of Mood States (POMS).²⁶ Otherwise, we used the Multiple Affect Adjective Checklist (MAACL),²⁷ Visual Analogue (Mood) Scales (VA[M]S),²⁸ Hamilton-depression rating-scale (HAMD),²⁹ and Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS).³⁰ However, in studies in patients with previous MDD in remission we primarily chose the HAMD or MADRS followed by the POMS, because most of these studies used depression rating scales to measure effects of depletion. If subscales were used, we took the subscale representing depressed mood.

We validated the abstracted studies (criteria available on request). We based criteria on previous reviews and the Cochrane handbook.^{11,12,18,31} We assessed studies as poor, moderate or good *in the context of this meta-analysis*. We particularly assessed quality as good when studies applied a randomized double-blind design, when the achieved depletion was judged to be sufficient, and when mood-scale ratings were provided.^{11,18} If more than one of these items was rated inadequate, we assessed the study as moderate. If studies had one of these items missing and at least one other aspect of the study was not well reported, we also assessed the study as moderate. If crucial data (e.g. scores and SDs) were missing the study was assessed as poor.

Data synthesis

We qualitatively summarized all included studies in Tables 2–5 (ATD, PCPA, APTD and AMPT respectively), irrespective whether the studies were pooled in the meta-analysis. We acknowledged three clinically heterogeneous study populations *a priori*: (A) healthy controls (A1 negative/A2 positive family history of MDD); (B) patients with a previous MDD currently in remission (B1 currently not using AD/B2 currently using AD); and (C) patients with a current episode of MDD (with or without AD). These populations were considered and analyzed separately.

Statistical pooling

Mostly, continuous scores for changes in mood rating scales were reported. Some studies in patients with MDD in remission presented relapse rates. In order to pool continuous effect estimates from different scales, we applied a standardization (providing Hedges' g). The effect estimates (one per study) with the corresponding standard error (SE) were entered in the inverse-variance statistics for pooling in Review Manager 4.2.^{125,126} Appendix gives the formulas used to determine Hedge's g, the difference in relapse rates and corresponding SEs for different study designs.

Different study designs were not combined in pooling. Especially the within-subject design needs attention in meta-analysis. In this design, differences between the experimental and control condition are statistically paired. As paired data improve power, calculations with data from a within subjects (or cross-over) design in a weighted mean differences model would not be justified.¹²⁶

Heterogeneity, effect modification, sensitivity-analysis and assessment of publication bias

We first performed the meta-analyses with fixed effects models. We assumed more homogeneous results after our *a priori* attempt to reduce clinical heterogeneity by stratification. However, if effect-estimates and 95% CI for the individual studies showed graphical poor overlap or consistency, we interpreted this an indication of statistical heterogeneity. We used the χ^2 test and I^2 in addition. I^2 represents a χ^2 statistic relative to its degree of freedom. An I^2 value >50% is indicative of heterogeneity.¹²⁷ We applied a conservative random effects model¹²⁸ when we suspected statistical heterogeneity.

We investigated effect modification by gender, and the influence of a positive family history of MDD in healthy controls. Furthermore, we investigated whether different mood scales caused differences in outcomes. Therefore, we stratified analyses for these variables, and presented stratified Hedges' g, with 95% CI. Differences between strata were tested by subtracting the χ^2 heterogeneity statistic per stratum from the total χ^2 heterogeneity statistic. This residual $(Q_{\rm res})$ has a χ^2 distribution, with the total number of strata-1 degrees of freedom.

We imputed R = 0.5 to calculate missing pooled SDs within each intervention/control and for the changes

Author (year)ª	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
(A) Healthy controls						
Abbott (1992) ³²	Rand., DB, Betw. SS	2ª30 M	Not clearly reported	POMS-depression: ATD: BL: 2.50 (\pm 0.58 SE), post-test: 1.73 (\pm 0.71). CONT: BL: 2.50 (\pm 0.58), post-test: 1.98 (\pm 0.58)	Study primarily investigates effect of ATD on analgesia	Moderate
Allen (2006) ³³	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	VAS: no exact scores reported, no sign. difference in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on cognition (verbal fluency and working memory) and prefrontal activity by fMRI	Moderate
Amin (2006) ³⁴	Rand., DB, Within SS	19 F	Good°	POMS and HAMD: no exact scores reported, no sign. Difference in mood found on total POMS or HAMD	Study investigates effect of ATD on cognition (memory, visuospatial learning) and the protective effects of estrogen therapy (ET) in peri-/post-menopausal women. Only pre-ET-data used	Moderate
Barr (1997) ³⁵	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH—	${}^{6}_{M+F}$	Good	VAS-happy: ATD: BL: 51.2 (\pm 9.1), post-test: 42.7 (\pm 13.8). CONT: 51.7 (\pm 25.9), post-test: 62.7 (\pm 12.2); POMS not reported	Study investigates effect of fluoxetine treatment on ATD in healthy controls, only pre-fluoxetine data used	Good
Benkelfat (1994) ³⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	19 M	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 57.2 (± 2.0 SE), post- test: 58.9 (± 2.0), change: 1.7 (± 1.4). CONT: BL: 56.3 (± 2.6), post-test: 60.0 (± 2.1), change: 4.3 (± 2.3). No one reached 10 point decline in POMS-D	POMS changed in FH+ sample, not in FH– sample in same study (data provided separately)	Good
Bhatti (1998) ³⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	10 M	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 0.9 (\pm 1.3), post-test: 0.7 (\pm 3.3), CONT: BL: 0.9 (\pm 1.3), post-test: 0.4 (\pm 1.0)	Control-drink is 25% intervention drink, ATD and CONT differ >48 h. Study investigates effects of ATD on sleep EEG	Moderate
Craen (2002) ³⁸	Rand.?, DB?, Within SS, 85% FH—	20 M	Good	POMS-depressed: No exact scores reported, no sign. Difference in mood found	Study investigates difference in impulsivity after ATD between controls with FH + and FH - for alcoholism	Poor
Danjou (1990) ³⁹	Rand., DB, Betw. SS,	2ª10 M	Good ^e	VAS and adaptation of MAACL, exact scores not reported, no sign. Difference in mood found	Study focuses on psychomotor and neuroendocriene effects of ATD	Moderate
Debener (2002) ⁴⁰	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	18 F	Not tested	Mood 'questionnaires' did not reveal differences in affect for ATD	Study investigates the effect of ATD on auditory evoked potentials with EEG	Poor
Ellenbogen (1996) ⁴¹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH—	21 F	Good	POMS-depressed ^e : ATD: change -4 (± 1.6 SE), CONT: change: 0.8 (± 1.55); VAMS	Study also examines stability of ATD response which appears to be poor	Good
Evers (2005) ^{42,43}	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH—	12 M	Good	VAS sadness: ATD: BL: 1.8 (\pm 1.6), post-test: 2.0 (\pm 1.6). CONT: BL: 1.0 (\pm 0.7), post-test: 1.8 (\pm 1.6)	Study investigates effect of ATD on amygdale reactivity to fearful faces with fMRI	Moderate
Evers (2006a) ^{44,45}	Rand., DB, Within SS	13 M	Good	POMS: No exact scores reported, no sign. difference in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on cognition (performance monitoring and response inhibition and memory) and prefrontal/hippocampal activity by fMRI	Moderate
Evers (2006b) ⁴⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	15 F	Good	POMS: No exact scores reported, no sign. difference in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on a combined cognitive and emotional task by fMRI	Moderate
Gallagher (2003) ⁴⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS	15 M	Good	VAMS-contentedness-scale: scores not completely reported. ATD: post-test: 83.4 (\pm 7.5), CONT: post-test: 86.5 (\pm 7.8) No sign. Changes in mood	Study focuses on executive neurocognitive functions	Moderate



Table 2 C	ontinued
-----------	----------

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
Harrison (2002) ⁴⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	13 F	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 2.07 (± 0.63 SE), post-test: 0.76 (± 0.63) CONT: BL: 1.61 (± 0.52), post-test: 1.15 (± 0.56)	Study also reports absence of effects of ATD and APTD on interleukin-6 activation	Good
Harrison (2004) ⁴⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	13 F	Good	VAMS: exact scores not reported, no sign. Differences in mood found	Study also investigates memory and cognitive effects of ATD and APTD	Moderate
Hayward (2005) ⁵⁰	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, 20 FH–	$2^{a}12$ M + F	Good	HAMD: ATD: BL: 0.17 (\pm 0.11 SE), post-test: 0.67 (\pm 0.19), CONT: BL: 0.33 (\pm 0.19), post-test: 0.41 (\pm 0.19). BDI: ATD: BL: 1.5 (\pm 0.56 SE), post-test: 0.58 (\pm 0.33), CONT: BL: 2.13 (\pm 0.57), post-test: 2.25 (\pm 0.80). POMS and VAS: exact scores not reported. No significant effect on any scale found	Study also investigates cognitive processing in formerly depressed vs healthy controls during ATD	Moderate
Hughes (2000) ⁵¹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	20 M	Good	Undefined scale: no significant changes in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on auditory evoked potentials on EEG	Poor
Hughes (2003) ⁵²	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	20 M	Good	VAS-sadness: ATD: BL: 90.7 (\pm 3.5 SE), post-test: +6.7 (\pm 4.7), CONT: BL: 94.8 (\pm 2.6), post-test: -1.6 (\pm 1.9). No significant main effects on any VAMS scale	Study investigates effects of ATD on verbal and visuospatial learning and memory, attention and executive function	Good
Kähkönen (2005) ⁵³	Rand., DB, Within SS	14 M + F	Good	VAS-depression: ATD: change 41.5 (\pm 20.7), CONT: change 21.5 (\pm 17.6)	Study investigates effects of ATD on auditory response, MEG and EEG signals	Moderate
Klaassen (1999a) ⁵⁴	Rand., DB, Within SS	$\begin{array}{c} 13 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 0.6 (\pm 1.3), post-test: 1.5 (\pm 3.3), CONT: BL: 0.5 (\pm 1.0), post-test: 0.2 (\pm 0.6). VAS-depressed: ATD: BL: 2.7 (\pm 6.6), post-test: 8.2 (\pm 18.2), CONT: BL: 3.2 (\pm 6.3), post-test: 4.5 (\pm 13.0)	Study investigates specificity of ATD vs LYS- depletion on mood-effects and memory	Good
Klaassen (1999b), ⁵⁵ Klaassen (2002) ⁵⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH+ & FH–	11 FH- M+F	Good	POMS-depressed: scores not completely reported. ATD-CONT differences: FH- 0.0 (±0.45)	No baseline POMS-scores provided ('nonsignificant differences'). Study compared FH+ group with FH The FH+ ves responded to tryptophan depletion with a significant lowering of POMS scores, but FH- ves did not. Also memory effects measured	Moderate
Koszycki (1996) ⁵⁷	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, FH–	2ª20 M	Good	VAMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 1.5 (\pm 1.0 SE), post- test: 0.8 (\pm 0.6). CONT: BL: 3.4 (\pm 1.8), post-test: 4.5 (\pm 1.4)	Study investigates effect of administration of cholecystine-tetrapeptide after ATD on occurrence of panic-attacks	Good
Leyton (1999), ⁵⁸ Leyton (2000) ⁵⁹	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, FH—	15 ATD 14 CONT F	Good	POMS ⁶ : ATD: change: $-3.28 (\pm 1.4 \text{ SE})$. CONT: change $-1.04 (\pm 1.2 \text{ SE})$. VAMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 1.0 (± 0.9), post-test: 0.8 (± 0.8), change: $-0.3 (\pm 0.8)$. CONT: BL: 0.4 (± 0.5), post-test: 0.4 (± 0.4), change: 0.1 (± 0.4)	POMS; no exact scores reported. Third arm with APTD included	Moderate
McAllister- Williams (2002) ⁶⁰	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	14 M	Good	POMS: No exact scores reported, no sign. Difference in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on event related brain potentials on EEG during an episodic memory task	Moderate

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
Miller (2000) ⁶¹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	19 M + F	Good	POMS ^o : ATD: BL: 1.8, post-test: 0.3. CONT: BL: 2.9, post-test: 0.7 VAS-sad ^o : ATD: BL: 8.0, post-test: 10.1. CONT: BL: 12.2, post-test: 10.9. No SDs reported, no sign. Differences in mood found	No clear presentation of mood-scores; study compares ATD + CO2-challenge in healthy controls vs patients with panic disorder	Poor
Moreno (1999), ⁶² Moreno (2000) ⁶³	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	12 M + F	Good	HAMD-25, POMS and IDS scores not clearly reported. ATD did not cause significant increases in depressive symptoms in healthy control subjects	Study compared ATD effects in healthy controls vs. patients with MDD in remission. Instead of placebo a 25% strength depletion drink was used as a control	Poor
Murphy (2002) ⁶⁴	Rand., DB, Within SS	11 F	Good	Scores reported separately for groups with ATD first and CONT first. VAMS-sadness: ATD first: ATD: change: -1.4 (\pm 5.5), CONT: change: -0.2 (\pm 0.5). CONT first: ATD: change: 0.8 (\pm 26.6), CONT: change: -12.5 (\pm 26.1) Weighted averaged score (with highest SDs) used for meta-analysis	Study investigates cognitive and emotional processing after ATD	Good
Neumeister (2002) ⁶⁵	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	24 F	Good	HAMD-21: s/s subtype $(n = 4)$: ATD: change 8.5 (±2.9). CONT: 0.3 (±0.5) s/l subtype $(n = 10)$: ATD: change 4.6 (±2.9). CONT: 0.5 (±0.9) l/l subtype ^e $(n = 10)$: ATD: change -0.1 (±1.3). CONT: 0.0 (±1.1)	Study investigates effect of biallelic serotonin transporter promoter (5-HTTPR) genotype and effect of ATD	Good
Neumeister (2004) ⁶⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	19 M + F	Good	HAMD-24°: ATD: BL: 0.5 (\pm 0.1), post-test: 3.4 (\pm 0.6). CONT: BL: 0.5 (\pm 0.1), post-test: 2.6 (\pm 0.5)	Study mainly investigates regional cerebral blood- flow changes during ATD with PET-scans	Good
Neumeister (2006) ⁶⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\frac{26}{M+F}$	Good	HAMD ⁶ : s/s subtype (n = 7): ATD: BL: 0.4 (±0.4 SE), post- test: 3.6 (±1.4). CONT: BL: 0.8 (±0.4), post-test: 2.4 (±0.8). s/l subtype (n = 12): ATD: BL: 0.4 (±0.4), post-test: 5.2 (±1.1). CONT: BL: 0.4 (±0.4), post-test: 2.5 (±0.6). l/l subtype (n = 7): ATD: BL: 0.4 (±0.4), post-test: 2.4 (±1.3). CONT: BL: 0.2 (±0.4), post-test: 1.2 (±0.8)	Study investigates effect of triallelic serotonin transporter promoter (5-HTTPR) genotype and effect of ATD on mood and regional cerebral metabolism with PET	Good
Oldman (1994) ⁶⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS	12 F	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 1.1 (\pm 0.52 SE), post- test: 0.9 (\pm 0.58). CONT: BL: 1.9 (\pm 0.92), post- test: 2.3 (\pm 0.89). VAS-sad: ATD: BL: 15.0 (\pm 4.99 SE), post-test: 9.2 (\pm 4.5). CONT: BL: 11.7 (\pm 4.73), post-test: 8.3 (\pm 3.87)	In this study a second placebo-arm (plain water) is used; comparisons here are for balanced drink as CONT. Effects of ATD on appetite investigated also	Good
Park (1994) ⁶⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS	12 M	Good	(\pm 3.67) VAMS-sad: ATD: BL: 6.7 (\pm 5.7 SE), post-test: 6.6 (\pm 8.1). CONT: BL: 3.2 (\pm 3.0), post-test: 5.6 (\pm 6.8)	Study investigates cognitive performance after ATD	Good
Praschak-Rieder (2005) ⁷⁰	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	No exact VAS-ratings provided in study; none of the 14 subjects experienced a transient deterioration in mood [] levels	Study primarily investigates effects of ATD on serotonin transporter density/affinity during ATD	Moderate

