

# ARTICLE

Received 2 Mar 2015 | Accepted 16 Nov 2015 | Published 7 Jan 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10194

OPEN

# Multi-reporter selection for the design of active and more specific zinc-finger nucleases for genome editing

Benjamin L. Oakes<sup>1,\*</sup>, Danny F. Xia<sup>2,\*</sup>, Elizabeth F. Rowland<sup>1,\*</sup>, Denise J. Xu<sup>1</sup>, Irina Ankoudinova<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer S. Borchardt<sup>2</sup>, Lei Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Patrick Li<sup>2</sup>, Jeffrey C. Miller<sup>2</sup>, Edward J. Rebar<sup>2</sup> & Marcus B. Noyes<sup>1,3,4,5</sup>

Engineered nucleases have transformed biological research and offer great therapeutic potential by enabling the straightforward modification of desired genomic sequences. While many nuclease platforms have proven functional, all can produce unanticipated off-target lesions and have difficulty discriminating between homologous sequences, limiting their therapeutic application. Here we describe a multi-reporter selection system that allows the screening of large protein libraries to uncover variants able to discriminate between sequences with substantial homology. We have used this system to identify zinc-finger nucleases that exhibit high cleavage activity (up to 60% indels) at their targets within the *CCR5* and *HBB* genes and strong discrimination against homologous sequences within *CCR2* and *HBD*. An unbiased screen for off-target lesions using a novel set of *CCR5*-targeting nucleases confirms negligible *CCR2* activity and demonstrates minimal off-target activity genome wide. This system offers a straightforward approach to generate nucleases that discriminate between similar targets and provide exceptional genome-wide specificity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA. <sup>2</sup> Sangamo BioSciences Inc., Richmond, California 94804, USA. <sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA. <sup>4</sup> Institute for Systems Genetics, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>5</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>5</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry Biochemistry and Rolecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry Biochemistry

esigner nucleases have revolutionized studies of gene structure and function in higher organisms by enabling the simple and efficient manipulation of specified genomic sequences<sup>1</sup>. While several different nuclease platforms have been developed for this purpose, the strategy they employ is the same: direct a double-strand break (DSB) to a desired genomic locus and allow repair mechanisms to edit the sequence. If the break is repaired by mutagenic non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), the insertions or deletions (indels) that often result can lead to frame shifts and functional knockouts<sup>2</sup>. If the break is repaired by homology-directed repair (HDR), the sequence can be rewritten if an appropriate donor template of DNA is provided<sup>3,4</sup>. This approach has proven successful across diverse species and platforms<sup>5–13</sup>.

As applications for designer nucleases have multiplied and extended into more sensitive areas such as stem cell biology and therapeutics, the focus of design efforts has shifted from improving activity to optimizing genome-wide specificity<sup>14–19</sup>. A key element of this shift has been the emerging realization that nucleases designed for genome-editing applications will frequently need to discriminate against highly similar off-target sequences, due to the high frequency of repeat elements, gene duplications and pseudogenes in eukaryotic genomes. Further complicating matters, successful HDR can be limited by the distance between the DSB and the sequence to be modified<sup>20</sup>. For these reasons, the precise location of a DSB may be critical, and similarity with potential off-target sequences unavoidable.

The crux of a high-fidelity designer nuclease are the abilities to both strongly specify a desired sequence and to avoid others. While strong in vivo on-target activity has been demonstrated for all the designer nuclease platforms (zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs), homing endonucleases, transcription activator-like effector domains (TALENs) and RNA-guided CRISPR-Cas9 systems (RGENs)), fidelity remains uncertain. Early-stage ZFNs $^{21,22}$ , TALENs $^{23-25}$  and RGENs $^{24-28}$  can, in certain cases, show substantial activity at alternative sequences similar to the designed target as well as unanticipated sequences. Several approaches have been employed to address these issues. Nicking RGENs<sup>18</sup>, Cas9-Fok1 fusions<sup>29,30</sup>, RNA guide length<sup>19</sup> and alternative TALE domains<sup>17</sup> have all been employed to address concerns of off-target activity. Furthermore, algorithms that allow the user to choose a specific target sequence based on its reduced risk of off-target activity have been developed<sup>31-33</sup>. However, these approaches limit therapeutic applications that require precise modification of sequences with high degrees of homology to other regions of the genome.

To discriminate between similar targets, a complex protein-DNA interface with great engineering potential, coupled with a powerful selection system for identifying new designs that exhibit desired binding properties, may be required. Therefore, the complexities of intra- and inter-finger interactions offered by the zinc-finger domain<sup>34-37</sup> may provide advantages over the more modular TALEN and RGEN platforms. However, until recently diverse pools of zinc fingers able to bind all 3-nt (nucleotide) targets were unavailable, and few selection systems allowed for the recovery of proteins with discriminatory attributes. Prior ZFN engineering for in vivo application by both bacterial one<sup>38</sup> and two-hybrid<sup>39,40</sup> assays have been primarily limited to pools of 5'-GNN-3' binding zinc fingers and do not present a counterselective element that would produce zinc fingers able to discriminate between closely related sequences. Therefore, this new complete zinc-finger pool set coupled with a system that provides designer nucleases, zinc finger or otherwise, that can distinguish between two closely related sequences would be of great utility.

We recently described a new resource of zinc-finger pools with significantly greater depth than previously available<sup>41</sup>. Here we describe a multi-reporter selection system to screen libraries of these zinc fingers for combinations that can discriminate between two similar targets. We apply this system to identify ZFNs that provide excellent discrimination between highly homologous sequences for two targets in vivo: CCR5 versus CCR2 and haemoglobin beta (HBB) versus haemoglobin delta (HBD). In each case we recover ZFN pairs that manifest strong on-target activity with minimal or no detectible activity at the homologous off-target sequence. Finally, in an unbiased screen of genomewide off-target activity for a subset of the CCR5 ZFN pairs, we identify just a small number of low-frequency off-target loci. For one of these ZFN pairs, we identify just a single off-target locus modified above 0.1% (0.37% lesion frequency at this locus) demonstrating exceptional genome-wide fidelity. This work demonstrates the ability of the multi-reporter selection system to uncover proteins with fine-tuned specificity and the potential for pristine genome editing.

### Results

Establishment of a multi-reporter selection system. The omegabased bacterial one-hybrid (B1H) system has proven a simple and extremely sensitive method for the investigation of protein-DNA interactions  $^{38,42-46}$  (see overview, Supplementary Note 1). This system differentiates itself from other bacterial hybrid assays through the employment of the omega subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoZ) as the fusion partner to the protein of interest. In this way omega acts as an activation domain through recruitment of the polymerase. Omega is a non-essential component of the core holoenzyme<sup>47,48</sup> allowing selections to be carried out in an rpoZ knockout strain and therefore in the absence of competition from endogenous omega. The lack of competition allows activation of the reporter even at low levels of fusion protein expression and the recovery of interactions with a large range of affinities<sup>42</sup>. As a result, the method has allowed the characterization of transcription factor DNA-binding specificities for most common DNA-binding domain families<sup>42,45,49,50</sup> as well as the selection of synthetic homeodomains<sup>43</sup> and zinc fingers<sup>38,41,44</sup> with novel specificities.

For these studies, we improved this method and adapted it to the challenge of providing highly effective DNA-binding proteins that could discriminate homologous sequences. To accomplish this, we first created a variation of the B1H system in which its two selectable markers (HIS3 and URA3; Fig. 1a) are expressed from independent promoters. Our goal in doing this was to enable simultaneous selection for or against activation of these two reporter genes. To test whether our new system accomplished this, we compared the activity of the reporters while varying known protein-DNA interactions that drive their expression. Here we expressed the three-finger Zif268 zinc-finger protein as a direct fusion to omega. We fixed the Zif268 consensus target sequence upstream of the promoter that drives the URA3 reporter and paired this with alternative Zif268 targets<sup>51</sup> that exhibit a range of affinities upstream of the HIS3 reporter. Bacteria bearing each Zif268-binding site combination were grown to log phase, titred and plated on selective media. Only cells that offered a functional interaction to drive URA3 survived the presence of 6-azauracil (6-aza) while the affinity of the interaction that drives HIS3 determined survival at various stringency 3-amino triazole (3-AT) concentrations (Fig. 1b). The reverse of this is also true when the sequences in sites 1 and 2 are switched (Supplementary Fig. 1). We confirmed these observations in follow-up studies of doubling time in liquid media (Supplementary Fig. 2). In sum, while maintaining a desired protein-DNA interaction that drives one reporter, the



**Figure 1 | Multiple reporters allow the simultaneous investigation of independent interactions by bacterial hybrid assay.** (a) Rearrangement of the original B1H reporter vector to express the selectable HIS3 and URA3 markers from separate promoters allows the assay of two independent interactions simultaneously. The addition of fluorescent markers mCherry and GFP provides a secondary, graded measure of activity for each interaction. (b) Survival is dependent on the affinity of the protein-DNA interaction and the selection conditions that impact each reporter independently. Here *Zif268* is expressed as an omega fusion. *Zif268*'s consensus binding site (labelled a) is placed in front of the URA3 reporter and paired with one of a set of binding sites of known affinity in front of HIS3 (numbered from 1 to 6 by the noted 'X'-fold decrease in affinity offered by each site<sup>51</sup>). As a control, a sequence *Zif268* will not bind to (labelled b) is placed in front of URA3 and paired with the consensus in front of HIS3. Log-phase cells were titred in 10-fold dilutions from top to bottom on rich media or selective plates that contain 6-azauracil and either a low (2 mM), medium (5 mM) or high (20 mM) level of 3-AT. Survival is dependent on activation of URA3 (la versus 1b) and related to the affinity of the interaction that drives HIS3 expression. (c) Fluorescent output is related to the affinity of the protein-DNA interaction that drives its expression and unrelated to the selection conditions impacting the competing binding site and reporter. The same cells tested in **c**, as well as a complete set of the site 1 sequences paired with the negative 'b' sequence, were grown in either rich media or selective media that impacts only URA3 expression. While HIS3 expression is not selected for, GFP output is related to the affinity of the interaction that drives the HIS3/GFP reporter and unrelated to the growth conditions that impact site 2 and URA3/mCherry.

interaction that drives the secondary reporter can be selected for, or against, through the addition of inhibitors in the media.

