



Habib, G., Bucciarelli-Ducci, C., Caforio, A. L. P., Cardim, N., Charron, P., Cosyns, B., Dehaene, A., Derumeaux, G., Donal, E., Dweck, M. R., Edvardsen, T., Erba, P. A., Ernande, L., Gaemperli, O., Galderisi, M., Grapsa, J., Jacquier, A., Klingel, K., Lancellotti, P. (2017). Multimodality Imaging in Restrictive Cardiomyopathies: An EACVI expert consensus document In collaboration with the "Working Group on myocardial and pericardial diseases" of the European Society of Cardiology Endorsed by The Indian Academy of Echocardiography. *European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging, 18*(10), 1090-1121. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex034

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1093/ehjci/jex034

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via OUP at https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex034 . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

•	
2	
3	
4	Multimodality Imaging
5	in Restrictive Cardiomyopathies
6	
7	An EACVI expert consensus document
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	In collaboration with
14	the "Working Group on myocardial and pericardial diseases"
14 15	the "Working Group on myocardial and pericardial diseases" of the European Society of Cardiology.
15	
15 16	of the European Society of Cardiology.
15 16 17	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by
15 16 17 18	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by
15 16 17 18 19	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by
15 16 17 18 19 20	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by

Authors list

28 29	Gilbert HABIB INSERM 1095	1 Aix- Aix-Marseille Univ, URMITE, Aix Marseille Université- UM63, CNRS 7278, IRD 198,
30		2 APHM, La Timone Hospital, Cardiology Department, Marseille France
31 32	Chiara BUCCIA of Bristol	RELLI-DUCCI Bristol Heart Institute Bristol NIHR Biomedical Research Unit (BRU) University
33 34		ORIO, MD, PhD, FESC, Cardiology, Department of Cardiological Thoracic and Vascular rsity of Padova, Italy
35 36		Multimodality cardiac imaging department, Sports Cardiology and cardiomyopathies centre- ; Lisbon, Portugal
37	Philippe CHAR	20N 1 - Faculty hospital Ambroise Paré, 9 av Charles de Gaulle, 92104 Boulogne Billancourt -
38		2 - Faculty hospital Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47 bvd de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France
39		
40		IS MD, PhD. CHVZ (Centrum voor Hart en Vaatziekten - UZ Brussel
41 42		NE APHM, Hôpitaux de la Timone, Pôle d'imagerie Médicale, Department of Radiology and Imaging, 13005 Marseille, France
43 44		UMEAUX INSERM U955, Université Paris-Est Creteil, Henri Mondor Hospital, DHU-ATVB, Physiology, AP-HP, Créteil, France
45	Erwan DONAL (Cardiologie – CHU Rennes & CIC-IT 1414 & LTSI INSERM 1099 – Université Rennes-1
46	Marc R Dweck:	Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh
47 48		EN Department of Cardiology, Center for Cardiological innovation and Institute for 8 Surgical University Hospital, Oslo Norway and University of 9 Oslo, Oslo, Norway
49 50	Paola Anna Erb of Pisa. Pisa. It	a MD, PhD. Department of Translational Research and New Technology in Medicine. University aly.
51 52		E INSERM U955, Université Paris-Est Creteil, Henri Mondor Hospital, DHU-ATVB, Department P-HP, Créteil, France
53	Oliver GAEMPE	RLI University Heart Center Zurich, Interventional Cardiology and Cardiac Imaging 19 Zurich
54	Maurizio GALDI	ERISI Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University, Naples Italy
55	Julia GRAPSA I	Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Imperial College of London, London, United Kingdom
56 57		R APHM, Hôpitaux de la Timone, Pôle d'imagerie Médicale, Department of Radiology and Imaging, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, CRMBM UMR 7339, 13385 Marseille, France
58 59	Karin KLINGEL University Hosp	Karin Klingel Department of Molecular Pathology, Institute for Pathology and Neuropathology, ital Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany
60 61		LLOTTI 1. University of Liège Hospital, GIGA Cardiovascular Sciences, Departments of rt Valve Clinic, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium
62		2. Gruppo Villa Maria Care and Research, Anthea Hospital, Bari, Italy
63 64 65		Cardiovascular Department at Fondazione Toscana G. Monasterio, CNR Institute 32 of logy and Scuola Superiore San"Anna, Pisa, Italy.
66 67	Alessia PEPE M Pisa, Italy	D, PhD, Magnetic Resonance Imaging Unit. Fondazione G. Monasterio C.N.R Regione Toscana
68	Pasquale PERRO	ONE-FILARDI Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University, Naples Italy

Steffen E. PETERSEN Department of Advanced Cardiovascular Imaging, William Harvey Research Institute,
 National Institute for Health Research Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit at Barts, London, United
 Kingdom

- Sven PLEIN, MD, PhD, Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Research Centre & Division of Biomedical Imaging,
 Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine LIGHT Laboratories, University of Leeds, United
 Kingdom
- Bogdan A. Popescu University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila" Euroecolab, Institute of
 Cardiovascular Diseases, Bucharest, Romania
- 77 Patricia REANT, MD, PhD CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France
- 78 L. Elif SADE MD. Baskent University Ankara TURKEY
- 79 Erwan SALAUN La Timone Hospital, Cardiology Department, Marseille France
- Riemer H.J.A. SLART 1Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, University of Groningen,
 University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Groningen, The Netherlands
- 82 2 Department of Biomedical Photonic Imaging, University of Twente, PO Box 217,
 83 7500 AEEnschede, The Netherlands
- 84 Christophe TRIBOUILLOY Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Amiens, Amiens, France and
- 85 INSERM U-1088, Jules Verne University of Picardie, Amiens, France
- 86 Jose ZAMORANO University Hospital Ramon y Cajal Carretera de Colmenar Km 9,100 28034 Madrid. Spain
- 87
- 88
- 89
- 90

91 Abstract

92 Restrictive cardiomyopathies are a diverse group of myocardial diseases with a wide range of aetiologies, including familial, genetic and acquired diseases and ranging from very rare 93 94 to relatively frequent cardiac disorders. In all these diseases, imaging techniques play a 95 central role. Advanced imaging techniques provide important novel data on the diagnostic 96 and prognostic assessment of restrictive cardiomyopathies. This EACVI consensus 97 document provides comprehensive information for the appropriateness of all non-invasive imaging techniques for the diagnosis, prognostic evaluation, and management of patients 98 99 with RCM.

- 100
- Key words: echocardiography; cardiac magnetic resonance; computed tomography;
 nuclear imaging; cardiomyopathies; restrictive cardiomyopathies
- 103
- 104

106	
107	1. Introduction
108	2. Definition and classification of RCM
109	3. Pathophysiology of RCM and clinical presentation
110	4. Imaging modalities in RCM:
111	1 - Echocardiography
112	2 - Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR)
113	3 - Cardiac computed tomography (CT)
114	4 – Nuclear imaging
115	5. Main forms of RCM and value of imaging techniques:
116	1 – Apparently idiopathic RCM
117	2 – Cardiac amyloidosis
118	3 – Other causes of familial/genetic RCM
119	Hemochromatosis
120	Fabry cardiomyopathy
121	Glycogen storage disease
122	Pseudoxanthoma elasticum
123 124	4 - Non familial/non-genetic RCM: Inflammatory cardiomyopathies with a restrictive hemodynamic component:
125	Cardiac Sarcoidosis
126	Systemic sclerosis
127	5 - Non familial/non genetic RCM: Radiation therapy and cancer drug therapy induced RCM:
128	Cardiac toxicity of radiation therapy
129	Cancer drug induced RCM
130	6 – Endomyocardial RCMs
131	Endomyocardial fibrosis
132	Hypereosinophilic syndrome
133	Carcinoid heart disease
134 135	Drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis
136 137	6. Differential diagnosis between RCM and other cardiac diseases
138	Differential diagnosis between RCM and constrictive pericarditis
139	Differential diagnosis or association between RCM and other myocardial diseases
140	
141	7. Conclusion and future directions
142	

143 **1. Introduction**

Restrictive cardiomyopathies (RCM) are a diverse group of myocardial diseases with a wide range of aetiologies, including familial, genetic and acquired diseases and ranging from very rare to relatively frequent cardiac disorders. This diversity is also reflected in the inconsistent classification of RCM across guidelines (1-3) and even in the term "restrictive", which is a functional characterization, unlike the morphological definition of the three other main types of cardiomyopathies, i.e. hypertrophic, arrhythmogenic right ventricular or dilated cardiomyopathies (4).

Independently of the underlying cause, the pathophysiology and clinical presentation, the initial phenotypic diagnosis of RCM requires imaging techniques. Many advances have occurred in the last decade in the diagnostic and prognostic assessment of RCM. This EACVI consensus document provides comprehensive information for the appropriateness of all non-invasive imaging techniques for the diagnosis, prognostic evaluation, and management of patients with RCM.

This article was written in close collaboration between the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) and the Working Group (WG) on Myocardial and Pericardial diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). The types of RCM covered in this document are those included in the classification system proposed by the WG on Myocardial and Pericardial diseases (1) as well as some non-sarcomeric hypertrophic cardiomyopathies with a restrictive physiology that in previous classifications were included in the RCM category, e.g. cardiac amyloidosis.

164

165 2. Definition and classification of RCM

RCM is the least common type of the cardiomyopathies, defined as myocardial disorders in which the heart muscle is structurally and functionally abnormal in the absence of coronary artery disease, arterial systemic hypertension, valvular disease or congenital heart disease sufficient to cause the observed myocardial abnormality (1).

According to the historical World Health Organization (WHO) (2) and the updated definition proposed by the ESC WG on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases in 2008 (1), each cardiomyopathy type is described by its clinical presentation. This approach is recommended firstly because it is the starting point in everyday clinical practice, and secondly because knowledge of aetiologies is still evolving, thus at present an aetiological classification would not be conclusive. RCM is defined by restrictive ventricular physiology in the presence of normal or reduced diastolic volumes, with normal or near-normal left ventricular (LV) systolic function, and normal or near-normal wall thickness (1-5). Increased interstitial fibrosis may be present. RCM constitutes a heterogeneous group of heart muscle diseases with various causes (Table 1) that may be classified according to very different criteria.

According to the main pathophysiological mechanism, RCM may be subclassified into infiltrative or storage diseases (e.g. amyloidosis and glycogen storage disease); obliterative or endomyocardial diseases (e.g. endomyocardial fibrosis, related or not to hypereosinophilia).

185 The WHO classification system was based on the distinction between primary and secondary myocardial disorders (2). Primary cardiomyopathies were defined as either not caused by an 186 identifiable agent, e.g. idiopathic, or related to a primary myocardial cause. Secondary 187 diseases were related to systemic disorders affecting the myocardium with a 188 189 pathophysiological process starting outside of, e.g. unspecific to the myocardium. The American Heart Association (AHA) proposed a slightly different classification system in 190 which the term "primary" was used to describe diseases in which the heart is the sole or 191 predominantly involved organ whereas "secondary" is used to describe diseases in which 192 myocardial dysfunction is part of a systemic disorder (3). 193

However, the challenge of distinguishing primary and secondary disorders is illustrated by 194 the fact that many diseases classified as primary cardiomyopathies (e.g. glycogen storage 195 196 disease, mitochondrial cytopathies) in the AHA classification can be associated with major extra-cardiac manifestations. Conversely, pathology in many of the diseases classified as 197 secondary cardiomyopathies can predominantly (or exclusively) involve the heart (e.g. 198 endomyocardial fibrosis or Fabry disease cardiac variant). In addition, the term of primary 199 cardiomyopathy as an idiopathic condition is no longer appropriate in a large group of 200 201 patients since genetics has identified mutations in various genes such as sarcomeric causes. 202 Therefore, the ESC WG on Myocardial & Pericardial Diseases proposed in 2008 to abandon 203 the distinction between primary and secondary causes (1).

As an alternative to this classification, the ESC Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases proposed to subclassify RCM and other cardiomyopathies into (i) familial or genetic causes and (ii) non-familial/non-genetic causes, because of the recent and increasing knowledge about genetic causes of cardiomyopathies. This is especially illustrated in RCM related to cardiac amyloidosis that may be acquired (amyloidosis AL or senile amyloidosis) or genetically determined (transthyretin and other genes mutations) and 210 be included in the nonsarcomeric hypertrophic cardiomyopathies as well as in the RCM (1).

- 211 The latter ESC classification will be used in this position paper.
- 212

213 3. Pathophysiology of RCM and clinical presentation

Restrictive physiology is characterized by a pattern of LV filling in which increased stiffness of the myocardium causes a precipitously rise of LV pressure with only small increases in volume. On cardiac catheterization, this phenomenon is characterized by a dip-and-plateau contour of early diastolic pressure traces. The standard echocardiographic features of 'restrictive' filling are described in chapter 4.1

Similarly, Some patients with a restrictive physiology may have significantly increased wall
thickness such as patients with cardiac amyloidosis. RCM should be differentiated from
constrictive pericarditis (6, 7). (see chapter 5).

222

223 4. Imaging modalities in RCM:

224 1 - Echocardiography

Echocardiography plays a key role for the recognition of RCM. The echocardiographicdiagnosis requires to differentiate RCM from constrictive pericarditis.

RCM are usually characterized by normal or small LV cavity size (< 40mL/m²) with preserved
LV ejection fraction, bi-atrial enlargement, and diastolic dysfunction (5).

229 Assessment of LV diastolic function and filling pressures is of utmost value in RCM. In the 230 recent joint American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) / EACVI recommendations for the 231 evaluation of diastolic function by echocardiography (8), the four recommended variables to 232 diagnose LV diastolic dysfunction and their abnormal cut-off values are annular e' velocity 233 (septal e' <7 cm/s, lateral e' <10 cm/s), average E/e' ratio >14, LA maximum volume index >34 ml/m², and peak TR velocity >2.8 m/s (figure 1). Other valuable parameters to identify 234 the presence of elevated LV filling pressures are the ratio of pulmonary vein peak systolic to 235 236 peak diastolic velocity, or systolic time velocity integral to diastolic time velocity integral <1, 237 and the changes in E/A ratio with Valsalva manoeuver. The restrictive filling is considered reversible if the change of E/A ratio during Valsalva is ≥0.5 and fixed if it is <0.5 (more 238 severe form). 239

The diagnosis of RCM does not equal the presence of restrictive physiology. Patients with true RCM may present with a grade I diastolic dysfunction and move progressively to grade

II or III diastolic dysfunction, with worsening of their disease. The advanced stages of RCM are characterized by typical restrictive physiology with a mitral inflow E/A ratio > 2.5, DT of E velocity <150 ms, IVRT < 50 ms, decreased septal and lateral e' velocities (3-4 cm/s), E/e' ratio > 14, as well as a markedly increased LA volume index (> 50 ml/m2)(8), this advanced restrictive pattern being associated with the worst prognosis (9). Wall thickness is usually normal.

Some specific features may also help differentiate secondary RCM, including several 248 249 systemic conditions (diabetic cardiomyopathy, scleroderma, endomyocardial fibrosis, radiation, chemotherapy, carcinoid heart disease, metastatic cancers), from apparently 250 251 idiopathic RCM (see chapter 5). Ultrasonic tissue characterisation with integrated backscatter has been used to assess myocardial texture, but is non-specific (10, 11). Finally, 252 2D deformation imaging is useful for the assessment of LV longitudinal dysfunction, which 253 is frequently impaired in most forms of RCM (12) (see chapter 5), and may help 254 differentiating RCM form constrictive pericarditis (13) 255

256

257 2 - Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR)

CMR imaging can contribute importantly to the diagnosis of RCM and the differential diagnosis from pericardial constriction [14]. The CMR methods most commonly used for the assessment of RCM include static (black blood) images, cine and contrast enhanced imaging as well as parametric mapping.

Static images are used to delineate cardiac, pericardial and vascular morphology. T1 and T2 weighted black blood images are sensitive to different tissue characteristics and provide complementary information. T1 weighted images show high signal from fat, as may for example be seen in Fabry's disease, while T2 weighted short tau inversion recovery (STIR) images show high signal in myocardial oedema, for example in acute sarcoidosis.