336

Table 2 (Continued
-----------	-----------

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
Ravindran (1999), ⁷¹ Knott (1999) ⁷²	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, FH–	2ª13 M	Good	POMS-change: ATD $-1.29 (\pm 0.94)$ vs CONT $+1.41 (\pm 0.55)$. Significant changes in mood, but this occurred in five of the 13 subjects only, while in others in the ATD-group no decrease of mood was observed	No clear presentation of data on mood-scores; probably SDs reported. Study also investigates effect of fenfluramine administration after ATD and effect of ATD on immune measures and EEG	Moderate
Richell (2005) ⁷³	Rand., DB, Betw. SS	$\begin{array}{c} 15+13 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	No baseline data on POMS or VAS reported, only measures during the noise-stress paradigm reported after ATD, no sign. Differences in mood found	Study especially investigates difference in response to noice-stress by ATD vs CONT	Poor
Rubia (2005) ⁷⁴	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	${}^9_{M+F}$	Good	No VAS-scores reported, no sign. Changes of mood observed by ATD	Study investigates functional brain activity by fMRI with a response inhibition-task	Poor
Rubinsztein (2001) ⁷⁵	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, 80% FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 2^{a}15\\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	POMS-depressed: no exact scores reported. Scores were similar between test and placebo groups, also on VAMS, no sign. Differences in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on cognitive test concerning attention	Poor
Schmeck (2002) ⁷⁶	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, FH—	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	Eigenschaftswörterliste: no exact scores reported. High aggressive women were sign. More depressed after ATD than other groups. High aggressive men did not show an effect of ATD on mood. The mood of non-aggressive men and women did not change after ATD	Study looked at different reaction to ATD in high and low-aggressive males and females	Poor
Schmitt (2000) ⁷⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	POMS-depression: ATD: BL: $0.92 (\pm 0.11 \text{ SE})$, post-test: $0.93 (\pm 0.12)$. CONT: BL: $0.95 (\pm 0.11)$, post-test: $0.96 (\pm 0.13)$	Study investigates cognitive performance after ATD	Good
Shansis (2000) ⁷⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS, 7/ 12 FH–	12 M	Good	POMS-depressed & VAS: no exact scores reported. Mood changes on control day not sign. Different than on ATD day for any of the six dimensions in the POMS and VAS	Previous mood-disorder in one subject (not excluded). Study investigates effects of ATD on attention and memory	Poor
Smith (1987) ⁷⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS	80 M	Good	MAACL ^o : ATD: BL: 10.9, post-test: 18.2. CONT: BL: 9.5, post-test: 8.2. No SDs reported. Significant lowering of mood scores by ATD	Study investigates ATD in negative and positive environment. Both conditions show a significant change on mood scores	Moderate
Smith (1997b) ⁸⁰	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	11 M + F	Good	VAS-sadness: no exact scores reported. No significant main effects were seen. VAS-happy for females $(n = 6)$ reported separately ⁶ : ATD: BL: 69.7 (\pm 5.6 SE), post-test: 61.6 (\pm 6.4). CONT: BL: 71.4 (\pm 5.3), post-test: 66.1 (\pm 6.1). For males $(n = 5)^{\circ}$: ATD: BL: 72.2, post-test: 67.8. CONT: BL: 77.2, post-test: 70.6. No SEs reported	Study also investigates effect of ATD when sad- mood is induced. Data for females VAS-happy used in meta- analysis	Moderate
Stewart (2002) ⁸¹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 27 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	POMS-depression: ATD: BL: 1.7 (\pm 2.6), post-test: 1.1 (\pm 2.3), change: -0.6 (\pm 2.1). CONT: BL: 3.4 (\pm 6.0), post-test: 2.4 (\pm 4.8), change: -1.0 (\pm 4.6)	Study also investigates effect modification by high vs low neuroticism scores during ATD (on mood and psychometric performance)	Good
Talbot (2006a) ⁸²	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, FH—	$\begin{array}{c} 17+15\\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	VAMS: No exact scores reported, no sign. Difference in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on decision making and learning	Moderate

Molecular Psychiatry

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
Talbot (2006b) ⁸³	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	16 M	Good	VAMS: ATD: change $-0.5 (\pm 6.1)$. CONT: change $-5.7 (\pm 8.1)$ BDI: ATD: change $-0.0 (\pm 1.4)$. CONT: change $0.4 (\pm 1.5)$	Study investigates effect of ATD on Regional Cerebral Blood Flow by SPECT	Good
Voderholzer (1998) ⁸⁴	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	(\pm 1.5) HAMD-6: ATD: BL: 0.83 (\pm 1.8), post-test: 0.42 (\pm 1.2), CONT: BL: 0.17 (\pm 0.4), post-test: 0.42 (\pm 0.7).	Study investigates effects of ATD on sleep EEG	Good
Weltzin (1994) ^{85f}	Rand., DB, Within SS	9 F	Good	Only peak-changes for ATD and CONT are provided for an unclearly defined mood-scale: ATD: 0.78. CONT 0.0. SDs not reported. SD of difference estimated conservatively from $p < 0.05$: + 1.01	Study investigates effect of ATD on mood in bulimic patients $(n=13)$ and healthy controls $(n=9)$	Moderate
Weltzin (1995) ^{86f}	Rand., DB, Within SS	10 F	Good	Only peak-changes between ATD and CONT are provided for an unclearly defined mood-scale: 0.0 (± 1.3) , this value used in meta-analysis	Study investigates effect of ATD on mood and short-term eating behaviour in bulimic patients $(n = 10)$ and healthy controls $(n = 10)$.	Moderate
Yatham (2001) ⁸⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	10 F	Good	HAMD-20, POMS: No exact scores reported, no sign. Difference in mood found	Study investigates effects of ATD on $5\text{-}\text{HT}_2$ receptors with PET	Moderate
Young (1985) ¹³	Rand., DB, Betw. SS	3ª12 M	Good	No clear MAACL-scores or SDs reported per group for ATD vs CONT. Sign. Decrease of mood after ATD	Study investigates effects of ATD on proofreading task with or without distraction	Poor
(A2) Healthy controls	s with +ve famil	ly history for MDI)			
Benkelfat (1994) ³⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH+	20 M	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 55.2 (\pm 1.7 SE), post- test: 51.2 (\pm 1.6), change: -4.0 (\pm 1.6). CONT: BL: 54.0 (\pm 1.6), post-test: 57.3 (\pm 1.1), change: 3.3 (\pm 1.6). Six (30%) of people showed a decline of 10 points or greater on POMS-D	POMS changed in FH+ sample, not in FH– sample in same study	Good
Ellenbogen (1999) ⁸⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH+	13 F	Good	POMS-depressed ^e : 1st ATD: change: $-0.3 (\pm 1.7 \text{ SE})$. CONT: change: $-1.1 (\pm 1.5)$. VAMS: no exact scores reported. No significant change in mood on any of the POMS or VAMS items	Possible selection-bias by exclusion of many former patients mentioned: extremely FH + sample, but never depressed Study found poor temporal stability of ATD in repeated testing	Good
Klaassen (1999b), ⁵⁵ Klaassen (2002) ⁵⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH+ & FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \hspace{.1cm} FH + \\ M + F \end{array}$	Good	POMS-depressed: scores not completely reported. ATD-CONT differences: $FH + 1.94 (\pm 3.17)$	See above in section 'healthy controls'	Moderate
Neumeister (2002) ⁶⁵	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH +	21 F	Good	HAMD-21: s/s subtype $(n = 5)$: ATD: change 9.2 (±2.1). CONT: 0.8 (±0.5) s/l subtype $(n = 7)$: ATD: change 10.0 (±4.5). CONT: 0.1 (±0.4) l/l subtype ^o $(n = 9)$: ATD: change 0.4 (±1.2). CONT: -0.2 (±1.3)	Study investigates effect of biallelic serotonin transporter promoter (5-HTTPR) genotype and effect of ATD	Good
Stewart (2002) ⁸¹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	${5 \atop M+F}$	Good	POMS-depression: ATD: BL: 5.2 (\pm 10.0), post- test: 5.6 (\pm 10.4), change: 0.4 (\pm 0.5). CONT: BL: 6.4 (\pm 12.7), post-test: 4.2 (\pm 7.4), change: -2.2 (\pm 5.5)	See above in section 'healthy controls'	Good

338

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement
(B1) Patients with M		at currently in rem				
Cassidy (1997) ⁸⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS 1–4 days after	5 M+F Rx-free	Good	MADRS: ATD: BL: 4.2 (\pm 3.5), post-test: 3.6 (\pm 3.2). CONT: BL: 4.8 (\pm 2.9), post-test: 4.0 (\pm 3.5)	Study investigates recurrence of MDD after ATD in patients successfully treated with ECT	Good
Delgado (1991) ⁹⁰	ECT Rand., DB, Within SS≥2 weeks after 'stability'	< 3 months 69 M+F Rx-free ?? months	Good	HAMD-25: exact scores not reported; no sign. difference by ATD. 30% experienced an improvement of mood the day after ATD. Depressive relapse: No exact data provided	In original studies Bipolar patients included; unclear whether these were excluded in this pooled analysis	Poor
Haynes (2004) ⁹¹	Rand., DB, Within SS 1-2 weeks response	13 M + F Post-CBT	Good	POMS-depression: ATD: BL: 6.4 (\pm 7.4), post-test (8 h): 4.9 (\pm 6.4). CONT: BL: 5.5 (\pm 7.7), post-test: 5.0 (\pm 7.8). HAMD-19: ATD: BL: 4.7 (\pm 3.7), post-test: 4.9 (\pm 3.9). CONT: BL: 3.3 (\pm 2.5), post-test: 3.5 (\pm 4.3)	Study investigates recurrence of MDD after ATD in patients successfully treated with CBT, and effects on REM-sleep	Moderate
Hayward (2005) ⁵⁰	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, 11 $FH-\geq 6$ months remission	2ª12 M+F Rx-free≥ 3 months	Good	HAMD: ATD: BL: 1.0 (\pm 0.41 SE), post-test: 1.38 (\pm 0.36), CONT: BL: 0.42 (\pm 0.23), post-test: 0.50 (\pm 0.19). BDI: ATD: BL: 2.25 (\pm 0.76 SE), post-test: 1.75 (\pm 0.68), CONT: BL: 2.17 (\pm 0.63), post-test: 1.63 (\pm 0.53). POMS and VAS: exact scores not reported.	Study also investigates cognitive processing in formerly depressed vs healthy controls during ATD	Moderate
Moreno (1999), ⁶² Moreno (2000) ⁶³	Rand., DB, Within SS≥3 months remission (average 29 months)	$\begin{array}{l} 12 \\ M+F \\ Rx-free \geq \\ 3 \text{ months} \end{array}$	Good	No significant effect on any scale found HAMD-25, POMS and IDS scores not clearly reported. ATD caused nonsignificant increases in depressive symptoms in history-positive subjects Post-test: mean peak HAMD scores ATD: 14 (\pm 6), 'CONT': 10 (\pm 7)	Study compared healthy controls with patients with MDD in remission. Instead of placebo a 25% strength depletion drink was used as a control	Poor
Neumeister (2004) ⁶⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS≥3 months remission	27 M+F Rx-free≥ 3 months	Good	HAMD-24°: ATD: BL: 1.2 (\pm 0.2), post-test: 11.5 (\pm 10.3). CONT: BL: 0.7 (\pm 0.2), post-test: 2.1 (\pm 0.3). 16/27 (59%) patients experienced transient return of MDD during ATD vs 0/27 during CONT	See above in section 'healthy controls'	Good
Neumeister (2006) ⁶⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS≥3 months remission	27 M+F Rx-free≥ 3 months	Good	HAMD ⁶ : s/s subtype ($n = 7$): ATD: BL: 0.4 (±0.4 SE), post- test: 7.0 (±1.4). CONT: BL: 0.6 (±0.4), post-test: 2.6 (±0.8). s/l subtype ($n = 12$): ATD: BL: 0.8 (±0.4), post-test: 11.4 (±1.0). CONT: BL: 0.8 (±0.4), post-test: 2.4 (±0.6). l/l subtype ($n = 8$): ATD: BL: 2.0 (±0.3), post-test: 14.6 (±1.2). CONT: BL: 0.9 (±0.4), post-test: 1.7 (±0.6)	Study investigates effect of triallelic serotonin transporter promoter (5-HTTPR) genotype and effect of ATD on mood and regional cerebral metabolism with PET	Good
O'Reardon (2004) ⁹²	Rand., DB, Within SS 5 months remission	10 M+F Post-CBT	Good	(\pm 0.0) HAMD-24°: ATD: change: 4.97 (\pm 1.0 SE). CONT: change: 3.22 (\pm 1.0) 0/10 patients relapsed in either condition	Currently not using antidepressant medication, responders to CBT treatment	Good

ggt

Table 2 Continued

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD°))	Remarks	Judgement
Smith (1997a) ⁹³	Rand., DB, Within SS≥6 months remission	15 F Rx-free≥ 6 months	Good	HAMD-12: ATD: change (7 h): 7.3. CONT: change: 0.1. SD of difference ATD-CONT calculated from 95% CI: 7.2 (\pm 4.9) 5/15 patients experienced relapse during ATD, 0/15 during CONT	Currently not using antidepressant medication (recovered MDD)	Moderate
(B2) Patients with N	MDD previously, bu	at currently in rem	uission (currentl	y using medication)		
Aberg-Wistedt (1998) ⁹⁴	Rand., DB, Betw. SS After response	$\begin{array}{c} 12+8\\ M+F\\ CIT \end{array}$	Insufficient	MADRS: exact scores not reported. Five of the 12 patients with ATD showed a Worsening of depressive symptoms in ATD: 5/12 (MADRS 12–71%). CONT: 0/8	Study also investigates relation with relapse due to ATD and other variables (plasma cortisol, platelet-serotonin)	Moderate
Booij (2005a) ⁹⁵	Rand., DB, Within SS After≥partial remission	20 M + F SSRI SNRI	Good	MADRS: ATD: BL: 4.6 (\pm 0.9 SE), post-test: 7.9 (\pm 1.8). CONT: BL: 3.7 (\pm 0.9), post-test: 3.7 (\pm 0.9). Relapse of MDD: ATD: 7/20; CONT: 0/20 assumed	Inclusion of subjects with HDRS < 15 (partial remission). A 25% strength tryptophan deficient mixture was used as CONT	Good
Booij (2006) ⁹⁶	Rand., DB, Within SS After≥partial remission	19 M + F SSRI SNRI	Good	HAMD-17 scores sign. lower after ATD: SI + $(n = 8)$: ATD: BL: 2.5 (± 0.8 SE), post-test: 7.6 (± 2.0). CONT: Not given SI - $(n = 11)$: ATD: BL: 2.9 (± 0.7), post-test: 3.4 (± 0.6). CONT: Not given MADRS scores sign. Lower after ATD: SI +: ATD: BL: 5.0 (± 1.2 SE), post-test: 12.00 (± 2.4). CONT: Not given SI -: ATD: BL: 5.4 (± 1.3 SE), post-test: 9.3 (± 1.7). CONT: Not given	Inclusion of subjects with HDRS < 15 (partial remission). A 25% strength tryptophan deficient mixture was used as CONT. No data for ATD mood-ratings after CONT provided. Study also investigates effect of ATD on Heart Rate Variability (HRV) and impulsivity and the interaction by $+$ ve/-ve history of suicidal ideation (SI + /SI–)	Moderate
Bremner (1997) ⁹⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS Average remission 45 weeks	21 M+F FLX PAR	Good	Relapse of MDD: ATD: 7/21; CONT: 1/21. HAMD-scores are split between relapse and non- relapse group. With relapse $(n = 7)$: ATD: BL: 6.86 (± 4.98) , post-test: 15.57 (± 6.92) . CONT: BL: 7.00 (± 5.45) , post-test: 6.71 (± 6.07) . Without-relapse $(n = 14)$: ATD: BL: 4.79 (± 3.45) , post-test: 6.36 (± 4.25) , CONT: BL: 6.21 (± 4.69) , post-test: 9.21 (± 5.99)	19/21 of patients used FLX. Study investigates brain metabolism with PET during ATD and associations with MDD relapse	Good
Delgado (1991) ⁹⁰	Rand., DB, Within SS≥2 weeks after 'stability'	46 M+F various	Good	HAMD-25: exact scores not reported. Depressive relapse: ATD: 24/46, CONT: 0/46	Heterogeneous population currently using various AD. BUP or DES-treatment lower chance of relapse In original studies Bipolar patients included; unclear whether these were excluded in this pooled analysis	Moderate
Delgado (1999) ⁹⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS≥2 weeks response	30 M+F FLX DES	Good	HAMD-25: FLX-treated patients: ATD: BL: 7.7, post-test 17.1. CONT: not reported Relapse: ATD 8/15, CONT 0/15. DES-treated patients: ATD: BL: 8.6, post-test 10.7. CONT: not reported. Relapse: ATD 1/15, CONT 0/15	Two bipolar patients included. Study replicates previous finding that DES-treated remitters do not suffer a relapse if improved on DES, but did after FLX-induced remission	Moderate
Evans (2002) ⁹⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS After≥partial remission	8 M+F BUP	Good ^e	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 5.25 (\pm 1.62 SE), post-test: 3.12 (\pm 1.37). CONT: BL: 5.25 (\pm 1.62), post-test: 3.50 (\pm 1.80) HAMD-19: ATD: BL: 4.38 (\pm 1.21 SE), post-test: 5.00 (\pm 1.02). CONT: BL: 4.38 (\pm 1.21), post-test: 4.12 (\pm 0.81)	Study also investigates effects of ATD on sleep- EEG. One Bipolar II patient included. Five patients in partial remission (HAMD 7–9)	Good