By separating the HIS3 and URA3 reporters we are able to investigate two independent interactions simultaneously. Still, survival alone does not allow us to differentiate between the attributes of multiple, functional interactions, only indicating that they all surpass the survival threshold required of the selection. Therefore, as a second improvement to the original B1H system, we added fluorescent reporters to each of the selectable markers to provide a secondary and more graded measure of activity (henceforth referred to as the multi-reporter bacterial one-hybrid system (MR-B1H)). A green fluorescent protein (GFP) cassette is expressed from the same promoter that drives HIS3 expression, and mCherry expressed from the promoter that drives URA3 (Fig. 1a). To demonstrate the utility of this approach, we again turned to Zif268. While fixing the interaction that drives URA3 expression, we tested the same set of binding sites as above to drive HIS3 and therefore, GFP expression (Fig. 1c). With this system we observed that under varying conditions of URA3 selection (for, against or neutral), mCherry expression is related to the selection conditions but is otherwise constant (Supplementary Fig. 3). We also found that, as expected, GFP expression is related to the affinity of the protein-DNA interaction that drives the HIS3/GFP promoter and unrelated to the URA3-focused experimental conditions (Fig. 1c). These

results confirm that selection conditions designed to influence URA3 expression do not impact the HIS3/GFP transcription. Therefore, activation of the reporter is a function of the protein–DNA interaction that drives its expression and the fluorescent output is a secondary measure of the activity that interaction offers.

Selection of proteins by target discrimination. Having shown that that the MR-B1H system can function as a reporter to compare the relative strengths of two interactions, we next sought to demonstrate that this system can be used to select proteins with new binding properties. We first prepared libraries of four-finger zinc-finger DNA-binding proteins using our recently reported, complete set of selected zinc-finger pools<sup>41</sup> as templates for library assembly (Supplementary Fig. 4). This approach was guided by prior strategies for assembly of zinc-finger pools<sup>38,52–54</sup>. By selecting four-finger variants from diverse pool assemblies we circumvent inter-finger complications that often arise when neighbouring fingers of known specificity are designed next to one another. Rather, we select combinations of zinc fingers from four-finger libraries that are most compatible with one another.

To expand the utility of the MR-B1H system, we screened our libraries, created from our individual pools, to uncover proteins able to discriminate between related sequences. For our initial study, we targeted a well-characterized sequence within the *CCR5* gene, at which indels can mediate efficient functional inactivation and cellular resistance to HIV infection<sup>22,55–57</sup>. This locus is targeted by a ZFN dimer currently in clinical studies. This target provided an attractive initial test of our selection system, since it exhibits substantial sequence identity with a second sequence in the human genome (within the homologous *CCR2* gene), and the availability of highly active and specific published reagents<sup>22</sup> for this target would provide a benchmark against which to gauge the performance of any selected ZFNs. To utilize this tool, we modified the MR-B1H system to select zinc-finger arrays able to bind the CCR5 target and provide discrimination against the CCR2 target (Fig. 2a).

The 12-nt sequence targeted by the published 3' CCR5 ZFN monomer<sup>22</sup> (right target) was installed upstream of the HIS3/GFP reporter (Fig. 2a). The homologous CCR2 sequence (matching at 11/12 bases) was installed upstream of the URA3/ mCherry reporter. ZFP array libraries were expressed as omega fusions and paired with this CCR5-focused MR-B1H reporter vector (Supplementary Fig. 4). A low-stringency, HIS3-positive selection was performed to remove non-functional arrays from the library. The surviving library members were pooled, again paired with the reporters and selected for activation of HIS3 (CCR5 target), with a secondary selection for, against or neutral for URA3 (CCR2 target). Classification of these cells as GFP or mCherry positive was defined by setting gates to established background-level fluorescence using a negative control that should not actively express either fluorescent reporter (see Fig. 2c as an example). With experimental samples, when activation of URA3 is selected against, the number of cells above the GFP background but below the mCherry threshold increased by 2.5-fold over cells grown with HIS3 selection alone (Fig. 2b, top, quadrant 4). Moreover, these conditions produced a stringent population of high GFP and low mCherry activities (Fig. 2b, pop 3) enriched by 10-fold. Conversely, when activation of URA3 is selected for, 80% of the enriched cells are both GFP and mCherry positive (Fig. 2b, bottom), a 4-fold enrichment over the HIS3 selection alone. Populations of these cells were recovered and the ZFPs they harbour were sequenced (Fig. 2b, right). The amino acids enriched in the alpha helix of the N-terminal finger are noticeably different depending on the URA3 selection conditions (Fig. 2b, green box). On the basis of the canonical model of ZFP-DNA recognition, this first helix should bind to the AAG and AAA triplets that differentiate BS1 from BS2 of the reporter, respectively. Candidate ZFPs that represent these populations were tested again with the reporters to confirm the fluorescent activity, and thus their DNA-binding attributes, in the absence of selective pressure (Fig. 2c). These studies confirmed that we can select new ZFP arrays from combinatorial libraries that offer fine-tuned attributes by modifying selection conditions and recovering fluorescent populations that represent the characteristics we desire.

**Fine-tuned ZFNs improve CCR5–CCR2 discrimination** *in vivo*. The MR-B1H system is able to provide ZFPs that function with fine-tuned specificity in *Escherichia coli*, however, our goal is to provide target discrimination in human cells. To test our selected ZFPs outside of bacteria, we first repeated the procedure above to select ZFPs able to bind the published 5' CCR5 target (left target) while discriminating against the CCR2 sequence (Supplementary Fig. 5). Next, we assessed the DNA-binding specificity of a set of selected 'left' and 'right' ZFPs using systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX). For this study, candidates were chosen as intact proteins rather than designed from a consensus to preserve context-dependent aspects of performance. As shown in our previous studies<sup>41</sup> all amino acids

within a recognition helix may influence one another. Therefore, we took a conservative approach and chose only complete, selected ZFPs to follow-up in all experiments reported here. The SELEX results revealed generally good specificity by the selected ZFPs, with several exhibiting a marked preference for the intended target base at those positions differing between CCR5 and CCR2 (Fig. 3a,b). Next, we expressed these candidates as ZFN pairs in K562 cells and quantified their activity at the CCR5 and CCR2 targets (Fig. 3c). For comparison, a published set of CCR5-targeted ZFNs<sup>55</sup> were tested in parallel with the MR-B1H-produced ZFNs. We found that our selected ZFNs were highly active, vielding up to 50% indels at CCR5. Moreover, many were also highly selective for CCR5 versus CCR2, with half yielding a modification ratio of > 12. Interestingly, while the left monomers have some influence, the CCR2 activity of the MR-B1H-produced ZFNs appears to be primarily related to the specificity of the right monomer and its ability to bind the adenine that differentiates CCR2 from CCR5 (see SELEX results, Fig. 3b). Those with no SELEX evidence of binding adenine at this position (candidates 46696 and 46697) offer CCR5 to CCR2 indel ratios that range from 12.9 to 41. For comparison, under these experimental conditions the previously published ZFNs yielded 73% modification of CCR5 in this study, with a threefold preference versus cleavage of CCR2.

**Extending fine-tuned ZFNs reduces competitive activity.** The MR-B1H system can uncover ZFPs with strong discrimination between two targets that differ by a single base pair, even when we have restricted ourselves to duplicate an exact target in the literature. However, with a complete C2H2 zinc-finger pool set we are not limited by sequence and have the flexibility to slightly shift and expand the zinc-finger target, if advantageous, and still remain in close proximity to the sequence to be modified. Therefore, we reasoned that by increasing the number of fingers per monomer and maximizing the counter selection by focusing on the divergence between homologous targets, we could further improve the discrimination that our ZFNs are able to offer.

To test this approach we shifted the CCR5 target 6 bp 3' (Supplementary Fig. 6). We also increased the number of mismatches between the CCR5 and CCR2 targets by extending each ZFP to contain six fingers per monomer (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 7). To limit library size and maintain the complexity for each finger, zinc fingers were selected from two overlapping four-finger libraries to bind these targets (selected ZFPs shown in Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 7B). In this way, the two C-terminal and two N-terminal fingers of the overlapping libraries recognize the same sequences (purple ovals in Fig. 4b). Common fingers recovered in the 'overlap positions' of both libraries were used as guides to design six-finger proteins. However, four-finger proteins recovered to bind the 12-nt target proximal to the cut site can also be used directly. In this way, both four- and six-finger proteins were selected using the counter-selection assay detailed above.