CMR allows accurate volumetric assessment of the heart and can accurately measure chamber size and function [15]. Typical cine CMR images are averaged over several heart beats to maximize image quality and temporal resolution, but real-time imaging can also be performed to demonstrate the typical septal shift during respiratory maneuvers and identify restrictive physiology [16]. Velocity encoded CMR in standardized imaging planes perpendicular to the atrio-ventricular heart valves is used to demonstrate the typical restrictive filling patterns of accentuated early filling and absent or reduced late filling [17]. Commented [v1]: Try to avoid grades of LV diastolic dysfunction since they have not been introduced earlier Commented [GH2R1]: Ok deleted

Commented [v3]: This sentence cannot come now because you have not indicated the differences between RCM and constrictive pericarditis

Commented [GH4R3]: Ok deleted

Commented [v5]: This I agree that is an important sentence. But this is not specific for RCM. It is for every single cardiac disease. The word count should be kept <10,000 words. Please try to keep the sentences that are relevant for this specific topic.

Commented [GH6R5]: Ok deleted

274 A unique feature of CMR of relevance to the imaging of RCM is tissue characterization with 275 late gadolinium enhancement (LGE). Following intravenous administration gadolinium based contrast agents are retained preferentially in tissues with an expanded extracellular 276 space, such as fibrosis, scar or infiltration. Characteristic patterns of contrast enhancement 277 can be observed in several of the RCMs, contributing to the differential diagnosis of Fabry 278 disease, amyloidosis, endomyocardial fibrosis and sarcoidosis (Figure 2). In many of these 279 conditions, the presence of LGE also has important prognostic relevance [18-20]. Finally, 280 parametric mapping methods have increasing applications in RCM and allow quantitative 281 measurement of tissue characteristics. T2*-weighted CMR is now the method of choice to 282 283 detect and quantify myocardial iron content in iron deposition cardiomyopathy and to guide appropriate therapy [21]. A low myocardial T2* value in this context is currently considered 284 the most powerful marker of adverse outcome [22]. More recently, T1 mapping has been 285 used to quantify the extent of myocardial inflammation and fibrosis. Native T1 relaxation 286 times, as measured with T1 mapping without the need for contrast agent administration, 287 are altered in several conditions including amyloidosis and may have incremental value over 288 LGE imaging [23]. The combination of native and post contrast T1 mapping allows an 289 290 estimation of the myocardial extracellular volume (ECV) fraction, which in amyloidosis can 291 even show differences in subtypes of the disease [24]. T1 mapping may also be useful in iron overload instead of the more established T2* mapping [25]. 292

293

294 3 - Cardiac computed tomography (CT)

295 The key advantage of computed tomography (CT) is its high-spatial resolution and the anatomical detail it provides. However the associated radiation exposure largely limits this 296 297 modality to static imaging, precluding dynamic analyses of left ventricular haemodynamics, 298 filling or relaxation. Nevertheless CT is well suited to identifying the anatomic features of 299 impaired cardiac filling that characterize RCM. These include dilatation of the atria, 300 coronary sinus and inferior vena cava and the presence of pulmonary congestion and pleural effusions. These features are also observed in a range of other conditions and the 301 302 predominant role of CT with respect to RCM is in the exclusion of these alternative 303 diagnoses. In particular, CT is well suited to detecting the thickening and calcification of the pericardium most commonly associated with constrictive pericarditis (26). Similarly CT 304 allows assessment of extra-cardiac involvement in systemic conditions such as sarcoidosis 305 (e.g. pulmonary nodules, pulmonary fibrosis and lymphadenopathy) or amyloidosis (e.g. 306 inhomogeneous hepatomegaly, diffuse lung parenchymal involvement, small kidneys) 307 further aiding in the differential diagnosis. 308

Commented [v7]: This is good for a book chapter. But this is repetitive of what is said before. Please consider deleting it.

Commented [GH8R7]: Ok deleted

When other imaging modalities are not available, CT may be useful in evaluation of patients with RCM, owing to its ability to measure LV wall thickness and mass, detect regional wall thickening (27), regions of replacement fibrosis (27, 28), and measure myocardial extracellular volume fraction by equilibrium contrast-enhanced CT to assess diffuse fibrosis (29). These advances may increase the clinical utility of CT in the future clinical assessment of patients with RCM, particularly when echocardiography and CMR are non-diagnostic or contraindicated.

316

317 4 – Nuclear imaging

Nuclear imaging modalities have a potential clinical role in two forms of RCM: amyloidosis and sarcoidosis (see chapters 5.2 and 5.4). Nuclear imaging modalities have the advantage of specific targeted molecular imaging. Positron emission tomography (PET) has the technical advantages of high spatial resolution, robust built-in attenuation correction, quantitative analysis, and low patient radiation exposure, whereas single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) has the advantage of a robust, cheaper and well validated camera system

325 There are increasing data on the role of nuclear tracers with SPECT and more recently with

326 PET for early identification and differential diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis, particularly

327 transthyretin-related amyloidosis (ATTR)

Radiolabelled SPECT phosphate derivatives, initially developed as bone-seeking tracers, were noted to localize to amyloid deposits using [99mTc]-diphosphanate (30). In clinical practice, the most used SPECT tracers are: 99mTc-DPD mainly in Europe and Asia and 99mTc-PYP in the United States. Their main advantage is avid uptake by ATTR and minimal uptake with the light-chain (AL) amyloidosis subtype, providing one of the best non-invasive ways to differentiate these subtypes of cardiac amyloidosis. (31, 32)

The imaging technique is simple. Briefly, after administering 740 MBq of 99mTc-DPD, or or [99mTc]-HDP (32, 33), or of 99mTc-PYP (34) intravenously, a whole-body scan is performed 3hours or 1 h later (anterior and posterior projections). If there is active uptake in the heart, chest SPECT is performed. The analysis is performed by semi-quantitative visual scoring of the cardiac as compared to the bone uptake (scores from 0 to 3) and by computing the ratio, after correction for background counts, of the mean counts in the heart region over the mean counts in the contralateral chest (H/CL ratio). Commented [v9]: abbreviation
Commented [GH10R9]: ok abbreviation above

Other nuclear imaging approaches have been recently proposed for the diagnosis and prognostic stratification of patients with suspected amyloidosis. (31) PET imaging using new amyloid tracers like the [11C]-labeled Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB) or [18F]-florbetapir is promising and under early clinical investigation. The use of neuronal imaging by [123-I]-MIBG SPECT has been suggested for early recognition of cardiac involvement and prognostic stratification of individuals with TTR mutation (34)

The inflammatory nature of cardiac sarcoidosis renders PET useful for its diagnosis, as 347 348 [¹⁸F]FDG accumulates in inflammatory cells in the heart. FDG is preferred in combination with a perfusion tracer to improve specificity, due to better match/mismatch pattern 349 recognition. Unlike in CMR, there is no distinct pattern of FDG uptake that is 350 pathognomonic for cardiac sarcoidosis, though focal or focal on diffuse uptake is suggestive 351 of the disorder.(35) At present, [18F]FDG-PET appears to be more sensitive but less specific 352 than CMR (36) and its use seems most appropriate in patients who have contraindications 353 to CMR, inconclusive findings on CMR or where CMR is not available also to monitor 354 response to therapy. The development of FDG PET/MR techniques offers the ability to 355 assess LV wall function, the pattern of myocardial injury and disease activity in a single 356 scan (37) (figure 3) 357

358

In summary, several imaging techniques are available in the evaluation of RCM, all of which have both advantages and limitations. Table 2 summarizes the value of different imaging modalities in various forms of RCM. Although non-invasive techniques are sufficient in most cases, [final histologic diagnosis may sometimes be necessary, and may be obtained by biopsies specimens from the heart (endomyocardial biopsies [EMB]) or other organs. Figure 4 illustrates by histology and immunohistology different disease entities of RCM which will be discussed in the following chapters.

366			
367			
368			
369			
370			

Commented [v11]: this is not really addressed in these sections...it goes a bit against the value of the imaging techniques. Delete it ?

Commented [GH12R11]: I agree. However, histologic description was requested by the majority and difficult to withdraw this part. It was really a wish from the Cardiomyopathy WG to include this sentence, which has already been shortened previously. I tried to introduce some nuances in the role of histology, which may be sometimes useful, and widely used in some countries

371 **5. Main forms of RCM and value of imaging techniques:**

372 1 – Apparently idiopathic RCM

Apparently idiopathic RCM may be caused by mutations in sarcomeric disease genes and may even coexist with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the same family (38-40) and may require EMB (to exclude cardiac amyloidosis), family screening and genetic investigations. Most affected individuals have severe signs and symptoms of heart failure. Several studies have reported that 66–100% die or receive a cardiac transplant within a few years of diagnosis.

The echocardiographic diagnosis is one of restrictive physiology and mostly preserved LV 379 ejection fraction. Typically, idiopathic RCM is characterised by diastolic dysfunction with 380 apparently preserved systolic function, dilated atria, and the absence of ventricular 381 hypertrophy or dilatation (figure 5 and videos 1 and 2). Longitudinal function may be 382 decreased; the right ventricle may be involved but there is no "pathognomonic" 383 echocardiographic pattern of apparently idiopathic RCM. CMR with LGE may facilitate the 384 diagnosis of infiltrative myocardial disease, and is thus particularly useful for ruling out a 385 particular cause of RCM (41). 386

387

388 2 – Cardiac amyloidosis

Cardiac amyloidosis (CA) is one of the most frequent causes of RCM and may be
genetic/familial (ATTR) or non-genetic non-familial (AL/ prealbumin, senile).

The diagnosis requires awareness, expertise and a high level of clinical suspicion, with 391 integration between clinical, electrocardiographic and echocardiographic data. The 392 393 "mismatch" between the presence of LV hypertrophy (LVH) in echocardiography and its 394 absence on the ECG (no LVH, absolute or relative low-voltage QRS) is suggestive of cardiac amyloidosis and is often the first disease "red flag" (42, 43). Typical echocardiographic 395 396 findings in cardiac amyloidosis patients include (figure 6a) a non-dilated LV with moderate 397 concentric LVH and a 'granular sparkling' appearance of the myocardial texture, valvular 398 thickening (mainly the A-V valves), biatrial dilatation, right ventricular free wall hypertrophy, inter atrial septum infiltration (loss of physiological echo drop-out) and mild 399 pericardial effusion (44). In the early stages of the disease, cardiac amyloidosis may present 400 401 as asymmetrical septal hypertrophy, sometimes with LV outflow tract obstruction and can then be wrongly diagnosed as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). The presence of intra-402

Commented [v13]: this sentence does not provide much since the review is on imaging... Commented [GH14R13]: ok Commented [v15]: echocardiography is the first line for all RCM Commented [GH16R15]: true 403 atrial thrombus also seems to be relatively frequent in patients with cardiac amyloidosis,
404 even in sinus rhythm (45).

Patients often show (figure 6b) advanced diastolic dysfunction (grade II or III) and increased LV filling pressures. The classical transmitral restrictive pattern may only be seen at advanced disease stages. The typical tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) pattern of cardiac amyloidosis, with low systolic (s') and diastolic (e', a') myocardial velocities. Of note, E/e' ratio is usually abnormally increased even in the presence of LV abnormal relaxation pattern (diastolic dysfunction grade I) (46).

LV systolic dysfunction is also a common finding in this disease. In early stages, despite preserved LV ejection fraction, longitudinal function is abnormal (abnormal long axis systolic velocities (s') and strain) (figure 7a) as well as myocardial contraction fraction, a recently described systolic parameter (47).

2D speckle-tracing echocardiography (2D-STE) is important, as many systolic strain parameters (longitudinal, circumferential, radial) are abnormal in cardiac amyloidosis, particularly in the longitudinal axis, typically with prominent involvement of LV basal segments and apical sparing (48) (figure 7b), reflecting the predominant deposition of amyloid in basal segments. The combination of a prominent reduction of longitudinal strain in LV basal segments with increased E/e' ratio suggests cardiac amyloidosis in early stages (49).

422 Multiple echocardiographic parameters have been associated with adverse outcomes in 423 cardiac amyloidosis, including M- mode and 2D data (maximal wall thickness, LV fractional 424 shortening and LV ejection fraction, right ventricle dilatation), blood pool Doppler data 425 (restrictive filling pattern, myocardial performance index, Tissue Doppler derived data 426 (myocardial velocities, long axis velocity gradient, peak longitudinal systolic basal antero-427 septal strain > -7.5%) (50) and 2D-STE parameters (GLS, mid-septum systolic longitudinal 428 strain, apical LS< -14.5%) (51, 52).

429 CMR is often used after CA is suspected by echocardiography to confirm or refute the 430 diagnosis, and in experienced hands represents a powerful tool with important diagnostic 431 and prognostic implications. Cine images may demonstrate typical anatomical features like 432 thickened LV wall, biatrial enlargement, reduced long-axis shortening, and pleural or 433 pericardial effusion. The presence of amyloid protein in the myocardial interstitium is 434 associated with abnormal gadolinium-chelate contrast kinetics and characteristic patterns 435 of contrast distribution. LGE images typically show circumferential subendocardial contrast enhancement or bilateral septal subendocardial LGE with dark mid-wall (zebra pattern) 436

Commented [v17]: Lv diastolic function is abnormal in all RCM by definition ?

Commented [v19]: This sentence is repetition of the section on CMR. Please avoid repetition. Commented [GH20R19]: ok 437 (Figure 8a) (53, 54), but other patterns of enhancement have also been described. In atypical 438 cases, other differential diagnoses should be considered such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or Fabry's disease. Cardiac involvement can extend to the right ventricle 439 and atrial walls, as potentially detected by LGE. The extent of myocardial LGE correlates 440 with New York Heart Association functional class, LV wall thickness, lower ECG voltage, 441 and cardiac biomarkers (troponins, brain natriuretic peptide)(55). With more advanced 442 disease, amyloid infiltration may be transmural with corresponding global enhancement on 443 LGE images, which is an independent predictor of poorer outcomes, over stroke volume and 444 pro-NT brain natriuretic peptide. (56) 445

Amyloid deposits increase the longitudinal relaxation time (T1) magnetic property of 446 the heart. Thus, myocardial non-contrast T1 values are longer in cardiac amyloidosis than 447 in controls, a finding with higher sensitivity for detecting early subclinical cardiac 448 involvement than LGE.(57) ECV estimation from pre- and post-contrast T1 mapping has 449 been used to quantify interstitial amyloid deposition which appears to be more extensive in 450 transthyretin amyloidosis (TTR) than in immunoglobulin light-chain amyloidosis (AL). (58) 451 The addition of parametric mapping to standard CMR images is promising to be a powerful 452 and quantitative diagnostic tool that also allows differential diagnosis from other diseases 453 with similar phenotypic expression. 454

Scintigraphy employs molecular-targeted radiolabeled compounds to detect systemic and 455 organ-specific amyloid deposits. Scintigraphy is a valuable alternative to CMR particularly 456 457 for patients with ATTR amyloidosis due to its very high sensitivity. Scintigraphy may also be used following an inconclusive CMR study, or for phenotyping cardiac amyloidosis (ATTR 458 vs. AL) or in the differential diagnosis with sarcomeric HCM (59, 60).). The [99mTc]-labeled 459 bisphosphonate compounds pyrophosphate (PYP) (60) and 3,3-diphosphono-1,2-460 propanodicarboxylic acid (DPD)(61) and hydroxydiphosphonate (HDP) (33) (which are 461 routinely used as bone scintigraphy agents) bind through unknown mechanisms to amyloid 462 463 protein. All have proven very sensitive for detecting cardiac involvement in ATTR amyloidosis 464 with reported sensitivities up to 100% on late phase planar scintigraphy. Typical uptake 465 patterns besides cardiac uptake in ATTR amyloidosis include increased soft tissue uptake 466 (mainly muscular uptake in the gluteal, shoulder, chest and abdominal wall regions) with 467 obscuring of bone uptake (Figure 8b). However, in AL amyloidosis, cardiac uptake is found in less than half of patients and is generally less intense (likely due to the lower 468 concentration of calcium-containing products in AL amyloid). Additionally, AL patients have 469 470 generally no muscular [99mTc]-DPD or [99mTc]-HDP uptake while visceral uptake (liver, spleen) may be more common. 471

Even if there are not yet large comparative studies, the diagnostic performance of nuclear imaging for cardiac amyloidosis is established. In general, [99mTc]-DPD can differentiate subtypes (62) and can be more sensitive than CMR (33) or echocardiography in diagnosing early disease being an independent prognostic marker (63). In a recent study by Bokhari et al. (60) using 99mTc-PYP, while patients with AL had some uptake, the visual score was significantly less than in patients with ATTR, allowing the differentiation between ATTR and AL amyloidosis with 97% sensitivity and 100% specificity.