340

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
Landolt (2003) ¹⁰⁰	Rand., DB, Within SS, PHZ After remission	5 M+F PHZ	Good	POMS-depression: no BL measures were given separately for ATD and CONT: BL: $0.4 (\pm 0.4 \text{ SE})$. ATD: post-test: $2.0 (\pm 1.5)$. CONT: post-test: $1.0 (\pm 0.4)$. Post-test measures taken next morning after ATD or CONT at 1600 hours	Study primarily investigates effect of ATD on recurrence of REM-sleep while using PHZ	Moderate
Moore (1998) ¹⁰¹	Rand., DB, Within SS≥2 months remission	10 M FLX SER PAR	Good	POMS-depressed: ATD: BL: 3.0 (\pm 1.3 SE), post- test: 2.7 (\pm 1.4), CONT: BL: 3.0 (\pm 1.3), post-test: 3.3 (\pm 1.6). HAMD-25 ratings not exactly reported but did not change significantly	Study also investigates effects of ATD on REM- sleep. A 25% strength tryptophan deficient mixture was used as CONT. Subjects in remission > 2 months	Good
Morris (1999) ¹⁰²	Rand., DB, Within SS Full remission	8 M SSRI AMI TRAZ PHZ TCP Li	Good	Modified HAMD-12, BDI. No exact scores given. HAMD increase >5 points (relapse): ATD: 7/8, CONT: 0/8	Study investigates brain metabolism with PET during ATD and associations with MDD relapse. Six of 8 participants received ≥ 6 months antidepressants. No indication which drugs were currently used	Moderate
O'Reardon (2004) ⁹²	Rand., DB, Within SS 5 months remission	9 M+F FLX PAR	Good	HAMD-24°: ATD: change: 8.22 (\pm 1.2), CONT: change: 2.56 (\pm 1.2). 3/9 patients relapsed during ATD vs 0/9 during control	Currently using antidepressant medication, responders to SSRI treatment	Good
Praschak-Rieder (2004) ¹⁰³	Rand., DB, Within SS 2 months remission	8 M CIT	Good	HAMD-21: ATD: BL: 3.88 (\pm 2.6), post-test: 12.3 (\pm 5.4). CONT: no scores reported. Relapse: ATD: 6/8 patients, CONT: no numbers reported 0/8 assumed for analysis	Study primarily investigates effects of ATD on regional 5-HT $_{\rm 1A}$ binding potential with PET	Poor
Smith (1999) ¹⁰⁴	Rand., DB, Within SS≥6 months remission	8 M various	Good	HAMD: no exact scores reported Relapse: ATD: 6/8 patients, CONT: 1/8	Study primarily investigates effects of ATD on regional brain activity with PET. Subjects in remission >6 months. 2 patients did not use antidepressants, 6 used various types (SSRI, TCA, MAO-I)	Moderate
Spillmann (2001) ¹⁰⁵	Rand., DB, Within SS≥3 months remission	10 M+F FLX SER VLX PAR	Good	HAMD-6: ATD: BL: 1.1 (\pm 1.2) post-test (7 h): 4.7 (\pm 3.4), change: 3.6 (\pm 3.1); CONT: BL: 1.4 (\pm 1.7) post-test: 1.0 (\pm 1.4), change: -0.4 (\pm 1.2). Increase in scores on the HAMD-6 was significantly higher for ATD than for CONT	In remission after SSRI, currently using antidepressant medication. 5 initial participants dropped out	Good
(C) Patients currently Booij (2005b) ¹⁰⁶	with MDD (with Rand., DB, Within SS	14 M+F VLX MIR TCA	epressants) Good	HAMD: ATD: BL: 12.9 (\pm 1.5 SE), post-test: 13.1 (\pm 1.9). CONT: BL: 11.4 (\pm 1.4), post-test: 13.6 (\pm 1.3). MADRS: ATD: BL: 22.7 (\pm 3.7), post-test: 23.1 (\pm 4.7). CONT: BL: 19.6 (\pm 3.3), post-test: 22.9 (\pm 4.7).	A 25% strength tryptophan deficient mixture was used as CONT. <i>Improvement</i> of mood-ratings 24hr after full ATD in VLX/MIR treated patients, but not in patients treated otherwise	Good
Delgado (1994) ¹⁰⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS	Li 43 $M+F \ge 2$ weeks Rx-free	Good	(±2.0). HAMD-25: No exact mood-scores provided One day after ATD 16/43 patients experienced improvement of mood, 10/46 decrease in mood relative to CONT	<i>Improvement</i> of mood-ratings 24hr after full ATD associated with treatment response after antidepressant treatment	Poor

Author (year) ^a	Design	Ν	Adeq. of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD ^c))	Remarks	Judgement ^d
Price (1997) ¹⁰⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS	22 M+F Rx-free	Good	VAS, HAMD-25: No exact scores provided; scores unaffected by ATD	Study investigates the (biochemical) effects of <i>m</i> -chlorophenylpiperazine (mCCP; serotonin- agonist) on HAMD, cortisol, prolactin and growth-hormone during ATD	Poor
Price (1998) ¹⁰⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS	36 M+F \ge 3 weeks Rx-free	Good	HAMD-25: ATD: BL: 28 (±7), post-test 28 (±7). CONT: 27 (±9), post-test 26 (±9)	Study included 4 patients with BD. Study investigates the (biochemical) effects of rapid tryptophan infusion on HAMD, cortisol, prolactin and growth-hormone after ATD	Good

Abbreviations: AD = antidepressants; AMI = amitriptyline; AMPT = Alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine; ATD = acute tryptophan depletion; BD = bipolar disorder; BDI = Beck depression inventory; Betw. SS = between-subjects parallel groups design; BL = baseline; BUP = buproprion; CBT = cognitive behaviour therapy; CIT = citalopram; CONT = control; DB = double-blind; DES = desipramine; ECT = electro-convulsive therapy; EEG = electro-encephalography; FLX = fluoxetine; HAMA = Hamilton Anxiety Scale; HAMD = Hamilton Depression Scale; IMI = imipramine; LYS = lysine; Li = lithium; MAACL = Multiple Affect Adjective CheckList; MADRS = Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MAO-I = monoamine oxidase inhibitor; MDD = major depressive disorder; MEG = magneto-encephalography; MIR = mirtazapine; NOR = nortriptyline; PAR = paroxetine; PHZ = phenelzine; POMS = Profile of Mood States; Rand. = randomized; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error; TCA = tricyclic antidepressants; TCP = tranylcypromine; TRAZ = trazodone; VA(M)S = Visual Analogue (Mood) Scale; VLX = venlafaxine; Within SS = within-subjects cross over design.

^aStudies included in the meta-analysis in bold.

^bPlasma tryptophan declined by at least 50%.

^cSD given unless indicated otherwise (e.g. SE).

^dJudgement in the context of applicability for this meta-analysis.

^eEstimated from figure.

^fUnclear whether partially the same population is reported (Weltzin *et al.*, 1994⁸⁵ and 1995⁸⁶).

 Table 3
 Included studies with Para-chlorophenylalanine (PCPA)

Author (year)	Design	Ν	Adequacy of depletion	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD))	Remarks	Judgement ^a
Shopsin (1975) ¹⁵	Within SS, MDD in remission by IMI	1	Not measurable; poor	PCPA given in small doses for relatively short periods caused a reversal of the antidepressant effect of IMI. HAMD, no exact mood scores reported	Note: $n = 1$, 1 patient with BD and 3 patients treated with AMPT not included here	Poor
Shopsin (1976) ¹¹⁰	Within SS, MDD in remission by TCP	3	Not reported; poor	All patients experienced a relapse. HAMD, no exact mood scores reported	2 patients with BD not included here	Poor

Abreviation: PCPA = para-chlorophenylalanine.

For other symbols and abbreviations see footnote in Table 2.

in mood-scores between interventions (Appendix). We checked the impact of this imputation on the calculated effect estimates. Therefore, we increased R to 0.8 (less conservative) and decreased R to 0.2 (more conservative) to compare the new effect estimates with the original findings for R = 0.5.

We assessed publication bias graphically with a funnel-plot, plotting Hedges' g vs the precision of the study (the inverse of the standard error of Hedges' g). Additionally, we tested publication bias with Galbraith's radial plot, which regresses the standard normal deviate (Hedges' g divided by its standard error) against the precision. For a set of studies not distorted by selection bias the intercept of the regression model will be close to zero.¹²⁹

Results

Identified studies

Our systematic searches identified 392 articles. In total, we selected 90 studies. Three studies applied both ATD and APTD and a control.^{48,49,58,59} Therefore, 73 studies with ATD, two with PCPA, 10 with APTD and eight with AMPT as monoamine depletion method were identified (summarized in Tables 2–5 respectively). Several studies investigated contrasts in different populations.^{36,50,55,56,65,66,67,81,90,92,103} A list of excluded studies is available on request. Most studies had a within-subjects design (n=74). The majority of studies investigated healthy controls (n=64).

Qualitative summary

The majority of the 90 studies also investigated other effects of monoamine depletion: 24 studies measured functions,^{13,33,34,44–} effects cognitive on 47,49,50,52,56,60,64,69,75,77,78,82,95,112–117 11 measured effects on other behavioral measures (pain, impulsivity, noise-stress, panic attacks, appetite, aggression),^{32,38,57,61,68,73,76,86,96,111,120} eight measured effects on neuroendocrine parameters, 39,48,71,108,109,112,118,121 11 measured electric encephalogram (EEG) alterations and/or sleep effects, 37,40,51,53,60,72,84,91,99-101 14 measured changes in brain function with positron emission tomography (PET),^{66,67,70,87,97,103,104,123} single

photon emission computed tomography (SPECT),⁸³ or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).^{33,42–46,74} Two studies stratified mood response to ATD by genetic polymorphism of the 5-HT transporter promoter region.^{65,67}

We judged the overall methodological quality of the identified studies as good, with appropriate application of the ATD, APTD or AMPT depletion. Two studies with PCPA reported case series only and were rated 'poor'.^{15,110} In eight studies no data on the adequacy of the achieved depletion was reported.^{15,32,40,110,111,118,119,123} In two studies insufficient depletion was attained.^{94,115} In 10 studies no clear SDs or SEs were given for the observed mood effects.^{13,61,71,72,79,85,98,118,119,123,124} Six studies included patients (<25% of total) with Bipolar I or II disorder.^{15,98,99,108,117,124} In total, this were 14 patients. The reason that several apparently high-quality studies were rated as moderate in this review was due to the lack of presentation of the mood effects, which in those studies was not the primary outcome.

In 34 of 90 studies the POMS was used, in two studies the MAACL, in 34 studies a visual analogue scale, in 29 studies a version of the HAMD, and in five of the 90 studies the MADRS. In five studies only other or undefined mood-scales were used.^{40,51,76,85,86} Especially for the POMS and the VAS the direction of a decrease of mood in subjects was not always clearly reported.^{52,68,77,85} In our meta-analysis no differential effect of the applied mood-scale was observed $(Q_{\rm res} = 3.89, df = 2, P > 0.05; data not shown).$

Quantitative summary (pooling)

Fifty-eight percent (52/90) of the studies supplied enough data to be included in the meta-analysis. Meta-analysis was possible for ATD and APTD studies only. Table 6 gives an overview of the number of identified studies for each pre-defined population and eligibility for meta-analysis. Due to remaining heterogeneity we used random effects models for all meta-analyses.

ATD

In Figure 1 the pooled results of ATD in healthy controls in studies with a within subjects design are

Author (year)ª	Design	Ν	Adequacy of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores (\pm SD°))	Remarks	Judgement
(A1) Healthy	controls					
Coupland	Rand., DB,	5	Not	POMS-depressed: APTD: change: $-0.2 (\pm 2.7)$	Study investigates effect of pentagastrine to induce	Moderate
(2001) ¹¹¹ Harmer (2001) ¹¹²	Within SS Rand., DB, Within SS	M 12 M+F	reported Good	CONT: change: $0.2 (\pm 2.6)$ VAS-depressed: APTD: change: $2.03 (\pm 4.98)$. CONT: change: $-4.20 (\pm 15.23)$	anxiety after APTD Study investigates effect of APTD on prolactin, neuropsychological and subjective measures	Good
Harrison (2002) ⁴⁸	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	13 F	Good	POMS-depressed: APTD: BL: $0.92 (\pm 0.56 \text{ SE})$, post- test: 1.15 (± 0.55) CONT: BL: 1.61 (± 0.52), post-test: 1.15 (± 0.56)	Study also reports absence of effects of ATD and APTD on interleukin-6 activation	Good
Harrison (2004) ⁴⁹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH—	13 F	Good	VAMS, no significant changes observed; exact scores not reported	Study also investigates memory and cognitive effects of ATD and APTD	Moderate
Leyton (1999), (2000) ^{58,59}	Rand., DB, Betw. SS, FH–	12 APTD 14 CONT F	Good	POMS ⁶ : APTD: change: $-2.39 (\pm 2.1 \text{ SE})$. CONT: change $-1.04 (\pm 1.2)$. VAMS-depressed: APTD: BL: $1.3 (\pm 1.6 \text{ SD})$, post- test: $1.7 (\pm 2.7)$, change: $0.4 (\pm 1.6)$. CONT: BL: $0.4 (\pm 0.5)$, post-test: $0.4 (\pm 0.4)$, change: $0.1 (\pm 0.4)$	POMS; no exact scores reported. Third arm with ATD included	Moderate
Lythe (2005) ¹¹³	Rand., DB, Within SS	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Good	VAMS, no significant changes observed; exact scores not reported	Study investigates effect of APTD on neuropsychological and subjective measures	Moderate
McLean (2004) ¹¹⁴	Rand., DB, Betw. SS	$\begin{array}{c} 19+20 \\ \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F} \end{array}$	Good ^e	VAMS-contentedness: APTD: BL: 10.2 (\pm 0.7 SE), post-test: 11.5 (\pm 0.5). CONT: BL: 11.8 (\pm 0.5), post-test: 11.8 (\pm 0.5)	Study investigates effect of APTD on neuropsychological and subjective measures	Good
(A2) Healthy	controls with $+ve$ fa	milv history for M	MDD			
Grevet (2002) ¹¹⁵	Rand., DB, Within SS, 6/10 FH+	10 M + F	Insufficient	POMS-depressed: APTD: BL: 23.40 (\pm 7.21), post- test: 23.50 (\pm 6.48). CONT: BL: 21.30 (\pm 8.05), post- test: 25.20 (\pm 4.73). VAMS-contentedness: APTD: BL: 2.78 (\pm 1.43), post-test: 3.24 (\pm 1.49). CONT: BL: 3.12 (\pm 1.26), post-test: 2.79 (\pm 1.29)	Study investigates effect of APTD on neuropsychological and subjective measures	Moderate
(B1) Patients	with MDD previously	, but currently in	remission (withou	it medication)		
McTavish (2005) ¹¹⁶	Rand., DB, Within $SS \ge 6$ months remission, average 41 months	15 F Rx- free≥6 months	Good Only (TYR + PHE)/NAA ratio provided	HAMD-6 ^e : APTD: BL: 1.7 (\pm 0.7 SE), post-test: 2.4 (\pm 0.9). CONT: BL: 1.0 (\pm 0.4), post-test: 2.7 (\pm 1.1). VAS-depressed not significantly changed by APTD vs CONT; no exact scores provided	Study investigates effects of ATPD on subjective and a spatial recognition memory-task	Good
Roiser (2005) ¹¹⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS≥6 months remission	17 M + F Rx-	Insufficient TYR depletion; (TYR+ PHE)/	HAMD-19: APTD: BL: 2.1 (\pm 2.0), post-test: 2.2 (\pm 2.0). CONT: BL: 1.4 (\pm 1.3), post-test: 1.1 (\pm 1.1). VAS-contentedness: APTD: BL: 12.4 (\pm 1.5), post-test: 12.8 (\pm 1.5). CONT: BL: 12.3 (\pm 1.8), post-test:	BD-II patients included $(n = ?)$. Study investigates effects of ATPD on subjective and neurocognitive measures	Good

Table 4 Included studies acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion (APTD)

usiy, but currently in remission (currently atients with MDD sing

(C) Patients currently with MDD (with or without antidepressants)

Abbreviations: APTD = acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion; NAA = neutral amino acids; PHE = phenylalanine; TYR = tyrosine.^bTyrosine levels declined by at least 60%. For other symbols and abbreviations see footnote in Table 2.

