

Multiobjective Optimization and Multiple Constraint Handling with Evolutionary Algorithms

Carlos M. Fonseca¹ and Peter J. Fleming²

¹ University of Algarve, CSI – Centre for Intelligent Systems
Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal
`cmfonsec@ualg.pt`

² University of Sheffield, Department of Automatic Control and Systems Engineering
Mappin Street, Sheffield, S1 3JD, United Kingdom
`p.fleming@sheffield.ac.uk`

Abstract. In this talk, fitness assignment in multiobjective evolutionary algorithms is interpreted as a multi-criterion decision process. A suitable decision making framework based on goals and priorities is formulated in terms of a relational operator, characterized, and shown to encompass a number of simpler decision strategies, including constraint satisfaction, lexicographic optimization, and a form of goal programming. Then, the ranking of an arbitrary number of candidates is considered, and the effect of preference changes on the cost surface seen by an evolutionary algorithm is illustrated graphically for a simple problem.

The formulation of a multiobjective genetic algorithm based on the proposed decision strategy is also discussed. Niche formation techniques are used to promote diversity among preferable candidates, and progressive articulation of preferences is shown to be possible as long as the genetic algorithm can recover from abrupt changes in the cost landscape.

Finally, an application to the optimization of the low-pressure spool speed governor of a Pegasus gas turbine engine is described, which illustrates how a technique such as the Multiobjective Genetic Algorithm can be applied, and exemplifies how design requirements can be refined as the algorithm runs.

The two instances of the problem studied demonstrate the need for preference articulation in cases where many and highly competing objectives lead to a non-dominated set too large for a finite population to sample effectively. It is shown that only a very small portion of the non-dominated set is of practical relevance, which further substantiates the need to supply preference information to the GA.

Keywords. Evolutionary algorithms, multiobjective optimization, preference articulation, interactive optimization.

References

1. Fonseca, C.M., Fleming, P.J.: [Multiobjective optimization and multiple constraint handling with evolutionary algorithms I: A unified formulation](#). IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics **28** (1998) 26–37

2. Fonseca, C.M., Fleming, P.J.: [Multiobjective optimization and multiple constraint handling with evolutionary algorithms II: Application example](#). IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics **28** (1998) 38–47