479 Hence, whole body planar DPD and HDP scintigraphy may help to phenotype cardiac amyloidosis particularly through differentiating ATTR from AL amyloidosis (or from 480 sarcomeric HCM, where no DPD uptake is seen), which often have overlapping imaging 481 features on echocardiography and CMR, but very distinct clinical course and prognosis. 482 Moreover, a recent comparison of [99mTc]-DPD scintigraphy and LGE showed that despite 483 a general good agreement between both techniques, LGE may sometimes underestimate 484 cardiac amyloid burden (33). Finally, myocardial tracer uptake on scintigraphy is correlated 485 with disease severity (measured by circulating troponin and LV wall mass), and has been 486 shown to be a powerful prognostic determinant of outcome in ATTR cardiac amyloidosis (32, 487 63). 488

Recent investigations found that bone scintigraphy enables the diagnosis of cardiac ATTR 489 amyloidosis to be made reliably without the need for histology in patients who do not have 490 a monoclonal gammapathy. (64). The algorithm proposed (figure 9) that cardiac ATTR 491 amyloidosis can be reliably diagnosed in the absence of histology provided an 492 echocardiogram or CMR is suggestive of amyloidosis, cardiac uptake is present on 493 scintigraphy and there is absence of a detectable monoclonal gammapathy. Histological 494 495 confirmation and typing of amyloid should be sought in all cases of suspected cardiac 496 amyloidosis in which these criteria are not met.

497	In summary, all these imaging techniques are useful and give additional
498	information, including echocardiography, nuclear techniques, CMR (table 3 (65), but
499	also EMB and genetic testing, to differentiate ATTR mutant from wild type. Figure
500	10 illustrates the value of multimodality imaging in a patient with cardiac
501	amyloidosis.
502	

Commented [v21]: Said before ?

Commented [v22]: If these sections are meant to be like keypoints, this sentence can be deleted

505 3 - Other causes of familial/genetic RCM

506 Hemochromatosis

507 Iron overload cardiomyopathy (IOC) results from iron accumulation in the myocardium 508 mainly because of genetic disorders of iron metabolism (primary hemochromatosis) or 509 multiple transfusions (such as in thalassemia or myelodysplastic syndromes).

In the early stages, myocardial iron overload (MIO) causes diastolic LV dysfunction (66). If no effective iron chelation is instituted in time, the majority of patients develops LV dilatation and reduced LV ejection fraction (EF) (dilated phenotype) (67). In a minority of cases with severe MIO, restrictive LV dysfunction can lead to pulmonary hypertension, right ventricular dilatation, and right-sided heart failure with preserved LVEF (restrictive

515 phenotype) (68).

516 Echocardiography is a useful modality in the follow-up of iron-loaded patients. A 517 pseudonormalized pattern of transmitral inflow is frequently encountered and may be

unmasked by tissue Doppler (69). LV diastolic dysfunction and reduced EF may both be

masked by an anemia-induced high cardiac output state in hematologic patients. There are

- 520 few data relating diastolic function to outcome in hemochromatosis (70).
- 521 However, due to the lower accuracy in quantifying biventricular systolic function and the

522 lack of parameters able to predict MIO reliably, echocardiography is only the second-line

523 imaging method after CMR (71, 72).

The method of choice for assessing IOC is CMR, which allows tissue characterization 524 525 including quantification of MIO. The paramagnetic effect of iron-loaded myocardium affects T1, T2 and T2* relaxation times which can be used to calculate MIO. The best validated 526 method for quantifying MIO is T2* mapping. T2* values correlate closely with hepatic and 527 528 myocardial iron content and correlate better with LV dilatation and LV dysfunction than serum ferritin or liver iron concentration. A T2* value of < 20 ms at 1.5 Tesla, typically 529 530 measured in the interventricular septum, is used as a conservative cut-off for segmental 531 and global heart iron overload and patients with the lowest T2* values have the highest risk 532 of developing arrhythmia and heart failure. T2* CMR has revolutionized IOC management with the death rate in patients with Thalassemia falling dramatically in countries where T2* 533 CMR has been adopted. In the assessment of IOC, the first cardiac T2* assessment should 534 be performed as early as possible and the effectiveness of iron chelation (73) and reversal of 535 MIO can be reliably guided by follow up scans (74). A multislice approach can detect the 536 uneven distribution of MIO, allowing early identification of patients at risk of cardiac 537

Commented [v23]: This is known worldwide. Please avoid information that is already known and not specific of this disease

Commented [v24]: If not enough data, try to avoid it...

Commented [v25]: This we know it is common for every single cardiac disease. Please indicate the most important features that make any imaging technique unique for specific disease...

538 complications (75).

539 T2* is dependent on field strength and sensitive to field inhomogeneity. T2 and T1 mapping

techniques offer some advantages over T2* and have been compared with standard methods,with initial studies showing close correlation with T2*.

In patients where the diagnosis is unclear, a multiparametric CMR approach that evaluates
cardiac function, myocardial fibrosis and edema may allow further clarification of the
underlying mechanisms leading to the LV dysfunction (76).

546 In summary, cardiac involvement is frequent in hemochromatosis. CMR is the main 547 imaging technique for diagnosis and follow-up of cardiac hemochromatosis, 548 allowing both reliable measurement of LV and RV dimension and function and tissue 549 characterization including quantification of MIO.

550

545

551 Fabry cardiomyopathy

552 Cardiac involvement is very common and is the most frequent cause of death not only in 553 hemizygote males but also in female heterozygote carriers with α-Gal A deficiency, with a 554 reduction of life expectancy of approximately 20 and 15 years respectively (77). The heart 555 may be the only organ affected in the classic phenotype of Fabry disease, and this is 556 designated the "cardiac variant" (78).

557 Cardiovascular manifestations include renovascular and systemic hypertension, aortic root 558 dilatation, mitral prolapse and congestive heart failure (79). Fabry cardiomyopathy mainly 559 consists of progressive LVH, which may cause substantial morbidity and contribute to the 560 reduced life expectancy of affected patients, both male and female (80, 81).

LVH is a hallmark of Fabry cardiomyopathy (82). In patient populations with HCM, the 561 562 prevalence of Fabry disease ranges from 0 to 12%, depending on the patient selection criteria 563 used, but is close to 1% in the largest series (83). LVH is generally symmetrical, although 564 asymmetric septal hypertrophy has been described, and the condition can mimic the phenotypical and clinical features of HCM, including obstructive HCM (84). Typically, the 565 566 echocardiogram shows marked increases in wall thickness and ventricular dilatation later 567 in the disease process. Valve leaflet thickening can be seen, and this produces valve impairment that usually does not require surgical treatment (85). 568

569 Echocardiography using TDI can detect the first signs of myocardial damage in a patient 570 with Fabry cardiomyopathy and normal cardiac wall thickness (86). Furthermore, TDI

571 studies have been shown to be useful in detecting cardiac involvement in female carriers

with no systemic manifestations of Fabry disease. A reduction of TDI velocities may represent the first sign of initial intrinsic myocardial impairment (87). These reduced TDI velocities in mutation positives without LVH are consistent with the hypothesis that myocardial dysfunction precedes LVH (88).

CMR with LGE may be useful in the non-invasive recognition of myocardial fibrosis, in the 576 context of cardiac involvement of Fabry disease (89). The LGE pattern of distribution helps 577 in the differentiation between HCM and Fabry cardiomyopathy (90). Patients with Fabry 578 579 cardiomyopathy typically present with a pattern characterized by the involvement of the inferolateral basal or mid basal segments (89). Furthermore, the myocardial T2 relaxation 580 time is prolonged in patients with Fabry disease compared with that in HCM patients, and 581 its measurement could be complementary to the LGE technique. More recently, native T1 582 mapping was shown to be the most reliable technique to differentiate Fabry cardiomyopathy 583 from all the other LVH phenocopies, by demonstrating a low native T1 value of the affected 584 myocardium (whilst other LGE area of different disease would display a high native T1 585 values) (90). This important difference is due to the characteristic fatty nature of the 586 infiltration in Fabry disease. 587

Finally, for most males with Fabry disease, the diagnosis can be made by measuring
leucocyte and plasma α-Gal activity, while genetic testing is useful in patients with normal
levels of enzyme activity (90). A familial screening should be performed in patients with
Fabry's disease (figure 11).

592

In summary, cardiac involvement is frequent in Fabry disease and is associated with
 worse outcome. Imaging techniques, especially TDI and CMR, allow a comprehensive
 evaluation of cardiac involvement, even before morphological manifestations such
 as hypertrophy develop.

597

598 Glycogen storage disease

Glycogen storage disease is defined as the absence or deficiency of one of the enzymes responsible for making or breaking down glycogen in the body. The enzyme deficiency causes either abnormal tissue concentrations of glycogen or incorrectly or abnormally formed glycogen (91, 92). There are 11 different types of glycogen storage diseases causing different forms of heart failure. Most well-known are Danon and Pompe diseases (82, 93, 94). Danon cardiomyopathy is progressive and typically manifests a hypertrophic phenotype, with preserved LVEF and normal cavity dimensions early in the course of disease, and later progression to dilated features in 11% to 12% of men (92). Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is predominant in male patients, whereas an equal prevalence of hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy is seen in female patients (93).

Echocardiography demonstrates increased LV mass and wall thickness although LV systolicfunction is preserved. Taking into consideration the possible progress to cardiac failure,

- serial echocardiograms with attention to LV thickness and mass are important in the careof these patients (94, 95). Echocardiography is also the standard method to evaluate the
- 614 cardiac response to enzyme replacement therapy.

615 Typical findings in CMR consist of significantly reduced LV global function and increase of

LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes. Perfusion defects, mainly subendocardial, are
visible in almost all segments on rest first-pass perfusion images. They may be obvious in
the infero-septal segments and partly transmural in the lateral and anterior walls. LGE

- appears to be a rare finding in Pompe disease but when present, is seen in thesubendocardium and in places transmurally in the anterior and lateral walls (96, 97).
- 621 A diagnosis of Danon disease is always confirmed by EMB results.

^{99m}Tc-methoxyisobutylisonitrile (MIBI) myocardial imaging has also been employed as an
imaging diagnostic test for glycogen storage disease, to detect myocardial damage as a noninvasive method. There has been a positive rate of detection of damage with G-MPI of 77.8
% (98).

Other storage / infiltrative diseases (Gaucher disease, mucopolysaccharidoses) may berarely associated with cardiac involvement (99, 100).

628 629

630 Pseudoxanthoma elasticum

Pseudoxanthoma elasticum is a rare, inherited connective tissue disorder associated with coronary and peripheral arterial disease and accelerated atherosclerosis in medium sized arteries (101). Cardiac involvement may start as a diffuse arteriopathy secondary to elastic fiber dysgenesis, involving the small intramural coronary vessels ('small-vessel disease') and it may reach the clinical presentation of congestive heart failure, even though – quite often - with normal epicardial vessels (102).

637 Echocardiography detects impaired LV systolic and diastolic function (103). Other imaging

modalities - as functional tests - such as perfusion CMR or nuclear myocardial perfusion
imaging, may be useful to demonstrate early coronary involvement and/or the direct

Commented [v26]: Really needed for an imaging article ?

Commented [v27]: Already said before ?

consequences of ultrastructural defects of the elastic tissue of the heart. Increasedawareness for silent ischemia is recommended (101, 104).

An important study with arterial stiffness evaluation demonstrates the early detection of accelerated atherosclerosis and the impairment of the elastic properties of the aorta. A lower elasticity in large arteries, a higher cardiac output and a higher total vascular impedance were observed in patients with pseudoxanthoma elasticum with respect to the control group (104).

647 648

4 - Non familial/non-genetic RCM: Inflammatory cardiomyopathies with a restrictive hemodynamic component:

651 Cardiac Sarcoidosis

652 Sarcoidosis is a multisystem inflammatory granulomatous disease of unknown origin.
653 Cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) is frequently isolated (105). Its diagnosis is difficult and has
654 benefited from the use of multimodality imaging.

655 Although echocardiography is not the method of choice for the diagnosis of cardiac 656 sarcoidosis, it can offer very useful information in some cases (106). An unexplained reduced LV ejection fraction <40% in a patient with a histological diagnosis of extra-cardiac 657 sarcoidosis is suggestive of cardiac sarcoidosis (107). Characteristic echocardiographic 658 659 changes suggestive of cardiac sarcoidosis are: wall thickness >13 mm (due to 660 granulomatous expansion), or <7 mm (due to fibrosis), aneurysmal dilatation especially at the level of the inferior and posterior walls (108), regional wall motion abnormalities without 661 any specific coronary distribution, interspersed with normokinetic segments (109). 662

663 CMR is one of the imaging modalities recommended for the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis in current guidelines (106) and CMR may be more sensitive for cardiac involvement than 664 665 currently used clinical criteria (110). Myocardial inflammation may be identified by T2 STIR 666 images and early contrast enhancement while areas of fibrosis are detected by LGE (111) (figure 12). The typical pattern of cardiac sarcoidosis on LGE is patchy focal enhancement 667 sparing the endocardial border, not following a coronary artery distribution (112), and 668 involving mainly the basal and lateral LV walls (113). Single or often multiple lesions are 669 670 seen and other, more atypical LGE patterns have also been described. Importantly, no LGE pattern is pathognomonic for CS. Moreover, CMR offers prognostic information: myocardial 671 scar determined by LGE is a predictor for ventricular arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death 672

673 in patients with sarcoidosis (114).

674 Nuclear imaging has also an important role in the assessment of cardiac sarcoidosis. 675 Although the major diagnostic criteria for CS include [67Ga]-citrate scintigraphy, its sensitivity for CS is significantly lower than [18F]FDG-PET/CT (115). For this reason 676 [18F]FDG-PET/CT have currently replaced [67Ga]-scintigraphy in the majority of centers 677 being nowadays the most commonly used imaging test for detecting myocardial 678 inflammation. Advantages of [18F]FDG-PET/CT over [67Ga], includes favorable tracer 679 kinetics, lower radiation exposure, and better quality images (116). Active sarcoid lesions 680 present increased [18F]FDG uptake on PET/CT imaging due to utilization of glucose as an 681 energy source by inflammatory cell in infiltrates (117). However, [18F]FDG-PET/CT has not 682 683 been officially adopted in the diagnostic guidelines (118) mainly due to the high variability of [18F]FDG uptake in the normal myocardium, that requires adequate patient preparation 684 to prevent errors. Strategies for myocardial suppression to maximize the accuracy of the 685 686 procedure include prolonged fasting, dietary modifications, and a heparin load before imaging (119). The imaging protocol include preferable gated cardiac [18F]FDG and whole 687 body images (120). A cardiac perfusion scan could be combined to compare [18F]FDG-PET 688 and perfusion patterns (Table 4) (121). 689

Pitfalls in [18F]FDG PET/CT imaging are myocarditis, cardiac amyloidosis, infection, and
myocardial metastases, causing focal [18F]FDG uptake. There are very few circumstances
under which [18F]FDG will be falsely negative as in case of corticosteroids treatment or "old,
non-active" sarcoidosis.

[18F]FDG-PET/CT sensitivity and specificity for CS have been reported at 89% and 78%, respectively (117). Quantitative analysis further improved these figures, reaching a sensitivity of 97.3% and a specificity of 83.6% for the diagnosis of CS. In addition, standardized uptake value (SUVmax) on [18F]FDG-PET/CT was found the only independent predictor among clinical and imaging variables for diagnosing CS (122).

Serial [18F]FDG-PET/CT imaging can be utilized to assess the response to therapies.
Decrease [18F]FDG uptake in cardiac lesions following therapy has been reported in case of
corticosteroid treatment as well as immunosuppressive therapies (123, 124). Figure 13
illustrates the value of serial [¹⁸F]FDG PET/CT in a patient with CS treated with high dose
corticosteroids.

[18F]FDG-PET/CT only moderately correlated with CMR, mainly due to the different
significance of findings: LGE by CMR represents cardiac damage and scarring whereas
[18F]FDG uptake represents active inflammation. When CMR and [18F]FDG -PET/CT were
compared with the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare guidelines (JMHWG), CMR had
a higher specificity with lower sensitivity than nuclear imaging (125).

710 In summary, [18F]FDG-PET/CT and CMR are powerful imaging techniques for accurate 711 detection and therapy monitoring of CS. Protocols for imaging with these modalities 712 are increasingly well defined, however large prospective studies supporting new guidelines for CS imaging are warranted. 713

714

715 Systemic sclerosis

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a connective tissue disease characterized by vascular and fibrotic 716 717 lesions of skin and internal organs and represents a model of progressive interstitial 718 myocardial fibrosis triggered by increased endothelin production and also focal 719 hypoperfusion (126). Cardiovascular involvement has been shown to be one of the leading causes of mortality in SSc and can occur in up to 70% of patients as a finding on autopsy 720 (127, 128). Although the primary myocardial involvement remains clinically silent in the 721 722 majority of patients, it can lead to further diastolic and systolic LV dysfunction (129), which carries a poor prognosis. Early diagnosis and accurate staging of myocardial involvement 723 724 are therefore crucial for the management of these patients and for therapeutic strategies.