344

Author (years) ^a	Design	Ν	Adequacy of depletion ^b	Results mood scores (scale, scores $(\pm SD^c)$)	Remarks	Judgement ^d
(A1) Healthy cont. Krahn (1999) ¹¹⁸	rols Rand., DB, Within SS, FH—	10 M+F	Not reported	HAMD scores increased significant (change: 3 SD not reported) in AMPT compared to CONT (no more data provided) POMS no significant changes (no more data provided)	Study investigates effects of AMPT on melatonin secretion. Promethazine 25 mg as CONT	Poor
McCann (1993) ¹¹⁹	Rand., DB, Betw. SS	40 M	Not determined; unspecified sign. prolactin rise in AMPT group	VAS-sadness ^e ; AMPT: BL: 3.2, post-test: 13.1. CONT: BL: 11.2, post-test: 16.9. (no SDs provided) (only resting condition provided here) no sign.	Subjects were randomized to 1. AMPT+rest, 2. AMPT+SleepDepr., 3. CONT+SleepDepr., 4. CONT+rest	Moderate
McCann (1995) ¹²⁰	Rand., DB, Betw. SS	41 M	Not determined; prolactin rise of 188% in AMPT group	POMS- sadness, VAS-sadness; no exact scores provided; figure for calmness shown. AMPT caused maximal ratings of tension, which was reversed by addition of + L-dopa/carbidopa. Nonsignificant increase in sadness reported in AMPT-group (regardless L-dopa/carbidopa).	Subjects were randomized to 1. AMPT + PLAC, 2. AMPT + L-dopa/carbidopa, 3. CONT + L-dopa/ carbidopa, 4. CONT + PLAC, in order to investigate the specificity of AMPT-changes by effects on catecholamines	Moderate
Zimmermann (1996) ¹²¹	Rand., DB, Within SS, FH–	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ M+F \end{array}$	Sufficient MHPG decrease in M+F	HAMD, POMS: No significant differences. No exact scores reported	Study investigates effects of AMPT on prolactin and melatonin secretion, including gender-effects. Promethazine 50 mg as CONT	Moderate
(A2) Healthy cont	rols with $+ ve f$	amily histo	ory for MDD			
— <i>(B1) Patients with</i> Berman (1999) ¹²²	MDD previousl Rand., DB, Within SS≥ 4 months remission, Average 18 months	y, but curre 15 M+F Rx free≥ months	ently in remissio Good	n (without medication) HAMD-23; AMPT: BL: 3.1 (\pm 3.2), Peak: 23.9 (\pm 12.0). CONT: BL: 2.8 (\pm 3.4), peak: 9.0 (\pm 9.7). IDS; AMPT: BL: 8.2 (\pm 7.2). Peak: 23.6 (\pm 14.8). CONT: BL: 6.1 (\pm 6.6), peak: 10.0 (\pm 8.1). Relapse in 10/14 AMPT-patients and in 1/13 CONT.	No separate data for $n = 12$ who underwent both tests. Given scores are peak scores. Post-test scores not reported	Moderate
(<i>B2) Patients with</i> Bremner (2003) ¹²³		18 M+F	ently in remissio Not reported	n (currently using medication) HDRS ^e ; no exact scores and SDs reported AMPT: With AMPT relapse: BL: 7.4, post-test: 24.8. Without relapse: BL: 11.5, post-test: 12.5 CONT: With AMPT relapse: BL: 8.5, post-test: 8.5. Without relapse: BL: 6.4, post-test: 13.2 Relapses: AMPT: 11/18, CONT: not provided, 0/18 assumed.	Study primarily investigates brain metabolic correlates of AMPT induced depressive relapses with PET	Moderate
Delgado (1993) ¹⁷	Rand., DB, Within SS 'Various' length of remission	14 M+F DES MAZ FLX SER	Good°	HDRS-25; No exact scores reported. Significant effects of AMPT vs CONT in DES and MAZ treated patients, not in FLX or SER treated patients.	Patients were currently using noradrenergic or serotonergic antidepressant medication.	Moderate

Table 5 Included studies Alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine (AMPT)



Table 5 Continued	nued					
Author (years) ^a Design	Design	Ν	Adequacy of depletion ^b	Adequacy of Results mood scores (scale, scores $(\pm SD^{*})$) depletion ^b	Remarks	Judgement ^a
 (C) Patients currently with MDD (with or without antidepressants) (C) Patients currently with MDD (with or without antidepressants) MAMI Miller (1996)¹²⁴ Rand., DB, 17 Good HAMI Miller (1996)¹²⁴ Rand., DB, 17 Good HAMI Within SS M+F Good BL: 30 W156 VAS: 0 VAS: 0	ntly with MDD Rand., DB, Within SS	(with or wit 17 M+F	thout antidepress Good	sants) HAMD-25°; AMPT: BL: 29, post-test: 28.5. CONT: BL: 30.5, post-test: 29.5. SDs not reported. VAS: exact data not provided; sign decrease in subscales 'tired' and 'energetic' for AMPT vs CONT	Patients were not using antidepressant medication. M 2/17 patients had bipolar depression, 6/17 had comorbid anxiety, dysthymic or eating disorders	Moderate
Abbreviations: AMPT = alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine; IDS = deprivation. ^b Decline in catecholamine metabolites homovanillic acid (For other symbols and abbreviations see footnote in Table	AMPT = alp] scholamine n ols and abbre	ha-methyl netabolite: >viations s	-para-tyrosine; s homovanillic see footnote in	Abbreviations: AMPT = alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine; IDS = Inventory of Depressive Symptoms; MAZ = mazindol; PLAC = additional placebo deprivation. ^b Decline in catecholamine metabolites homovanillic acid (HVA) by at least 60% or 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol (MHPG) by at least 40%. For other symbols and abbreviations see footnote in Table 2.	=Inventory of Depressive Symptoms; MAZ=mazindol; PLAC=additional placebo; SleepDepr.=sleep (HVA) by at least 60% or 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol (MHPG) by at least 40%. = 2.	eepDepr. = sleep

Table 6Identified studies for different types of depletionand design and eligibility for meta-analysis

		fied (in nalysis)
	Within subjects	Between subjects
Acute tryptophan depletion		
(A) Healthy controls		
FH–	41 (22)	10 (5)
FH +	5 (5)	0
(B) Patients with MDD in remission		
Without AD	8 (6)	1
With AD	13 (12)	1
(C) Patients with current MDD	- (.)	_
Without AD	3 (1)	0
With AD	1 (1)	0
Para-chlorophenylalanine		
(B) Patients with MDD in remission		
With AD	2	0
Acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion	1	
(A) Healthy controls		
FH-	5 (3)	2 (2)
FH+	1 (1)	0
(B) Patients with MMD in remission		
Without AD	2 (2)	0
Alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine		
(A) Healthy controls		
FH–	2	2
(B) Patients with MDD in remission		-
Without AD	1	0
With AD	2	0
(C) Patients with current MDD	-	Ū
	1	0
Without AD	1	0

Abbreviations: AD = antidepressant; FH = family history; MDD = major depressive disorder.

presented. Overall Hedges'g (95% CI) was -0.27 (-0.45 to -0.09). We stratified results by family history for MDD (negative, positive or not reported in the studies). Pooled Hedges' g for healthy controls with a negative family history (-0.19 (-0.43 to 0.05))was significantly different ($Q_{res} = 6.59$, df = 1, P = 0.01) compared to controls with a positive family history (-0.56 (-1.00 to -0.13)). The pooled result in studies that did not report the family history status resembled the studies with a negative family history (-0.28) $(-0.57 \text{ to } 0.00) Q_{\text{res}} = 1.36, \text{ df} = 1, P = 0.24)$. In between subjects studies similar results were found. Pooled Hedges' g was -0.63 (-1.95 to 0.70) for controls with a negative family history and -0.06 (-0.57 to 0.45) in one study that did not report family history status $(Q_{\text{res}}=0.14, \text{ df}=1, P=0.71)$. The large Hedges' g in controls with a negative family history was largely determined by one study.⁷¹ Leaving this study out reduced Hedges' g to 0.16 (-0.43 to 0.76) (data not shown).

Molecular Psychiatry

CONT Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl Hedges' g (random) Weight 95% CI N Hedges' g (SE)

Service: 60 Reading							
01 FH negative (FH-)	4		0 0010				
Barr 1997	6	6		(0.5680)		1.75	-0.80 [-1.91, 0.31]
Benkelfat 1994 FH-	19	19		(0.2355)		3.95	-0.28 [-0.75, 0.18]
Bhatti 1998	10	10		(0.3179)		3.25	0.11 [-0.51, 0.74]
Ellenbogen 1996	21	21		(0.2461)		3.85	-0.64 [-1.12, -0.16]
Evers 2005	12	12		(0.3029)	1	3.37	0.37 [-0.23, 0.96]
Harrison 2002	13	13		(0.2915)		3.47	-0.37 [-0.94, 0.20]
Hughes 2003	20	20		(0.2332)	1 -	3.97	0.37 [-0.08, 0.83]
Klaassen 1999b	11	11		(0.3028)	- <u>î</u> -	3.37	0.00 [-0.59, 0.59]
Neumeister 2002 VI	10	10		(0.3167)		3.26	0.06 [-0.56, 0.68]
Neumeister 2002 s/l	10	10		(0.5208)		1.96	-1.44 [-2.46, -0.42]
Neumeister 2002 s/s	4	4		(5.0623)	+	→ 0.03	-1.75 [-11.67, 8.18]
Neumeister 2004	19	19		(0.3705)		2.85	-1.60 [-2.32, -0.87]
Neumeister 2006 I/I	7	7		(0.4027)		2.63	-0.32 [-1.11, 0.47]
Neumeister 2006 s/l	12	12		(0.3595)		2.93	-0.86 [-1.56, -0.16]
Neumeister 2006 s/s	7	7		(0.4265)		2.47	-0.47 [-1.31, 0.37]
Schmitt 2000	17	17		(0.2430)	- <u>†</u> -	3.88	0.00 [-0.48, 0.48]
Smith 1997b	6	6		(0.4149)		2.55	-0.15 [-0.96, 0.66]
Stewart 2002 FH-	27	27		(0.1931)	+	4.32	0.10 [-0.28, 0.48]
Talbot 2006b	16	16		(0.2851)		3.52	0.67 [0.11, 1.23]
Voderholzer 1998	12	12	0.4396	(0.3087)		3.32	0.44 [-0.17, 1.04]
ubtotal (95% CI)	259	259			•	60.69	-0.19 [-0.43, 0.05]
est for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5		0.0001), 12 =	65.6%				
est for overall effect: Z = 1.52	(P = 0.13)						
2 FH positive (FH+)							
Benkelfat 1994 FH+	20	20	-0.9765	(0.2823)		3.54	-0.98 [-1.53, -0.42]
Ellenbogen 1999	13	13	0.1255	(0.2797)		3.57	0.13 [-0.42, 0.67]
klaassen 1999b	16	16	-0.5786	(0.2764)		3.59	-0.58 [-1.12, -0.04]
Neumeister 2002 I/I	9	9	-0.4481	(0.3638)		2.90	-0.45 [-1.16, 0.26]
Neumeister 2002 s/l	7	7	-1.9325	(0.8695)		0.92	-1.93 [-3.64, -0.23]
Neumeister 2002 s/s	5	5	-3.2153	(2.2550)	← =	0.16	-3.22 [-7.64, 1.20]
Stewart 2002 FH+	5	5	-0.3590	(0.5188)		1.97	-0.36 [-1.38, 0.66]
ubtotal (95% CI)	75	75			•	16.64	-0.56 [-1.00, -0.13]
est for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	12.18, df = 6 (P = 0	0.06), l ² = 50.	8%				
est for overall effect: Z = 2.52	(P = 0.01)						
3 FH not reported (N/A)							
Klaassen 1999a	13	13	-0.4365	(0.2965)		3.42	-0.44 [-1.02, 0.14]
Kähkönen 2005	14	14	-0.9683	(0.3435)		3.05	-0.97 [-1.64, -0.30]
Murphy 2002	11	11	0.1870	(0.3068)		3.34	0.19 [-0.41, 0.79]
Oldman 1994	12	12	-0.2023	(0.2930)		3.45	-0.20 [-0.78, 0.37]
Park 1994	12	12		(0.2898)		3.48	-0.10 [-0.67, 0.47]
Neltzin 1994	9	9		(0.3988)		2.65	-0.69 [-1.47, 0.10]
Weltzin 1995	10	10		(0.3162)		3.26	0.00 [-0.62, 0.62]
ubtotal (95% CI)	81	81			•	22.66	-0.28 [-0.57, 0.00]
est for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8 est for overall effect: Z = 1.94	8.88, df = 6 (P = 0.		%				
otal (95% CI)	415	415			•	100.00	-0.27 [-0.45, -0.09]
est for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8			= 60.4%		•		
est for overall effect: Z = 2.96							
	0 0.0007					- 1	
					-4 -2 0 2	4	
					Depressed by ATD Depressed by C	ONT	

Figure 1 ATD in healthy controls studied in a within subjects design, stratified by status of family history for depression (negative or positive for major depressive disorder). References to studies as indicated, different subgroups per study handled as separate studies with appropriate pooling weights. FH - = family history negative, FH + = family history positive, N/A = not reported.

Figure 2a and b show the modification of Hedges' g by gender for healthy controls (within subjects design) with a negative or positive family history. The difference in Hedges' g between males and females was most prominent in healthy controls with a negative family history (0.23 (-0.10 to 0.57))vs -0.44 (-0.81 to -0.06) respectively; $Q_{\rm res} = 11.92$, df = 1, P < 0.001). In controls with a positive family history, males experienced a larger decrease in mood after ATD in only one study (Hedges' g = -0.98 (-1.53) to -0.42) $Q_{\rm res} = 11.92$, df = 1, P < 0.001). In contrast, females with a positive family history only had a slightly larger Hedges' g (-0.56 (-1.43 to 0.31)) than females with a negative family history ($Q_{\rm res} = 0.62$, df = 1, P = 0.43).

ATD

N

Study or sub-category

> Figure 3a and b present the pooled Hedges' g for patients with MDD in remission without or with current AD (within subjects design). In the remitted patients without current AD, we stratified results by length of time without AD. Only two studies with 3-6 months without AD^{66,67} largely differed in Hedges' g (-4.35 (-7.39 to -1.31) compared to one)study directly after successful electroconvulsive therapy (Hedges' $g \ 0.04$ (-0.85 to 0.94),⁸⁹ and three studies with at least 6 months without AD (pooled Hedges' g -0.60 (-1.38 to 0.18) (Q_{res} = 29.34, df = 2, P < 0.0001).^{91–93} Leaving one possible outlier⁶⁶ out diminished the overall pooled Hedges' g for remitted patients without AD from -1.90 (-3.02 to -0.78) to -1.06 (-1.83 to -0.29), but

Meta-analysis of monoamine depletion HG Ruhé et al

Study or sub-category	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl
01 Male SS			
Benkelfat 1994 FH-		6.39	-0.28 [-0.75, 0.18]
Bhatti 1998		5.36	0.11 [-0.51, 0.74]
Evers 2005		5.55	0.37 [-0.23, 0.96]
Hughes 2003		6.42	0.37 [-0.08, 0.83]
Talbot 2006b		5.77	0.67 [0.11, 1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	•	29.49	0.23 [-0.10, 0.57]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7.8	39, df = 4 (P = 0.10), l ² = 49.3%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.38 (I	P = 0.17)		
02 Female SS			
Ellenbogen 1996		6.26	-0.64 [-1.12, -0.16]
Harrison 2002		5.69	-0.37 [-0.94, 0.20]
Neumeister 2002 I/I		5.38	0.06 [-0.56, 0.68]
Neumeister 2002 s/l		3.36	-1.44 [-2.46, -0.42]
Neumeister 2002 s/s		→ 0.06	-1.75 [-11.67, 8.18]
Smith 1997b		4.29	-0.15 [-0.96, 0.66]
Subtotal (95% CI)	•	25.03	-0.44 [-0.81, -0.06]
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.29 (I	P = 0.02)		
03 M+F SS			
Barr 1997		3.01	-0.80 [-1.91, 0.31]
Klaassen 1999b	- <u>†</u> -	5.55	0.00 [-0.59, 0.59]
Neumeister 2004		4.76	-1.60 [-2.32, -0.87]
Neumeister 2006 I/I		4.42	-0.32 [-1.11, 0.47]
Neumeister 2006 s/l		4.88	-0.86 [-1.56, -0.16]
Neumeister 2006 s/s		4.18	-0.47 [-1.31, 0.37]
Schmitt 2000	÷	6.30	0.00 [-0.48, 0.48]
Stewart 2002 FH-	+	6.92	0.10 [-0.28, 0.48]
Voderholzer 1998		5.48	0.44 [-0.17, 1.04]
Subtotal (95% CI)		45.48	-0.33 [-0.72, 0.06]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 26 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.65 (I	.93, df = 8 (P = 0.0007), I ² = 70.3% P = 0.10)		
Total (95% CI)		100.00	-0.19 [-0.43, 0.05]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 55	.26, df = 19 (P < 0.0001), I ² = 65.6%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.52 (I	P = 0.13)		