Two four- and two six-finger proteins that target the shifted CCR5 sequence were tested for their function as ZFNs in K562 cells. These ZFNs are related in that the four C-terminal fingers of the six-finger proteins are identical to one of the four-finger variants (see Fig. 4, table). Therefore, any differences in activity are due to the addition of two N-terminal fingers. As above, the indel frequencies at both the CCR5 and CCR2 loci were measured for each ZFN pair (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, the number of fingers has a large impact on the right target but not the left. Only ZFNs that utilize right monomers with six fingers provide high CCR5 indel frequencies, ranging from 19 to 61%. What is more, for each of these eight combinations the CCR2 indel frequency ranges from 0.09 to 0.14%, similar to the background frequency found

## ARTICLE



**Figure 2 | Selection of zinc fingers that can discriminate between similar targets. (a)** Diagram depicts the selection process. The desired and counter targets are placed in front of the promoters that drive HIS3 and URA3 expression, respectively. Zinc-finger pools previously selected to bind each 3 bp subsite of the desired target are used as PCR templates to assemble a four-finger library, illustrated as rainbow-coloured ovals. This four-finger library is expressed as an omega fusion. To select four-finger members of this library, which are able to discriminate between the desired targets, cells are grown under conditions that are inhibited by URA3 expression but required HIS3 activation. A workflow of the procedure is shown below. (b) Selection conditions influence the enriched amino acids that correspond to the target mismatch. Using the library described in **a**, selection for HIS3 activation but against URA3 activation increases the fraction of the population in the GFP-positive (Pos), mCherry-negative (Neg) quadrant 4 in comparison with a HIS3-positive selection alone (top). Using the same library, selection for both HIS3 and URA3 activations increases the fraction of the population in the GFP-positive selection alone (bottom). Sequencing the zinc fingers recovered from stringent populations of these selection conditions reveal a stark difference in the amino acids enriched in the helix that corresponds to the difference in the desired and counter target (green box). (c) The binding attributes are confirmed for zinc-finger candidates that represent the recovered pools in **b**. Zinc-finger candidates are paired with the CCR5 versus CCR2 reporter vector and grown without selection. The GFP versus mCherry attributes are complementary to the selection conditions from which the candidate protein was derived. The sequence of the tested zinc-finger helices are shown (N to C) above the dot plots with the discriminator helix shown in colour.



**Figure 3 | MR-B1H-produced zinc fingers provide high CCR5 activity with strong discrimination against CCR2. (a)** The corresponding CCR5 versus CCR2 sequences are shown with the 12-bp zinc-finger targets bold and underlined. Sequences are shown 5' to 3', but because ZFN monomers bind opposite strands of DNA, the left ZFN monomer targets the reverse complement of the sequence shown (compare with target in **b**). Mismatches with the CCR2 sequence are shown as red letters. (**b**) SELEX results for candidate zinc fingers. Candidates' (Cand.) identification numbers and the sequences of their helices are listed above each SELEX plot. Helices that correspond to the discriminatory base are shown in red. The discriminatory base is boxed in green. The recovered percentage of sequences that correspond to the CCR5 or CCR2 base at this critical position are listed to the right of each plot. Those that tolerate binding to the selected-against base are shown as red numbers. The previously published helices for each left and right monomers are boxed at the top. (**c**) Candidate ZFN pairs were expressed *in vivo* and the percentage of indels at CCR5 and CCR2 measured (left and middle tables). The published candidates 8266-20505 were tested in parallel for reference. The ratio of CCR5 to CCR2 indel frequency is shown in the right table. The indel frequency recovered from a control that expressed GFP rather than a nuclease pair is shown below.

from a GFP-transfected control sample. As a result, we are able to leverage differences in the CCR5 and CCR2 sequences, while remaining in close proximity to the desired cut site, by slightly shifting and expanding our target. By doing so we have created ZFNs that offer strong CCR5 activity and little if any activity at CCR2.

A second disease-related target complicated by the need for discrimination against a highly conserved homologue is HBB. Mutations in the HBB sequence lead to sickle cell anaemia as well as other blood-borne diseases. The coding sequences for HBB and HBD are 93% identical in human making it difficult to modify HBB without altering HBD. Using the same approach as outlined above, we focused the selection of zinc fingers to bind directly at a mutant HBB sequence that causes sickle cell anaemia (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 8).

Overlapping four-finger libraries were created to select four-finger zinc fingers, and thereby design six-finger proteins that can discriminate between HBB and HBD using the same approach detailed above (selected ZFPs shown in Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 8B). From these results, a four- and six-finger protein that bind the right and left targets were paired (see Fig. 5, table) and tested as ZFNs in K562 cells. For each pair, indel frequencies were measured at both the HBB and HBD loci (Fig. 5c). Interestingly, while these ZFNs produce high HBB indel frequencies regardless of finger number, the HBD indel frequency is largely dependent on the number of fingers in the left monomer. In both cases, the six-finger left monomers increase HBD indel frequencies from background levels to low percentages. As the extension of the left monomer does not pick up additional differences between the HBB and HBD sequences, it is possible the extended recognition increases the affinity at the HBD target while lessoning the consequence of the single mismatch present in the left monomer targets. These results imply that the extension of a nuclease target that does not



**Figure 4 | Extending zinc-finger targets to increase CCR2 discrimination. (a)** The CCR5 target is shifted 6 nt 3' relative to the target in Fig. 3 (bold and underlined). Mismatches with the CCR2 sequence are shown as red letters. Each ZFN monomer is increased from four to six fingers. (b) For each target, two overlapping four-finger libraries are produced. The right monomer pools are shown here while the left monomer pools are shown in Supplementary Fig. 7. Pools of these libraries are colour coded to emphasize that the overlapping zinc fingers target the same sequences. Zinc fingers are selected from each pool by selection for the CCR5 sequence but against the CCR2 sequence. Targets are shown 3' to 5' to emphasize the overlap in the targets of the four-finger selections. From each of these selections, 10 of the selected ZFPs are shown. Candidates (Cand.) used to design the four- and six-finger monomers employed as nucleases are bold and underlined. All enriched amino acids for each of the four-finger selections are shown below as a sequence logo with the overlapping two fingers boxed in purple. (c) Candidate ZFN pairs were expressed *in vivo* and the percentage of indels at CCR5 and CCR2 measured. Indel frequencies recovered at either target from cells that did not express a nuclease (GFP) are shown below each table. The ratio of CCR5 to CCR2 indel frequency is shown below. A table of the four- and six-finger zinc-finger helices used in the nuclease studies, shown N term to C term, is provided.

increase the number of mismatches relative to a similar sequence in the genome may lead to an increase in off-target activity. Regardless, we have produced ZFNs that target the sickle cell mutation in HBB with high activity and in some cases, background levels of HBD activity.

Unbiased genomic screen shows negligible off-target activity. The ability of a ZFP to discriminate between closely related sequences is a powerful indicator of in vivo specificity but does not eliminate the possibility that these fine-tuned nucleases bind and modify other, less-predictable sequences. This is apparent from unbiased screens of genome-wide cutting for ZFNs<sup>22</sup>, TALENs<sup>24,25</sup> and RGENs<sup>25,28</sup>, which in some cases have revealed cleavage at sequences that are more diverged from the desired target relative to other, uncleaved sequences, demonstrating that genome-wide fidelity can be more complicated than sequence similarity alone. Therefore, to map off-target loci for our different CCR5 ZFN pairs, we used a modified version of this integrasedefective lentiviral vector (IDLV) capture and mapping protocol, which we have previously described<sup>22</sup>. To increase the sensitivity of the assay, we used the high sequencing depth provided by Illumina sequencing and performed the assay in biologic triplicate to minimize apparent clusters of IDLV integrations caused simply by obtaining more sequence reads. We ranked clusters of IDLV integrations (defined as IDLV integrations within 1,000 bp of other IDLV integrations) based on the total number of integrations, the number of replicates containing those integrations and the ratio of integrations in the ZFN-treated

samples to the control samples (see Methods). We then designed PCR primers to amplify the top 20 ranked clusters for each ZFN pair and characterized the indel frequency in K562 cells at each of these 20 potential off-target sites per ZFN pair; to provide additional information we also characterized indel frequencies at loci corresponding to the top 20 ranked clusters for other CCR5 ZFNs that target similar sequences (that is, we tested the activity of 46698:46705 at clusters obtained with either 46698:46705 or 46700:46705). For the previously described 8266:20505 ZFN pair, this process revealed nine active off-target sites with an aggregate activity of 33.3% (Table 1). For the two new ZFN pairs that targeted the same sequence, 46693:46696 and 46693:46697, this process yielded 11 active off-target sites with an aggregate activity of 21.2% and 10 active off-target sites with an aggregate off-target activity of 6.6%, respectively. However, it is difficult to discern whether the decrease in aggregate off-target activity is due to improved genome-wide specificity compared with the previously described ZFN pair or simply a reflection of their lower overall activity under these conditions (Table 1).

