

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.06.17.156455

### Multilevel proteomics reveals host perturbations by SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV — Source link

Alexey Stukalov, Virginie Girault, Vincent Grass, Ozge Karayel ...+29 more authors

Institutions: Technische Universität München, Max Planck Society, German Cancer Research Center, Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology ...+3 more institutions

Published on: 15 Mar 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Proteome, Interactome and Proteomics

Related papers:

- Multi-level proteomics reveals host-perturbation strategies of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV
- A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing.
- SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor
- The Global Phosphorylation Landscape of SARS-CoV-2 Infection.
- Proteomics of SARS-CoV-2-infected host cells reveals therapy targets.



### Multilevel proteomics reveals host perturbations by SARS-CoV-2 and

### SARS-CoV

Alexey Stukalov<sup>1</sup>\*, Virginie Girault<sup>1</sup>\*, Vincent Grass<sup>1</sup>\*, Ozge Karayel<sup>2</sup>\*, Valter Bergant<sup>1</sup>\*, Christian Urban<sup>1</sup>\*, Darya A. Haas<sup>1</sup>\*, Yiqi Huang<sup>1</sup>\*, Lila Oubraham<sup>1</sup>, Anqi Wang<sup>1</sup>, M. Sabri Hamad<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Piras<sup>1</sup>, Fynn M. Hansen<sup>2</sup>, Maria C. Tanzer<sup>2</sup>, Igor Paron<sup>2</sup>, Luca Zinzula<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Enghleitner<sup>4</sup>, Maria Reinecke<sup>5, 6</sup>, Teresa M. Lavacca<sup>1</sup>, Rosina Ehmann<sup>7, 8</sup>, Roman Wölfel<sup>7, 8</sup>, Jörg Jores<sup>9</sup>, Bernhard Kuster<sup>5, 6</sup>, Ulrike Protzer<sup>1, 8</sup>, Roland Rad<sup>4</sup>, John Ziebuhr<sup>10</sup>, Volker Thiel<sup>11</sup>, Pietro Scaturro<sup>1,12</sup>, Matthias Mann<sup>2</sup> and Andreas Pichlmair<sup>1, 8, §</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine, Institute of Virology, 81675 Munich, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Department of Proteomics and Signal transduction, Max-Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried/Munich, 82152, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Structural Biology, Max-Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried/Munich, 82152, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Institute of Molecular Oncology and Functional Genomics and Department of Medicine II, School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, 81675 Munich, Germany, <sup>5</sup>Chair of Proteomics and Bioanalytics, Technical University of Munich, 85354 Freising, Germany, <sup>6</sup>German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Munich partner site and German Cancer Research Center, (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>7</sup>Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology, 80937 Munich, Germany, <sup>8</sup>German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Munich partner site, Germany, <sup>9</sup>Institute of Veterinary Bacteriology, Department of Infectious Diseases and Pathobiology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, <sup>10</sup>Justus Liebig University Giessen, Institute of Medical Virology, 35392 Giessen, Germany, <sup>11</sup>Institute of Virology and Immunology (IVI), Bern, Switzerland & Department of Infectious Diseases and Pathobiology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, <sup>12</sup>Systems Arbovirology, Heinrich Pette Institute, Leibniz Institute for Experimental Virology, Hamburg, Germany.

\* these authors contributed equally

<sup>§</sup>Corresponding author:

Andreas Pichlmair, PhD, DVM Technical University Munich, Faculty of Medicine Institute of Virology - Viral Immunopathology Schneckenburger Str. 8 D-81675 Munich, Germany

#### Summary:

The global emergence of SARS-CoV-2 urgently requires an in-depth understanding of molecular functions of viral proteins and their interactions with the host proteome. Several individual omics studies have extended our knowledge of COVID-19 pathophysiology<sup>1-10</sup>. Integration of such datasets to obtain a holistic view of virus-host interactions and to define the pathogenic properties of SARS-CoV-2 is limited by the heterogeneity of the experimental systems. We therefore conducted a concurrent multiomics study of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Using state-of-the-art proteomics, we profiled the interactome of both viruses, as well as their influence on transcriptome, proteome, ubiquitinome and phosphoproteome in a lung-derived human cell line. Projecting these data onto the global network of cellular interactions revealed crosstalk between the perturbations taking place upon SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infections at different layers and identified unique and common molecular mechanisms of these closely related coronaviruses. The TGF- $\beta$  pathway, known for its involvement in tissue fibrosis, was specifically dysregulated by SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 and autophagy by SARS-CoV-2 ORF3. The extensive dataset (available at https://covinet.innatelab.org) highlights many hotspots that can be targeted by existing drugs and it can guide rational design of virus- and host-directed therapies, which we exemplify by identifying kinase and MMPs inhibitors with potent antiviral effects against SARS-CoV-2.

#### Main text:

#### Comparative SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV virus-host interactome and effectome

To identify interactions of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV with cellular proteins, we transduced A549 lung carcinoma cells with lentiviruses expressing individual HA-tagged

viral proteins (Figure 1a; Extended data Fig. 1a; Supplementary Table 1). Affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry (AP-MS) analysis and statistical modelling of the quantitative data identified  $1 \square 801$  interactions between  $1 \square 086$  cellular proteins and 24 SARS-CoV-2 and 27 SARS-CoV bait proteins (Figure 1b; Extended data Fig. 1b; Supplementary Table 2), significantly expanding the currently reported interactions of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (Supplementary Table 10)<sup>1-11</sup>. The resulting virus-host interaction network revealed a wide range of cellular activities intercepted by SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (Figure 1b; Extended data Table 1; Supplementary Table 2). In particular, we discovered that SARS-CoV-2 targets a number of key innate immunity regulators (ORF7b-MAVS, -UNC93B1), stress response components (N-HSPA1A) and DNA damage response mediators (ORF7a-ATM, -ATR) (Figure 1b; Extended data Fig. 1c-e). Additionally, SARS-CoV-2 proteins interact with molecular complexes involved in intracellular trafficking (e.g. ER Golgi trafficking) and transport (e.g. Solute carriers, Ion transport by ATPases) as well as cellular metabolism (e.g. Mitochondrial respiratory chain, Glycolysis) (Figure 1b, Extended data Table 1, Supplementary Table 2). Comparing the AP-MS data of homologous SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV proteins identified differences in the enrichment of individual host targets, highlighting potential virus-specific interactions (Figure 1b (edge color); Figure 1c; Extended data Fig. 1f, 2a-b; Supplementary Table 2). For instance, we recapitulated the known interaction between SARS-CoV NSP2 and prohibitins (PHB, PHB2)<sup>12</sup> but this was not conserved in SARS-CoV-2 NSP2, suggesting that the two viruses differ in their ability to modulate mitochondrial function and homeostasis through NSP2 (Extended data Fig. 2a). The exclusive interaction of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 with the TGFB1-LTBP1 complex is another interaction potentially explaining the differences in pathogenicity of the two viruses (Extended data Fig. 1f, 2b). Notably, disbalanced TGF- $\beta$ signaling has been linked to lung fibrosis and oedema, a common complication of severe pulmonary diseases including COVID-19<sup>13–16</sup>.

To map the virus-host interactions to the functions of viral proteins, we have conducted an unprecedented study of total proteomes of A549 cells expressing 54 individual viral proteins, the "effectome" (Figure 1a; Supplementary Table 3). This dataset provides clear links between protein expression changes and virus-host interactions, as exemplified by ORF9b, which leads to a dysregulation of mitochondrial functions and binds to TOMM70, a known regulator of mitophagy<sup>2,17</sup> (Figure 1b; Supplementary Tables 2, 3). Global pathway enrichment analysis of the effectome dataset confirmed such mitochondrial dysregulation by ORF9b of both viruses<sup>2,18</sup> (Extended data Fig. 2c; Supplementary Table 3) and further highlighted virus-specific effects, as exemplified by the exclusive upregulation of proteins involved in cholesterol metabolism (CYP51A1, DHCR7, IDI1, SQLE) by SARS-CoV-2 NSP6. Intriguingly, cholesterol metabolism was recently shown to be implicated in SARS-CoV-2 replication and suggested as a promising target for drug development<sup>19-21</sup>. Beside perturbations at the pathway level, viral proteins specifically modulated single host proteins, possibly explaining more distinct molecular mechanisms involved in viral protein function. Focusing on the 180 most affected host proteins, we identified RCOR3, a putative transcriptional corepressor, as strongly upregulated by NSP4 of both viruses (Extended data Fig. 2d, 3a). Remarkably, the apolipoprotein B (APOB) was substantially regulated by ORF3 and NSP1 of SARS-CoV-2, suggesting its importance for SARS-CoV-2 biology (Extended data Fig. 3b).

#### Multi-omics profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection

While interactome and effectome provide in-depth information on the activity of individual viral proteins, we wished to directly study their concerted activities in the context of viral infection. To this end, we infected ACE2-expressing A549 cells (Extended data Fig. 4a, b) with SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, and profiled the impact of viral infection on mRNA

expression, protein abundance, ubiquitination and phosphorylation in a time-resolved manner (Figure 2 a-b).

In line with previous reports<sup>9,22</sup>, both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV share the ability to down-regulate type-I interferon response and activate a pro-inflammatory signature at transcriptome and proteome levels (Figure 2a-c, Extended data Fig. 4c-f, i, Supplementary Table 4, 8, Supplementary discussion 1). However, SARS-CoV elicited a more pronounced activation of the NFkB pathway, correlating with its higher replication rate and potentially explaining the reduced severity of pulmonary disease in case of SARS-CoV- $2^{23}$  (Supplementary Tables 4, 5). In contrast, SARS-CoV-2 infection led to higher expression of FN1 and SERPINE1, which may be linked to the specific recruitment of TGFB factors (Figure 1b) and supporting regulation of TGF- $\beta$  signaling by SARS-CoV-2.

To better understand the mechanisms underlying perturbation of cellular signaling, we performed comparative ubiquitination and phosphorylation profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection. This analysis identified  $1\Box 108$  of  $16\Box 541$  detected ubiquitination sites to be differentially regulated by SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV infection (Figure 2a, b, d, Extended data Fig. 5a; Supplementary Table 6). More than half of the significant sites were regulated in a similar manner by both viruses. These included sites on SLC35 and SUMO family proteins, indicating possible regulation of sialic acid transport and the process of SUMO-regulation itself. SARS-CoV-2 specifically increased ubiquitination on autophagy-related factors (MAP1LC3A, GABARAP, VPS33A, VAMP8) as well as particular sites on EGFR (*e.g.* K739, K754, K970). Sometimes the two viruses targeted distinct sites on the same cellular protein, as exemplified by HSP90 family members (HSP90AA1-K84, -K191 and -K539) (Figure 2d). Notably, a number of proteins (*e.g.* ALCAM, ALDH3B1, CTNNA1, EDF1 and SLC12A2) exhibited concomitant ubiquitination and a decrease at the protein level after infection, pointing to ubiquitination-mediated protein degradation (Figure

2d; Extended data Fig. 4f, 5a; Supplementary Tables 5, 6). Among these downregulated proteins, EDF1 has a pivotal role in the maintenance of endothelial integrity and may be a link to endothelial dysfunctions described for COVID-19<sup>24,25</sup>. Profound regulation of cellular signaling pathways was also observed at the phosphoproteomic level: among 16 $\square$ 399 total quantified phosphorylation sites,  $4 \square \square$ 643 showed significant changes after SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV infection (Extended data Fig. 5b, c; Supplementary Table 7). Highly regulated sites were identified for the proteins of the MAPK pathways (e.g. MAPKAPK2, MAP2K1, JUN, SRC) together with proteins involved in autophagy signaling (e.g. DEPTOR, RICTOR, OPTN, SQSTM1, LAMTOR1) and viral entry (e.g. ACE2, RAB7A) (Extended data Fig. 5b, d). Notably, RAB7A was recently shown to be an important host factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection that assists endosomal trafficking of ACE2 the plasma membrane<sup>26</sup>. Simultaneously, we observed significantly higher to phosphorylation at S72 of RAB7A in SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to SARS-CoV or mock, a site implicated in its intracellular localization and molecular association<sup>27</sup>. The regulation of known phosphosites suggests an involvement of central kinases (CDKs, AKT, MAPKs, ATM, and CHEK1) linked to cell survival, cell cycle progression, cell growth and motility, stress responses and the DNA damage response, which was also supported by the analysis of enriched motifs (Extended data Fig. 5e, f; Supplementary Tables 7 - 8). Notably, only SARS-CoV-2 but not SARS-CoV led to phosphorylation of the antiviral kinase EIF2AK2/PKR at the critical regulatory residue S33<sup>28</sup>. This differential activation of EIF2AK2/PKR could contribute to the difference in growth kinetics of the two SARS viruses (Supplementary Table 4, 5).

Our data clearly point to an interplay of phosphorylation and ubiquitination patterns on individual host proteins. EGFR, for instance, showed increased ubiquitination on six lysine residues at 24 hours post-infection (h.p.i.) accompanied by increased phosphorylation of T693, S695 and S991 after 24 and 36 hours (Figure 2e, f). Ubiquitination of all six lysine residues on EGFR was more pronounced upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, vimentin, a central co-factor for coronavirus entry<sup>29</sup> and pathogenicity<sup>30,31</sup>, displayed distinct phosphorylation and ubiquitination patterns on several sites early (*e.g.* S420) or late (*e.g.* S56, S72, K334) in infection (Extended data Fig. 6a, b). These discoveries underscore the value of testing different post-translational modifications simultaneously and suggest a concerted engagement of regulatory machineries to modify target protein's functions and abundance.

#### Phosphorylation and ubiquitination of functional domains of viral proteins

The majority of viral proteins were also post-translationally modified. Of the 27 detected SARS coronavirus proteins, 21 were ubiquitinated, among which N, S, NSP2, and NSP3 were the most frequently modified proteins in both viruses (Extended data Fig. 6c, Supplementary Table 6). Many of these ubiquitination sites were shared between the two viruses. Around half of the sites specifically regulated in either of the two viruses were conserved but differentially ubiquitinated, while the other half was encoded by either of the two pathogens, indicating that such acquired adaptations are also post-translationally modified and could recruit cellular proteins with appropriate functions (Figure 3a). Our interactome data identified several host E3 ligases (e.g. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 with TRIM47, WWP1/2, STUB1; M and TRIM7; NSP13 and RING1) and deubiquitinating enzymes (e.g. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 with USP8; ORF7a with USP34; SARS-CoV N with USP9X) and likely indicate a crosstalk between ubiquitination and viral protein functions (Figure 1b, Extended data Fig. 6d, Supplementary Table 2). Of particular interest are extensive ubiquitination events on the spike protein S of both viruses (K97, K528, K825, K835, K921 and K947) distributed on functional domains (N-terminal domain, C-terminal domain, fusion peptide and Heptad repeat 1 domain) potentially indicating critical regulatory

functions that are conserved among the two viruses (Extended data Fig. 6e). Mapping of the phosphorylation events identified 5 SARS-CoV-2 (M, N, S, NSP3, ORF9b) and 8 SARS-CoV (M, N, S, NSP1, NSP2, NSP3, ORF3 and ORF9b) proteins to be phosphorylated (Extended data Fig. 6f, Supplementary Table 7), which corresponds to known recognition motifs. In particular, CAMK4 and MAPKAPK2 potentially phosphorylate sites on S and N, respectively. Inferred from phosphorylation of cellular proteins, the activities of these kinases were enriched in SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infected cells (Extended data Fig. 5e, f, 6e, g). Moreover, N proteins of both SARS coronaviruses recruit GSK3, which could potentially be linked to phosphorylation events on these viral proteins (Figure 1b, Extended data Fig. 6g, Supplementary Table 7). Particularly interesting are newly identified posttranslationally modified sites located at functional domains of viral proteins. We identified SARS-CoV-2 N K338 ubiquitination and SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV N S310/311 phosphorylation (Extended data Fig. 6g). Mapping those sites to the atomic structure of the C-terminal domain (CTD)<sup>32,33</sup> highlights critical positions for the functionality of the protein (Figure 3c, Extended data Fig. 6h, Supplementary discussion 2). Collectively, while the identification of differentially regulated sites may indicate pathogen-specific functions, insights gleaned from conserved post-translational modifications provide useful knowledge for the development of targeted pan-antiviral therapies.

#### Integrative analysis highlights the perturbation of key cellular pathways

Our unified experimental design in a syngeneic system permitted direct time-resolved comparison of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection across different levels. Integrative pathway enrichment analysis demonstrated that both viruses largely perturb the same cellular processes at multiple levels albeit with varying temporal patterns (Extended data Fig. 7a). Transcriptional downregulation of proteins involved in tau-protein kinase activity and iron ions sequestration at 6 h.p.i., for instance, was followed by a decrease in protein

abundance after 12 h.p.i. (Supplementary Table 8). RHO GTPase activation, mRNA processing and role of ABL in ROBO-SLIT signaling appeared to be regulated mostly through phosphorylation (Extended data Fig. 7a). In contrast, processes connected to cellular integrity such as the formation of senescence-associated heterochromatin foci, apoptosis-induced DNA fragmentation and amino acid transport across the plasma membrane were modulated through concomitant phosphorylation and ubiquitination events, providing insights into the molecular relationships of these post-translational modifications. Ion transporters, especially the SLC12 family (cation-coupled chloride cotransporters), previously identified as cellular factors in pulmonary inflammation<sup>34</sup>, were also regulated at multiple levels, evidenced by reduced protein abundance as well as differential post-translational modifications (Extended data Fig. 7a).