Conventional echocardiographic assessment of the LVEF has shown limited sensitivity being 725 726 able to identify only 5% of patients with cardiac involvement (130). Results of studies using 727 TDI and speckle-tracking echocardiography suggested that myocardial velocity and strain 728 might be more sensitive than conventional measures in identifying subtle cardiac 729 dysfunction in asymptomatic patients with SSc (131, 132).

730 Since myocardial fibrosis is the primary abnormality underlying SSc cardiac involvement, methods that enable early identification of fibrosis should be preferred. Endomyocardial 731 biopsy is the gold standard for the detection of myocarditis that may be found in SSc 732 733 patients and might help to detect cardiac involvement at an early stage of the disease as inflammation was found in 96 % and fibrosis in 100% of all SSc patients investigated (133). 734 Importantly, prognosis was poor and associated with the degree of cardiac inflammation 735 736 and fibrosis revealing an event rate of 28% within 22.5 months follow-up (133).

CMR with LGE imaging has been used to detect myocardial areas with replacement fibrosis 737 in patients with an advanced stage of SSc (134). However, at an early stage of the disease, 738 myocardial fibrosis in SSc is usually diffuse and thus, undetected by LGE-CMR. ECV 739 740 estimation using pre and post contrast T1 mapping has been used to visualize increased collagen content in SSc (135). A recent study has demonstrated that ECV imaging performed 741 early during SS reveals myocardial abnormalities consistent with diffuse myocardial fibrosis 742 743 that are not apparent on LGE imaging, therefore representing an early marker of disease.

(136). In addition, the ECV abnormalities correlated with diastolic LV dysfunction which 744

occurred in 45% of the patients (137). This study also evaluated the systolic circumferential
strain by CMR that was also found decreased but without any correlation with ECV increase,
suggesting therefore that LV systolic dysfunction may be related not only to myocardial
fibrosis but also to other phenomena, such as myocardial ischemia.

In SSc, myocardial ischemia, unrelated to coronary artery disease, is common with
impairment of microcirculation and coronary vasospasm (138). Therefore, stress
echocardiography, CMR stress perfusion and single-photon emission computed tomography
(SPECT) have been proposed to evaluate myocardial perfusion in SS patients

753 754

755 5 - Non familial/non genetic RCM: Radiation therapy and cancer 756 drug therapy induced RCM:

757 Cardiac toxicity of radiation therapy

758 In general, the development of radiotherapy-induced RCM suggests a prior high dose chest irradiation (>60 Gy). It can also occur at lower radiation exposure when anthracycline is 759 760 used (139). RCM occurs as a result of diffuse myocardial fibrosis. On echocardiography, the classical features of RCM are found. Although its value in radiation-related myocardial 761 fibrosis is still unclear, ECV estimation using pre and post contract T1 mapping by CMR is 762 directly related to collagen content (140). The presence of decreased mean LV mass, end-763 764 diastolic dimension, and end-diastolic wall thickness together with dilation of both atria and 765 self-reported dyspnea, is suggestive of RCM in this population (141). Cardiac CT has little value in the diagnosis of RCM after radiotherapy, except for the detection of any associated 766 767 vascular disease. There is no proven value of nuclear cardiology in the detection of RCM 768 after radiation exposure. However, perfusion scintigraphy imaging can reveal fixed regional perfusion defects, which possibly indicate direct damage and the presence of local fibrosis 769 770 (142).

771

772

773 Cancer drug induced RCM

The typical structural manifestation of cancer drug induced cardiomyopathy corresponds

to a LV eccentric remodeling with dilation of internal cavity and thinning of myocardial walls

776 [143]. When clinical heart failure is overt, this picture is associated with a significant

reduction of LV ejection fraction. In the more advanced stages LV diastolic function can be

strongly altered, with an abnormal increase of LV filling pressure. This will induce the

779 classic "restrictive" physiology with the typical standard Doppler-derived transmitral

Commented [v28]: Is this specific for this type of RCM ? from previous description this is common to all of them ?

780 pattern: E/A ratio > 2 or even > 3 and short E velocity deceleration time (usually < 150-160

msec). The presence of a restrictive pattern in a patient with cancer drug induced
cardiotoxicity has a recognized prognostic value, exactly as this occurs in the general clinical
setting [8].

Currently, the restrictive diastolic pattern is detectable in particular in patients undergoing 784 anthracyclines (Cardiotoxicity type 1), it being possibly evident not only during treatment 785 (acute cardiotoxicity) but also - and more often - after the completion (even several years 786 after) of cancer therapies [143]. (figure 14, videos 6 and 7). Early cardiotoxicity, occurring 787 during or within 1 year of completion of treatment, is the most important risk factor for the 788 789 development of late cardiotoxicity, which occurs beyond a year of completion of treatment. This is very important to know in children undergoing anthracyclines therapy. In fact, they 790 can develop late cardiotoxicity during adulthood and should be therefore carefully 791 monitored for years by echocardiography. Cumulative as well as peak anthracycline doses 792 793 affect adults and children alike.

The restrictive physiology of diastolic pattern is instead very rare in patients undergoing trastuzumab therapy and similar drugs (Cardiotoxicity type 2) [143]. This kind of cardiotoxicity is usually reversible with cancer therapy interruption. However, since trastuzumab can be sequentially added to anthracyclines, a combined effect anthracyclines + trastuzumab on the degree of LV filling pressures cannot be excluded and should therefore be carefully monitored.

When a restrictive LV diastolic pattern is detectable in patients receiving cancer drugs, the 800 801 echocardiographic exam should be extended to a quantitative evaluation of LV longitudinal function. In fact, when high levels of LV filling pressure are evident, a reduction of global 802 803 longitudinal strain (GLS), measurable by speckle tracking echocardiography, is usually 804 observed. If speckle tracking echocardiography is not available, pulsed tissue Doppler derived s' velocity of the mitral annulus or even the simple M-mode derived mitral annular 805 plane systolic excursion represent much more than simple surrogates of LV longitudinal 806 807 dysfunction.

In this cohort of patients, CMR can be useful both for the accurate volumetric assessment with cine imaging but also with the LGE technique for the detection of myocardial fibrosis [143], i.e., the first determinant of LV diastolic dysfunction and LV filling pressure increase.

- 811
- 812
- 813
- 814
- 815

Commented [v29]: This is also the same than everywhere. It should be kept to highlight the points characteristic for each RCM type.

816 6 – Endomyocardial RCMs

817 Endomyocardial fibrosis

Endomyocardial fibrosis (EMF) is an often-neglected disorder in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world which is characterized by the development of a restrictive cardiomyopathy (144), and is associated with a high morbidity and mortality (145). As etiologic causes of endomyocardial fibrosis, infections, inflammation, allergy, malnutrition and toxic agents are discussed (146). At the histological level, EMF is characterized by a marked endocardial thickening due to the deposition of fibrous tissue (Figure 15)(147).

- An echocardiographic examination of 1063 individuals revealed that most subjects (55%)
 had a biventricular involvement, and 28% revealed a right-sided prevalence with mildmoderate structural and functional echocardiographic abnormalities (148).
- Regarding the diagnosis of EMF, transthoracic echocardiographic changes can be useful for
 visualizing structural abnormalities, especially in chronic EMF (145, 147). The main
 echocardiographic features include apical obliteration of the left and / or right ventricles,
 reduced volume of the ventricular cavity, endocardial thickening and a restrictive pattern.
- 831 (figure 16, video 8)

832 Endomyocardial fibrosis may be difficult to differentiate from other cardiomyopathies (Loeffler's endocarditis, Churg-Strauss syndrome or rheumatoid arthritis, tuberculous 833 pericarditis, constrictive pericarditis or apical HCM (145, 149-151). After initial 834 echocardiographic analysis, CMR (152) including LGE imaging should be performed which 835 is now the gold standard for imaging the disease.(figure 17) In a CMR study of 36 patients 836 it was shown that LGE-CMR can provide detailed information on ventricular morphology, 837 including the existence of thrombus or calcifications, and revealing functional information 838 839 which is useful in the diagnosis and prognosis of EMF through quantification of the typical pattern of the endocardial fibrous tissue deposition (153). Adjunctive diagnostic tools, such 840 as EMB, can be considered in ambiguous cases (154) and can help in patient management. 841

842 843

844 Hypereosinophilic syndrome

Eosinophilic endomyocardial fibrosis is a rare cause of RCM, resulting from toxicity of
eosinophils towards cardiac tissues (155). The causes for eosinophilic infiltration of
myocardium are hypersensitivity, parasitic infestation, systemic disease, myeloproliferative
syndrome and idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome (155).

Cardiac disease follows three stages, with involvement of the endocardium, the myocardiumand the pericardium. The first is eosinophilic myocarditis (acute necrotic stage) due to

infiltration of eosinophils and release of the contents of their granules in the myocardium (155). There is no relationship between the extent of the infiltrate and clinical symptoms (156). The intermediate phase is the thrombotic stage, characterized by mural thrombi along the damaged endocardium (more often in the apex of the left ventricle). The third stage is the later fibrotic stage in which the granulation tissue is changed into hyaline fibrosis. The endocardial scar can results in a decrease of ventricular compliance and in RCM (157).

On echocardiography, classical findings are progressive endomyocardial thickening, apical obliteration of one or both ventricles by echogenic material suggestive of fibrosis or thrombus formation, posterior mitral leaflet involvement and papillary dysfunction resulting in mitral regurgitation (157, 158) (figure 18a). Pericardial effusion can be present as well as the typical RCM pattern of normal-to-small ventricles with large atria (159). Echocardiography can also be useful for monitoring the effects of specific therapies on the reversal of endomyocardial infiltration in hypereosinophilic cardiomyopathy (160).

CMR is very useful in endomyocardial fibrosis, both for diagnosis of endocardial involvement and for detection of thrombus formation in both ventricles (161-164)(figure 18b). The gold standard is EMB but the high resolution of CMR and TTE is frequently sufficient for diagnosis and follow-up. (3).

868

884 885

869

870 Carcinoid heart disease

Carcinoid heart disease occurs in 20% to 70% of patients with metastatic carcinoid tumors and will lead to increased morbidity and mortality in these patients. (165) The endocardial fibrosis results in retraction and fixation of the heart valves. Right-sided valves are mainly affected(166). Left-sided valvular pathology occurs in approximately 10% of patients with carcinoid heart disease and is associated with right-to-left shunting, bronchial carcinoid, or poorly controlled carcinoid syndrome. (167, 168).

The hallmarks of carcinoid heart disease are a combination of right-sided valvular dysfunction and typical morphological changes of the valves like valve leaflet thickening, shortening, retraction, reduced mobility, or incomplete coaptation of the tricuspid leaflets. (169- 171). CMR has an additive value in carcinoid heart diseases, especially when echocardiography is inconclusive and for accurate measurements of right ventricular function and assessment of carcinoid plaques using LGE (171). Figure 19, videos 9 and 10, illustrate the value of multimodality imaging in a patient with carcinoid heart disease.

Commented [v30]: all this is not related per set to the RCM physiology. Please indicate the typical findings that make the diagnosis of RCM. The valves is another story.

886 Drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis

Animal data suggest the possibility of drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis induced by 5-HT2B serotonin receptor agonists such as fenfluramine derivatives, pergolide, cabergolide and methysergid and ergotamine (172-174), but very scarce data are currently reported in man. Indeed, only one case of RCM is reported after fenfluramine-phentermine exposure (175). In addition, a case of sub-aortic obstruction within the LV outflow tract related to drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis has been recently reported in a patient exposed to benfluorex, an agonist of 5-HT2B serotoninergic receptors (176). **Commented [GH31]:** This chpater was again shortened but it should be kept since is part of the classification of RCM given by the cardiomyopathies WG

Commented [v32]: If only 1 case has been reported showing RCM. I would delete this section

894 895

896 6. Differential diagnosis between RCM and other cardiac diseases

897 Differential diagnosis between RCM and constrictive pericarditis

Differential diagnosis between RCM and constrictive pericarditis (CP) can be a challenge as their clinical presentation is relatively similar with right heart failure symptoms, preserved LV ejection fraction, and diastolic dysfunction. However, as the treatment of these two conditions is very different, constriction being potentially curable by surgery, making the correct diagnosis is critically important. The differential diagnosis could be performed particularly using the complementary elements obtained from TTE, CMR, cardiac CT, or cardiac catheterization. (table 5)

Cardiac catheterization was the first method historically used to help in the differentialdiagnosis of RCM and CP, but is not always conclusive (177, 178).

907 In both RCM and CP, biatrial dilatation, venous dilatation as well as pericardial effusion can

908 be observed. Several echocardiographic parameters have been identified to differentiate 909 myocardial diseases from pericardial constriction (10, 179). In case of RCM, some degree of 910 LV or biventricular hypertrophy or unusual echo texture can be noted (RCM of infiltrative 911 origin). In case of constrictive pericarditis, pericardial thickening (>3mm) or 912 hyperechogenicity of the pericardium can be observed. But one of the main characteristics 913 of CP is the absence of transmission of the intrathoracic pressure variations to the heart, 914 which are physiologically present during the respiratory cycle.

915 Both TTE and real time cine CMR allow the identification of some key findings which 916 differentiate the two pathologies: septal bulging occurring with cavity volume variations and

- 917 the exaggerated respiratory-related LV-RV coupling highlighted by a respiratory septal shift
- 918 observed in CP and a significant respiratory variation of the diastolic flow. The respiratory
- 919 septal shift is defined by a difference in the maximal septal excursion into LV between

Commented [v33]: abbreviation
Commented [GH34R33]: ok

inspiration and expiration (Video 11).(179) Using CMR, this parameter has a sensitivity of
80% and specificity of 100% to detect CP. (180)

922 Other echocardiographic findings have been reported to be useful for differentiating RCM 923 and CP, including TDI (e'), E velocity deceleration time, pulmonary vein flow, left atrial 924 volume, and E/e' ratio (181). Figure 20 shows an algorithm proposed by the recent ASE / 925 EACVI recommendations for the evaluation of diastolic function by echocardiography (8), 926 comparing constrictive pericarditis and RCM. The presence of a normal annular e' velocity 927 in a patient referred with heart failure diagnosis should raise suspicion of pericardial 928 constriction (8).

929 LV myocardial velocities (182-185) and deformation (11) measured by both TTE and CMR (186) are reduced at a greater degree in RCM compared to constrictive pericarditis. Both 930 echocardiography and CMR provide concordant diagnostic information and incremental 931 value for differentiating constrictive pericarditis from RCM. Complementary assessment of 932 structural (pericardial thickening), mechanical (myocardial velocities and strains) and 933 hemodynamic (respiratory septal shift) by both TTE and CMR and their complementary use 934 increase the cost-efficacy and confidence for the diagnosis of RCM vs. constrictive 935 pericarditis. 936

937 Cardiac CT provides excellent anatomic delineation of the pericardium, allowing for accurate 938 measurement of pericardial thickness (abnormal if >4mm) (187), although a normal 939 pericardial thickness does not exclude constrictive pericarditis (188). Cardiac CT is superior 940 to CMR in detecting pericardial calcifications (189). Finally, multimodality imaging should 941 be performed in patients with suspected constrictive pericarditis, since each imaging 942 modality presents with both advantages and limitations (table 5, figure 21)

943

944 In summary, the differentiation between RCM and constrictive pericarditis is 945 frequently difficult and should take into account both clinical presentation and 946 multimodality imaging. The absence of pericardial thickening does not rule out 947 constrictive pericarditis. Echocardiography, CMR and CT provide complementary 948 information and in many patients all three should be performed when constrictive 949 pericarditis is suspected.