The specificity of the new CCR5 ZFN pairs that target a slightly shifted and extended sequence, 46698:46705 and 46700:46705, allow a more meaningful comparison because they have similar activity to the previously described ZFN pair at the intended CCR5 site. For 46698:46705, four active off-target sites were identified with an aggregate activity of 1.5% (Table 2). The best results were obtained with 46700:46705 that had three active off-target sites and an aggregate activity of 0.5% (Table 2). This represents 22- and 67-fold decreases in aggregate off-target activity, respectively, relative to the published CCR5 ZFNs.



**Figure 5 | MR-B1H-produced zinc fingers provide high HBB activity with strong discrimination against HBD. (a)** The HBB target is shown, mismatches to the HBD sequence are shown as red letters. The sickle cell causing mutation that separates the left target here from the HBD sequence is shown as a green letter. (b) As in Fig. 4, for each target, two overlapping four-finger libraries are produced. The right monomer pools are shown here while the left monomer pools are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8. Pools of these libraries are colour coded to emphasize that the overlapping zinc fingers target the same sequences. Zinc fingers are selected from each pool by selection for the HBB sequence but against the HBD sequence. Targets are shown 3' to 5' to emphasize the overlap in the targets of the four-finger selections. From each of these selections, 10 of the selected ZFPs are shown. Candidates (Cand.) used to design the four- and six-finger monomers employed as nucleases are bold and underlined. All enriched amino acids for each of the four-finger selections are shown below as a sequence logo with the overlapping two fingers boxed in purple. (c) Candidate ZFN pairs were expressed *in vivo* and the percentage of indels at HBB and HBD measured. Indel frequencies recovered at either target from cells that did not express a nuclease (GFP) are shown below each table. The ratio of HBB to HBD indel frequency is shown below. A table of the four- and six-finger zinc-finger helices used in the nuclease studies, shown N term to C term, is provided.

Together these results indicate that the MR-B1H selection system allows the recovery of zinc fingers that can distinguish between homologous targets and at least in this case, offer excellent genome-wide fidelity. This stringent level of specificity was further supported by SELEX (Supplementary Fig. 9).

#### Discussion

Here we describe the development of a multi-reporter selection system that enables the assay and selection of zinc fingers able to discriminate between similar targets. Zinc fingers were selected and employed as nucleases in human cells to differentiate between the *CCR5* and *CCR2* genes, as well as the *HBB* and *HBD* should be italicized in this context genes. These ZFNs provide significant discrimination between these targets offering strong on-target activity and in many cases negligible activity at the designed against off-target sequence. For the most promising *CCR5* candidates an unbiased screen of genome-wide nuclease activity uncovered only a small number of low-frequency off-targets and in the best case, aggregate off-target activity of just 0.5%.

A number of other strategies for improving the cellular specificity of targeted nucleases have also been developed, including the use of dual nickases<sup>18</sup>, alternative delivery procedures<sup>58,59</sup> and target-choosing algorithms<sup>26,60,61</sup> that minimize homology between the target site and the rest of the genome. While strategies to measure off-target activity genome wide have been developed<sup>22,58,59</sup> and demonstrate unanticipated levels of off-target activity in some nuclease systems, previously described specificity optimization approaches have generally been

tested at limited numbers of bioinformatically predicted off-target sites and still failed to completely abolish off-target activity. Given this, it seems likely that ultimate specificity will require the application of multiple orthogonal approaches. We note that our strategy for improving specificity—selection-based engineering of the protein–DNA interface—may be combined with these other methods. In this work, however, to demonstrate the utility of our methods we have deliberately avoided combining approaches and have taken on a 'worst case scenario' selecting nucleases to target sequences complicated by homology at other sites in the genome. We are able to produce ZFNs that discriminate between these similar targets and still return genome-wide fidelity that is similar to or superior to any reported nuclease.

Our studies tested both four- and six-finger proteins, which allowed for an initial assessment of how target length influences activity, homologue discrimination and global specificity. Complex behaviour was observed. In the CCR5 studies, extra fingers substantially and consistently improved the activity of the right ZFNs (Fig. 4c, compare 46704 versus 46702 and 46705 versus 46703), but similar extensions to the left ZFNs yielded more modest effects (both increased and decreased activity). However, increasing the length of the left ZFNs did reduce off-target activity in our unbiased genome screen from 1.46 to 0.5% aggregate cleavage (Table 1). In our HBB studies, extension of the left ZFN-but not the right one-negatively impacted homologue discrimination. One possible explanation for this result is that under our study conditions the left ZFN plays a relatively more important role in distinguishing HBB from HBD, and that extension of the its target lessens the impact of binding the single mismatch that distinguishes the HBB and HBD

				8266	_20505			466	93_46696			46693	3_46697			GFP		Table 1 Key
			Total	Indel	%Indel	pval	Total	Indel	%Indel	pval	Total	Indel	%Indel	pval	Total	Indel	%Indel	
chr3	46414562	CCR5*	15368	4599	29.93	0.0000	15162	632	4.17	0.0000	16699	1672	10.01	0.0000	14738	1	0.01	8266_20505
chr12	75963464	KRR1	33425	4129	12.35	0.0000	51126	35	0.07	0.0000	40581	37	0.09	0.0000	2411	0	0.00	46693 46696
chr11	66963797	FBLX11	38846	2175	5.60	0.0000	25303	18		1.0000	22036	7		1.0000	25890	11	0.04	40000 40007
chr3	46399221	CCR2	29494	1542	5.23	0.0000	71349	9	0.01	1.0000	43318	29	0.07	0.0013	45993	6	0.01	46693_46697
chr16	87499226	ZCCH14C	36262	1105	3.05	0.0000	18596	1	0.01	1.0000	21243	7	0.03	1.0000	18913	7	0.04	46693_46696,
Inri2	22784040		55082	1003	2.56	0.0000	36444	2/	0.07	1.0000	37908	12		1.0000	30854	/	0.02	46693_46697
31121 shr5	40444090		37758	576	2.49	0.0000	54726	30	0.01	0.0154	12465	17	0.04	0.5552	28650	5	0.01	8266_20505,
hr22	29552889		54395	213	0.39	0.0000	11028	2	0.02	1.0000	41627	12	0.03	1 0000	31633	4	0.01	46693_46696
hr3	3129932		27052	31	0.11	0.0008	27143	184	0.68	0.0000	26157	38	0.15	0.0000	27119	6	0.02	8266_20505,
chr2	7792528		35296	22	0.06	0.1100	32931	39	0.12	0.0000	17003	8	0.05	1.0000	13224	2	0.02	46693_46697
chr8	125906764		30117	14	0.05	1.0000	24713	8		1.0000	20144	33	0.16	0.0187	10621	5	0.05	All 2 Doiro
hr19	55627065		33196	15	0.05	1.0000	19219	6	0.03	1.0000	17716	3		1.0000	19813	5	0.03	All 5 Falls
chr17	2222575		38528	17	0.04	1.0000	16864	16	0.09	0.2835	22005	23		0.0522	22842	7	0.03	corrected p-val < 0.0
:hr1	24397474		11393	5	0.04	1.0000	26416	15	0.06	0.9893	13458	108	0.80	0.0000	18619	4	0.02	
:hr9	139310747		32288	14	0.04	1.0000	36196	9	0.02	1.0000	22417	8	0.04	1.0000	21127	10	0.05	corrected p-val >= 0.0
chr2	108698300		37696	16	0.04	1.0000	21707	15	0.07	1.0000	22378	38	0.17	0.0005	30011	13	0.04	
hr11	46466237		21251	9	0.04	1.0000	29923	1636	5.47	0.0000	23051	307	1.33	0.0000	24591	5	0.02	
hr1	33031423		33874	14	0.04	1.0000	21545	15		0.4201	27118	11	0.04	1.0000	22229	5	0.02	
hr11	33210103		45602	18	0.04	1.0000	33069	9	0.03	1.0000	22676	8	0.04	1.0000	23677	4	0.02	
chr2	11466657		34495	13	0.04	1.0000	47272	733	1.55	0.0000	25773	19		1.0000	21805	9	0.04	
:hr6	62730342		44876	16	0.04	1.0000	1382	2	0.14	1.0000	30195	5		1.0000	27394	12	0.04	
hr17	61360858		40697	14	0.03	1.0000	28602	10	0.03	1.0000	24156	7	0.03	1.0000	29142	4	0.01	
Inri 8	39489523		15412	5		1.0000	07054	11	0.12	1.00001	39133	9	0.02	1.0000	40787	16	0.04	
allo abr4	49159926		3417Z	10		1.0000	42021	10		1.0000	24100	10		1.0000	010240	2	0.01	
alli <del>4</del>	70465010		40975	10	0.03	1.0000	43031	0	0.00	1.0000	27400	1.5		1.0000	6200	3	0.04	
br5	10/163083		42203	1/		1.0000	2/838	13		1,0000	22300	8	0.03	1.0000	16770	6	0.03	
hr14	107044711		47321	12		1.0000	24040	2144	8.92	0.0000	12877	6		1.0000	32827	7	0.04	
hr19	14634430		4017	1	0.02	1.0000	3844	1	0.03	1.0000	4590	2	0.04	1.0000	2984	2	0.07	
hr14	73024112		20814	5		1.0000	35314	842	2.38	0.0000	22175	3	0.01	1.0000	22978	3	0.01	
:hr2	37418423		4382	1		1.0000	4606	5	0.11	1.0000	10898	3	0.03	1.0000	9238	3	0.03	
:hr1	1247311		14150	3	0.02	1.0000	33664	15	0.04	1.0000	21396	538	2.51	0.0000	26545	9	0.03	
:hr2	106258357		26544	5	0.02	1.0000	25495	440	1.73	0.0000	30135	89	0.30	0.0000	26227	4	0.02	
hr11	5248224		24662	3	0.01	1.0000	43067	5	0.01	1.0000	44623	1	0.00	1.0000	40363	5	0.01	
:hr4	140537415		48768	5	0.01	1.0000	31581	3	0.01	1.0000	32098	320	1.00	0.0000	40310	11	0.03	
hr1	14704357		2963	0	0.00	1.0000	19739	0	0.00	1.0000	25687	0	0.00	1.0000	28659	1	0.00	
hr12	33171137		5695	0	0.00	1.0000	5473	0	0.00	1.0000	41239	12	0.03	1.0000	38487	4	0.01	
hr10	42534687		33937	0	0.00	NA	40362	0	0.00	NA	37812	1	0.00	1.0000	31378	0	0.00	
hr11	24428875		1988	0	0.00	1.0000	ND	ND	ND	ND	3199	1	0.03	ND	1719	1	0.06	
nr3	23606938		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
hrX	53798450		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
nr5	145526114		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1663	3	0.18	ND	1658	2	0.12	
nr7 br1	80399197		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
A STATE	199403463		ND	ND	IND	IND	IND	ND		пD	IND	IND	IND.	IND	IND	ND	NU	1