The pathway enrichment analysis provided a global and comprehensive picture of how SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV affect the host. We next applied an automated approach to systematically explore the underlying molecular mechanisms contained in the viral interactome and effectome data. We mapped the measured interactions and effects of each viral protein onto the global network of cellular interactions<sup>35</sup> and applied a network diffusion approach<sup>36</sup> (Figure 4a). Such analysis utilizes known cellular protein-protein interactions, signaling and regulation events to identify connection points between the interactors of the viral protein and the proteins affected by its expression (Extended data Fig. 1b, 2d, Supplementary Tables 2, 3). The connections inferred from the real data were significantly shorter than for randomized data, confirming both the relevance of the approach and the data quality (Extended data Fig. 8a, b). Amongst many other findings, this approach pointed towards the potential mechanisms of autophagy regulation by ORF3 and NSP6; the modulation of innate immunity by M, ORF3 and ORF7b; and the Integrin-TGF- $\beta$ -EGFR-RTK signaling perturbation by ORF8 of SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 4b, Extended data

Fig. 8c, d). Enriching these subnetworks with SARS-CoV-2 infection-dependent mRNA abundance, protein abundance, phosphorylation and ubiquitination (Figure 4a) provided novel insights into the regulatory mechanisms employed by SARS-CoV-2. For instance, this analysis confirmed a role of NSP6 in autophagy<sup>37</sup> and revealed the inhibition of autophagic flux by ORF3 protein, unique to SARS-CoV-2, leading to the accumulation of autophagy receptors (SQSTM1, GABARAP(L2), NBR1, CALCOCO2, MAP1LC3A/B, TAX1BP1), also observed in virus-infected cells (MAP1LC3B) (Figure 4c, Extended data Fig. 8e, f). This inhibition may be due to the interaction of the ORF3 protein with the HOPS complex (VPS11, -16, -18, -39, -41), which is essential for autophagosome-lysosome fusion, as well as by the differential phosphorylation of regulatory sites (e.g. on TSC2, mTORC1 complex, ULK1, RPS6, SQSTM1) and ubiquitination of key components (MAP1LC3A, GABARAP(L2), VPS33A, VAMP8) (Figure 4c, Extended data Fig. 8g). This inhibition of autophagosome function may have direct consequences for protein degradation. The abundance of APOB, a protein degraded via autophagy<sup>38</sup>, was selectively increased after SARS-CoV-2 infection or expression of the SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 (Extended data Fig. 3b, 8h). Accumulating APOB levels could exacerbate the risk of arterial thrombosis<sup>39</sup>, one of the main complications contributing to lung, heart and kidney failure in COVID-19 patients<sup>40</sup>. The inhibition of the IFN- $\alpha/\beta$  response observed at transcriptional and proteome levels was similarly explained by the network diffusion analysis (Extended data Fig. 8i), which implicated multiple proteins of SARS-CoV-2 in the disruption of antiviral immunity. Additional experiments functionally corroborated the inhibition of IFN- $\alpha/\beta$  induction or signaling by ORF3, ORF6, ORF7a, ORF7b, ORF9b (Extended data Fig. 8j). Upon virus infection, we observed the regulation of TGF- $\beta$  and EGFR pathways modulating cell survival, motility and innate immune responses (Extended data Fig. 9a - d). Specifically, our network diffusion analysis revealed a connection between the binding of the ORF8 and ORF3 proteins to TGF-β-associated factors (TGFB1, TGFB2, LTBP1, TGFBR2, FURIN, BAMBI), the differential expression of ECM regulators (FERMT2, CDH1) and the virusinduced upregulation of fibrinogens (FGA, FGB), fibronectin (FN1) and SERPINE1 (Extended data Fig. 9a, b)<sup>41</sup>. The increased phosphorylation of proteins involved in MAPK (*e.g.* SHC1-S139, SOS1-S1134/1229, JUN-S63/S73, MAPKAPK2-T334, p38-T180/Y182) and receptor tyrosine kinase signaling (*e.g.* phosphorylation of PI3K complex members, PDPK1 (S241) and RPS6KA1 (S380)) as well as a higher expression of JUN, FOS and EGR1 are further indicative of TGF- $\beta$  and EGFR pathways regulation (Extended data Fig. 9a, c, d). In turn, TGF- $\beta$  and EGFR signaling are known to be potentiated by integrin signaling and activation of YAP-dependent transcription<sup>42</sup>, which we observed to be regulated in a time-dependent manner upon SARS-CoV-2 infection (Extended data Fig. 9a). Besides promoting virus replication, activation of these pathways has been implicated in fibrosis<sup>13–15</sup>, one of the hallmarks of COVID-19<sup>16</sup>.

#### Data-guided drug testing reveals hotspots for antiviral therapies

Taken together, the viral-host protein-protein interactions and pathway regulations observed at multiple levels identify potential vulnerability points of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 that we decided to target by well-characterized selective drugs for antiviral therapies. To test antiviral efficacy, we established time-lapse fluorescent microscopy of SARS-CoV-2 GFPreporter virus infection<sup>43</sup>. Inhibition of virus replication by IFN- $\alpha/\beta$  treatment corroborated previous conclusions that efficient SARS-CoV-2 replication involves an inactivation of this pathway at an early step and confirmed the reliability of this screening approach (Extended data Fig. 10a)<sup>9,44</sup>. We tested a panel of 48 drugs modulating the pathways perturbed by the virus for their effects on SARS-CoV-2 replication (Figure 5a, Supplementary Table 9). Notably, B-RAF (Sorafenib, Regorafenib, Dabrafenib), JAK1/2 (Baricitinib) and MAPK (SB 239063) inhibitors, which are commonly used to treat cancer and autoimmune diseases<sup>45-47</sup> led to a significant increase of virus growth in our *in vitro* infection setting (Figure 5a, Extended data Fig. 10b, Supplementary Table 9). In contrast, inducers of DNA damage (Tirapazamine, Rabusertib) or a mTOR inhibitor (Rapamycin) led to suppression of virus growth. The highest antiviral activity was observed for Gilteritinib (a designated FLT3/AXL inhibitor), Ipatasertib (AKT inhibitor), Prinomastat and Marimastat (matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) inhibitors) (Figure 5a, b, Extended data Fig. 10c, Supplementary Table 9). These compounds profoundly inhibited replication of SARS-CoV-2 while having no or minor effects on cell growth (Extended data Fig. 10b, Supplementary Table 9). Quantitative PCR analysis indicated antiviral activities for Gilteritinib and Tirapazamine against SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (Figure 5c, Extended data Fig. 10d, e). Notably, Prinomastat and Marimastat, specific inhibitors of MMP-2 and MMP-9, showed selective activity against SARS-CoV-2 but not against SARS-CoV (Figure 5c, Extended data Fig. 10f, g). MMPs activities have been linked to TGF- $\beta$  activation and pleural effusions, alveolar damage and neuroinflammation (*e.g.* Kawasaki disease), all of which are characteristics of COVID-19<sup>23,48-51</sup>.

This drug screen demonstrates the value of our combined dataset that profiles SARS-CoV-2 infection at multiple levels. We hope that further exploration of these rich data by the scientific community and additional studies of the interplay between different omics levels will substantially advance our molecular understanding of coronaviruses biology, including the pathogenicity associated with specific human coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Moreover, this resource, together with complementary approaches by the community<sup>26,52–54</sup>, will streamline the search for antiviral compounds and serve as a base for rational design of combination therapies that target the virus from multiple synergistic angles, thus potentiating the effect of individual drugs while minimizing potential side-effects on healthy tissues.

#### **References (main):**

- Gordon, D. E. *et al.* A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. *Nature* 583, 459–468 (2020).
- 2. Gordon, D. E. *et al.* Comparative host-coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease mechanisms. *Science* (2020) doi:10.1126/science.abe9403.
- Bojkova, D. *et al.* Proteomics of SARS-CoV-2-infected host cells reveals therapy targets. *Nature* 583, 469–472 (2020).
- 4. Messner, C. B. *et al.* Ultra-high-throughput clinical proteomics reveals classifiers of COVID-19 infection. *Cell Systems* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.cels.2020.05.012.
- Samavarchi-Tehrani, P. *et al.* A SARS-CoV-2 host proximity interactome. *bioRxiv* 2020.09.03.282103 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.03.282103.
- 6. Laurent, E. M. N. *et al.* Global BioID-based SARS-CoV-2 proteins proximal interactome unveils novel ties between viral polypeptides and host factors involved in multiple COVID19-associated mechanisms. *bioRxiv* 2020.08.28.272955 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.08.28.272955.
- Klann, K. et al. Growth Factor Receptor Signaling Inhibition Prevents SARS-CoV-2 Replication. Molecular Cell 80, 164-174.e4 (2020).
- Huang, J. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Human Lung Alveolar Type 2 Cells Elicits a Rapid Epithelial-Intrinsic Inflammatory Response. *Cell Stem Cell* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.stem.2020.09.013.
- Blanco-Melo, D. *et al.* Imbalanced Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Drives Development of COVID-19. *Cell* 181, 1036-1045.e9 (2020).

10. Li, J. *et al.* Virus-Host Interactome and Proteomic Survey Reveal Potential Virulence Factors Influencing SARS-CoV-2 Pathogenesis. *Med* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.medj.2020.07.002.

#### Figure legends (main):

**Figure 1** | **Joint analysis of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV protein-protein virus-host interactomes.** (a) Systematic comparison of interactomes and host proteome changes ("effectomes") of the homologous SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV viral proteins, with ORF3 homologs of HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E as reference for pan-coronavirus specificity. (b) Combined virus-host protein interaction network of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV measured by AP-MS. Homologous viral proteins are displayed as a single node. Shared and virus-specific interactions are denoted by the edge color. The edge color gradient reflects the p-value of the interaction. (c) The numbers of unique and shared host interactions between the homologous proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. AP-MS: affinity-purification coupled to mass spectrometry; MD: Macro domain; NSP: Non-structural protein.

Figure 2 | Multi-level profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection. (a) Timeresolved profiling of parallel SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection by multiple omics methods. The plot shows the MS intensity estimates for spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV over time (n=4 independent experiments). (b) The numbers of distinct transcripts, proteins, ubiquitination and phosphorylation sites, significantly up- or downregulated at given time points after the infection (in comparison to the mock samples at the same time point). Color denotes transcripts/proteins/sites that are regulated similarly by SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection (grey), or specifically by SARS-CoV-2 (orange) or SARS-CoV (brown). (c-d) Scatter plots comparing the host transcriptome and ubiquitinome respectively of SARS-CoV-2 (*x*-axis) and SARS-CoV (*y*-axis) infection at the indicated time after infection (log<sub>2</sub> fold change in comparison to the mock infection samples at the same time point). Significantly regulated transcripts/sites (moderated t-test FDR-corrected twosided p-value  $\leq 0.05$  (c), Bayesian linear model-based unadjusted two-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ , llog<sub>2</sub> fold change| $\geq 0.5$  (d), n=3 independent experiments), are colored according to their specificity in both infections. Diamonds indicate that the actual log<sub>2</sub> fold change was truncated to fit into the plot. (e) Phosphorylation (purple square) and ubiquitination (red circle) sites on epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) regulated upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. The plot shows median log<sub>2</sub> fold changes of site intensities compared to mock at 24 and 36 h.p.i. Regulatory sites are indicated with a thick black border. (f) Profile plots of time-resolved EGFR K754 ubiquitination, T693 and S991 phosphorylation, and total protein levels in SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV-infected A549-ACE2 cells, with indicated median, 50% and 95% confidence intervals. n=3 (ubiquitination) or 4 (phosphorylation, total protein level) independent experiments. h.p.i.: hours post-infection.

Figure 3 | Integration of data from SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection identifies coordinated regulation between omics layers. (a) Venn diagram presenting the distribution of all identified shared, differentially regulated and selectively encoded (sequence-specific) ubiquitination and phosphorylation sites on SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV homologous proteins as measured after infection of A549-ACE2 cells. (b) Mapping of the ubiquitination (red circle) and phosphorylation (purple square) sites of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 / SARS-CoV ORF3a proteins on their aligned sequence with median log<sub>2</sub> intensities in A549-ACE2 cells infected with the respective virus at 24 h.p.i. Functional (blue) and topological (yellow) domains are mapped on each sequence. Binding of ubiquitin modifying enzymes to ORF3/ORF3a as identified in our AP-MS experiments (Extended data Fig. 1b) are indicated (green). . (c) Surface and ribbon representation of superimposed SARS-CoV (PDB: 2CJR, brown) and SARS-CoV-2 (PDB: 6YUN, orange) N CTD dimers (r.m.s.d. values of 0.492 Å for matching 108 C $\alpha$  atoms). Side chains are colored in red, purple or grey as they belong to ubiquinated, phosphorylated or unmodified sites respectively. K338 ubiquitination site unique to SARS-CoV-2 is shown as close-up for both monomers (lower). Close-ups of inter-chain residue interactions established by non-phosphorylated (upper) and phosphorylated (center) SARS-CoV-2 S310/SARS-CoV S311. CTD: C-terminal domain; hACE2: binding site of human ACE2; FP: fusion peptide; HR1/2: Heptad region 1/2; CP: cytoplasmic region. CoV2 Cleav.: SARS-CoV-2 cleavage sites; r.m.s.d.: root-mean-square deviation.

**Figure 4** | **Network diffusion approach identifies molecular pathways linking proteinprotein interactions with downstream changes in the host proteome. (a)** Network diffusion approach to identify functional connections between the host targets of a viral protein and downstream proteome changes. The results of network diffusion are integrated with omics datasets of SARS coronavirus infection to streamline the identification of affected host pathways. (b) Subnetworks of the network diffusion predictions linking host targets of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 to the factors involved in autophagy. The thickness of directed edges is proportional to the random walk transition probability. Black edges denote the connections present in ReactomeFI. (c) Overview of perturbations to host-cell autophagy induced by SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 and NSP6 and overlaid with the changes in protein levels, ubiquitination and phosphorylation induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Figure 5 | SARS-CoV-2-targeted pathways, as revealed by a multi-omics profiling approach, allow systematic testing of candidate antiviral therapies. (a) A549-ACE2 cells were treated with the indicated drugs 6 hours prior to infection with SARS-CoV-2-GFP (MOI 3). Scatter plot shows cell viability changes (*x*-axis, confluence  $log_2$  fold change in uninfected cells) and virus growth changes (*y*-axis, normalized GFP area  $log_2$  fold change in SARS-CoV-2-GFP-infected cells) of drug-treated in comparison to non-treated A549-ACE2 cells at 48 h.p.i. A confluence cutoff of -0.2  $log_2$  fold change was applied to remove cytotoxic compounds. (b) shows time-courses of virus replication after Prinomastat or Gilteritinib pre-treatment. Asterisk indicates the significance in comparison to the control treatment (n=4 independent experiments, Wilcoxon test; unadjusted two-sided p-value  $\leq$  0.01). (c) Drugs potentially targeting pathways identified in our study. Color indicates antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV (brown-orange gradient) or SARS-CoV-2 specifically (orange) as inferred from *in vitro* experiments. MOI: multiplicity of infection; h.p.i.: hours post-infection.

#### **Material and Methods**

#### **Cell lines and reagents**

HEK293T, A549, Vero E6 and HEK293-R1 cells and their respective culturing conditions were described previously<sup>55</sup>. All cell lines were tested to be mycoplasma-free. Expression constructs for C-terminal HA tagged viral ORFs were synthesized (Twist Bioscience and BioCat) and cloned into pWPI vector as described previously<sup>56</sup> with the following modifications: starting ATG codon was added, internal canonical splicing sites were replaced with synonymous mutations and C-terminal HA-tag, followed by amber stop codon, was added to individual viral open reading frames. C-terminally hemagglutinin(HA)tagged ACE2 sequence was amplified from an ACE2 expression vector (kindly provided by Stefan Pöhlmann)<sup>57</sup> into the lentiviral vector pWPI-puro. A549 cells were transduced twice, and ACE2-expressing A549 (A549-ACE2) cells were selected with puromycin. Lentiviruses production, transduction of cells and antibiotic selection were performed as described previously<sup>52</sup>. RNA-isolation (Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin RNA plus), reverse transcription (TaKaRa Bio PrimeScript RT with gDNA eraser) and RT-qPCR (Thermo-Fisher Scientific PowerUp SYBR green) were performed as described previously<sup>54</sup>. RNA-isolation for NGS applications was performed according to manufacturer's protocol (Oiagen RNeasy mini kit, RNase free DNase set). For detection of protein abundance by western blotting, HA-HRP (Sigma-Aldrich; H6533; 1:2500 dilution), ACTB-HRP (Santa Cruz; sc-47778; 1:5000 dilution), MAP1LC3B (Cell Signaling; 3868; 1:1000 dilution), MAVS (Cell Signaling; 3993; 1:1000 dilution), HSPA1A (Cell Signaling; 4873; 1:1000 dilution), TGF<sub>β</sub> (Cell Signaling; 3711; 1:1000 dilution), phospho-p38 (T180/Y182) (Cell Signaling; 4511; 1:1000 dilution), p38 (Cell Signaling; 8690; 1:1000 dilution) and SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV N protein (Sino Biological; 40143-MM05; 1:1000 dilution) antibodies were used Secondary antibodies detecting mouse Signaling; 7076; (Cell 1:5000 dilution/Jackson ImmunoResearch; 115-035-003; 1:5000 dilution), rat (Invitrogen; 31470; 1:5000 dilution), and rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling; 7074; 1:5000 dilution) were horseradish peroxidase (HRP)coupled. For AP-MS and AP-WB applications, HA-beads (Sigma-Aldrich and Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Streptactin II beads (IBA Lifesciences) were used. WB imaging was performed as described previously<sup>58</sup>. For the stimulation of cells in the reporter assay, recombinant human IFN-a was a kind gift from Peter Stäheli, recombinant human IFN-y were purchased from PeproTech and IVT4 was produced as described before<sup>59</sup>. All compounds tested during the viral inhibitor assay are listed in Supplementary Table 9.

#### Virus strains, stock preparation, plaque assay and *in vitro* infection

SARS-CoV-Frankfurt-1, SARS-CoV-2-MUC-IMB-1 and SARS-CoV-2-GFP strains<sup>43</sup> were produced by infecting Vero E6 cells cultured in DMEM medium (10% FCS, 100 ug/ml Streptomycin, 100 IU/ml Penicillin) for 2 days (MOI 0.01). Viral stock was harvested and spun twice (1000g/10min) before storage at -80°C. Titer of viral stock was determined by plaque assay. Confluent monolayers of VeroE6 cells were infected with serial five-fold dilutions of virus supernatants for 1 hour at  $37 \square °C$ . The inoculum was removed and replaced with serum-free MEM (Gibco, Life Technologies) containing 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich). Two days post-infection, cells were fixed for 20 minutes at room temperature with formaldehyde directly added to the medium to a final concentration of 5%. Fixed cells were washed extensively with PBS before staining with H2O containing 1% crystal violet and 10% ethanol for 20 minutes. After rinsing with PBS, the number of plaques was counted and the virus titer was calculated. A549-ACE2 cells were infected with either SARS-CoV-Frankfurt-1 or SARS-CoV-2-MUC-IMB-1 strains (MOI 2) for the subsequent experiments. At each time point, the samples were washed once with 1x TBS buffer and harvested in SDC lysis buffer (100 mM Tris HCl pH 8.5; 4% SDC) or 1x SSB lysis buffer (62.5 mM Tris HCl pH 6.8; 2% SDS; 10% glycerol; 50 mM DTT; 0.01% bromophenol blue) or RLT (Qiagen) for proteome-phosphoproteome-ubiquitinome, western blot, and transcriptome analyses, respectively. The samples were heat-inactivated and frozen at -80°C until further processing, as described in the following sections.