Depressed by ATD Depressed by CONT

Study or sub-category	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl
01 Male SS			
Benkelfat 1994 FH+		21.32	-0.98 [-1.53, -0.42]
Subtotal (95% CI)	◆	21.32	-0.98 [-1.53, -0.42]
Test for heterogeneity: not app	licable		
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.46	(P = 0.0005)		
02 Female SS			
Ellenbogen 1999		21.46	0.13 [-0.42, 0.67]
Neumeister 2002 I/I		17.40	-0.45 [-1.16, 0.26]
Neumeister 2002 s/l		5.47	-1.93 [-3.64, -0.23]
Neumeister 2002 s/s	←=	0.95	-3.22 [-7.64, 1.20]
Subtotal (95% CI)	-	45.28	-0.56 [-1.43, 0.31]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7	7.56, df = 3 (P = 0.06), I ² = 60.3%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.27	(P = 0.21)		
03 M+ F SS			
Klaassen 1999b		21.63	-0.58 [-1.12, -0.04]
Stewart 2002 FH+		11.77	-0.36 [-1.38, 0.66]
Subtotal (95% CI)	◆	33.40	-0.53 [-1.01, -0.05]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0			
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.17	(P = 0.03)		
Total (95% CI)	•	100.00	-0.56 [-1.00, -0.13]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	2.18, df = 6 (P = 0.06), I ² = 50.8%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.52	(P = 0.01)		
	-4 -2 0 2	4	
	Depressed by ATD Depressed by	CONT	

Figure 2 (a) Family history negative for MDD and (b) family history positive for MDD). ATD in healthy controls studied in a within subjects design, stratified by status of family history for depression and gender included in the studies. References to studies as indicated, different subgroups per study handled as separate studies with appropriate pooling weights. FH-= family history negative, FH+= family history positive, MDD=major depressive disorder.

or sub-category	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl
01 < 3months			
Cassidy 1997	÷	14.37	0.04 [-0.85, 0.94]
Subtotal (95% CI)	•	14.37	0.04 [-0.85, 0.94]
Test for heterogeneity: not applica	able		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.10 (P	= 0.92)		
02 3-6 months			
Neumeister 2004	_	7.79	-9.80 [-12.66, -6.95]
Neumeister 2006 I/I		8.07	-3.87 [-6.62, -1.12]
Neumeister 2006 s/l		11.81	-3.15 [-4.79, -1.51]
Neumeister 2006 s/s		13.02	-1.35 [-2.66, -0.04]
Subtotal (95% CI)		40.69	-4.35 [-7.39, -1.31]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 28.3 Test for overall effect: Z = 2.81 (P	36, df = 3 (P < 0.00001), l ² = 89.4% = 0.005)		
03 >=6 months	52		
Havnes 2004	+	15.26	0.00 [-0.55, 0.55]
O'Reardon 2004	-	14.95	-0.51 [-1.19, 0.17]
Smith 1997a		14.73	-1.39 [-2.16, -0.62]
Subtotal (95% CI)		44.94	-0.60 [-1.38, 0.18]
Test for heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 8.35$	$f = 2 (P = 0.02) I^2 = 76.0\%$		0100 [1100, 0110]
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.50 (P			
Total (95% CI)	•	100.00	-1.90 [-3.02, -0.78]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 66.0	05. df = 7 ($P < 0.00001$), $I^2 = 89.4\%$		
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P			
	= 0.0009)	10	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10	= 0.0009)	10	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10	= 0.0009)	10	Hedges' g (random)
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 epressed by ATD Depressed by	10 CONT	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight %	95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel.	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight % 17.41	95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel.	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight % 17.41	95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998 O'Reardon 2004	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random)	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998 O'Reardon 2004 Spillmann 2001 Subtotal (95% CI)	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44 10.08	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16] -1.33 [-2.31, -0.36]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998 O'Reardon 2004 Spillmann 2001	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl 	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44 10.08	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16] -1.33 [-2.31, -0.36]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998 O'Reardon 2004 Spillmann 2001 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 14.0	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 tepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl 	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44 10.08 60.86	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16] -1.33 [-2.31, -0.36]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998 O'Reardon 2004 Spillmann 2001 Subtotal (95% Cl) Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 14.0 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.72 (P	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 tepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl 	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44 10.08	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16] -1.33 [-2.31, -0.36]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1998 O'Reardon 2004 Spillmann 2001 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 14.0 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.72 (P 02 With current SSRI/SNRI medic	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 tepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl 	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44 10.08 60.86	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16] -1.33 [-2.31, -0.36] -0.60 [-1.28, 0.08]
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.33 (P -10 D Study or sub-category 01 With current SSRI medication Bremner 1997 No Rel. Bremner 1997 Relapse Moore 1998 O'Reardon 2004 Spillmann 2001 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 14.0 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.72 (P 02 With current SSRI/SNRI medic Booij 2005a	= 0.0009) -5 0 5 lepressed by ATD Depressed by Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl 	10 CONT Weight % 17.41 7.24 15.68 10.44 10.08 60.86	95% Cl 0.27 [-0.26, 0.81] -1.26 [-2.51, -0.01] -0.12 [-0.74, 0.50] -1.10 [-2.05, -0.16] -1.33 [-2.31, -0.36] -0.60 [-1.28, 0.08] -0.52 [-1.00, -0.05]

	Depressed by ATI	D Depressed by	CONT			
	-4 -2	0 2	4			
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.4	43 (P = 0.01)					
est for heterogeneity: Chi2 =	= 15.01, df = 7 (P = 0.	04), l ² = 53.3%				
otal (95% CI)			100.00	-0.49	[-0.89,	-0.10]
fest for overall effect: Z = 1.1	10 (P = 0.27)					
est for heterogeneity: not a						
Subtotal (95% CI)			6.39	-0.76	[-2.12,	0.60]
Landolt 2003			6.39		[-2.12,	
04 With current PHZ medica	tion					
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.6						
Test for heterogeneity: not a	oplicable				992) B.	Č
Subtotal (95% CI)	-		14.01	-0.25	[-0.96,	0.471
3 With current BUP medica Evans 2002	tion	-	14.01	-0.25	[-0.96,	0.47]
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.1	16 (P = 0.03)					
Test for heterogeneity: not a						
Subtotal (95% CI)			18.74	-0.52	[-1.00,	-0.05]
2 With current SSRI/SNRI r Booij 2005a	medication	-	18.74		[-1.00,	100 - C () () ()
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.7	72 (P = 0.08)					
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 14.09, df = 4 (P = 0.	007), l ² = 71.6%				
Subtotal (95% CI)			60.86	-0.60	[-1.28,	0.08]
Spillmann 2001		-	10.08	-1.33	[-2.31,	-0.36]
O'Reardon 2004		-	10.44	-1.10	[-2.05,	-0.16]
Moore 1998	-	-	15.68		[-0.74,	
Bremner 1997 Relapse		-	7.24		[-2.51,	
Bremner 1997 No Rel			17.41	0.27	[-0.26,	0.811

Figure 3 (a) Without current medication and (b) with current medication. ATD in former depressed patients in remission, studied in a within subjects design without or with current medication. (a) Stratified by length of time without medication. (b) Stratified by type of medication used by patients. References to studies as indicated, different subgroups per study handled as separate studies with appropriate pooling weights. BUP=bupropion; SNRI=serotonin norepinephrin reuptake inhibitor; SSRI=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; PHZ=phenelzine.

the observed effect modification by length of time without AD remained highly significant (P < 0.001; data not shown).

In remitted patients previously depressed and currently using AD, ATD caused a decrease in mood (pooled Hedges' g - 0.49 (-0.89 to -0.10). Hedges' g

Molecular Psychiatry

varied slightly depending on type of AD ($Q_{res} = 0.92$, df = 3, P = 0.82). Surprisingly, the pooled Hedges' g for SSRIs showed a moderate point estimate, which did not reach significance (-0.60 (-1.28 to 0.08). Especially for bupropion treatment Hedges' g was small and not significant (-0.25 (-0.96 to 0.47). No ATD studies with other ADs without a 5-HT mechanism of action were available for this comparison.

We stratified the results of ATD studies by length of remission, which revealed significant effect modification. In remitted patients using AD decreased mood after ATD was especially seen in the first 5 months after the achievement of remission (pooled Hedges' g - 0.55 (-0.90 to -0.21) $Q_{\rm res} = 47.18$, df = 2,

P < 0.0001).^{92,95,99-101,105} In contrast, remitted patients without AD showed more decrease in mood after ≥ 2 months of remission (Hedges' g - 1.65 (-2.60 to -0.69) $Q_{\rm res} = 13.81$, df = 2, P = 0.001)^{67,92,93} (figure available on request).

Relapse rates in remitted patients with AD were increased after ATD compared to control depletion (pooled difference in relapse rate 47% (28–66%); Figure 4). This increase in relapse rate was especially seen in patients using SSRIs (47% (27–67%)) or an SNRI (35% (14–56%)). The NE acting drug desipramine showed no significant difference in relapse rate (7% (–6 to 19%)) after ATD. This effect modification by drug was statistically significant ($Q_{res} = 18.02$, df = 2, P < 0.001).

Study or sub-category	Diff. relapse-rate (SE)	Diff. relapse-rate (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Diff. relapse-rate (random) 95% CI
01 SSRI		2	10 c.5 data (*)	A NAME OF A DOMESTIC OF A DOMESTIC
Bremner 1997 (all)	0.2857 (0.1194)		11.49	0.29 [0.05, 0.52]
Delgado 1999	0.5333 (0.1288)		11.18	0.53 [0.28, 0.79]
O'Reardon 2004	0.3333 (0.1571)		10.21	0.33 [0.03, 0.64]
Praschak-Rieder 2004	0.7500 (0.1531)		+ 10.35	0.75 [0.45, 1.05]
Subtotal (95% CI)			43.22	0.47 [0.27, 0.67]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi2 =	6.69, df = 3 (P = 0.08), l ² = 55.2%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.53	3 (P < 0.00001)			
02 SSRI+SNRI				
Booij 2005a	0.3500 (0.1067)	· · · · · ·	11.90	0.35 [0.14, 0.56]
Subtotal (95% CI)		-	11.90	0.35 [0.14, 0.56]
Test for heterogeneity: not app	plicable			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.28	3 (P = 0.001)			
03 DESIPRAMINE				
Delgado 1999	0.0667 (0.0644)		13.08	0.07 [-0.06, 0.19]
Subtotal (95% CI)		-	13.08	0.07 [-0.06, 0.19]
Test for heterogeneity: not app	olicable			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.04	4 (P = 0.30)			
04 Various antidepressants m	ixed			
Delgado 1991	0.5217 (0.0737)		12.85	0.52 [0.38, 0.67]
Morris 1999	0.8750 (0.1169)		-> 11.57	0.88 [0.65, 1.10]
Smith 1999	0.6250 (0.2461)		7.38	0.63 [0.14, 1.11]
Subtotal (95% CI)			- 31.80	0.67 [0.41, 0.93]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² =	6.54, df = 2 (P = 0.04), I ² = 69.4%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.0	1 (P < 0.00001)			
Total (95% CI)		•	100.00	0.47 [0.28, 0.66]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² =	54.31, df = 8 (P < 0.00001), l ² = 85.	3%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.80		5265.		
	-1	-0.5 0 0.5	1	

Figure 4 ATD in former depressed patients in remission, differences of relapse rates vs control depletion studied in a within subjects design in remitted patients stratified by current medication use. References to studies as indicated. SNRI = serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI = selective serotonin Reuptake inhibitor.

Study or sub-category	ATD N	CONT N	Hedges' g (SE)		Hedg	es' g (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Hedges' g (95%	
01 With medication									
Booij 2005b	14	14	0.3169 (0.2764)				37.29	0.32 [-0.22,	0.86]
Subtotal (95% CI)	14	14				٠	37.29	0.32 [-0.22,	0.86]
Test for heterogeneity: not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.1	5 (P = 0.25)								
02 Without medication									
Price 1998	36	36	-0.1195 (0.1673)			- 	62.71	-0.12 [-0.45,	0.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	36	36				•	62.71	-0.12 [-0.45,	0.21]
Test for heterogeneity: not ap	plicable					1			
fest for overall effect: Z = 0.7	1 (P = 0.48)								
fotal (95% CI)	50	50				•	100.00	0.04 [-0.37,	0.46]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.82, df = 1 (P =	0.18), I ² = 45.2%	6			T			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.2	0 (P = 0.84)								
				-4	-2	0 2	4		
				Dep	ressed by A	TD Depressed b	OV CONT		

Figure 5 ATD in depressed patients stratified by use of concurrent medication (within subjects design). References to studies as indicated.

identified.

Hedges' g (fixed)

95% CI

on mood was found (Hedges' g = -0.49 (-1.17 to 0.19)).¹¹⁵ In patients with MDD in remission without AD (Figure 7), no effect of APTD was observed in two studies (pooled Hedges' g = -0.02 (-0.50 to 0.47).^{116,117} No studies in patients with current MDD were

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

We examined our assumptions for intercorrelation of before-after mood ratings per condition in ATD studies in healthy controls without a family history of MDD. At the same examination, we also examined the assumed intercorrelation between two test conditions (ATD vs CONT). As expected, increasing the assumed R to 0.8 (less conservative) increased the pooled Hedges' g from -0.19 (-0.43 to 0.05) to -0.31(-0.64 to 0.02). Reducing R to 0.2 (more conservative) decreased the pooled Hedges' g to -0.14 (-0.34 to 0.06). The calculated *R*'s were higher than 0.5 in 80%of the studies that reported all relevant data. Therefore, we judged the imputed value of 0.5 for R as acceptable.

Weight

34.16

34.16

Hedges' g (fixed)

95% CI

0.31 [-0.25, 0.88]

0.31 [-0.25, 0.88]

In patients who were depressed at the time of ATD we found two studies for meta-analysis. These studies included patients who used,106 or did not use109 AD (Figure 5). The effects of ATD were opposed: Hedges' g was 0.32 (-0.22 to 0.86) for patients using different types of ADs, and -0.12 (-0.45 to 0.21) for patients without AD. Two studies in depressed patients without AD were not suitable for meta-analysis. ATD did not decrease mood during depletion in these studies.^{107,108} Contra-intuitively, in one study mood increased the day after depletion in 16/43 patients,107 a result which was also found by one study in the meta-analysis.¹⁰⁶

APTD

a Study

or sub-category

01 FH negative (FH-) Harrison 2002

Subtotal (95% CI)

Figures 6a and b show results from APTD studies in healthy controls (within and between subjects). APTD did not decrease mood: pooled Hedges' g were 0.10 (-0.23 to 0.43) in within subjects, and 0.12 (-0.43 to 0.12)0.68) in between subjects studies. However, in one study with healthy controls with a positive family history for MDD, a moderate but nonsignificant effect

CONT

N

13

13

Hedges' g (SE)

0.3115 (0.2876)

APTD

N

13

13

Test for heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.27, df = 1 (P = 0.26), I² = 21.0%

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.43 (P = 0.67)

Test for heterogeneity: not applicable						
				~~		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.08 (P =	= 0.28)					
02 FH positive (FH+)						
Grevet 2002	10	10	-0.4945 (0.3467)		23.51	-0.49 [-1.17, 0.19]
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	10		-	23.51	-0.49 [-1.17, 0.19]
Test for heterogeneity: not applicable	ole					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.43 (P =	= 0.15)					
03 FH not reported (NA)						
Coupland 2001	5	5	-0.1097 (0.4626)		13.20	-0.11 [-1.02, 0.80]
Harmer 2001	12	12	0.4276 (0.3115)	+	29.12	0.43 [-0.18, 1.04]
Subtotal (95% CI)	17	17	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	-	42.33	0.26 [-0.25, 0.77]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.93,	df = 1 (P = 0.	34), l ² = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.01 (P =		entur dissi				
Total (95% CI)	40	40		▲	100.00	0.10 [-0.23, 0.43]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.79,	and the second		%	T		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.60 (P =						
			-4	-2 0 2	4	
			More	e depressed APTD More depress	ed CONT	
			0.00			
Study	APTD	CONT				Hedges' g (random)
	APTD N	CONT		Hedges' g (random)	Weight	Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl
or sub-category	APTD N	CONT N	Hedges' g (SE)			Hedges' g (random) 95% Cl
or sub-category 01 FH-	N	N	Hedges' g (SE)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight %	95% CI
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999	N 12	N 14		Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	N 12 12	N	Hedges' g (SE)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight %	95% CI
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab	N 12 12 0le	N 14	Hedges' g (SE)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	N 12 12 0le	N 14	Hedges' g (SE)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P = 02 FH N/A	N 12 12 ble = 0.60)	N 14 14	Hedges' g (SE) -0.2092 (0.3946)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23 42.23	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P = 02 FH N/A McLean 2004	N 12 12 ble = 0.60) 19	N 14 14 20	Hedges' g (SE)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23 42.23 57.77	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] 0.36 [-0.27, 1.00]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% Cl) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P = 02 FH N/A McLean 2004 Subtotal (95% Cl)	N 12 12 ble = 0.60) 19 19	N 14 14	Hedges' g (SE) -0.2092 (0.3946)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23 42.23	95% Cl -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P = 02 FH N/A McLean 2004 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab	N 12 12 0le = 0.60) 19 19 0le	N 14 14 20	Hedges' g (SE) -0.2092 (0.3946)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23 42.23 57.77	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] 0.36 [-0.27, 1.00]
or sub-category 01 FH- Leyton 1999 Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity: not applicab Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P = 02 FH N/A McLean 2004	N 12 12 0le = 0.60) 19 19 0le	N 14 14 20	Hedges' g (SE) -0.2092 (0.3946)	Hedges' g (random)	Weight % 42.23 42.23 57.77	95% CI -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] -0.21 [-0.98, 0.56] 0.36 [-0.27, 1.00]

2 -2 More depressed APTD More depressed CONT

4

Figure 6 (a) Within subjects design and (b) between subjects design. Acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion (APTD) in healthy controls ((a) within subjects design; (b) between subjects design), stratified by status of family history for depression. References to studies as indicated. FH = family history negative, FH + = family history positive, N/A = not reported.