														Table 2 Key	
			46698_46705					4670	0_46705		GFP			46698 46705	
			Total	Indel	%Indel	pval	Total	Indel	%Indel	pval	Total	Indel	%Indel	46700 46705	
chr3	46414562	CCR5*	11739	2788	23.75	0.0000	14773	4040	27.35	0.0000	14738	4	0.03	40700_40705	
chr4	55104705		17890	160	0.89	0.0000	22680	85	0.37	0.0000	18/20	5	0.03	Both 6-fingered Pairs	
chr6	50841937		37492	127	0.34	0.0000	20508	12	0.06	1.0000	36292	15	0.04	J. J	
chr3	45874336		38425	/9	0.21	1.0000	33033	33	0.10	0.0001	49044	9	0.02		
Chr22	10872098		3116	2	0.06	1.0000	41689	2	0.00	1.0000	46303	12	0.03	Corrected p-val < 0.05	
chr16	3961383		25459	15	0.06	1.0000	22409	12	0.05	1.0000	29704	13	0.04		
chr13	106109633		16487	9	0.05	1.0000	22460	16	0.07	1.0000	13657	6	0.04	Corrected p-val > 0.05	
chr2	37418423		11282	6	0.05	1.0000	7152	2	0.03	1.0000	12997	3	0.02		
chr17	124/311		14870	6	0.04	1.0000	14454	6	0.04	1.0000	20175	6	0.03		
chr17	61360858		21733	8	0.04	1.0000	23163	13	0.06	1.0000	63/1	2	0.03		
Chri I	104000101		19628	/	0.04	1.0000	19005	0	0.03	1.0000	21233	5	0.02		
chri	164282131		2/002	9	0.03	1.0000	18325	0	0.06	1.0000	21078	13	0.06		
Chr I	1175 17000		19155	0	0.03	1.0000	18227	9	0.05	1.0000	20363	4	0.02		
chri	11/54/662		23100	/	0.03	1.0000	ND	ND	ND	1 0000	22/11	14	0.06		
chr1	24397474		11400	3	0.03	0.0279	3320	44	0.03	0.0102	12242	0	0.00		
chi4	120100009		41559	9	0.02	1.0000	10000		0.03	1.0000	13242	10	0.00		
chr19	04550005		13859	3	0.02	1.0000	10070	3	0.02	1.0000	20312	10	0.04		
chr18	24550225		20324	5	0.02	1.0000	19370	0	0.03	0.0000	19576	0	0.03		
chr14	73024112		18879	3	0.02	1.0000	19064	8	0.04	1.0000	10254	7	0.01		
chr2	230000882		19510	2	0.02	1.0000	34330	5	0.01	1.0000	41023	6	0.02		
obr11	37209114		04400	2	0.01	1.0000	21095	2	0.03	1.0000	21037	0	0.03		
ohr?	166020707		24422	2	0.01	1.0000	41700	2	0.01	1.0000	E1970	10	0.01		
chr10	105217960		43340	2	0.00	1.0000	41793	4	0.00	1.0000	31370	20	0.02		
chrF	21657119		27259	0	0.00	1.0000	20713	4	0.00	1.0000	47286	20	0.00		
chr0	12642010		27330	0	0.00	1 0000	10004	5	0.00	1 0000	10047	1	0.00		
chr21	17622055		3900	0	0.00	1.0000	12034	0	0.04	1.0000	5506	0	0.01		
chr12	101083030		50630	0	0.00	NA NA	4200	0	0.00	NA	65020	0	0.00		
chr3	46200221	CCB2	1206	0	0.00	1 0000	49037	1	0.00	1 0000	1979	1	0.05		
chr1	191204105	0062	ND	ND	0.00	1.0000	ND	ND	0.00	1.0000			ND		
chr2	221217526		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND		
chrY	53709450		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
UIIX	33736430		ND	IND	IND	ND	IND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 7:10194 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10194 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

sequences. In addition, here we report examples of nucleases that offer both high specificity and activity as well as examples where specificity is achieved at a small cost of activity. It is clear there is much left to be learned about how target length and composition influences the performance of ZFNs and other designed nucleases.

Finally, we have focused the MR-B1H system on zinc fingers because we reasoned the complex intra- and inter-finger interactions that lead to the context-specific activity of many zinc fingers may provide the sensitive 'all or nothing' behaviour required to bind one target and discriminate against all similar sequences. Without context dependence, the modular TALE domain and RGEN systems seem likely to tolerate binding many similar targets. Nevertheless, the MR-B1H could be applied to these platforms as well. TALE domains expressed in the B1H system are functional (unpublished work) cas9-omega fusions have already proven functional in bacteria<sup>62</sup>. Therefore, it is feasible that libraries of TALE domains or cas9 could be expressed in the MR-B1H system to screen for versions of these proteins that offer the same levels of discrimination offered by the zinc fingers reported here. In sum, the MR-B1H system, applied to zinc fingers or the targeting domain of choice, has the potential to produce nucleases for other DNA target sequences with exclusive genome-wide fidelity.

#### Methods

**B1H activity assay.** Cells with desired omega-zinc finger and multi-reporter plasmid combinations were cultured until 'cloudy' (OD600 ~ 0.1 and above but not saturated) with rotation at 37 °C. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in non-selective minimal media (NM, see refs 42,63 for all media recipes). Cells were expanded for 1 h at 37 °C. Cells were pelleted and washed four times in minimal NM that lacks histidine, uracil and isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG). Cells were resuspended in 1 ml of this media, titred in 10-fold dilutions on rich plates with the appropriate antibiotics and stored at 4 °C overnight. On the basis of the overnight titre results, a similar number of cells harbouring various zinc-finger-binding site reporter combinations were titred in 10-fold dilutions on selective plates to provide side-by-side comparisons. These plates were grown for 24 h at either 30 or 37 °C.

**Growth rate test of liquid B1H assay.** Cells with appropriate omega-zinc finger and multi-reporter plasmids were cultured overnight in supplemented minimal media to saturation with appropriate antibiotics but no selection or counterselection pressure. Cells were diluted 1:150 into a optically clear flat bottom 96-well plate (Corning, 3635) with 150 µl of minimal media (NM), appropriate antibiotics, 3-aminotriazole and either no URA3 inhibitor, 6-azauracil (2 pg ml<sup>-1</sup>) or 5-fluoroorotic acid (2 mM) (Fermentas now ThermoScientific, R0812) per well. These represent no URA3 selection, positive URA3 selection and negative URA3 selection, respectively. The plate was sealed with optically clear breathable film (Sigma, Z380059) and placed in a plate reader. The plates were grown shaking at either 30 or 37 °C, double orbital (120 r.p.m.). OD600 measurements for each well were recorded every 10 min for 24 h and normalized to a blank. Doubling times in log phase were calculated.

**Fluorescence test of liquid B1H assay.** Cells with appropriate omega-zinc finger and multi-reporter plasmids were cultured until 'cloudy' (OD600 0.1 and above but not saturated). Cells were pelleted and resuspended in NM. Cells were expanded for 1 h at 37 °C. Cells were pelleted and washed four times in minimal media that lacks histidine, uracil and IPTG. Cells were resuspended in 1 ml of this media, titred in 10-fold dilutions on rich plates with the appropriate antibiotics, and stored at 4 °C overnight. On the basis of the overnight titre results, a volume of the culture held at 4 °C that contains 10 million cells was used to start a 15-ml culture of minimal media containing 100  $\mu$ M IPTG and various inhibitors as indicated (6-aza (2 pg ml<sup>-1</sup>), 5-FOA (2 mM), and/or 3-AT (5 mM)). These cultures were grown from 16-24 h but not allowed to reach OD600 above 0.8. Cells were recovered and resuspended in PBS plus 0.1% Tween. The mean fluorescence of each sample was measured with a BD LSRII Multi-Laser Analyzer with HTS (BD Biosciences, Sparks, MD, USA). Mean fluorescence values were determined from at least 20,000 cells. Each zinc-finger-reporter pair was assayed in triplicate.