### Affinity purification and mass spectrometric analyses of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E/NL63 proteins expressed in A549 cells

To determine the interactomes of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV and the interactomes of an accessory protein (encoded by ORF4/ORF4a of HCoV-229E or ORF3 of HCoV-NL63) that presumably represents a homolog of the ORF3 and ORF3a proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, respectively, four replicate affinity purifications were performed for each HAtagged viral protein. A549 cells ( $6 \times 10^{\circ}$  cells per 15-cm dish) were transduced with lentiviral vectors encoding HA-tagged SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV or HCoV-229E/NL63 proteins and protein lysates were prepared from cells harvested three days post-transduction. Cell pellets of two 15-cm dishes were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2% (v/v) NP-40, 5% (v/v) glycerol, cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 0.5% (v/v) 750 U/µl Sm DNAse) and sonicated (5 min, 4°C, 30 sec on, 30 sec off, low settings; Bioruptor, Diagenode SA). Following normalization of protein concentrations of cleared lysates, virus protein-bound host proteins were enriched by adding 50 µl anti-HAagarose slurry (Sigma-Aldrich, A2095) with constant agitation for 3 hours at 4°C. Nonspecifically bound proteins were removed by four subsequent washes with lysis buffer followed by three detergent-removal steps with washing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5% (v/v) glycerol). Enriched proteins were denatured, reduced, alkylated and digested by addition of 200 µl digestion buffer (0.6 M guanidinium chloride, 1 mM TCEP, 4 mM CAA, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.5 µg LysC (WAKO Chemicals), 0.5 µg trypsin (Promega) at 30°C overnight. Peptide purification on StageTips with three layers of C18 Empore filter discs (3M) and subsequent mass spectrometry analysis was performed as described previously<sup>55,56</sup>. Briefly, purified peptides were loaded onto a 20 cm reverse-phase analytical column (75 um diameter; ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 µm resin; Dr. Maisch) and separated using an EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A binary buffer system consisting of buffer A (0.1% formic acid in  $H_2O$ ) and buffer B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid in H<sub>2</sub>O) with a 90 min gradient (5-30% buffer B (65 min), 30-95% buffer B (10 min), wash out at 95% buffer B (5 min), decreased to 5% buffer B (5 min), and 5% buffer B (5 min)) was used at a flow rate of 300 nl per min. Eluting peptides were directly analysed on a Q-Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Data-dependent acquisition included repeating cycles of one MS1 full scan  $(300-1 \bigcirc 650 \bigcirc m/z, R \boxdot = \bigcirc 60 \bigcirc 000$  at  $200 \boxdot m/z)$  at an ion target of  $3 \times 10^{6}$ , followed by 15 MS2 scans of the highest abundant isolated and higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmented peptide precursors ( $R = 15 \Box 000$  at  $200 \Box m/z$ ). For MS2 scans, collection of isolated peptide precursors was limited by an ion target of  $1 \times 10^5$  and a maximum injection time of 25 ms. Isolation and fragmentation of the same peptide precursor was eliminated by dynamic exclusion for  $20 \square s$ . The isolation window of the quadrupole was set to  $1.4 \square$  m/z and HCD was set to a normalized collision energy of 27%.

### Proteome analyses of cells expressing SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E/NL63 proteins

For the determination of proteome changes in A549 cells expressing SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV or HCoV-229E/NL63 proteins, a fraction of  $1 \times 10^{6}$  lentivirus-transduced cells from the affinity purification samples were lysed in guanidinium chloride buffer (6 M GdmCl, 10 mM TCEP, 40 mM CAA, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8), boiled at 95°C for 8 min and sonicated (10 min, 4°C, 30 sec on, 30 sec off, high settings). Protein concentrations of cleared lysates were normalized to 50 µg and proteins were pre-digested with 1 µg LysC at 37°C for 1 hour followed by a 1:10 dilution (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8) and overnight digestion with 1 µg trypsin at 30°C. Peptide purification on StageTips with three layers of C18 Empore filter discs (3M) and subsequent mass spectrometry analysis was performed as described previously<sup>55,56</sup>. Briefly, 300 ng of purified peptides were loaded onto a 50 cm reversed phase column (75 µm inner diameter, packed in house with ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 µm resin [Dr. Maisch GmbH]). The column temperature was maintained at 60°C using a homemade column oven. A binary buffer system, consisting of buffer A (0.1% formic acid (FA)) and buffer B (80% ACN, 0.1% FA), was used for peptide separation, at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. An EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), directly coupled online with the mass spectrometer (Q Exactive HF-X, Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a nanoelectrospray source, was employed for nano-flow liquid chromatography. Peptides were eluted by a linear 80 min gradient from 5% to 30% buffer B (0.1% v/v formic acid, 80% v/v acetonitrile), followed by a 4 min increase to 60% B, a further 4 min increase to 95% B, a 4 min plateau phase at 95% B, a 4 min decrease to 5% B and a 4 min wash phase of 5% B. To acquire MS data, the data-independent acquisition (DIA) scan mode operated by the XCalibur software (Thermo Fisher) was used. DIA was performed with one full MS event followed by 33 MS/MS windows in one cycle resulting in a cycle time of 2.7 seconds. The full MS settings included an ion target value of  $3 \times 10^6$  charges in the  $300 - 1 \Box 650$  m/z range with a maximum injection time of 60 ms and a resolution of  $120\Box 000$  at m/z 200. DIA precursor windows ranged from 300.5 m/z (lower boundary of first window) to  $1\square 649.5$ m/z (upper boundary of 33rd window). MS/MS settings included an ion target value of  $3 \times 10^{6}$  charges for the precursor window with an Xcalibur-automated maximum injection time and a resolution of  $30 \square 000$  at m/z 200.

To generate the proteome library for DIA measurements purified peptides from the first and the fourth replicates of all samples were pooled separately and 25 µg of peptides from each pool were fractionated into 24 fractions by high pH reversed-phase chromatography as described earlier<sup>60</sup>. During each separation, fractions were concatenated automatically by shifting the collection tube every 120 seconds. In total 48 fractions were dried in a vacuum centrifuge, resuspended in buffer A\* (0.2% TFA, 2% ACN) and subsequently analyzed by a top12 data-dependent acquisition (DDA) scan mode using the same LC gradient and settings. The mass spectrometer was operated by the XCalibur software (Thermo Fisher). DDA scan settings on full MS level included an ion target value of  $3 \times 10^6$  charges in the 300 –  $1 \Box 650$  m/z range with a maximum injection time of 20 ms and a resolution of  $60 \Box 000$  at m/z 200. At the MS/MS level the target value was  $10^5$  charges with a maximum injection time of 60 ms and a resolution of  $15 \Box 000$  at m/z 200. For MS/MS events only, precursor ions with 2-5 charges that were not on the 20 s dynamic exclusion list were isolated in a 1.4 m/z window. Fragmentation was performed by higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) with a normalized collision energy of 27eV.

#### Infected time-course proteome-phosphoproteome-diGly proteome sample preparation

Frozen lysates of infected A549-ACE2 cells harvested at 6, 12 and 24 hours (also 36 hours only in phosphoproteomics study) post-infection were thawed on ice, boiled for 5 min at 95°C and sonicated for 15 min (Branson Sonifierer). Protein concentrations were estimated by tryptophan assay<sup>61</sup>. To reduce and alkylate proteins, samples were incubated for 5 min at

45°C with TCEP (10 mM) and CAA (40 mM). Samples were digested overnight at 37°C using trypsin (1:100 w/w, enzyme/protein, Sigma-Aldrich) and LysC (1:100 w/w, enzyme/protein, Wako).

For proteome analysis, 10  $\mu$ g of peptide material were desalted using SDB-RPS StageTips (Empore)<sup>61</sup>. Briefly, samples were diluted with 1% TFA in isopropanol to a final volume of 200  $\mu$ l and loaded onto StageTips, subsequently washed with 200  $\mu$ l of 1% TFA in isopropanol and 200  $\mu$ l 0.2% TFA/ 2% ACN. Peptides were eluted with 75  $\mu$ l of 1.25% Ammonium hydroxide (NH4OH) in 80% ACN and dried using a SpeedVac centrifuge (Eppendorf, Concentrator plus). They were resuspended in buffer A\* (0.2% TFA/ 2% ACN) prior to LC-MS/MS analysis. Peptide concentrations were measured optically at 280 nm (Nanodrop 2000, Thermo Scientific) and subsequently equalized using buffer A\*. 1 $\mu$ g peptide was subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

The rest of the samples was four-fold diluted with 1% TFA in isopropanol and loaded onto SDB-RPS cartridges (Strata<sup>TM</sup>-X-C, 30 mg/ 3 ml, Phenomenex Inc), pre-equilibrated with 4 ml 30% MeOH/1% TFA and washed with 4 ml 0.2% TFA. Samples were washed twice with 4 ml 1% TFA in isopropanol, once with 0.2% TFA/ 2% ACN and eluted twice with 2 ml 1.25% NH4OH/ 80% ACN. Eluted peptides were diluted with ddH<sub>2</sub>O to a final ACN concentration of 35%, snap frozen and lyophilized.

For phosphopeptide enrichment, lyophilized peptides were resuspended in 105  $\mu$ l of equilibration buffer (1% TFA/ 80% ACN) and the peptide concentration was measured optically at 280nm (Nanodrop 2000, Thermo Scientific) and subsequently equalized using equilibration buffer. The AssayMAP Bravo robot (Agilent) performed the enrichment for phosphopeptides (150 $\mu$ g) by priming AssayMAP cartridges (packed with 5  $\mu$ l Fe(III)-NTA) with 0.1% TFA in 99% ACN followed by equilibration in equilibration buffer and loading of peptides. Enriched phosphopeptides were eluted with 1% Ammonium hydroxide, which was evaporated by Speedvac'ing samples for 20 minutes. Dried peptides were resuspended in 6  $\mu$ l buffer A\* and 5  $\mu$ l was subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

For diGly peptide enrichment, lyophilized peptides were reconstituted in IAP buffer (50 mM MOPS, pH 7.2, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 50 mM NaCl) and the peptide concentration was estimated by tryptophan assay. K- $\Box$ -GG remnant containing peptides were enriched using the PTMScan® Ubiquitin Remnant Motif (K- $\Box$ -GG) Kit (Cell Signaling Technology). Crosslinking of antibodies to beads and subsequent immunopurification was performed with slight modifications as previously described<sup>62</sup>. Briefly, two vials of crosslinked beads were combined and equally split into 16 tubes (~31 µg of antibody per tube). Equal peptide amounts (600 µg) were added to crosslinked beads and the volume was adjusted with IAP buffer to 1 ml. After 1 hour of incubation at 4°C and gentle agitation, beads were washed twice with cold IAP and 5 times with cold ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Thereafter, peptides were eluted twice with 50 µl 0.15% TFA. Eluted peptides were desalted and dried as described for proteome analysis with the difference that 0.2% TFA instead of 1%TFA in isopropanol was used for the first wash. Eluted peptides were resuspended in 9 µl buffer A\* and 4 µl was subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

#### **DIA Measurements**

Samples were loaded onto a 50 cm reversed phase column (75  $\mu$ m inner diameter, packed in house with ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9  $\mu$ m resin [Dr. Maisch GmbH]). The column temperature was maintained at 60°C using a homemade column oven. A binary buffer system, consisting of buffer A (0.1% formic acid (FA)) and buffer B (80% ACN plus 0.1%

FA) was used for peptide separation, at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. An EASY-nLC  $1 \Box 200$  system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), directly coupled online with the mass spectrometer (Orbitrap Exploris 480, Thermo Fisher Scientific) *via* a nano-electrospray source, was employed for nano-flow liquid chromatography. The FAIMS device was placed between the nanoelectrospray source and the mass spectrometer and was used for measurements of the proteome and the PTM-library samples. Spray voltage was set to  $2\Box 650$  V, RF level to 40 and heated capillary temperature to  $275^{\circ}$ C.

For proteome measurements we used a 100 min gradient starting at 5% buffer B followed by a stepwise increase to 30% in 80 min, 60% in 4 min and 95% in 4 min. The buffer B concentration stayed at 95% for 4 min, decreased to 5% in 4 min and stayed there for 4 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-independent mode (DIA) with a full scan range of  $350-1 \ 650 \ m/z$  at  $120 \ 000$  resolution at 200 m/z, normalized automatic gain control (AGC) target of 300% and a maximum fill time of 28 ms. One full scan was followed by 22 windows with a resolution of  $15 \ 000$ , normalized automatic gain control (AGC) target of  $1 \ 000\%$  and a maximum fill time of 25 ms in profile mode using positive polarity. Precursor ions were fragmented by higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) (NCE 30%). Each of the selected CVs (-40, -55 and -70) was applied to sequential survey scans and MS/MS scans; the MS/MS CV was always paired with the appropriate CV from the corresponding survey scan.

For phosphopeptide samples, 5 µl were loaded and eluted with a 70 min gradient starting at 3% buffer B followed by a stepwise increase to 19% in 40 min, 41% in 20 min, 90% in 5 min and 95% in 5 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-independent mode (DIA) with a full scan range of 300-1 400 m/z at 120 000 resolution at 200 m/z and a maximum fill time of 60 ms. One full scan was followed by 32 windows with a resolution of 30 000. Normalized automatic gain control (AGC) target and maximum fill time were set to 1 000% and 54 ms, respectively, in profile mode using positive polarity. Precursor ions were fragmented by higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) (NCE stepped 25-27.5-30%). For the library generation, we enriched A549 cell lysates for phosphopeptides and measured them with 7 different CV settings (-30, -40, -50, -60, -70, -80 or -90 V) using the same DIA method. The noted CVs were applied to the FAIMS electrodes throughout the analysis.

For the analysis of K- $\Box$ -GG peptide samples, half of the samples were loaded. We used a 120 min gradient starting at 3% buffer B followed by a stepwise increase to 7% in 6 min, 20% in 49 min, 36% in 39 min, 45% in 10 min and 95% in 4 min. The buffer B concentration stayed at 95% for 4 min, decreased to 5% in 4 min and stayed there for 4 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-independent mode (DIA) with a full scan range of 300-1 $\Box$ 350 m/z at 120 $\Box$ 000 resolution at m/z 200, normalized automatic gain control (AGC) target of 300% and a maximum fill time of 20 ms. One full scan was followed by 46 windows with a resolution of 30 $\Box$ 000. Normalized automatic gain control (AGC) target and maximum fill time were set to 1 $\Box$ 000% and 54 ms, respectively, in profile mode using positive polarity. Precursor ions were fragmented by higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) (NCE 28%). For K- $\Box$ -GG peptide library, we mixed the first replicate of each

sample and measured them with eight different CV setting (-35, -40, -45, -50, -55, -60, -70 or -80 V) using the same DIA method.

### Processing of raw MS data

#### AP-MS data

Raw MS data files of AP-MS experiments conducted in DDA mode were processed with MaxQuant (version 1.6.14) using the standard settings and label-free quantification enabled (LFQ min ratio count 1, normalization type none, stabilize large LFQ ratios disabled). Spectra were searched against forward and reverse sequences of the reviewed human proteome including isoforms (UniprotKB, release 2019.10) and C-terminally HA-tagged SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and HCoV proteins by the built-in Andromeda search engine<sup>63</sup>.

In-house Julia scripts<sup>64</sup> were used to define alternative protein groups: only the peptides identified in AP-MS samples were considered for being protein group-specific, protein groups that differed by the single specific peptide or had less than 25% different specific peptides were merged to extend the set of peptides used for protein group quantitation and reduce the number of protein isoform-specific interactions.

#### Viral protein overexpression DIA MS data

Spectronaut version 13 (Biognosys) with the default settings was used to generate the proteome libraries from DDA runs by combining files of respective fractionations using the human fasta file (Uniprot, 2019.10,  $42 \Box 431$  entries) and viral bait sequences. Proteome DIA files were analyzed using the proteome library with the default settings and disabled cross run normalization.

#### SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV-infected proteome/PTM DIA MS data

Spectronaut version 14 (Biognosys)<sup>65</sup> was used to generate the libraries and analyze all DIA files using the human fasta file (UniprotKB, release 2019.10) and sequences of SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV proteins (UniProt, release 2020.08). Orf1a polyprotein sequences were split into separate protein chains according to the cleavage positions specified in the UniProt. For the generation of the PTM-specific libraries, the DIA single CV runs were combined with the actual DIA runs and either phosphorylation at Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine or GlyGly at Lysine was added as variable modification to default settings. Maximum number of fragment ions per peptide was increased to 25. The proteome DIA files were analyzed using direct DIA approach with default settings and disabled cross run normalization. All PTM DIA files were analyzed using their respective hybrid library and either phosphorylation at Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine or GlyGly at Lysine was added as an additional variable modification to default settings and disabled prosphorylation at Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine or GlyGly at Lysine was added as an additional variable modification to default settings and disabled prosphorylation at Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine or GlyGly at Lysine was added as an additional variable modification to default settings with LOESS normalization and disabled PTM localization filter.

A collection of in-house Julia scripts<sup>64</sup> were used to process the elution group (EG) -level Spectronaut reports, identify PTMs and assign EG-level measurements to PTMs. The PTM was considered if at least once it was detected with  $\ge 0.75$  localization probability in EG with q-value  $\le 10^{-3}$ . For further analysis of given PTM, only the measurements with  $\ge 0.5$  localization probability and EG q-value  $\le 10^{-2}$  were used.

### **Bioinformatic analysis**

Unless otherwise specified, the bioinformatic analysis was done in R (version 3.6), Julia (version 1.5) and Python (version 3.8) using a collection of in-house scripts<sup>64,66</sup>.

#### Statistical analysis of MS data

MaxOuant and Spectronaut output files were imported into R using in-house maxquantUtils R package<sup>67</sup>. For all MS datasets, the Bayesian linear random effects models were used to define how the abundances of proteins change between the conditions. To specify and fit the models we employed msglm R package<sup>68</sup>, which utilizes rstan package (version 2.19)<sup>69</sup> for inferring the posterior distribution of the model parameters. In all the models, the effects corresponding to the experimental conditions have regularized horseshoe+ priors<sup>70</sup>, while the batch effects have normally distributed priors. Laplacian distribution was used to model the instrumental error of MS intensities. For each MS instrument used, the heteroscedastic intensities noise model was calibrated with the technical replicate MS data of the instrument. These data were also used to calibrate the logit-based model of missing MS data (the probability that the MS instrument will fail to identify the protein given its expected abundance in the sample). The model was fit using unnormalized MS intensities data. Instead of transforming the data by normalization, the inferred protein abundances were scaled by the normalization multiplier of each individual MS sample to match the expected MS intensity of that sample. This allows taking the signal-to-noise variation between the samples into account when fitting the model. Due to high computational intensity, the model was applied to each protein group separately. For all the models,  $4 \square 000$  iterations ( $2 \square 000$ warmup +  $2 \Box 000$  sampling) of the No-U-Turn Markov Chain Monte Carlo were performed in 7 or 8 independent chains, every 4th sample was collected for posterior distribution of the model parameters. For estimating the statistical significance of protein abundance changes between the two experimental conditions, the p-value was defined as the probability that a random sample from the posterior distribution of the first condition would be smaller (or larger) than a random sample drawn from the second condition. No multiple hypothesis testing corrections were applied, since this is handled by the choice of the model priors.

#### Statistical analysis of AP-MS data and filtering for specific interactions

The statistical model was applied directly to the MS1 intensities of protein group-specific LC peaks (*evidence.txt* table of MaxQuant output). In R GLM formula language, the model could be specified as

#### $log(Intensity(t)) \sim 1 + APMS + Bait + Bait: Virus + MS1peak + MSbatch,$

where *APMS* effect models the average shift of intensities in AP-MS data in comparison to full proteome samples, *Bait* is the average enrichment of a protein in AP-MS experiments of homologous proteins of both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, and *Bait:Virus* corresponds to the virus-specific changes in protein enrichment. *MS1peak* is the log-ratio between the intensity of a given peak and the total protein abundance (the peak is defined by its peptide sequence, PTMs and the charge; it is assumed that the peak ratios do not depend on experimental conditions<sup>71</sup>), and *MSbatch* accounts for batch-specific variations of protein intensity. *APMS*, *Bait* and *Bait:Virus* effects were used to reconstruct the batch effect-free abundance of the protein in AP-MS samples.