950

Differential diagnosis or association between RCM and other myocardial diseases

Although in its most typical « apparently idiopathic » form, RCM presents without LV 954 955 hypertrophy, in some patients, some forms of cardiomyopathy may resemble or be associated with RCM. Particularly, HCM may resemble RCM in some patients. The classical 956 957 HCM phenotype presents with enhanced contractility, small cavity, reduced indexed stoke 958 volume, LVOT obstruction, grade 1 diastolic dysfunction with some fibrosis (190, 191). As 959 the disease progresses, extensive fibrosis (52), reduced systolic function (52), diastolic 960 dysfunction (192, 193), marked dilatation of the atria (194), relative thinning of the LV walls, loss of LVOT obstruction (194-196) and pulmonary hypertension (196) dominate the picture, 961 mimicking RCM. 962

Isolated LV non-compaction is a rare form of cardiomyopathy (197), which should also be
differentiated from RCM, but is also sometimes associated with a restrictive pattern or even
a true RCM (198) (figure 22, video 12)

966 967

968 7. Conclusion and future directions

969 RCM represents a heterogeneous group of cardiac diseases, with different 970 pathophysiological processes, clinical presentation, treatment, and prognosis. The two main objectives of the clinician are to rule out constrictive pericarditis, and to find a potentially 971 treatable cause of RCM. Imaging techniques including echocardiography, cardiac CT, CMR, 972 and nuclear techniques are of utmost value for the diagnostic and prognostic assessment 973 974 of RCM. These techniques give additional information and should frequently be used in combination in the same patient to maximize diagnostic performance. Finally, additional 975 investigations such as endomyocardial biopsy, familial screening, and genetic studies are 976 977 frequently necessary in these patients. For these reasons, patients with suspected RCM should be referred to specialized centers that can provide multimodality imaging and a 978 multidisciplinary team approach. 979

Commented [v35]: this sentence kills a bit the entire purpose of the review because it seems that there is no consensus on which imaging technique we have to use first. Honestly, in 99% of cases the patient will get an echo first (even before doing the anamanesis).

- 980
- 981
- 982
- 983

986 Figure legends:

987

991

997

Figure 1: ASE – EACVI criteria for grading LV diastolic function in patients with depressed LVEF and
patients with myocardial disease and normal LVEF after consideration of clinical and other 2D data.
(from reference 8 with permission)

Figure 2: 74 year old patient presenting with breathlessness. Cine CMR showed global left ventricular
hypertrophy, impaired longitudinal LV shortening and dilated atria. Late gadolinium enhanced CMR
in the figure showed diffuse endocardial enhancement consistent with infiltrative disease.
Subsequently the patient was found to have amyloidosis. LV: left ventricle; RV: right ventricle; LA:
left atrium; RA: right atrium

998 Figure 3: Patient With Acute Myocardial Sarcoidosis (from reference 37 with permission)

999 Patient (62-year-old male) followed for histologically proven pulmonary sarcoidosis treated by steroids 1000 for 10 years presented with symptoms of acute breathlessness. Cardiac involvement was suspected. 1001 LGE-CMR (A) images showed patchy LGE of the lateral wall. Matched FDG-PET (B) and fused FDG-PET/MR (C and D) images obtained in short-axis view showed intense uptake in exactly the same 1002 1003 territory as the pattern of injury on CMR (maximum standardized uptake value of LGE territory/blood pool uptake ratio = 2.7). A 2-chamber cine CMR (E) sequence showed mild hypokinesis of the lateral 1004 1005 wall and mild overall left ventricular systolic impairment (left ventricular ejection fraction = 52%). Maximum intensity projection FDG-PET (F) cine view confirmed abnormal myocardial uptake without 1006 evidence of increased activity outside of the heart. 1007

1008 1009 Figure 4: Imaging of RCM at the cellular level. Different disease entities of RCM are visualized by 1010 histology and immunohistology. Sarcoidosis with typical granulomas, fibrosis (blue tissue) (A, 1011 Masson trichrome stain) and numerous CD68+ macrophages and giant cells (B, immunohistochemistry). Hypereosinophilic syndrome with myocyte necrosis, eosinophilic 1012 1013 granulocytes (C, Giemsa stain) and CD68+macrophages (D, immunohistochemistry). Storage 1014 diseases: Hemochromatosis with iron containing myocytes (E, Prussian blue), and fibrosis (F, Sirius 1015 red). AL-amyloidosis (G, AL-amyloid immunohistochemistry (green), H, Kongo red). Glycogenosis with hypertrophic, vacuolated myocytes and fibrosis (I, Masson trichrome stain) and large amounts of 1016 1017 glycogen (J, PAS stain (red)). (A,B x 100x, C-J x200).

1018 1019

984

1021 5a and video 1(TTE), 5b (CMR): impressive dilatation of both atria predominating on the right 1022 cavities, contrasting with small LV and RV cavities 1023 5c and video 2: more classical form of idiopathic RCM with normal ventricular systolic function and 1024 severe atrial dilatation 1025 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium 1026 5d: Multimodality imaging in a severe RCM. Patient in atrial fibrillation, and a pace maker for severe atrio-ventricular block. Huge atria that can be seen on the CT (1), the chest X-ray (2) and 1027 1028 the Echocardiography (6). There is a severe tricuspid regurgitation (5) and a severe alteration of the 1029 longitudinal systolic and diastolic function as shown by the tissue Doppler (5), and the strain data 1030 (4). Extensive circumferential subendocardial late gadolinium enhancement is observed by CMR (3). 1031 1032 Figure 6a- 2D echocardiography in a 52 year-old male with cardiac amyloidosis, AL type, associated 1033 with plasma cell dyscrasia: non- dilated LV with moderate concentric LVH with 'granular sparkling' 1034 appearance, mitral valve thickening, mild to moderate biatrial dilatation, inter atrial septum 1035 infiltration (loss of physiological echo drop-out) and mild pericardial effusion 1036 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium, Ao: aorta 1037 Figure 6b- Diastolic function in the same patient: E/A >>1 (PWD transmitral inflow), low systolic 1038 and diastolic myocardial velocities (TDI), E/e' =25, reflecting high LV filling pressures 1039 1040 Figure 7a- 2D-STE apical longitudinal view in systemic AL amyloidosis : severely abnormal 1041 longitudinal strain, particularly in the basal and medial LV segments 1042 Figure 7b- Systemic AL amyloidosis , multiple myeloma: 2D-STE : Relative apical sparing, typical of 1043 cardiac amyloidosis. Note the abnormal GLS (-4,9%)

Figure 5: echo findings in 3 patients with apparently idiopathic RCM.

1044

1020

1045 Figure 8a. CMR in a 79-year old patient with cardiac amyloidosis showing mild septal hypertrophy

1046 (16mm), biatrial enlargement, and diffuse patchy uptake of gadolinium throughout the

1047 midventricular and basal segments of the septal, anterior and inferior wall with sparing of the

1048 apicolateral wall. (Note small areas of bilateral subendocardial LGE in the septal wall characteristic

1049 of cardiac amyloidosis (arrows) and LGE in the right ventricular free wall and the left atrium).

1050 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium

1052 1053 1054 1055 1056 1057	Figure 8b. Late-phase planar 99mTc-DPD-scintigraphy (anterior views) in a patient with ATTR amyloidosis (A) and a normal control (B). Note intense cardiac uptake in (A) demonstrating cardiac amyloidosis. Moreover, increased soft tissue uptake particularly in the shoulder region and the abdominal wall with obscuring of bone uptake can be observed as a typical pattern of ATTR amyloidosis.
1058	Figure 9: Diagnostic algorithm for patients with suspected amyloid cardiomyopathy. (from reference
1059	64 with permission). AApoA1 indicates apolipoprotein A-I; DPD, 3,3-diphosphono-1,2-
1060	propanodicarboxylic acid; HDMP, hydroxymethylene diphosphonate; and PYP, pyrophosphate.
1061	
1062	Figure 10: multimodality imaging in a patient with familial TTR amyloidosis
1063	10a: and video 3: 2D echo long-axis view showing LV hypertrophy and pericardial effusion
1064	10b: and video 4: apical sparing by 2D strain
1065	10c: intense cardiac uptake on 99mTc scintigraphy
1066	10d and video 5: CMR confirming LV hypertrophy and pericardial effusion
1067	RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium, Per: pericardial effusion
1068	
1069 1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077	 Figure 11: familial Fabry's disease in 2 brothers 11a: EKG in a 55 year-old male showing a pattern of apical hypertrophy 11b: apical transthoracic view showing an apical hypertrophy (arrow) 11c: CMR finding of predominantly apical hypertrophy 11d: inferolateral late gadolidium enhancement 11e: EKG in his young brother showing milder but similar abnormalities 11f: concentric diffuse hypertrophy in the brother RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium, RA: right atrium
1078	Figure 12: Patient with known cardiac sarcoidosis. The image shows a late gadolinium enhanced
1079	CMR image in the vertical long axis plane. Several focal areas of myocardial enhancement can be
1080	seen (arrows) consistent with granulomatous myocardial infiltration.
1081	
1082	Figure 13: 41 year-old male with a total AV-block, bradycardia and weakness. The patient was
1083	suspected of cardiac sarcoidosis. Echocardiography was normal. A FDG PET/CT was performed after
1084	careful patient preparation with a fatty diet and showed heterogeneous, spotty high uptake in the
1085	left ventricle of the heart (left whole body PET and upper row right short axis PET/CT). The patient
1086	was treated with high dose corticosteroids and the repeated FDG PET/CT after 3 months shows fully
1087	normalization of the myocardium (right whole body FDG PET/CT and lower short axis PET/CT).

1091	Figure 14 and videos 6 and 7:25 year-old woman treated for Hodgkin disease in infancy with
1092	anthracyclins.
1093	Chest X ray (1) and echocardiography (2 and 3) show a non-dilated left ventricle, with a relatively
1094	preserved LV contractility (video 6). However, mitral flow (4) and pulmonary venous flow (5) show a
1095	severely restrictive pattern and tricuspid flow recording (6) reveals pulmonary hypertension. Severe
1096	longitudinal dysfunction is evidenced by 2D strain (video 7)
1097	
1098	
1099	Figure 15: histologic finding in a patient with endomyocardial fibrosis
1100	
1101	
1102	Figure 16a and video 8 (TTE), 16b (CMR): right ventricular endomyocardial fibrosis in a 50 year-old
1103	woman. The apex of the right ventricle is obliterated (white arrow), with subsequent surgical
1104	confirmation.
1105	RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium
1106	
1107	Figure 17: LV endomyocardial fibrosis in a 58 year-old man presenting with congestive heart failure
1108	17a: Cine 4 chamber view in end-diastolic phase showing a thickening of LV apex (black arrow), a
1109	reduced volume of the left ventricular cavity and a left atrial enlargement.
1110	17b: LGE 4 chambers view showing a marked endocardial thickening with late gadolinium
1111	enhancement (black arrow) and an apical thrombus (open arrow).
1117	
1112	
1113	
1114	Figure 18a: Multimodality imaging in hypereosinophilic syndrome with cardiac involvement showing
1115	severe restriction of the posterior mitral leaflet associated with involvement of the subvalvular
1116	apparatus and severe mitral regurgitation by echocardiography (a, b) and CMR (c) with worsening in
1117	the follow-up (d). From reference 158 with permission
1118	RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium
1119	
1120	Figure 18b: CMR in a patient with hypereosinophilic syndrome and Loeffler's syndrome. Cine image
1121	(still frame) (A) demonstrates a dilated left ventricle and moderate pericardial effusion (asterisks).
1122	T2-weighted image (B,C) shows subendocardial high signal intensity suggestive of inflammation
1123	(white arrows), and T1-weighted images after contrast administration (D–F) demonstrate
1124	endocardial fibrosis (arrowheads). Of note, an RV apical thrombus is evident in the cine image and
1125	in the T1-weighted sequences (triangles) (from reference 162 with permission)

1127	Figure 19, videos 9 and 10: carcinoid disease with right heart involvement.
1128 1129	19a (TTE) and 19c (CMR): Restriction of the movements of the tricuspid leaflets, which are thickened. The right ventricle myocardium is also involved
1130	19b: massive tricuspid regurgitation (TTE)
1131	19c: CMR showing dilatation of right heart cavities and restricted tricuspid leaflet (arrow)
1132	RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium
1133	
1134 1135	Figure 20: ASE / EACVI algorithm comparing constrictive pericarditis and restrictive cardiomyopathy.
1136	
1137	Figure 21: Multimodality imaging in a patient with constrictive pericarditis
1138 1139	21a: CMR: Cine 4 chambers view in end-diastolic phase showing a circumferential pericardial thickening (black arrows), biatrial dilatation and septal convexity inversion (open arrow)
1140 1141	21b: Cardiac CT: Axial thoracic CT scan showing a circumferential pericardial thickening (black arrows).
1142	
1143 1144	Video 11: CMR in constrictive pericarditis, illustrating the respiratory septal shift (difference in the maximal septal excursion into LV between inspiration and expiration)
1145	
1146 1147	Figure 22 and video 12: left ventricular hypertrabeculation (arrows) in a young patient with severe RCM
1148	
1149	
1150	
1151	
1152	
1153	
1154	
1155	

1158 1.	Elliott P, Andersson B, Arbustini E, Bilinska Z, Cecchi F, Charron P, et al. Classification of the
1159	cardiomyopathies: a position statement from the European Society Of Cardiology Working Group on
1160	Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J. 2008 ; 29:270-6.
1161	

11622. Richardson P, McKenna W, Bristow M, Maisch B, Mautner B, O'Connell J, et al. Report of the 1995
World Health Organization/International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the
Definition and Classification of cardiomyopathies. Circulation. 1996;93:841-2.

11663. Maron BJ, Towbin JA, Thiene G, Antzelevitch C, Corrado D, Arnett D, et al. Contemporary definitions
and classification of the cardiomyopathies: an American Heart Association Scientific Statement from
the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Heart Failure and Transplantation Committee; Quality of Care
and Outcomes Research and Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary

1170 Working Groups; and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. Circulation. 2006;113:1807-16.

4. Nihoyannopoulos P, Dawson D. Restritive cardiomyopathies. Eur J Echocardiogr 2009 ; 10 : 23-33 1173

5. Kushwaha SS, Fallon JT, Fuster V. Restrictive cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 1997 ; 336:267-76. 1175

11766. Tam JW, Shaikh N, Sutherland E. Echocardiographic assessment of patients with hypertrophic and
1177 restrictive cardiomyopathy: imaging and echocardiography. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2002;17:470-7.

11797. Hancock EW. Differential diagnosis of restrictive cardiomyopathy and constrictive pericarditis. Heart2001;86:343-9.