**Pool assembly of zinc-finger libraries.** In principle our four-finger zinc-finger libraries were assemble as described in prior works<sup>38,41,52,54</sup>. We used our previously selected pools of individual zinc fingers<sup>41</sup> as PCR templates to build four-finger libraries guided by the desired 12-nt target. Therefore, for each 12-nt

target a new four-finger 'pool library' was assembled. To create this library, individual pools that corresponding to each 3-nt subsite of the 12-nt target were used as the templates for PCR (Supplementary Fig. 4). For example, for the original right CCR5 target, 5'-AAA-CTG-CAA-AAG-3', the AAA, CTG, CAA and AAG pools were used as the PCR templates for each finger of the library. PCR primers were designed to provide overlap so that these PCR pools could be assembled in a second round of PCR by overlapping PCR in the order N terminus-pool<sup>AAG</sup>-pool<sup>CAA</sup>-pool<sup>CTG</sup>-pool<sup>AAA</sup>-C terminus (zinc fingers bind DNA anti-parallel to the 5'-3' sequence of DNA). The 5'- and 3'-most oligonucleotides code for KpnI and XbaI restriction sites, respectively. Digestion of the final, four-finger PCR pool assembly with these two restriction enzymes allows cloning, in frame, into our expression vectors at the 3'-end of the omega coding sequence.

PCR reactions were carried out according to the manufacturers' guidelines using Expand High Fidelity Plus (Roche, 04 743 733 001). For each individual zinc-finger pool, 8, 15- to 20-cycle 50-µl PCR reactions were performed. The PCR products were recovered by gel purification and used as the template for the assembly rounds of PCR, again using Expand High Fidelity Plus. The final four-finger pool assemblies were recovered by gel purification and used as the template for a final library expansion that only includes the 5'- and 3'-most oligonucleotides and 30 cycles of PCR. This final expansion was recovered by PCR purification (Qiagen) and digested with KpnI and XbaI according the manufacturer's guidelines (NEB). The digested product was recovered by gel purification (Qiagen Minelute) and eluted in a small volume of buffer (typically 10 µl or less) to maintain high concentration. Finally, 20- to 100-µl ligations into the expression vectors were performed using T4 DNA Ligase (NEB). In each ligation digested vector is present at a concentration of 1 µg per 10 µl of ligation. Digested library insert is added to a final concentration that provides a  $5 \times$  insert to vector molar ratio. For most of our libraries this is  $\sim$  500 ng of insert per 1 µg of vector. Ligations were incubated at 16 °C overnight (minimum of 16 h). The ligation was ethanol precipitated and resuspended in 1 µl of water per 1 µg of vector backbone used in the ligation. Detailed zinc-finger and vector sequences are provided in Supplementary Notes 2-4.

Zinc-finger protein selections. To select four-finger proteins able to bind 12-nt targets of interest, the library assemblies described above were paired with the appropriate multi-reporter vector and transformed into our selection strain. Detailed binding site and vector sequences are provided in Supplementary Notes 2-5. For each transformation 1 µl of the ligation (loosely representing 1 µg of library vector) was paired with 1 µl of multi-reporter vector (500 ng-1 µg) and transformed into our  $\Delta rpoZ$  selection strain by electroporation. In this way the library build is being recovered and assayed in one step. Typically, 1 µl of library will give us  $5 \times 10^7$  transformants in our selection strain. Therefore, to assay well over 10<sup>8</sup> library members a standard selection would include four or five transformations. After electroporation, the cells were expanded in rich media (SOC, consisting of Difco SOB cat# 244310, with 0.5% glucose) for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were pelleted and resuspended in 10 ml of NM that contained kanamycin and ampicillin. The cells were again expanded for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were pelleted and washed four times in NM without uracil or histidine. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml of NM without uracil and histidine. 20 µl of this resuspension was titred in 10-fold dilutions on rich media plates while the remaining 980 µl stored at 4 °C overnight.

The following day, cell titres provide a cell count per volume. A total of  $2 \times 10^8$ cells were plated on NM plates containing 5 mM 3-AT to provide a low-stringency positive selection and remove non-functional zinc fingers. These plates were incubated at 37 °C for 36-48 h. In all cases reported here at least 10,000 cells survived this low-stringency selection. After incubation, cells were collected, DNA recovered and precipitated. This DNA was transformed again with the appropriate multi-reporter plasmid. Again, after electroporation the cells were expanded in rich media (SOC) for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were pelleted and resuspended in 10 ml of non-selective minimal media (NM) that contained kanamycin and ampicillin. The cells were again expanded for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were pelleted and washed four times in NM without uracil or histidine. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml of NM without uracil and histidine. A volume of 20 µl of this resuspension was titred in 10-fold dilutions on rich media plates while the remaining 980  $\mu l$  stored at 4  $^{\circ}C$ overnight. On the basis of the overnight titre results, a volume that contains 1x10<sup>7</sup> cells was used to start a 15-ml culture of minimal media containing 100 µM IPTG and 10 mM 3-AT and 2 mM 5-FOA. These cultures were grown for 24–30 h at 30 °C but not allowed to reach OD600 above 0.8. Cells were recovered and resuspended in PBS plus 0.1% Tween for sort preparation.

**Fluorescence-activated cell sorting and recover**. For each experiment a negative control was grown in minimal but non-selective media. This control did not express a DNA-binding domain (DBD) able to activate either reporter and could thus be used to establish background levels of GFP and mCherry expression using the exact reporter vectors and bacterial strain as the experimental samples. These levels were used as limits for cell gating and classification of GFP- and/or mCherry-positive cells (see Fig. 2c as an example). Therefore, desired experimental samples prepared as above were sorted directly into rich media (SOC) using a BD FACS-Vantage SE w/DiVa instrument (BD Biosciences) at 16 psi with a 70-µm nozzle using sterile PBS as sheath fluid. Cells were characterized using forward and side

scatter parameters and GFP and mCherry fluorescent proteins were excited via 488 and 568 nm laser lines, respectively. Emitted fluorescence was collected using a 530/30 bandpass filter for GFP and a 600 longpass filter for mCherry. Data were acquired and analysed using FACSDiVa software (BD Biosciences). In all, 30,000 events were collected for each sorted population. A volume of the recovered events were plated on rich media to recover 250–500 colonies of bacteria and grown overnight at 37 °C. From 24–48 individual colonies, the zinc-finger coding sequences were amplified by PCR and sequenced for each target selection. Coding sequences were translated enriched amino acids compared for analysis.

**ZFN construct for cellular studies.** ZFN protein sequences and expression constructs used for cellular studies are provided in Supplementary Note 6. Unless otherwise noted all constructs were generated using standard molecular biology methods.

For the first assay cycle, ZFNs were expressed directly from plasmid templates using a TnT-coupled transcription-translation system (Promega) and the manufacturer's recommended conditions with buffers supplemented to 10 mM ZnCl<sub>2</sub>. Expressed ZFNs contained a triple Flag tag fused to their N terminus. A volume of 12 µl of TnT reaction mix was then mixed with 200 pmol of library duplex in a total volume of 100 µl of binding buffer (5 mM dithiothreitol, 10 µM ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01% BSA Fraction V and 100 mM NaCl in PBS (calcium free)). After incubation for 50 min protein-DNA complexes were captured on anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma) and washed five times with wash buffer (5 mM dithiothreitol, 10 µM ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01% BSA Fraction V and 100 mM NaCl in PBS (calcium free)). Bound target was PCR amplified using the 3' library primer (above) and a 5' library primer (5'-CAGGGATCCATGCACTGTACG-3'), and the resulting amplicon was used as input for additional cycles of enrichment. Protein expression and binding conditions for these subsequent cycles were identical to the conditions used in the first round. After three cycles, recovered DNA fragments were sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq system. The protocol for adding the Illumina sequencing primers and sequencing is as described in reference 64.

SELEX FASTQ sequences from the MiSeq were adapter trimmed using SeqPrep (J. St. John, unpublished, https://github.com/jstjohn/SeqPrep). SELEX library sequences were further filtered by custom python scripts for correct length and fixed flanking region composition (exact match). In all, 200 randomly sampled filtered sequences were used as input to the GADEM motif discovery programme with options maskR = 0 fullscan = 0 gen = 3. Position frequency matrices discovered by GADEM<sup>65</sup> were then aligned to the intended sequence and reverse complemented if necessary. Matrices longer than the intended sequence were trimmed to only those regions overlapping the intended sequence according to the highest-scoring alignment, yielding the final matrices provided in Fig. 3.