The modeling provided the enrichment estimates for each protein in each AP experiment. Specific AP-MS interactions had to pass the two tests. In the first test, the enrichment of the candidate protein in a given bait AP was compared against the background, which was dynamically defined for each interaction to contain the data from all other baits, where the abundance of the candidate was within 50%-90% percentile range (excluding top 10% baits from the background allowed the protein to be shared by a few baits in the resulting AP-MS network). The non-targeting control and Gaussia luciferase baits were always preserved in the background. Similarly, to filter out any potential side-effects of very high bait protein expression, the ORF3 homologs were always present in the background of M interactors and vice versa. To rule out the influence of the batch effects, the second test was applied. It was defined similarly to the first one, but the background was constrained to the baits of the same batch, and 40%-80% percentile range was used. In both tests, the protein has to be 4 times enriched against the background (16 times for highly expressed baits: ORF3, M, NSP13, NSP5, NSP6, ORF3a, ORF7b, ORF8b, HCoV-229E ORF4a) with the p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ .

Additionally, we excluded the proteins that, in the viral protein expression data, have shown upregulation, and their enrichment in AP-MS data was less than 16 times stronger than observed upregulation effects. Finally, to exclude the carryover of material between the samples sequentially analyzed by MS, we removed the putative interactors, which were also enriched at higher levels in the samples of the preceding bait, or the one before it.

For the analysis of interaction specificity between the homologous viral proteins, we estimated the significance of interaction enrichment difference (corrected by the average difference between the enrichment of the shared interactors to adjust for the bait expression variation). Specific interactions have to be 4 times enriched in comparison to the homolog with p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ .

#### Statistical analysis of DIA proteome effects upon viral protein overexpression

The statistical model of the viral protein overexpression data set was similar to AP-MS data, except that protein-level intensities provided by Spectronaut were used. The PCA analysis of the protein intensities has identified that the 2nd principal component is associated with the batch-dependent variations between the samples. To exclude their influence, this principal component was added to the experimental design matrix as an additional batch effect.

As with AP-MS data, the two statistical tests were used to identify the significantly regulated proteins (column "is\_change" in Supplementary Table 3). First, the absolute value of median log<sub>2</sub>-fold change of the protein abundance upon overexpression of a given viral protein in comparison to the background had to be above 1.0 with p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ . The background was individually defined for each analyzed protein. It was composed of experiments, where the abundance of given protein was within the 20%-80% percentile range of all measured samples. Second, the protein had to be significantly regulated (same median log<sub>2</sub>-fold change and p-value thresholds applied) against the batch-specific background (defined similarly to the global background, but using only the samples of the same batch).

An additional stringent criterion was applied to select the most significant changes (column "is\_top\_change" in Supplementary Table 3; Extended data Fig. 1i).

For each protein we classified bait-induced changes as:

- "high" when  $|\text{median } \log_2 \text{ fold-change}| \ge 1$  and p-value  $\le 10^{-10}$  both in background and batch comparisons
- "medium" if  $10^{-10} < p$ -value  $\le 10^{-4}$  with same fold-change requirement and
- "low" if  $10^{-4} < p$ -value  $\le 10^{-2}$  with same fold-change requirement,

all other changes were considered non-significant.

We then required that "shared" top-regulated proteins should have exactly one pair of SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV "high"- or "medium"-significant homologous baits among the baits with either up- or downregulated changes and no other baits with significant changes of the same-type.

We further defined "SARS-CoV-2-specific" or "SARS-CoV-specific" top-regulated proteins to be the ones with exactly one "high"-significant change, and no other significant changes of the same sign. For "specific" hits we additionally required that in comparison of "high"-significant bait to its homolog |median log<sub>2</sub> fold-change|  $\geq 1$  and p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ . When the homologous bait was missing (SARS-CoV-2 NSP1, SARS-CoV ORF8a and SARS-CoV ORF8b), we instead required that in the comparison of the "high"-significant change to the background |median log<sub>2</sub> fold-change|  $\geq 1.5$ .

The resulting network of most affected proteins was imported and prepared for publication in Cytoscape v.3.8.1<sup>72</sup>.

Statistical analysis of DIA proteomic data of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-infected A549-ACE2 cells

Similarly to the AP-MS DDA data, the linear Bayesian model was applied to the elution group (EG) level intensities. To model the protein intensity, the following linear model (in R notation) was used:

$$log (Intensity(t)) \sim 1 + \sum_{t_i \leq t} (after(t_i) + (infection \pm CoV2): after(t_i)) + EG,$$

where

- $after(t_i)$  effect corresponds to the protein abundance changes in mock-infected samples that happened between  $t_{i-1}$  and  $t_i$  h.p.i. and it is applied to the modeled intensity at all time points starting from  $t_i$ ;
- *infection:after*(*t<sub>i</sub>*) (*t<sub>i</sub>*=6, 12, 24) is the common effect of SARS-CoV-2 & SARS-CoV infections occurred between *t<sub>i-1</sub>* and *t<sub>i</sub>*;
- *CoV2:after*(*t<sub>i</sub>*) is the virus-specific effect within *t<sub>i-1</sub>* and *t<sub>i</sub>* h.p.i. that is added to the log intensity for SARS-CoV-2-infected samples and subtracted from the intensity for SARS-CoV ones;
- *EG* is the elution group-specific shift in the measured log-intensities.

The absolute value of median  $\log_2$  fold change between the conditions above 0.25 and the corresponding unadjusted p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$  were used to define the significant changes at a given time point in comparison to mock infection. We also required that the protein group is quantified in at least two replicates of at least one of the compared conditions. Additionally, if for one of the viruses (*e.g.* SARS-CoV-2) only the less stringent condition (|median  $\log_2$  fold-change|  $\geq 0.125$ , p-value  $\leq 10^{-2}$ ) was fulfilled, but the change was significant in the

infection of the other virus (SARS-CoV), and the difference between the viruses was not significant, the observed changes were considered significant for both viruses.

Statistical analysis of DIA phosphoproteome and ubiquitinome data of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infections

The data from single- double- and triple-modified peptides were analyzed separately and, for a given PTM, the most significant result was reported.

The data was analyzed with the same Bayesian linear model as proteome SARS-CoV/-2 infection data. In addition to the intensities normalization, for each replicate sample the scale of the effects in the experimental design matrix was adjusted, so that on average the correlation between log fold-changes of the replicates was 1:1. The same logic as for the proteome analysis, was applied to identify significant changes, but the median  $\log_2$  fold change had to be larger than 0.5, or 0.25 for the less stringent test. We additionally required that the PTM peptides are quantified in at least two replicates of at least one of the compared conditions. To ignore the changes in PTM site intensities that are due to proteome-level regulation, we excluded PTM sites on significantly regulated proteins if the direction of protein and PTM site changes was the same and the difference between their median log<sub>2</sub> fold changes was less than 2. Phosphoproteomics data were further analyzed with Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (OIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis)

Transcriptomic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infected A549-ACE2 cells

As for the analysis of the transcriptome data, Gencode gene annotations v28 and the human reference genome GRCh38 were derived from the Gencode homepage (EMBL-EBI). Viral genomes were derived from GenBank (SARS-CoV-2 - LR824570.1, and SARS-CoV - AY291315.1). Dropseq tool v1.12 was used for mapping raw sequencing data to the reference genome. The resulting UMI filtered count matrix was imported into R v3.4.4. CPM (counts per million) values were calculated for the raw data and genes having a mean cpm value less than 1 were removed from the dataset. A dummy variable combining the covariates infection status (mock, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2) and time point was used for modeling the data within Limma (v3.46.0)<sup>73</sup>.

Data was transformed with the Voom method<sup>73</sup> followed by quantile normalization. Differential testing was performed between infection states at individual timepoints by calculating moderated t-statistics and p-values for each host gene. A gene was considered to be significantly regulated if the FDR adjusted p-value was below 0.05. The data for this study have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB38744.

#### Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

We have used Gene Ontology, Reactome and other EnrichmentMap gene sets of human proteins (version 2020.10)<sup>74</sup> as well as protein complexes annotations from IntAct Complex Portal (version 2019.11)<sup>75</sup> and CORUM (version 2019)<sup>76</sup>. PhosphoSitePlus (version

2020.08) was used for known kinase-substrate and regulatory sites annotations, Perseus (version 1.6.14.0)<sup>77</sup> was used for annotation of known kinase motifs. For transcription factor enrichment analysis (Extended data Fig. 2e) the significantly regulated transcripts were submitted to ChEA3 web-based application<sup>78</sup> and ENCODE data on transcription factor–target gene associations were used<sup>79</sup>.

To find the nonredundant collection of annotations describing the unique and shared features of multiple experiments in a dataset (Figure 1d, Extended data Fig. 2l, m), we have used inhouse Julia package OptEnrichedSetCover.jl<sup>80</sup>, which employs evolutionary multi-objective optimization technique to find a collection of annotation terms that have both significant enrichments in the individual experiments and minimal pairwise overlaps.

The resulting set of terms was further filtered by requiring that the annotation term has to be significant with the specified unadjusted Fisher's Exact Test p-value cutoff at least in one of the experiments or comparisons (the specific cutoff value is indicated in the figure legend of the corresponding enrichment analysis).

The generation of diagonally-split heatmaps was done with VegaLite.jl package (https://github.com/queryverse/VegaLite.jl).

#### Viral PTMs alignment

For matching the PTMs of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV the protein sequences were using BioAlignments.jl Julia aligned the package (v.2.0, https://github.com/BioJulia/BioAlignments.jl). Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with BLOSUM80 substitution matrix, -5 and -3 penalties for the gap and extension, respectively. As for the cellular proteins, we required that the viral phosphorylation or ubiquitination site is observed with q-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$  and localization probability  $\geq 0.75$ . For the PTMs with lower confidence (q-value  $\le 10^{-2}$  and localization probability  $\ge 0.5$ ) we required that the same site is observed with high confidence at the matching position of the orthologous protein of the other virus.

#### Network diffusion analysis

To systematically detect functional interactions, which may connect the cellular targets of each viral protein (interactome dataset) with the downstream changes it induces on proteome level (effectome dataset), we have used the network diffusion-based HierarchicalHotNet method<sup>36</sup> as implemented in Julia package HierarchicalHotNet.jl<sup>81</sup>. Specifically, for network diffusion with restart, we used the ReactomeFI network (version 2019)<sup>35</sup> of cellular functional interactions, reversing the direction of functional interaction (*e.g.* replacing kinase—substrate interaction with substrate—kinase). The proteins with significant abundance changes upon bait overexpression (|median(log<sub>2</sub> fold change)|  $\geq 0.25$ , p-value  $\leq 10^{-2}$  both in the comparison against the controls and against the baits of the same batch) were used as the sources of signal diffusion with weights set to

 $w_i = \sqrt{|median|} \log_2 \text{fold-change} |\cdot| \log_{10} p\text{-value} |$ , otherwise the node weight was set to zero. The weight of the edge  $g_i \mathbb{Z} g_j$  was set to  $w_{i,j} = 1 + w_j$ . The restart probability was set to 0.4, as suggested in the original publication, so that the probability of the random walk to stay in the direct neighborhood of the node is the same as the probability to visit more

distant nodes. To find the optimal cutting threshold of the resulting hierarchical tree of strongly connected components (SCCs) of the weighted graph corresponding to the stationary distribution of signal diffusion and to confirm the relevance of predicted functional connections, the same procedure was applied to  $1 \Box 000$  random permutations of vertex weights as described in Reyna *et al.*<sup>36</sup> (vertex weights are randomly shuffled between the vertices with similar in- and out-degrees). Since cutting the tree of SCCs at any threshold *t* (keeping only the edges with weights above *t*) and collapsing each resulting SCC into a single node produces the directed acyclic graph of connections between SCCs, it allowed efficient enumeration of the paths from the "source" nodes (proteins strongly perturbed by viral protein expression with vertex weight *w*,  $w \ge 1.5$ ) to the "sink" nodes (interactors of the viral protein). At each threshold *t*, the average inverse of the path length from source to sink nodes was calculated as:

$$L_{avg}^{-l}(t) = \frac{1}{N_{src} \cdot N_{sink}} \sum_{p} L_{scc}^{-1}(p),$$

where  $N_{src}$  is the number of "sources",  $N_{sink}$  is the number of "sinks",  $L_{SCC}(p)$  is the number of SCCs that the given path p from source to sink goes through, and the sum is for all paths from sources to sinks. The metric changes from 1 (all sources and sinks in the same SCC) to 0 (no or infinitely long paths between sources and sinks). For the generation of the diffusion networks we were using the  $t_{opt}$  threshold that maximized the difference between  $L_{avg}^{-1}(t)$  for the real data and the third quartile of  $L_{avg}^{-1}(t)$  for randomly shuffled data.

In the generated SCC networks, the direction of the edges was reverted back, and the results were exported as GraphML files using in-house Julia scripts<sup>64</sup>. The catalogue of the networks for each viral bait is available as Supplementary Data 1.

To assess the significance of edges in the resulting network, we calculated the p-value of the edge  $g_i \square g_j$  as the probability that the permuted data-based transition probability between the given pair of genes is higher than the real data-based one:

$$P(w_{real}(g_i, g_j) \le w_{perm}(g_i, g_j)).$$

This p-value was stored as the "prob\_perm\_walkweight\_greater" edge attribute of GraphML output. The specific subnetworks predicted by the network diffusion (Figure 4b - d) were filtered for edges with p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

When the  $g_i \mathbb{Z} g_j$  connection was not present in the ReactomeFI network, to recover the potential short pathways connecting  $g_i$  and  $g_j$ , ReactomeFI was searched for intermediate  $g_k$  nodes, such that the edges  $g_i \mathbb{Z} g_k$  and  $g_k \mathbb{Z} g_j$  are present in ReactomeFI. The list of these short pathways is provided as "flowpaths" edge attribute in GraphML output.

The GraphML output of network diffusion was prepared for publication using yEd (v.3.20, www.yworks.com).

Intersection with other SARS coronavirus datasets

The intersection between the data generated by this study and other publicly available datasets was done using the information from respective supplementary tables. When multiple viruses were used in a study, only the comparisons with SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 were included. For time-resolved data, all time points up to 24 h.p.i. were considered. The dataset coverage was defined as the number of reported distinct protein groups for proteomic studies and genes for transcriptomic studies. Confident interactions/significant regulations were filtered according to the criteria specified in the original study. A hit was considered as "confirmed" when it was significant both in this and external data and showed the same trend.

#### qRT-PCR analysis

RNA isolation from SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected A549-ACE2 cells was performed as described above (Qiagen). 500 ng total RNA was used for reverse transcription with PrimeScript RT with gDNA eraser (Takara). For relative transcript quantification PowerUp SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems) was used. Primer sequences can be provided upon request.

#### Co-immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis

HEK293T cells were transfected with pWPI plasmid encoding single HA-tagged viral proteins, alone or together with pTO-SII-HA expressing host factor of interest. 48 hours after transfection, cells were washed in PBS, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C until further processing. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed as described previously<sup>55,56</sup>. Briefly, cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2% (v/v) NP-40, 5% (v/v) glycerol, cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 0.5% (v/v) 750 U/µl Sm DNAse) and sonicated (5 min, 4°C, 30 sec on, 30 sec off, low settings; Bioruptor, Diagenode SA). HA or Streptactin beads were added to cleared lysates and samples were incubated for 3 hours at 4°C under constant rotation. Beads were washed six times in the lysis buffer and resuspended in 1x SDS sample buffer 62,5 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 50 mM DTT, 0.01% bromophenol blue). After boiling for 5 minutes at 95°C, a fraction of the input lysate and elution were loaded on NuPAGE<sup>TM</sup> Novex<sup>TM</sup> 4-12% Bis-Tris (Invitrogen), and further submitted to western blotting using Amersham Protran nitrocellulose membranes. Imaging was performed by HRP luminescence (ECL, Perkin Elmer).

SARS-CoV-2 infected A549-ACE2 cell lysates were sonicated (10 min, 4°C, 30 sec on, 30 sec off, low settings; Bioruptor, Diagenode SA). Protein concentration was adjusted based on Pierce660 assay supplemented with ionic detergent compatibility reagent. After boiling for 5 min at 95°C and brief max g centrifugation, the samples were loaded on NuPAGE<sup>TM</sup> Novex<sup>TM</sup> 4-12% Bis-Tris (Invitrogen), and blotted onto 0,22  $\mu$ m Amersham<sup>TM</sup> Protran<sup>®</sup> nitrocellulose membranes (Merck). Primary and secondary antibody stainings were performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Imaging was performed by HRP luminescence using Femto kit (ThermoFischer Scientific) or Western Lightning PlusECL kit (Perkin Elmer).

### Mapping of identified post-translational modification sites on the C-terminal domain structure of the Nucleocapsid protein

N CTD dimers of SARS-CoV-2 (PDB: 6YUN) and SARS-CoV (PDB: 2CJR) were superimposed by aligning the α-carbons backbone over 111 residues (from position 253/254 to position 364/365 following SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV numbering) by using the tool MatchMaker<sup>82</sup> as implemented in the Chimera software<sup>83</sup>. Ubiquitination sites were visually inspected and mapped by using the PyMOL software (https://pymol.org). Phosphorylation on Ser310/311 was simulated in silico by using the PyTMs plugin as implemented in PyMOL<sup>84</sup>. Inter-chain residue contacts, dimer interface area, free energy and complex stability were comparatively analyzed between non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV N CTD by using the PDBePISA server<sup>85</sup>. Poisson–Boltzmann electrostatic surface potential of native and post-translationally modified N CTD was calculated by using the PBEQ Solver tool on the CHARMM-GUI server by preserving existing hydrogen bonds<sup>86</sup>. Molecular graphics depictions were produced with the PyMOL software.

#### **Reporter Assay and IFN Bioassay**

The following reporter constructs were used in this study: pISRE-luc was purchased from Stratagene, EF1- $\alpha$ -ren from Engin Gürlevik, pCAGGS-Flag-RIG-I from Chris Basler, pIRF1-GAS-ff-luc, pWPI-SMN1-flag and pWPI-NS5 (ZIKV)-HA was described previously<sup>56,87</sup>.

For the reporter assay, HEK293-R1 cells were plated in 24-well plates 24 hours prior to transfection. Firefly reporter and Renilla transfection control were transfected together with plasmids expressing viral proteins using polyethylenimine (PEI, Polysciences) for untreated and treated conditions. In 18 hours cells were stimulated for 8 hours with a corresponding inducer and harvested in the passive lysis buffer (Promega). Luminescence of Firefly and Renilla luciferases was measured using dual-luciferase-reporter assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions in a microplate reader (Tecan).

Total amounts of IFN- $\alpha/\beta$  in cell supernatants were measured by using 293T cells stably expressing the firefly luciferase gene under the control of the mouse Mx1 promoter (Mx1-luc reporter cells)<sup>88</sup>. Briefly, HEK293-R1 cells were seeded, transfected with pCAGGS-flag-RIG-I plus viral protein constructs and stimulated as described above. Cell supernatants were harvested in 8 hours. Mx1-luc reporter cells were seeded into 96-well plates in triplicates and were treated 24 hours later with supernatants. At 16 hours post-incubation, cells were lysed in the passive lysis buffer (Promega), and luminescence was measured with a microplate reader (Tecan). The assay sensitivity was determined by a standard curve.