11828. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF 3rd, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al.
Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An
Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of
Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging doi:10.1093/ehjci/jew082

11879. Klein AL, Hatle LK, Taliercio CP, Oh JK, Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Bailey KR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ.
Prognostic significance of Doppler measures of diastolic function in cardiac amyloidosis. A Doppler
echocardiography study. Circulation 1991;83:808-816

1193 sarcoidosis by a non-invasive method with ultrasonic tissue characterisation. Heart 2004;90:1275-1194 80. 1195 1196**11.** Bhandari AK, Nanda NC. Myocardial texture characterization by two-dimensional echocardiography. Am J Cardiol 1983; 51:817 1197 1198 1199**12.** Schiano-Lomoriello V, Galderisi M, Mele D, Esposito R, Cerciello G, Buonauro A, et al. 1200 Longitudinal strain of left ventricular basal segments and E/e' ratio differentiate primary cardiac 1201 amyloidosis at presentation from hypertensive hypertrophy: an automated function imaging study. Echocardiography. 2016 Jun 9. doi: 10.1111/echo.13278 1202 1203 1204**13**. Sengupta PP, Krishnamoorthy VK, Abhayaratna WP, Korinek J, Belohlavek M, Sundt TM 3rd, 1205 et al. Disparate patterns of left ventricular mechanics differentiate constrictive pericarditis from 1206 restrictive cardiomyopathy. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2008;1:29-38. 1207**14**. Kramer CM, Barkhausen J, Flamm SD, Kim RJ, Nagel E; Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic 1208 Resonance Board of Trustees Task Force on Standardized Protocols. Standardized cardiovascular 1209 magnetic resonance (CMR) protocols 2013 update. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2013 Oct 8;15:91 1210 1211**15.** Bellenger NG, Davies LC, Francis JM, Coats AJ, Pennell DJ. Reduction in sample size for 1212 studies of remodeling in heart failure by the use of cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc 1213 Magn Reson. 2000;2(4):271-8. 1214 1215**16.** Cosyns B, Plein S, Nihoyanopoulos P, Smiseth O, Achenbach S, Andrade MJ, et al. European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI); European Society of Cardiology Working Group (ESC 1216 1217 WG) on Myocardial and Pericardial diseases. European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging 1218 (EACVI) position paper: Multimodality imaging in pericardial disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc 1219 Imaging. 2015;16:12-31. 1220 122117. Francone M, Dymarkowski S, Kalantzi M, Rademakers FE, Bogaert J. Assessment of 1222 ventricular coupling with real-time cine MRI and its value to differentiate constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy. Eur Radiol. 2006;16:944-51 1223 1224 1225**18.** Sado DM, White SK, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Treibel T, Captur G, et al. Identification 1226 and assessment of Anderson-Fabry disease by cardiovascular magnetic resonance noncontrast 1227 myocardial T1 mapping. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:392-8

Hyodo E, Hozumi T, Takemoto Y, et al. Early detection of cardiac involvement in patients with

1228

1192**10.**

1229 19	Fontana M, Pica S, Reant P, Abdel-Gadir A, Treibel TA, Banypersad SM, et al. Prognostic Value				
1230	30 of Late Gadolinium Enhancement Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance in Cardiac Amyloidosis.				
1231	Circulation. 2015;132:1570-9				
1232					
1233 20	C. Schelbert EB, Piehler KM, Zareba KM, Moon JC, Ugander M, Messroghli DR, et al. Myocardial				
1234	Fibrosis Quantified by Extracellular Volume Is Associated With Subsequent Hospitalization for Heart				
1235	Failure, Death, or Both Across the Spectrum of Ejection Fraction and Heart Failure Stage. J Am				
1236	Heart Assoc. 2015;4: 12.				
1237					
1238 21	Anderson LJ, Holden S, Davis B, Prescott E, Charrier CC, Bunce NH, et al. Cardiovascular				
1239	T2-star (T2*) magnetic resonance for the early diagnosis of myocardial iron overload. Eur Heart J.				
1240	2001 ;22:2171-9.				
1241					
1242 22	Modell B, Khan M, Darlison M, Westwood MA, Ingram D, Pennell DJ. Improved survival of				
1243	thalassaemia major in the UK and relation to T2* cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc				
1244	Magn Reson. 2008;10:42				
1245					
1246 23	Karamitsos TD, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Fontana M, Ntusi NB, Ferreira VM, et al.				
1247	Noncontrast T1 mapping for the diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013				
1248	;6:488-97				
1249					
1250 24	Banypersad SM, Sado DM, Flett AS, Gibbs SD, Pinney JH, Maestrini V, et al. Quantification				
1251	of myocardial extracellular volume fraction in systemic AL amyloidosis: an equilibrium contrast				
1252	cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:34-9.				
1253					
1254 25	Alam MH, Auger D, McGill LA, Smith GC, He T, Izgi C, et al. Comparison of 3 T and 1.5 T for				
1255	T2* magnetic resonance of tissue iron. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2016;18:40				
1256					
1257 26	Yared K, Baggish AL, Picard MH, Hoffmann U, Hung J. Multimodality imaging of pericardial				
1258	diseases. JACC Cardiovascular imaging. 2010; 3 :650–660.				
1259					
1260 27	Zhao L, Ma X, Feuchtner GM, Zhang C, Fan Z. Quantification of myocardial delayed				
1261	enhancement and wall thickness in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: multidetector computed				
1262	tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging. European journal of radiology. 2014;83:1778-1785.				
1263					
1264 28	Hamilton-Craig C, Seltmann M, Ropers D, Achenbach S. Myocardial viability by dual-energy				
1265	delayed enhancement computed tomography. JACC Cardiovascular imaging. 2011;4:207-208.				
1266					

1267 29 1268	Bandula S, Banypersad SM, Sado D, Flett AS, Punwani S, Taylor SA, et al. Measurement of Tissue Interstitial Volume in Healthy Patients and Those with Amyloidosis with Equilibrium Contrast-			
1269 1270	enhanced MR Imaging. Radiology. Radiological Society of North America, Inc; 2013;268:858-864.			
1271 30	Kula RW, Engel WK, Line BR. Scanning for soft-tissue amyloid. Lancet 1977; 1:92–3			
1272				
1273 31 1274	• Aljaroudi WA, Desai MY, Tang WH, Phelan D, Cerqueira MD, Jaber WA. Role of imaging in the diagnosis and management of patients with cardiac amyloidosis: state of the art review and focus on			
1275	emerging nuclear techniques. J Nucl Cardiol. 2014;21:271-83			
1276				
1277 32 1278	Glaudemans AW, van Rheenen RW, van den Berg MP, et al. Bone scintigraphy with (99m)technetium-hydroxymethylene diphosphonate allows early diagnosis of cardiac involvement in			
1279 1280	patients with transthyretin-derived systemic amyloidosis. <i>Amyloid</i> 2014; 21 : 35-44.			
1281 33	Minutoli F, Di Bella G, Mazzeo A, Donato R, Russo M, Scribano E, et al. Comparison between			
1282	(99m)Tc-diphosphonate imaging and MRI with late gadolinium enhancement in evaluating cardiac			
1283	involvement in patients with transthyretin familial amyloid polyneuropathy. AJR Am J Roentgenol			
1284	2013;200:W256-65.			
1285				
1286 34	• Coutinho MC, Cortez-Dias N, Cantinho G, Conceição I, Oliveira A, Bordalo e Sá A, et al.			
1287	Reduced myocardial 123-iodine metaiodobenzylguanidine uptake: a prognostic marker in familial			
1288 1289	amyloid polyneuropathy. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:627-36.			
1290 35	• Youssef G, Beanlands RSB, Birnie DH, Nery PB. Cardiac sarcoidosis: applications of imaging			
1291	in diagnosis and directing treatment. Heart. 2011;97(24):2078–87.			
1292 1293 36	• Ohira H, Tsujino I, Ishimaru S, et al. Myocardial imaging with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose			
1293	positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in sarcoidosis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol			
1295	Imaging. 2008;35(5):933–41.			
1296				
1297				
1298 37	Apgral RDweck MR, Trivieri MG, Robson PM, Karakatsanis N, Mani V, et al. Clinical Utility			
1299	of Combined FDG-PET/MR to Assess Myocardial Disease. JACC Imaging. 2016. Epub pii: S1936-			
1300	878X(16)30259-5			
1301				
1302 38	Mogensen J, Arbustini E. Restrictive cardiomyopathy. Curr Opin Cardiol 2009;24:214-20.			
1303				

	9. Arbustini E, Morbini P, Grasso M, et al. Restrictive cardiomyopathy, atrioventricular block				
1305	and mild to subclinical myopathy in patients with desmin-immunoreactive material deposits. J Am				
1306	6 Coll Cardiol 1998;31:645-53.				
1307					
1308 4(D. Arbustini E, Buonanno C, Trevi G, Pennelli N, Ferrans VJ, Thiene G. Cardiac ultrastructure				
1309	in primary restrictive cardiomyopathy. Chest 1983;84:236-8.				
1310					
1311 4	1. Cannavale A, Ordovas KG, Rame EJ, Higgins CB. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with				
1312	restrictive phenotype and myocardial crypts. J Thorac Imaging 2010;25:W121-3.				
1313					
1314 4 2	2. Rapezzi C, Lorenzini M, Longhi S, et al. Cardiac amyloidosis: the great pretender. Heart Fail				
1315	<i>Rev</i> 2015; 20 : 117-24				
1316					
1317 4 :	3. Rapezzi C, Arbustini E, Caforio AL, et al. Diagnostic work-up in cardiomyopathies: bridging				
1318	the gap between clinical phenotypes and final diagnosis. A position statement from the ESC Working				
1319	Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J. 2013;34:1448-58.				
1320					
1321 44	4. Koyama J, Ikeda S, Ikeda U. Echocardiographic assessment of the cardiac amyloidoses. <i>Circ</i>				
1322	1322 J 2015; 79 : 721-34.				
1323					
1324 4	5. Feng D, Edwards WD, Oh JK, et al. Intracardiac thrombosis and embolism in patients with				
1324 4 ; 1325	5. Feng D, Edwards WD, Oh JK, et al. Intracardiac thrombosis and embolism in patients with cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116 : 2420-6.				
1325	cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116 : 2420-6.				
1325 1326	cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116 : 2420-6.				
1325 1326 1327 4	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006 ;7 :742-7 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006 ;7 :742-7 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006; 7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006; 7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. Amyloid 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332 1333	 cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006;7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Amyloid</i> 2015; 22: 61-6. 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332 1333 1334	 cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116: 2420-6. 5. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006;7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Amyloid</i> 2015; 22: 61-6. 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332 1333 1334 1335 4	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 6. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006; 7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. Amyloid 2015; 22: 61-6. 8. Phelan D, Collier P, Thavendiranathan P, et al. Relative apical sparing of longitudinal strain 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332 1333 1334 1335 4 1335	 cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2007; 116: 2420-6. 6. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006; 7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. Amyloid 2015; 22: 61-6. 8. Phelan D, Collier P, Thavendiranathan P, et al. Relative apical sparing of longitudinal strain using two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography is both sensitive and specific for the 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337	 cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116: 2420-6. 6. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006; 7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Amyloid</i> 2015; 22: 61-6. 8. Phelan D, Collier P, Thavendiranathan P, et al. Relative apical sparing of longitudinal strain using two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography is both sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Heart</i> 2012; 98: 1442-8. 				
1325 1326 1327 4 1328 1329 1330 1331 4 1332 1333 1334 1335 4 1336 1337 1338	 cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2007; 116: 2420-6. 6. Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al Detection of increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Med 2006; 7:742-7 7. Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Amyloid</i> 2015; 22: 61-6. 8. Phelan D, Collier P, Thavendiranathan P, et al. Relative apical sparing of longitudinal strain using two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography is both sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. <i>Heart</i> 2012; 98: 1442-8. 				

1342 Echocardiography. 2016;33:1335-43

1343	
134 ⁄50	Bellavia D, Pellikka PA, Al-Zahrani GB, et al. Independent predictors of survival in primary systemic
1345	(Al) amyloidosis, including cardiac biomarkers and left ventricular strain imaging: an observational
1346	cohort study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2010; 23: 643-52.
1347	
134 851	. Ternacle J, Bodez D, Guellich A, et al. Causes and Consequences of Longitudinal LV Dysfunction
1349	Assessed by 2D Strain Echocardiography in Cardiac Amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.
1350	2016;9:126-38
1351	
	Quarta CC, Solomon SD, Uraizee I, Kruger J, Longhi S, Ferlito M, et al. Left Ventricular Structure
1353	and Function in TTR-Related versus AL Cardiac Amyloidosis. Circulation. 2014;129:1840-9
1354	
	Maceira AM, Joshi J, Prasad SK, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in cardiac amyloidosis.
1356	<i>Circulation</i> 2005; 111 : 186-93.
1357	
	• Vogelsberg H, Mahrholdt H, Deluigi CC, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in clinically suspected cardiac amyloidosis: noninvasive imaging compared to endomyocardial biopsy. <i>J Am Coll</i>
1359 1360	Cardiol 2008; 51 : 1022-30.
1361	Carator 2008, 31 . 1022-30.
	• Sved IS, Glockner JF, Feng D, et al. Role of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of
1363	cardiac amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2010; 3 : 155-64.
1364	
	• Fontana M, Pica S, Reant P, et al. Prognostic Value of Late Gadolinium Enhancement Cardiovascular
1366	Magnetic Resonance in Cardiac Amyloidosis. <i>Circulation</i> 2015; 132 : 1570-9.
1367	
136 857	•Karamitsos TD, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, et al. Noncontrast T1 mapping for the diagnosis of
1369	cardiac amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2013; 6 : 488-97.
1370	
137 58	- Fontana M, Banypersad SM, Treibel TA, et al. Differential Myocyte Responses in Patients with Cardiac
1372	Transthyretin Amyloidosis and Light-Chain Amyloidosis: A Cardiac MR Imaging Study. Radiology
1373	2015; 277 : 388-97.
1374	
137 59	Di Bella G, Pizzino F, Minutoli F, et al. The mosaic of the cardiac amyloidosis diagnosis: role of
1376	imaging in subtypes and stages of the disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014; 15: 1307-15.
1377	
137 &60	Bokhari S, Castano A, Pozniakoff T, Deslisle S, Latif F, Maurer MS. (99m)Tc-pyrophosphate
1379	scintigraphy for differentiating light-chain cardiac amyloidosis from the transthyretin-related familial
1380	and senile cardiac amyloidoses. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2013; 6: 195-201.

138 261 . 1383	Hutt DF, Quigley AM, Page J, et al. Utility and limitations of 3,3-diphosphono-1,2- propanodicarboxylic acid scintigraphy in systemic amyloidosis. <i>Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging</i> 2014;				
1384	15 : 1289-98.				
1385					
138 62 .	Perugini E, Guidalotti PL, Salvi F, et al. Noninvasive etiologic diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis using				
1387	99mTc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanodicarboxylic acid scintigraphy. J Am Coll Cardiol.				
1388	2005;46:1076–84.				
1389					
139 63 .	Rapezzi C, Quarta CC, Guidalotti PL, Pettinato C, Fanti S, Leone O, et al. Role of (99m)Tc-DPD				
1391	scintigraphy in diagnosis and prognosis of hereditary transthyretin-related cardiac amyloidosis.				
1392	JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2011;4:659-70.				
1393					
139 64	Gillmore JD, Maurer MS, Falk RH, et al. Nonbiopsy diagnosis of cardiac transthyretin amyloidosis.				
1395	Circulation 2016 ; 133 : 2404-12.				
1396					
139 765 .	Cardim N, Galderisi M, Edvardsen T, et al. Role of multimodality cardiac imaging in the management				
1398	of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: an expert consensus of the European Association of				
1399	Cardiovascular Imaging Endorsed by the Saudi Heart Association. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging				
1400	2015; 16 : 280.				
1401 1402					
140 366 .	Bosi G, Crepaz R, Gamberini MR, Fortini M, Scarcia S, Bonsante E, Pitscheider W, Vaccari M. Left				
1404	ventricular remodelling, and systolic and diastolic function in young adults with beta thalassaemia				
1405	major: a Doppler echocardiographic assessment and correlation with haematological data. Heart.				
1406	2003;89:762–766.				
1407					
1408	67. Leon MB, Borer JS, Bacharach SL, Green MV, Benz EJ Jr, Griffith P, Nienhuis AW.				
1409	Detection of early cardiac dysfunction in patients with severe beta-thalassemia and chronic				
1410	iron overload. N Engl J Med. 1979;301:1143-1148.				
1411					
1412	68. Kremastinos DT, Farmakis D, Aessopos A, Hahalis G, Hamodraka E, Tsiapras D, Keren				
1413	A. Beta-thalassemia cardiomyopathy: history, present considerations, and future				
1414	perspectives. Circ Heart Fail. 2010;3:451–458.				
1415					
1416	69. Cogliandro T, Derchi G, Mancuso L, Mayer MC, Pannone B, Pepe A, Pili M, Bina P,				
1417	Cianciulli P, De Sanctis V, Maggio A; Society for the Study of Thalassemia and				
1418	Hemoglobinopathies (SoSTE). Guideline recommendations for heart complications in				
1419	thalassemia major. J Cardiovasc Med. 2008;9:515–525.				