-4





352

Treatment Control Hedges' g (random) Weight Hedges' g (random) Study or sub-category N N Hedges' g (SE) 95% CI 95% CI 15 15 -0.2771 (0.2700) 47.54 -0.28 [-0.81, 0.25] McTavish 2005 17 17 0.2175 (0.2467) 0.22 [-0.27, 0.70] Roiser 2005 52.46 Total (95% CI) 32 32 100.00 -0.02 [-0.50, 0.47] Test for heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.83, df = 1 (P = 0.18), I² = 45.3% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.07 (P = 0.94) -4 -2 ò 2 4

Meta-analysis of monoamine depletion

HG Ruhé et al

More depressed APTD More depressed CONT

Figure 7 Acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion (APTD) in former depressed patients in remission without medication, studied in a within subjects design. References to studies as indicated.

Inspection of the funnel-plot of the within subjects ATD studies in healthy controls without a family history of MDD revealed asymmetry (figure available on request). More studies with a decrease in mood after ATD vs control depletion were published. In a Galbraith plot of studies, the intercept in the regression equation was -2.08 (SE 0.90; P=0.030). Therefore, we concluded that publication bias probably distorted our findings. We did not inspect funnel-plots in other populations of our review due to the limited number of studies.

Discussion

In this systematic review we performed the first metaanalysis of the mood effects in ATD and APTD studies. The depletion of monoamine systems (both 5-HT and NE/DA) does not decrease mood in healthy controls. However, in healthy controls with a family history of MDD the results suggest that mood is slightly decreased, both by ATD and APTD. Additionally, healthy female subjects are more affected by ATD than healthy male subjects, especially in controls without a family history of MDD. In patients who were previously depressed but in remission without AD, ATD moderately decreases mood, whereas APTD does not significantly decrease mood. ATD has comparable mood lowering effects in patients with MDD in remission who are still using ADs. The site of action of these ADs (5-HT or NE/DA) predicts the occurrence of a lowering of mood or a short relapse in MDD after depletion of the corresponding monoamine. Our findings are in line with the summaries in previous reviews.^{11,18-20,24}

The most consistent finding from this review is the decrease of mood and relapse into a depressed state after ATD and APTD in remitted MDD patients who use AD. In remitted patients without AD relapses are less prominent. Because after remission medication is often continued, the difference in mood responses after depletion might be related to the duration of the achieved remission. Previous reviews discussed the relationship between the duration of the remission and the effect of monoamine depletion, with opposite conclusions. Bell *et al.*¹³⁰ concluded that effects of ATD were more pronounced early in recovery. Contrarily, Booij *et al.*²¹ concluded that duration of remission was not associated with mood response to ATD.

Booij *et al.*²¹ investigated predictors of relapse in a pooled analysis of the individual patient data of some of the studies included in this review. This is often referred to as a 'mega-analysis'. They found that recurrent depressive episodes (≥ 2), female gender, and a history of suicidal thoughts/attempts predicted relapse. Duration of remission did not contribute to this prediction when confounding was considered. We found a modest relationship between relapse and the duration of the remission after ATD. We defined the duration of remission as the reported average duration, or - if not stated - the minimum duration of remission used as inclusion criterion for the studies. We found that especially in the first 5 months after remission, ATD caused lowering of mood in remitted patients still using AD. However, the problem with our and Bell's comparison is the intraindividual spread in duration within the studies. This spread is not considered at the same level of detail as in a 'mega-analysis'. In addition, confounding can only be considered in 'mega-analysis'. Therefore although their study did not include all available studies - we think that Booij et al. provide the best available indication of risk factors for mood lowering effects by ATD.

Do depletion studies elucidate the pathogenesis of MDD?

The absence of robust mood effects in healthy controls indicates that mood is not a direct correlate of 5-HT or NE levels in the brain. The only healthy controls who are modestly affected by monoamine depletion studies are healthy controls with a positive family history for MDD. This might be indicative of a biological vulnerability, which is revealed by depletion studies. Of interest are the findings of recent studies that combined ATD with neuroimaging or genetic sampling,^{33,42–46,65,66,67,70,74,83,87,97,103,104,123} reviewed by Fusar-Poli et al.²⁵ The intelligent approach of combining depletion with imaging or genotyping appears very promising. A summary of the complex results of these studies goes beyond the scope of our review. However, these neuroimaging and genotyping studies also suggest that monoamine depletion discloses rather a 'trait' vulnerability than a pure 'state' dependent change due to depletion.

Additionally, a depressive relapse after monoamine depletion in remitted patients who use AD, occurs only if the target of the depletion (5-HT, NE) coincides

353

with the working mechanism of the AD used. This emphasizes that AD indeed specifically affect their supposed target systems. However, we may only conclude that an undepleted 5-HT system is required for serotonergic AD. The same holds for the NE system and norepinephrinergic AD. Delgado proposed an alternative explanation for the decrease in mood after monoamine depletion in patients: depletion of, for example, 5-HT may give the same effect as abrupt discontinuation of SSRIs.¹³¹ Rapid discontinuation is also associated with mood effects, which are considered to be different from a depressive relapse.¹³²

What certainly cannot be concluded is that MDD is caused by low levels of 5-HT and/or NE/DA. This simplification, which is often used to promote the use of AD specifically affecting 5-HT or NE or both systems, represents a Catch-22 argument, and ignores the notion that serotonergic and norepinephrinergic AD presumably act by a final common pathway. In this pathway postsynaptic changes at the cellular level are supposed to be responsible for the remission of MDD.¹³³ Cellular changes include up or downregulated receptors, increased neuronal interconnections and sprouting and changes in levels of neuropeptides (e.g. corticotrophin releasing hormone). The clinical question of how to distinguish a patient who will respond to an AD with, for example, NE effects has not been solved. Descriptive variables at the symptom level have not yet sufficiently predicted the response to any selective agent. Therefore, a pragmatic approach to affect this final common pathway might be to prescribe ADs which target both 5-HT and NE. However, the effectiveness of this approach is still equivocal.^{134–136}

In patients who are depressed at the time of monoamine depletion, no further decrease in mood is observed. A ceiling effect could be responsible for this finding. But, a more straightforward conclusion is that there is no simple relation between 5-HT or NE deficiency and mood or MDD. Nevertheless, this finding is complicated by the finding of some authors^{106,107} that mood is lowered or elevated the day after ATD. A delayed decrease of mood was indicative for treatment refractoriness,¹⁰⁷ a finding that was not yet replicated by others.^{106,108,109} The number of comparable studies to date is limited. Therefore, we think no clear conclusions or explanations can be made, except that even in MDD patients mood is not a correlate of 5-HT or NE levels in the brain.

We agree with the conclusion of Booij et al.^{11,21} and Bell et al.¹³⁰ that a relapse of depressive symptoms in remitted patients after depletion probably reflects a biological vulnerability of the 5-HT system in remitted patients. This vulnerability increases their risk to become depressed. This increased risk was further demonstrated in two prospective studies, which used the response to ATD in remitted patients to predict later relapse/recurrence.^{63,137} However, also these results need replication. Moreover, the cause of an increased vulnerability remains uncertain. Probably the cause is a combination of genetic, environmental and other determinants (e.g. 'scarring' the brain after multiple depressive episodes).¹³⁰

In conclusion, monoamine depletion by ATD and APTD does not elucidate a causal factor in the pathogenesis of MDD. However, ATD and APTD remain useful models to safely and directly manipulate 5-HT, NE and DA function in living humans, and to study the behavioral consequences of this manipulation, especially in subgroups of humans with an apparent vulnerability.^{11,12,24}

Still, several methodological issues need to be addressed. First, because of the competition of amino acids to pass the blood-brain barrier, ATD might unwillingly result in an intracerebral increase of tyrosine/phenylalanine.^{24,138} Vice-versa, APTD might increase intracerebral tryptophan availability. Levels of other amino-acids than those depleted are not provided in the studies. Second, ATD provides a specific net lowering of 5-HT. Contrarily, depletion of tyrosine and phenylalanine lowers both NE and DA, which are synthesized in the same cascade (with DA thereafter transformed into NE by DA beta-hydroxylase). Also AMPT interferes early in this cascade. Although evidence from animal studies points to more DA depletion by APTD and more NE depletion by AMPT,¹³⁹ it is impossible to truly distinguish between net NE and DA depletion. Third, test re-test reliability for monoamine depletion paradigms was only tested for ATD and was rather limited, which limits the robustness of the method.^{41,88} Fourth, also in healthy controls subtle cognitive effects of monoamine depletion in the brain occur: deficits in learning and memory consolidation and *improvement* in focused attention and executive function.¹⁴⁰ These effects show similarity with symptoms of MDD. The question remains whether these effects might represent mild first symptoms of MDD or the starting symptoms of a cascade of altered brain functions leading to MDD. Fifth, in line with the fourth issue. MDD does not develop within one day. Therefore, the changes by experimental monoamine depletion by ATD and APTD may be too short to really induce a complex biological and psychological deregulation which is recognized as MDD. Patients suffering from gastrointestinal carcinoid tumors – 5-HT producing tumors with expected prolonged states of secondary tryptophan depletion – are generally not depressed but do show improved focused attention.¹⁴¹ However, carcinoid findings were not yet related to tryptophan levels over time. Sixth, the single depletion of one monoamine system by ATD or APTD/AMPT may be too simplistic, especially because of the complex interaction of monoamine systems. Five dual depletion studies were not included in this review.^{49,142–145} In healthy controls contrasting results were found. Hughes et al.143 found some decrease of mood on 3 VAMS-subscales, which was also found in another open study.¹⁴⁴ However, no effects were found in two other studies.^{49,145} In unmedicated patients with MDD no significant increase of MDD was found after dual depletion.¹⁴² It would be interesting to investigate the

effects of simultaneous depletion of 5-HT and NE/DA in other populations.

Limitations of the studies and the review

Several limitations should be mentioned. First, female gender is a risk-factor for MDD, and was also found to be a predictor of relapse after ATD in remitted patients. Based on several studies, gender differences in 5-HT metabolism are probable, and hormonal factors may play a role in 5-HT function.²¹ In our results we examined the effect of gender in healthy controls. The difference between male and female subjects was most prominent in healthy controls without a family history of MDD. In the included studies hormonal status (pre- or postmenopausal state) was not distinguished in the results nor used as inclusion criterion. Second, many small differences between the studies existed: different composition of depletion and control drinks, different presentation of tryptophan/tyrosine/phenylalanine or their ratios to other neutral amino acids, different presentation of free vs total tryptophan/tyrosine/ phenylalanine values, different measurements, different scales. Differences between studies undoubtedly introduced heterogeneity between the studies, which may bias the results of this review. Therefore, we recommend an international consensus protocol. Third, limited presentation of the data forced us to make assumptions, that may have influenced our findings. However, the assumptions appeared to have little influence on the results in a sensitivity analysis. Future studies need to address clear presentation of their data, preferably including a description of the directions of the effects, SDs, in cross-over designs the numbers of subjects having the control or sham first, and preferably include an SD of the pooled difference between the depletion and the control condition. For example, only 10 studies adequately reported the number of patients treated in each sequence in the within subjects design.^{33,44,46,64,77,88,100,116,117,122} Fourth, six studies included Bipolar Patients. Three of these studies were also included in the metaanalysis.^{98,99,117} This involved 6 patients of the total of 265 patients (2.2%) in the concerning meta analytical comparisons. Therefore, we consider the possibility of bias by the inclusion of this etiologically different disorder unlikely. Fifth, the rate of agreement in the selection of studies still may rise questions about the clarity of our selection criteria. However, discrepancies in agreement could easily be solved between the two reviewers. Therefore, we do not think our sensitive searches missed relevant studies. Finally, an indication of publication bias was found. If publication bias truly exists, the studies which found no effect or an *increase* in mood after ATD would not have been published. Indeed, the mood effects of studies that could not be included in the metaanalysis were mostly very small and nonsignificant. Furthermore, the exact information in studies required for meta-analysis forced us to exclude many studies. It seems natural that a non-significant result

Molecular Psychiatry

will not be given this level of detailed attention (change-scores with SDs), especially when mood effects are not the primary outcome in these studies. Without this publication bias the pooled effect of ATD in healthy controls would probably have been lower. Because our conclusion already is that there is no apparent mood effect of ATD in healthy controls, we conclude that the observed publication bias will not severely distort the general conclusion. Therefore, despite these limitations, we consider the results of our review after our strict methods as valid.

Conclusion and future studies

We conclude that although ATD and APTD are important in the investigation of the monoamine systems, monoamine depletion does not directly decrease mood. Although previously the monoamine systems were considered to be responsible for the development of MDD, the available evidence to date does not support a direct causal relationship with MDD. There is no simple direct correlation of 5-HT or NE levels in the brain and mood. The depletion of 5-HT by ATD and NE/DA by APTD most clearly decreases mood in vulnerable patients who are in remission from their MDD, while still using AD. Furthermore, depletion affects mood in unmedicated patients in remission or healthy controls with a family history positive for MDD. Therefore, the monoamine systems are probably important systems in the *vulnerability* to become depressed. The changes in brain metabolism in remitted patients who relapse after ATD or AMPT suggest that 5-HT and NE systems give input to a final common pathway, which needs further research to be clarified.

We suggest some lines for future research. First, three or four-armed depletion studies comparing ATD, APTD (and - if possible - their combination) vs sham depletion to investigate the differential effects of the 5-HT and NE systems on mood in remitted patients or controls with positive family history for MDD. Second, a further exploration of the relation between known genetic polymorphisms of the 5-HT, NE and DA systems (e.g. 5-HTTPR) and biological cerebral responses to depletion paradigms, as measured by PET/fMRI (e.g. like Neumeister et al.^{65,67}). Third, the relations between monoamine depletion indicating biological vulnerability and psychological vulnerability for MDD (see Booij et al.¹¹) Fourth, replication, further validation and standardization of the properties of ATD and other depletion paradigms as a diagnostic test for recurrences in remitted patients (see Moreno et al.63 and Neumeister et al.¹³⁷). New studies will increase our knowledge of the 5-HT and NE systems, which are important targets in the current treatments of MDD. This knowledge will finally improve the treatment for MDD.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mrs Natasha Wiebe, MMath PStat, Research Associate at the University of Alberta, Canada and especially Dr Rob JPM Scholten, MD, PhD, epidemiologist and director of the Dutch Cochrane Centre at the Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam for their indispensable help with the statistic methods used in this review. This study was partially funded by the program Opleiding Onderzoekers GGZ (OOG) (project number 100-002-002) by ZonMw, the Hague, the Netherlands.

Conflict of interests

None.