### Viral inhibitor assay

A549-ACE2 cells were seeded into 96-well plates in DMEM medium (10% FCS, 100 ug/ml Streptomycin, 100 IU/ml Penicillin) one day before infection. Six hours before infection, or at the time of infection, the medium was replaced with 100µl of DMEM medium containing either the compounds of interest or DMSO as a control. Infection was performed by adding 10µl of SARS-CoV-2-GFP (MOI 3) per well and plates were placed in the IncuCyte S3 Live-Cell Analysis System where whole well real-time images of mock (Phase channel) and infected (GFP and Phase channel) cells were captured every 4 hours for 48 hours. Cell viability (mock) and virus growth (mock and infected) were assessed as the cell confluence per well (Phase area) and GFP area normalized on cell confluence per well (GFP area/Phase area) respectively using IncuCyte S3 Software (Essen Bioscience; version 2019B Rev2).

For comparative analysis of antiviral treatment activity against SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, A549-ACE2 cells were seeded in 24-well plates, as previously described. Treatment was

performed for 6 hours with 0.5ml of DMEM medium containing either the compounds of interest or DMSO as a control, and infected with SARS-CoV-Frankfurt-1 or SARS-CoV-2-MUC-IMB-1 (MOI 1) for 24 hours. Total cellular RNA was harvested and analyzed by qRT-PCR, as previously described.

#### Main text statements:

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Stefan Pöhlmann for sharing ACE2 plasmids and Robert Baier for technical assistance, Monika Trojan for advice on drugs, Juan Pancorbo and Johannes Albert-von der Gönna from the Leibniz Supercomputing Centre (www.lrz.de) for technical assistance. We further thank the Karl Max von Bauernfeind - Verein for support for the screening microscope. Work in the authors' laboratories was supported by an ERC consolidator grant (ERC-CoG ProDAP, 817798), the German Research Foundation (PI 1084/3, PI 1084/4, PI 1084/5, TRR179/TP11, TRR237/A07) and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (COVINET) to A.P. This work was also supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (CLINSPECT-M) to BK. AW was supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC). The work of JZ was supported by the German Research Foundation (SFB1021, A01 and B01; KFO309, P3), the State of Hessen through the LOEWE Program (DRUID, B02) and the German Ministry for Education and Research (COVINET, RAPID). We specially thank Roberto Polakiewicz, Florian Gnad and Cell Signaling Technology (CST) for gifting of PTMScan® Ubiquitin Remnant Motif (K-□-GG) Kits. The work of P.S. at the Heinrich Pette Institute is supported by the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg and the Federal Ministry of Health.

#### Author contribution statements

Conceptualization: A.S., V.Gi., V.Gr., O.K., V.B., C.U., D.A.H., Y.H., J.Z., P.S., M.M., A.Pic.

Investigation: V.Gi., V.Gr., O.K., V.B., C.U., D.A.H., Y.H., L.O., A.W., A.Pir., F.M.H., M.C.R., I.P., T.M.L., R.E., J.J., P.S. Data analysis: A.S., V.Gi., V.Gr., V.B., O.K., C.U., D.A.H., Y.H., S.M.H., F.M.H., M.C.R.,

L.Z., T.E., M.R.

Funding acquisition: R.W., B.K., U.P., R.R., J.Z., V.T., M.M., A.Pic.

Supervision: M.M., R.R., A.Pic.

Writing: A.S., V.Gi., V.Gr., O.K., V.B., C.U., D.A.H., Y.H., L.Z., M.M., A.Pic.

#### **Competing Interest statement**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional Information statement

**Supplementary Information** is available for this paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Andreas Pichlmair (<u>andreas.pichlmair@tum.de</u>). Reprints and permissions information is available at <u>www.nature.com/reprints</u>.

#### Data availability statement

The raw sequencing data for this study have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB38744 (<u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB38744</u>). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium *via* the PRIDE<sup>89</sup> partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD022282, PXD020461 and PXD020222.

The protein interactions from this publication have been submitted to the IMEx (<u>http://www.imexconsor-tium.org</u>) consortium through IntAct<sup>90</sup> with the identifier IM-28109.

The data and analysis results are accessible online *via* the interactive web interface at <u>https://covinet.innatelab.org</u>.

The following public data sets were used in the study:

- Gene Ontology and Reactome annotations (<u>http://download.baderlab.org/EM\_Genesets/April\_01\_2019/Human/UniProt/H</u> <u>uman\_GO\_AllPathways\_with\_GO\_iea\_April\_01\_2019\_UniProt.gmt</u>)
- IntAct Protein Interactions (<u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/</u>, v2019.12)
- IntAct Protein Complexes (<u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/complexportal/home</u>, v2019.12)
- CORUM Protein Complexes (<u>http://mips.helmholtz-</u> <u>muenchen.de/corum/download/allComplexes.xml.zip</u>, v2018.3)
- Reactome Functional Interactions (<u>https://reactome.org/download/tools/ReatomeFIs/FIsInGene\_020720\_with\_ann\_otations.txt.zip</u>)
- Human (v2019.10), Human-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (v2020.08) protein sequences: <u>https://uniprot.org</u>.

#### **Code availability statement**

In-house R and Julia packages and scripts used for the bioinformatics analysis of the data have been deposited to public GitHub repositories: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4536603</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4536590</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4536596</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4536596</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4541090</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4541082</u>.

#### Additional references:

- 11. von Brunn, A. *et al*. Analysis of Intraviral Protein-Protein Interactions of the SARS Coronavirus ORFeome. *PLoS One* **2**, (2007).
- Cornillez-Ty, C. T., Liao, L., Yates, J. R., Kuhn, P. & Buchmeier, M. J. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 2 Interacts with a Host Protein Complex Involved in Mitochondrial Biogenesis and Intracellular Signaling. *Journal of Virology* 83, 10314–10318 (2009).
- 13. Andrianifahanana, M. *et al.* ERBB receptor activation is required for profibrotic responses to transforming growth factor beta. *Cancer Res.* **70**, 7421–7430 (2010).
- 14. Pittet, J.-F. *et al.* TGF-β is a critical mediator of acute lung injury. *J Clin Invest* **107**, 1537–1544 (2001).
- 15. George, P. M., Wells, A. U. & Jenkins, R. G. Pulmonary fibrosis and COVID-19: the potential role for antifibrotic therapy. *Lancet Respir Med* **8**, 807–815 (2020).
- 16. Mo, X. *et al.* Abnormal pulmonary function in COVID-19 patients at time of hospital discharge. *European Respiratory Journal* (2020) doi:10.1183/13993003.01217-2020.
- 17. Heo, J.-M. *et al.* Integrated proteogenetic analysis reveals the landscape of a mitochondrialautophagosome synapse during PARK2-dependent mitophagy. *Science Advances* **5**, eaay4624 (2019).
- Shi, C.-S. *et al.* SARS-Coronavirus Open Reading Frame-9b Suppresses Innate Immunity by Targeting Mitochondria and the MAVS/TRAF3/TRAF6 Signalosome. *The Journal of Immunology* 193, 3080–3089 (2014).
- Hoagland, D. A. *et al.* Modulating the transcriptional landscape of SARS-CoV-2 as an effective method for developing antiviral compounds. *bioRxiv* 2020.07.12.199687 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.07.12.199687.
- 20. Castiglione, V., Chiriacò, M., Emdin, M., Taddei, S. & Vergaro, G. Statin therapy in COVID-19 infection. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* (2020) doi:10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa042.

- 21. Radenkovic, D., Chawla, S., Pirro, M., Sahebkar, A. & Banach, M. Cholesterol in Relation to COVID-19: Should We Care about It? *J Clin Med* **9**, (2020).
- Chu, H. *et al.* Comparative replication and immune activation profiles of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV in human lungs: an ex vivo study with implications for the pathogenesis of COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis* doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa410.
- Zhu, Z. et al. From SARS and MERS to COVID-19: a brief summary and comparison of severe acute respiratory infections caused by three highly pathogenic human coronaviruses. *Respiratory Research* 21, 224 (2020).
- 24. Cazzaniga, A., Locatelli, L., Castiglioni, S. & Maier, J. The Contribution of EDF1 to PPARγ Transcriptional Activation in VEGF-Treated Human Endothelial Cells. *Int J Mol Sci* **19**, (2018).
- 25. Gavriilaki, E. *et al.* Endothelial Dysfunction in COVID-19: Lessons Learned from Coronaviruses. *Curr Hypertens Rep* **22**, 63 (2020).
- 26. Daniloski, Z. *et al.* Identification of Required Host Factors for SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Human Cells. *Cell* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.030.
- 27. Shinde, S. R. & Maddika, S. PTEN modulates EGFR late endocytic trafficking and degradation by dephosphorylating Rab7. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10689 (2016).
- Wang, D. *et al.* Auto-phosphorylation Represses Protein Kinase R Activity. *Scientific Reports* 7, 44340 (2017).
- 29. Yu, Y. T.-C. *et al.* Surface vimentin is critical for the cell entry of SARS-CoV. *Journal of Biomedical Science* **23**, 14 (2016).
- 30. dos Santos, G. *et al.* Vimentin regulates activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6574 (2015).
- 31. Ramos, I., Stamatakis, K., Oeste, C. L. & Pérez-Sala, D. Vimentin as a Multifaceted Player and Potential Therapeutic Target in Viral Infections. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, (2020).
- 32. Zinzula, L. *et al.* High-resolution structure and biophysical characterization of the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein dimerization domain from the Covid-19 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.09.131.

- Chen, C.-Y. *et al.* Structure of the SARS Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein RNA-binding Dimerization Domain Suggests a Mechanism for Helical Packaging of Viral RNA. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 368, 1075–1086 (2007).
- 34. Perry, J. S. A. *et al.* Interpreting an apoptotic corpse as anti-inflammatory involves a chloride sensing pathway. *Nature Cell Biology* **21**, 1532–1543 (2019).
- 35. Wu, G., Dawson, E., Duong, A., Haw, R. & Stein, L. ReactomeFIViz: a Cytoscape app for pathway and network-based data analysis. *F1000Res* **3**, 146 (2014).
- 36. Reyna, M. A., Leiserson, M. D. M. & Raphael, B. J. Hierarchical HotNet: identifying hierarchies of altered subnetworks. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i972–i980 (2018).
- 37. Cottam, E. M., Whelband, M. C. & Wileman, T. Coronavirus NSP6 restricts autophagosome expansion. *Autophagy* **10**, 1426–1441 (2014).
- Ohsaki, Y., Cheng, J., Fujita, A., Tokumoto, T. & Fujimoto, T. Cytoplasmic Lipid Droplets Are Sites of Convergence of Proteasomal and Autophagic Degradation of Apolipoprotein B. *Mol Biol Cell* 17, 2674–2683 (2006).
- Khalil Maged F., Wagner William D., & Goldberg Ira J. Molecular Interactions Leading to Lipoprotein Retention and the Initiation of Atherosclerosis. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 24, 2211–2218 (2004).
- 40. Nicolai Leo *et al.* Immunothrombotic Dysregulation in COVID-19 Pneumonia Is Associated With Respiratory Failure and Coagulopathy. *Circulation* **142**, 1176–1189 (2020).
- 41. Zavadil, J. *et al.* Genetic programs of epithelial cell plasticity directed by transforming growth factor-β. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**, 6686–6691 (2001).
- 42. Qin, Z., Xia, W., Fisher, G. J., Voorhees, J. J. & Quan, T. YAP/TAZ regulates TGF-β/Smad3 signaling by induction of Smad7 via AP-1 in human skin dermal fibroblasts. *Cell Commun. Signal* 16, 18 (2018).
- Thao, T. T. N. *et al.* Rapid reconstruction of SARS-CoV-2 using a synthetic genomics platform.
  *Nature* 1–8 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2294-9.

- 44. Mantlo, E., Bukreyeva, N., Maruyama, J., Paessler, S. & Huang, C. Antiviral activities of type I interferons to SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Antiviral Res* **179**, 104811 (2020).
- Seebacher, N. A., Stacy, A. E., Porter, G. M. & Merlot, A. M. Clinical development of targeted and immune based anti-cancer therapies. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research* 38, 156 (2019).
- 46. O'Shea, J. J., Kontzias, A., Yamaoka, K., Tanaka, Y. & Laurence, A. Janus kinase Inhibitors in autoimmune diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* **72**, ii111–ii115 (2013).
- 47. Yong, H.-Y., Koh, M.-S. & Moon, A. The p38 MAPK inhibitors for the treatment of inflammatory diseases and cancer. *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs* **18**, 1893–1905 (2009).
- 48. Hsieh, W.-Y. *et al.* ACE/ACE2 ratio and MMP-9 activity as potential biomarkers in tuberculous pleural effusions. *Int J Biol Sci* **8**, 1197–1205 (2012).
- 49. Ueland, T. *et al.* Distinct and early increase in circulating MMP-9 in COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure. *J Infect* **81**, e41–e43 (2020).
- 50. Villalta, P. C., Rocic, P. & Townsley, M. I. Role of MMP2 and MMP9 in TRPV4-induced lung injury. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* **307**, L652–L659 (2014).
- 51. Marten, N. W. & Zhou, J. The Role of Metalloproteinases in Corona Virus Infection. Experimental Models of Multiple Sclerosis 839–848 (2005) doi:10.1007/0-387-25518-4\_48.
- 52. Zhang, J.-Y. *et al.* Single-cell landscape of immunological responses in patients with COVID-19. *Nature Immunology* **21**, 1107–1118 (2020).
- 53. Genomewide Association Study of Severe Covid-19 with Respiratory Failure. *New England Journal of Medicine* **383**, 1522–1534 (2020).
- 54. Ali, A. & Vijayan, R. Dynamics of the ACE2–SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV spike protein interface reveal unique mechanisms. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 14214 (2020).
- 55. Hubel, P. *et al.* A protein-interaction network of interferon-stimulated genes extends the innate immune system landscape. *Nat. Immunol.* **20**, 493–502 (2019).
- Scaturro, P. *et al.* An orthogonal proteomic survey uncovers novel Zika virus host factors.
  *Nature* 561, 253–257 (2018).

- 57. Hoffmann, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* **181**, 271-280.e8 (2020).
- Gebhardt, A. *et al.* The alternative cap-binding complex is required for antiviral defense in vivo.
  *PLoS Pathog.* 15, e1008155 (2019).
- 59. Goldeck, M., Schlee, M., Hartmann, G. & Hornung, V. Enzymatic synthesis and purification of a defined RIG-I ligand. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1169**, 15–25 (2014).
- 60. Kulak, N. A., Geyer, P. E. & Mann, M. Loss-less Nano-fractionator for High Sensitivity, High Coverage Proteomics. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* **16**, 694–705 (2017).
- 61. Kulak, N. A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N. & Mann, M. Minimal, encapsulated proteomicsample processing applied to copy-number estimation in eukaryotic cells. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 319–324 (2014).
- 62. Udeshi, N. D., Mertins, P., Svinkina, T. & Carr, S. A. Large-scale identification of ubiquitination sites by mass spectrometry. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1950–1960 (2013).
- 63. Tyanova, S., Temu, T. & Cox, J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometrybased shotgun proteomics. *Nat Protoc* **11**, 2301–2319 (2016).
- 64. Alexey Stukalov. *innatelab/cov2\_utils\_jl v1.0.0*. (Zenodo, 2021). doi:10.5281/zenodo.4541090.
- 65. Bober, M. & Miladinovic, S. General guidelines for validation of decoy models for HRM/DIA/SWATH as exemplified using spectronaut. *F1000posters*.
- 66. Alexey Stukalov. *innatelab/cov2 v1.0.0*. (Zenodo, 2021). doi:10.5281/zenodo.4541082.
- 67. Alexey Stukalov. *innatelab/maxquantUtils v0.1.0*. (Zenodo, 2021). doi:10.5281/zenodo.4536603.
- 68. Alexey Stukalov. innatelab/msglm v0.5.0. (Zenodo, 2021). doi:10.5281/zenodo.4536605.
- 69. Carpenter, B. *et al.* Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language. *Journal of Statistical Software* **76**, 1–32 (2017).
- Bhadra, A., Datta, J., Polson, N. G. & Willard, B. The Horseshoe+ Estimator of Ultra-Sparse Signals. *Bayesian Anal.* 12, 1105–1131 (2017).

- Goeminne, L. J. E., Gevaert, K. & Clement, L. Peptide-level Robust Ridge Regression Improves Estimation, Sensitivity, and Specificity in Data-dependent Quantitative Label-free Shotgun Proteomics. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 15, 657–668 (2016).
- 72. Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).
- 73. Ritchie, M. E. *et al.* limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e47 (2015).
- 74. Merico, D., Isserlin, R., Stueker, O., Emili, A. & Bader, G. D. Enrichment map: a network-based method for gene-set enrichment visualization and interpretation. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e13984 (2010).
- 75. Meldal, B. H. M. *et al.* Complex Portal 2018: extended content and enhanced visualization tools for macromolecular complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D550–D558 (2019).
- Giurgiu, M. et al. CORUM: the comprehensive resource of mammalian protein complexes-2019.
  Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D559–D563 (2019).
- 77. Tyanova, S. *et al.* The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 731–740 (2016).
- 78. Keenan, A. B. *et al.* ChEA3: transcription factor enrichment analysis by orthogonal omics integration. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, W212–W224 (2019).
- 79. Landt, S. G. *et al.* ChIP-seq guidelines and practices of the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia. *Genome Res.* **22**, 1813–1831 (2012).
- Alexey Stukalov. *alyst/OptEnrichedSetCover.jl v0.5.0.* (Zenodo, 2021).
  doi:10.5281/zenodo.4536596.
- Alexey Stukalov. *alyst/HierarchicalHotNet.jl v1.0.0*. (Zenodo, 2021).
  doi:10.5281/zenodo.4536590.
- 82. Meng, E. C., Pettersen, E. F., Couch, G. S., Huang, C. C. & Ferrin, T. E. Tools for integrated sequence-structure analysis with UCSF Chimera. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**, 339 (2006).
- 83. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).

- 84. Warnecke, A., Sandalova, T., Achour, A. & Harris, R. A. PyTMs: a useful PyMOL plugin for modeling common post-translational modifications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**, (2014).
- 85. Paxman, J. J. & Heras, B. Bioinformatics Tools and Resources for Analyzing Protein Structures. *Methods Mol Biol* **1549**, 209–220 (2017).
- 86. Jo, S., Vargyas, M., Vasko-Szedlar, J., Roux, B. & Im, W. PBEQ-Solver for online visualization of electrostatic potential of biomolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**, W270-275 (2008).
- 87. Vogt, C. *et al.* The interferon antagonist ML protein of thogoto virus targets general transcription factor IIB. *J. Virol.* **82**, 11446–11453 (2008).
- 88. Jorns, C. *et al.* Rapid and simple detection of IFN-neutralizing antibodies in chronic hepatitis C non-responsive to IFN-alpha. *J. Med. Virol.* **78**, 74–82 (2006).
- 89. Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D442–D450 (2019).
- 90. Orchard, S. *et al.* The MIntAct project—IntAct as a common curation platform for 11 molecular interaction databases. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D358–D363 (2014).