1420 1421 1422 1423	 70. Efthimiadis GK, Hassapopoulou HP, Tsikaderis DD, Karvounis HI, Giannakoulas GA, Parharidis GE, Louridas GE. Survival in thalassaemia major patients. Circ J. 2006;70:1037– 1042.
1424 1425 1426 1427	 Giakoumis A, Berdoukas V, Gotsis E, Aessopos A. Comparison of echocardiographic (US) volumetry with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in transfusion dependent thalassemia major. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2007;5:24
1428 1429 1430 1431 1432 1433	72. Pennell DJ, Udelson JE, Arai AE, Bozkurt B, Cohen AR, Galanello R, et al; American Heart Association Committee on Heart Failure and Transplantation of the Council on Clinical Cardiology and Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Imaging. Cardiovascular function and treatment in β-thalassemia major: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2013;128:281-308.
1434 1435 1436 1437 1438	73. Pepe A, Meloni A, Capra M, Cianciulli P, Prossomariti L, Malaventura C, et al. Deferasirox, deferiprone and desferrioxamine treatment in thalassemia major patients: cardiac iron and function comparison determined by quantitative magnetic resonance imaging. Haematologica 2011;96:41–47.
1439 1440 1441 1442	74. Meloni A, Positano V, Ruffo GB, Spasiano A, D'Ascola D, Peluso A, et al. Improvement of heart iron with preserved patterns of iron store by CMR-guided chelation therapy European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging 2015:325-34.
1443 1444 1445 1446	75. Casale M, Meloni A, Filosa A, Cuccia L, Caruso V, Palazzi G, et al. Multiparametric Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Survey in Children With Thalassemia Major A Multicenter Study. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015; 8:e003230. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.003230.
1447 1448 1449 1450	76. Pepe A, Positano V, Capra M, Maggio A, Pinto CL, Spasiano A, et al. Myocardial scarring by delayed enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance in thalassaemia major. Heart. 2009;95:1688–1693.
1451 1452 1453 1454	77. Linhart A, Kampmann C, Zamorano JL, Sunder-Plassmann G, Beck M, Mehta A, et al. European FOS Investigators <u>Cardiac manifestations of Anderson-Fabry disease: results from</u> <u>the international Fabry outcome survey.</u> Eur Heart J. 2007; 28:1228-35
1455 1456 1457	78. MacDermot KD, Holmes A, Miners AH. Natural history of Fabry disease in affected males and obligate carrier females. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2001;24 Suppl 2:13-4

1458	79.	Gambarin FI, Disabella E, Narula J, Diegoli M, Grasso M, Serio A, et al. When should
1459	ca	ardiologists suspect Anderson-Fabry disease? Am J Cardiol. 2010 ;106:1492-9
1460		
1461	80.	West M, Nicholls K, Mehta A, Clarke JT, Steiner R, Beck M, et al. Agalsidase alpha and
1462	ki	idney dysfunction in Fabry disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20:1132-9.
1463		
1464	81.	Perrot A, Osterziel KJ, Beck M, Dietz R, Kampmann C. Fabry disease: focus on cardiac
1465	m	anifestations and molecular mechanisms. Herz. 2002;27(7):699-702
1466		
1467	82.	Nagueh SF. Anderson-Fabry disease and other lysosomal storage disorders.
1468	Ci	irculation 2014; 130: 1081-90
1469		
1470	83.	Weidemann F, Linhart A, Monserrat L, Strotmann J. Cardiac challenges in patients
1471	w	ith Fabry disease. Int J Cardiol. 2010 ;14:141:3-10
1472		
1473	84.	Hoigné P, Attenhofer Jost CH, Duru F, Oechslin EN, Seifert B, Widmer U,et al. Simple
1474	cr	iteria for differentiation of Fabry disease from amyloid heart disease and other causes of left
1475	ve	entricular hypertrophy. Int J Cardiol. 2006 ;111:413-22
1476		
1477	85.	Feriozzi S, Schwarting A, Sunder-Plassmann G, West M, Cybulla M; International
1478	Fa	abry Outcome Survey Investigators. Agalsidase alpha slows the decline in renal function in
1479	pa	atients with Fabry disease. Am J Nephrol. 2009;29:353-61
1480		
1481	86.	Pieroni M, Chimenti C, Russo A, Russo MA, Maseri A, Frustaci A. Tissue Doppler
1482	in	naging in Fabry disease. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2004;19:452-7
1483		
1484	87.	Toro R, Perez-Isla L, Doxastaquis G, Barba MA, Gallego AR, Pintos G, et al. Clinical
1485	u	sefulness of tissue Doppler imaging in predicting preclinical Fabry cardiomyopathy. Int J
1486	C	ardiol. 2009;132:38-44
1487		
1488	88.	Zamorano J, Serra V, Pérez de Isla L, Feltes G, Calli A, Barbado FJ, et al. Usefulness
1489	of	tissue Doppler on early detection of cardiac disease in Fabry patients and potential role of
1490	er	nzyme replacement therapy (ERT) for avoiding progression of disease Eur J Echocardiogr.
1491	20	011;12:671-7
1492		
1493	89.	De Cobelli F, Esposito A, Belloni E, Pieroni M, Perseghin G, Chimenti C, et al. Delayed-
1494	er	nhanced cardiac MRI for differentiation of Fabry's disease from symmetric hypertrophic
1495	ca	ardiomyopathy. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009 ;192:W97-102

1496				
1497	90.	Sado DM, White SK, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Treibel T, Captur G, et al.		
1498	Identi	fication and assessment of Anderson-Fabry disease by cardiovascular magnetic		
1499	resona	ance noncontrast myocardial T1 mapping. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:392-8.		
1500				
1501	91.	Boucek D, Jirikowic J, Taylor M. Natural history of Danon disease. Genet Med.		
1502	2011;	13:563–568		
1503				
1504	92.	Maron BJ, Roberts WC, Arad M, Haas TS, Spirito P, Wright GB, et al. Clinical outcome		
1505 1506	and p	henotypic expression in LAMP2 cardiomyopathy. JAMA. 2009;301:1253–1259		
1507	93.	D'souza RS, Levandowski C, Slavov D, Graw SL, Allen LA, Adler E, et al. Danon		
1508	diseas	se: clinical features, evaluation, and management. Circ Heart Fail. 2014;7:843-9		
1509				
1510	94.	Gussenhoven WJ, Busch HF, Kleijer WJ, de Villeneuve VH. Echocardiographic		
1511	featur	es in the cardiac type of glycogen storage disease II. Eur Heart J. 1983;4:41-3		
1512				
1513	95.	Rees A, Elbl F, Minhas K, Solinger R. Echocardiographic evidence of outflow tract		
1514	obstru	action in Pompe's disease (glycogen storage disease of the heart). Am J Cardiol. 1976		
1515	;37:11	103-6.		
1516				
1517	96.	Barker PC, Pasquali SK, Darty S, Ing RJ, Li JS, Kim RJ, et al. Use of cardiac magnetic		
1518	resona	ance imaging to evaluate cardiac structure, function and fibrosis in children with		
1519	infantile Pompe disease on enzyme replacement therapy. Mol Genet Metab. 2010;101:332-7			
1520				
1521	97.	Nucifora G, Miani D, Piccoli G, Proclemer A. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in		
1522	Danor	n disease. Cardiology. 2012;121:27-30		
1523				
1524	98.	Wei LG, Gao JQ, Liu XM, Huang JM, Li XZ. A study of glycogen storage disease with		
1525	99Tcn	n-MIBI gated myocardial perfusion imaging. Ir J Med Sci. 2013 Dec;182:615-20		
1526				
1527	99.	Lo Iudice F, Barbato A, Muscariello R, Di Nardo C, de Stefano F, Sibilio M, et al . Left		
1528		icular Diastolic Dysfunction in Type I Gaucher Disease: An Echo Doppler Study.		
1529	Echoo	ardiography 2015;32:890-895		
1530				
1531	100.	Braunlin E, Wang R. Cardiac issues in adults with mucopolysaccharidoses; current		
1532	knowl	edge and emerging needs. Heart 2016; 102:1257-1262		
1533				

1534 1535 1536	101. Przybojewski JZ, Maritz F, Tiedt FA, van der Walt JJ. Pseudoxanthoma elasticum with cardiac involvement. A case report and review of the literature. S Afr Med J. 1981;59:268-75.
1537 1538 1539 1540	102. Campens L, Vanakker OM, Trachet B, Segers P, Leroy BP, De Zaeytijd Jet al. Characterization of cardiovascular involvement in pseudoxanthoma elasticum families. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2013;33:2646-52
1541 1542 1543	103. Nguyen LD, Terbah M, Daudon P, Martin L. Left ventricular systolic and diastolic function by echocardiogram in pseudoxanthoma elasticum. Am J Cardiol. 2006;97:1535-7
1544 1545 1546 1547	104. Sabán-Ruiz J, Fabregate Fuente R, Sánchez-Largo Uceda E, Fabregate Fuente M. Pseudoxanthoma elasticum: case report with arterial stiffness evaluated by a research cardiovascular profiling system. Eur J Dermatol. 2010;20:785-7
1548 1549 1550 1551	105. Kandolin R, Lehtonen J, Airaksinen J, Vihinen T, Miettinen H, Ylitalo K, et al. Cardiac sarcoidosis: epidemiology, characteristics, and outcome over 25 years in a nationwide study. Circulation. 2015;131:624-32
1552 1553 1554 1555	106. Birnie DH, Sauer WH, Bogun F, Cooper JM, Culver DA, Duvernoy CS et al. HRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with cardiac sarcoidosis. Heart Rhythm 2014; 11:1305-1323
1556 1557 1558 1559	107. Judson MA, Costabel U, Drent M, Wells A, Maier L, Koth L et al. Organ Assessment Instrument Investigators TW. The WASOG Sarcoidosis Organ Assessment Instrument: An update of a previous clinical tool. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis. 2014;31:19-27.
1560 1561 1562	108. Chiu CZ, , Nakatani S, Yamagishi M, Miyatake K, Cheng JJ. Echocardiographic Manifestations in Patients with Cardiac Sarcoidosis, J Med Ultrasound 2002; 10:135-140.
1563 1564 1565 1566	 Hourigan L.A., Burstow D.J., Pohlner P., Clarke B.E., Donnelly J.E. Transesophageal echocardiographic abnormalities in a case of cardiac sarcoidosis. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2001;14:399-402
1567 1568 1569	Patel MR, Cawley PJ, Heitner JF, Klem I, Parker MA, Jaroudi WA. Detection of myocardial damage in patients with sarcoidosis. Circulation. 2009;120:1969-77.
1570 1571	111. Hundley WG, Bluemke DA, Finn JP, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG et al. ACCF/ACR/AHA/NASCI/SCMR 2010 expert consensus document on cardiovascular

1572	magnetic resonance: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force			
1573	on Expert Consensus Documents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:2614-62.			
1574				
1575	112. J Schulz-Menger, R Wassmuth, H Abdel-Aty, I Siegel, A Franke, R Dietz, M G Friedrich.			
1576	Patterns of myocardial inflammation and scarring in sarcoidosis as assessed by			
1577	cardiovascular magnetic resonance Heart 2006;92:399–400.			
1578				
1579	113. Seward JB, Casaclang-Verzosa G. Infiltrative cardiovascular diseases:			
1580	cardiomyopathies that look alike. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:1769-79.			
1581				
1582	114. Lancefield T, Voskoboinik A, Taylor AJ, Nadel J Late gadolinium enhancement			
1583	identified with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in sarcoidosis patients is associated with			
1584	long-term ventricular arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death., Eur Heart J Cardiovasc			
1585	Imaging. 2015 ;16:634-41.			
1586				
1587	115. Okumura W, Iwasaki T, Toyama T, Iso T, Arai M, Oriuchi N, Endo K, Yokoyama T,			
1588	Suzuki T, Kurabayashi M. Usefulness of fasting 18F-FDG PET in identification of cardiac			
1589	sarcoidosis. J Nucl Med. 2004 ;45:1989-98			
1590				
1591	116. Keijsers RG, Grutters JC, Thomeer M, Du Bois RM, Van Buul MM, Lavalaye et al.			
1592	Imaging the inflammatory activity of sarcoidosis: sensitivity and inter observer agreement of			
1593	(67)Ga imaging and (18)F-FDG PET. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;55:66-71			
1594				
1595	117. Ishimaru S, Tsujino I, Takei T, Tsukamoto E, Sakaue S, Kamigaki M, et al. Focal			
1596	uptake on 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography images indicates cardiac			
1597	involvement of sarcoidosis. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:1538-43.			
1598				
1599	118. Youssef G, Leung E, Mylonas I, Nery P, Williams K, Wisenberg G, et al. The use of 18F-			
1600	FDG PET in the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis			
1601	including the Ontario experience. J Nucl Med. 2012;53:241-8			
1602				
1603	119. Tang R, Wang JT, Wang L, Le K, Huang Y, Hickey AJ, Emmett L. Impact of Patient			
1604	Preparation on the Diagnostic Performance of 18F-FDG PET in Cardiac Sarcoidosis: A			
1605	Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Nucl Med. 2015 Dec 4.			
1606				
1607	120. Skali H, Schulman AR, Dorbala S. (18)F-FDG PET/CT for the assessment of			
1608	myocardial sarcoidosis. Curr Cardiol Rep 2013; 15:352.			
1609				

1610	121.	Blankstein R, Osborne M, Naya M, Waller A, Kim CK, Murthy VL et al. Cardiac positron
1611	emiss	sion tomography enhances prognostic assessments of patients with suspected cardiac
1612	sarco	oid. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:329-36
1613		
1614	122.	Yokoyama R, Miyagawa M, Okayama H, Inoue T, Miki H, Ogimoto A, Higaki J,
1615	Moch	nizuki T. Quantitative analysis of myocardial 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake by PET/CT
1616	for de	etection of cardiac sarcoidosis. Int J Cardiol. 2015;195:180-7
1617		
1618	123.	Yamagishi H, Shirai N, Takagi M, Yoshiyama M, Akioka K, Takeuchi K, Yoshikawa J.
1619	Ident	ification of cardiac sarcoidosis with (13) N-NH(3)/[18F]FDG PET. J Nucl Med.
1620	2003	;44:1030–6
1621		
1622	124.	Osborne MT1, Hulten EA, Singh A, Waller AH, Bittencourt MS, Stewart GC, et al.
1623	Redu	ction in ¹⁸ F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake on serial cardiac positron emission tomography
1624	is as	ssociated with improved left ventricular ejection fraction in patients with cardiac
1625	sarco	bidosis. J Nucl Cardiol. 2014 ;21:166-74.
1626		
1627	125.	Ohira H, Birnie DH, Pena E, Bernick J, Mc Ardle B, Leung E, et al. Comparison of
1628	(18)F	-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG PET) and cardiac magnetic
1629	reson	nance (CMR) in corticosteroid-naive patients with conduction system disease due to
1630	cardi	ac sarcoidosis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2016;43:259-69.
1631		
1632	126.	Leask A. The role of endothelin-1 signaling in the fibrosis observed in systemic
1633	sclere	osis. Pharmacol Res 2011;63:502–503.
1634		
1635	127.	Tyndall AJ, Bannert B, Vonk M, Airo P, Cozzi F, Carreira PE, et, al. Causes and risk
1636	facto	rs for death in systemic sclerosis: a study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and
1637	Resea	arch (EUSTAR) database. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1809–15.
1638		
1639	128.	Follansbee WP, Miller TR, Cirtoss EI, Orie JE, Bernstein RL, Kiernan JM, et al. A
1640	contr	rolled clinicopathologic study of myocardial fibrosis in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma).
1641	J Rhe	eumatol 1990;17:656–62.
1642		
1643	129.	Meune C, Avouac J, Wahbi K, et al. Cardiac involvement in systemic sclerosis assessed
1644	by t	issue-doppler echocardiography during routine care: A controlled study of 100
1645	conse	ecutive patients. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58(6):1803–1809.
1646		
1647	130.	Allanore Y, Meune C, Vonk MC, Airo P, Hachulla E, Caramaschi P, et al. Prevalence
1648	and f	factors associated with left ventricular dysfunction in the EULAR Scleroderma Trial and

1649	Research group (EUSTAR) database of patients with systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis 2010:69:218-21		
1650	2010;69:218–21.		
1651			
1652 1653	131. D'Andrea A, Stisi S, Bellissimo S, Vigorito F, Scotto di Uccio F, Tozzi N, et al. Early impairment of myocardial function in systemic sclerosis: non-invasive assessment by Doppler		
1654 1655	myocardial and strain rate imaging. Eur J Echocardiogr 2005;6:407–18.		
1656 1657 1658 1659	132. Yiu KH, Schouffoer AA, Marsan NA, Ninaber MK, Stolk J, Vlieland TV, et al. Left ventricular dysfunction assessed by speckle-tracking strain analysis in patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63: 3969–3978.		
1660 1661 1662 1663 1664	133. Mueller KA, Mueller II, Eppler D, Zuern CS, Seizer P, Kramer U, et al. Clinical and histopathological features of patients with systemic sclerosis undergoing endomyocardial biopsy. PLoS One. 2015 May 12;10(5):e0126707. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126707. eCollection 2015. PubMed PMID: 25966025; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4428754.		
1665 1666 1667 1668	134. Hachulla AL, Launay D, Gaxotte V, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in systemic sclerosis: a cross-sectional observational study of 52 patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68(12):1878–1884.		
1669 1670 1671 1672	135. Ugander M, Oki AJ, Hsu LY, et al. Extracellular volume imaging by magnetic resonance imaging provides insights into overt and sub-clinical myocardial pathology. Eur Heart J 2012;33:1268–1278.		
1673 1674 1675 1676 1677	136. Barison A, Gargani L, De Marchi D, Aquaro GD, Guiducci S, Picano E, et al. Early myocardial and skeletal muscle interstitial remodelling in systemic sclerosis: insights from extracellular volume quantification using cardiovascular magnetic resonance. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015;16:74-80.		
1678 1679 1680 1681	137. Thuny F, Lovric D, Schnell F, Bergerot C, Ernande L, Cottin V, et al. Quantification of myocardial extracellular volume fraction with cardiac MR imaging for early detection of left ventricle involvement in systemic sclerosis. Radiology. 2014;271:373-80.		
1682 1683 1684 1685	138. Follansbee WP, Curtiss EI, Medsger Jr TA, et al. Physiologic abnormalities of cardiac function in progressive systemic sclerosis with diffuse scleroderma. N Engl J Med 1984;310:142-8.		
1686	139. Lancellotti P, Nkomo VT, Badano LP, Bergler-Klein J, Bogaert J, Davin L, et al. Expert		
1687	consensus for multi-modality imaging evaluation of cardiovascular complications of		