References

- 1 Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Evidence-based health policy lessons from the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Science* 1996; **274**: 740–743.
- 2 Kennedy SH, Lam RW, Cohen NL, Ravindran AV, CANMAT Depression Work Group. Clinical guidelines for the treatment of depressive disorders. IV. Medications and other biological treatments. *Can J Psychiatry* 2001; 46(Suppl 1): 38S–58S.
- 3 American Psychiatric Association. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder (revision). American Psychiatric Association. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; **157**: 1–45.
- 4 Anderson IM, Nutt DJ, Deakin JFW. Evidence-based guidelines for treating depressive disorders with antidepressants: a revision of the 1993 British Association for Psychopharmacology guidelines. J Psychopharmacol 2000; 14: 3–20.
- 5 Mulrow CD, Williams Jr JW, Trivedi M, Chiquette E, Aguilar C, Cornell JE et al. Evidence report on: treatment of depression – newer pharmacotherapies. *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1999; 34: 409–795.
- 6 Hindmarch I. Beyond the monoamine hypothesis: mechanisms, molecules and methods. *Eur Psychiatry* 2002; 17(Suppl 3): 294–299.
- 7 Owens MJ. Selectivity of antidepressants: from the monoamine hypothesis of depression to the SSRI revolution and beyond. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004; **65**(Suppl 4): 5–10.
- 8 Burke WJ. Selective versus multi-transmitter antidepressants: are two mechanisms better than one? J Clin Psychiatry 2004; 65(Suppl 4): 37–45.
- 9 Fava M, Kendler KS. Major depressive disorder. *Neuron* 2000; **28**: 335–341.
- Delgado PL. How antidepressants help depression: mechanisms of action and clinical response. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004; 65(Suppl 4): 25–30.
- 11 Booij L, Van der Does AJ, Riedel WJ. Monoamine depletion in psychiatric and healthy populations: review. *Mol Psychiatry* 2003; 8: 951–973.
- 12 Hood SD, Bell CJ, Nutt DJ. Acute tryptophan depletion. Part I: Rationale and methodology. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 2005; **39**: 558–564.
- 13 Young SN, Smith SE, Pihl RO, Ervin FR. Tryptophan depletion causes a rapid lowering of mood in normal males. *Psychophar*macology (Berl) 1985; 87: 173–177.
- 14 Moja EA, Lucini V, Benedetti F, Lucca A. Decrease in plasma phenylalanine and tyrosine after phenylalanine-tyrosine free amino acid solutions in man. *Life Sci* 1996; **58**: 2389–2395.
- 15 Shopsin B, Gershon S, Goldstein M, Friedman E, Wilk S. Use of synthesis inhibitors in defining a role for biogenic amines during imipramine treatment in depressed patients. *Psychopharmacol Commun* 1975; 1: 239–249.
- 16 Gratton A. Time course analysis of para-chlorophenylalanine induced suppression of self-stimulation behavior. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1982; 17: 597–602.
- 17 Delgado PL, Miller HL, Salomon RM, Licinio J, Heninger GR, Gelenberg AJ et al. Monoamines and the mechanism of antidepressant action: effects of catecholamine depletion on mood of patients treated with antidepressants. *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1993; 29: 389–396.

- 18 Van der Does AJ. The effects of tryptophan depletion on mood and psychiatric symptoms. J Affect Disord 2001; 64: 107–119.
- 19 Bell C, Abrams J, Nutt D. Tryptophan depletion and its implications for psychiatry. Br J Psychiatry 2001; 178: 399–405.
- 20 Moore P, Landolt HP, Seifritz E, Clark C, Bhatti T, Kelsoe J *et al.* Clinical and physiological consequences of rapid tryptophan depletion. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000; **23**: 601–622.
- 21 Booij L, Van der DW, Benkelfat C, Bremner JD, Cowen PJ, Fava M et al. Predictors of mood response to acute tryptophan depletion. A reanalysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2002; **27**: 852–861.
- 22 Van der Does AJ. The mood-lowering effect of tryptophan depletion: possible explanation for discrepant findings. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2001; **58**: 200–202.
- 23 Riedel WJ, Klaassen T, Schmitt JA. Tryptophan, mood, and cognitive function. Brain Behav Immun 2002; 16: 581–589.
- 24 Reilly JG, McTavish SF, Young AH. Rapid depletion of plasma tryptophan: a review of studies and experimental methodology. *J Psychopharmacol* 1997; **11**: 381–392.
- 25 Fusar-Poli P, Allen P, McGuire P, Placentino A, Cortesi M, Perez J. Neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies of the effects of acute tryptophan depletion: a systematic review of the literature. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2006; **188**: 131–143.
- 26 McNair DM, Lorr M, Droppelman LF. Manual for the Profile of Mood States. Educational and Industrial Testing Service: San Diego, 1988.
- 27 Zuckerman M, Lubin B. Manual for the Multiple Affect Adjective Checklist. Educational and testing service: San Diego, CA, 1965.
- 28 Bond A, Lader M. The use of analogue scales in rating subjective feelings. *Br J Med Psychol* 1974; **47**: 211–218.
- 29 Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1960; 23: 56–61.
- 30 Montgomery SA, Asberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry 1979; **134**: 382–389.
- 31 Kawanishi C, Lundgren S, Agren H, Bertilsson L. Increased incidence of CYP2D6 gene duplication in patients with persistent mood disorders: ultrarapid metabolism of antidepressants as a cause of nonresponse. A pilot study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2004; 59: 803–807.
- 32 Abbott FV, Etienne P, Franklin KB, Morgan MJ, Sewitch MJ, Young SN. Acute tryptophan depletion blocks morphine analgesia in the cold-pressor test in humans. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 1992; **108**: 60–66.
- 33 Allen PP, Cleare AJ, Lee F, Fusar-Poli P, Tunstall N, Fu CHY et al. Effect of acute tryptophan depletion on pre-frontal engagement. *Psychopharmacology* 2006; 187: 486–497.
- 34 Amin Z, Gueorguieva R, Cappiello A, Czarkowski KA, Stiklus S, Anderson GM et al. Estradiol and tryptophan depletion interact to modulate cognition in menopausal women. Neuropsychopharmacology 2006; 31: 2489–2497.
- 35 Barr LC, Heninger GR, Goodman W, Charney DS, Price LH. Effects of fluoxetine administration on mood response to tryptophan depletion in healthy subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 1997; 41: 949–954.
- 36 Benkelfat C, Ellenbogen MA, Dean P, Palmour RM, Young SN. Mood-lowering effect of tryptophan depletion. Enhanced susceptibility in young men at genetic risk for major affective disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994; 51: 687–697.
- 37 Bhatti T, Gillin JC, Seifritz E, Moore P, Clark C, Golshan S et al. Effects of a tryptophan-free amino acid drink challenge on normal human sleep electroencephalogram and mood. Biol Psychiatry 1998; 43: 52–59.
- 38 Crean J, Richards JB, de Wit H. Effect of tryptophan depletion on impulsive behavior in men with or without a family history of alcoholism. *Behav Brain Res* 2002; **136**: 349–357.
- 39 Danjou P, Hamon M, Lacomblez L, Warot D, Kecskemeti S, Puech AJ. Psychomotor, subjective and neuroendocrine effects of acute tryptophan depletion in the healthy volunteer. *Psychiatry Psychobiol* 1990; **5**: 31–38.
- 40 Debener S, Strobel A, Kurschner K, Kranczioch C, Hebenstreit J, Maercker A *et al.* Is auditory evoked potential augmenting/ reducing affected by acute tryptophan depletion? *Biol Psychol* 2002; **59**: 121–133.
- 41 Ellenbogen MA, Young SN, Dean P, Palmour RM, Benkelfat C. Mood response to acute tryptophan depletion in healthy

volunteers: sex differences and temporal stability. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996; **15**: 465–474.

- 42 Evers EA, Cools R, Clark L, van der Veen FM, Jolles J, Sahakian BJ et al. Serotonergic modulation of prefrontal cortex during negative feedback in probabilistic reversal learning. *Neuro*psychopharmacology 2005; **30**: 1138–1147.
- 43 Cools R, Calder AJ, Lawrence AD, Clark L, Bullmore E, Robbins TW. Individual differences in threat sensitivity predict serotonergic modulation of amygdala response to fearful faces. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2005; **180**: 670–679.
- 44 Evers EA, van der Veen FM, van Deursen JA, Schmitt JA, Deutz NE, Jolles J. The effect of acute tryptophan depletion on the BOLD response during performance monitoring and response inhibition in healthy male volunteers. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2006; **187**: 200–208.
- 45 van der Veen FM, Evers EA, van Deursen JA, Deutz NE, Backes WH, Schmitt JA. Acute tryptophan depletion reduces activation in the right hippocampus during encoding in an episodic memory task. *Neuroimage* 2006; **31**: 1188–1196.
- 46 Evers EA, van der Veen FM, Jolles J, Deutz NE, Schmitt JA. Acute tryptophan depletion improves performance and modulates the BOLD response during a Stroop task in healthy females. *Neuroimage* 2006; **32**: 248–255.
- 47 Gallagher P, Massey AE, Young AH, McAllister-Williams RH. Effects of acute tryptophan depletion on executive function in healthy male volunteers. *BMC Psychiatry* 2003; **3**: 10.
- 48 Harrison BJ, Olver JS, Norman TR, Nathan PJ. Effects of serotonin and catecholamine depletion on interleukin-6 activation and mood in human volunteers. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2002; 17: 293–297.
- 49 Harrison BJ, Olver JS, Norman TR, Burrows GD, Wesnes KA, Nathan PJ. Selective effects of acute serotonin and catecholamine depletion on memory in healthy women. *J Psychopharmacol* 2004; 18: 32–40.
- 50 Hayward G, Goodwin GM, Cowen PJ, Harmer CJ. Low-dose tryptophan depletion in recovered depressed patients induces changes in cognitive processing without depressive symptoms. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; **57**: 517–524.
- 51 Hughes JH, Ashton CH, Matthews D, Young AH. Acute depletion of plasma tryptophan does not alter electrophysiological variables in healthy males. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2000; **152**: 119–121.
- 52 Hughes JH, Gallagher P, Stewart ME, Matthews D, Kelly TP, Young AH. The effects of acute tryptophan depletion on neuropsychological function. *J Psychopharmacol* 2003; **17**: 300–309.
- 53 Kahkonen S, Makinen V, Jaaskelainen IP, Pennanen S, Liesivuori J, Ahveninen J. Serotonergic modulation of mismatch negativity. *Psychiatry Res* 2005; **138**: 61–74.
- 54 Klaassen T, Riedel WJ, Deutz NE, van Someren A, Van Praag HM. Specificity of the tryptophan depletion method. *Psychopharma-cology (Berl)* 1999; **141**: 279–286.
- 55 Klaassen T, Riedel WJ, van Someren A, Deutz NE, Honig A, Van Praag HM. Mood effects of 24-hour tryptophan depletion in healthy first-degree relatives of patients with affective disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; **46**: 489–497.
- 56 Klaassen T, Riedel WJ, Deutz NE, Van Praag HM. Mood congruent memory bias induced by tryptophan depletion. *Psychol Med* 2002; **32**: 167–172.
- 57 Koszycki D, Zacharko RM, Le Melledo JM, Young SN, Bradwejn J. Effect of acute tryptophan depletion on behavioral, cardiovascular, and hormonal sensitivity to cholecystokinin-tetrapeptide challenge in healthy volunteers. *Biol Psychiatry* 1996; 40: 648–655.
- 58 Leyton M, Young SN, Pihl RO, Etezadi S, Lauze C, Blier P et al. A comparison of the effects of acute tryptophan depletion and acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion in healthy women. Adv Exp Med Biol 1999; 467: 67–71.
- 59 Leyton M, Young SN, Pihl RO, Etezadi S, Lauze C, Blier P *et al.* Effects on mood of acute phenylalanine/tyrosine depletion in healthy women. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000; **22**: 52–63.
- 60 McAllister-Williams RH, Massey AE, Rugg MD. Effects of tryptophan depletion on brain potential correlates of episodic memory retrieval. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2002; 160: 434–442.

- 61 Miller HEJ, Deakin JFW, Anderson IM. Effect of acute tryptophan depletion on CO_2 -induced anxiety in patients with panic disorder and normal volunteers. Br J Psychiatry 2000; **176**: 182–188.
- 62 Moreno FA, Gelenberg AJ, Heninger GR, Potter RL, McKnight KM, Allen J et al. Tryptophan depletion and depressive vulnerability. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 46: 498–505.
- 63 Moreno FA, Heninger GR, McGahuey CA, Delgado PL. Tryptophan depletion and risk of depression relapse: a prospective study of tryptophan depletion as a potential predictor of depressive episodes. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000; **48**: 327–329.
- 64 Murphy FC, Smith KA, Cowen PJ, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ. The effects of tryptophan depletion on cognitive and affective processing in healthy volunteers. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2002; 163: 42–53.
- 65 Neumeister A, Konstantinidis A, Stastny J, Schwarz MJ, Vitouch O, Willeit M et al. Association between serotonin transporter gene promoter polymorphism (5HTTLPR) and behavioral responses to tryptophan depletion in healthy women with and without family history of depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2002; 59: 613–620.
- 66 Neumeister A, Nugent AC, Waldeck T, Geraci M, Schwarz M, Bonne O et al. Neural and behavioral responses to tryptophan depletion in unmedicated patients with remitted major depressive disorder and controls. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2004; 61: 765–773.
- 67 Neumeister A, Hu XZ, Luckenbaugh DA, Schwarz M, Nugent AC, Bonne O et al. Differential effects of 5-HTTLPR genotypes on the behavioral and neural responses to tryptophan depletion in patients with major depression and controls. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006; 63: 978–986.
- 68 Oldman AD, Walsh AE, Salkovskis P, Laver DA, Cowen PJ. Effect of acute tryptophan depletion on mood and appetite in healthy female volunteers. J Psychopharmacol 1994; 8: 8–13.
- 69 Park SB, Coull JT, McShane RH, Young AH, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW et al. Tryptophan depletion in normal volunteers produces selective impairments in learning and memory. *Neuropharma*cology 1994; 33: 575–588.
- 70 Praschak-Rieder N, Wilson AA, Hussey D, Carella A, Wei C, Ginovart N et al. Effects of tryptophan depletion on the serotonin transporter in healthy humans. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 58: 825–830.
- 71 Ravindran AV, Griffiths J, Merali Z, Knott VJ, Anisman H. Influence of acute tryptophan depletion on mood and immune measures in healthy males. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 1999; 24: 99–113.
- 72 Knott VJ, Howson AL, Perugini M, Ravindran AV, Young SN. The effect of acute tryptophan depletion and fenfluramine on quantitative EEG and mood in healthy male subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; **46**: 229–238.
- 73 Richell RA, Deakin JF, Anderson IM. Effect of acute tryptophan depletion on the response to controllable and uncontrollable noise stress. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; **57**: 295–300.
- 74 Rubia K, Lee F, Cleare AJ, Tunstall N, Fu CH, Brammer M et al. Tryptophan depletion reduces right inferior prefrontal activation during response inhibition in fast, event-related fMRI. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2005; **179**: 791–803.
- 75 Rubinsztein JS, Rogers RD, Riedel WJ, Mehta MA, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ. Acute dietary tryptophan depletion impairs maintenance of 'affective set' and delayed visual recognition in healthy volunteers. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2001; **154**: 319–326.
- 76 Schmeck K, Sadigorsky S, Englert E, Demisch L, Dierks T, Barta S et al. Mood changes following acute tryptophan depletion in healthy adults. Psychopathology 2002; 35: 234–240.
- 77 Schmitt JA, Jorissen BL, Sobczak S, van Boxtel MP, Hogervorst E, Deutz NE *et al.* Tryptophan depletion impairs memory consolidation but improves focussed attention in healthy young volunteers. *J Psychopharmacol* 2000; **14**: 21–29.
- 78 Shansis FM, Busnello JV, Quevedo J, Forster L, Young S, Izquierdo I *et al.* Behavioural effects of acute tryptophan depletion in healthy male volunteers. *J Psychopharmacol* 2000; 14: 157–163.
- 79 Smith SE, Pihl RO, Young SN, Ervin FR. A test of possible cognitive and environmental influences on the mood lowering

effect of tryptophan depletion in normal males. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1987; **91**: 451–457.