#### **Extended data legends:**

Extended data Figure 1 | SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV proteins expressed in A549 cells target host proteins. (a) Expression of HA-tagged viral proteins, in stably transduced A549 cells, used in AP-MS and proteome expression measurements. When several bands are present in a single lane, \* marks the band with expected molecular weight (n = 4independent experiments). For gel source data, see Supplementary Figure 1. (b) Extended version of the virus-host protein-protein interaction network with 24 SARS-CoV-2 and 27 SARS-CoV proteins, as well as ORF3 of HCoV-NL63 and ORF4 and ORF4a of HCoV-229E, used as baits. Host targets regulated upon viral protein overexpression are highlighted (see the in-plot legend). (c-f) Co-precipitation experiments in HEK293T cells showing a specific enrichment of (c) endogenous MAVS co-precipitated with C-terminal HA-tagged ORF7b of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (negative controls: SARS-CoV-2 ORF6-HA, ORF7a-HA), (d) ORF7b-HA of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV co-precipitated with SII-HA-UNC93B1 (control precipitation: SII-HA-RSAD2), (e) endogenous HSPA1A coprecipitated with N-HA of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (control: SARS-CoV-2 ORF6-HA) and (f) endogenous TGF- $\beta$  with ORF8-HA of SARS-CoV-2 vs ORF8-HA, ORF8a-HA, ORF8b-HA of SARS-CoV or ORF9b-HA of SARS-CoV-2. (n=2 independent experiments). For gel source data, see Supplementary Figure 1. AP-MS: affinity-purification coupled to mass spectrometry; MD: Macro domain; NSP: Non-structural protein.

**Extended data Figure 2** | SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV proteins trigger shared and specific interactions with host factors, and induce changes to the host proteome. (a-b) Differential enrichment of proteins in (a) NSP2 and (b) ORF8 of SARS-CoV-2 (*x*-axis) vs SARS-CoV (*y*-axis) AP-MS experiments (n=4 independent experiments). (c) Gene Ontology Biological Processes enriched among the cellular proteins that are up- (red arrow) or down- (blue arrow) regulated upon overexpression of individual viral proteins. (d) The

most affected proteins from the effectome data of protein changes upon viral bait overexpression in A549 cells (see materials and methods for the exact protein selection criteria). Homologous viral proteins are displayed as a single node. Shared and virus-specific effects are denoted by the edge color. NSP: Non-structural protein.

Extended data Figure 3 | RCOR3 and APOB regulation upon SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-

**CoV protein over-expression.** (a-b) Normalized intensities of selected candidates specifically perturbed by individual viral proteins: (a) RCOR3 was upregulated both by SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV NSP4 proteins, (b) APOB was upregulated by ORF3 and downregulated by NSP1 specifically to SARS-CoV-2. The box and the whiskers represent 50% and 95% confidence intervals, and the white line corresponds to the median of the  $log_2$  fold-change upon viral protein overexpression (n=4 independent experiments).

Extended data Figure 4 | Tracking of virus-specific changes in infected A549-ACE2 cells by transcriptomics and proteomics. (a) Western blot showing ACE2-HA expression levels in A549 cells untransduced (wild-type) or transduced with ACE2-HA-encoding lentivirus (n = 2 independent experiments). For gel source data, see Supplementary Figure 1. (b) mRNA expression levels of SARS-CoV-2 *N* relative to *RPLP0* as measured by qRT-PCR upon infection of wild-type A549 and A549-ACE2 cells at the indicated MOIs. Error bars represent mean and standard deviation (n=3 independent experiments). (c) Volcano plot of mRNA expression changes of A549-ACE2 cells, infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 2 in comparison to mock infection at 12 h.p.i. Significant hits are highlighted in gray (moderated t-test FDR-corrected two-sided p-value, n=3 independent experiments). Diamonds indicate that the actual log<sub>2</sub> fold change or p-value were truncated to fit into the plot. (d) Expression levels, as measured by qRT-PCR, of SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV *N* and host transcripts relative to *RPLP0* in infected (MOI 2) A549-ACE2 cells with SARS-CoV-2 (orange) and SARS-CoV (brown) at indicated time points. Error bars correspond to mean

and standard deviation (Two-sided student t-test, unadjusted p-value, n=3 independent experiments). \*: p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*: p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*: p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ . (e) Analysis of transcription factors, whose targets are significantly enriched among up- (red arrow) and down- (blue arrow) regulated genes of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (upper triangle) and SARS-CoV (lower triangle) for indicated time points (Fisher's exact test unadjusted one-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-4}$ ). (f) Volcano plot of SARS-CoV-2-induced protein abundance changes at 24 h.p.i. in comparison to mock. Viral proteins are highlighted in orange, selected significant hits are marked in black (Bayesian linear model-based unadjusted two-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ , |median log<sub>2</sub> fold change|  $\geq 0.25$ , n=4 independent experiments). Diamonds indicate that the actual  $\log_2$  fold change was truncated to fit into the plot. (g) Western blot showing the total levels of ACE2-HA protein at 6, 12, 24 and 36 h.p.i. (mock, SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infections); N viral protein as infection and ACTB as loading controls (n = 3 independent experiments). For gel source data, see Supplementary Figure 1. (h) Stable expression of ACE2 mRNA transcript relative to RPLPO, as measured by qRT-PCR, after SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infections (MOI 2) of A549-ACE2 cells at indicated h.p.i. (error bars show mean and standard deviation, n=3 independent experiments). (i) Scatter plots comparing the host proteome of SARS-CoV-2 (x-axis) and SARS-CoV (y-axis) infection at 24 h.p.i. (log<sub>2</sub> fold change in comparison to the mock infection samples at the same time point). Significantly regulated proteins (Bayesian linear model-based unadjusted two-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ ,  $|\log_2 \text{ fold change}| \geq 0.25$ , n=4 independent experiments), are colored according to their specificity in both infections. Diamonds indicate that the actual log<sub>2</sub> fold change was truncated to fit into the plot. h.p.i.: hours post-infection; MOI: multiplicity of infection.

**Extended data Figure 5 | Post-translational modifications modulated during SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV infection. (a)** Volcano plots of SARS-CoV-2-induced ubiquitination

changes at 24 h.p.i. in comparison to mock. The viral PTM sites are highlighted in orange and selected significant hits in black. (b) Scatter plots comparing the host phosphoproteome of SARS-CoV-2 (x-axis) and SARS-CoV (y-axis) infection at 24 h.p.i. (log<sub>2</sub> fold change in comparison to the mock infection samples at the same time point). Significantly regulated sites are colored according to their specificity in both infections. (c) Volcano plots of SARS-CoV-2-induced phosphorylation changes at 24 h.p.i. in comparison to mock. The viral PTM sites are highlighted in orange and selected significant hits in black. For (a-c), a change is defined significant if its Bayesian linear model-based unadjusted two-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ and  $|\log_2 \text{ fold change}| \ge 0.5$ , n=3 independent experiments for ubiquitination and n=4 independent experiments for phosphorylation data. Diamonds in (a-c) indicate that the actual  $\log_2$  fold change was truncated to fit into the plot. (d) Profile plots showing the timeresolved phosphorylation of ACE2 (S787) and RAB7A (S72) with indicated median, 50% and 95% confidence intervals. n = 4 independent experiments (e) The enrichment of host kinase motifs among the significantly regulated phosphorylation sites of SARS-CoV-2 (upper triangle) and SARS-CoV-infected (lower triangle) A549-ACE2 cells (MOI 2) at the indicated time points (Fisher's exact test, unadjusted one-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ ). (f) The enrichment of specific kinases among the ones known to phosphorylate significantly regulated sites at the indicated time points and annotated in PhosphoSitePlus database (Fisher's exact test, unadjusted one-sided p-value  $\leq 10^{-2}$ ). h.p.i.: hours post-infection.

**Extended Data Figure 6** | Integration of multi-omics data from SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection identified co-regulation of host and viral factors. (a) Phosphorylation (purple square) and ubiquitination (red circles) sites on vimentin (VIM) regulated upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. The plot shows the medians of log<sub>2</sub> fold changes compared to mock at 6, 12, 24, and 36 h.p.i., regulatory sites are indicated with a thick black border. (b) Profile plots of VIM K334 ubiquitination, S56 and S72 phosphorylation, and

total protein levels in SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV infected A549-ACE2 cells at indicated times after infection, with indicated median, 50% and 95% confidence intervals. n=3 (ubiquitination) or 4 (total protein levels, phosphorylation) independent experiments (c) Number of ubiquitination sites identified on each SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV proteins in infected A549-ACE2 cells. (d-e) Mapping the ubiquitination and phosphorylation sites of SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV M and S proteins on their aligned sequence with median log<sub>2</sub> intensities in infected A549-ACE2 cells at 24 h.p.i. (n=4 independent experiments for phosphorylation and n = 3 independent experiments for ubiquitination data). Functional (blue) and topological (yellow) domains are mapped on each sequence. Binding of ubiquitin modifying enzymes to both M proteins and the host kinases that potentially recognise motifs associated with the reported sites and overrepresented among cellular motifs enriched upon infection (Extended data Fig. 5e, f) or interacting with given viral protein (Extended data Fig. 1b) are indicated (green). (f) Number of phosphorylation sites identified on each SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV proteins in infected A549-ACE2 cells. (g) Mapping the ubiquitination (red circle) and phosphorylation (purple square) sites of SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV N protein on their aligned sequence with median log<sub>2</sub> intensities in A549-ACE2 cells infected with the respective virus at 24 h.p.i. (n=4 independent experiments). Functional (blue) domains are mapped on each sequence. The host kinases that potentially recognise motifs associated with the reported sites and overrepresented among cellular motifs enriched upon infection (Extended data Fig. 5e, f) or interacting with given viral protein (Extended data Fig. 1b) (green). (h) Electrostatic surface potential analysis of non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 N CTD dimers is shown on the right panels; red, white and blue regions represent areas with negative, neutral and positive electrostatic potential, respectively (scale from -50 to +50 kT e<sup>-1</sup>). h.p.i.: hours post-infection; TM: transmembrane domain; CTD: C-terminal domain.

Extended Data Figure 7 | Reactome pathways enrichment in multi-omics data of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection. (a) Reactome pathways enriched in up- (red arrow) or downregulated (blue arrow) transcripts, proteins, ubiquitination and phosphorylation sites (Fisher's exact test unadjusted p-value  $\leq 10^{-4}$ ) in SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV-infected A549-ACE2 cells at indicated times after infection. h.p.i.: hours post-infection.

Extended Data Figure 8 | SARS-CoV-2 uses a multi-pronged approach to perturb hostpathways at several levels. (a) The host subnetwork perturbed by SARS-CoV-2 M predicted by the network diffusion approach. Edge thickness reflects the transition probability in random walk with restart, directed edges represent the walk direction, and ReactomeFI connections are highlighted in black. (b) Selection of the optimal threshold for the network diffusion model of SARS-CoV-2 M-induced proteome changes. The plot shows the relationship between the minimal allowed edge weight of the random walk graph (xaxis) and the mean inverse length of the path from the regulated proteins to the host targets of the viral protein along the edges of the resulting filtered subnetwork (y-axis). The red curve represents the metric for the network diffusion analysis of the actual data. The grey band shows 50% confidence interval, and dashed lines correspond to 95% confidence interval for the average inverse path length distribution for 1000 randomised datasets. Optimal edge weight threshold that maximizes the difference between the metric based on real data and its 3rd quartile based on randomized data is highlighted by the red vertical line. (c-d) Subnetworks of the network diffusion predictions linking host targets of SARS-CoV-2 (c) ORF7b to the factors involved in innate immunity and (d) ORF8 to the factors involved in TGF- $\beta$  signaling. (e-f) Western blot showing the accumulation of the autophagyassociated factor MAP1LC3B upon (e) SARS-CoV-2 ORF3 expression in HEK293-R1 cells (n=3 independent experiments) and (f) SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV infection of A549-ACE2 cells (n=3 independent experiments). For gel source data, see Supplementary Figure 1. (g-h) Profile plots showing the time-resolved (g) ubiquitination of the autophagy regulators MAP1LC3A, GABARAP, VPS33A and VAMP8 (n=3 independent experiments), as well as (h) an increase in total protein abundance of APOB with indicated median, 50% and 95% confidence intervals (n=4 independent experiments). (i) Overview of perturbations to hostcell innate immunity-related pathways, induced by distinct proteins of SARS-CoV-2, derived from the network diffusion model and overlaid with transcriptional, ubiquitination and phosphorylation changes upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. (j) Heatmap showing the effects of the indicated SARS-CoV-2 proteins on type-I IFN expression levels, ISRE and GAS promoter activation in HEK293-R1. Accumulation of type-I IFN in the supernatant was evaluated by testing supernatants of PPP-RNA (IVT4) stimulated cells on MX1-luciferase reporter cells, ISRE promoter activation – by luciferase assay after IFN- $\alpha$  stimulation, and GAS promoter activation – by luciferase assay after IFN- $\alpha$  stimulation, in cells expressing SARS-CoV-2 proteins as compared to the controls (ZIKV NS5 and SMN1) (n=3 independent experiments).

Extended Data Figure 9 | Perturbation of host integrin-TGF- $\beta$ -EGFR-RTK signaling by SARS-CoV-2. (a) Overview of perturbations to host-cell Integrin-TGF- $\beta$ -EGFR-RTK signaling, induced by distinct proteins of SARS-CoV-2, derived from the network diffusion model and overlaid with transcriptional, ubiquitination and phosphorylation changes upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. (b) Profile plots of total protein levels of SERPINE1 and FN1 in SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV-infected A549-ACE2 cells at 6, 12, and 24 h.p.i., with indicated median, 50% and 95% confidence intervals. (n = 4 independent experiments) (c) Profile plots showing intensities of indicated phosphosites on NCK2, JUN, SOS1 and MAPKAPK2 in SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV-infected A549-ACE2 cells at 6, 12, 24 and 36 h.p.i., with indicated median, 50% and 95% confidence intervals. (n = 4 independent experiments) (d) Western blot showing phosphorylated (T180/Y182) and total protein levels of p38 in SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV infected A549-ACE2 cells. (n = 3 independent experiments) For gel source data, see Supplementary Figure 1. h.p.i.: hours post-infection.

Extended data Figure 10 | Drug repurposing screen, focusing on pathways perturbed by SARS-CoV-2, reveals potential candidates for use in antiviral therapy. (a) A549-ACE2 cells exposed for 6 hours to the specified concentrations of IFN- $\alpha$  and infected with SARS-CoV-2-GFP reporter virus (MOI 3). GFP signal and cell confluency were analyzed by live-cell imaging for 48 h.p.i. Time-courses show virus growth over time as the mean of GFP-positive area normalized to the total cell area (n=4 independent experiments). (b) A549-ACE2 cells were pre-treated for 6 hours or treated at the time of infection with SARS-CoV-2-GFP reporter virus (MOI 3). GFP signal and cell growth were tracked for 48 h.p.i. by live-cell imaging using an Incucyte S3 platform. Left heatmap: the cell growth rate (defined as the ratio of cell confluence change between the confluence at t and t-1) over time in drugtreated uninfected conditions. Middle (6 hours of pre-treatment) and right (treatment at the time of infection) heatmaps: treatment-induced changes in virus growth over time (GFP signal normalized to total cell confluence  $\log_2$  fold change between the treated and control (water, DMSO) conditions). Only non-cytotoxic treatments with significant effects on SARS-CoV-2-GFP are shown. Asterisks indicate significance of the difference to the control treatment (Wilcoxon test; unadjusted two-sided p-value  $\leq 0.05$ , n=4 independent experiments). (c) A549-ACE2 cells exposed for 6 hours to the specified concentrations of Ipatasertib and infected with SARS-CoV-2-GFP reporter virus (MOI 3). GFP signal and cell confluency were analyzed by live-cell imaging for 48 h.p.i. Time-courses show virus growth over time as the mean of GFP-positive area normalized to the total cell area (n=4 independent experiments). (d-g) mRNA expression levels at 24 h.p.i. of SARS-CoV-2 (orange) and SARS-CoV (brown) N relative to RPLP0, compared to DMSO-treated cells, as

measured by qRT-PCR in infected A549-ACE2 cells (MOI 1) pre-treated for 6 hours with (d) Gilteritinib, (e) Tirapazamine, (f) Prinomastat or (g) Marimastat. Error bars represent mean and standard deviation (Student t-test, two-sided, unadjusted p-value, n=3 independent experiments). \*: p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*: p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*: p-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ . h.p.i.: hours post-infection, MOI: multiplicity of infection.

**Extended data Table 1 | Functional annotations of the protein-protein interaction network of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (AP-MS).** Proteins identified as SARS-CoV-2 and/or SARS-CoV host binders *via* AP-MS (Figure 1b) grouped based on functional enrichment analysis of GOBP, GPCC, GPMF and Reactome terms (Supplementary table 2).