1688	radiotherapy in adults: a report from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging		
1689	and the American Society of Echocardiography. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.		
1690	2013; 14 :721-40.		
1691			
1692	140.	Messroghli D, Nordmeyer S, Dietrich T, Dirsch O, Kaschina E, Savvatis K, et al.	
1693	Asse	ssment of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in rats using small-animal Look-Locker inversion	
1694	recov	very T1 mapping. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2011; 4 :636-640.	
1695			
1696	141.	Constine L, Schwartz R, Savage D, King V, Muhs A. Cardiac function, perfusion, and	
1697	mort	oidity in irradiated long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.	
1698	1997	7; 39 :897-906.	
1699			
1700	142.	Marks L, Yu X, Prosnitz R, Zhou SM, Hardenbergh P, Blazing M, et al. The incidence	
1701	and	functional consequences of RT-associated cardiac perfusion defects. Int J Radiat Oncol	
1702	Biol	Phys. 2005; 63 :214-223	
1703			
1704	143.	Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, Ewer MS, Ky B, Scherrer-Crosbie M, et al. Expert	
1705	cons	ensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer	
1706	thera	apy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European	
1707	Asso	ciation of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15:1063-1093.	
1708			
1709	144.	Dato I. How to recognize endomyocardial fibrosis? J Cardiovasc Med. 2015 ;16:547-	
1710	51.		
1711			
1712	145.	Mocumbi AO. Endomyocardial fibrosis: A form of endemic restrictive cardiomyopathy.	
1713	Glob	Cardiol Sci Pract. 2012 ;2012:11	
1714			
1715	146.	Bukhman G, Ziegler J, Parry E. Endomyocardial fibrosis: still a mystery after 60 years.	
1716	PLoS	S Negl Trop Dis. 2008;2:e97	
1717			
1718	147.	Mocumbi AO, Carrilho C, Sarathchandra P, Ferreira MB, Yacoub M, Burke M.	
1719	Echo	ocardiography accurately assesses the pathological abnormalities of chronic	
1720	endo	myocardial fibrosis. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2011 ; 27:955-64.	
1721			
1722	148.	Mocumbi AO, Ferreira MB, Sidi D, Yacoub MH. A population study of endomyocardial	
1723	fibro	sis in a rural area of Mozambique. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:43-9.	
1724			
1725	149.	Kharabish A, Haroun D1. Cardiac MRI findings of endomyocardial fibrosis (Loeffler's	
1726		carditis) in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. J Saudi Heart Assoc. 2015;27:127-31	

1727		
1728	150. Schneeweis C, Berger A, Kelle S, Fleck E, Gebker R. Endomyocardial fibrosis in	
1729	patients with confirmed Churg_Strauss syndrome. Rheumatology 2014;53:84.	
1730		
1731	151. Maia CP, Gali LG, Schmidt A, de Almeida Filho OC, Santos MK, et al. A Challenging	
1732	Differential Diagnosis: Distinguishing between Endomyocardial Fibrosis and Apical	
1733	Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. Echocardiography. 2016;33:1080-4	
1734		
1735	152. Cury R, Abbara S, Sandoval LJ, Houser S, Brady T and Palacios IF. Visualization of	
1736	Endomyocardial Fibrosis by Delayed-Enhancement Magnetic Resonance. Imaging	
1737	Circulation. 2005;111:9, e115-117.	
1738		
1739	153. Salemi VMC, Rochitte CE, Shiozaki AA, Andrade JM, Parga JR, de A´ Vila LF, et al.	
1740	Late gadolinium enhancement magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis and prognosis of	
1741	endomyocardial fibrosis patients. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2011;4:304-311.	
1742		
1743	154. Roper D, Hillier SD, Burstow DJ, Platts D. Non-tropical endomyocardial fibrosis	
1744	associated with sarcoidosis. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014;15:472.	
1745		
1746	155. Seguela PE, Iriart X, Acar P, Montaudon M, Roudaut R, Thambo JB. Eosinophilic	
1747	cardiac disease : molecular, clinical and imaging aspects. Arch Cardiovass Dis 2015 ; 108 :	
1748	258-68	
1749		
1750	156. Al Ali AM, Straatman LP, Allard MF, Ignaszewski AP. Eosinophilic myocarditis: case	
1751	series and review of literature. Can J Cardiol. 2006;22:1233-7	
1752		
1753	157. Ommen SR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ. Clinical and echocardiographic features of	
1754	hypereosinophilic syndromes. Am J Cardiol 2000 ; 1 : 110–113	
1755		
1756	158. Simonnet B, Jacquier A, Salaun E, Hubert S, Habib G. Cardiac involvement in	
1757	hypereosinophilic syndrome: role of multimodality imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.	
1758	2015;16:228	
1759		
1760	159. Acquatella H, Schiller NB, Puigbó JJ, Gómez-Mancebo JR, Suarez C, Acquatella G.	
1761	Value of two-dimensional echocardiography in endomyocardial disease with and without	
1762	eosinophilia. A clinical and pathologic study. Circulation. 1983;67:1219-26.	
1763		

1764	160.	Rotoli B, Catalano L, Galderisi M, Luciano L, Pollio G, Guerriero A, et al. Rapid		
1765	reversion of Loeffler's endocarditis by imatinib in early stage clonal hypereosinophilic			
1766	syndrome. Leuk Lymphoma. 2004 ;45:2503-7			
1767				
1768	161.	Puvaneswary M, Joshua F, Ratnarajah S. Idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome:		
1769	magi	netic resonance imaging findings in endomyocardial fibrosis. Australas Radiol		
1770	2001	;45:524e527.		
1771				
1772	162.	Porto AG, McAlindon E, Hamilton M, Manghat N, Bucciarelli-Ducci C. Diagnosing		
1773	cardi	iac involvement in the hypereosinophilic syndrome by cardiac magnetic resonance Am		
1774	J Cardiol. 2013 Jul 1;112(1):135-6			
1775				
1776	163.	Pillar N, Halkin A, Aviram G. Hypereosinophilic syndrome with cardiac involvement:		
1777	early	diagnosis by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Can J Cardiol 2012;515:e11ee13.		
1778				
1779	164.	ten Oever J, Theunissen LJ, Tick LW, Verbunt RJ.Cardiac involvement in		
1780	hype	reosinophilic syndrome. Neth J Med. 2011;69:240		
1781				
1782	165.	Haugaa KH, Bergestuen DS, Sahakyan LG, Skulstad H, Aakhus S, Thiis-Evensen E,		
1783	Edva	ardsen T. Evaluation of right ventricular dysfunction by myocardial strain		
1784	echo	cardiography in patients with intestinal carcinoid disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr.		
1785	2011	1;24:644-50.		
1786				
1787	166.	Modlin IM, Shapiro MD, Kidd M. Carcinoid tumors and fibrosis: an association with		
1788	no ez	xplanation. Am J Gastroenterol. 2004;99:2466-78		
1789				
1790	167.	Connolly HM, Pellikka PA. Carcinoid heart disease. Curr Cardiol Rep. 2006;8:96-101		
1791				
1792	168.	Pellikka PA, Tajik AJ, Khandheria BK, Seward JB, Callahan JA, Pitot HC, Kvols LK.		
1793	Carcinoid heart disease. Clinical and echocardiographic spectrum in 74 patients. Circulation.			
1794	1993	3;87:1188-96		
1795				
1796	169.	Urheim S, Edvardsen T, Torp H, Angelsen B, Smiseth OA. Myocardial strain by Doppler		
1797		cardiography. Validation of a new method to quantify regional myocardial function.		
1798	Circulation. 2000;102:1158-64			
1799	0			
1800	170.	Zahid W, Bergestuen D, Haugaa KH, Ueland T, Thiis-Evensen E, Aukrust P, Fosse E,		
1800		I. Myocardial Function by Two-Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography and		

1802	Activin A May Predict Mortality in Patients with Carcinoid Intestinal Disease. Cardiology			
1803	2015	2015;132:81-90		
1804				
1805	171.	Moerman VM, Dewilde D, Hermans K. Carcinoid heart disease: typical findings on		
1806	echoo	cardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance. Acta Cardiol. 2012 ;67:245-8.		
1807				
1808	172.	Nebigil CG, Etienne N, Messaddeq N, Maroteaux L. Serotonin is a novel survival factor		
1809		ardiomyocytes: Mitochondria as a target of 5-ht2b receptor signaling. FASEB J.		
1810	2003	;17:1373-1375		
1811	1 50			
1812	173.	Hutcheson JD, Setola V, Roth BL, Merryman WD. Serotonin receptors and heart valve		
1813	disea	seit was meant 2b. Pharmacol Ther. 2011;132:146-157		
1814				
1815 1816	174. X K	Fielden MR, Hassani M, Uppal H, Day-Lollini P, Button D, Martin RS, Garrido R, Liu blaja KL. Mechanism of subendocardial cell proliferation in the rat and relevance for		
1810		rstanding drug-induced valvular heart disease in humans. Exp Toxicol Pathol.		
1817		;62:607-613		
1819	2010	,02.007 010		
1820	175.	Fowles RE, Cloward TV, Yowell RL. Endocardial fibrosis associated with fenfluramine-		
1821		termine. N Engl J Med. 1998;338:1316		
1822	r			
1823	176.	Szymanski C, Marechaux S, Bruneval P, Andrejak M, de Montpréville VT, Belli E, et		
1824	al. Sı	ub obstruction of left outflow tract secondary to benfluorex-induced endocardial fibrosis.		
1825	IJC F	Heart Vasculature 2015;9: 67-69		
1826				
1827	177.	Vaitkus PT, Kussmaul WG. Constrictive pericarditis versus restrictive		
1828	cardi	omyopathy: a reappraisal and update of diagnostic criteria. Am Heart J 1991; 122 :1431-		
1829	41.			
1830				
1831	178.	Shabetai R. Pathophysiology and differential diagnosis of restrictive cardiomyopathy.		
1832	Card	iovasc Clin 1988; 19 :123-32.		
1833				
1834	179.	Kusunose K, Dahiya A, Popović ZB, Motoki H, Alraies MC, Zurick AO, et al.		
1835	Biver	ntricular mechanics in constrictive pericarditis comparison with restrictive		
1836	cardi	omyopathy and impact of pericardiectomy. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2013; 6 :399-406.		
1837				
1838	180.	Francone M, Dymarkowski S, Kalantzi M, Rademakers FE, Bogaert J. Assessment of		
1839	ventr	icular coupling with real-time cine MRI and its value to differentiate constrictive		
18/0	neric	arditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy Fur Padiol 2006:16:944-51		

1841				
1842	181. Welch TD, Ling LH, Espinosa RE, Anavekar NS, Wiste HJ, Lahr BD, et al.			
1843	Echocardiographic diagnosis of constrictive pericarditis. Mayo clinic criteria. Circ Cardiovasc			
1844	Imaging 2014 ; 7 526-34			
1845				
1846	182. Ha JW, Ommen SR, Tajik AJ, Barnes ME, Ammash NM, Gertz MA, et al. Differentiation			
1847	of constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy using mitral annular velocity by			
1848	tissue Doppler echocardiography. Am J Cardiol 2004; 94 :316-9.			
1849				
1850	183. Ha JW, Oh JK, Ommen SR, Ling LH, Tajik AJ. Diagnostic value of mitral annular			
1851	velocity for constrictive pericarditis in the absence of respiratory variation in mitral inflow			
1852	velocity. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2002;15:1468-71.			
1853				
1854	184. Sengupta PP, Mohan JC, Mehta V, Arora R, Pandian NG, Khandheria BK. Accuracy			
1855	and pitfalls of early diastolic motion of the mitral annulus for diagnosing constrictive			
1856	pericarditis by tissue Doppler imaging. Am J Cardiol 2004; 93 :886-90.			
1857				
1858	185. Rajagopalan N, Garcia MJ, Rodriguez L, Murray RD, Apperson-Hansen C, Stugaard			
1859	M, et al. Comparison of new Doppler echocardiographic methods to differentiate constrictive			
1860	pericardial heart disease and restrictive cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 2001;87:86-94.			
1861				
1862	186. Amaki M, Savino J, Ain DL, Sanz J, Pedrizzetti G, Kulkarni H, et al. Diagnostic			
1863	concordance of echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance-based tissue tracking for			
1864	differentiating constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy. Circ Cardiovasc			
1865	Imaging 2014; 7 :819-27.			
1866				
1867	187. Adler Y, Charron P, Imazio M, Badano L, Baron-Esquivias G, Bogaert J, et al. 2015			
1868	ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases: The Task Force for			
1869	the Diagnosis and Management of Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology			
1870	(ESC)Endorsed by: The European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur			
1871	Heart J 2015;36:2921-64.			
1872				
1873	188. Talreja DR, Edwards WD, Danielson GK, Schaff HV, Tajik AJ, Tazelaar HD, Breen JF,			
1874	Oh JK. Constrictive pericarditis in 26 patients with histologically normal pericardial			
1875	thickness. <i>Circulation</i> 2003; 108 :1852-7.			
1876				
1877	189. Yared K, Baggish AL, Picard MH, Hoffmann U, Hung J. Multimodality imaging of			
1878	pericardial diseases. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2010;3:650-60			
1879				

1880	190.	Elliott PM, Anastasakis A, Borger MA, Borggrefe M, Cecchi F, Charron P, et al. 2014	
1881	ESC Guidelines on diagnosis and management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: the Task		
1882	Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy of the European		
1883	Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2014 ;35:2733-792		
1884			
1885	191.	Olivotto I, Maron BJ, Appelbaum E, Harrigan CJ, Salton C, Gibson CM, et al. Spectrum	
1886	and	clinical significance of systolic function and myocardial fibrosis assessed by	
1887	cardiovascular magnetic resonance in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol.		
1888	2010;106:261-7		
1889			
1890	192.	Biagini E, Spirito P, Rocchi G, Ferlito M, Rosmini S, Lai F, et al. Prognostic implications	
1891	of the Doppler restrictive filling pattern in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol		
1892	;104:1	1727-31.	
1893			
1894	193.	Melacini P, Basso C, Angelini A, Calore C, Bobbo F, Tokajuk B, et al.	
1895	Clinic	opathological profiles of progressive heart failure in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Eur	
1896	Heart	J. 2010;31:2111-23.	
1897			
1898	194.	Nistri S, Olivotto I, Betocchi S, Losi MA, Valsecchi G, Pinamonti B, et al. Prognostic	
1899	signifi	cance of left atrial size in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (from the Italian	
1900	Regist	rry for Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy). Am J Cardiol. 2006 ;98:960-5.	
1901			
1902	195.	Maron BJ, Spirito P. Implications of left ventricular remodeling in hypertrophic	
1903	cardio	myopathy.Am J Cardiol. 1998;81:1339-448	
1904			
1905	196.	Olivotto I, Cecchi F, Poggesi C, Yacoub MH Patterns of disease progression in	
1906	hyper	trophic cardiomyopathy: an individualized approach to clinical staging. Circ Heart Fail	
1907	2012;	5:535-46	
1908			
1909	197.	Habib G, Charron P, Eicher JC, Giorgi R, Donal E, Laperche T, et al; Working Groups	
1910	'Heart	Failure and Cardiomyopathies' and 'Echocardiography' of the French Society of	
1911	Cardie	ology. Isolated left ventricular non-compaction in adults: clinical and echocardiographic	
1912	featur	es in 105 patients. Results from a French registry. Eur J Heart Fail. 2011;13:177-85	
1913			
1914	198.	Rapezzi C, Leone O, Ferlito M, Biagini E, Coccolo F, Arpesella G. Isolated ventricular	
1915	non-c	ompaction with restrictive cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J. 2006 ;27:1927	
1916			