Gene modification of endogenous CCR5 and CCR2. To screen ZFN pairs for NHEJ-mediated gene modification, K562 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U ml penicillin and 100 mg ml  $^{-1}$  streptomycin. Cells (1-2 × 10<sup>5</sup>) were nucleofected with expression plasmids (400 ng each) using the Amaxa 96-well shuttle system (Amaxa Biosystems/Lonza) according to manufacturers' instructions (setting 96-FF-120). Cells were collected 3 days post-transfection and genomic DNA was extracted using the QuickExtract DNA Extraction Solution (Epicentre Biotechnologies) according to the suppliers' instructions. Frequency of gene modification by NHEJ was evaluated by deep sequencing using an Illumina MiSeq. Primers used for the primary PCR were the following: CCR2 out PCR primer: CCR2Out4LZF, 5'-CTG TCCACATCTCGTTCTCG-3'; CCR2Out741LZR, 5'-GGTGAAGATGACTCTCA CTG-3'. CCR2 miSeq PCR primer: CCR2MS134LZF, 5'-ACACGACGCTCTTC CGATCTnnnnTGCCTCCGCTCTACTCGC-3'; CCR2MS294LZR, 5'-GACGTGT GCTCTTCCGATCTCCACAATGGGAGAGTAATAAGAA-3'. CCR5 out PCR primer: R5-cel1-F1, 5'-AAGATGGATTATCAAGTGTCAAGTCC-3'; R5-cel1-R1, 5'-CAAAGTCCCACTGGGCG-3'. CCR5 miSeq PCR primer:

R5-As-miseq-Fn, 5'-ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT nnnnnGCCAGGTTGAGCAGGTAGATG-3';

R5-As-R-mp, 5'-AGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTGCTCTACTCACTGGTGT TCATCTTT-3'.

**Capture assay.** To capture IDLV at sites of ZFN cleavage, K562 cells (ATCC CCL-243) were cultured in RPMI medium supplied with 10% FBS. One day before ZFN transfection, cells  $(1.5 \times 10^5)$  were infected with IDLV at a multiplicity of infection of 100. Approximately 20 h later, cells  $(2 \times 10^5)$  were nucleofected (Lonza 96-well shuttle system, Nucleofector SF Solution and Program 96-FF-120) with each pair of ZFN-expressing plasmids. Nucleofections were performed in triplicate,

using 200 ng of each plasmid, for CCR5-targeted ZFNs, and in quadruplicate, using either 400 or 800 ng of each plasmid for HBB-targeted ZFNs. After 1 day cultures were transferred to a six-well plate.

On day 14 and day 28 post-transfection, genomic DNA was isolated (Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit) and processed to isolate insert-genome junctions as described<sup>66</sup> (steps 1–38), except for the use of an 8-s extension time, and annealing temperatures of 53, 47 and 50 °C for each amplification step. Candidate products were then processed for high throughput sequencing via MiSeq using standard methods.

DNA sequence reads were then processed as follows: first, nonidentical reads were filtered for correct priming and adapter sequences, and the resulting sequences mapped to the genome. Next, junction coordinates were mapped and hits within 1 kb of each other were merged into clusters while keeping count of integration events. A 1-kb cutoff was used. Next, to reduce background signal from capture into random, cell cycle, or environmentally induced DSBs, clusters were filtered to contain integrations from at least two out of three replicates of ZFN-treated samples and at most one out of three replicates of control were scored as potential targets. These clusters were ranked by the total number of unique integrations in the ZFN-treated samples.

**Production of integrase-defective lentiviral vectors.** Recombinant IDLVs were generated from the HIV-derived self-inactivating construct<sup>67</sup> RRL-CMV-VENUS, which expresses the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) Venus protein under control of the CMV promoter. The integrase-defective packaging plasmid pMDLg/pRRE containing the D64V point mutation<sup>68</sup> was used to generate IDLV stocks as previously described<sup>69</sup>. Their titres were determined by transduction of 293 T cells analysed for YFP VENUS expression by flow cytometry.

**Off-target analysis.** For the off-target analysis, K562 cells were transfected with ZFN-expressing plasmids and cultured essentially as described above in the section 'Gene modification of endogenous *CCR5*, *CCR2*'. Amplicons from candidate off-target loci were then amplified using the PCR primers shown in Supplementary Data 1 (designed using Primer3 with the following optimal conditions: amplicon size of 200 nucleotides, a Tm of 60 °C, primer length of 20 nucleotides and GC content of 50%). Adapters were added for a second PCR reaction to add the Illumina library sequences (ACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT forward primer and GACGTGTGCTCTTCCGAT reverse primer), followed by MiSeq sequencing using standard methods. For off-targets where evidence of indels were found, the putative binding sites for each set of zinc fingers are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

In detail, genomic DNA was purified with the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Regions of interest were amplified in 50 µl using 250 ng of genomic DNA with Phusion (NEB) in Buffer GC with 200 µM dNTPs. Primers were used at a final concentration of 0.5 µM and the following cycling conditions: initial melt of 98 °C for 30 s, followed by 30 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 15 s, followed by a final extension 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were diluted 1:200 in H<sub>2</sub>O. A volume of 1 µl diluted PCR product was used in a 10-µl PCR reaction to add the Illumina library sequences with Phusion (NEB) in Buffer GC with 200  $\mu M$  dNTPs. Primers were used at a final concentration of 0.5  $\mu M$  and the following conditions: initial melt of 98 °C for 30 s, followed by 12 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 15 s, followed by a final extension 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were pooled and purified using the Qiagen Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Samples were quantitated with the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies). Samples were diluted to 2 nM and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq Instrument (Illumina) with a 300 cycle sequencing kit. To compare indels across samples and controls, the statistical test described in ref. 21 was applied to the number of sequences scored as indels and the total number of sequences for both the ZFN-treated sample and the cognate control. P values were then adjusted for multiple comparisons with a Bonferroni correction.

#### References

- Kim, H. & Kim, J. S. A guide to genome engineering with programmable nucleases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 15, 321–334 (2014).
- Bibikova, M., Golic, M., Golic, K. G. & Carroll, D. Targeted chromosomal cleavage and mutagenesis in Drosophila using zinc-finger nucleases. *Genetics* 161, 1169–1175 (2002).
- Rouet, P., Smih, F. & Jasin, M. Expression of a site-specific endonuclease stimulates homologous recombination in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 91, 6064–6068 (1994).
- Rouet, P., Smih, F. & Jasin, M. Introduction of double-strand breaks into the genome of mouse cells by expression of a rare-cutting endonuclease. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14, 8096–8106 (1994).
- Urnov, F. D., Rebar, E. J., Holmes, M. C., Zhang, H. S. & Gregory, P. D. Genome editing with engineered zinc finger nucleases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 11, 636–646 (2010).
- Segal, D. J. & Meckler, J. F. Genome engineering at the dawn of the golden age. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 14, 135–158 (2013).
- Gaj, T., Gersbach, C. A. & Barbas, 3rd C. F. ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. *Trends Biotechnol.* 31, 397–405 (2013).