### Figure 1













![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

HC<sub>0</sub>V

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

CIII ZINV Vap
Ctrl Gaussia jucilerase Ctrl HCV NS
SARS-CoV2 NSP8 SARS-CoV2 NS
ARS-CoV2 NSP3-macroD - SARS-CoV2 NS
SARS-CoV2 NSP15 SARS-CoV2 NSP
SARS-CoV2 NSP13 SARS-CoV2 SP
SARS-CoV2 S SARS-CoV2 NSP
SARS-CoV NSP9 SARS-CoV ORF
SARS-CoV NSP7 SARS-CoV NS
SARS-CoV NSP15 - SARS-CoV NSP
SARS-CoV NSP13 - SARS-CoV NSP
SARS-CoV S SARS-CoV
SARS-CoV NSP3-macroD SARS-CoV7 NSP
SARS-CoV2 NSP6 - CAPS COV NCD
SARS-Cov ORF8a - SARS-COV
SARS-CoV NSP4 SARS-COV NS
SARS-CoV N ADS N VOT-SARS
SARS-CoV2 NSP1
SARS-CoV ORF8b - and on on one
SARS-CoV ORF7a SARS-CoV ORF7a SARS-CoV ORF7a
SARS-CoV NSP10 - CARS-COV
HCoV ORF4 - SAKS-COV2 URF
SARS-CoV2 ORF8 - SARS-CoV2 ORF8
SARS-CoV2 ORF7a - cons cons one
SARS-CoV2 ORF3 - CAPS COV2 NO
SARS-CoV2 N - CAPS COV2 NO
SARS-CoV2 E
SARS-CoV ORF6 - 240 CR

	Ctrl NT-
Ctrl HCV NS	Ctrl Gaussia luciferase -
SARS-CoV2 NS	SARS-CoV2 NSP8-
SARS-CoV2 NS	SARS-CoV2 NSP3-macron -
SARS-CoV2 NSP	SAKS-COV2 NSP15
SARS-CoV2 SP	SAKS-COV2 NSP13-
SARS-CoV2 NSP	
SARS-CoV ORF	SARG-COV NOPS
SARS-CoV NS	SARG-COV NSP/
SARS-CoV NSP	SARS-CoV NSP15 -
SARS-CoV NSP	SARS-CoV NSP13-
SARS-CoV	SARS-CoV S-
SARS-COVD NSP	SARS-CoV NSP3-macroD -
SARS-CoV NSP	SARS-CoV2 NSP6 -
SAPS-CAV NS	SARS-CoV ORF8a -
	SARS-CoV NSP4 -
	SARS-CoV N-
VARA-COV ORF	SARS-CoV2 NSP1 -
	SARS-CoV ORF8b -
	SARS-CoV ORF7a-
	SARS-CoV NSP10 -
	HCoV ORF4 -
	SARS-CoV2 ORF8-
	SARS-CoV2 ORF7a-
	SARS-CoV2 ORF3-
	SARS-CoV2 N-
	SARS-CoV2 E -
SAPS Cov ODE	SARS-CoV ORF6 -

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kinase

GSK3

**•**K388

F391

S412

S410

Site not encoded

K338

K342

S310

RNA binding Dimerization

K355

K347 K361 • K375

h Nucleocapsid protein (N) - C-terminal domain

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_5.jpeg)

● PTM

K1/43

K102 T141/T166

**RNA** binding

No PTM

K248

233

S202

K266

MAPKAPK2

S201

S176

K169 5180

Nucleocapsid protein (N)

K65

K100

g

\_20

10

00

SS

AS 0

Intensity

T24

S21

S26

a

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Reactome pathway enrichment**

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_3.jpeg)

b a FN1 SERPINE1 SARS-CoV-2 infection SARS-CoV-2 proteins ........... 2e5 2 3< Pho <-3 -2 -1 O Ubi(K) site interaction -----log<sub>2</sub> **DO** regulatory site Phospho(S/T/Y) site up-/downregulated f.c. -----1.5 -1 .5 0 1 2 3 Ubi ITGA3 🔘 K1031 OK1038 ORF8 🔘 K708 🔲 T693 ITGA6 OK1056 1e5 🔘 K737 🔲 S695 🔘 K1116 9e4 12 24 h.p.i. 24 12 ORF3 0 🔘 K739 📕 S991 OK765 ITGB1 TGFB ECM mock 📥 SARS-CoV SARS-CoV-2 🔘 K768 **K**754 OK260 С **K867** OK794 (LAP) ECM NCK2 - Y110 JUN - S73 TGFBR EGFR ITGB4 S1356 OK970 V TGFB ORF3 1e6 S1474 RTKs 3e4 T1487 7e5 S1498 SHC1 BAMBI ..... LTBP1 . . . . . . . . OK815 ORF8 5e5

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Extended Data Table 1: functional annotation of the protein-protein interactions network of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (AP-MS)

annotation_label annotation_category annotation_genes	
Cell adhesion and motility AMIGO2 CDH16 CDH17	CLDN12 DSC3 EPCAM FAT
Endolysosomal trafficking RAB13 RAB14 RAB1A R/	AB21 RAB2A RAB31 RAB32
ER quality control CANX ERLEC1 FBXO6 OS	59 UGGT1 UGGT2
ER stress HSPA1A HSPA2 HSPA6 H	HSPA8 HSPA9 HSPH1 G3BF
ER to cytosol trafficking FAF2 NPLOC4 UFD1	
ER-Golgi protein trafficking AREG KDELR1 LMAN1 L	MAN2 PIEZO1 TMED2 TMI
Glycolysis L2HGDH OGDH PDHX P	DPR
Glycolysis ACO2 FH MDH1	
GPI anchor GPAA1 PIGS PIGU	
Ion transport by ATPases ATP11C ATP12A ATP13/	A1 ATP13A3 ATP2A3 ATP2
Lipid oxidation ACAD10 ACADS ACSF2 F	PCCA PCCB ECI1
mRNA processing HNRNPM MYEF2 DICER	1 TARBP2 MBNL1
Nuclear import/export IPO8 TNPO1 TNPO2 XPO	<b>35 XPO6 XPO7 XPOT</b>
Oxidoreduction ALDH2 ALDH5A1	
Glycosylation B4GALT7 POMGNT1 AL	G11 ALG13 ALG14 B3GALT
Glycosylation FUCA2 GANAB GBA GU	SB
Palmitovlation SELENOK ZDHHC20 SPT	LC2 ZDHHC13 ZDHHC18 ZI
Transcription elongation GTE2E2 SETD2	
tRNA charging	52 TARS2 HARS2
tRNA charging IAN32 NAN32 PPA2 SAN.	JZ TANJZ HANJZ
Libiquitin like ligace activity MCRN1 RNE120 RNE14	0 DNE10A CTUD1 \A/\A/D1 \A
ATE synthese	S CINFISA STUDI WWPI W
COC semiller complex_compartment ATPSFIB ATPSFID ATPS	
COG complex COG	
Condensin II complex INCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAP	GZ
ECM regulators and metalloproteases Complex_compartment ADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRM	N CNDP2 CPD ECE1 MMP1
Endocytosis via AP-2 complex AP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 El	PN2
ER membrane protein complex EMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EM	IC4 EMC8
Golgi membrane B4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 E	NTPD4 QSOX1 QSOX2 SAI
HOPS complex HOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VF	PS18 VPS39 VPS41
Integrator complex INTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INT	'S4 INTS5 INTS8
Integrins ITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5	
MHC-I complex B2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-	E HLA-G HFE
Mitochondrial metalloproteases Complex_compartiment NLN PITRM1 PMPCA PM	ЛРСВ
Mitochondrial respiratory chain NDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDU	JFS8
Nuclear inner membrane DPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY1	19L4 LEMD3 PSEN2 ZMPST
Nuclear pore NUP188 NUP205 NUP93	3
Peroxisome GNPAT MAVS MGST1 P	EX10 PEX13 PEX2
Proteasome core PSMA4 PSMA5	
Proteasome regulatory proteins Complex_compartiment PSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 F	SMD11 PSMD12 PSMD4 F
Sarcoglycan complex SGCB SGCD SGCE	
Septin complex SEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEP	TIN2 SEPTIN7 SEPTIN8 SEF
SNARE complex BET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NA	PA NAPG SNAP25 STX10 S
Fix preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.156455; this version posted March 15, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint hybrid to the preprint in perpetuity. It is made to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.	2A7 SLC15A4 SLC16A4 SLC
SLC35F5 SLC30A5 SLC3	3A1 SLC35A1 SLC35A2 SLC
SLC6A15 SLC9A1	
Cutoking receptors signaling	120A1 ILCCT OCMD 1AV1 A
Cytokine receptors signaling	ISKAT ILOST USIVIK JAKT A
Signaling EPHD2 EPHD3	
Signaling ERBBZ ERBB3 NRG1	
GPCRs signaling GPR39 OPN3 SIPR3 SIP	YK5 GNA13
Inflammatory response AHR AXL CD/0 DCBLD2	IFITM1 LDLR LPAR1 SELEN
NEDD4-ITCH complex NDFIP1 NDFIP2 ITCH	~
Notch signaling NOTCH1 NOTCH2 NOTC	CH3
In 53 signaling IEAS TD52 BNID21 EDUAT	2 FAS STEAP3 MET NDRG1
Receptor tyrosine phosphatases PTPMT1 PTPN11 PTPRA	A PTPRF PTPRJ PTPRM PTPI
Big nameBig nameFASTFSS BNFSE EFRAReceptor tyrosine phosphatasessignalingPTPMT1 PTPN11 PTPRATGF-β and integrins signalingsignalingFGA FGB PROS1 SERPIN	E1 TGFB1 TGFB2 LTBP1 TG