- 80 Smith KA, Clifford EM, Hockney RA, Clark DM, Cowen PJ. Effect of tryptophan depletion on mood in male and female volunteers: A pilot study. *Hum Psychopharmacology* 1997; 12: 111–117.
- 81 Stewart ME, Deary IJ, Ebmeier KP. Neuroticism as a predictor of mood change: the effects of tryptophan depletion. Br J Psychiatry 2002; 181: 242–247.
- 82 Talbot PS, Watson DR, Barrett SL, Cooper SJ. Rapid tryptophan depletion improves decision-making cognition in healthy humans without affecting reversal learning or set shifting. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006; **31**: 19.
- 83 Talbot PS, Cooper SJ. Anterior cingulate and subgenual prefrontal blood flow changes following tryptophan depletion in healthy males. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006; **31**: 9.
- 84 Voderholzer U, Hornyak M, Thiel B, Huwig-Poppe C, Kiemen A, Konig A et al. Impact of experimentally induced serotonin deficiency by tryptophan depletion on sleep EEG in healthy subjects. Neuropsychopharmacology 1998; 18: 112–124.
- 85 Weltzin TE, Fernstrom JD, McConaha C, Kaye WH. Acute tryptophan depletion in bulimia: effects on large neutral amino acids. *Biol Psychiatry* 1994; **35**: 388–397.
- 86 Weltzin TE, Fernstrom MH, Fernstrom JD, Neuberger SK, Kaye WH. Acute tryptophan depletion and increased food intake and irritability in bulimia nervosa. *Am J Psychiatry* 1995; **152**: 1668–1671.
- 87 Yatham LN, Liddle PF, Shiah IS, Lam RW, Adam MJ, Zis AP *et al.* Effects of rapid tryptophan depletion on brain 5-HT(2) receptors: a PET study. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001; **178**: 448–453.
- 88 Ellenbogen MA, Young SN, Dean P, Palmour RM, Benkelfat C. Acute tryptophan depletion in healthy young women with a family history of major affective disorder. *Psychol Med* 1999; 29: 35–46.
- 89 Cassidy F, Murry E, Weiner RD, Carroll BJ. Lack of relapse with tryptophan depletion following successful treatment with ECT. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997; **154**: 1151–1152.
- 90 Delgado PL, Price LH, Miller HL, Salomon RM, Licinio J, Krystal JH *et al.* Rapid serotonin depletion as a provocative challenge test for patients with major depression: relevance to antidepressant action and the neurobiology of depression. *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1991; **27**: 321–330.
- 91 Haynes PL, McQuaid JR, Kelsoe J, Rapaport M, Gillin JC. Affective state and EEG sleep profile in response to rapid tryptophan depletion in recently recovered nonmedicated depressed individuals. J Affect Disord 2004; 83: 253–262.
- 92 O'Reardon JP, Chopra MP, Bergan A, Gallop R, DeRubeis RJ, Crits-Christoph P. Response to tryptophan depletion in major depression treated with either cognitive therapy or selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants. *Biol Psychiatry* 2004; 55: 957–959.
- 93 Smith KA, Fairburn CG, Cowen PJ. Relapse of depression after rapid depletion of tryptophan. *Lancet* 1997; 349: 915–919.
- 94 Aberg-Wistedt A, Hasselmark L, Stain-Malmgren R, Aperia B, Kjellman BF, Mathe AA. Serotonergic 'vulnerability' in affective disorder: a study of the tryptophan depletion test and relationships between peripheral and central serotonin indexes in citalopram-responders. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1998; 97: 374–380.
- 95 Booij L, Van der Does AJ, Haffmans PM, Riedel WJ, Fekkes D, Blom MJ. The effects of high-dose and low-dose tryptophan depletion on mood and cognitive functions of remitted depressed patients. *J Psychopharmacol* 2005; **19**: 267–275.
- 96 Booij L, Swenne CA, Brosschot JF, Haffmans PM, Thayer JF, Van der Does AJ. Tryptophan depletion affects heart rate variability and impulsivity in remitted depressed patients with a history of suicidal ideation. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006; **60**: 507–514.
- 97 Bremner JD, Innis RB, Salomon RM, Staib LH, Ng CK, Miller HL et al. Positron emission tomography measurement of cerebral metabolic correlates of tryptophan depletion-induced depressive relapse. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1997; **54**: 364–374.
- 98 Delgado PL, Miller HL, Salomon RM, Licinio J, Krystal JH, Moreno FA *et al.* Tryptophan-depletion challenge in depressed patients treated with desipramine or fluoxetine: implications for the role of serotonin in the mechanism of antidepressant action. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 46: 212–220.

- 99 Evans L, Golshan S, Kelsoe J, Rapaport M, Resovsky K, Sutton L et al. Effects of rapid tryptophan depletion on sleep electroencephalogram and mood in subjects with partially remitted depression on bupropion. Neuropsychopharmacology 2002; 27: 1016–1026.
- 100 Landolt HP, Kelsoe JR, Rapaport MH, Gillin JC. Rapid tryptophan depletion reverses phenelzine-induced suppression of REM sleep. J Sleep Res 2003; 12: 13–18.
- 101 Moore P, Gillin C, Bhatti T, DeModena A, Seifritz E, Clark C *et al.* Rapid tryptophan depletion, sleep electroencephalogram, and mood in men with remitted depression on serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998; **55**: 534–539.
- 102 Morris JS, Smith KA, Cowen PJ, Friston KJ, Dolan RJ. Covariation of activity in habenula and dorsal raphe nuclei following tryptophan depletion. *Neuroimage* 1999; **10**: 163–172.
- 103 Praschak-Rieder N, Hussey D, Wilson AA, Carella A, Lee M, Dunn E et al. Tryptophan depletion and serotonin loss in selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor-treated depression: an MPPF positron emission tomography study. *Biol Psychiatry* 2004; 56: 587–591.
- 104 Smith KA, Morris JS, Friston KJ, Cowen PJ, Dolan RJ. Brain mechanisms associated with depressive relapse and associated cognitive impairment following acute tryptophan depletion. *Br J Psychiatry* 1999; **174**: 525–529.
- 105 Spillmann MK, Van der Does AJ, Rankin MA, Vuolo RD, Alpert JE, Nierenberg AA *et al.* Tryptophan depletion in SSRI-recovered depressed outpatients. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2001; 155: 123–127.
- 106 Booij L, Van der Does AJ, Haffmans PM, Riedel WJ. Acute tryptophan depletion in depressed patients treated with a selective serotonin-noradrenalin reuptake inhibitor: augmentation of antidepressant response? J Affect Disord 2005; 86: 305–311.
- 107 Delgado PL, Price LH, Miller HL, Salomon RM, Aghajanian GK, Heninger GR et al. Serotonin and the neurobiology of depression. Effects of tryptophan depletion in drug-free depressed patients. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994; 51: 865–874.
- 108 Price LH, Malison RT, McDougle CJ, McCance-Katz EF, Owen KR, Heninger GR. Neurobiology of tryptophan depletion in depression: effects of m-chlorophenylpiperazine (mCPP). *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1997; 17: 342–350.
- 109 Price LH, Malison RT, McDougle CJ, Pelton GH, Heninger GR. The neurobiology of tryptophan depletion in depression: effects of intravenous tryptophan infusion. *Biol Psychiatry* 1998; **43**: 339–347.
- 110 Shopsin B, Friedman E, Gershon S. Parachlorophenylalanine reversal of tranylcypromine effects in depressed patients. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1976; 33: 811–819.
- 111 Coupland N, Zedkova L, Sanghera G, Leyton M, Le Melledo JM. Response to pentagastrin after acute phenylalanine and tyrosine depletion in healthy men: a pilot study. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2001; 26: 247–251.
- 112 Harmer CJ, McTavish SF, Clark L, Goodwin GM, Cowen PJ. Tyrosine depletion attenuates dopamine function in healthy volunteers. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2001; **154**: 105–111.
- 113 Lythe KE, Anderson IM, Deakin JFW, Elliott R, Strickland PL. Lack of behavioural effects after acute tyrosine depletion in healthy volunteers. *J Psychopharmacol* 2005; **19**: 5–11.
- 114 McLean A, Rubinsztein JS, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ. The effects of tyrosine depletion in normal healthy volunteers: implications for unipolar depression. *Psychopharmacol (Berl)* 2004; **171**: 286–297.
- 115 Grevet EH, Tietzmann MR, Shansis FM, Hastenpflugl C, Santana LC, Forster L *et al.* Behavioural effects of acute phenylalanine and tyrosine depletion in healthy male volunteers. *J Psychopharmacol* 2002; **16**: 51–55.
- 116 McTavish SF, Mannie ZN, Harmer CJ, Cowen PJ. Lack of effect of tyrosine depletion on mood in recovered depressed women. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2005; **30**: 786–791.
- 117 Roiser JP, McLean A, Ogilvie AD, Blackwell AD, Bamber DJ, Goodyer I *et al.* The subjective and cognitive effects of acute phenylalanine and tyrosine depletion in patients recovered from depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2005; **30**: 775–785.

- Meta-analysis of monoamine depletion HG Ruhé et al
- 118 Krahn LE, Lin SC, Klee GG, Lu PY, Ory SJ, Zimmermann RC. The effect of presynaptic catecholamine depletion on 6-hydroxymelatonin sulfate: a double blind study of alpha-methyl-paratyrosine. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 1999; **9**: 61–66.
- 119 McCann UD, Penetar DM, Shaham Y, Thorne DR, Sing HC, Thomas ML *et al.* Effects of catecholamine depletion on alertness and mood in rested and sleep deprived normal volunteers. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1993; **8**: 345–356.
- 120 McCann UD, Thorne D, Hall M, Popp K, Avery W, Sing H *et al.* The effects of L-dihydroxyphenylalanine on alertness and mood in alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine-treated healthy humans. Further evidence for the role of catecholamines in arousal and anxiety. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1995; **13**: 41–52.
- 121 Zimmermann RC, Krahn L, Klee G, Lu PY, Ory SJ, Lin SC. The impact of gender on alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine mediated changes in prolactin secretion and 6-hydroxymelatonin sulfate excretion. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 1996; **21**: 469–478.
- 122 Berman RM, Narasimhan M, Miller HL, Anand A, Cappiello A, Oren DA *et al.* Transient depressive relapse induced by catecholamine depletion: potential phenotypic vulnerability marker? *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; **56**: 395–403.
- 123 Bremner JD, Vythilingam M, Ng CK, Vermetten E, Nazeer A, Oren DA et al. Regional brain metabolic correlates of alphamethylparatyrosine-induced depressive symptoms: implications for the neural circuitry of depression. JAMA 2003; 289: 3125–3134.
- 124 Miller HL, Delgado PL, Salomon RM, Heninger GR, Charney DS. Effects of alpha-methyl-para-tyrosine (AMPT) in drug-free depressed patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996; 14: 151–157.
- 125 Review Manager [Version 4.2 for Windows]. The Cochrane Collaboration: Oxford, UK, 2002.
- 126 The Cochrane Collaboration. RevMan 4.2 User Guide, Version 4.2 for Windows, 4.2 edn. The Cochrane Collaboration: Oxford, UK, 2002.
- 127 Cochrane Reviewers' Handbook. 4.2.2 [Updated March 2004] edn. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Chichester, UK, 2004.
- 128 DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177–188.
- 129 Egger M, Davey SG, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; 315: 629–634.
- 130 Bell CJ, Hood SD, Nutt DJ. Acute tryptophan depletion. Part II: clinical effects and implications. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 2005; **39**: 565–574.
- 131 Delgado PL. Monoamine depletion studies: implications for antidepressant discontinuation syndrome. J Clin Psychiatry 2006; 67(Suppl 4): 22–26.
- 132 Rosenbaum JF, Fava M, Hoog SL, Ascroft RC, Krebs WB. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. *Biol Psychiatry* 1998; 44: 77–87.
- 133 Charney DS. Monoamine dysfunction and the pathophysiology and treatment of depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1998; **59**(Suppl 14): 11–14.

Appendix

Differences between intervention and control measurements

In depletion studies changes in mood scores typically represented mean mood-scores both before (pre) and after (post) the depletion/challenge (experimental intervention) and the placebo/sham/control-intervention. Because the mood scores were not necessarily identical at the start of the experiment and the control, we first calculated the mean change in mood-score (*pooled difference*) for the experimental and control condition separately per study. Some studies also provided the standard deviation (SD) of

- 134 Freemantle N, Anderson IM, Young P. Predictive value of pharmacological activity for the relative efficacy of antidepressant drugs. Meta-regression analysis. Br J Psychiatry 2000; **177**: 292–302.
- 135 Ferreri M, Lavergne F, Berlin I, Payan C, Puech AJ. Benefits from mianserin augmentation of fluoxetine in patients with major depression non-responders to fluoxetine alone. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2001; **103**: 66–72.
- 136 Nelson JC, Mazure CM, Jatlow PI, Bowers Jr MB, Price LH. Combining norepinephrine and serotonin reuptake inhibition mechanisms for treatment of depression: a double-blind, randomized study. *Biol Psychiatry* 2004; 55: 296–300.
- 137 Neumeister A, Habeler A, Praschak-Rieder N, Willeit M, Kasper S. Tryptophan depletion: a predictor of future depressive episodes in seasonal affective disorder? *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 1999; 14: 313–315.
- 138 Badawy AA. Acute tryptophan or tyrosine depletion test: time for reappraisal? J Psychopharmacol 2005; 19: 429–430.
- 139 McTavish SF, Callado L, Cowen PJ, Sharp T. Comparison of the effects of alpha-methyl-*p*-tyrosine and a tyrosine-free amino acid load on extracellular noradrenaline in the rat hippocampus *in vivo. J Psychopharmacol* 1999; **13**: 379–384.
- 140 Riedel WJ. Cognitive changes after acute tryptophan depletion: what can they tell us? *Psychol Med* 2004; **34**: 3–8.
- 141 Russo S, Nielen MMA, Boon JC, Kema IP, Willemse PHB, De Vries EGE *et al.* Neuropsychological investigation into the carcinoid syndrome. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2003; **168**: 324–328.
- 142 Berman RM, Sanacora G, Anand A, Roach LM, Fasula MK, Finkelstein CO *et al.* Monoamine depletion in unmedicated depressed subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 2002; **51**: 469–473.
- 143 Hughes JM, Matrenza C, Kemp AH, Harrison BJ, Liley D, Nathan PJ. Selective effects of simultaneous monoamine depletion on mood and emotional responsiveness. *Int J Neuropsychopharma-col* 2004; 7: 9–17.
- 144 Leyton M, Pun VK, Benkelfat C, Young SN. A new method for rapidly and simultaneously decreasing serotonin and catecholamine synthesis in humans. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2003; 28: 464–467.
- 145 Salomon RM, Miller HL, Krystal JH, Heninger GR, Charney DS. Lack of behavioral effects of monoamine depletion in healthy subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 1997; **41**: 58–64.
- 146 Curtin F, Altman DG, Elbourne D. Meta-analysis combining parallel and cross-over clinical trials. I: Continuous outcomes. *Stat Med* 2002; **21**: 2131–2144.
- 147 Deeks JJ, Altman DG, Bradburn MJ. Statistical methods for examining heterogeneity and combining results from several studies in meta-analysis. In: Egger M, Smith GD, Altman DG (eds). Systematic Reviews in Healthcare. Meta-analysis in Context, 2nd edn. BMJ Publishers Group: London, UK, 2001, pp 285–312.
- 148 Altman DG. Comparing groups-categorical data. In: Altman DG (ed). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*, 1st edn. Chapman & Hall: London, 1991, pp 229–276.

the pooled differences. When the standard deviation was not reported, we calculated the standard deviation of the pooled difference for paired data:

$$SD_{change} = \sqrt{SD_{pre}^2 + SD_{post}^2 - (2RSD_{pre}SD_{post})}$$
 (A1)

In this formula, the correlation coefficient R was calculable in four studies $only^{36,59,81,105}$ and ranged between 0.42 and 1.00 for the experimental and between 0.34 and 0.95 for the control condition. To be able to calculate the standard deviation of the change between pre- and post-test mood scores for the rest of the studies we imputed a correlation coefficient *R* of 0.5. This value was considered to be a conservative assumption.

Statistics for studies with a within subjects design

The difference in the changes of mood scores between intervention and control were expressed as difference of change scores:

$$Difference_{changes} = Change_{CONT} - Change_{INT}$$
 (A2)

For this difference the SD of the difference was calculated by again applying formula (A1), with an assumed R of 0.5.

To acknowledge the different mood scales to measure change in mood, the difference in changes between experimental and control condition were standardized by calculating Hedges' adjusted g, which is similar to Cohen's d, but includes an adjustment for small sample bias:¹²⁶

Hedges'
$$g = \frac{\text{Change}_{\text{INT}} - \text{Change}_{\text{CONT}}}{\text{SD}_{\text{Difference changes}}} \times \left(1 - \frac{3}{4(n_{\text{AB}} + n_{\text{BA}}) - 9}\right)$$
(A3)

In this formula n_{AB} and n_{BA} represent the number of subjects randomized to intervention or control as first test in the study. If the numbers for n_{AB} and n_{BA} were not reported, we assumed that the sample was split half for the two sequences. For Hedges' *g* an SE was calculated as follows:^{146,147}

$$SE = \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_{AB} + n_{BA}}{4n_{AB} * n_{BA}} + \frac{\text{Hedges'}g^2}{2(n_{AB} + n_{BA} - 3.94)}\right)}$$
(A4)

Statistics for studies with a between subjects design For between subject studies comparable statistics were used to calculate the mean change in moodscores. Because the between-subjects design is a parallel group design, Hedges' g was calculated with formula (A3) in which for n_{AB} and n_{BA} n_{INT} and n_{CONT} were substituted. The formula for the SE was slightly different to acknowledge the absence of paired data:

359

$$SE = \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_{INT} + n_{CONT}}{n_{INT} * n_{CONT}} + \frac{\text{Hedges'}g^2}{2(n_{INT} + n_{CONT} - 3.94)}\right)}$$
(A5)

Statistics for relapse rates

For relapse rates of MDD after depletion provided in a within subjects design the difference in relapse rates was calculated as

Difference_{Relapse-rate} =
$$\frac{n_{\text{Relapse-INT}}}{N}$$

- $\frac{n_{\text{Relapse-CONT}}}{N}$ (A6)

in which N is the total number of patients included. The standard error then is

$$SE_{Difference_relapse-rate} = \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{b+c-\frac{(b-c)^2}{N}},$$
 (A7)

in which *b* represents the number of patients with a relapse after the intervention but not the control condition and *c* the number of patients with a relapse after the control but not the intervention.¹⁴⁸ If the numbers of 'pairs' were not extractable from the paper, a conservative approach was used assuming the minimal number of patients relapsing both after the intervention and the control condition (maximal *c*), resulting in the largest SE.