# ARTICLE

- Sun, N. & Zhao, H. Transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs): a highly efficient and versatile tool for genome editing. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 110, 1811–1821 (2013).
- Joung, J. K. & Sander, J. D. TALENs: a widely applicable technology for targeted genome editing. Nature reviews. *Mol. Cell Biol* 14, 49–55 (2013).
   Niu, Y. *et al.* Generation of gene-modified cynomolgus monkey via Cas9/RNA-
- mediated gene targeting in one-cell embryos. *Cell* **156**, 836–843 (2014).
- 11. Mali, P. et al. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science 339, 823–826 (2013).
- Gratz, S. J. *et al.* Genome engineering of Drosophila with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Genetics* 194, 1029–1035 (2013).
- Friedland, A. E. *et al.* Heritable genome editing in C. elegans via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Methods* 10, 741–743 (2013).
- Miller, J. C. et al. An improved zinc-finger nuclease architecture for highly specific genome editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 25, 778–785 (2007).
- 15. Bhakta, M. S. *et al.* Highly active zinc-finger nucleases by extended modular assembly. *Genome Res.* 23, 530–538 (2013).
- Guilinger, J. P. *et al.* Broad specificity profiling of TALENs results in engineered nucleases with improved DNA-cleavage specificity. *Nat. Methods* 11, 429–435 (2014).
- Cong, L., Zhou, R., Kuo, Y. C., Cunniff, M. & Zhang, F. Comprehensive interrogation of natural TALE DNA-binding modules and transcriptional repressor domains. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 968 (2012).
- Ran, F. A. *et al.* Double nicking by RNA-guided CRISPR Cas9 for enhanced genome editing specificity. *Cell* 154, 1380–1389 (2013).
- Fu, Y., Sander, J. D., Reyon, D., Cascio, V. M. & Joung, J. K. Improving CRISPR-Cas nuclease specificity using truncated guide RNAs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 279–284 (2014).
- Elliott, B., Richardson, C., Winderbaum, J., Nickoloff, J. A. & Jasin, M. Gene conversion tracts from double-strand break repair in mammalian cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 93–101 (1998).
- Pattanayak, V., Ramirez, C. L., Joung, J. K. & Liu, D. R. Revealing off-target cleavage specificities of zinc-finger nucleases by in vitro selection. *Nat. Methods* 8, 765–770 (2011).
- 22. Gabriel, R. *et al.* An unbiased genome-wide analysis of zinc-finger nuclease specificity. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 816–823 (2011).
- Mussolino, C. et al. A novel TALE nuclease scaffold enables high genome editing activity in combination with low toxicity. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, 9283–9293 (2011).
- 24. Wang, X. *et al.* Unbiased detection of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas9 and TALENs using integrase-defective lentiviral vectors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 175–178 (2015).
- 25. Frock, R. L. *et al.* Genome-wide detection of DNA double-stranded breaks induced by engineered nucleases. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 179–186 (2014).
- Hsu, P. D. et al. DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 827–832 (2013).
- 27. Fu, Y. et al. High-frequency off-target mutagenesis induced by CRISPR-Cas nucleases in human cells. Nat. Biotechnol. **31**, 822–826 (2013).
- Tsai, S. Q. *et al.* GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 187–197 (2014).
- Guilinger, J. P., Thompson, D. B. & Liu, D. R. Fusion of catalytically inactive Cas9 to FokI nuclease improves the specificity of genome modification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 577–582 (2014).
- Tsai, S. Q. *et al.* Dimeric CRISPR RNA-guided FokI nucleases for highly specific genome editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 569–576 (2014).
- Grau, J., Boch, J. & Posch, S. TALENoffer: genome-wide TALEN off-target prediction. *Bioinformatics* 29, 2931–2932 (2013).
- 32. Heigwer, F., Kerr, G. & Boutros, M. E-CRISP: fast CRISPR target site identification. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 122–123 (2014).
- Ran, F. A. et al. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat. Protoc. 8, 2281–2308 (2013).
- Pavletich, N. P. & Pabo, C. O. Zinc finger-DNA recognition: crystal structure of a Zif268-DNA complex at 2.1A. Science 252, 809–817 (1991).
- Persikov, A. V. & Singh, M. An expanded binding model for Cys2His2 zinc finger protein-DNA interfaces. *Phys. Biol.* 8, 035010 (2011).
- Persikov, A. V. & Singh, M. De novo prediction of DNA-binding specificities for Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 97–108 (2014).
- Ramirez, C. L. *et al.* Unexpected failure rates for modular assembly of engineered zinc fingers. *Nat. Methods* 5, 374–375 (2008).
- Meng, X., Noyes, M. B., Zhu, L. J., Lawson, N. D. & Wolfe, S. A. Targeted gene inactivation in zebrafish using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 695–701 (2008).
- Pruett-Miller, S. M., Connelly, J. P., Maeder, M. L., Joung, J. K. & Porteus, M. H. Comparison of zinc finger nucleases for use in gene targeting in mammalian cells. *Mol. Ther.* 16, 707–717 (2008).
- Maeder, M. L. et al. Rapid 'open-source' engineering of customized zinc-finger nucleases for highly efficient gene modification. Mol. Cell 31, 294–301 (2008).
- Persikov, A. V. *et al.* A systematic survey of the Cys2His2 zinc finger DNA-binding landscape. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 1965–1984 (2015).

- Noyes, M. B. et al. Analysis of homeodomain specificities allows the familywide prediction of preferred recognition sites. Cell 133, 1277–1289 (2008).
- Chu, S. W. et al. Exploring the DNA-recognition potential of homeodomains. Genome Res. 22, 1889–1898 (2012).
- 44. Gupta, A. et al. An optimized two-finger archive for ZFN-mediated gene targeting. Nat. Methods 9, 588–590 (2012).
- Enuameh, M. S. et al. Global analysis of Drosophila Cys(2)-His(2) zinc finger proteins reveals a multitude of novel recognition motifs and binding determinants. Genome Res. 23, 928–940 (2013).
- Zhu, L. J. et al. FlyFactorSurvey: a database of Drosophila transcription factor binding specificities determined using the bacterial one-hybrid system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, D111–D117 (2011).
- Gentry, D. R. & Burgess, R. R. The cloning and sequence of the gene encoding the omega subunit of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. *Gene* 48, 33–40 (1986).
- Gentry, D., Xiao, H., Burgess, R. & Cashel, M. The omega subunit of Escherichia coli K-12 RNA polymerase is not required for stringent RNA control in vivo. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 3901–3903 (1991).
- Meng, X., Brodsky, M. H. & Wolfe, S. A. A bacterial one-hybrid system for determining the DNA-binding specificity of transcription factors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 23, 988–994 (2005).
- 50. Najafabadi, H. S. et al. C2H2 zinc finger proteins greatly expand the human regulatory lexicon. Nat. Biotechnol. 33, 555–562 (2015).
- Miller, J. C. & Pabo, C. O. Rearrangement of side-chains in a Zif268 mutant highlights the complexities of zinc finger-DNA recognition. *J. Mol. Biol.* 313, 309–315 (2001).
- Joung, J. K., Ramm, E. I. & Pabo, C. O. A bacterial two-hybrid selection system for studying protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 7382–7387 (2000).
- Rebar, E. J. & Pabo, C. O. Zinc finger phage: affinity selection of fingers with new DNA-binding specificities. *Science* 263, 671–673 (1994).
- Greisman, H. A. & Pabo, C. O. A general strategy for selecting high-affinity zinc finger proteins for diverse DNA target sites. *Science* 275, 657–661 (1997).
- Perez, E. E. et al. Establishment of HIV-1 resistance in CD4 + T cells by genome editing using zinc-finger nucleases. Nat. Biotechnol. 26, 808–816 (2008).
- Samson, M. *et al.* Resistance to HIV-1 infection in caucasian individuals bearing mutant alleles of the CCR-5 chemokine receptor gene. *Nature* 382, 722–725 (1996).
- 57. Huang, Y. et al. The role of a mutant CCR5 allele in HIV-1 transmission and disease progression. Nat. Med. 2, 1240–1243 (1996).
- Ramakrishna, S. et al. Gene disruption by cell-penetrating peptide-mediated delivery of Cas9 protein and guide RNA. Genome Res. 24, 1020–1027 (2014).
- Li, C. et al. Simultaneous gene editing by injection of mRNAs encoding transcription activator-like effector nucleases into mouse zygotes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 34, 1649–1658 (2014).
- Cho, S. W. et al. Analysis of off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas-derived RNA-guided endonucleases and nickases. Genome Res. 24, 132–141 (2014).
- Montague, T. G., Cruz, J. M., Gagnon, J. A., Church, G. M. & Valen, E. CHOPCHOP: a CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, W401–W407 (2014).
- Bikard, D. *et al.* Programmable repression and activation of bacterial gene expression using an engineered CRISPR-Cas system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 7429–7437 (2013).
- Anderson, D. M. *et al.* Characterization of the DNA-binding properties of the Mohawk homeobox transcription factor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 35351–35359 (2012).
- Miller, J. C. et al. A TALE nuclease architecture for efficient genome editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 143–148 (2011).
- 65. Li, L. GADEM: a genetic algorithm guided formation of spaced dyads coupled with an EM algorithm for motif discovery. *J. Comput. Biol.* 16, 317–329 (2009).
  66. Schmidt, M. *et al.* High-resolution insertion-site analysis by linear
- amplification-mediated PCR (LAM-PCR). *Nat. Methods* **4**, 1051–1057 (2007). 67. Dull, T. *et al.* A third-generation lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging
- system. J. Virol. 72, 8463–8471 (1998). 68. Leavitt, A. D., Robles, G., Alesandro, N. & Varmus, H. E. Human
- immunodeficiency virus type 1 integrase mutants retain in vitro integrase activity yet fail to integrate viral DNA efficiently during infection. *J. Virol.* **70**, 721–728 (1996).
- Hockemeyer, D. et al. Efficient targeting of expressed and silent genes in human ESCs and iPSCs using zinc-finger nucleases. Nat. Biotechnol. 27, 851–857 (2009).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of the Flow Cytometry Resource Facility at Princeton University for their advice and guidance on this project. We also thank the endowed gift of Peter Lewis that supported all of the work reported here at Princeton University.

#### Author contributions

B.L.O. processed zinc-finger selections and cell sorting to recover fine-tuned zinc fingers, and built all GHUC reporter vectors; D.F.X. generated mammalian expression vectors,

performed the IDLV capture study and characterized ZFN activity in cellular studies at the intended targets and the anti-selected off-target sites; E.F.R. processed zinc-finger pools used in selections, and assembled zinc-finger array libraries for selection; D.J.X. processed selections and cell sorting for HBB zinc-finger selections; I.A. performed SELEX studies; J.S.B. performed cell studies of ZFN activity at sites identified via IDLV capture analysis; L.Z. designed expression vectors and studies of ZFN activity and assisted with data analysis; P.L. performed capture study data analysis; J.C.M. designed SELEX and IDLV capture studies and performed data analysis and contributed to writing the manuscript; B.J.R. designed cellular, SELEX and IDLV capture studies, and contributed to writing the manuscript; M.B.N. designed the multi-reporter selection system, chose zinc-finger targets and assembly strategies, tested controls to validate the system and contributed to writing the manuscript.

#### **Additional information**

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing Financial Interest The following are employees of Sangamo Biosciences: D.F.X., I.A., J.S.B., L.Z., P.L., J.C.M., E.J.R.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Oakes, B. L. *et al.* Multi-reporter selection for the design of active and more specific zinc-finger nucleases for genome editing. *Nat. Commun.* 7:10194 doi: 10.1038/ncomms10194 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/