annotation_label annotation_category annotation_genes Cellular_process AMIGO2 CDNIG CDNI 2 CDNI 2 DSC3 EF Endopsosomal trafficking Cellular_process Rab13 RAB14 RAB1 RAB21 RAB21 RAB21 RAB2 Endopsosomal trafficking Cellular_process CANX ENLCT ERX 05 OSU 2007 LUGGT1 ER stress Cellular_process FAF2 NPLOCU UFD1 ER torytosi trafficking Cellular_process Cellular_process CANC ENLCT UMAN1 LUMAN2 PIEZO1 TM Givcolysis Cellular_process Cellular_process CAPC MPD1 Givcolysis Cellular_process CAPC MPD1 Givcolysis Cellular_process Cellular_process Cellular_process CAPC PCB ECI mRNA processing Cellular_process Cellular_process HPD3 TMD2 XPD5 XPD2 XPD5 XPD3 XPD3 XPD3 XPD3 XPD3 XPD3 XPD3 XPD3		
Cell adeision and motility  Cellular process  ARbi3 RABLA RAB	annotation_category annotation_genes	annotation_label
Endolysosomal trafficking  Cellular_process  RAB14 RAB1 RAB21	cellular_process AMIGO2 CDH16 CDH17 CLDN12 DSC3 EPCAM FAT	Cell adhesion and motility
ER quality control    cellular_process    KANX ERLECT FRXOG OS9 UGGT1 UGGT2      ER stress    cellular_process    KFA2 NPLOCA UPD1      ER-doigi protein trafficking    cellular_process    L2HGOH OGDH PDHX PDPR      Glycolysis    cellular_process    L2HGOH OGDH PDHX PDPR      Glycolysis    cellular_process    CAO2 FH MDH1      GPI anchor    cellular_process    GPAA1 (PGS PIGU)      Ion transport by ATPases    cellular_process    ACD2 FH MDH1      Lipid oxidation    cellular_process    ACD10 ACDS ACDS ACD2 ACCB ECI I      Nuclear import/export    cellular_process    ALDDE ALDHSA1      Oxidoreduction    cellular_process    ALDDE ALDHSA1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    ALDDE ALDHSA1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    FLCA2 GANAB GBA GUSB      Glycosylation    cellular_process    FLCA2 GANAB GBA GUSB      Transcription elongation    cellular_process    FLA92 KTPSA HSA2 SPAPA 2ASR2 TPA22 ASR2 TARS2 HAR22      RNA prices    complex_compartiment    ATP511 ARSP2 HSA2 HSA2 HSA2 HSA2 HSA2 HSA2 HSA2 HSA	cellular_process RAB13 RAB14 RAB1A RAB21 RAB2A RAB31 RAB32	Endolysosomal trafficking
ER stress  cellular_process  FA72 NPCAG UPD1    ER to cytosol trafficking  cellular_process  FA72 NPCAG UPD1    ER-Golgi protein trafficking  cellular_process  AREG KDELR1 LMAN1 LMAN2 PIEZO1 Th    Glycolysis  cellular_process  ACO2 FH MDH1    GPI anchor  cellular_process  ACO2 FH MDH1    Din transport by ATPases  cellular_process  ACD11 AP12A ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATP13A3 ATP13A3 ATP13A3 ATP13A3 ATP13A3 ATP13A3 Processing    Nuclear import by ATPases  cellular_process  ACAD10 ACADS ACSF2 PCCA PCCB EC11    mRNA processing  cellular_process  HORN TMVERT TAP12A ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATP13A33 ATP13A33 ATP13A33 ATP13A33 ATP13A33ATP13A33 ATP13A33 ATP13A33 ATP13A33	cellular_process CANX ERLEC1 FBXO6 OS9 UGGT1 UGGT2	ER quality control
Ek to cytosol trafficking  cellular_process  AREG KOFLRI LMANL ILMANZ PIEZOLT    EK-Golgi protein trafficking  cellular_process  AREG KOFLRI LMANL ILMANZ PIEZOLT    Glycolysis  cellular_process  ACO2 FH MDH1    Glycolysis  cellular_process  GPAAL PICS PIGU    Ion transport by ATPases  cellular_process  GPAAL PICS PIGU    Upid ovidation  cellular_process  ACAD10 ACO3 ACO3 PCC2 PCC B CC1    Nuclear import/export  cellular_process  ALDIEA ALDIESA    Okidoreduction  cellular_process  ALDIEA ALDIESA    Glycolysitoin  cellular_process  ALDIEA ALDIESA    Glycolysitoin  cellular_process  ALDIEA ALDIESA    Glycolysitoin  cellular_process  FLCA2 GANAB GGA GUSB    Palmitoylation  cellular_process  SELENOK ZDHHC2 SPRIC2 ZDHHC13 ZD    Palmitoylation  cellular_process  IADEX ALDIESA    Palmitoylation  cellular_process  FLASS ARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HRAS2    TANS.chriging  cellular_process  IARS2 NRAS2 SPR2 SARS2 TARS2 HRAS2    TANS.chriging  cellular_process  IARS2 NRAS2 SPR2 SARS2 TARS2 HRAS2    TANS.chriging  cellular_process  IARS2 NRAS2 SPR2 SARS2 TARS2 HRAS2    TANS Arging  cellular_process  IARS2 NRAS2 SRAS2 SARS2 TARS2 HRAS2    TANSA Comp	cellular_process HSPA1A HSPA2 HSPA6 HSPA8 HSPA9 HSPH1 G3BP	ER stress
ER-Golgi protein trafficking    cellular_process    LPHGDN COPM PDVR      Glycolysis    cellular_process    LPHGDN COPM PDVR PDVR      Glycolysis    cellular_process    ACO2 FH MDH1      GP anchor    cellular_process    APA1 PIGS PIGU      Lipid oxidation    cellular_process    APP11CA TP12AA ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATP      Lipid oxidation    cellular_process    APA010 ACDAD ACDS ACS72 PCCA PCCB ECI1      mRNA processing    cellular_process    IPO8 TNPO1 TNPO2 XPO5 XPO6 XPO7 XI      Nuclear import/export    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    FUCA2 GANAB GBA GUSB      Palmitoylation    cellular_process    GTF27 ESTD2      tNA charging    cellular_process    GTF27 ESTD2      tNA charging    cellular_process    MGRN INN150 NNF149 RNF19A STUB1      ATP synthase    complex_compartiment    ATPSF18 ATPSF10 ATP5F18 ATPSF19A STUB1      ATP synthase    complex_compartiment    ACAP3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2      Cod condenian II complex    complex_compartiment    ADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CND2 CPD EC      ECM regulators and metalloproteases	cellular_process FAF2 NPLOC4 UFD1	ER to cytosol trafficking
Glycolysis    cellular_process    L2HGDH OGDH PDHX PDPR      Glycolysis    cellular_process    ACO2 FH MDH1      GPI anchor    cellular_process    GPAA1 PIGS PIGU      Ion transport by ATPases    cellular_process    ATP11C ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATP      Lipid oxidation    cellular_process    ATP11C ATP13A ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATP      Nuclear import/export    cellular_process    ALDHX ALDHSA1      Oxidoreduction    cellular_process    ALDHX ALDHSA1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    GFL22 SFD2      Transcription elongation    cellular_process    GFL22 SFD2      TRNA charging    cellular_process    GFL22 SFD2      TRNA charging    cellular_process    GRMSN RTRAF      Ubiquith-like ligase activity    cellular_process    MGRN1 RN130 RN149 RN19A STB1A1      ATP synthase    complex_compartiment    COG1 CO2 C03 CO3 CO4 COG S CO6 CC      CO condelx    complex_compartiment    ADA17 ADAMS CLAR COG COG CC      Condelx    complex_compartiment    ADA14 PDR14 PDF16 ATPSPB ATF	cellular_process AREG KDELR1 LMAN1 LMAN2 PIEZO1 TMED2 TME	ER-Golgi protein trafficking
GlycolysisCellular_processACO2 FH MDH1GPI anchorcellular_processGFAA1 PIGS PIGULipid oxidationcellular_processATP11C ATP12A ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATPLipid oxidationcellular_processACAD10 ACADS AC57 PCCA PCCB EC11RNA processingcellular_processHORNMPM MYEP 2DICERI TAR8P2 MBNL:Nuclear import/exportcellular_processHORNTA ILG11 ALG13 ALG1Oxidoreductioncellular_processB4GA1T POMGNTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processB4GA1T POMGNTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processSELENOK ZOHHC20 SPTIC2 ZOHHC13 ZDTranscription elongationcellular_processGEX2 PPA2 SAR52 PPA2 SAR52 TAR52 HAR52HNA chargingcellular_processGFAF2 SETD2TRANS pilcingcellular_processFAM9SA RTCR RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligas eatvitycellular_processFAM9SA RTCR RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligas eatvitycellular_processFAM9SA RTCR RTRAFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentADA17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartiment<	cellular_process L2HGDH OGDH PDHX PDPR	Glycolysis
GPI anchor    cellular_process    GPAA1PIGS PIGU      Lipid oxidation    cellular_process    AFD11A AFD2A AFD13A1 AFD13A3 ATP      Lipid oxidation    cellular_process    ACAD10 ACADS ACSF2 PCCA PCCB ECI1      mRNA processing    cellular_process    HNRNPM MYEF2 DICERI TARBE2 MBNL:      Nuclear import/export    cellular_process    HNRNPM MYEF2 DICERI TARBE2 MBNL:      Oxidoreduction    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMGNTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    GF12F2 SETD2      Transcription elongation    cellular_process    GF12F2 SETD2      Transcription    cellular_process    GRAS2 NARS2 PA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2      TAP synthase    complex_compartiment    COG	cellular_process ACO2 FH MDH1	Glycolysis
Ion transport by ATPases    cellular_process    ATP11C ATP12A ATP13A3 ATP3A3ATP3A      Ipid oxidation    cellular_process    HNRNPM MYF2 DICRAI TARP2 MBNL:      Nuclear import/export    cellular_process    HD2 ALDH5A1      Oxidoreduction    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMONTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMONTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Glycosylation    cellular_process    B4GALT7 POMONTI ALG11 ALG13 ALG1      Tanscription elongation    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      tRNA page    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      tRNA harging    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      tRNA splicing    cellular_process    GTS22 STD2      tRNA process    GT222 STD2    GT222 STD2      tRNA splicing    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      tRNA process    GT222 STD2    GT222 STD2      tRNA splicing    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      tRNA splicing    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      tRNA splicing    cellular_process    GT222 STD2      <	cellular_process GPAA1 PIGS PIGU	GPI anchor
Lipid oxidationcellular_processACAD10 ACAD5 ACSF2 PCCA PCCB ECI1mRNA processingcellular_processHNRNPM MYEF2 DICER1 TARBP2 MBNLNuclear import/exportcellular_processIPOS TNPO1 TNPO2 XPO5 XPO5 XPO 6 XPO7 XIGlycosylationcellular_processALDF2 ALDF3A1Glycosylationcellular_processB4GAIT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processFUCA2 GANAB GBA GUSBPalmitoylationcellular_processGTE727 SETD2Transcription elongationcellular_processGTE727 SETD2tRNA chargingcellular_processGANS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 TARS2 HARS2tRNA chargingcellular_processFAM98A RTCB RTRAFUbiquith-like ligase activitycellular_processGMRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1B ATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1B ATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1B ATPSF	cellular_process ATP11C ATP12A ATP13A1 ATP13A3 ATP2A3 ATP2	Ion transport by ATPases
mRNA processingcellular_processHNRNPM MYEFZ DICEN 1 TARBP2 MBNLNuclear import/exportcellular_processADD2 ADDFSAOxidoreductioncellular_processB4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processB4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processB4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processSELENOK ZDHHC20 SPLC2 ZDHHC13 ZDTranscription elongationcellular_processGTF2F2 SETD2Transcription elongationcellular_processFAM98A RTCB RTAFUbiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processFAM98A RTCB RTAFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCP5F1B ATP5F1B ATP5	cellular_process ACAD10 ACADS ACSF2 PCCA PCCB ECI1	Lipid oxidation
Nuclear import/exportcellular_processIPO8 TNPO1 TNPO2 XPO5 XPO6 XPO7 XIOxidoreductioncellular_processALDH2 ALDH5A1Glycosylationcellular_processB4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processSELENOK ZDHHC20 SPTLC2 ZDHHC13 ZDTranscription elongationcellular_processGF2F2 SETD2TRNA chargingcellular_processIARS2 NARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2tRNA chargingcellular_processIARS2 NARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2tRNA splicingcellular_processFMS8A RTCB RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATP5F1B ATP51D ATP51E ATP5PB ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEGMocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 SCGALNACT1 ENTP04 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentNUN FITRM1 PMCA PMPCBMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNUN PTIRM1 PMCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNUN FITRM1 PMCA PMPCBMitochondrial resp	cellular_process HNRNPM MYEF2 DICER1 TARBP2 MBNL1	mRNA processing
Oxidoreductioncellular_processALDH2 ALDH5A1Glycosylationcellular_processB4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1Glycosylationcellular_processFUCA2 GANAB GBA GUSBPalmitoylationcellular_processGTF2F2 SETD2Transcription elongationcellular_processGTF2F2 SETD2tRNA chargingcellular_processGTF2F2 SETD2tRNA splicingcellular_processIARS2 NARS2 PAA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2Ubiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATP5F1B ATP5F1D ATP5F1E ATP5F9 ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAP12 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndcutosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentHOX3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Goigi membranecomplex_compartimentHOX3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentHOX3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentNUN PTIRN1 PNPCA PMPCBMHc-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUN PTIRN1 PNPCA PMPCBMHc-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUN PTIRN1 PNPCA PMPCBMHc-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUN PTIRN1 PNPCA PMPCBMHc-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP33Nuclear inner membrane<	cellular_process IPO8 TNPO1 TNPO2 XPO5 XPO6 XPO7 XPOT	Nuclear import/export
Glycosylation  cellular_process  B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG1    Glycosylation  cellular_process  FUCA2 GANAB GBA GUSB    Palmitoylation  cellular_process  SELENOK ZDHHC20 SPTLC2 ZDHHC13 ZD    Transcription elongation  cellular_process  GTF2F2 SETD2    tRNA charging  cellular_process  GTS2 VARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2    tRNA splicing  cellular_process  MGRN INF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1    ATP synthase  complex_compartiment  ATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1E ATPSPB ATF    COG complex  complex_compartiment  COG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CC    COndensin II complex  complex_compartiment  COG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CC    ECM regulators and metalloproteases  complex_compartiment  ADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECI    Endocytosis via AP-2 complex  complex_compartiment  AP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2    ER membrane protein complex  complex_compartiment  APA11 SGALT1 CGALT EMTPA QSN1 C    Golgi membrane  complex_compartiment  HOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4    Integrator complex  complex_compartiment  INTS1	cellular_process ALDH2 ALDH5A1	Oxidoreduction
Glycosylation    cellular_process    FUCA2 GANAB GBA GUSB      Palmitoylation    cellular_process    SELENOK ZDHHC20 SPTLC2 ZDHHC13 ZD      Transcription elongation    cellular_process    GTF2F2 SETD2      tRNA charging    cellular_process    IARS2 NARS2 PA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2      tRNA splicing    cellular_process    FAM98A RTCB RTRAF      Ubiquitn-like ligase activity    cellular_process    MGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUBI      ATP synthase    complex_compartiment    ATPSF1B ATPSF1B ATPSF1B ATPSF8 ATF      COG complex    complex_compartiment    COG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CC      Condensin II complex    complex_compartiment    NCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2      ECM regulators and metalloproteases    complex_compartiment    ADAM17 ADAM9 CTRN CNDP2 CPD ECI      Endocytosis via AP-2 complex    complex_compartiment    APA1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2      ER membrane protein complex    complex_compartiment    B46A11 CSGAUNACT1 ENTPA QSON1C      HOPS complex    complex_compartiment    HOS3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4      Integrins    complex_compartiment    ITG33 ITG84 ITG85      Integrins    complex_compartiment    B2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFE      Mitochondrial respiratory chain    complex_compartiment </td <td>cellular_process B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG14 B3GALT</td> <td>Glycosylation</td>	cellular_process B4GALT7 POMGNT1 ALG11 ALG13 ALG14 B3GALT	Glycosylation
Palmitoylationcellular_processSELENOK ZDHHC20 SPTLC2 ZDHHC13 ZDTranscription elongationcellular_processGTF2F2 SETD2tRNA chargingcellular_processIARS2 NARS2 PPA 2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2tRNA splicingcellular_processFAM98A RTC6 RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1E ATPSPB ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VP511 VP516 VP539 VP54Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentRCA3 HTGB4 HTGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPC8Mitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNUN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPC8Mitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNUFA10 NDUF52 NDUF58Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentPV1912 DPY1913 DPY1914 LEMD3 PSENNuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentPV1910 NDUF52 NDUF58Nuclear inner membranecomp	cellular_process FUCA2 GANAB GBA GUSB	Glycosylation
Transcription elongationcellular_processGTF2F2 SETD2tRNA chargingcellular_processIARS2 NARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2tRNA splicingcellular_processFAM98A RTCB RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1E ATPSPB ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECEECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTP04 QSOX1 CGolgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTP04 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOCK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS1Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentHOCK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentNDUFA1 ONGX SVF11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS6MHC-1 complexcomplex_compartimentNDUFA1 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Mitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNDUFA1 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNDUFA1 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentSPY1913 DPY1914 LEMD3 PSENNuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNDUFA1 NAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PSENProteasome corecomplex_compartimentSPMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSPMA4 PSMA5Prote	cellular_process SELENOK ZDHHC20 SPTLC2 ZDHHC13 ZDHHC18 ZD	Palmitoylation
tRNA chargingcellular_processIARS2 NARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2tRNA splicingcellular_processFAM98A RTCB RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATP5F1B ATP5F1D ATP5F1E ATP5PB ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VP511 VP516 VP518 VP539 VP53Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS3 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentB2M HL-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNULPA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear porecomplex_compartimentNULPA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentSNC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12<	cellular_process GTF2F2 SETD2	Transcription elongation
tRNA splicingcellular_processFAM98A RTCB RTRAFUbiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUBIATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATPSF1B ATPSF1D ATPSF1E ATPSF1B ATPCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentB4GA71 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentB4GA71 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB4MIC-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNUN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBNuclear porecomplex_compartimentONUF10 NUUF52 NUUF53Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentPV19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentSIND1 PSMD1 PSMD1 PSMD1Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSIND1 PSMD1 PSMD12Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSECD SGCESeptin complex <td>cellular process IARS2 NARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2</td> <td>tRNA charging</td>	cellular process IARS2 NARS2 PPA2 SARS2 TARS2 HARS2	tRNA charging
Ubiquitin-like ligase activitycellular_processMGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATP5F1B ATP5F1D ATP5F1E ATP5PB ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECFEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSON1CGolgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSON1CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentNUN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNUN PTRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNUF 10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNUF130 NNUFS10 NDS11 PS10 PS5NNuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentPY1912 DPY1913 DPY1914 LEMD3 PSENNuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNUF188 NUP205 NUP93Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCEProteasome corecomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESofter cormplexco	cellular process FAM98A RTCB RTRAF	tRNA splicing
ATP synthasecomplex_compartimentATPSFIB ATPSFID ATPSFIE ATPSFB ATFCOG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-1 complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLD FRIM1 PMPCA PMIPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNLD FR10 NDFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDY19L2 DY19L3 DY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentPSMA2 PSMA5Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA2 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMA2 PSMA5Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSCCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSCCB SGCD SGCESouther complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISouther complexcomplex_comparti	cellular process MGRN1 RNF130 RNF149 RNF19A STUB1 WWP1 W	Ubiquitin-like ligase activity
COG complexcomplex_compartimentCOG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 CCCondensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2A1 AP2A1 PAP2A1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-1 complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUF52 NDUF58Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCEProteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISoluter camplexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISoluter camplexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISoluter camplexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7	complex compartiment ATP5F1B ATP5F1D ATP5F1E ATP5PB ATP5PD MT-/	ATP synthase
Condensin II complexcomplex_compartimentNCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrinscomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS3 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNULFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDV1912 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentSNA4 PSMA5Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SETSNARE complexcomplex_compartimentSC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSofter carrierscomplex_compartimentSC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment COG1 COG2 COG3 COG4 COG5 COG6 COG7 COG8	COG complex
ECM regulators and metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECIEndocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-G HFEMHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY1912 DPY1913 DPY1914 LEMD3 PSENNuclear orecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentSGNA4 PSMA5Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISolute carrierscomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN	complex compartiment NCAPD3 NCAPH2 NCAPG2	Condensin II complex
Endocytosis via AP-2 complexcomplex_compartimentAP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS1 INTS1 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-1 complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPC8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear porecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENPeroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SETSNARE complexcomplex_compartimentSETI GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP22Solute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment ADAM17 ADAM9 CLTRN CNDP2 CPD ECE1 MMP1	ECM regulators and metalloproteases
ER membrane protein complexcomplex_compartimentEMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8Golgi membranecomplex_compartimentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VP511 VP516 VP518 VP539 VP54Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINT51 INT51 INT51 INT52 INT54 INT55 INT58Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNUFA10 NDUF52 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentSNUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISNARE complexcomplex_compartimentSETI GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP22Solute carrierscomplex_compartimentSETI GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP22Solute carrierscomplex_compartimentSL12A4 SL12A6 SL12A7 SL15A4 SLC12A4 SL12AF SL15A4 SLC12AF SL15A4 SLC12AF	complex_compartiment AP2A1 AP2M1 AP2S1 EPN2	Endocytosis via AP-2 complex
Golgi membranecomplex_compartmentB4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4 QSOX1 CHOPS complexcomplex_compartimentHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS4Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNUN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNUUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA2 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISNARE complexcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSoldte carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment EMC10 EMC2 EMC3 EMC4 EMC8	FR membrane protein complex
HOPS complexHOPKartHOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS39 VPS4Integrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentSNA4 PSMA5Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISNARE complexcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSolute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment B4GAT1 CSGALNACT1 ENTPD4_OSOX1 OSOX2 SAI	Golgi membrane
Integrator complexINTSD INTSD INTSD INTSD INTSD INTSDIntegrator complexcomplex_compartimentINTSD INTSD INTSD INTSD INTSD INTSDIntegrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5MHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN13 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP25Sofute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSofute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment HOOK3 VPS11 VPS16 VPS18 VPS39 VPS41	HOPS complex
Integrinscomplex_compartimentITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5Integrinscomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMHC-I complexcomplex_compartimentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentSUPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN1 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SETSofute carrierscomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP22Sofute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment INTS1 INTS12 INTS2 INTS4 INTS5 INTS8	Integrator complex
MHC-I complexcomplex_compartmentB2M HLA-A HLA-C HLA-E HLA-G HFEMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentSUPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SETSNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2Solute carmerscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment ITGA3 ITGB4 ITGB5	Integrins
Mitor roomplexComplex_compartmentDLW NDUTAID OT ACTION OF ACTION OF ACTIONMitochondrial metalloproteasescomplex_compartimentNLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCBMitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP25Solute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSolute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment B2M HI A-A HI A-C HI A-F HI A-G HEF	MHC-L complex
Mitochondrial respiratory chaincomplex_compartimentNDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SETSNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP25Solute complexcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment NLN PITRM1 PMPCA PMPCB	Mitochondrial metalloproteases
Nuclear inner membranecomplex_compartimentDPY19L2 DPY19L3 DPY19L4 LEMD3 PSENNuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SESNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP25Solute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartment NDUFA10 NDUFS2 NDUFS8	Mitochondrial respiratory chain
Nuclear porecomplex_compartimentNUP188 NUP205 NUP93Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SESNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2SSofute carriers.complex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSofute carriers.complex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment DPV19L2 DPV19L3 DPV19L4 LEMD3 PSEN2 7MPST	Nuclear inner membrane
Nuclear porceComplex_compartmentNot 160 Not 200 Not 300Peroxisomecomplex_compartimentGNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SEISNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2Sofute carrierscomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartment NUIP188 NUIP205 NUIP93	Nuclear nore
Proteasome corecomplex_compartimentPSMA4 PSMA5Proteasome regulatory proteinscomplex_compartimentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SESNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP25Souther carries and and the sector of the protein	complex_compartiment GNPAT MAVS MGST1 PEX10 PEX13 PEX2	Perovisome
Proteasome coreComplex_compartmentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SESNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2Sofute carrier science and a protect of the preprintSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment DSMA/ DSMA5	Protessome core
Proteasione regulatory proteinscomplex_compartmentPSMC2 PSMC4 PSMC5 PSMD11 PSMD12Sarcoglycan complexcomplex_compartimentSGCB SGCD SGCESeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SESNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2Sofuter carries wells up to the segund of bisky a license to display the preprintcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSofuter carries wells up to the segund of bisky a license to display the preprintcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment PSIVIA4 PSIVIAS	Protoscomo rogulatory protoinc
Sarcogrycan complexSocce socceSeptin complexcomplex_compartimentSEPTIN10 SEPTIN11 SEPTIN2 SEPTIN7 SESNARE complexcomplex_compartimentBET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2Socue stated biologistic lines to display the preprintcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLCSocue stated biologistic lines to display the preprint of the preprintcomplex_compartimentSLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment SCCR SCCD SCCE	Sarcoglycan complex
Septim complex    SEptimic Septim Complex      SNARE complex    BET1 GOSR1 GOSR2 NAPA NAPG SNAP2      Sware complex complex doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.156455; this version posted March 15, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint    complex_compartiment      Sware complex complex doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.156455; this version posted March 15, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint    complex_compartiment      Sware complex complex doi: https://doi.org/10.1001/2020.06.17.156455; this version posted March 15, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint    complex_compartiment      Subscription of the complex compartment    complex_compartiment    SLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment SEDTINIO	Sontin complex
SNARE complex BETT GOSRT GOSRZ NAPA NAPG SNAPZ: DETT GOSRT GOSRZ NAPA NAPG SNAPZ: DETT GOSRT GOSRZ NAPA NAPG SNAPZ: SLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC Complex_compartment SLC12A4 SLC12A6 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC SLC12A6 SLC12A6 SLC12A7 SLC15A4 SLC	complex_compartiment SEPTINIU SEPTINII SEPTINZ SEPTIN/ SEPTINO SEP	SNARE complex
	complex_compartiment BETT GOSKI GOSKI NAPA NAPG SNAPZS STATU S	Fix preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.156455; this version posted March 15, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint
	success success a success	Source appendition and an another acc-BY 4.0 International license.
SLC35F5 SLC30A5 SLC33A1 SLC35A1 SLC35A	SLC35F5 SLC30A5 SLC33A1 SLC35A1 SLC35A2 SLC	
SLC6A15 SLC9A1	SLC6A15 SLC9A1	
Cytokine receptors signaling CD44 IFNGR1 IL10RB IL13RA1 IL6ST OSN	signaling CD44 IFNGR1 IL10RB IL13RA1 IL6ST OSMR JAK1 A	Cytokine receptors signaling
EphrinB-EPHB pathway EPHB2 EPHB3	signaling EPHB2 EPHB3	EphrinB-EPHB pathway
ErbB receptor signaling ERBB2 ERBB3 NRG1	signaling ERBB2 ERBB3 NRG1	ErbB receptor signaling
GPCRs signaling GPR39 OPN3 S1PR3 S1PR5 GNA13	signaling GPR39 OPN3 S1PR3 S1PR5 GNA13	GPCRs signaling
Inflammatory response AHR AXL CD70 DCBLD2 IFITM1 LDLR LPA	signaling AHR AXL CD70 DCBLD2 IFITM1 LDLR LPAR1 SELEN	Inflammatory response
NEDD4-ITCH complex NDFIP1 NDFIP2 ITCH	signaling NDFIP1 NDFIP2 ITCH	NEDD4-ITCH complex
Notch signaling NOTCH1 NOTCH2 NOTCH3	signaling NOTCH1 NOTCH2 NOTCH3	Notch signaling
p53 signaling FAS TP53 BNIP3L EPHA2 FAS STEAP3 ME	signaling FAS TP53 BNIP3L EPHA2 FAS STEAP3 MET NDRG1	p53 signaling
Receptor tyrosine phosphatases PTPMT1 PTPN11 PTPRA PTPRF PTPRJ PT	signaling PTPMT1 PTPN11 PTPRA PTPRF PTPRJ PTPRM PTPR	Receptor tyrosine phosphatases
TGF-β and integrins signaling FGA FGB PROS1 SERPINE1 TGFB1 TGFB2	signaling FGA FGB PROS1 SERPINE1 TGFB1 TGFB2 LTBP1 TG	TGF-β and integrins signaling
TNF receptors superfamilly TNFRSF10A TNFRSF10B TNFRSF10D TNF	signaling TNFRSF10A TNFRSF10B TNFRSF10D TNFRSF1A	TNF receptors superfamilly

T1 LRFN4 NECTIN2 NECTIN3 PCDH9 PCDHA12 PCDHA4 PCDHAC2 PCDHGC3 PTPRF PTP 2 RAB34 RAB3D RAB5A RAB5B RAB7A RAB8A RAB9A

### P1 G3BP2 CAPRIN1

1ED7 TMED9 TMEM199 ARFIP1 SCAMP1 SCAMP2 SCAMP3 SCAMP4 CUX1 GOLIM4

2B4 ATP6AP1 ATP6V0A2 ATP6V1B1 ATP7B ATP8B1 ATP8B2

T6 B3GAT3 EXT1 EXTL2 EXTL3 GLCE XXYLT1 DAD1 TMEM258 GALNT1 GALNT10 GALNT12 ALG5 ALG8 FUT8 LMAN1 OSTC STT3A

ZDHHC21 ZDHHC3 ZDHHC6 ZDHHC9 GOLGA7 ZDHHC5

WWP2 ZNRF3 HUWE1 MDM2 TRIM47 -ATP6 ATP5PF

15 RNPEP ADAM10 ADAM15

AMD8 STEAP2 TVP23C

TE24

PSME3

PTIN9

STX12 STX16 STX2 STX4 STX5 STX6 STX7 VAMP2 VAMP3 VAMP4 VAMP7 VTI1A C16A6 SLC18B1 SLC19A2 SLC20A1 SLC22A5 SLC23A2 SLC25A2 SLC25A52 SLC12A9 SLC26A2 SLC29A3 SLC25A24 SLC2A6 SLC29A4 SLC30A1 SLC35D2 C23A1 SLC30A7 SLC35F2 SLC35F6 SLC39A1 SLC39A14 SLC6A6 SLC7A6 SLC35B4 SLC37A4 SLC38A2 SLC45A1 SLC46A1 SLC47A2 SLC4A10 SLC4A2 SLC4A4

ACVR1 ACVR1B ACVR2A BAMBI BMPR1A BMPR2 FKBP1A TGFBR1 TGFBR2 EIF2A FKBP1A SHC1

NOS TNFSF15 TNFSF9 TPBG

L MDM2 IGF2R BAG3

٢S

GFBI IGFBP3 IGFBP4 SERPINE1 PLAU

PRS PVR	NRP2	PLXNA1	PLXND1	SEMA4B	SEMA4C